

Design and synthesis of metal-based chemotherapeutic agents for targeted DNA interactions or DNA repair pathway modulation

Griend, C.J. van de

Citation

Griend, C. J. van de. (2024, February 27). *Design and synthesis of metalbased chemotherapeutic agents for targeted DNA interactions or DNA repair pathway modulation*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3720005

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral</u> <u>thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University</u> <u>of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3720005

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Appendix I: supporting information for chapter 2 pK_a determination



Figure SI.1. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pt(H_2L1)](CI)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.2. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pt(H_2L2)](CI)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.3. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pt(H_2L3)](CI)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.4. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pt(H_2L4)](Cl)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.5. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pt(H_2L5)](CI)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.6. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pt(H_2L6)](CI)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.7. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pt(H_2L7)](CI)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.8. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pt(H_2L8)](CI)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.9. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pt(H_2L9)](CI)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.10. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pt(H_2L13)](PF_6)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.11. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pd(H_2L1)](CI)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.12. Absorption spectra at variable pH for [Pd(H2L2)](Cl)2. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.13. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pd(H_2L3)](CI)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.14. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pd(H_2L4)](CI)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.15. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pd(H_2L5)](CI)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.16. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pd(H_2L6)](CI)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.17. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pd(H_2L7)](Cl)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.18. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pd(H_2L8)](CI)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.19. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pd(H_2L9)](CI)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



Figure SI.20. Absorption spectra at variable pH for $[Pd(H_2L13)](Cl)_2$. The blue/red gradient represents the range from acidic to basic conditions, respectively.



pUC19 Agarose gel electrophoresis

Figure SI.21. Agarose gel electrophoresis analysis of the interactions of pUC19 plasmid DNA and metal complexes at pH 4.5 and 8. Lane 1 and 10 contain the molecular ladders, lane 2 is the pUC19 DNA plasmid only control and lane 3-9 contained increasing metal complex (MC) to base pair (BP) concentration ratios (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5).



Figure SI.22. Agarose gel electrophoresis analysis of the interactions of pUC19 plasmid DNA and metal complexes at pH 4.5 and 8. Lane 1 and 10 contain the molecular ladders, lane 2 is the pUC19 DNA plasmid only control and lane 3-9 contained increasing metal complex (MC) to base pair (BP) concentration ratios (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5).

Titration of $[M(H_2LX)]^{2+}$ complexes with calf thymus DNA analyzed by plate reader.



Figure SI.23. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pt(H_2L1)](Cl)_2(33 \ \mu m)$ at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 μm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.24. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pt(H_2L2)](Cl)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.25. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pt(H_2L3)](Cl)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.26. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pt(H_2L4)](Cl)_2(33 \ \mu m)$ at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 μm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.27. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pt(H_2L5)](Cl)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.28. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pt(H_2L6)](Cl)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.29. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pt(H_2L7)](Cl)_2(33 \ \mu m)$ at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 μm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.30. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pt(H_2L8)](Cl)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.31. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pt(H_2L9)](Cl)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.32. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pt(L10)](PF_6)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.33. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pt(L11)](PF_6)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.34. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pt(L12)](CI)_2(33 \mu m)$ at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 μm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.35. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pt(H_2L13)](PF_6)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.36. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pd(H_2L1)](CI)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.37. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pd(H_2L2)](CI)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.38. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pd(H_2L3)](CI)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.39. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pd(H_2L4)](CI)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.40. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pd(H_2L5)](CI)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.41. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pd(H_2L6)](Cl)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.42. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pd(H_2L7)](Cl)_2(33 \,\mu\text{m})$ at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 μ m basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.43. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pd(H_2L8)](Cl)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.44. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pd(H_2L9)](Cl)_2(33 \ \mu m)$ at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 μm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.45. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pd(L10)](Cl)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.



Figure SI.46. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of $[Pd(H_2L13)](CI)_2$ (33 µm) at pH 5 (left) and pH 8 (right). The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration range from 0 to 666 µm basepairs, respectively.

Binding constant determination of [M(H₂LX)]²⁺ complexes to calf thymus DNA



Figure SI.47. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of 100 μ m [Pt(H₂L1)](Cl)₂ (left) and [Pt(H₂L2)](Cl)₂ (right) at pH 4.5. The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration from 0 to 360 μ m basepairs, respectively. The inlays are Scatchard plots fitted to the McGhee–von Hippel model.



Figure SI.48. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of 100 μ m [Pt(H₂L3)](Cl)₂ (left) and [Pt(H₂L4)](Cl)₂ (right) at pH 4.5. The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration from 0 to 360 μ m basepairs, respectively. The inlays are Scatchard plots fitted to the McGhee–von Hippel model.



Figure SI.49. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of 100 μ m [Pt(H₂L5)](Cl)₂ (left) and [Pt(H₂L6)](Cl)₂ (right) at pH 4.5. The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration from 0 to 360 μ m basepairs, respectively. The inlays are Scatchard plots fitted to the McGhee–von Hippel model.



Figure SI.50. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of 100 μ m [Pt(H₂L7)](Cl)₂ (left) and [Pt(H₂L8)](Cl)₂ (right) at pH 4.5. The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration from 0 to 360 μ m basepairs, respectively. The inlays are Scatchard plots fitted to the McGhee–von Hippel model.



Figure SI.51. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of 100 μ m [Pt(**L10**)](PF₆)₂ (left) and [Pt(**L11**)](PF₆)₂ (right) at pH 4.5. The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration from 0 to 1000 μ m basepairs for [Pt(**L10**)](PF₆)₂ and 0 to 360 μ m basepairs for [Pt(**L11**)](PF₆)₂, respectively. The inlays are Scatchard plots fitted to the McGhee–von Hippel model.



Figure SI.52. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of 100 μ m [Pt(H₂L13)](PF₆)₂ (left) and [Pd(H₂L1)](Cl)₂ (right) at pH 4.5. The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration from 0 to 360 μ m basepairs, respectively. The inlays are Scatchard plots fitted to the McGhee–von Hippel model.



Figure SI.53. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of 100 μ m [Pd(H₂L2)](PF₆)₂ (left) and [Pd(H₂L3)](Cl)₂ (right) at pH 4.5. The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration from 0 to 360 μ m base-pairs, respectively. The inlays are Scatchard plots fitted to the McGhee–von Hippel model.



Figure SI.54. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of 100 μ m [Pd(H₂L4)](PF_e)₂ (left) and [Pd(H₂L5)](Cl)₂ (right) at pH 4.5. The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration from 0 to 360 μ m basepairs, respectively. The inlays are Scatchard plots fitted to the McGhee–von Hippel model.



Figure SI.55. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of 100 μ m [Pd(H₂LG)](PF₆)₂ (left) and ethidium bromide (right) at pH 4.5. The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration from 0 to 360 μ m basepairs, respectively. The inlay is a Scatchard plots fitted to the McGhee–von Hippel model.



Figure SI.56. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of 100 μ m [Pt(**L10**)](PF₆)₂ (left) and [Pt(**L11**)](PF₆)₂ (right) at pH 8. The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration from 0 to 360 μ m basepairs, respectively. The inlay is a Scatchard plots fitted to the McGhee–von Hippel model.



Figure SI.57. Calf thymus titration absorbance spectra of 100 μ m ethidium bromide at pH 8. The blue to red gradient represents increasing DNA concentration from 0 to 360 μ m basepairs, respectively. The inlay is a Scatchard plots fitted to the McGhee–von Hippel model.

Appendix II: supporting information for chapter 3 Crystal structures of diastereomers and epimers



Figure SII.1. Displacement ellipsoid plots (50% probability level) for (*P*,*R*) and (M,R) diastereomers of [**3**]PF₆ and (*P*,*R*,*R*) and (*M*,*R*,*R*) epimers of [**5**](PF₆)₂ at 110(2) K. Counter ions and hydrogens have been omitted for clarity.





Figure SII.2. HPLC traces of [4]Cl with 0.1 M NH4Cl in MeOH eluent on the cyclobond I 2000 DMP column.

Appendix III: supporting information for chapter 4 Crystal structure

Table SIII.1. Selected distances and angles found for crystal structures of [6]²⁺

Compound	[6] ^{2+,a}
Ru1-N1	2.060(5)
Ru1-N2	1.968(5)
Ru1-N3	2.089(5)
Ru1-N4	2.118(5)
Ru1-N7	2.103(5)
Ru1-N8	2.065(5)
N1- Ru1-N3	158.7(2)
N7- Ru1-N8	79.0(2)

^a Consists of two crystallographically independent formular units for the structure. The bond distance and angles are given for molecule A.

Photochemical characterization



Figure SIII.1. a) Time evolution of the absorbance spectrum of compound [**2**]Cl₂ in MeCN (32 μ M) upon green light (520 nm) irradiation, photon flux 5.7·10⁻⁹ mol s⁻¹, T = 295 K. b) Time evolution of the absorbance spectrum of compound [**3**]Cl₂ in MeCN (34 μ M) upon green light (520 nm) irradiation, photon flux 5.7·10⁻⁹ mol s⁻¹, T = 295 K.



Figure SIII. 2. ES-MS spectra of the reaction mixture after photoreaction for $[1]Cl_2$ (a), $[2]Cl_2$ (b), $[3]Cl_2$ (c), $[4]Cl_2$ (d).

Facs



OMM2.5

Annexin - V

Figure SIII.3. Quantification of apoptosis by FACS in OMM2.5 and MM66 cells co-treated with $[1]Cl_{2}$ (50 µm) and Dox (500 nm) using Annexin V and Propidium Iodide (PI) staining. The cells were treated at t = 24 h with compound $[1]Cl_{2}$ followed by the addition of Dox (500 nM) at t = 48 h and light irradiation of 15 min green light (13.1 J/cm²). At t = 72 h the cells were prepared for FACS analysis.

Appendix IV: supporting information for chapter 5 NMR experiments



Figure SIV.1. ESI-MS measurement of the reaction product time evolution $^1\rm H-NMR$ experiment of compound ${\rm [1]Cl}_2$



Figure SIV.2. ESI-MS measurement of the reaction product time evolution 1 H-NMR experiment of compound [6]Cl₂



Figure SIV.3. ESI-MS measurement of the reaction product time evolution 1 H-NMR experiment of compound [7]Cl₂

Quantum yield experiments [Ru(bapbpy)(B02)₂]Cl₂ [2]Cl₂



Figure SIV.4. a)Time evolution spectra of $[2]Cl_2$ upon blue light irradiation (435 nm), b) reaction profile calculated by gloteran for $[2]Cl_2$, c) Amount of $Ru(B02)_2 (n_1)$ in mol vs absorbed photons by $Ru(B02)_2 (Q_1)$ in mol, where the slope is the quantum yield of the first substitution reaction. d) Amount of $Ru(MeCN)_2 (n_3)$ in mol vs absorbed photons by $Ru(B02)(MeCN) (Q_2)$ in mol, where the slope is the quantum yield of the second substitution reaction.



Figure SIV.5. a)Time evolution spectra of $[\mathbf{3}]Cl_2$ upon blue light irradiation (435 nm), b) reaction profile calculated by gloteran for $[\mathbf{3}]Cl_2$, c) Amount of Ru(BORef)₂ (n₁) in mol vs absorbed photons by Ru(BORef)₂ (Q₁) in mol, where the slope is the quantum yield of the first substitution reaction. d) Amount of Ru(MeCN)₂ (n₃) in mol vs absorbed photons by Ru(BORef)(MeCN) (Q₂) in mol, where the slope is the quantum yield of the second substitution reaction.

[Ru(bapbpy)(Py)₂]Cl₂ [4]Cl₂



Figure SIV.6. a)Time evolution spectra of $[4]Cl_2$ upon blue light irradiation (435 nm), b) reaction profile calculated by gloteran for $[4]Cl_2$, c) Amount of Ru(Py)₂ (n₁) in mol vs absorbed photons by Ru(Py)₂ (Q₁) in mol, where the slope is the quantum yield of the first substitution reaction. d) Amount of Ru(MeCN)₂ (n₃) in mol vs absorbed photons by Ru(Py)(MeCN) (Q₂) in mol, where the slope is the quantum yield of the second substitution reaction.



Figure SIV.7. a)Time evolution spectra of $[1]Cl_2$ upon blue light irradiation (435 nm), b) reaction profile calculated by gloteran for $[1]Cl_2$, c) Amount of Ru(BOCl)(STF31) (n₁) in mol vs absorbed photons by (BOCl)(STF31) (Q₁) in mol, where the slope is the quantum yield of the first substitution reaction. d) Amount of Ru(MeCN)₂ (n₃) in mol vs absorbed photons by (MeCN)(inhibitor) (Q₂) in mol, where the slope is the quantum yield of the second substitution reaction.

[Ru(bapbpy)(B0Cl)(PIK71]Cl₂ [7]Cl₂



Figure 8. a)Time evolution spectra of [7]Cl₂ upon blue light irradiation (435 nm), b) reaction profile calculated by gloteran for [7]Cl₂, c) Amount of Ru(BOCI)(PIK75) (n₁) in mol vs absorbed photons by (BOCI)(PIK75) (Q₁) in mol, where the slope is the quantum yield of the first substitution reaction. d) Amount of Ru(MeCN)₂ (n₃) in mol vs absorbed photons by (MeCN)(inhibitor) (Q₂) in mol, where the slope is the quantum yield of the second substitution reaction.