

## Harnessing neoantigens for targeted cancer treatment Bulk, J. van den

#### Citation

Bulk, J. van den. (2024, March 6). *Harnessing neoantigens for targeted cancer treatment*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3720080

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral</u> <u>thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University</u> <u>of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3720080

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



# **CHAPTER 1**

General introduction and thesis outline

### **CANCER INITIATION**

Cells in the human body proliferate in a regulated manner in order for tissues to grow or to replace damaged or dead cells. With each cellular division mistakes can occur during DNA replication, and the exposure to endogenous or exogenous DNA-damaging agents can also induce alterations in the DNA. In healthy cells, several DNA damage response and repair pathways ensure that such DNA lesions are detected and, either repaired or signalled so that an aberrant cell can be eliminated<sup>1,2</sup>. Nevertheless, during a lifetime, multiple mutations go unrepaired and are stably integrated in the DNA of cells. When oncogenes and tumour-suppressor genes are mutated in parallel this may lead to uncontrolled cell proliferation, evasion of growth suppressors, replicative immortality and resistance to cell death<sup>3</sup>. The disruption of cellular homeostasis results in tumours which can be classified as either benign or malignant. Benign tumours remain localized in their tissue layer (e.g. polyps), while malignant tumours can invade surrounding tissues and eventually spread (metastasize) throughout the body. Consequently, the latter is also referred to as cancer and can lead to severe illness and death.

The course of disease depends on a variety of elements, including the tumour type, the genetic make-up and how cancer cells interact with the remaining cells of the body and, in particular, with immune cells. All these features determine the persistence and progression of a cancer and, therefore, their characterization may reveal important mechanisms that can be manipulated in a therapeutic manner. This thesis focusses on the interaction between cancer cells and cancer-specific T cells and how cancer-specific DNA mutations give rise to anti-tumour immune responses. The combination of these analyses provides clues for additional strategies to target cancers by means of immunotherapy.

#### THE IMMUNE SYSTEM

The immune system comprises a broad variety of cells and molecules, which together form a defence barrier against, primarily, infectious agents. Our immune system can be grossly divided into two components which cooperatively prevent and suppress infections by eliminating organisms that are detected as being "non-self"<sup>4</sup>. The innate immune system forms the first layer of defence and responds quickly but in a non-specific manner to insults<sup>5</sup>. The adaptive immune system on the other hand, is characterized by a slower response, directed to specific targets and has the capacity to establish memory enabling a quick response at re-infection.

Throughout evolution, the immune system developed to battle pathogens which formed a major threat for survival of species<sup>6</sup>. Importantly, the same immune defence mechanisms have also been found to possess the capacity to recognize and eliminate cancer cells via e.g. cancer-specific antigens which are detected as non-self. Moreover, the fact that increased infiltration of CD4<sup>+</sup> (T helper 1) and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells is generally associated with a

better patient prognosis as well as the clinical efficacy of immunotherapeutic approaches aiming at their activation, strongly point at the role of these immune cells on tumour control<sup>7-9</sup>. Consequently, cancer cells must adopt immune evasive mechanisms to avoid immune destruction via e.g. limiting the expression and/or presentation of cancer antigens to the immune system<sup>10,11</sup>. This anti-cancer potential of the immune system, however, is thought to be mainly an accessory attribute derived from its ability to recognize the "nonself" rather than a consequence of natural selection since cancer most often arises after reproductive age. On the other hand, the capacity of our immune system to eliminate cancers is potentially masked by the recognition and elimination of developing cancers before clinical manifestation and diagnosis.

#### NEOANTIGENS



**Figure 1** Neoantigen presentation to T cells. Small peptides derived from normal (green) or mutant (pink) DNA sequences are loaded on HLA molecules and presented on the cell surface. Subsequently, T cells can recognize the peptides with their T cell receptor (TCR).

In theory, antigens recognized by T cells can be derived from all internally available proteins (including internalized external proteins) which are broken down to small peptides by the (immune) proteasome<sup>12</sup>. T cells can recognize specific peptides in complex with HLA molecules on the surface of target cells using their T cell receptor (TCR; Figure 1)<sup>13</sup>. Anti-tumour immune responses can be directed to so-called tumour-associated antigens, cancer testis antigens, viral antigens and/or cancer-mutated antigens (neoantigens)<sup>14</sup>. Tumour-associated and cancer testis antigens are "self" antigens that are differentially expressed in cancer tissue compared to healthy tissue, which allows immune recognition. Viral antigens can be specifically presented in virus-induced cancers and form non-self tumour-specific targets for immune cells. At last, neoantigens form a particularly interesting target due to their cancer-specific origin<sup>15</sup>. For example, DNA mutations in cancer cells can give rise to amino acid changes in the resulting proteins and thus aberrant, cancer-specific peptide presentation. Single nucleotide variants (SNV) occur frequently and are rarely shared among patients. Other types of genomic mutations include: (1) insertions and deletions that can result in frameshifts, (2) gene fusion and (3) chromosomal rearrangements. These genomic variants are in general more immunogenic than SNVs, because they differ more from the wildtype sequences<sup>16</sup>. Besides neoantigens derived from genomic mutations – which will be the focus of this thesis – can neoantigens also be derived from transcriptomic aberrations or post-translational modifications<sup>17,18</sup>.

The fact that neoantigens form a basic characteristic of cancer and can be recognized by the immune system opens a window of opportunity for leveraging adaptive immune responses.

## T CELL-MEDIATED IMMUNITY

T cells are a type of white blood cell. The 'T' refers to the thymus, a lymphoid organ in which developing T cells undergo TCR gene arrangement, yielding a broad TCR repertoire that is able to recognize an almost unlimited variety of targets<sup>19</sup>. The developing T cells are selected in the thymus for their ability to functionally respond to peptide-HLA complexes while lacking strong responses to self-antigens in order to avoid auto-immunity<sup>20</sup>. This already points out the challenges for the induction of strong T cell responses to the above mentioned "self" antigens as negative selection in the thymus particularly ensures elimination of T cells that strongly respond to "self" antigens<sup>21</sup>. T cells can be differentiated into major subsets based on the expression of either CD4 or CD8 molecules as co-receptor of the TCR complex, i.e. CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, respectively. CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells often have the capacity to kill cells and are also known as cytotoxic T cells, while CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells include, amongst others, helper T cells and regulatory T cells (Tregs)<sup>19</sup>. The latter dampen ongoing immune responses and are often characterized by the nuclear expression of the transcription factor FoxP3<sup>22</sup>.

In order to enable naïve T cells to proliferate and exert their effector function, they need to be properly activated in lymph nodes by antigen presenting cells, particularly dendritic cells<sup>4,23</sup>. Dendritic cells can engulf exogenous material, including tumour cell material, and present antigens derived thereof on HLA class II molecules to CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, as well as cross-present these on HLA class I molecules to CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells<sup>24</sup> (Figure 2). Besides recognition of these antigens by the TCR, T cells require co-stimulatory signals to become fully activated. These co-stimulations are provided by antigen presenting cells which upregulate molecules like CD80, CD86 and CD40 on their cellular surface, which bind



respectively CD28 and CD40L on T cells, and additionally secrete cytokines upon exposure to danger signals (e.g. infection)<sup>25</sup>.

**Figure 2** T cell activation by tumour-antigens. Dendritic cells engulf tumour material at the tumour site and migrate to lymph nodes where they (cross-) present neoantigens to naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, so T cell receptors (TCR) can bind the HLA-peptide complex accompanied by binding of co-stimulatory molecules (CD80/86). The activated T cells migrate to the tumour where they exert their effector function.

After activation in the lymph nodes, the T cells can migrate to the tumour site where they execute their effector function upon binding to the same antigen-HLA complex presented at target cells. CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells can relay support signals towards CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells to improve their effector and memory functions<sup>26-28</sup>. Additionally, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells contribute directly to the tumour control by secretion of cytokines (e.g. IFN- $\gamma$  and TNF- $\alpha$ ), and indirectly via re-organization of the tumour microenvironment via attraction of other cells and the activation of innate effector cells<sup>29-31</sup>. CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells release lytic granules with perforin, to create pores in the cellular membrane of target cells, and granzymes which can subsequently induce

programmed cell death with their protease capacity<sup>32,33</sup>. An immune synapse is formed between the T cell and its target cell, to regulate the direction in which the granules are released. Additionally, by expressing FasL, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells can bind Fas if expressed by tumour cells and, thereby, induce another cell death pathway<sup>32-34</sup>.

## **ANTI-CANCER THERAPIES**

The most commonly applied anti-cancer strategies include surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, targeted therapy and hormonal therapy or a combination of these. Over the years, many cancer patients were cured or benefitted from prolonged survival based on these therapies, but each therapy comes with certain limitations and more importantly, not all patients are or remain susceptible to these treatments. It is for example not feasible to perform surgery on all anatomical locations and (so far) undetected metastases that might have spread throughout the body will not be tackled. Chemotherapy and radiotherapy are not specifically targeting tumour cells but all, particularly rapidly proliferating, cells to induce cell death through induction of more DNA damage. Targeted therapy and hormonal therapy are directed to a cancer-specific protein or hormone (receptor), respectively, but development of resistance mechanisms to these approaches by tumours is often observed.

The relatively unspecific mechanisms of action of these approaches also come with short and/or long-term side-effects for patients. Consequences of surgery highly depend on the tissue that was removed and varies greatly. For chemotherapy treatments, side-effects are often observed as especially rapidly dividing cells like e.g. the intestines, hair and blood cells are heavily affected. And even though stereotactic ionizing radiation beams can be directed to specific locations in the body aiming to provide a high dose to the tumour and limit the exposure to healthy tissue, it can still induce skin irritation and the exposure to the DNA-damaging beam is traceable in the long-term on surrounding healthy tissues. Hormonal therapy likely deregulates the balance of the hormone system causing related symptoms.

#### **CANCER IMMUNOTHERAPY**

On top of these older 'standard' treatment regimens, new immunotherapeutic strategies that employ autologous anti-tumour immune responses, have been introduced via clinical studies. Some of these have been approved as new standards of care. This provides additional treatment options with the possibility to overcome some of the treatment limitations discussed above (e.g. inability to reach a tumour and substantial off-target effects). Currently, a series of clinical trials is ongoing in order to investigate and validate new or combination treatment strategies implementing immunotherapy.



*Figure 3* | Schematic representation of T cell-mediated immunotherapeutic strategies that can be exploited in cancer patients.

Immunotherapeutic strategies regularly employ neoantigens, which can evoke natural T cell responses and potentially induce cancer cell elimination. This mechanism is well recognized as it has been observed that especially highly mutated tumours like melanoma and non-small cell lung cancer (induced by UV and tobacco exposure, respectively) are highly infiltrated by immune cells and autologous tumour-specific T cell responses have been identified<sup>35,36</sup>. The tumour mutation burden (TMB) positively correlates to e.g. colorectal cancer patient outcome and, again, T cell infiltration<sup>37</sup>. Furthermore, the TMB correlates to the objective response rate of checkpoint blockade therapy in pancancer analyses<sup>38-40</sup>. However, the immune system of patients that present in the clinic with cancer has not been able to eliminate the tumour yet. Apparently, the tumours employ diverse immune evasive mechanisms, including antigen presentation defects, suppression of T cell functionality by expression of inhibitory molecules and modulation of the tumour microenvironment through secretion of certain molecules<sup>10,41,42</sup>. Strikingly, there are indications that (neo)antigen-specific T cell responses shape cancer immunogenicity, a mechanism known as immunoediting, driving immune evasion<sup>42-44</sup> and underscoring the important role of (neo)antigen-specific T cells in the anti-cancer immune response. Current T cell-mediated immunotherapeutic strategies (Figure 3) aim to tip the balance by inducing and/or enhancing immune responses and, thereby, enable tumour cell eradication.

Targeting checkpoint blockade molecules formed a major breakthrough in the field of immunotherapy and has been recognized as such with a Nobel prize in 2018. The checkpoint blocking antibodies (anti-PD-(L)1 and CTLA-4) were designed to prevent inhibitory signalling between the T cell and cancer cells or myeloid cells and thereby re-invigorate the pre-existing anti-tumour immune response or enhance T cell priming, respectively<sup>38,39,45</sup>. Clinical responses were mainly observed in tumours with a high

mutation burden, and checkpoint blocking therapies thus have been approved for use in patients with e.g. melanoma and lung cancer, but also in a tumour-agnostic manner to all mismatch-repair deficient tumours as these accumulate many mutations over time<sup>40,46</sup>. The clinical success of blocking checkpoint molecules on T cells in a variety of cancer types emphasizes the significant role of (neo)antigen-specific T cells for anti-tumour responses<sup>38,39,45,47</sup>.

In vitro expansion of (neo)antigen-reactive T cells, either from tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes or via induction of peripheral blood with tumour cells, forms a way to create tumour-reactive T cell products that can be infused into a patient in order to increase the number of cells that can exert anti-tumour activity in vivo. This strategy, known as adoptive T cell transfer, led to long-term (complete) responses in, amongst others, a subset of metastatic melanoma patients further emphasizing the critical role that neoantigen-reactive T cells could play<sup>30,43,48-51</sup>. Currently, a large number of T cells is reinfused of which a substantial fraction consists of bystander T cells. Selective expansion of (neo)antigen-reactive T cell subsets could potentially increase the clinical efficacy and reduce the number of cells that have to be infused. For this, cell surface molecules should be identified that specifically pinpoint tumour-reactive cytotoxic T cells among the bulk in solid tumours. Alternatively, the autologous immune response against solid tumours can be enhanced using T cell engineering approaches. To this end (neo)antigen-specific TCRs need to be identified in a patient, after which these TCRs can be expressed on patient's T cells via genetic engineering. Infusion of these engineered (neo)antigen-specific T cells expands the patient's functional TCR repertoire. Another T cell engineering approach makes use of chimeric antigen receptors (CAR) which can recognize epitopes in an HLA-unrestricted manner<sup>52</sup>. CAR T cell therapy was shown to be particularly effective in haematological cancers as these cells provide tissue-restricted antigens<sup>53</sup>. Solid tumours appeared challenging to target using this strategy due to the lack of suitable cancer-specific targets and the difficulty for CAR T cells to infiltrate the tumours.

Another, largely experimental, approach to boost the autologous anti-tumour response is the use of vaccination strategies. Cancer vaccines can consist of e.g. tumour material or defined tumour-specific derivatives thereof. In addition, adjuvants can be provided to stimulate the immune system to respond to the provided compounds and increase the chances that neoantigen-reactive T cells become activated, migrate to the tumour site and locally perform their effector function<sup>54</sup>. Proof-of-principle has been provided in melanoma patients that received neoantigen-based vaccines which resulted in persistent T cell responses for years, with broadening of the TCR repertoire due to epitope spreading<sup>55-57</sup>.

#### **OUTLINE OF THIS THESIS**

Manipulating the immune system could potentially add to the standard treatment regimens of a larger group of cancer patients, while making use of an even broader repertoire of strategies than presented here. The human immune system is highly complex and, therefore, we do not yet thoroughly understand all the interactions that take place between cancer and immune cells. However, this complexity might at the same time form the crux in establishing durable treatment benefits, as observed in some patients after immunotherapy. In order to fill in some of these knowledge gaps, this thesis focusses on elucidating the role of neoantigen-directed T cell reactivity in cancer immunotherapy.

Chapter 2 comprises a review that provides an overview on cancer immunotherapy and discusses how to extend the benefit of immunotherapy to low mutation burden tumours. In chapter 3, we investigated whether neoantigen-specific T cell responses are present in mismatch-repair proficient colorectal cancer patients, a particular low mutation burden tumour type. Neoantigen-specific T cell responses were identified in more than half of our patient group, particularly in tumours of consensus molecular subtype 4, which are characterized by abundant TGF-B signalling. Hence, chapter 4 reviews the role of TGF-B on immune cells and, consequently, its effect on and potential for the design of immunotherapeutic strategies. In chapter 5, we continue to further investigate the phenotype of neoantigen-specific T cells by studying the neoantigen-reactivity of cytotoxic T cells with specific phenotypic markers (i.e. CD39 and CD103) as a means to select and manufacture an enriched tumour-specific T cell product for ACT. Chapter 6, describes the stable neoantigen landscape and T cell infiltration of melanoma metastases during progression, suggesting potential for neoantigen-directed immunotherapy even in late-stage disease. Finally, a discussion on the collective results of this thesis will be provided in chapter 7, also addressing the future perspectives to exploit neoantigens for cancer immunotherapy.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Gupta D, Heinen CD. The mismatch repair-dependent DNA damage response: Mechanisms and implications. DNA Repair (Amst). 2019;78:60-9.
- Ghosal G, Chen J. DNA damage tolerance: a double-edged sword guarding the genome. Transl Cancer Res. 2013;2(3):107-29.
- Hanahan D, Weinberg Robert A. Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation. Cell. 2011;144(5):646-74.
- 4. Chen DS, Mellman I. Oncology meets immunology: the cancer-immunity cycle. Immunity. 2013;39(1):1-10.
- Medzhitov R, Janeway CA. Decoding the Patterns of Self and Nonself by the Innate Immune System. Science (New York, NY). 2002;296(5566):298.
- 6. Flajnik MF, Kasahara M. Origin and evolution of the adaptive immune system: genetic events and selective pressures. Nat Rev Genet. 2010;11(1):47-59.
- Fridman WH, Zitvogel L, Sautes-Fridman C, Kroemer G. The immune contexture in cancer prognosis and treatment. Nature reviews Clinical oncology. 2017.
- Binnewies M, Roberts EW, Kersten K, Chan V, Fearon DF, Merad M, et al. Understanding the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) for effective therapy. Nat Med. 2018;24(5):541-50.
- Pagès F, Mlecnik B, Marliot F, Bindea G, Ou FS, Bifulco C, et al. International validation of the consensus Immunoscore for the classification of colon cancer: a prognostic and accuracy study. Lancet. 2018;391(10135):2128-39.
- 10. Campoli M, Chang CC, Ferrone S. HLA class I antigen loss, tumor immune escape and immune selection. Vaccine. 2002;20 Suppl 4:A40-5.
- 11. Ijsselsteijn ME, Petitprez F, Lacroix L, Ruano D, van der Breggen R, Julie C, et al. Revisiting immune escape in colorectal cancer in the era of immunotherapy. Br J Cancer. 2019.
- Yewdell JW, Reits E, Neefjes J. Making sense of mass destruction: quantitating MHC class I antigen presentation. Nature reviews Immunology. 2003;3(12):952-61.
- 13. Malissen B, Grégoire C, Malissen M, Roncagalli R. Integrative biology of T cell activation. Nature Immunology. 2014;15(9):790-7.
- 14. Ilyas S, Yang JC. Landscape of Tumor Antigens in T-Cell Immunotherapy. Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md : 1950). 2015;195(11):5117-22.
- 15. Schumacher TN, Schreiber RD. Neoantigens in cancer immunotherapy. Science. 2015;348(6230):69-74.
- Turajlic S, Litchfield K, Xu H, Rosenthal R, McGranahan N, Reading JL, et al. Insertion-and-deletion-derived tumour-specific neoantigens and the immunogenic phenotype: a pan-cancer analysis. The Lancet Oncology. 2017;18(8):1009-21.
- 17. Frankiw L, Baltimore D, Li G. Alternative mRNA splicing in cancer immunotherapy. Nature Reviews Immunology. 2019;19(11):675-87.
- 18. RodrÍguez E, Schetters STT, van Kooyk Y. The tumour glyco-code as a novel immune checkpoint for immunotherapy. Nature Reviews Immunology. 2018;18(3):204-11.
- 19. Germain RN. T-cell development and the CD4-CD8 lineage decision. Nature reviews Immunology. 2002;2(5):309-22.

- Klein L, Kyewski B, Allen PM, Hogquist KA. Positive and negative selection of the T cell repertoire: what thymocytes see (and don't see). Nature Reviews Immunology. 2014;14(6):377-91.
- 21. Starr TK, Jameson SC, Hogquist KA. Positive and Negative Selection of T Cells. Annual Review of Immunology. 2003;21(1):139-76.
- 22. Zhu J, Yamane H, Paul WE. Differentiation of effector CD4 T cell populations (\*). Annu Rev Immunol. 2010;28:445-89.
- Schirrmacher V, Schild H-J, GÜCkel B, Von Hoegen P. Tumour-Specific CTL response requiring interactions of four different cell types and recognition of MHC class I and class II restricted tumour antigens. Immunology & Cell Biology. 1993;71(4):311-26.
- 24. Joffre OP, Segura E Fau Savina A, Savina A Fau Amigorena S, Amigorena S. Cross-presentation by dendritic cells. (1474-1741 (Electronic)).
- Nace G, Evankovich J Fau Eid R, Eid R Fau Tsung A, Tsung A. Dendritic cells and damage-associated molecular patterns: endogenous danger signals linking innate and adaptive immunity. (1662-8128 (Electronic)).
- 26. Kennedy R, Celis E. Multiple roles for CD4+ T cells in anti-tumor immune responses. Immunological reviews. 2008;222(1):129-44.
- 27. Melssen M, Slingluff CL. Vaccines targeting helper T cells for cancer immunotherapy. Current Opinion in Immunology. 2017;47:85-92.
- Borst J, Ahrends T, Bąbała N, Melief CJM, Kastenmüller W. CD4+ T cell help in cancer immunology and immunotherapy. Nature Reviews Immunology. 2018;18(10):635-47.
- 29. Braumüller H, Wieder T, Brenner E, Aßmann S, Hahn M, Alkhaled M, et al. T-helper-1-cell cytokines drive cancer into senescence. Nature. 2013;494(7437):361-5.
- Tran E, Turcotte S, Gros A, Robbins PF, Lu YC, Dudley ME, et al. Cancer immunotherapy based on mutation-specific CD4+ T cells in a patient with epithelial cancer. Science. 2014;344(6184):641-5.
- Linnemann C, van Buuren MM, Bies L, Verdegaal EM, Schotte R, Calis JJ, et al. High-throughput epitope discovery reveals frequent recognition of neo-antigens by CD4+ T cells in human melanoma. Nat Med. 2015;21(1):81-5.
- Lowin B, Hahne M, Mattmann C, Tschopp J. Cytolytic T-cell cytotoxicity is mediated through perforin and Fas lytic pathways. Nature. 1994;370(6491):650-2.
- Kägi D, Vignaux F, Ledermann B, Bürki K, Depraetere V, Nagata S, et al. Fas and Perforin Pathways as Major Mechanisms of T Cell-Mediated Cytotoxicity. Science (New York, NY). 1994;265(5171):528-30.
- Blok EJ, van den Bulk J, Dekker-Ensink NG, Derr R, Kanters C, Bastiaannet E, et al. Combined evaluation of the FAS cell surface death receptor and CD8+ tumor infiltrating lymphocytes as a prognostic biomarker in breast cancer. Oncotarget. 2017;8(9):15610-20.
- 35. Alexandrov LB, Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC, Aparicio SA, Behjati S, Biankin AV, et al. Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. Nature. 2013;500(7463):415-21.
- Yarchoan M, Johnson BA, 3rd, Lutz ER, Laheru DA, Jaffee EM. Targeting neoantigens to augment antitumour immunity. Nature reviews Cancer. 2017;17(4):209-22.
- Domingo E, Freeman-Mills L, Rayner E, Glaire M, Briggs S, Vermeulen L, et al. Somatic POLE proofreading domain mutation, immune response, and prognosis in colorectal cancer: a retrospective, pooled biomarker study. The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 2016;1(3):207-16.

- Van Allen EM, Miao D, Schilling B, Shukla SA, Blank C, Zimmer L, et al. Genomic correlates of response to CTLA-4 blockade in metastatic melanoma. Science. 2015;350(6257):207-11.
- Rizvi NA, Hellmann MD, Snyder A, Kvistborg P, Makarov V, Havel JJ, et al. Cancer immunology. Mutational landscape determines sensitivity to PD-1 blockade in non-small cell lung cancer. Science. 2015;348(6230):124-8.
- 40. Yarchoan M, Hopkins A, Jaffee EM. Tumor Mutational Burden and Response Rate to PD-1 Inhibition. New England Journal of Medicine. 2017;377(25):2500-1.
- Rosenberg SA, Sherry Rm Fau Morton KE, Morton Ke Fau Scharfman WJ, Scharfman WJ Fau - Yang JC, Yang Jc Fau - Topalian SL, Topalian SI Fau - Royal RE, et al. Tumor progression can occur despite the induction of very high levels of self/tumor antigen-specific CD8+ T cells in patients with melanoma. J Immunol. 2005(0022-1767 (Print)).
- 42. Schreiber RD, Old LJ, Smyth MJ. Cancer immunoediting: integrating immunity's roles in cancer suppression and promotion. Science. 2011;331(6024):1565-70.
- Verdegaal EM, de Miranda NF, Visser M, Harryvan T, van Buuren MM, Andersen RS, et al. Neoantigen landscape dynamics during human melanoma-T cell interactions. Nature. 2016;536(7614):91-5.
- 44. Rosenthal R, Cadieux EL, Salgado R, Bakir MA, Moore DA, Hiley CT, et al. Neoantigen-directed immune escape in lung cancer evolution. Nature. 2019;567(7749):479-85.
- Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Grob JJ, Cowey CL, Lao CD, et al. Combined Nivolumab and Ipilimumab or Monotherapy in Untreated Melanoma. The New England journal of medicine. 2015;373(1):23-34.
- Le DT, Durham JN, Smith KN, Wang H, Bartlett BR, Aulakh LK, et al. Mismatch repair deficiency predicts response of solid tumors to PD-1 blockade. Science (New York, NY). 2017;357(6349):409-13.
- Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, Weber RW, Sosman JA, Haanen JB, et al. Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. The New England journal of medicine. 2010;363(8):711-23.
- Verdegaal EM, Visser M, Ramwadhdoebe TH, van der Minne CE, van Steijn JA, Kapiteijn E, et al. Successful treatment of metastatic melanoma by adoptive transfer of blood-derived polyclonal tumor-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in combination with low-dose interferon-alpha. Cancer immunology, immunotherapy : CII. 2011;60(7):953-63.
- Rosenberg SA, Yang JC, Sherry RM, Kammula US, Hughes MS, Phan GQ, et al. Durable complete responses in heavily pretreated patients with metastatic melanoma using T-cell transfer immunotherapy. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research. 2011;17(13):4550-7.
- Rohaan MW, Borch TH, van den Berg JH, Met Ö, Kessels R, Geukes Foppen MH, et al. Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocyte Therapy or Ipilimumab in Advanced Melanoma. The New England journal of medicine. 2022;387(23):2113-25.
- Verdegaal E, van der Kooij MK, Visser M, van der Minne C, de Bruin L, Meij P, et al. Low-dose interferon-alpha preconditioning and adoptive cell therapy in patients with metastatic melanoma refractory to standard (immune) therapies: a phase I/II study. J Immunother Cancer. 2020;8(1).
- 52. Sadelain M, Rivière I, Riddell S. Therapeutic T cell engineering. Nature. 2017;545(7655):423-31.

- Park JH, Riviere I, Gonen M, Wang X, Senechal B, Curran KJ, et al. Long-Term Follow-up of CD19 CAR Therapy in Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. The New England journal of medicine. 2018;378(5):449-59.
- 54. Saxena M, van der Burg SH, Melief CJM, Bhardwaj N. Therapeutic cancer vaccines. Nature Reviews Cancer. 2021;21(6):360-78.
- Carreno BM, Magrini V, Becker-Hapak M, Kaabinejadian S, Hundal J, Petti AA, et al. Cancer immunotherapy. A dendritic cell vaccine increases the breadth and diversity of melanoma neoantigen-specific T cells. Science (New York, NY). 2015;348(6236):803-8.
- Ott PA, Hu Z, Keskin DB, Shukla SA, Sun J, Bozym DJ, et al. An immunogenic personal neoantigen vaccine for patients with melanoma. Nature. 2017;547(7662):217-21.
- 57. Hu Z, Leet DE, Allesøe RL, Oliveira G, Li S, Luoma AM, et al. Personal neoantigen vaccines induce persistent memory T cell responses and epitope spreading in patients with melanoma. Nature Medicine. 2021.