



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## Decompositions in algebra

Gent, D.M.H. van

### Citation

Gent, D. M. H. van. (2024, March 5). *Decompositions in algebra*.

Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3720065>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3720065>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

CHAPTER 4

Graded rings

## 4.1 Introduction

This chapter contains parts of [18] and [35], the authors of which include H.W. Lenstra and A. Silverberg.

Let  $R$  be a ring. A *grading* of  $R$  is a decomposition  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of  $R$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module such that  $\Gamma$  is an abelian group and for all  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$  we have  $R_\gamma \cdot R_\delta \subseteq R_{\gamma+\delta}$ . We will refer to  $\Gamma$  as the group of  $\mathcal{R}$ . We equip the collection of gradings of  $R$  with a category structure as we do for module decompositions (see Preliminaries), where the morphisms  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \rightarrow \{S_\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$  are group homomorphisms  $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$  so that  $S_\delta = \sum_{\gamma \in f^{-1}\delta} R_\gamma$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta$ .

By a theorem of Lenstra and Silverberg, every reduced order has a *universal* grading [34], see Definition 4.2.1. It proceeds by showing every reduced order has a lattice structure and thus a universal orthogonal decomposition (Theorem 2.5.3), and that every grading is in fact an orthogonal decomposition of this lattice. We will generalize their results to subrings of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Theorem 4.3.5.** *Every subring of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  has a universal grading with a countable abelian torsion group, and every countable abelian torsion group occurs.*

Theorem 4.3.5 neither implies the results of Lenstra and Silverberg nor vice versa. In Example 4.7.7 we exhibit an obstruction to a common generalization.

For integrally closed subrings of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  we determine precisely which groups occur as the group of their universal grading. For  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  it turns out to be the trivial group.

**Theorem 4.4.3.** *The universal orthogonal decomposition and the universal grading of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  are both trivial.*

**Theorem 4.5.3.** *Every integrally closed subring of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  has a universal grading with a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ , and every subgroup occurs.*

In [17] we give an algebraic proof of the existence of a universal grading that applies to a broader class of rings than that of reduced orders. The following theorem is a similar generalization to Theorem 1.5 in [34]. We say an element  $x \in R$  is *homogeneous* in a grading  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of  $R$  if there exists a unique  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $x \in R_\gamma$ .

**Theorem 4.6.6.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with a grading  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  where  $\Gamma$  is a torsion group. Suppose for every prime  $p$  such that  $\Gamma$  has an element of order  $p$ , in the ring  $R$  both  $p$  and  $1+px$  are regular for all  $x \in R$ . Then:*

1. *The ideal  $\text{nil}(R)$  is homogeneous, i.e.  $\text{nil}(R) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\text{nil}(R) \cap R_\gamma)$ ;*

2. The idempotents of  $R$  are in  $R_1$ ;
3. If  $R$  is connected, then the elements of  $\mu(R)$  are homogeneous.

In [17] we give an algorithm to compute the universal grading of a reduced order. We will show that in a special case we can do this computation in polynomial time. We write  $\alpha(R)$  for the set of  $x \in R$  for which there exists some  $n \geq 1$  such that  $x^{n+1} = x$ . This set includes the idempotents and roots of unity of  $R$ .

**Theorem 4.7.13.** *There exists a polynomial-time algorithm that, given an order  $R$ , decides whether  $\alpha(R)$  generates  $R$  as a group and if so computes the universal grading of  $R$ .*

## 4.2 Definitions and basic properties

In this section  $k$  will be a commutative ring.

**Definition 4.2.1.** Let  $R$  be a  $k$ -algebra. A *grading* of  $R$  is a decomposition  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of  $R$  as a  $k$ -module such that  $\Gamma$  is an abelian group and for all  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$  we have  $R_\gamma \cdot R_\delta \subseteq R_{\gamma\delta}$ . For gradings  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  and  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$  of  $R$ , a morphism  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  of gradings is a morphism of decompositions for which the underlying map  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$  is a group homomorphism. A grading  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $R$  is *universal* if for every grading  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $R$  there exists a unique morphism  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . We say an element  $x \in R$  is *homogeneous* in a grading  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of  $R$  if there exists a unique  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $x \in R_\gamma$ .

**Lemma 4.2.2** (Lemma 2.1.1 in [34]). *If  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a grading of a  $k$ -algebra, then  $1 \in R_1$ .*  $\square$

**Example 4.2.3.** Let  $R$  be a  $k$ -algebra. Then  $R$  has a *trivial grading*  $\{R\}$  with the trivial group. We may naturally grade  $R[X]$  with  $\{R_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $R_n = RX^n$  for  $n \geq 0$  and  $R_n = 0$  otherwise. The ring  $\text{Mat}_2(R)$  of  $2 \times 2$ -matrices with coefficients in  $R$  admits a grading with the summands  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} : a, d \in R \right\}$  and  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} : b, c \in R \right\}$ . Similarly  $\mathbb{Q}^2$  can be graded with a group of order 2 and summands  $\mathbb{Q} \cdot (1, 1)$  and  $\mathbb{Q} \cdot (1, -1)$ .

**Lemma 4.2.4.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a grading of a  $k$ -algebra  $R$  and let  $\Gamma' = \langle \gamma \in \Gamma \mid R_\gamma \neq 0 \rangle$ . Then:*

1. We have that  $\mathcal{R}' = \{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma'}$  is a grading of  $R$ .
2. The inclusion  $i: \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$  is a morphism  $\mathcal{R}' \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  of gradings.
3. If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a grading of  $R$  and there exists a morphism  $f: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , then there exists a unique morphism  $f': \mathcal{R}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . It equals  $f \circ i$ .
4. If there exists a morphism from  $\mathcal{R}'$  to a universal grading, then  $\mathcal{R}'$  is universal.

5. If  $\mathcal{R}$  is universal, then  $\Gamma = \Gamma'$ .

*Proof.* Both 1 and 2 are trivial. For 3, clearly  $f \circ i$  is such a morphism. For uniqueness, it follows from the definitions that  $f'$  must equal  $f$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $R_\gamma \neq 0$ , and such  $\gamma$  generate  $\Gamma'$ . For 4, we have a map from  $\mathcal{R}'$  to any other grading by passing through the universal grading, and such a map is unique by 3. For 5, if  $\mathcal{R}$  is universal, then so is  $\mathcal{R}'$  by 2 and 4, and then  $i$  is a bijection because universal objects are uniquely unique.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.5.** *Let  $S$  and  $T$  be  $k$ -algebras and let  $\pi: S \times T \rightarrow S$  be the natural projection.*

1. *Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be a grading of  $S \times T$  such that  $(1, 0)$  is homogeneous. Then  $\pi\mathcal{R} := \{\pi(R_\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a grading of  $S$ .*
2. *If  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \{T_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in E}$  are gradings of  $S$  and  $T$  respectively, then  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T} := \{R_{(\delta, \varepsilon)}\}_{(\delta, \varepsilon) \in \Delta \times E}$  with*

$$R_{(\delta, \varepsilon)} = \begin{cases} S_1 \times T_1 & \text{if } \delta = \varepsilon = 1 \\ S_\delta \times 0 & \text{if } \delta \neq 1 \text{ and } \varepsilon = 1 \\ 0 \times T_\varepsilon & \text{if } \delta = 1 \text{ and } \varepsilon \neq 1 \\ 0 \times 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

*is a grading of  $S \times T$ .*

Note that by Theorem 1.5.ii in [34] the condition that  $(1, 0)$  be homogeneous is automatically satisfied when  $S$  and  $T$  are orders. We will show in Theorem 4.6.6 that this is even true for a broader class of rings.

*Proof.* One easily verifies that if  $\pi\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$  are decompositions, then they are also gradings. It is clear that  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$  is a decomposition, so this remains to be shown for  $\pi\mathcal{R}$ .

Note that  $S = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \pi(R_\gamma)$ . We identify  $S$  with  $S \times 0 \subseteq R$ , so that  $\pi(R_\gamma) = (1, 0) \cdot R_\gamma$ . As  $(1, 0) \in R_1$ , we find  $\pi(R_\gamma) \subseteq R_\gamma$ . Hence the sum of the  $\pi(R_\gamma)$  is a direct sum, and thus  $\pi\mathcal{R}$  is a decomposition.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.6.** *Let  $S$  and  $T$  be  $k$ -algebras, write  $R = S \times T$  and let  $\pi: R \rightarrow S$  be the natural projection. Suppose that  $(1, 0)$  is homogeneous in every grading of  $R$ . Then:*

1. *If  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a universal grading of  $S \times T$ , then  $\{\pi(R_\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma'}$  with  $\Gamma' = \langle \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \pi(R_\gamma) \neq 0 \rangle$  is a universal grading of  $S$ .*
2. *If  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are universal gradings of  $S$  and  $T$  respectively, then with the notation as in Lemma 4.2.5 the grading  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$  is universal.*

*Proof.* 1. From Lemma 4.2.5.1 and Lemma 4.2.4.1 we conclude that  $\mathcal{R}_S = \{\pi(R_\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma'}$  is a grading of  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$  be a grading of  $S$  and let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the trivial grading of  $T$ . Then  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$  is a grading of  $R$ , so by universality there exists a morphism  $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \times 1$  that maps  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$ . It is easy to see the induced map  $f': \Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta$  sends  $\mathcal{R}_S$  to  $\mathcal{S}$ . By Lemma 4.2.4.3 this map is unique, so  $\mathcal{R}_S$  is universal.

2. Suppose  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a grading of  $R$  and let  $\Delta$  and  $E$  be the groups of  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  respectively. Again  $\pi\mathcal{R}$  is a grading of  $S$  by Lemma 4.2.5.1 and analogously  $(1 - \pi)\mathcal{R}$  is a grading of  $T$ . Universality gives morphisms  $f: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$  and  $g: E \rightarrow \Gamma$  that respectively map  $\mathcal{S}$  to  $\pi\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  to  $(1 - \pi)\mathcal{R}$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}' = \{R'_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be the image of  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$  under the induced map  $\Delta \times E \rightarrow \Gamma$ . One easily verifies that  $\pi\mathcal{R} = \pi\mathcal{R}'$ . From Lemma 4.2.2 we obtain that  $(0, 1)$  is also homogeneous, so analogously  $(1 - \pi)\mathcal{R} = (1 - \pi)\mathcal{R}'$ . Then  $R_\gamma = \pi(R_\gamma) + (1 - \pi)(R_\gamma) = \pi(R'_\gamma) + (1 - \pi)(R'_\gamma) = R'_\gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Hence  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}'$  and indeed there exists a map  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ . That it is unique follows from Lemma 4.2.4.3 and Lemma 4.2.4.5 together with the observation that  $\Delta \times E$  is generated by the coordinates where  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$  is non-zero.  $\square$

**Example 4.2.7.** The conclusion to Proposition 4.2.6 becomes false when we drop the assumption that  $(1, 0)$  be homogeneous in  $R$ .

As in Example 4.2.3 the decomposition  $\{\mathbb{Q} \cdot (1, 1), \mathbb{Q} \cdot (1, -1)\}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}^2$  gives a grading  $\mathcal{R}$  with a group of order 2. However, the projection of  $\mathcal{R}$  to the first factor of  $\mathbb{Q}^2$  is not a decomposition, let alone a grading, of  $S$ . Hence 1 becomes false. For 2, note that the trivial decompositions of  $\mathbb{Q}$  are universal, while the product of two such trivial decompositions does not give a universal grading of  $\mathbb{Q}^2$ . Namely, the product of trivial decompositions is trivial, while a non-trivial grading  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}^2$  exists.

**Lemma 4.2.8.** *Suppose  $R$  is an commutative  $k$ -algebra that is a domain and integral over the image of  $k$  in  $R$ . If  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a grading of  $R$ , then  $\Gamma' = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid R_\gamma \neq 0\}$  is a torsion subgroup of  $\Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Since 0 is the only zero-divisor in  $R$ , we have for  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma'$  that  $0 \subsetneq R_\gamma R_\delta \subseteq R_{\gamma\delta}$ , so  $\gamma\delta \in \Gamma'$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma'$  and  $x \in R_\gamma$  non-zero we have  $x^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and  $a_i \in k$ , so  $0 \neq x^n \in R_{\gamma^n} \cap \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} R_{\gamma^i}$ . Hence  $\gamma^n = \gamma^i$  for some  $0 \leq i < n$ , so the order of  $\gamma$  is finite and  $\Gamma'$  is a torsion group.  $\square$

## 4.3 Universal gradings

In this section we generalize the result of Lenstra and Silverberg [34] that reduced orders have universal gradings to subrings of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Recall that  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a

Hilbert lattice; see Theorem 3.2.10.

**Lemma 4.3.1.** *Suppose  $R \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a subring and  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a grading of  $R$ . Then for all  $\delta, \varepsilon \in \Gamma$  distinct we have  $\langle R_\delta, R_\varepsilon \rangle = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in R_\delta$  and  $y \in R_\varepsilon$ . With  $S_\gamma = R_\gamma \cap \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  we have an order  $S = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} S_\gamma$  with grading  $\{S_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ . Note that our inner product on  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  restricted to  $S$  differs from the inner product defined on  $S$  in [34] by a factor equal to the rank of  $S$ . Then by Proposition 5.8 in [34] we have that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \langle S_\delta, S_\varepsilon \rangle = 0$ . Hence  $\langle R_\delta, R_\varepsilon \rangle = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.2.** *Every subring of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  has a universal grading.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a subring of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ , which is also a sublattice of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a universal decomposition of the lattice  $R$ , which exists by Theorem 2.5.3. We obtain this decomposition by starting with the graph  $G$  on the vertex set  $\text{indec}(R)$  with an edge between  $x, y \in \text{indec}(R)$  if and only if  $\langle x, y \rangle \neq 0$ , then taking  $I$  to be the set of connected components of  $G$  and  $U_i$  the group generated by  $i \in I$ . For  $u = \sum_i u_i \in R$  with  $u_i \in U_i$  write  $\text{supp}(u) = \{i \in I \mid u_i \neq 0\}$ . Now consider the free abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}^{(I)}$  and let  $\Gamma$  be the group obtained from it by dividing out

$$N = \langle i + j - k \mid i, j \in I, k \in \text{supp}(U_i \cdot U_j) \rangle.$$

We have an induced map  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{(I)} \rightarrow \Gamma$  which induces a decomposition  $f(\mathcal{U}) = \{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of  $R$ , which is also a grading. We claim that it is universal.

Let  $\{S_\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$  be a grading of  $R$ . Then by Lemma 4.3.1 this is also an orthogonal decomposition of the lattice  $R$ . By universality there exists a map  $\alpha: I \rightarrow \Delta$  such that  $\alpha(\mathcal{U}) = \{S_\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$ . This map factor through the group homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}^{(I)} \rightarrow \Delta$ , and we see that  $N$  is in the kernel. The induced map  $a: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$  sends  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  to  $\{S_\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$ . Such a map is necessarily unique: For all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have  $0 \neq R_\gamma \subseteq S_{a(\gamma)}$ , so  $b(\gamma) = a(\gamma)$  for any morphism  $b: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$  of decompositions.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.3.** *Suppose  $R \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a subring and  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a grading of  $R$ . If the universal grading of  $R_1$  is trivial and  $R_\gamma \neq 0$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is universal.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\{S_\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$  is a universal grading of  $R$ , which exists by Proposition 4.3.2, and let  $f: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$  be the map given by universality. Then  $R_1 = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \ker(f)} S_\delta$ , which is a grading of  $R_1$ . Since the universal grading of  $R_1$  is trivial, it follows that  $R_1 = S_1$ . By Lemma 4.2.8 we have  $S_\delta \neq 0$  for all  $\delta \in \ker(f)$ , so it follows that  $\ker(f) = 1$  and that  $f$  is injective. From

the fact that  $R_\gamma \neq 0$  for all  $\gamma \in G$  it follows that  $f$  must be surjective. Thus  $f$  is an isomorphism of gradings and  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is universal.  $\square$

**Example 4.3.4.** Every countable abelian torsion group occurs as the group of a universal grading of a subring of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Note that such a group is a subgroup of  $\Omega = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}} (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is some countably infinite set. We choose  $\mathcal{P}$  to be the set of positive prime numbers. Fixing some embedding  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  we have a well-defined  $x$ -th power of  $p$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $[\cdot] : \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow [0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$  be the (bijective) map that assigns to each class its smallest non-negative representative. It is then easy to verify that  $R = \mathbb{Z}[p^x \mid p \in \mathcal{P}, x \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}] \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  has a grading  $\{R_{(x_p)_p}\}_{(x_p)_p \in \Omega}$  with

$$R_{(x_p)_p} = \left( \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{[x_p]} \right) \cdot \mathbb{Z}.$$

In turn any subgroup  $\Gamma \subseteq \Omega$  gives a grading  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of the subring  $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_\gamma \subseteq R$ . This grading is universal by Lemma 4.3.3, as  $R_1 = \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 4.3.5.** *Every subring of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  has a universal grading with a countable abelian torsion group, and every countable abelian torsion group occurs.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.3.2 a universal grading  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  exists. By Lemma 4.2.4.5 and Lemma 4.2.8 the group  $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : R_\gamma \neq 0\}$  is a torsion group, which is countable by countability of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ . In Example 4.3.4 we show all such groups occur.  $\square$

## 4.4 Decompositions of the lattice of algebraic integers

In this section we will show that  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  is indecomposable as a Hilbert lattice. The following lemma is a standard result from linear algebra.

**Lemma 4.4.1.** *Let  $V$  be a vector space over an infinite field and let  $S$  be a finite set of subspaces of  $V$ . If  $\bigcup_{U \in S} U = V$ , then  $V \in S$ .  $\square$*

**Proposition 4.4.2.** *Let  $S \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  with  $S$  finite and  $0 \notin S$ . Then there exist  $\alpha \in \text{indec}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}})$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \neq 0$  for all  $\beta \in S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be the field generated by  $S$  and fix  $1 < r < \sqrt{2}$ .

We will construct an element  $u \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $0 \notin \langle u, S \rangle$  and  $|\sigma(u)| > r$  for all  $\sigma \in X(K)$ . For  $x \in K$  write  $x^\perp = \{y \in K \mid \langle x, y \rangle = 0\}$ , which is a proper  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector subspace of  $K$  when  $x \neq 0$  because  $x \notin x^\perp$ . Hence  $\bigcup_{x \in S} x^\perp \neq K$  by Lemma 4.4.1, so there exists some non-zero  $u \in K$  such



that  $0 \notin \langle u, S \rangle$ . By scaling  $u$  by some non-zero integer we may assume  $u \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  as well. By further scaling  $u$  with integers we may assume  $|\sigma(u)| > r$  for all  $\sigma \in X(K)$ , as was to be shown.

As  $|\sigma(u)| > r$  for all  $\sigma \in X(K)$  we have  $N(u) > r > 1$ , where  $N$  is as in Definition 3.2.3, so  $u$  is not a unit. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  be a prime containing  $u$  and let  $v \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}^2$ . Let  $f_n = X^n - uX^{n-1} - v \in \mathcal{O}_K[X]$  for  $n \geq 2$  and note that it is Eisenstein at  $\mathfrak{p}$  and therefore irreducible. Let  $\alpha_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a root of  $f_n$ . It suffices to show that for  $n$  sufficiently large  $\alpha_n$  is indecomposable and satisfies  $0 \notin \langle \alpha_n, S \rangle$ . By Lemma 3.3.4 and by construction of  $u$  it holds for any  $n \geq 2$  that

$$\langle \alpha_n, S \rangle = \frac{\langle \text{Tr}_{K(\alpha_n)/K}(\alpha_n), S \rangle}{[K(\alpha_n) : K]} = \frac{\langle u, S \rangle}{[K(\alpha_n) : K]} \neq 0,$$

so it remains to be shown that  $\alpha_n$  is indecomposable for  $n$  sufficiently large.

Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be the closed disk of radius  $r$  around 0. Let  $n$  be sufficiently large such that we have  $|\sigma(v)| \cdot r^{1-n} < |\sigma(u)| - r$  for all  $\sigma \in X(K)$ . Fix  $\sigma \in X(K)$ . For all  $x$  on the boundary of  $D$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x^n - \sigma(v)| &\leq r^n + |\sigma(v)| = r^{n-1}(r + |\sigma(v)| \cdot r^{1-n}) \\ &< |\sigma(u)| \cdot r^{n-1} = |\sigma(u)| \cdot x^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Rouché's Theorem (Theorem 4.18 in [1]) the analytic functions  $\sigma(u)X^{n-1}$  and  $\sigma(f_n) = (X^n - \sigma(v)) - \sigma(u)X^{n-1}$  have the same number of zeros in  $D$ , counting multiplicities, which for  $\sigma(u)X^{n-1}$  clearly is  $n-1$ . For the remaining zero  $x_{\sigma,n} \in \mathbb{C}$  of  $\sigma(f_n)$  with  $|x_{\sigma,n}| > r$  we have  $x_{\sigma,n}^{n-1}(x_{\sigma,n} - \sigma(u)) = \sigma(v)$  and thus

$$|x_{\sigma,n} - \sigma(u)| = |\sigma(v)| \cdot |x_{\sigma,n}|^{1-n} < |\sigma(v)| \cdot r^{1-n} \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty),$$

i.e.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{\sigma,n} = \sigma(u)$ . Now summing over all  $\sigma \in X(K)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} q(\alpha_n) &= \frac{1}{n \cdot [K : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{\sigma \in X(K)} \sum_{\rho \in X_\sigma(K(\alpha_n))} |\rho(\alpha_n)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n \cdot [K : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{\sigma \in X(K)} ((n-1)r^2 + |x_{\sigma,n}|^2) \\ &\leq r^2 + \frac{1}{n \cdot [K : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{\sigma \in X(K)} |x_{\sigma,n}|^2 \rightarrow r^2 \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Because  $r^2 < 2$  we have for sufficiently large  $n$  that  $q(\alpha_n) < 2$ . From Proposition 3.3.1 we may then conclude that  $\alpha_n$  is indecomposable, as was to be shown.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.3.** *The universal orthogonal decomposition and the universal grading of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  are both trivial.*

*Proof.* Let  $\beta, \gamma \in \text{indec}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}})$ . Then there exists some  $\alpha \in \text{indec}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}})$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \neq 0 \neq \langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle$  by Proposition 4.4.2. Hence  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  must be in the same connected component of the graph of Theorem 2.5.3. As this holds for all  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  the graph is connected and hence  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  is orthogonally indecomposable. Equivalently, the universal orthogonal decomposition is trivial. It follows then from Lemma 4.3.1 and Lemma 4.2.8 that the universal grading of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  is also trivial.  $\square$

## 4.5 Integrally closed orders

In this section we study the universal gradings of integrally closed subrings of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Example 4.5.1.** We will show that every subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  occurs as the group of a universal grading of an integrally closed subring of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Let  $\mu = \mu(\overline{\mathbb{Z}})$  and  $\mu_p = \mu_p(\overline{\mathbb{Z}})$  be as in the Preliminaries. For a prime number  $p$  write

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{p^\infty} &= \{\zeta \in \mu \mid (\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \zeta^{p^n} = 1\} \quad \text{and} \\ \mu_0 &= \{\zeta \in \mu \mid (\exists n \text{ square-free}) \zeta^n = 1\}.\end{aligned}$$

The map  $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^p$  gives an isomorphism  $\mu_{p^\infty}/\mu_p \rightarrow \mu_{p^\infty}$ . Taking the direct sum over all  $p$  we get an isomorphism  $\mu/\mu_0 \rightarrow \mu$ . Thus it suffices to show that for every  $\mu_0 \subseteq M \subseteq \mu$  the group  $\Gamma = M/\mu_0$  occurs as a universal grading group.

Consider  $R = \mathbb{Z}[M]$ , the smallest subring of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  containing  $M$ , which is integrally closed. Define  $R_{\zeta \cdot \mu_0} = \zeta \cdot \mathbb{Z}[\mu_0]$  for all  $\zeta \cdot \mu_0 \in M/\mu_0$  and note that this gives a grading  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of  $R$ . To prove this is a universal grading it suffices by Lemma 4.3.3 to show that the universal grading of  $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_0]$  is trivial, or in turn, by Lemma 4.3.1, that  $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_0]$  is indecomposable. The elements of  $\mu_0$  are indecomposable in  $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_0]$  because they are so in  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  by Proposition 3.3.1, and they generate  $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_0]$  as an additive group. From Proposition 3.3.5 we may conclude that no pair  $\zeta, \xi \in \mu_0$  is orthogonal, so from Theorem 2.5.3 it follows that  $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_0]$  is indecomposable. Hence the grading is universal.

**Lemma 4.5.2.** *Suppose  $R \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a subring and  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a grading of  $R$ . If  $K = \mathbb{Q}(A)$  for some subset  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_\gamma$ , then  $\{R_\gamma \cap K\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a grading of  $R \cap K$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\{R_\gamma \cap K\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a grading of  $R \cap K$  once we show  $\bigoplus_{\gamma} (R_\gamma \cap K) = R \cap K$ . For this it remains to show that  $R \cap K \subseteq \sum_{\gamma} (R_\gamma \cap K)$ .

Let  $x \in R \cap K$ . As  $x \in R$  we may uniquely write  $x = \sum_{\gamma} x_{\gamma}$  for some  $x_{\gamma} \in R_{\gamma}$ . Without loss of generality  $A$  is closed under multiplication, so that  $A$  generates  $K$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. Then we may write  $x = \sum_{a \in A} r_a a$  for some  $r_a \in \mathbb{Q}$  which are almost all equal to zero. Hence a positive integer multiple  $nx$  of  $x$  satisfies  $\sum_{\gamma} nx_{\gamma} = nx = \sum_{a \in A} nr_a a$  with  $nr_a \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $a$  and thus  $nr_a a \in R_{\gamma_a}$  for some  $\gamma_a \in G$ . It follows from uniqueness of the decomposition that  $nx_{\gamma} = \sum_{a \in A, \gamma_a = \gamma} nr_a a$  and thus  $x_{\gamma} \in K$ . We conclude that  $x_{\gamma} \in R_{\gamma} \cap K$  and thus  $x \in \sum_{\gamma} (R_{\gamma} \cap K)$ , as was to be shown.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.3.** *Every integrally closed subring of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  has a universal grading with a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ , and every subgroup occurs.*

*Proof.* That every subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  occurs follows from Example 4.5.1. Let  $R$  be an integrally closed subring of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  and let  $\{R_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be a universal grading, which exists by Theorem 4.3.5. It suffices to show that every finitely generated subgroup  $\Delta$  of  $\Gamma$  is cyclic.

Let  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$  be finitely generated and thus finite by Lemma 4.2.8. Moreover, by Lemma 4.2.8 we have  $R_{\delta} \neq 0$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta$ , so we may choose some non-zero  $a_{\delta} \in R_{\delta}$ . Let  $A = \{a_{\delta} \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$  and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(A)$ . Then by Lemma 4.5.2 we get a grading  $\{R_{\delta} \cap K\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$  of  $S = R \cap K$ . Since  $K$  is a field and  $R$  is integrally closed, the ring  $S$  is integrally closed. The field of fractions of  $S$  is contained in  $K$  and is thus of finite degree over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Hence we may apply Theorem 1.4 from [34] to conclude that the universal grading of  $S$  has a finite cyclic grading group  $Y$ . By universality we get a morphism of gradings and thus a morphism of groups  $Y \rightarrow \Delta$ . The latter is surjective since  $0 \neq R_{\delta} \cap K \ni a_{\delta}$  for all  $\delta \in \Delta$ . Thus  $\Delta$  is cyclic, as was to be shown.  $\square$

## 4.6 Algebraic methods

In this section we will generalize Theorem 1.5 of [34] on the homogeneity of roots of unity and idempotents in gradings, from orders to a broader class of rings. For a commutative ring  $R$  and an element  $p \in R$  we will consider the property that  $1 + px$  is a regular element for all  $x \in R$ . In particular, such a  $p$  is not a unit, and for  $R$  a domain this is in fact equivalent.

**Lemma 4.6.1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $p \in R$  be such that  $1 + px$  is regular for all  $x \in R$ . If  $I \subseteq R$  is a finitely generated ideal such that  $pI = I$ , then  $I = 0$ .*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Nakayama's lemma.  $\square$

We will use the following notation in this section.

**Definition 4.6.2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite abelian group. We define the polynomial ring  $P_\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}[X_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma]$ , which comes with a natural  $\Gamma$ -grading  $\{P_\gamma\}_\gamma$  where  $X_\gamma \in P_\gamma$  for all  $\gamma$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  we define the polynomials  $e_{m,\gamma} \in P_\gamma$  by

$$\left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma \right)^m = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e_{m,\gamma}.$$

Let  $\vec{n} = (n_\gamma)_\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^\Gamma$ . We define the *weight*  $\text{wt}(\vec{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and *degree*  $\text{deg}(\vec{n}) \in \Gamma$  of  $\vec{n}$  to be the degree of  $X^{\vec{n}} = \prod_\gamma X_\gamma^{n_\gamma}$  as monomial and as element of the grading  $\{P_\gamma\}_\gamma$  respectively. With  $m = \text{wt}(\vec{n})$ , we write

$$\binom{m}{\vec{n}} = \frac{m!}{\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (n_\gamma!)} \quad \text{so that} \quad \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma \right)^k = \sum_{\text{wt}(\vec{n})=k} \binom{k}{\vec{n}} X^{\vec{n}}.$$

**Proposition 4.6.3.** Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $q > 1$  be a power of  $p$ . Let  $R$  be a commutative ring such that  $1+px$  is regular for all  $x$ , and let  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be a grading with  $\prod_{n \geq 0} \Gamma^{p^n} = 1$ . Let  $r \in R_1$  and  $x \in R$ . If  $rx^q = x$ , then  $x \in R_1$ .

*Proof.* Write  $x = \sum_\gamma x_\gamma$  with  $x_\gamma \in R_\gamma$  and  $\vec{x} = (x_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ . Suppose first that  $\Gamma$  is a finite group of exponent  $p$ . Note that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} x_\gamma = x = rx^q = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} re_{q,\gamma}(\vec{x})$$

with  $re_{q,\gamma}(\vec{x}) \in R_\gamma$ . From the fact that  $\mathcal{R}$  is a grading we obtain  $x_\gamma = re_{q,\gamma}(\vec{x})$ . From congruences modulo  $p$  it follows that  $p \nmid \binom{q}{\vec{n}}$  if and only if  $n_\varepsilon = q$  for some  $\varepsilon$ , and all such  $\vec{n}$  have trivial degree because  $\varepsilon^q = 1$ . With  $I = \sum_{\gamma \neq 1} x_\gamma R$  we obtain  $x_\gamma \in pI$  for all  $\gamma \neq 1$ , so  $pI = I$ . Thus  $I = 0$  by Lemma 4.6.1 and  $x = x_1 \in R_1$ .

Now consider the general case. By replacing  $\Gamma$  by a subgroup and  $R$  by a subring we may assume that  $\Gamma$  is finitely generated by  $\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid x_\gamma \neq 0\}$ . The quotient map  $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/p\Gamma$  induces a grading  $\pi\mathcal{R} = \{S_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma/p\Gamma}$ . By the special case above we have  $x \in S_1$ , so  $\Gamma = \langle \gamma \mid x_\gamma \neq 0 \rangle \subseteq p\Gamma$ . Hence  $\Gamma \subseteq \bigcap_{k \geq 0} p^k \Gamma = 1$  and  $x = x_1 \in R_1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.4.** Let  $p$  be a prime and consider the ring  $P = P_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ . Then the ideals  $I = \sum_{i \neq j} X_i X_j P$  and  $J = p^2 I + \sum_{i \neq 0} e_{p,i} P$  satisfy  $pe_{p,0} I \subseteq J$ .

*Proof.* Write  $e_i = e_{p,i}$ . Let the affine group  $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$  act naturally on the variables of  $P$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  fixes each  $e_i$ , while  $a \in$

$(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$  maps  $e_i$  to  $e_{ai}$ . In particular, the ideals  $I$  and  $J$  are invariant. Because the action is 2-transitive, it suffices to show that  $pX_0X_1e_0 \in J$ .

Consider now the ring  $P/J$ , on which  $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  also acts. We have  $ie_i \in J$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\equiv (p+1) \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} iX_i e_{-i} = (p+1) \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} iX_i \sum_{\substack{\text{wt}(\vec{m})=p \\ \text{deg}(\vec{m})=-i}} \binom{p}{\vec{m}} X^{\vec{m}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\text{wt}(\vec{n})=p+1 \\ \text{deg}(\vec{n})=0}} \binom{p+1}{\vec{n}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} n_i i \right) X^{\vec{n}} \pmod{J}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality is the definition of  $e_{-i}$  and the second orders the terms by monomial. Then note for each term that  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} n_i i \equiv \text{deg}(\vec{n}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and that  $p \mid \binom{p+1}{\vec{n}}$  unless  $n_i \geq p$  for some  $i$ . Hence most terms are in  $p^2I \subseteq J$ . The remaining  $p$  terms equal

$$0 \equiv \binom{p+1}{p+1} 0X_0^{p+1} + \binom{p+1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} piX_0X_i^p \equiv pX_0 \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} iX_i^p \pmod{J}.$$

We now apply the affine transformations  $a \mapsto a$  and  $a \mapsto 1-a$  to this equality, so that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\equiv X_1 \left( pX_0 \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} iX_i^p \right) + X_0 \left( pX_1 \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (1-i)X_i^p \right) \\ &= pX_0X_1 \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} X_i^p \equiv pX_0X_1e_0 \pmod{J} \end{aligned}$$

by considering  $e_0$  modulo  $p$ , as was to be shown.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.5.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $R$  be a connected commutative ring such that  $p$  is regular in  $R$  and such that  $1+px$  is regular for all  $x \in R$ . Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be a grading with  $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \Gamma^{pn} = 1$ . Let  $x \in R^*$ . If  $x^p$  is homogeneous, then so is  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $x = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} x_\gamma$  with  $x_\gamma \in R_\gamma$  and  $e_i = e_{p,i}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

First suppose  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $k$ . We will apply induction on  $k$ . For  $k=0$  the statement is trivial. Now suppose  $k > 0$  and that the statement holds for groups of order less than  $p^k$ . Consider the natural map  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/p^{k-1}\Gamma$ . We obtain from the induction hypothesis that  $x$  is homogeneous

in  $\varphi\mathcal{R}$ . Thus there exists some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x = \sum_{i \equiv c \pmod{p^{k-1}}} x_i$ . With  $\vec{y} = (x_c, x_{c+p^{k-1}}, \dots, x_{c+(p-1)p^{k-1}})$  we have

$$x^p = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} e_i(\vec{y}),$$

where  $e_i(\vec{y}) \in R_{f(i)}$  with injective  $f: \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Gamma$  given by  $i \mapsto pc + p^k i$ .

Since  $x^p \neq 0$  is homogeneous there exists a unique  $h$  such that  $x^p \in R_{f(h)}$ . If  $h \neq 0$ , then  $p \mid e_h$  and thus  $p \mid x^p$  is a unit. By Lemma 4.6.1 we have  $pR = R = 0$ , which contradicts the connectivity assumption. Thus we may assume  $x^p = e_0(\vec{y})$  and  $e_i(\vec{y}) = 0$  for  $i \neq 0$ .

It follows from Lemma 4.6.4 that  $pI = p^2I$  for  $I = \sum_{i \neq j} x_i x_j R$ . Since  $p$  is regular we get  $I = pI$ , so  $I = 0$  by Lemma 4.6.1. Hence  $x_i x_j = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Let  $z_i = x_i/x$ . Then

$$z_i(1 - z_i) = x^{-2} x_i(x - x_i) = x^{-2} x_i \sum_{j \neq i} x_j = 0.$$

Thus  $z_i$  is idempotent. Since  $R$  is connected we have  $z_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . From  $\sum_i z_i = 1$  it follows that  $z_i = 1$  for some  $i$ . Hence  $x = x_i$  is homogeneous, as was to be shown.

It remains to prove the proposition for arbitrary  $\Gamma$ . As per usual we may assume  $\Gamma$  is finitely generated. Suppose there are distinct  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$  such that  $x_\gamma, x_\delta \neq 0$ . Then by either Pontryagin duality or the fundamental theorem on finitely generated abelian groups one deduces that there exists some subgroup  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma\Delta \neq \delta\Delta$  and such that  $\Gamma/\Delta$  is cyclic of  $p$ -power order. By the specific case above, applied to  $\varphi\mathcal{R}$  for  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/\Delta$ , we have that  $\delta\Delta = \gamma\Delta$ , which is a contradiction. It follows that  $x$  is homogeneous.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.6** (cf. Theorem 1.5 in [34]). *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with a grading  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  where  $\Gamma$  is a torsion group. Suppose for every prime  $p$  such that  $\Gamma$  has an element of order  $p$ , in the ring  $R$  both  $p$  and  $1 + px$  are regular for all  $x \in R$ . Then:*

1. *The ideal  $\text{nil}(R)$  is homogeneous, i.e.  $\text{nil}(R) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\text{nil}(R) \cap R_\gamma)$ ;*
2. *The idempotents of  $R$  are in  $R_1$ ;*
3. *If  $R$  is connected, then the elements of  $\mu(R)$  are homogeneous.*

*Proof.* 1. This statement is equivalent to the following: If  $x = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} x_\gamma \in R$  is nilpotent, then so is  $x_\gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Given  $x \in \text{nil}(R)$ , we may pass to the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid x_\gamma \neq 0\}$ , which is finite. Then by Proposition 4.1.ii in [34] every  $x_\gamma$  is nilpotent.

It suffices for the following statements to prove them when  $\Gamma$  is a  $p$ -group for the relevant primes  $p$ . For general  $\Gamma$  one reduces to this special case by considering the projections to the Sylow subgroups.

2. Let  $e \in R$  be idempotent. Then  $e^p = e$ , hence  $e \in R_1$  by Proposition 4.6.3.

3. Let  $\zeta \in \mu(R)$  be of order  $n$ . If  $(n, p) = 1$ , then there exists some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . Then  $\zeta^{p^k} = \zeta$ , hence  $\zeta \in R_1$  by Proposition 4.6.3. For general  $n$  we write  $n = p^k m$  for  $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  with  $(m, p) = 1$ . Then  $\zeta^{p^k} \in R_1$  by the special case. Inductively  $\zeta^{p^{k-i}}$  is homogeneous for  $0 \leq i \leq k$  by Proposition 4.6.5, so  $\zeta$  is homogeneous.  $\square$

We now present an alternative proof for Proposition 4.6.5 and hence Theorem 4.6.6.2, with weaker assumptions on  $p$ , in the form of Proposition 4.6.11.

**Lemma 4.6.7.** *Let  $B \subseteq C$  be commutative rings and  $G$  a group acting on  $C$  via ring automorphisms that fix  $B$  pointwise and for which the orbits under  $G$  are finite. Let  $p$  be a prime. Suppose  $p$  is not a unit in  $B$  and that*

$$\sqrt[p]{B} := \{x \in C \mid (\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}) x^{p^n} \in B\}$$

*generates  $C$  as a  $B$ -module and contains  $C^G = \{c \in C \mid (\forall g \in G) gc = c\}$ . If  $B$  is connected, then  $C$  is connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $B$  above  $p$ . As  $\sqrt[p]{B}$  generates  $C$  as  $B$ -module the ring extension  $B \subseteq C$  is integral. Hence there exists a prime  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $C$  such that  $\mathfrak{q} \cap B = \mathfrak{p}$  by the going up theorem. Let  $x \in C$  and write  $x = \sum_{s \in S} s$  for some finite  $S \subseteq \sqrt[p]{B}$ . We claim that  $x \in \mathfrak{q}$  if and only if there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\sum_{s \in S} s^{p^n} \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Namely, we have

$$\sum_{s \in S} s \in \mathfrak{q} \Leftrightarrow \left( \sum_{s \in S} s \right)^{p^n} \in \mathfrak{q} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{s \in S} s^{p^n} \in \mathfrak{q} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{s \in S} s^{p^n} \in \mathfrak{p},$$

where for the forward implications we take  $n$  sufficiently large such that  $s^{p^n} \in B$  for all  $s \in S$ . We conclude that membership to  $\mathfrak{q}$  only depends on  $\mathfrak{p}$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{q}$  is unique.

Let  $O$  be an orbit of non-zero idempotents of  $C$  under  $G$ , which is finite by assumption on  $G$ . Let  $M = \{\prod_{s \in S} s \mid S \subseteq O\}$  be the monoid that  $O$  generates, which has a partial order given by  $e \leq f$  when  $ef = e$ . Let  $P$  be the set of minimal non-zero elements of  $M$  and let  $X$  be an orbit of  $P$  under  $G$ . Then  $e = \sum_{x \in X} x \in C^G \subseteq \sqrt[p]{B}$  is idempotent, so  $e = e^{p^n} \in B$  for some  $n$ . But  $B$  is connected and  $e \neq 0$ , so  $e = 1$ . Hence  $C \cong \prod_{x \in X} C/(1-x)C$  and  $G$  acts transitively on the factors. In particular, the cardinality of every

orbit of  $\text{spec } C$  under  $G$  is divisible by  $\#X$ . However,  $\{\mathfrak{q}\}$  is an orbit, so  $\#X = 1$ . It follows that  $O = \{1\}$ , so  $C$  is connected.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.8.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $R$  a connected commutative ring for which  $p$  is regular but not a unit. Then*

1. *for all  $\zeta \in \mu_{p^\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}})$ , the ring  $R$  is connected if and only if  $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$  is connected;*
2. *for all gradings  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of  $R$  with  $\Gamma$  a finite abelian  $p$ -group, the ring  $R$  is connected if and only if  $R_1$  is connected.*

*Proof.* 1. Write  $S = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ . As  $R \rightarrow S$  is injective, the backward implication holds trivially. It suffices to verify the conditions to Lemma 4.6.7 applied to  $R \subseteq S$  with  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*$  naturally acting: We have  $S^G = R \subseteq \sqrt[p]{R}$  by Proposition 3.15 in [17], and  $\langle \zeta \rangle \subseteq \sqrt[p]{R}$  generates  $S$  as  $R$ -module.

2. Write  $\#\Gamma = p^k$  and let  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $p^k$ -th root of unity. It suffices by 1 to prove 2 for the grading  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of  $S = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$  with  $S_\gamma = R_\gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ . The forward implication is trivial. We apply Lemma 4.6.7 to  $S_1 \subseteq S$  with  $G = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \langle \zeta \rangle)$ , where  $\chi \in G$  acts on  $S$  by sending  $x \in S_\gamma$  to  $\chi(\gamma) \cdot x$ : We have that  $S^G = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} S_\gamma^G = S_1$ , since for all  $\eta \in \langle \zeta \rangle$  and  $x \in S$  we have  $\eta x = x$  if and only if  $\eta = 1$  or  $x = 0$ , and clearly the  $S_\gamma \subseteq \sqrt[p]{S_1}$  generate  $S$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.9.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $R$  be a connected commutative  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ -algebra with  $\zeta$  a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. Write  $\pi = 1 - \zeta$ . Then  $f = \pi^{-p}((1 + \pi X)^p - 1) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta][X]$  has at exactly  $p$  distinct roots in  $R$ , namely the images of  $(\zeta^i - 1)/\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$  in  $R$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $p = u\pi^{p-1}$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]^*$ . Hence  $(1 + \pi X)^p - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^p}$ , so indeed  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta][X]$ . Moreover,  $f$  is monic. We compute  $f' = u(1 + \pi X)^{p-1}$ . Then  $u^{-1}(1 + \pi X)f' - \pi^p f = 1$ , so  $fR[X] + f'R[X] = R[X]$ . Then by Theorem 1.5 in [32] we have that  $f$  has at most  $p$  roots in  $R$ . Each  $r_i = (\zeta^i - 1)/\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$  for  $0 \leq i < p$  is a roots of  $f$ . For  $0 \leq i < j < p$  we have  $r_j - r_i = \zeta^i(1 - \zeta^{j-i})/\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]^*$ . The image of  $r_j - r_i$  in  $R$  is also a unit, and since  $R \neq 0$  the images of  $r_0, \dots, r_{p-1}$  are all distinct, as was to be shown.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.10.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $R$  be a connected commutative  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ -algebra with  $\zeta$  a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. Suppose  $p$  is regular in  $R$  and let  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_\xi\}_{\xi \in \langle \zeta \rangle}$  be a grading of  $R$ . Let  $x \in R^*$ . If  $x^p \in R_1$ , then  $x$  is homogeneous.*

*Proof.* Write  $x = \sum_{\xi \in \langle \zeta \rangle} x_\xi$  with  $x_\xi \in R_\xi$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ -algebra homomorphism of  $R$  that maps  $y \in R_\xi$  to  $\xi y \in R_\xi$ . Since  $x^p \in R_1$ , the element



$\eta = \sigma(x)/x \in R$  satisfies  $\eta^p = \sigma(x^p)/x^p = 1$ . Write  $\pi = 1 - \zeta$ . Then  $\sigma(x) \equiv x \pmod{\pi R}$ , so  $\eta = 1 + \pi y$  for some  $y \in R$ . As  $\pi$ , because it divides  $p$ , is regular we obtain  $(\eta - 1)/\pi = y = (\zeta^i - 1)/\pi$  for some  $i$  by Lemma 4.6.9, and  $\eta = \zeta^i \in R_1^*$ . From  $\sigma(x) = \eta x$  it follows that  $\xi x_\xi = \eta x_\xi$  for all  $\xi \in \langle \zeta \rangle$ . Unless  $\xi = \eta$ , we have that  $\xi - \eta$  is regular as it divides  $p$ , and thus  $x_\xi = 0$ . Hence  $x = x_\eta$  is homogeneous.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.11.** *Let  $p$  be a prime, let  $R$  be a connected commutative ring such that  $p \in R$  is regular but not a unit. Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be a grading of  $R$  with  $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \Gamma^{pn} = 1$ . Let  $x \in R^*$ . If  $x^p$  is homogeneous, then  $x$  is homogeneous.*

*Proof.* As in Proposition 4.6.5 we may assume that  $\Gamma$  is a finite  $p$ -group. We apply induction on  $\#\Gamma$ . If  $\#\Gamma = 1$ , then clearly all elements are homogeneous. Suppose  $\#\Gamma > 1$ . Then we may choose a subgroup  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$  of order  $p$ . By induction  $x$  is homogeneous in  $\varphi\mathcal{R}$  for the natural map  $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/\Delta$ , so  $x = \sum_{\gamma \in \varepsilon\Delta} x_\gamma$  for some  $\varepsilon \in \Gamma$  and  $x_\gamma \in R_\gamma$ . Then  $x^p = y + pz$  where  $y = \sum_{\gamma \in \varepsilon\Delta} x_\gamma^p \in R_{\varepsilon^p}$  and  $z \in R$ . As  $p$  is not a unit,  $x^p$  can only be a homogeneous unit if  $x^p \in R_{\varepsilon^p}$ . Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity and consider the ring  $A = R[\zeta][\Gamma]$  with grading  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  where  $A_\gamma = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Gamma} \beta R_{\beta^{-1}\gamma}[\zeta]$ . By Proposition 4.6.8 the ring  $A$  is connected. Since  $A$  is a free  $R$ -module, we conclude that  $p$  is regular but not a unit in  $A$ . Note that  $R_\gamma = A_\gamma \cap R$  and that  $x$  is homogeneous in  $\mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $w = \varepsilon^{-1}x$  is homogeneous in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $w^p \in A_1$  and  $\langle \gamma \in \Gamma \mid w_\gamma \neq 0 \rangle \subseteq \Delta \cong \langle \zeta \rangle$ , we may apply Lemma 4.6.10 to  $w$  in the grading  $\{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Delta}$  to conclude that  $w$  is homogeneous, as was to be shown.  $\square$

**Example 4.6.12.** Proposition 4.6.11 is an improvement to Proposition 4.6.5, with the difference being the relaxation of the assumption that  $1 + px$  be regular for all  $x \in R$  to simply  $p$  not being a unit. We will show that a similar relaxation is not possible for Proposition 4.6.3.

Let  $\ell$  and  $p$  be primes with  $\ell \mid p - 1$ . Consider  $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^\ell, \ell X)$  with grading  $\{\mathbb{Z} \cdot X^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ . Note that  $p$  is regular but not a unit in  $R$ , and that  $R$  is even connected. The element  $x = 1 + X$  is an  $\ell$ -th root of unity, so  $x^p = x$ . However,  $x \notin R_1$ , as was to be shown.

The following proposition can be used, together with other results from this section, to show that results from Chapter 5 can be similarly generalized from orders to rings with properties studied here.

**Lemma 4.6.13.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $p \in R$ . If  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} p^n R = 0$ , then  $1 + px$  is regular for all  $x \in R$ . If  $R$  is Noetherian, then the converse holds.*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 10.17 in [2].  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.14.** *Let  $p$  be a prime, let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $I \subseteq \text{nil}(R)$  be an ideal. Then:*

1.  $1 + I$  is a subgroup of  $R^*$ ;
2. if  $I \subseteq R[p^\infty]$ , then  $1 + I \subseteq R^*[p^\infty]$ ;
3. if  $I[p^\infty] = 0$ , then  $(1 + I)[p^\infty] = 1$ .

*Proof.* 1. For  $1 - x \in 1 + I$  we have  $x^m = 0$  for some  $m > 0$ , hence  $(1 - x)(1 + x + \cdots + x^{m-1}) = 1 - x^m = 1$  and  $1 - x \in R^*$ .

2. One shows inductively that  $(1 + x)^{p^k} \in 1 + xJ^k$  for each  $x \in R$  and  $J = pR + xR$ . Given  $x \in I$  we may take  $k$  sufficiently large so that  $xJ^k = 0$  to conclude that  $1 + x \in R^*[p^k]$ .

3. We may replace  $R$  by  $R[1/p]$ , since  $I[p^\infty] = 0$  implies the restriction of  $R \rightarrow R[1/p]$  to  $1 + I$  is injective. Thus we replace the assumption that  $I[p^\infty] = 0$  by  $p \in R^*$ . We may also assume without loss of generality that  $I$  is finitely generated. Hence there exists some  $m$  such that  $I^{2^m} = 0$ . We will prove the lemma with induction on  $m$ . For  $m = 0$  the statement becomes trivial.

Suppose  $I^{2^{m+1}} = 0$  and consider the ideal  $K = I^{2^m}$ . The image  $J$  of  $I$  in  $R/K$  satisfies  $J^{2^m} = 0$  and thus  $(1 + J)[p^\infty] = 1$  by the induction hypothesis. It remains to show that  $(1 + K)[p^\infty] = 1$ . Note that  $K^2 = 0$ , so we have a group isomorphism  $1 + K \rightarrow K$  given by  $1 + x \mapsto x$ . Hence  $(1 + K)[p^\infty] \cong K[p^\infty] = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.15.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $R$  a Noetherian commutative ring such that  $1 + px$  is regular for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $\text{nil}(R)[p^\infty]$  is finite if and only if  $\mu_{p^\infty}(R)$  is finite.*

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) This follows from Lemma 4.6.14.2.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) First suppose  $R$  is a domain. For  $k \geq 0$  write

$$I_k = \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{p^k}(R)} (1 - \zeta)R.$$

As  $R$  is Noetherian, the chain  $I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq \cdots$  stabilizes at index say  $n$ . Because  $R$  is a domain we may choose a generator  $\xi$  for  $\mu_{p^{n+1}}(R)$ , and let us suppose that it is primitive. As  $1 - \xi^a = (\sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \xi^i)(1 - \xi)$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , we conclude that  $(1 - \xi)R = I_{n+1} = I_n = (1 - \xi^p)R$ . Since  $(1 - \xi)R \neq 0$  we obtain  $\pi = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \xi^i \in R^*$ . But  $\pi^{p^n} \equiv \Phi_p(\xi^{p^n}) = 0 \pmod{p}$ . Hence  $p \mid \pi^{p^n}$  is a unit, which contradicts  $1 - pp^{-1}$  being regular. We conclude that  $\mu_{p^\infty}(R) = \mu_{p^n}(R)$  is finite.

Consider the case where  $R$  is reduced. We have an injective map

$$R \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \text{ min. prime}} R/\mathfrak{p}.$$

Note that  $1 + px \notin \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $x \in R$  and minimal primes  $\mathfrak{p}$ , as each  $\mathfrak{p}$  consists of only zero divisors (Theorem 3.1 in [12]). As  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is a domain, it follows that  $1 + py$  is regular for all  $y \in R/\mathfrak{p}$ . From the previous case we obtain that  $\mu_{p^\infty}(R/\mathfrak{p})$  is finite for all  $\mathfrak{p}$ . As  $R$  is Noetherian, it has only finitely many minimal prime ideals (Theorem 7.13 in [2]), and thus  $\mu_{p^\infty}(R)$  is finite.

Consider the case where  $p$  acts regularly on  $\text{nil}(R)$ . Consider the map  $R \rightarrow R/\text{nil}(R)$ . The induced map  $\mu_{p^\infty}(R) \rightarrow \mu_{p^\infty}(R/\text{nil}(R))$  is injective, because its kernel  $(1 + \text{nil}(R))[p^\infty]$  is trivial by Lemma 4.6.14.3. It suffices that  $\mu_{p^\infty}(R/\text{nil}(R))$  is finite, which is the reduced case.

Consider the general case where  $T = \text{nil}(R)[p^\infty]$  is finite. As before we consider the quotient map  $R \rightarrow R/T$ . We have that  $(1 + T)[p^\infty]$  is finite as  $T$  is finite, while  $R/T$  satisfies the conditions to the previous case. Hence  $\mu_{p^\infty}(R)$  is finite.  $\square$

**Example 4.6.16.** It is still possible for a reduced Noetherian commutative ring  $R$  to have infinitely many roots of unity when  $1 + px$  is regular for all primes  $p$  and  $x \in R$ .

Consider  $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_0]$  as in Example 4.5.1 and let  $R$  be a localization of  $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_0]$  such that for each prime  $p$  there is precisely one prime  $\mathfrak{p}_p \subset R$  above  $p$ . Clearly  $R$  has infinitely many roots of unity. Since each prime  $p$  is non-invertible and  $R$  is a domain, the element  $1 + px$  is regular for all  $x \in R$ . For a prime  $p$  and primitive  $\zeta_p \in \mu_p$  one shows inductively that, for finite subgroups  $\langle \zeta_p \rangle \subseteq G \subset \mu_0$ , the unique prime of  $S = R \cap \mathbb{Q}(G)$  over  $p$  equals  $pS + (1 - \zeta_p)S$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{p}_p = pR + (1 - \zeta_p)R$  is finitely generated for all  $p$ , and thus  $R$  is Noetherian.

## 4.7 Algorithms

In this section we describe an algorithm to compute the universal grading of a special type of order in polynomial time. Recall that we have an encoding for finitely generated abelian groups. To encode a grading  $\{R_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of an order, where  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated abelian group, we specify this group  $\Gamma$  as well as the group  $R_\gamma$  for all  $\gamma$  such that  $R_\gamma \neq 0$ . By Theorem 1.4 in [17] we may compute the universal grading of any reduced order, but in general this does not run in polynomial time. We will restrict to orders generated by autopotents.

**Definition 4.7.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring. We call  $x \in R$  *autopotent* if  $x^{n+1} = x$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Write  $\alpha(R)$  for the set of autopotents of  $R$ .

**Lemma 4.7.2.** *Let  $S$  and  $R$  be rings. Then:*

1. *The roots of unity and idempotents of  $R$  are autopotent;*
2. *The product of any two commuting autopotents of  $R$  is autopotent;*
3. *We have  $\mu(R \times S) = \mu(R) \times \mu(S)$  and  $\alpha(R \times S) = \alpha(R) \times \alpha(S)$ ;*
4. *Let  $x \in R$ . Then  $x \in \alpha(R)$  if and only if there exist an idempotent  $e \in R$  and  $\zeta \in \mu(R)$  such that  $x = e\zeta = \zeta e$ ;*
5. *If  $R$  is commutative, then  $R$  is generated as a ring by  $\alpha(R)$  if and only if its additive group is generated by  $\alpha(R)$ ;*
6. *As groups,  $R \times S$  is generated by autopotents if and only if each of  $R$  and  $S$  is generated by autopotents;*
7. *If  $R$  is connected, then  $\alpha(R) = \mu(R) \cup \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Statements 1, 2 and 3 are trivial.

4. The ‘if’-part follows from 1 and 2. Conversely, suppose  $x^{n+1} = x$ . Then  $e = x^n$  satisfies  $e^2 = e$ , so  $e$  is idempotent. Assume without loss of generality that  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , so  $R$  is commutative. Hence we may decompose  $R = eR \times (1-e)R$ . As  $ex \in eR$  is an  $n$ -th root of unity, so is  $\zeta = ex + (1-e) \in R$ . Then  $x = e\zeta = \zeta e$ .

5. By 2 the set of autopotents is closed under multiplication.

6. Combine 3 with the fact that  $0 \in \alpha(R)$  and  $0 \in \alpha(S)$ .

7. This follows trivially from 4. □

**Lemma 4.7.3.** *Let  $R$  be an order that is generated as a group by  $\alpha(R)$ . Then  $R$  is reduced.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that  $K = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is reduced, because  $R \rightarrow K$  is injective. Each  $x \in \alpha(R)$  has a minimal polynomial in  $K[X]$  dividing  $X^{n+1} - X$  for some  $n > 0$ . In particular  $x$  is separable, and consequently so are all elements of  $K$ . As 0 is the only separable nilpotent element, the lemma follows. □

We now equip reduced orders with the (Hilbert) lattice structure as defined in [34], similar to the Hilbert lattice structure defined on  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Definition 4.7.4** (Example 3.4 in [34]). For an order  $R$  we define a bilinear map

$$\langle x, y \rangle_R = \sum_{\sigma \in X(R)} \sigma(x) \cdot \overline{\sigma(y)},$$

where the sum ranges over all ring homomorphisms from  $R$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ , of which there are only finitely many.

**Remark 4.7.5.** Following Example 3.4 in [34], the order  $R$  is reduced if and only if the map from Definition 4.7.4 is non-degenerate, i.e.  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$  implies  $x = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . We have a bijective correspondence

$$\{\sigma: R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\} \leftrightarrow \{(\mathfrak{p}, \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \subseteq R \text{ a minimal prime ideal, } \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}: R/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$$

that sends  $\sigma: R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  to  $(\ker(\sigma), \tilde{\sigma})$  where  $\tilde{\sigma}: R/\ker(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is given by the homomorphism theorem, and conversely sends  $(\mathfrak{p}, \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}})$  to  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$  composed with the projection  $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}: R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}$ . Thus for all  $x, y \in R$  we have

$$\langle x, y \rangle_R = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R} \langle \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x), \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(y) \rangle_{R/\mathfrak{p}},$$

where the sum ranges over all minimal prime ideals.

**Remark 4.7.6.** For an order  $R$  which is a domain, i.e.  $R \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we have now two lattice structures, namely that of a sublattice of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  and the one from Definition 4.7.4. However, they are equal up to a factor  $\#X(R)$ . In particular, the property of orthogonality is the same under either inner product. One might try to construct a common generalization of both inner products to subrings of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . The following example highlights an obstruction for this.

**Example 4.7.7.** For arbitrary reduced orders  $R \subseteq S$  the restriction  $\langle -, - \rangle_S$  to  $R$  is not a scalar multiple of  $\langle -, - \rangle_R$ , as is the case for the inner product on  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Consequently, there is no natural definition of an inner product on any class of rings that includes both  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$  and reduced orders.

For  $R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  and  $S = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \times \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  the element  $x = (0, \sqrt{2})$  satisfies  $\langle x, x \rangle_R = 4 = \langle x, x \rangle_S$ , while  $y = (1, 1)$  satisfies  $\langle y, y \rangle_R = 3$  and  $\langle y, y \rangle_S = 4$ .

**Lemma 4.7.8.** *For all orders  $R$  that are generated as a group by  $\alpha(R)$  we have  $\langle R, R \rangle_R \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ . There exists a polynomial-time algorithm that, given an order  $R$  that is generated as a group by  $\alpha(R)$  and  $x, y \in R$ , computes  $\langle x, y \rangle_R$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $R$  is reduced by Lemma 4.7.3. Let  $X$  be the set of minimal primes of  $R$ . Using Theorem 1.10 in [33] we may compute  $X$  and for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in X$  the map  $R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}$  in polynomial time. Note that as a group,  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is generated by  $\alpha(R/\mathfrak{p})$ . Then by the formula of Remark 4.7.5 it suffices to prove the lemma for the ring  $R/\mathfrak{p}$ . Thus we suppose  $R$  is a domain and consequently  $\alpha(R) = \mu(R) \cup \{0\}$  by Lemma 4.7.2.7. For  $\zeta, \xi \in \mu(R)$  and a ring homomorphism  $\sigma: R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  we have  $\sigma(\zeta) \cdot \overline{\sigma(\xi)} = \sigma(\zeta\xi^{-1})$ . Thus  $\langle \zeta, \xi \rangle_R = \sum_{\sigma \in X(R)} \sigma(\zeta\xi^{-1})$ , which is the trace of  $\zeta\xi^{-1}$  from  $R$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and

hence is an integer. As  $R$  is generated as a group by  $\mu(R)$ , it follows that  $\langle R, R \rangle_R \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  as well. Moreover, this shows that computing  $\langle x, y \rangle_R$  reduces to computing traces of roots of unity, which clearly can be done in polynomial time.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.9.** *There exists a polynomial-time algorithm that, given a finite-dimensional commutative  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $A$  and a finite set  $X \subseteq A$ , computes a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis  $Y$  of the subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  generated by  $X$ , where each element in  $Y$  is a finite (possibly empty) product of elements of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* We will write  $\mathbb{Q}Y$  for the vector space generated by  $Y$ . The algorithm proceeds as follows. Start with  $Y = \{1\}$ . Compute the set of products  $Z = \{xy \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$  and update  $Y$  to be a maximal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent subset of  $Z \cup Y$  containing  $Y$ . Repeat this until  $Y$  is stable.

Write  $m$  for the dimension of  $B$ . Suppose in some step  $\mathbb{Q}Y = \mathbb{Q} \cdot (Z \cup Y)$ . Then  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{Q}Y$ , so  $\mathbb{Q}Y$  is closed under taking products with  $X$ . Since  $X$  generates  $B$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra and  $1 \in \mathbb{Q}Y$  by the choice of initial  $Y$ , it follows that  $\mathbb{Q}Y = B$ . Note that  $\#Y \leq m$  and thus there are at most  $m$  steps in the algorithm. Moreover, in each step  $\#Z \leq \#(X \times Y)$  is polynomially bounded in the input length, so in total there are only polynomially many multiplications. Lastly, note that in step  $i$  of the algorithm each element of  $Y$  can be written as a product of  $i$  elements from  $X$ , and therefore the encoding of every element has length proportional to at most  $i$  times that of the longest element of  $X$ . Hence the multiplications can be carried out in polynomial time.  $\square$

**Example 4.7.10.** Although it is possible to compute  $\alpha(R)$  for a reduced order  $R$ , we cannot in general do this in polynomial time, even if  $R$  is connected. Note that for the ring

$$R = \{(a_i)_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid (\forall i, j) a_i \equiv a_j \pmod{2}\},$$

the set  $\{-1, 1\}^n = \mu(R) = \alpha(R)$  is exponentially large.

**Proposition 4.7.11.** *There exists a polynomial-time algorithm that, given an order  $R$ , computes a set  $Y \subseteq \alpha(R)$  such that  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot Y = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \alpha(R)$ .*

*Proof.* We may factor  $R$  into a product of connected orders in polynomial time using Algorithm 6.1 in [32]. Combined with Lemma 4.7.2.7 we may assume  $R$  is connected and  $\alpha(R) = \mu(R) \cup \{0\}$ . Apply Theorem 1.2 in [32] to compute in polynomial time a set  $X$  of generators of the group  $\mu(R)$ . Using Lemma 4.7.9 we may compute a basis  $Z \subseteq \mu(R)$  for the subalgebra  $\mathbb{Q} \cdot \mu(R)$  of  $R \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  as  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. We claim that  $|\Delta| \leq n^{3n/2}$ , where

$\Delta = \det((\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q} \cdot \mu(R)/\mathbb{Q}}(xy))_{x,y \in Z})$  is the discriminant of  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot Z$  and  $n = \#Z = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q} \cdot \mu(R))$ . This follows from Hadamard's inequality and the fact that  $|\text{Tr}(\zeta)| \leq n$  for  $\zeta \in \mu(R)$ . In particular,  $\# \log_2(\mathbb{Z} \cdot \mu(R)/\mathbb{Z} \cdot Z)$  is polynomially bounded.

First we set  $Y = Z$ . Then we iterate over  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  and add  $xy$  to  $Y$  whenever  $xy \notin \mathbb{Z} \cdot Y$ . Once  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot Y$  stabilizes we have  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot Y = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \mu(R)$  and may return  $Y$ . Each new element added to  $Y$  decreases  $\log_2 \#(\mathbb{Z} \cdot \mu(R)/\mathbb{Z} \cdot Y)$  by at least 1, so the cardinality of  $Y$  and the number of steps taken in the algorithm are polynomially bounded. Finally, we remark that there is a polynomial upper bound on the lengths of the encodings of the elements of  $Y$ , since each element is the product of at most  $\#Y$  elements of  $X$  and an element of  $Z$ . Hence the algorithm runs in polynomial time.  $\square$

**Example 4.7.12.** If  $R$  is an order generated as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module by  $\mu(R)$ , then not every set  $Y \subseteq \mu(R)$  that generates  $\mathbb{Q}R$  as  $\mathbb{Q}$ -module also generates  $R$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. In particular, Lemma 4.7.9 is not sufficient to prove Proposition 4.7.11. Consider the ring  $R$  generated by  $\mu(\mathbb{Z}[i]^2)$ . Then  $Y = \{(1, 1), (1, -1), (i, i), (-i, i)\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{Q}R = \mathbb{Q}(i)^2$ . However,  $(1, i) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in Y} y \notin \mathbb{Z}Y$ .

**Theorem 4.7.13.** *There exists a polynomial-time algorithm that, given an order  $R$ , decides whether  $\alpha(R)$  generates  $R$  as a group and if so computes the universal grading of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Using Algorithm 6.1 in [32] we may factor  $R$  into a product of connected orders. By Lemma 4.7.2.3 and Proposition 4.2.6 we may reduce to the case where  $R$  be connected, which we will now assume.

We compute  $V \subseteq \mu(R)$  as in Proposition 4.7.11. We may then simply decide whether  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot V = R$ . Next we note that the elements of  $V$  are indecomposable by Corollary 5.6 in [34], as multiplication by elements of  $V$  is an automorphism of the lattice. We simply construct the graph as in Theorem 2.5.3 for this  $V$  and compute its connected components explicitly using Lemma 4.7.8. Thus we obtain the universal orthogonal decomposition of  $R$ . The universal grading of  $R$ , as constructed in the proof of Theorem 1.3 of [34], can then also be explicitly computed.  $\square$