

Learning from small samples

Kocaman, V.

Citation

Kocaman, V. (2024, February 20). *Learning from small samples*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3719613

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral</u> <u>thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University</u> <u>of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3719613

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Chapter 7

Bibliography

- Veysel Kocaman, Ofer M Shir, and Thomas Bäck. Improving model accuracy for imbalanced image classification tasks by adding a final batch normalization layer: An empirical study. In 2020 25th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR), number 10.1109/ICPR48806.2021.9412907, pages 10404– 10411. IEEE, 2021.
- [2] Veysel Kocaman, Ofer M Shir, and Thomas Bäck. The unreasonable effectiveness of the final batch normalization layer. In *International Symposium* on Visual Computing, volume 13018, pages 81–93. Springer, 2021.
- [3] Veysel Kocaman, Ofer M Shir, Thomas Bäck, and Ahmed Nabil Belbachir. Saliency can be all you need in contrastive self-supervised learning. In *International Symposium on Visual Computing*, pages 119–140. Springer, 2022.
- [4] Veysel Kocaman and David Talby. Biomedical named entity recognition at scale. In *International Conference on Pattern Recognition*, pages 635–646. Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [5] Veysel Kocaman and David Talby. Improving clinical document understanding on covid-19 research with spark nlp. AAAI-21 Workshop on Scientific

Document Understanding, (arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.04005), 2020.

- [6] Veysel Kocaman and David Talby. Spark nlp: natural language understanding at scale. Software Impacts, 8:100058, 2021.
- [7] Veysel Kocaman and David Talby. Accurate clinical and biomedical named entity recognition at scale. Software Impacts, 13:100373, 2022.
- [8] Veysel Kocaman, Bunyamin Polat, Gursev Pirge, and David Talby. Biomedical named entity recognition in eight languages with zero code changes. In Proceedings of the Iberian Languages Evaluation Forum (IberLEF 2022), volume 3202, 2022.
- [9] Veysel Kocaman, Youssef Mellah, Hasham Haq, and David Talby. Automated de-identification of arabic medical records. In *Proceedings of ArabicNLP* 2023, pages 33–40, 2023.
- [10] Veysel Kocaman, Hasham Ul Haq, and David Talby. Beyond accuracy: Automated de-identification of large real-world clinical text datasets. In Machine Learning for Health (ML4H) 2023–Findings track, 2023.
- [11] Veysel Kocaman, Hasham Ul Haq, and David Talby. Beyond accuracy: Automated de-identification of large real-world clinical text datasets. In Proceedings of The Professional Society for Health Economics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR) Europe 2023, Copenhagen, Denmark, 2023. Poster presentation.
- [12] Sutanay Choudhury, Khushbu Agarwal, Colby Ham, Pritam Mukherjee, Siyi Tang, Sindhu Tipirneni, Veysel Kocaman, Suzanne Tamang, Robert Rallo, and Chandan K Reddy. Tracking the evolution of covid-19 via temporal comorbidity analysis from multi-modal data. In AMIA, 2021.
- [13] Syed Raza Bashir, Shaina Raza, Veysel Kocaman, and Urooj Qamar. Clinical application of detecting covid-19 risks: A natural language processing approach. Viruses, 14(12):2761, 2022.
- [14] Khushbu Agarwal, Sutanay Choudhury, Sindhu Tipirneni, Pritam Mukherjee,

Colby Ham, Suzanne Tamang, Matthew Baker, Siyi Tang, Veysel Kocaman, Olivier Gevaert, et al. Preparing for the next pandemic via transfer learning from existing diseases with hierarchical multi-modal bert: a study on covid-19 outcome prediction. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1):1–13, 2022.

- [15] Hasham Ul Hak, Veysel Kocaman, and David Talby. Deeper clinical document understanding using relation extraction. In *https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.13259*. Scientific Document Understanding workshop at AAAI 2022, 2021.
- [16] Hasham Ul Hak, Veysel Kocaman, and David Talby. Mining adverse drug reactions from unstructured mediums at scale. In W3PHIAI workshop at AAAI-22. https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.01405, 2022.
- [17] Murat Aydogan and Veysel Kocaman. Trsav1: A new benchmark dataset for classifying user reviews on turkish e-commerce websites. *Journal of Information Science*, 1:https-journals, 2022.
- [18] Vikas Kumar, Lawrence Rasouliyan, Veysel Kocaman, and David Talby. Using natural language processing to identify adverse drug events of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors. International Forum on Quality and Safety in Healthcare EUROPE 2021, 2021.
- [19] A. Emre Varol, Veysel Kocaman, Hasham Ul Hak, and David Talby. Understanding covid-19 news coverage using medical nlp. In 5th International Workshop on Narrative Extraction from Texts (Text2Story), 2022.
- [20] Hasham Ul Haq, Veysel Kocaman, and David Talby. Connecting the dots in clinical document understanding with relation extraction at scale. Software Impacts, 12(100294), 2022.
- [21] Vikas Kumar, Lawrence Rasouliyan, Veysel Kocaman, and David Talby. Detecting adverse drug events in dermatology through natural language processing of physician notes. In 36th International Conference on Pharmacoepidemiology & Therapeutic Risk Management, volume 36, pages https-www, 2022.
- [22] Juan Martinez, Veysel Kocaman, Hasham Ul Haq, and David Talby. Zero-shot

information extraction for clinical nlp. [under review].

- [23] Arshaan Nazir, Thadaka Kalyan Chakravarthy, David Amore Cecchini, Rakshit Khajuria, Prikshit Sharma, Ali Tarik Mirik, David Talby, and Veysel Kocaman. Langtest: A comprehensive evaluation library for custom llm and nlp models. [under review].
- [24] Julio Bonis, Veysel Kocaman, and David Talby. Social determinants of health in clinical narratives: A comprehensive review of pubmed clinical case reports from 1975 to 2022. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/ abstract=4590921 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4590921, 2022.
- [25] Yuanyuan Pu, Derek B Apel, Victor Liu, and Hani Mitri. Machine learning methods for rockburst prediction-state-of-the-art review. *International Journal of Mining Science and Technology*, 29(4):565–570, 2019.
- [26] Daniel T Chang. Exemplar-based contrastive self-supervised learning with few-shot class incremental learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.02601, 2022.
- [27] David Haussler and Manfred Warmuth. The probably approximately correct (pac) and other learning models. *The Mathematics of Generalization*, pages 17–36, 2018.
- [28] Christopher M Bishop and Nasser M Nasrabadi. Pattern recognition and machine learning, volume 4. Springer, 2006.
- [29] Alvaro Figueira and Bruno Vaz. Survey on synthetic data generation, evaluation methods and gans. *Mathematics*, 10(15):2733, 2022.
- [30] Lisa Torrey and Jude Shavlik. Transfer learning. In Handbook of research on machine learning applications and trends: algorithms, methods, and techniques, pages 242–264. IGI global, 2010.
- [31] Xiao Liu, Fanjin Zhang, Zhenyu Hou, Li Mian, Zhaoyu Wang, Jing Zhang, and Jie Tang. Self-supervised learning: Generative or contrastive. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 2021.

- [32] Xu Sun, Weiwei Sun, Shuming Ma, Xuancheng Ren, Yi Zhang, Wenjie Li, and Houfeng Wang. Complex structure leads to overfitting: A structure regularization decoding method for natural language processing. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.10331, 2017.
- [33] Chiyuan Zhang, Samy Bengio, Moritz Hardt, Benjamin Recht, and Oriol Vinyals. Understanding deep learning (still) requires rethinking generalization. *Communications of the ACM*, 64(3):107–115, 2021.
- [34] Jonathan Frankle and Michael Carbin. The lottery ticket hypothesis: Finding sparse, trainable neural networks. In *ICLR*. OpenReview.net, 2019.
- [35] Thomas G Dietterich. Ensemble methods in machine learning. In Multiple Classifier Systems: First International Workshop, MCS 2000 Cagliari, Italy, June 21–23, 2000 Proceedings 1, pages 1–15. Springer, 2000.
- [36] Tian Jin, Michael Carbin, Dan Roy, Jonathan Frankle, and Gintare Karolina Dziugaite. Pruning's effect on generalization through the lens of training and regularization. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 35:37947–37961, 2022.
- [37] Alethea Power, Yuri Burda, Harri Edwards, Igor Babuschkin, and Vedant Misra. Grokking: Generalization beyond overfitting on small algorithmic datasets. arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.02177, 2022.
- [38] Najeeb Khan, Jawad Shah, and Ian Stavness. Bridgeout: stochastic bridge regularization for deep neural networks. *IEEE Access*, 6:42961–42970, 2018.
- [39] Amulya Agarwal and Nitin Gupta. Comparison of outlier detection techniques for structured data. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.08779, 2021.
- [40] CJ Adcock. Sample size determination: a review. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series D (The Statistician), 46(2):261–283, 1997.
- [41] Russell V Lenth. Some practical guidelines for effective sample size determination. The American Statistician, 55(3):187–193, 2001.

- [42] Rosa L Figueroa, Qing Zeng-Treitler, Sasikiran Kandula, and Long H Ngo. Predicting sample size required for classification performance. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*, 12:1–10, 2012.
- [43] Myunggwon Hwang, Yuna Jeong, and Wonkyung Sung. Data distribution search to select core-set for machine learning. In *The 9th International Conference on Smart Media and Applications*, pages 172–176, 2020.
- [44] Mansheej Paul, Surya Ganguli, and Gintare Karolina Dziugaite. Deep learning on a data diet: Finding important examples early in training. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34:20596–20607, 2021.
- [45] Ben Goertzel. Artificial general intelligence: concept, state of the art, and future prospects. *Journal of Artificial General Intelligence*, 5(1):1, 2014.
- [46] Alexander Ratner, Stephen H Bach, Henry Ehrenberg, Jason Fries, Sen Wu, and Christopher Ré. Snorkel: Rapid training data creation with weak supervision. In Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment. International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, volume 11, page 269. NIH Public Access, 2017.
- [47] Salva Rühling Cachay, Benedikt Boecking, and Artur Dubrawski. End-toend weak supervision. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34:1845–1857, 2021.
- [48] Brenden M Lake, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Joshua B Tenenbaum. Humanlevel concept learning through probabilistic program induction. *Science*, 350(6266):1332–1338, 2015.
- [49] Tirtharaj Dash, Sharad Chitlangia, Aditya Ahuja, and Ashwin Srinivasan. A review of some techniques for inclusion of domain-knowledge into deep neural networks. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1):1–15, 2022.
- [50] Ziyang Jiang, Tongshu Zheng, and David Carlson. Incorporating prior knowledge into neural networks through an implicit composite kernel. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.07384, 2022.
- [51] Mohsen Behzad, Keyvan Asghari, Morteza Eazi, and Maziar Palhang. Gen-

eralization performance of support vector machines and neural networks in runoff modeling. *Expert Systems with applications*, 36(4):7624–7629, 2009.

- [52] Yarin Gal. Uncertainty in deep learning. PhD thesis, 2016.
- [53] Matthew Olson, Abraham Wyner, and Richard Berk. Modern neural networks generalize on small data sets. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 31, 2018.
- [54] Shuo Feng, Huiyu Zhou, and Hongbiao Dong. Using deep neural network with small dataset to predict material defects. *Materials & Design*, 162:300–310, 2019.
- [55] Giorgio Corani and Marco Zaffalon. Learning reliable classifiers from small or incomplete data sets: The naive credal classifier 2. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 9(4), 2008.
- [56] Dioanjan Sarkar and Raghav Bali. Transfer Learning in Action. Manning publication, 2021.
- [57] Deepak Soekhoe, Peter van der Putten, and Aske Plaat. On the impact of data set size in transfer learning using deep neural networks. In *International* symposium on intelligent data analysis, pages 50–60. Springer, 2016.
- [58] Jason Yosinski, Jeff Clune, Yoshua Bengio, and Hod Lipson. How transferable are features in deep neural networks? Advances in neural information processing systems, 27, 2014.
- [59] Thorsten Hoeser and Claudia Kuenzer. Object detection and image segmentation with deep learning on earth observation data: A review-part i: Evolution and recent trends. *Remote Sensing*, 12(10):1667, 2020.
- [60] Simon Kornblith, Jonathon Shlens, and Quoc V Le. Do better imagenet models transfer better? In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 2661–2671, 2019.
- [61] Andrew B Sellergren, Christina Chen, Zaid Nabulsi, Yuanzhen Li, Aaron

Maschinot, Aaron Sarna, Jenny Huang, Charles Lau, Sreenivasa Raju Kalidindi, Mozziyar Etemadi, et al. Simplified transfer learning for chest radiography models using less data. *Radiology*, 305(2):454–465, 2022.

- [62] Gabriel Michau and Olga Fink. Unsupervised transfer learning for anomaly detection: Application to complementary operating condition transfer. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 216:106816, 2021.
- [63] Andrew Arnold, Ramesh Nallapati, and William W Cohen. A comparative study of methods for transductive transfer learning. In Seventh IEEE international conference on data mining workshops (ICDMW 2007), pages 77–82. IEEE, 2007.
- [64] Xavier Glorot and Yoshua Bengio. Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks. In Proceedings of the thirteenth international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics, pages 249–256. JMLR Workshop and Conference Proceedings, 2010.
- [65] Andre Perunicic. Understanding neural network weight initialization. URL https://intoli. com/blog/neural-network-initialization, 2017.
- [66] Bjorn Barz and Joachim Denzler. Deep learning on small datasets without pretraining using cosine loss. In *The IEEE Winter Conference on Applications* of Computer Vision, pages 1371–1380, 2020.
- [67] John T Hancock and Taghi M Khoshgoftaar. Survey on categorical data for neural networks. *Journal of Big Data*, 7(1):1–41, 2020.
- [68] Lorenzo Rosasco, Ernesto De Vito, Andrea Caponnetto, Michele Piana, and Alessandro Verri. Are loss functions all the same? *Neural computation*, 16(5):1063–1076, 2004.
- [69] Katarzyna Janocha and Wojciech Marian Czarnecki. On loss functions for deep neural networks in classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.05659, 2017.
- [70] Qishan He, Lingjun Zhao, Gangyao Kuang, and Li Liu. Sar target recognition based on model transfer and hinge loss with limited data. In *CAAI*

International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pages 191–201. Springer, 2021.

- [71] N Srivastavanitish, Geoffrey Hinton, A Krizhevskykriz, I Sutskeverilya, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. Dropout: a simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. J. Mach. Learn. Res, 15(1):1929–1958, 2014.
- [72] Jonathan Tompson, Ross Goroshin, Arjun Jain, Yann LeCun, and Christoph Bregler. Efficient object localization using convolutional networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 648–656, 2015.
- [73] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101, 2017.
- [74] Sergey Ioffe and Christian Szegedy. Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift. In *International* conference on machine learning, pages 448–456. pmlr, 2015.
- [75] Connor Shorten and Taghi M Khoshgoftaar. A survey on image data augmentation for deep learning. *Journal of big data*, 6(1):1–48, 2019.
- [76] Alhassan Mumuni and Fuseini Mumuni. Data augmentation: A comprehensive survey of modern approaches. Array, page 100258, 2022.
- [77] Antreas Antoniou, Amos Storkey, and Harrison Edwards. Data augmentation generative adversarial networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.04340, 2017.
- [78] Hiroshi Inoue. Data augmentation by pairing samples for images classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.02929, 2018.
- [79] Abdul Jabbar, Xi Li, and Bourahla Omar. A survey on generative adversarial networks: Variants, applications, and training. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 54(8):1–49, 2021.
- [80] Alexander Jung. imgaug. https://github.com/aleju/imgaug, 2020.
- [81] Yu Yang, Lei Sun, Xiuqing Mao, and Min Zhao. Data augmentation based on

generative adversarial network with mixed attention mechanism. *Electronics*, 11(11):1718, 2022.

- [82] Ekin D Cubuk, Barret Zoph, Dandelion Mane, Vijay Vasudevan, and Quoc V Le. Autoaugment: Learning augmentation strategies from data. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 113–123, 2019.
- [83] Samuel G Müller and Frank Hutter. Trivialaugment: Tuning-free yet stateof-the-art data augmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision, pages 774–782, 2021.
- [84] Nitesh V Chawla, Kevin W Bowyer, Lawrence O Hall, and W Philip Kegelmeyer. Smote: synthetic minority over-sampling technique. *Journal of* artificial intelligence research, 16:321–357, 2002.
- [85] Haibo He, Yang Bai, Edwardo A Garcia, and Shutao Li. Adasyn: Adaptive synthetic sampling approach for imbalanced learning. In 2008 IEEE international joint conference on neural networks (IEEE world congress on computational intelligence), pages 1322–1328. IEEE, 2008.
- [86] Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114, 2013.
- [87] Shengguo Hu, Yanfeng Liang, Lintao Ma, and Ying He. Msmote: Improving classification performance when training data is imbalanced. In 2009 second international workshop on computer science and engineering, volume 2, pages 13–17. IEEE, 2009.
- [88] Trevor Hastie, Robert Tibshirani, Jerome H Friedman, and Jerome H Friedman. The elements of statistical learning: data mining, inference, and prediction, volume 2. Springer, 2009.
- [89] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial nets. In Advances in neural information processing systems, pages 2672–2680, 2014.

- [90] Veit Sandfort, Ke Yan, Perry J Pickhardt, and Ronald M Summers. Data augmentation using generative adversarial networks (cyclegan) to improve generalizability in ct segmentation tasks. *Scientific reports*, 9(1):16884, 2019.
- [91] Fabio Henrique Kiyoiti dos Santos Tanaka and Claus Aranha. Data augmentation using gans. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09135, 2019.
- [92] Georgios Douzas and Fernando Bacao. Effective data generation for imbalanced learning using conditional generative adversarial networks. *Expert* Systems with applications, 91:464–471, 2018.
- [93] Sajila D Wickramaratne and Md Shaad Mahmud. Conditional-gan based data augmentation for deep learning task classifier improvement using fnirs data. Frontiers in big Data, 4:659146, 2021.
- [94] Alec Radford, Luke Metz, and Soumith Chintala. Unsupervised representation learning with deep convolutional generative adversarial networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06434, 2015.
- [95] Han Zhang, Tao Xu, Hongsheng Li, Shaoting Zhang, Xiaogang Wang, Xiaolei Huang, and Dimitris N Metaxas. Stackgan: Text to photo-realistic image synthesis with stacked generative adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 5907–5915, 2017.
- [96] Tero Karras, Timo Aila, Samuli Laine, and Jaakko Lehtinen. Progressive growing of gans for improved quality, stability, and variation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.10196, 2017.
- [97] Jun-Yan Zhu, Taesung Park, Phillip Isola, and Alexei A Efros. Unpaired image-to-image translation using cycle-consistent adversarial networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision, pages 2223–2232, 2017.
- [98] Mehdi Mirza and Simon Osindero. Conditional generative adversarial nets. arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.1784, 2014.
- [99] Venkatesh Boddapati, Andrej Petef, Jim Rasmusson, and Lars Lundberg.

Classifying environmental sounds using image recognition networks. *Procedia* computer science, 112:2048–2056, 2017.

- [100] Mingming CHENG and Guoxin ZHANG. Niloy j, et al. global contrast based salient region detection. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Colorado Spring, USA*, pages 409–416, 2011.
- [101] Iago Suárez, José M Buenaposada, and Luis Baumela. Elsed: Enhanced line segment drawing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.03144, 2021.
- [102] Xingyi Zhou, Rohit Girdhar, Armand Joulin, Philipp Krähenbühl, and Ishan Misra. Detecting twenty-thousand classes using image-level supervision. In European Conference on Computer Vision, pages 350–368. Springer, 2022.
- [103] Sachin Ravi and Hugo Larochelle. Optimization as a model for few-shot learning. In International conference on learning representations, 2016.
- [104] Xiaohua Zhai, Avital Oliver, Alexander Kolesnikov, and Lucas Beyer. S41: Self-supervised semi-supervised learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1476–1485, 2019.
- [105] Yongjun Xu, Xin Liu, Xin Cao, Changping Huang, Enke Liu, Sen Qian, Xingchen Liu, Yanjun Wu, Fengliang Dong, Cheng-Wei Qiu, et al. Artificial intelligence: A powerful paradigm for scientific research. *The Innovation*, 2(4):100179, 2021.
- [106] Nathan Baker, Frank Alexander, Timo Bremer, Aric Hagberg, Yannis Kevrekidis, Habib Najm, Manish Parashar, Abani Patra, James Sethian, Stefan Wild, et al. Workshop report on basic research needs for scientific machine learning: Core technologies for artificial intelligence. Technical report, USDOE Office of Science (SC), Washington, DC (United States), 2019.
- [107] Kejun Tang, Xiaoliang Wan, and Chao Yang. Das-pinns: A deep adaptive sampling method for solving high-dimensional partial differential equations. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 476:111868, 2023.

- [108] Ben Moseley, Andrew Markham, and Tarje Nissen-Meyer. Finite basis physics-informed neural networks (fbpinns): a scalable domain decomposition approach for solving differential equations. Advances in Computational Mathematics, 49(4):62, 2023.
- [109] Ben Moseley, Andrew Markham, and Tarje Nissen-Meyer. Solving the wave equation with physics-informed deep learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.11894, 2020.
- [110] Ben Moseley. harmonic-oscillator-pinn. https://github.com/benmoseley/ harmonic-oscillator-pinn, 2022.
- [111] YH Taguchi. Unsupervised feature extraction applied to bioinformatics: A PCA based and TD based approach. Springer Nature, 2019.
- [112] Olivier Chapelle, Bernhard Scholkopf, and Alexander Zien. Semi-supervised learning (chapelle, o. et al., eds.; 2006)[book reviews]. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 20(3):542–542, 2009.
- [113] Yassine Ouali, Céline Hudelot, and Myriam Tami. An overview of deep semi-supervised learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.05278, 2020.
- [114] Yue Fan, Anna Kukleva, Dengxin Dai, and Bernt Schiele. Revisiting consistency regularization for semi-supervised learning. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, pages 1–18, 2022.
- [115] Samuli Laine and Timo Aila. Temporal ensembling for semi-supervised learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.02242, 2016.
- [116] Antti Tarvainen and Harri Valpola. Mean teachers are better role models: Weight-averaged consistency targets improve semi-supervised deep learning results. Advances in neural information processing systems, 30, 2017.
- [117] Takeru Miyato, Shin-ichi Maeda, Masanori Koyama, and Shin Ishii. Virtual adversarial training: a regularization method for supervised and semisupervised learning. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 41(8):1979–1993, 2018.

- [118] Yves Grandvalet and Yoshua Bengio. Semi-supervised learning by entropy minimization. Advances in neural information processing systems, 17, 2004.
- [119] Dong-Hyun Lee et al. Pseudo-label: The simple and efficient semi-supervised learning method for deep neural networks. In Workshop on challenges in representation learning, ICML, volume 3, page 896, 2013.
- [120] Fan Ma, Deyu Meng, Xuanyi Dong, and Yi Yang. Self-paced multi-view co-training. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 2020.
- [121] Avital Oliver, Augustus Odena, Colin A Raffel, Ekin Dogus Cubuk, and Ian Goodfellow. Realistic evaluation of deep semi-supervised learning algorithms. Advances in neural information processing systems, 31, 2018.
- [122] Yi Xu, Jiandong Ding, Lu Zhang, and Shuigeng Zhou. Dp-ssl: Towards robust semi-supervised learning with a few labeled samples. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34:15895–15907, 2021.
- [123] Li Zhang, Tao Xiang, and Shaogang Gong. Learning a deep embedding model for zero-shot learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer* vision and pattern recognition, pages 2021–2030, 2017.
- [124] Chelsea Finn, Pieter Abbeel, and Sergey Levine. Model-agnostic metalearning for fast adaptation of deep networks. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 1126–1135. PMLR, 2017.
- [125] Eyke Hüllermeier and Willem Waegeman. Aleatoric and epistemic uncertainty in machine learning: An introduction to concepts and methods. *Machine Learning*, 110(3):457–506, 2021.
- [126] Armen Der Kiureghian and Ove Ditlevsen. Aleatory or epistemic? does it matter? *Structural safety*, 31(2):105–112, 2009.
- [127] Neil Houlsby, Ferenc Huszár, Zoubin Ghahramani, and Máté Lengyel. Bayesian active learning for classification and preference learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1112.5745, 2011.

- [128] Elmar Haussmann, Michele Fenzi, Kashyap Chitta, Jan Ivanecky, Hanson Xu, Donna Roy, Akshita Mittel, Nicolas Koumchatzky, Clement Farabet, and Jose M Alvarez. Scalable active learning for object detection. In 2020 IEEE intelligent vehicles symposium (iv), pages 1430–1435. IEEE, 2020.
- [129] Burr Settles. Active learning literature survey. Technical report, University of Wisconsin-Madison Department of Computer Sciences, 2009.
- [130] Vu-Linh Nguyen, Mohammad Hossein Shaker, and Eyke Hüllermeier. How to measure uncertainty in uncertainty sampling for active learning. *Machine Learning*, 111(1):89–122, 2022.
- [131] Heinrich Jiang and Maya Gupta. Minimum-margin active learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.00025, 2019.
- [132] Jingyu Shao, Qing Wang, and Fangbing Liu. Learning to sample: an active learning framework. In 2019 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM), pages 538–547. IEEE, 2019.
- [133] Boshuang Huang, Sudeep Salgia, and Qing Zhao. Disagreement-based active learning in online settings. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09056, 2019.
- [134] Andrew McCallum, Kamal Nigam, et al. Employing em and pool-based active learning for text classification. In *ICML*, volume 98, pages 350–358. Citeseer, 1998.
- [135] Prem Melville, Stewart M Yang, Maytal Saar-Tsechansky, and Raymond Mooney. Active learning for probability estimation using jensen-shannon divergence. In Machine Learning: ECML 2005: 16th European Conference on Machine Learning, Porto, Portugal, October 3-7, 2005. Proceedings 16, pages 268–279. Springer, 2005.
- [136] Jingbo Zhu, Huizhen Wang, Tianshun Yao, and Benjamin K Tsou. Active learning with sampling by uncertainty and density for word sense disambiguation and text classification. In Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Computational Linguistics (Coling 2008), pages 1137–1144, 2008.

- [137] Ana Maria Vallina, Hanns de la Fuente-Mella, Jose Barrera, and Hugo Mansilla. Active learning methods to enhance higher education in business. In 13th International Conference on Applied Human Factors and Ergonomics (AHFE 2022), 01 2022.
- [138] Zhi-Hua Zhou. A brief introduction to weakly supervised learning. National science review, 5(1):44–53, 2018.
- [139] Massih-Reza Amini, Vasilii Feofanov, Loic Pauletto, Emilie Devijver, and Yury Maximov. Self-training: A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.12040, 2022.
- [140] Giannis Karamanolakis, Subhabrata Mukherjee, Guoqing Zheng, and Ahmed Hassan Awadallah. Self-training with weak supervision. In Kristina Toutanova, Anna Rumshisky, Luke Zettlemoyer, Dilek Hakkani-Tur, Iz Beltagy, Steven Bethard, Ryan Cotterell, Tanmoy Chakraborty, and Yichao Zhou, editors, Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 845–863, Online, June 2021. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [141] Sebastian Ruder. An overview of multi-task learning in deep neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.05098, 2017.
- [142] Changyu Deng, Xunbi Ji, Colton Rainey, Jianyu Zhang, and Wei Lu. Integrating machine learning with human knowledge. *Iscience*, 23(11):101656, 2020.
- [143] Marta Garnelo and Murray Shanahan. Reconciling deep learning with symbolic artificial intelligence: representing objects and relations. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 29:17–23, 2019.
- [144] Erik Derner, Jiří Kubalík, and Robert Babuška. Selecting informative data samples for model learning through symbolic regression. *IEEE Access*, 9:14148– 14158, 2021.
- [145] Casper Wilstrup and Jaan Kasak. Symbolic regression outperforms other

models for small data sets. arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.15147, 2021.

- [146] Feihong Wu, Jun Zhang, and Vasant Honavar. Learning classifiers using hierarchically structured class taxonomies. In Abstraction, Reformulation and Approximation: 6th International Symposium, SARA 2005, Airth Castle, Scotland, UK, July 26-29, 2005. Proceedings 6, pages 313–320. Springer, 2005.
- [147] Guangzhou An, Masahiro Akiba, Kazuko Omodaka, Toru Nakazawa, and Hideo Yokota. Hierarchical deep learning models using transfer learning for disease detection and classification based on small number of medical images. *Scientific reports*, 11(1):4250, 2021.
- [148] Abdolmaged Alkhulaifi, Fahad Alsahli, and Irfan Ahmad. Knowledge distillation in deep learning and its applications. *PeerJ Computer Science*, 7:e474, 2021.
- [149] Jaemin Yoo, Minyong Cho, Taebum Kim, and U Kang. Knowledge extraction with no observable data. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 32, 2019.
- [150] Samuel Stanton, Pavel Izmailov, Polina Kirichenko, Alexander A Alemi, and Andrew G Wilson. Does knowledge distillation really work? Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34:6906–6919, 2021.
- [151] Anne-Katrin Mahlein, Erich-Christian Oerke, Ulrike Steiner, and Heinz-Wilhelm Dehne. Recent advances in sensing plant diseases for precision crop protection. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 133(1):197–209, 2012.
- [152] Yeen Ting Hwang, Champa Wijekoon, Melanie Kalischuk, Dan Johnson, Ron Howard, Dirk Prüfer, and Lawrence Kawchuk. Evolution and management of the irish potato famine pathogen phytophthora infestans in canada and the united states. *American Journal of Potato Research*, 91, 2014.
- [153] Julio M. Duarte-Carvajalino, Diego F. Alzate, Andrés A. Ramirez, Juan D. Santa-Sepulveda, Alexandra E. Fajardo-Rojas, and Mauricio Soto-Suárez. Evaluating late blight severity in potato crops using unmanned aerial vehicles and machine learning algorithms. *Remote Sensing*, 10, 2018.

- [154] Laura Beggel, Michael Pfeiffer, and Bernd Bischl. Robust anomaly detection in images using adversarial autoencoders. In Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases: European Conference, ECML PKDD 2019, Würzburg, Germany, September 16–20, 2019, Proceedings, Part I, pages 206–222. Springer, 2020.
- [155] Yin Cui, Menglin Jia, Tsung-Yi Lin, Yang Song, and Serge Belongie. Classbalanced loss based on effective number of samples. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 9268–9277, 2019.
- [156] Mahbub Hussain, Jordan J Bird, and Diego R Faria. A study on CNN transfer learning for image classification. In UK Workshop on Computational Intelligence, pages 191–202. Springer, 2018.
- [157] David Hughes, Marcel Salathé, et al. An open access repository of images on plant health to enable the development of mobile disease diagnostics. arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.08060, 2015.
- [158] Shibani Santurkar, Dimitris Tsipras, Andrew Ilyas, and Aleksander Madry. How does batch normalization help optimization? In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, pages 2483–2493, 2018.
- [159] Nils Bjorck, Carla P Gomes, Bart Selman, and Kilian Q Weinberger. Understanding batch normalization. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, pages 7694–7705, 2018.
- [160] Dmytro Mishkin and Jiri Matas. All you need is a good init. arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06422, 2015.
- [161] Jimmy Lei Ba, Jamie Ryan Kiros, and Geoffrey E Hinton. Layer normalization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.06450, 2016.
- [162] Dmitry Ulyanov, Andrea Vedaldi, and Victor Lempitsky. Instance normalization: The missing ingredient for fast stylization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.08022, 2016.

- [163] Yuxin Wu and Kaiming He. Group normalization. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV), pages 3–19, 2018.
- [164] Saurabh Singh and Shankar Krishnan. Filter response normalization layer: Eliminating batch dependence in the training of deep neural networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 11237–11246, 2020.
- [165] Yan Wang, Xiaofu Wu, Yuanyuan Chang, Suofei Zhang, Quan Zhou, and Jun Yan. Batch normalization: Is learning an adaptive gain and bias necessary? In Proceedings of the 2018 10th International Conference on Machine Learning and Computing, pages 36–40, 2018.
- [166] Jonathan Frankle, David J Schwab, and Ari S Morcos. Training batchnorm and only batchnorm: On the expressive power of random features in CNNs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.00152, 2020.
- [167] Qiuyu Zhu, Zikuang He, Tao Zhang, and Wennan Cui. Improving classification performance of softmax loss function based on scalable batch-normalization. *Applied Sciences*, 10(8):2950, 2020.
- [168] Yen-Chang Hsu, Yilin Shen, Hongxia Jin, and Zsolt Kira. Generalized odin: Detecting out-of-distribution image without learning from out-of-distribution data. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 10951–10960, 2020.
- [169] Shiyu Liang, Yixuan Li, and Rayadurgam Srikant. Enhancing the reliability of out-of-distribution image detection in neural networks. In *Proceedings of* 6th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2018, 2018.
- [170] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 770–778, 2016.
- [171] Sharada P Mohanty, David P Hughes, and Marcel Salathé. Using deep learning for image-based plant disease detection. *Frontiers in plant science*, 7:1419, 2016.

- [172] Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. In *Proceedings of International Conference* on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015, 2015.
- [173] B. Zhou, A. Khosla, Lapedriza. A., A. Oliva, and A. Torralba. Learning Deep Features for Discriminative Localization. *CVPR*, 2016.
- [174] Ian Goodfellow, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron Courville. Deep learning. MIT press, 2016.
- [175] Hongyi Zhang, Moustapha Cisse, Yann N Dauphin, and David Lopez-Paz. mixup: Beyond empirical risk minimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.09412, 2017.
- [176] Anders Krogh and John A Hertz. A simple weight decay can improve generalization. In Advances in neural information processing systems, pages 950–957, 1992.
- [177] Christian Szegedy, Wojciech Zaremba, Ilya Sutskever, Joan Bruna, Dumitru Erhan, Ian Goodfellow, and Rob Fergus. Intriguing properties of neural networks. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2014*, 2014.
- [178] Yoshua Bengio et al. Learning deep architectures for ai. Foundations and trends (R) in Machine Learning, 2(1):1–127, 2009.
- [179] Rafael Müller, Simon Kornblith, and Geoffrey E Hinton. When does label smoothing help? In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, pages 4696–4705, 2019.
- [180] Ivan Chelombiev, Conor Houghton, and Cian O'Donnell. Adaptive estimators show information compression in deep neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.09037, 2019.
- [181] Chuan Guo, Geoff Pleiss, Yu Sun, and Kilian Q Weinberger. On calibration of modern neural networks. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference* on Machine Learning-Volume 70, pages 1321–1330. JMLR. org, 2017.

- [182] Glenn W Brier. Verification of forecasts expressed in terms of probability. Monthly weather review, 78(1):1–3, 1950.
- [183] Günter Klambauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Andreas Mayr, and Sepp Hochreiter. Self-normalizing neural networks. In Advances in neural information processing systems, pages 971–980, 2017.
- [184] David Gutman, Noel CF Codella, Emre Celebi, Brian Helba, Michael Marchetti, Nabin Mishra, and Allan Halpern. Skin lesion analysis toward melanoma detection: A challenge at the international symposium on biomedical imaging (isbi) 2016, hosted by the international skin imaging collaboration (isic). arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.01397, 2016.
- [185] Lei Zhang, Fan Yang, Yimin Daniel Zhang, and Ying Julie Zhu. Road crack detection using deep convolutional neural network. In 2016 IEEE international conference on image processing (ICIP), pages 3708–3712. IEEE, 2016.
- [186] Yarin Gal and Zoubin Ghahramani. Dropout as a bayesian approximation: Representing model uncertainty in deep learning. In *international conference* on machine learning, pages 1050–1059, 2016.
- [187] Ashish Jaiswal, Ashwin Ramesh Babu, Mohammad Zaki Zadeh, Debapriya Banerjee, and Fillia Makedon. A survey on contrastive self-supervised learning. *Technologies*, 9(1):2, 2020.
- [188] Robert Epstein. The empty brain: Your brain does not process information and it is not a computer. *Aeon Essays*, 2016.
- [189] Xipeng Qiu, Tianxiang Sun, Yige Xu, Yunfan Shao, Ning Dai, and Xuanjing Huang. Pre-trained models for natural language processing: A survey. *Science China Technological Sciences*, 63(10):1872–1897, 2020.
- [190] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. Advances in neural information processing systems, 30, 2017.

- [191] Jacob Devlin Ming-Wei Chang Kenton and Lee Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *Proceedings of naacL-HLT*, volume 1, page 2, 2019.
- [192] Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. Advances in neural information processing systems, 33:1877–1901, 2020.
- [193] Michael Gutmann and Aapo Hyvärinen. Noise-contrastive estimation: A new estimation principle for unnormalized statistical models. In *Proceedings of* the thirteenth international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics, pages 297–304. JMLR Workshop and Conference Proceedings, 2010.
- [194] Tomas Mikolov, Ilya Sutskever, Kai Chen, Greg S Corrado, and Jeff Dean. Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality. Advances in neural information processing systems, 26, 2013.
- [195] Ting Chen, Simon Kornblith, Mohammad Norouzi, and Geoffrey Hinton. A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. In International conference on machine learning, pages 1597–1607. PMLR, 2020.
- [196] Jean-Bastien Grill, Florian Strub, Florent Altché, Corentin Tallec, Pierre Richemond, Elena Buchatskaya, Carl Doersch, Bernardo Avila Pires, Zhaohan Guo, Mohammad Gheshlaghi Azar, et al. Bootstrap your own latent-a new approach to self-supervised learning. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 33:21271–21284, 2020.
- [197] Stavros P Adam, Stamatios-Aggelos N Alexandropoulos, Panos M Pardalos, and Michael N Vrahatis. No free lunch theorem: A review. Approximation and Optimization: Algorithms, Complexity and Applications, pages 57–82, 2019.
- [198] Kaiming He, Haoqi Fan, Yuxin Wu, Saining Xie, and Ross Girshick. Momentum contrast for unsupervised visual representation learning. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition,

pages 9729–9738, 2020.

- [199] Hiroki Nakamura, Masashi Okada, and Tadahiro Taniguchi. Self-supervised representation learning as multimodal variational inference. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.11437, 2022.
- [200] Mathilde Caron, Ishan Misra, Julien Mairal, Priya Goyal, Piotr Bojanowski, and Armand Joulin. Unsupervised learning of visual features by contrasting cluster assignments. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 33:9912–9924, 2020.
- [201] Jure Zbontar, Li Jing, Ishan Misra, Yann LeCun, and Stéphane Deny. Barlow twins: Self-supervised learning via redundancy reduction. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 12310–12320. PMLR, 2021.
- [202] Mathilde Caron, Hugo Touvron, Ishan Misra, Hervé Jégou, Julien Mairal, Piotr Bojanowski, and Armand Joulin. Emerging properties in self-supervised vision transformers. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pages 9650–9660, 2021.
- [203] Xinlei Chen, Haoqi Fan, Ross Girshick, and Kaiming He. Improved baselines with momentum contrastive learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.04297, 2020.
- [204] Xinlei Chen, Saining Xie, and Kaiming He. An empirical study of training selfsupervised vision transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 9640–9649, 2021.
- [205] Jovana Mitrovic, Brian McWilliams, and Melanie Rey. Less can be more in contrastive learning. In Jessica Zosa Forde, Francisco Ruiz, Melanie F. Pradier, and Aaron Schein, editors, *Proceedings on "I Can't Believe It's Not Better!" at NeurIPS Workshops*, volume 137 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 70–75. PMLR, 12 Dec 2020.
- [206] Daesoo Lee and Erlend Aune. Vibcreg: Variance-invariance-better-covariance regularization for self-supervised learning on time series. arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.00783, 2021.

- [207] Souradip Chakraborty, Aritra Roy Gosthipaty, and Sayak Paul. G-simclr: Selfsupervised contrastive learning with guided projection via pseudo labelling. In 2020 International Conference on Data Mining Workshops (ICDMW), pages 912–916. IEEE, 2020.
- [208] Ali Borji, Ming-Ming Cheng, Qibin Hou, Huaizu Jiang, and Jia Li. Salient object detection: A survey. *Computational visual media*, 5(2):117–150, 2019.
- [209] Marius Leordeanu. Unsupervised Learning in Space and Time, volume 1. Springer, 2020.
- [210] Yuhua Chen, Cordelia Schmid, and Cristian Sminchisescu. Self-supervised learning with geometric constraints in monocular video: Connecting flow, depth, and camera. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference* on Computer Vision, pages 7063–7072, 2019.
- [211] Ozan Ciga and Anne L Martel. Learning to segment images with classification labels. *Medical Image Analysis*, 68:101912, 2021.
- [212] Saleh Albelwi. Survey on self-supervised learning: Auxiliary pretext tasks and contrastive learning methods in imaging. *Entropy*, 24(4):551, 2022.
- [213] Deepak Pathak, Philipp Krahenbuhl, Jeff Donahue, Trevor Darrell, and Alexei A Efros. Context encoders: Feature learning by inpainting. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 2536-2544, 2016.
- [214] Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, and Alexei A Efros. Colorful image colorization. In European conference on computer vision, pages 649–666. Springer, 2016.
- [215] Mehdi Noroozi and Paolo Favaro. Unsupervised learning of visual representations by solving jigsaw puzzles. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 69–84. Springer, 2016.
- [216] Christian Ledig, Lucas Theis, Ferenc Huszár, Jose Caballero, Andrew Cunningham, Alejandro Acosta, Andrew Aitken, Alykhan Tejani, Johannes Totz, Zehan Wang, et al. Photo-realistic single image super-resolution using a

generative adversarial network. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4681–4690, 2017.

- [217] Dejing Xu, Jun Xiao, Zhou Zhao, Jian Shao, Di Xie, and Yueting Zhuang. Self-supervised spatiotemporal learning via video clip order prediction. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 10334–10343, 2019.
- [218] Triantafyllos Afouras, Yuki M Asano, Francois Fagan, Andrea Vedaldi, and Florian Metze. Self-supervised object detection from audio-visual correspondence. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.06401, 2021.
- [219] Abhinav Dhere and Jayanthi Sivaswamy. Self-supervised learning for segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.05456, 2021.
- [220] Ting Chen, Simon Kornblith, Kevin Swersky, Mohammad Norouzi, and Geoffrey E Hinton. Big self-supervised models are strong semi-supervised learners. Advances in neural information processing systems, 33:22243–22255, 2020.
- [221] Alexander Kolesnikov, Xiaohua Zhai, and Lucas Beyer. Revisiting selfsupervised visual representation learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF* conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 1920–1929, 2019.
- [222] Randall Balestriero, Leon Bottou, and Yann LeCun. The effects of regularization and data augmentation are class dependent. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 35:37878–37891, 2022.
- [223] Carsten Rother, Vladimir Kolmogorov, and Andrew Blake. "grabcut" interactive foreground extraction using iterated graph cuts. ACM transactions on graphics (TOG), 23(3):309–314, 2004.
- [224] Ming-Ming Cheng, Niloy J Mitra, Xiaolei Huang, Philip HS Torr, and Shi-Min Hu. Global contrast based salient region detection. *IEEE transactions* on pattern analysis and machine intelligence, 37(3):569–582, 2014.

- [225] Mark Everingham, Luc Van Gool, Christopher KI Williams, John Winn, and Andrew Zisserman. The pascal visual object classes (voc) challenge. *International journal of computer vision*, 88(2):303–338, 2010.
- [226] Xu Ji, Joao F Henriques, and Andrea Vedaldi. Invariant information clustering for unsupervised image classification and segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 9865–9874, 2019.
- [227] Asako Kanezaki. Unsupervised image segmentation by backpropagation. In 2018 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP), pages 1543–1547. IEEE, 2018.
- [228] Wonjik Kim, Asako Kanezaki, and Masayuki Tanaka. Unsupervised learning of image segmentation based on differentiable feature clustering. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 29:8055–8068, 2020.
- [229] Satoshi Iizuka, Edgar Simo-Serra, and Hiroshi Ishikawa. Let there be color! joint end-to-end learning of global and local image priors for automatic image colorization with simultaneous classification. ACM Transactions on Graphics (ToG), 35(4):1–11, 2016.
- [230] Gustav Larsson, Michael Maire, and Gregory Shakhnarovich. Learning representations for automatic colorization. In *European conference on computer* vision, pages 577–593. Springer, 2016.
- [231] Hou Jiang, Ling Yao, Ning Lu, Jun Qin, Tang Liu, Yujun Liu, and Chenghu Zhou. Multi-resolution dataset for photovoltaic panel segmentation from satellite and aerial imagery. *Earth System Science Data*, 13(11):5389–5401, 2021.
- [232] Alex Krizhevsky, Geoffrey Hinton, et al. Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images. Technical report, 2009.
- [233] Adam Coates, Andrew Ng, and Honglak Lee. An analysis of single-layer networks in unsupervised feature learning. In *Proceedings of the fourteenth* international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics, pages 215–223.

JMLR Workshop and Conference Proceedings, 2011.

- [234] Mark Hamilton, Zhoutong Zhang, Bharath Hariharan, Noah Snavely, and William T Freeman. Unsupervised semantic segmentation by distilling feature correspondences. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.08414, 2022.
- [235] Phillip Isola, Jun-Yan Zhu, Tinghui Zhou, and Alexei A Efros. Image-toimage translation with conditional adversarial networks. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 1125–1134, 2017.
- [236] Yuxin Wu, Alexander Kirillov, Francisco Massa, Wan-Yen Lo, and Ross Girshick. Detectron2. <u>https://github.com/facebookresearch/detectron2</u>, 2019.
- [237] Elijah Cole, Xuan Yang, Kimberly Wilber, Oisin Mac Aodha, and Serge Belongie. When does contrastive visual representation learning work? In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 14755–14764, 2022.