



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Urban systems in the Roman Near East: historical and functional dimensions of urbanism in Roman Syria, Mesopotamia, Palestine and Arabia

Kloeg, P.

Citation

Kloeg, P. (2024, February 1). *Urban systems in the Roman Near East: historical and functional dimensions of urbanism in Roman Syria, Mesopotamia, Palestine and Arabia*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3715818>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3715818>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Urban Systems in the Roman Near East

Historical and Functional Dimensions of Urbanism in
Roman Syria, Mesopotamia, Palestine and Arabia

Paul Kloeg

Cover image: *Walls and Grain* (Alexandra Czarnecka)

Printed by: Ridderprint BV, the Netherlands

ISBN: 978-94-6483-624-0

eISBN: 978-94-6483-625-7

© P. Kloeg 2024

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form by any means, without the prior permission of the author

All images, unless explicitly stated otherwise, created by Paul Kloeg

Urban Systems in the Roman Near East

**Historical and Functional Dimensions of Urbanism in
Roman Syria, Mesopotamia, Palestine and Arabia**

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 1 februari 2024

klokke 11:15 uur

door

Paul Kloeg

geboren te Delfgauw

in 1987

Promotores: Prof.dr. L. de Ligt
Prof.dr. J.L. Bintliff

Promotiecommissie: Prof.dr. L.A. Dirven (Radboud Universiteit)
Prof.dr. A.F. de Jong
Prof.dr. J.K. Zangenberg
Dr. L. de Jong (Rijksuniversiteit Groningen)
Dr. A. Palmisano (Università di Torino)
Dr. L.A. Tacoma

Once more unto the breach, dear friends, once more

William Shakespeare. *Henry V*. Act 3, Scene 1

Acknowledgements

During any project, inevitably over time there will be many who contributed to its realisation in some smaller or greater degree, directly or indirectly. That is also very true for this thesis, and as the years added up, so did the number of people from whose interactions I benefited. Some of you have inspired me, others given good advice. Some have challenged me, forced me to revisit my assumptions, others reassured me and strengthened my confidence when I needed it most. You have talked with me, listened to me, thought with me, struggled with me. You have helped me stay focussed, and you have helped me step away and enjoy the greater things in life. You have been part of what turned out to be an eleven year long journey, and helped me reach its destination. Thank you.

There are some I would like to name explicitly for their involvement in the writing of this thesis. In the first place, of course, thanks to my supervisors, Luuk de Ligt and John Bintliff, without whose support and guidance this would never have been possible.

I would like to thank my colleagues who in various capacities were connected to the Empire of 2000 Cities project: Damjan Donev, Peter de Graaf, Matthew Hobson, Pieter Houten, Michalis Karambinis, Bart Noordervliet, Karolien Pazmany, Frida Pellegrino, Chrissoula Tzanetea and Rinse Willet, as well as our office buddies during the Leiden days, Stefan Penders, Zhongxiao Wang and Shanshan Wen. From the mundane to the adventurous, from office lunches to snakes at fieldwork: our shared experiences are the main reason that if anyone asks me whether I would have embarked on this PhD with the benefit of hindsight, the answer will always be yes.

Also, Bart, thanks for squatting bugs, thinking along and keeping the database running all those years, despite my best efforts to overload it every now and then.

Furthermore, a special thanks to Saskia Roselaar, whose feedback and comments were invaluable. Not only did you help me improve the overall quality of this thesis, you also inspired me put in the final efforts to bring this thesis to a close, and helped me realise I could actually finish it.

Naturally, I am greatly indebted to my family for helping me, listening to me and motivating me. Thank you Toepa, Broe, Tincão, for your support and listening ears. And thank you Maximilian, for showing me how much you can get done while also having loads of fun.

But above all, I want to thank my wife, Alexandra Czarnecka. This was absolutely a joint effort. I have only made it this far because you enabled me, encouraged me, put up with me and believed in me as you did, especially at the times where I failed to do so myself. Thank you so much. You are awesome.

Ah, and then of course there is the money, which did after all help to keep me warm and fed over the first few years: the research leading to the results presented here was carried out within the framework of the ERC Advanced Project “An Empire of 2,000 Cities”, and was funded through FP7/2007-2013/ERC Grant no. 324148.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	vii
Table of Contents	ix
Introduction	1
Defining cities	3
Juridical and administrative criteria	4
Functional approaches to ‘urban-ness’	6
Quantitative approaches to Roman urbanism	8
Some observations in existing publications regarding the urban systems of the Roman Near East.....	11
Defining the study area.....	14
Physical geography.....	16
Rainfall (Figure 6)	22
Historical background of Roman annexation	26
Chapter 1 Settlements in the northern Levant	31
1.1 Introduction.....	31
1.2 Regional descriptions.....	32
1.2.1 The Seleucid core	32
1.2.1.1 The size of Antioch and its suburbs.....	34
1.2.1.2 Apamea, Laodicea and Seleucia Pieria.....	45
1.2.2 Coastal cities.....	49
1.2.3 The cities on the Orontes	56
1.2.3.1 Emesa and the former Emesene kingdom	56
1.2.3.2 Baalbek and the Beqaa	57
1.2.4 Cyrrhus and other Seleucid garrison towns	61
1.2.5 Zeugma, the Commagene and the Euphrates cities	65
1.2.6 Palmyra	75
1.2.7 Dura Europos	79
1.2.8 Damascus and Hierapolis	82
1.2.9 Mesopotamian conquests and a look to the East.....	86

1.3 General discussion	93
1.3.1 Public buildings	93
1.3.2 Regional conclusions	97
1.3.2.1 Geographical factors	97
1.3.2.2 Historical trajectories.....	98
1.3.2.3 The urban system.....	102
1.3.3 Settlement-size distribution.....	109
Chapter 2 Settlements in the Southern Levant	113
2.1 Introduction.....	113
2.2 Syria Palaestina	116
2.2.1 Geography and regional organisation	116
2.2.2 Settlements and distribution.....	118
2.2.3 Public buildings and urban profiles	122
2.2.4 Non-urban central places	129
2.2.5 Conclusion.....	134
2.3 Northern Arabia or the Hauran, including the Decapolis, Batanea, Auranitis and Trachonitis	135
2.3.1 Regional definition and known settlements	135
2.3.2 Settlement size and distribution.....	138
2.3.3 Public buildings	143
2.3.4 Non-urban centres: μητροκωμίαι and village rule.....	148
2.3.5 Conclusion.....	154
2.4 Southern Arabia	156
2.4.1 Introduction	156
2.4.2 The lands of Moab: The Madaba plain (Northern Moab) and Kerak plateau (Central and Southern Moab).....	157
2.4.2.1 Hinterland and regional patterns	161
2.4.3 Petra	163
2.4.4 The Negev and the Sinai	168

2.4.5	The ‘Araba, Ḥismā and the Red Sea ports (Figure 29).....	172
2.4.6	Public buildings	176
2.4.7	Conclusion.....	178
2.5	Overall conclusions for the southern Levant	179
2.5.1	Geographical factors	179
2.5.2	Historical trajectories.....	180
2.5.3	The urban system.....	182
Chapter 3	Analysis: size, territory and carrying capacity	189
3.1	Introduction	189
3.1.1	Size distributions	189
3.2	Explaining urban size.....	192
3.2.1	Correlating urban size and territory	192
3.2.1.1	Thiessen polygons.....	194
3.2.1.2	Terrain-weighted distance	200
3.2.1.3	Market distance.....	202
3.2.2	Soil productivity and food consumption	203
3.2.2.1	Agricultural yield.....	205
3.2.2.2	Food consumption and population density.....	209
3.2.2.3	Applying carrying capacity.....	213
3.2.2.4	Extrapolating population trends.....	221
3.2.3	Petra: alternatives to rain-fed dryland farming	226
3.2.4	Alternatives to cereal cultivation: cash crops, industry and trade	230
3.2.4.1	Palmyra as a commercial centre and the caravan cities	234
3.3	Conclusion	240
Chapter 4	Antioch and its territory	241
4.1	Territory size, population and urbanisation	242
4.1.1	The size of Antioch’s administrative territory	242
4.1.2	Urban population densities in the Roman empire	246
4.1.3	Population densities in the cities of Ottoman Syria.....	247

4.1.4	Urban housing in the Roman and Ottoman periods	252
4.1.5	Population estimates for Roman Antioch	253
4.1.6	Urbanisation rates in Ottoman Syria	257
4.2	Secondary settlements in the Antiochene	258
4.2.1	Coverage of towns and larger villages.....	261
4.2.2	Coverage of small villages and farms.....	266
4.2.3	Erosion, soil degradation and longer term settlement patterns	268
4.2.4	Wider regional context.....	269
4.2.5	Potential cereal productivity of territory.....	273
4.3	Conclusion	274
Chapter 5	General conclusions	277
Appendix.....		283
Bibliography.....		305
Nederlandse samenvatting		333
About the author		334