

### Decompositions in algebra

Gent, D.M.H. van

#### Citation

Gent, D. M. H. van. (2024, March 5). *Decompositions in algebra*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3720065

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of</u> <u>doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of</u> <u>the University of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3720065

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

## Publications and preprints

Parts of this thesis are based on the following publications and preprints.

D. M. H. van Gent. Nonabelian flows in networks. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 104(1):245–256, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.22958

D. M. H. van Gent. Indecomposable algebraic integers, 2021. https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.00499

H. W. Lenstra Jr., A. Silverberg, and D. M. H. van Gent. Realizing orders as group rings. *Journal of Algebra*, 2023. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jalgebra.2023.11.017

The following paper is not part of this thesis.

M. J. H. van den Bergh, S. T. Castelein, and D. M. H. van Gent. Order versus chaos. In 2020 IEEE Conference on Games (CoG), pages 391–398, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1109/CoG47356.2020.9231895

# Contents

Sa	men	vatting	iii
Su	ımma	ary	vii
Pι	ıblica	ations and preprints	x
Co	onter	ıts	xi
Pr	elim	inaries	xv
	Defi	nitions	XV
	Algo	rithms	xvi
1	Nor	abelian flows in graphs	1
	1.1	Introduction	2
	1.2	Definitions and basic properties	3
	1.3	Non-planar graphs	5
	1.4	Planar graphs	7
	1.5	Extra-planar graphs	9
	1.6	Leak-proof groups	12
<b>2</b>	Hill	pert lattices	15
	2.1	Introduction	16
	2.2	Inner products and Hilbert spaces	17
	2.3	Hilbert lattices	21
	2.4	Decompositions	25
	2.5	Orthogonal decompositions	29
	2.6	Voronoi cells	31

3	Inde	ecomposable algebraic integers	<b>37</b>
	3.1	Introduction	38
	3.2	The lattice of algebraic integers	39
	3.3	Indecomposable algebraic integers	43
	3.4	Enumeration of degree-2 indecomposables	46
	3.5	Geometry of numbers	48
	3.6	Szegő capacity theory	49
	3.7	Bounds on indecomposable algebraic integers	53
	3.8	Fekete capacity theory	57
	3.9	Reduction to exponentially bounded polynomials	59
	3.10	Volume computation	63
	3.11	Proof of the main theorem	67
	3.12	Remarks on the proof of the main theorem	69
	3.13	Computational example	71
	3.14	Enumeration of degree-3 indecomposables	72
<b>4</b>	Gra	ded rings	75
	4.1	Introduction	76
	4.2	Definitions and basic properties	77
	4.3	Universal gradings	79
	4.4	Decompositions of the lattice of algebraic integers	81
	4.5	Integrally closed orders	83
	4.6	Algebraic methods	84
	4.7	Algorithms	92
<b>5</b>	Gro	up rings	97
	5.1	Introduction	98
	5.2	Modules and decompositions	100
	5.3	Morphisms as modules	104
	5.4	The group $U^*$	106
	5.5	The degree map	108
	5.6	Proofs of main theorems	114
	5.7	Automorphisms of group rings	117
	5.8	Algorithms	123
6	Roots of ideals in number rings		
	6.1	Introduction	126
	6.2	Fractional ideals	127
	6.3	Lengths of modules	129
	6.4	Coprime bases	131
	6.5	Fitting ideals	134

$6.6 \\ 6.7 \\ 6.8$	Finite-étale algebras	135 136 139			
Cover		141			
Tables		143			
Bibliography					
Index					
Acknowledgments					
Curricu	ılum Vitae	159			

## Preliminaries

### Definitions

In this section we will list some definitions and notations that we assume a reader of the coming chapters be familiar with.

**Rings** We write  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  for the rings of integers, rationals, reals and complex numbers respectively. We will avoid the use of the term 'natural numbers' and instead write  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \geq 0\}$  for the set of non-negative integers and  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n > 0\}$  for the set of positive integers. Like in any modern mathematical text, our rings include a multiplicative identity element.

Let R be a ring and let  $x \in R$ . We say x is regular if the maps  $R \to R$ given by  $y \mapsto xy$  and  $y \mapsto yx$  are injective, while x is a zero-divisor if it is not regular. We say x is a unit if both maps are bijective, and we write  $R^*$  for the group of units of R. For a positive integer n, we say x is an n-th root of unity if  $x^n = 1$ , and write  $\mu_n(R)$  for the subgroup of  $R^*$  of n-th roots of unity when R is commutative. We say x is a root of unity if it is an n-th root of unity for some n, and analogously write  $\mu(R)$  for the group of roots of unity when R is commutative. We say x is *idempotent* if  $x^2 = x$  and write Id(R) for the set of idempotents of R. We say x is nilpotent if  $x^n = 0$  for some positive integer n. We write nil(R) for the set of nilpotents of R, which we call the nilradical when R is commutative. We write  $Jac(R) = \{x \in R \mid 1 + RxR \subseteq R^*\}$  for the Jacobson radical of R.

Suppose now that R is a commutative ring. We say R is *connected* if it has exactly two idempotents, i.e. the only idempotents are 0 and 1 and  $R \neq 0$ . We say R is *reduced* if 0 is the only nilpotent of R.

A number field is a field of characteristic 0 which has finite dimension as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -module. An *order* is a commutative ring whose additive group is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Note that we do not require, as some authors do, that an order be contained in a number field, i.e. that it is an integral domain. Instead, we say R is an order of a number field K if  $R \subseteq K$ is an order such that R generates K as  $\mathbb{Q}$ -module.

**Modules** Let R be a ring and M and N be (left) R-modules. For a morphism  $f: M \to N$  of R-modules we write ker $(f) = \{m \in M \mid f(m) = 0\}$  for the kernel of f, write  $\operatorname{im}(f) = \{f(m) \mid m \in M\}$  for the image of f and write  $\operatorname{coker}(f) = N/\operatorname{im}(f)$  for the cokernel of f. For a set I, an I-indexed decomposition of M is a family  $\{M_i\}_{i\in I}$  of R-submodules of M such that the natural map  $\bigoplus_{i\in I} M_i \to M$  is an isomorphism, a condition we abbreviate by  $\bigoplus_{i\in I} M_i = M$ . A decomposition of M is an I-indexed decomposition for any set I. We say M is indecomposable if  $M \neq 0$  and for all  $M_1, M_2 \subseteq M$  such that  $M_1 \oplus M_2 = M$  we have  $M_1 = 0$  or  $M_2 = 0$ . We make the class of decompositions of M into a (locally small) category, where the morphisms from  $\{M_i\}_{i\in I}$  to  $\{N_j\}_{j\in J}$  are the maps  $f: I \to J$  such that  $N_j = \bigoplus_{i\in f^{-1}\{j\}} M_i$  for all  $j \in J$ . For commutative R and  $r \in R$  we write  $M[r] = \{m \in M : rm = 0\}$  for the r-torsion submodule and  $M[r^{\infty}] = \bigcup_{n>0} M[r^n]$ . For a set S we write  $M^S = \prod_{s\in S} M$  and  $M^{(S)} = \bigoplus_{s\in S} M$ .

**Graphs** A simple graph is a pair (V, E) where V is a (potentially infinite) set and E is a set of size-2 subsets of V. Let G = (V, E) be a graph. We call the elements of V the vertices of G and those of E its edges. A subgraph of G is a simple graph (W, F) with  $W \subseteq V$  and  $F \subseteq E$ . For  $W \subseteq V$  we call  $(W, \{\{u, v\} \in E \mid u, v \in W\})$  the subgraph of G induced by W. For  $u \in V$ we call  $v \in V$  a neighbor of u if  $\{u, v\} \in E$ . A connected component of G is a non-empty set  $S \subseteq V$  such that for all  $e \in E$  we have  $e \subseteq S$  or  $e \subseteq V \setminus S$ and which is minimal with respect to inclusion given these properties. We say a simple graph is connected if it has precisely one connected component; in particular V is non-empty.

### Algorithms

In this thesis we will encounter several algorithms, ranging from theoretical to computational in nature. The computational algorithms [19, 21] are programmed in either Sage [41] or GAP [15]. For our theoretical algorithms it would be important to specify our model of computation and the encoding of our mathematical objects, were it not that in our complexity analyses we will at best prove that the algorithm in question terminates in polynomial time. Assuming we remain sensible, polynomial runtime is invariant

under choice of model and encodings. Thus we allow ourselves in the coming chapters the luxury to reason about these algorithms in an informal and conceptual manner, rather than worry about implementation details. Nonetheless, in this section we will state some basic encodings and results that we will later implicitly use.

We let k be either  $\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}$  and assume there to be some sensible encoding of its elements.

**Modules** We encode a *linear map*  $\alpha : k^m \to k^n$  as a matrix, i.e. a k-valued  $(n \times m)$ -tuple, in the obvious way. We encode a *finitely generated* k-module by a linear map  $\alpha : k^m \to k^n$ , where the corresponding module is  $\operatorname{coker}(\alpha)$ . The elements of  $\operatorname{coker}(\alpha)$  are encoded by representatives in  $k^n$ . For  $\alpha : k^m \to k^n$  and  $\beta : k^r \to k^s$ , a morphism of finitely generated k-modules  $f : \operatorname{coker}(\alpha) \to \operatorname{coker}(\beta)$  is encoded as a linear map  $\varphi : k^n \to k^s$  on the underlying representations of the elements of  $\operatorname{coker}(\alpha)$  and  $\operatorname{coker}(\beta)$ . Note that every linear map  $\alpha : k^m \to k^n$  encodes a valid k-module. However, with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  as above, not every  $\varphi : k^n \to k^s$  encodes a valid morphism  $\operatorname{coker}(\alpha) \to \operatorname{coker}(\beta)$ , as it does so if and only if  $\operatorname{im}(\varphi\alpha) \subseteq \operatorname{im}(\beta)$ . This is generally not a problem however, since our algorithms are only required to work for legal input. Regardless, we can test whether  $\varphi$  encodes a morphism. A submodule of A is encoded as a module A' together with an injective morphism  $A' \to A$ .

If  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ , then using linear algebra one can answer most questions about finitely generated k-modules in polynomial time. This also includes computing kernels and images of linear maps, computing hom-sets and splitting exact sequences. For  $k = \mathbb{Z}$  one can find an exposition on algorithms for basic questions and constructions involving finitely generated k-modules in Chapter 2 of [5].

**Rings** A k-algebra structure on a finitely generated k-module represented by some map  $k^m \to k^n$  is encoded as a k-valued  $(n \times n \times n)$ -tuple  $(e_{hij})_{h,i,j}$ , where the multiplication is given by

$$(a_h)_h \cdot (b_i)_i = \left(\sum_{h,i} a_h b_i e_{hij}\right)_j.$$

In particular, we can encode finite rings, orders and number fields. We may also compute quotient rings. For some commutative ring R with a given encoding we encode the elements of the *polynomial ring* R[X] as a sequence  $(n, f_0, \ldots, f_n)$  with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $f_0, \ldots, f_n \in R$ , where the corresponding polynomial is given by  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_i X^i$ . **Number fields** Suppose for some set S we have an encoding of its elements. We say a map  $\varphi \colon S \to \mathbb{C}$  is *computable* if there exists an algorithm A that takes as input an  $s \in S$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and computes some  $a \in \mathbb{Q}(i)$  such that  $|\varphi(s) - a| \leq 2^{-n}$ . We represent computable maps by such an algorithm. We say a complex number is computable if the map  $\{0\} \to \mathbb{C}$  given by  $0 \mapsto z$  is computable. It is undecidable whether two computable complex numbers are equal.

For any k-algebra A which is finitely generated and free as a module one can find for each element of A its minimal polynomial using linear algebra. For a number field K it is possible to factor polynomials over Kinto irreducibles in polynomial time [31]. Repeated application allows us to compute the splitting field of such a polynomial, although not generally in polynomial time. The roots (with multiplicity) of  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  are computable [25]. Using this, each ring homomorphism  $K \to \mathbb{C}$ .