

2'-Fucosyllactose helps butyrate producers outgrow competitors in the infant gut

Versluis, D.; Schoemaker, R.; Looijesteijn, E.; Geurts, J.M.W.; Merks, R.M.H.

Citation

Versluis, D., Schoemaker, R., Looijesteijn, E., Geurts, J. M. W., & Merks, R. M. H. (2023). 2'-Fucosyllactose helps butyrate producers outgrow competitors in the infant gut. *Biorxiv*. doi:10.1101/2023.03.10.532059

Version:Not Applicable (or Unknown)License:Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3704749

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

2'-Fucosyllactose helps butyrate producers outgrow competitors in infant gut microbiota simulations David M. Versluis¹, Ruud Schoemaker², Ellen Looijesteijn², Jan M. W. Geurts², and Roeland M. H. Merks¹,³ ¹Leiden University, Institute of Biology, Leiden, The Netherlands ²FrieslandCampina, Amersfoort, the Netherlands ³Leiden University, Mathematical Institute, Leiden, The Netherlands

Abstract

A reduced capacity for butyrate production by the early infant gut microbiota is asg sociated with negative health effects, such as inflammation and the development of aller-10 gies. Here we develop new hypotheses on the effect of the prebiotic galacto-oligosaccharides 11 (GOS) or 2'-fucosyllactose (2'-FL) on butyrate production by the infant gut microbiota us-12 ing a multiscale, spatiotemporal mathematical model of the infant gut. The model simulates 13 a community of cross-feeding gut bacteria at metabolic detail. It represents the gut micro-14 biome as a grid of bacterial populations that exchange intermediary metabolites, using 20 15 different subspecies-specific metabolic networks taken from the AGORA database. The sim-16 ulations predict that both GOS and 2'-FL promote the growth of *Bifidobacterium*, whereas 17 butyrate producing bacteria are only consistently abundant in the presence of propane-1,2-18 diol, a product of 2'-FL metabolism. The results suggest that in absence of prebiotics or in 19 presence of only GOS, bacterial species, including Cutibacterium acnes and Bacteroides vul-20 *qatus*, outcompete butyrate producers by feeding on intermediary metabolites. In presence 21 of 2'-FL, however, production of propane-1,2-diol specifically supports butyrate producers. 22 23 Keywords: Infant microbiota, Microbial ecology, Flux balance analysis, Cellular automata, 24

Cross-feeding, 2'-Fucosyllactose, Galacto-oligosaccharides

8

25

^{*}r.m.h.merks@biology.leidenuniv.nl

26 1 Introduction

Infants develop a complex microbiota shortly after birth, which is important for healthy growth 27 and development [70]. Here we focus on butyrate, a short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) that is 28 produced in significant amounts by the gut bacteria [20] and is absorbed by the gut colonocytes. 29 Production of butyrate by the microbiota has been suggested to improve the health of infants 30 in a number of ways. Firstly, butyrate in the gut is a key energy source for the gut epithelium, 31 making it important for maintaining the gut barrier function [58]. A breakdown of the gut 32 barrier function due to a lack of butyrate is associated with diseases such as inflammatory 33 bowel disease and rectal cancer [58, 82]. Butyrate production in young infants specifically is 34 associated with a reduced risk of allergies and allergy-associated atopic eczema [11, 51, 81]. 35 Infant butyrate producing bacteria provide protection against food allergies when transplanted 36 into a mouse model [24], suggesting causality. Butyrate production is also associated with a 37 reduced risk of colic in infants [17]. Butyrate also modulates the immune system throughout the 38 body, inhibiting inflammation and carcinogenesis [33]. These data suggest it may be desirable 39 to stimulate butyrate production in the infant gut. Using mechanistic computational modeling, 40 here we investigate how stimulation of butyrate producing bacteria may be achieved in the early 41 infant gut microbiota through supplementation with prebiotics. 42

Microbiota composition and metabolism are influenced by endogenous factors, e.g., gut maturity 43 and inflammation, and exogenous factors, e.g., nutrition, probiotics, and antibiotics. Here 44 we focus on nutrition, which is the primary exogenous factor. Human milk and many infant 45 formulas contain prebiotics such as galacto-oligosaccharides (GOS) and 2'-fucosyllactose (2'-FL), 46 which influence the composition of the gut microbiota and are associated with beneficial health 47 effects for the infant, such as a decreased risk to require antibiotics [7] and reduced manifestation 48 of allergies [49, 67, 28]. It has been hypothesized that some of the health effects associated 49 with prebiotics may be linked to indirect stimulation of butyrate producing bacteria [73, 81]. 50 Thus, both the capacity for butyrate production [11, 81], and prebiotics in nutrition by itself, 51 particularly 2'-FL, have been linked to reduced manifestations of allergies [49, 67, 28]. 52

⁵³ Butyrate producing bacteria such as *Anaerobutyricum hallii* cannot directly consume GOS or 2'-⁵⁴ FL, but they can consume metabolites of GOS or 2'-FL digestion [64]. The primary consumers ⁵⁵ of GOS and 2'-FL in the infant gut are *Bifidobacterium* spp.[8, 9]. Metabolites produced by ⁵⁶ *Bifidobacterium* spp., in turn, become important food sources for butyrate producing bacteria.

For example, *in vitro* it has been found that the butyrate producing bacterium A. hallii (formerly *Eubacterium hallii* [65]) can feed on lactate and propane-1,2-diol (1,2-PD), which are metabolites
of *Bifidobacterium* spp. [64]. A. hallii can also coexist with *Bifidobacterium longum* ssp. *infantis in vitro* on a substrate of glucose or 2'-FL [64].

Despite these in vitro findings that demonstrate potential coexistence of *Bifidobacterium* spp. 61 and butyrate producing bacteria, in vivo, i.e. in the infant gut microbiota, butyrate producing 62 bacteria often only have a low abundance and butyrate is found in the feces of only 35% of infants 63 [3]. It is unclear why butyrate producing bacteria and butyrate are not commonly abundant in 64 vivo, given that in vitro cross-feeding on lactate occurs readily [64], and that lactate-producing 65 Bifidobacterium species are abundant in the gut of most infants [4, 69]. Using computational 66 modeling we explore the conditions that may stimulate butyrate producing bacteria *in vivo* in 67 the infant gut. To this end we will compare simulations of simple microbial communities, such 68 as those studied *in vitro*, with simulations of more complex communities that may more closely 69 resemble the *in vivo* situation. 70

Briefly, the computational model suggests that in simple microbial communities, populations 71 of butyrate producing bacteria can cross-feed on Bifidobacterium metabolites. However, in 72 more complex communities the intermediary metabolites are consumed by competitors instead 73 of butyrate producing bacteria. In the presence of 2'-FL, populations of butyrate producing 74 bacteria are nevertheless supported. The mechanism suggested by our simulations is that Bifi-75 dobacterium produces 1,2-PD from 2'-FL, which specifically feeds butyrate producing species, 76 allowing these to outgrow competing cross-feeders. We provide predictions for interactions in 77 in vivo and in vitro systems and suggestions for in vitro verification of these predictions. 78

79 2 Results

$_{80}$ 2.1 Model outline

To develop new hypotheses on how oligosaccharides can stimulate the production of butyrate, we further develop a multiscale metabolic model (Fig. 1A & B) of the carbon metabolism of the infant gut microbiota [78]. The computational model is based upon our earlier models of the adult and infant microbiota [75, 78]. In comparison with these previous models, the present model simulates a larger number of small bacterial populations, using a larger, more

diverse, and further curated set of metabolic models of gut bacteria from the AGORA database 86 [44]. In particular, we have included the butyrate producers A. hallii, Roseburia inulinivorans 87 and *Clostridium butyricum* and the digestion of the prebiotic oligosaccharides GOS and 2'-FL 88 by Bifidobacterium longum ssp. infantis. The complete community model integrates these 89 predictions of metabolism over space and time to create a multiscale model that covers the 90 development and variation of the infant gut microbiota over the first three weeks of life. Other 91 multiscale metabolic modelling techniques have been used previously to model the adult human 92 microbiota in frameworks such as SteadyCom and Comets [12, 21]. The model presented here 93 distinguishes itself from these frameworks by its focus on the infant gut microbiota, by including 94 factors such as prebiotics and the initial presence of oxygen at birth. 95

Briefly, the spatial model simulates the ecology of an intestinal microbial ecosystem, and features 96 genome-scale metabolic models (GEMs) of intestinal bacteria, spatial structuring, exchange of 97 extracellular metabolites, and population dynamics. The system is simulated on a regular square 98 lattice of 225×8 boxes of 2×2 mm, representing a typical infant color of 45×1.6 cm. Each box 99 contains a simulated metapopulation of one of a set of up to 20 of the most common bacterial 100 species present in the infant gut [4] (Table 1), and concentrations of simulated nutrients and 101 metabolites such as extracellular oligosaccharides and short-chain fatty acids. Based on the 102 concentrations of metabolites, the systems predicts the growth rate for each metapopulation 103 as well as the uptake and excretion rates of metabolites using a GEM taken from AGORA 104 [43], a database of metabolic networks of intestinal bacteria. The system is initialised by 105 distributing, on average, 540 populations over the system at random. Oxygen is introduced 106 during initialisation, and water is always available. 107

After initialisation, the model is simulated in timesteps representing three minutes of real time. 108 Each timestep of the simulation proceeds as follows. Every 3 hours (i.e., 60 timesteps), a 109 mixture of simulated lactose and/or oligosaccharides is added to the leftmost six columns of 110 lattice sites. Then, each step, the model predicts the metabolism of each local population using 111 flux balance analysis (FBA) based on the metabolites present in the local lattice site, the GEM 112 of the species, and the enzymatic constraint. The enzymatic constraint limits the total amount 113 of metabolism that can be performed by each local population per timestep by limiting the 114 maximum summed flux for each FBA solution. The enzymatic constraint is determined by 115 the local population size. This approach allows us to model metabolic switches and trade-offs 116

Name	Phylum	Anaerobic status per [44]	Butyrate producing
Bifidobacterium longum ssp. infantis	Actinomycetota	Obligate anaerobe	no
Bifidobacterium longum ssp. longum	Actinomycetota	Obligate anaerobe	no
Collinsella aerofaciens	Actinomycetota	Obligate anaerobe	no
Cutibacterium acnes	Actinomycetota	Facultative anaerobe	no
Rothia mucilaginosa	Actinomycetota	Microaerophile	no
Eggerthella sp. YY7918	Actinomycetota	Nanaerobe	no
Streptococcus oralis	Bacillota	Facultative anaerobe	no
Staphylococcus epidermidis	Bacillota	Facultative anaerobe	no
Gemella morbillorum	Bacillota	Facultative anaerobe	no
Enterococcus faecalis	Bacillota	Facultative anaerobe	no
Lactobacillus gasseri	Bacillota	Facultative anaerobe	no
Ruminococcus gnavus	Bacillota	Obligate anaerobe	no
Veillonella dispar	Bacillota	Obligate anaerobe	no
Anaerobutyricum hallii	Bacillota	Obligate anaerobe	yes
Roseburia inulinivorans	Bacillota	Obligate anaerobe	yes
Clostridium butyricum	Bacillota	Obligate anaerobe	yes
Parabacteroides distasonis	Bacteroidota	Nanaerobe	no
Bacteroides vulgatus	Bacteroidota	Nanaerobe	no
Haemophilus parainfluenzae	Pseudomonadota	Aerobe	no
Escherichia coli SE11	Pseudomonadota	Facultative anaerobe	no

Table 1: Species and subspecies included in the model. Colour indicates colour used in figures.

[45, 78]. The FBA solution includes a set of influx rates and efflux rates of metabolites that 117 are used to update the environmental metabolite concentrations. The local populations are 118 assumed to grow at a rate linearly proportional to the rate of ATP production[63], which is 119 predicted by FBA by optimizing for ATP production rates. Populations may create a new 120 population in a neighbouring lattice site if the local population is 200 times the initial size 121 (Fig. 1A-1). Populations of more than 400 times the local size, which can only form when 122 density if so high new populations cannot be created, stop metabolism to represent quiescence. 123 Populations spread at random into adjacent lattice sites (Fig. 1A-2); metabolites diffuse and 124 advect towards the back of the tube (Fig. 1A-3&4). To mimic excretion, metabolites and 125 populations are deleted from the most distal column each timestep. To represent bacterial 126 colonisation, new populations of randomly selected species are introduced into empty lattice 127 sites at a small probability. All parameters are given in table 2. Details of the model are given 128 in section Methods. 129



Figure 1: Model predicts coexistence of *Bifidobacterium* and butyrate producing bacteria in absence of competition

(A) Schematic of the model. Circles represent bacterial populations, colour represents species. Flow through the tube is from left (proximal) to right (distal). Nutrients entered the system proximally. All metabolites leave the system distally. Lattice dimensions are schematic.

(B) Screenshots of the model at a single time point, showing, from top to bottom, the bacterial layer, lactose, lactate, and acetate. Brightness indicates growth in the bacterial layer, and concentration in the metabolic layers.

(C,D) Abundance of (C) *Bifidobacterium* spp., (D) butyrate producing bacteria, at the end of 21 days for 30 sets of simulations with no prebiotics, no prebiotics and additional lactose, with GOS, or with 2'-FL at the end of 21 days. n=30 for each condition, each simulation is represented by one dot.

Table 2. 1 arameters of the model				
Parameter	Value	Unit		
Lattice side length	2	mm		
Width of lattice	225	lattice sites		
Height of lattice	8	lattice sites		
Timestep	180	seconds		
Average number of initial populations	540	-		
New population placement probability	0.00005	per timestep per empty lattice site		
Population death probability	0.0075	per timestep per population		
Initial size per population	$5 \cdot 10^{7}$	no. of bacteria		
Population size to create a new population	$1 \cdot 10^{10}$	no. of bacteria		
Maximum population size	$2 \cdot 10^{10}$	no. of bacteria		
ATP to grow one cell	$1 \cdot 10^{-15}$	mol		
Enzymatic constraint	2	µmol flux per timestep per $1 \cdot 10^{10}$ bacteria		
Nutrient input	211	µmol per nutrient per 60 timesteps		
Initial oxygen	0.1	µmol per lattice site		
Metabolic advection	2	mm per timestep		
Diffusion (metabolites and bacteria)	$6.3 \cdot 10^{5}$	square cm per second		

Table 2: Parameters of the model

2.2 Model with simplified consortium of species predicts coexistence of bu tyrate producing bacteria and *Bifidobacterium*

We first simulated the model using a simplified consortium of species, the two *Bifidobacterium* 132 longum subspecies (table 1) and three butyrate producing species: Anaerobutyricum hallii, 133 Clostridium butyricum, and Roseburia inulinivorans. We performed 30 simulations for each 134 of four conditions, in which the following sugars were introduced every three simulated hours: 135 (1) 211 µmol lactose and no prebiotics, (2) 422 µmol lactose and no prebiotics, (3) 211 µmol 136 lactose plus 211 µmol GOS, and (4) 211 µmol lactose plus 211 µmol 2'-FL. We estimated 137 211 µmol lactose to be a realistic amount of lactose to reach the infant colon, given infant 138 intake and small intestinal uptake [5, 12]. As there is little absorption by the small intestine of 139 prebiotics [27], the amount of prebiotics in the nutrition consumed by the infant would be much 140 smaller than the amount of lactose. We also include the 422 µmol lactose condition to control 141 for the possibility that effects in the conditions with prebiotics are due the larger amount of 142 sugar present conditions, instead of their type. The condition with 422 µmol lactose does not 143 correspond to an *in vivo* condition. We analyzed the abundance of each species at the end of 144 10080 timesteps, representing 21 simulated days. In each of the four conditions Bifidobacterium 145 bacteria (Fig. 1C) and butyrate producing bacteria coexisted (Fig. 1D), and, paradoxically, 146 butyrate producing bacteria were reduced in presence of prebiotics. 147

In presence of competitors, model predicts coexistence of butyrate pro ducing bacteria and *Bifidobacterium* in the presence of 2'FL but not in presence of GOS

We next examined the behaviour of the system in the presence of a more complex consortium, 151 consisting of all 20 species and subspecies listed in Table 1, simulating the same four condi-152 tions. In absence of prebiotics, regardless of the quantity of lactose, the model predicted that 153 Bifidobacterium, Bacteroides and Escherichia became the most abundant genera after three 154 weeks (Fig. 2A, S1 Video), consistent with *in vivo* observation [4, 69]. We also observed some 155 abundance of Bacilli in accordance with in vivo observations [4, 19, 69]. The higher quantity 156 of lactose resulted in a higher average abundance for all major groups. In absence of prebi-157 otics, butyrate producing bacteria achieved a combined abundance over $1 \cdot 10^{10}$ in only 4 of 158 the 30 simulations with 211 µmol of lactose per 3 hours, and 6 of the 30 with 422 µmol of 159 lactose (Fig. 2B). In the remaining simulations, the butyrate producing bacteria remained al-160 most absent, staying below $1 \cdot 10^{10}$ bacteria. In the simulations with GOS, *Bifidobacterium* 161 was more abundant than in the condition without prebiotics (p<0.001,Fig. 2A) whereas the 162 butyrate producing bacteria were not affected (p=0.18) (Fig. 2B). With GOS, butyrate pro-163 ducing bacteria also had a combined abundance of over $1 \cdot 10^{10}$ bacteria at the end of 13 of the 164 30 simulations (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, in the condition with 2'-FL the abundance of butyrate 165 producing bacteria was over $1 \cdot 10^{10}$ bacteria at the end of 19 of 30 simulations (Fig. 2B), and 166 the butyrate producing species were more abundant (Fig. 2A, S2 Video) than in the other 167 conditions. Thus 2'-FL but not GOS stimulated butyrate producing bacteria in the complex 168 community. To test for any concentration-dependence or cross-talk between 2'-FL and GOS 169 we next performed sets of 30 simulations in presence of 211 µmol lactose and levels of 2'-FL 170 and GOS varying between 21.1 µmol to 211 µmol per three hours and combinations thereof 171 (Fig. S1). The amount of 2'-FL (p=0.017, Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test) but not that of GOS 172 (p=0.658, Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test) affected the abundance of butyrate producing bacte-173 ria, further supporting the prediction that 2'-FL but not GOS stimulates butyrate producing 174 bacteria in the complex community. 175

¹⁷⁶ In order to investigate why 2'-FL led to a more consistent abundance of butyrate producing ¹⁷⁷ bacteria we analysed the metabolic interactions between bacterial species. We visualised the ¹⁷⁸ network of metabolic fluxes between the bacteria using arrows between species and metabolite

pools in Fig. 2C-E. The resulting diagrams show both primary consumption, i.e., uptake of nu-179 trients such as lactose, GOS, and 2'-FL, and cross-feeding, i.e., uptake of metabolites produced 180 by other species. Sample visualisations of the condition without prebiotics (211 µmol lactose) 181 (Fig. 2C, S3 Video) and the condition with GOS (Fig. 2D) revealed co-occurrence of species 182 and cross-feeding, but no butyrate production. In these simulations the cross-feeding metabo-183 lite lactate, which is a known substrate for butyrate producing bacteria [64], was consumed by 184 Bacteroides vulgatus and Cutibacterium acnes, respectively. Butyrate formation only occurred 185 in the sample simulation with 2'-FL (Fig. 2E). Only in presence of 2'-FL and not in the other 186 conditions, was a flux of 1,2-PD directed towards the butyrate producing species (Fig. 2E and 187 S4 Video). We therefore hypothesised that butyrate producing species may be more abundant 188 in the model simulations with 2'-FL, because 2'-FL digestion by *Bifidobacterium* produces 1,2-189 PD as a cross-feeding substrate. 1,2-PD is a known Bifidobacterium metabolite from 2'-FL in 190 vitro [64]. To test this hypothesis, we performed new sets of simulations with 2'-FL in which 191 we blocked the uptake by butyrate producing bacteria of either lactose, lactate, or 1,2-PD, i.e. 192 the uptake of metabolites most consumed by butyrate producing bacteria was disabled. Indeed, 193 blocking the uptake of any of these metabolites led to a reduction of butyrate producing bacteria 194 (Fig. 2F). Thus a flux of lactose, lactate, but also 1,2-PD that is only produced in presence of 195 2'-FL, was required for sustaining butyrate producing bacteria in our simulations. 196

We next turned to the model with the simplified consortium of species, the two Bifidobacterium 197 subspecies and three butyrate producing species, to test if uptake of lactose, lactate and 1,2-PD 198 was also required for butyrate producing bacteria to become abundant with this consortium. 199 After blocking the uptake of lactose, lactate, or 1,2-PD by butyrate producing bacteria, the 200 abundance of butyrate producing bacteria was reduced at the end of the simulations compared 201 to the control (Fig. 3A). Surprisingly, however, and in contrast to the complete system (Fig. 202 2F), butyrate producing populations retained an abundance over $1 \cdot 10^{10}$ bacteria in respectively 203 27 and 30 of 30 simulations when lactose or 1,2-PD uptake was disabled. Thus neither lactose 204 nor 1,2-PD were essential for butyrate producing bacteria. Altogether, 1,2-PD, and thus 2'-FL, 205 was required for butyrate producing bacteria in the complex system, but not in the simplified 206 system. Thus these model simulations suggest that supplementation with 2'-FL introduces a flux 207 of 1,2-PD from *Bifidobacterium* spp. to butyrate producing bacteria that prevents competitive 208 exclusion of butyrate producers by competitors such as B. vulgatus (fig. 2C) or C. acnes (fig. 209 2D). 210



Figure 2: Unlike GOS, 2'-FL leads to stimulation of butyrate producing bacteria through 1,2-PD in the full simulated microbiota

(A) Relative abundance of bacterial species in the condition with no prebiotics, no prebiotics and additional lactose, with GOS, or with 2'-FL at the end of 21 days. n=30 for each condition, each simulation is weighed equally. The key to the species in each group is in table 1.

(B) Abundance of butyrate producing bacteria at the end of 21 days for the four conditions of A. n=30 for each condition. Each simulation is represented by one dot. p<0.001 for 2'-FL compared to no prebiotics and no prebiotics with additional lactose. p=0.004 for 2'-FL compared to GOS.

(C,D,E) Visualisation of metabolic interactions in a sample simulation (C) without prebiotics (211 µmol lactose per three hours) (D) with GOS (DP3,DP4, and DP5 displayed separately) (E) with 2'-FL. Line width is scaled with the flux per metabolite over the last 60 timesteps, multiplied by the carbon content of the molecule, with a minimum threshold of 100 µmol atomic carbon. Data from last 3 hours, step 10020 to 10080. Circles indicate nutrients.

(F) Abundance of butyrate producing bacteria with 2'FL at the end of 21 days. Uptake of lactose, lactate, or 1,2-PD by butyrate producing bacteria is disabled in the 'no lactose', 'no lactate', and 'no 1,2-PD' conditions respectively. p=0.010, p<0.001, p<0.001 for each disabled uptake compared to the baseline, respectively n=30 for each condition. Each simulation is represented by one dot.

NS: Not significant, *: p<0.05, **:p<0.01, ***:p<0.001

211 2.4 Bacteroides vulgatus and C. acnes are effective competitors on different 212 substrates

In the 2'-FL condition butyrate producing bacteria fed on lactate and 1,2-PD (Fig. 2E). In the conditions without 2'-FL no 1,2-PD was produced and lactate was consumed by *B. vulgatus* or *C. acnes* (Fig. 2C&D). This suggests that, in absence of 1,2-PD, *B. vulgatus* and *C. acnes* outcompete the butyrate producing bacteria for lactate. To investigate whether these species could indeed be responsible for outcompeting butyrate producing bacteria we again turned to the model with the simplified consortium and added the potential competitors *B. vulgatus* and *C. acnes* to the consortium one by one.

First we studied the simplified consortium in absence of prebiotics in the conditions with 211 µmol and 422 µmol lactose per three hours. The abundance of butyrate producing bacteria was reduced in presence of *B. vulgatus* but not in presence of *C. acnes* (Fig. 3B, 422 µmol visualized in Fig. S3). After blocking lactose or lactate uptake by *B. vulgatus* in the condition with 211 µmol lactose, the abundance of butyrate producing bacteria was restored (Fig. 3B), indicating that *B. vulgatus* required both lactose and lactate to effectively outcompete the butyrate producing bacteria.

In the conditions with GOS, the situation was reversed: *C. acnes* but not *B. vulgatus* outcompeted butyrate producing bacteria (Fig. 3C). After blocking uptake of lactate by *C. acnes* the abundance of butyrate producing bacteria was restored (Fig. 3C). *C. acnes* does not use lactose in the model. Taken together, these simulations suggest that lactate is required for competitive exclusion of butyrate producing bacteria by *C. acnes*.

In the condition with 2'-FL *B. vulgatus* did not outcompete butyrate producing bacteria (Fig. 3D). *C. acnes* (p=0.001) moderately suppressed butyrate producing bacteria, with 29 of 30 simulations still predicting an abundance of butyrate producing bacteria of over $1 \cdot 10^{10}$ bacteria. This agrees with the simulations using the full consortium (Fig. 2B), which also displayed a robust abundance of butyrate producing bacteria in the 2'-FL condition.



Figure 3: 2'-FL makes butyrate producing bacteria resistant to competition by other infant gut bacteria

(A) Abundance of butyrate producers with 2'-FL and without competitors (only *Bifidobac-terium* and butyrate producers) at the end of 21 days. Uptake of lactose, lactate, or 1,2-PD is disabled for butyrate producers in the 'no lactose', 'no lactate', and 'no 1,2-PD' conditions respectively. n=30 for each condition. Each simulation is represented by one dot. (p<0.001 for each disabled uptake compared to the baseline)

(B,C,D) Abundance of butyrate producers at the end of 21 days (B) without prebiotics, either without competitors (only *Bifidobacterium* and butyrate producers), with addition of *B. vulgatus*, with addition of *B. vulgatus* unable to take up either lactose or lactate, or with addition of *C. acnes.* n=30 for each condition. Each simulation is represented by one dot. p<0.001 for abundance of butyrate producers with *B. vulgatus* compared to no competitors

(C) with GOS, either without competitors (only *Bifidobacterium* and butyrate producers), with addition of *C. acnes*, with addition of *C. acnes* unable to take up lactate, or with addition of *B. vulgatus*. n=30 for each condition. Each simulation is represented by one dot. p<0.001 for abundance of butyrate producers with *C. acnes* compared to no competitors

(D) with 2'-FL, either without competitors (only *Bifidobacterium* and butyrate producers), with addition of *C. acnes*, or with addition of *B. vulgatus*. n=30 for each condition. Each simulation is represented by one dot. p=0.001 for abundance of butyrate producers with *C. acnes* compared to no competitors. NS: Not significant, *: p<0.05, **:p<0.01, ***:p<0.001



Figure 4: Populations of butyrate producing bacteria only grow much faster than their competitors on a mixed substrate of 1,2-PD and lactate

(A) Growth on unlimited lactose and water over a single timestep for butyrate producing bacteria (three rightmost bars, in green) compared to other lactose-fermenting bacteria in the model. (B) Growth on unlimited lactate and water over a single timestep for butyrate producing bacteria (three rightmost bars, in green) compared to other lactate-fermenting bacteria in the model. (C) Growth on unlimited 1,2-PD, acetate, and water over a single timestep for butyrate producing bacteria (two rightmost bars, in green) compared to another 1,2-PD-fermenting bacterial species in the model.

(D) Growth on 1 µmol per ml of 1,2-PD and lactate, and unlimited acetate and water, over a single timestep for butyrate producing bacteria (three rightmost bars, in green) compared to other bacteria in the model for populations of $5 \cdot 10^9$ bacteria with access to one lattice site (0.05ml)

237 2.5 Butyrate producing bacteria can use a mixture of lactate and 1,2-PD 238 as substrates in the 2'-FL condition to grow faster than their competi 239 tors

To analyse how butyrate producing bacteria can outcompete other species only in the presence 240 of 2'-FL but not in the presence of GOS or without prebiotics, we next examined the growth 241 rates per timestep on unlimited quantities of the three key substrates for butyrate producing 242 bacteria indicated above: lactose, lactate, and 1.2-PD. With unlimited availability of lactose, the 243 growth of the three butyrate producing species was reduced relative to the growth of most other 244 species (Fig. 4A). With unlimited lactate, growth for butyrate producing species was superior 245 to the other species, but not to C. acnes (Fig. 4B). In presence of unlimited 1,2-PD and acetate 246 the butyrate producing species A. hallii and Roseburia inulinivorans grew faster than the other 247 species (Fig. 4C). On a mixture of limited lactate and 1,2-PD, with acetate available, two of the 248 three butyrate producing species also grew faster compared to all other species (Fig. 4D). Thus 249 the unique ability of butyrate producing bacteria to grow on 1,2-PD and acetate in the model 250 allowed them to outcompete other lactate-consuming species in environments with 1,2-PD, such 251 as those where *Bifidobacterium* consumes 2'-FL. However, they would be unable to outcompete 252 the same species in conditions without 1,2-PD. 253

254 2.6 Sensitivity analysis

Finally, to test the generality of our observations we performed a sensitivity analysis on the 255 system. The enzymatic constraint (Fig. S2A&B), the death rate and growth rate (through 256 the ATP required per population unit) (Fig. S2C&D), the placement of new populations of 257 random species in empty lattice sites (colonization) (Fig. S2E&F), the diffusion of metabolites 258 and populations (Fig. S2G&H), the amount of initial oxygen (Fig. S2I&J), and quiescence 259 for large populations (Fig. S2K) were varied. We used three conditions for most changed 260 parameters: 211 µmol lactose, 211 µmol lactose plus 211 µmol GOS, and 211 µmol lactose plus 261 211 µmol 2'-FL per three hours. We only used the latter two for disabling quiescence, as no 262 populations entered quiescence during our initial runs with 211 µmol lactose. We found minor 263 sensitivity for most parameter changes (Fig. S2). We found the most notable effects when 264 we disabled colonization or initial oxygen. When we disabled colonization the abundance of 265 butyrate producing bacteria was lower in all three conditions (p < 0.001 for all). The absence of 266

initial oxygen increased the abundance of butyrate producing bacteria in the condition without prebiotics and with 2'-FL (p=0.002, p=0.035). This indicates that the presence of initial oxygen and sustained colonization are particularly important in the simulated system.

270 **3** Discussion

This paper describes a computational study of the effects of the prebiotics GOS and 2'-FL 271 on butyrate producing bacteria in the infant gut microbiota. We have used the model to 272 generate novel hypotheses to explain the — sometimes counter-intuitive — mechanisms at the 273 biochemical and population level that underlie the effects of prebiotics. The model predicts 274 that butyrate producing bacteria can coexist with Bifidobacterium in the infant gut with or 275 without GOS or 2'-FL as long as no other bacterial species are present. As soon as other 276 bacterial species are introduced into the model, we found that they can act as competitors, thus 277 reducing the abundance of butyrate producing bacteria. Specifically, the model predicts that 278 B. vulgatus outcompetes butyrate producing bacteria in absence of prebiotics. The predicted 279 mechanism is that B. vulgatus consumes lactose and lactate, important food sources of the 280 butyrate producing species. In presence of GOS, the model predicts that C. acnes becomes the 281 key competitor of the butyrate producing bacteria due to its lactate consumption. In presence 282 of 2'-FL, however, the butyrate producing species are no longer outcompeted. The mechanism 283 as predicted by the model is as follows. The breakdown of 2'-FL by Bifidobacterium produces 284 1,2-PD. 1,2-PD becomes an additional food source for the butyrate producing bacteria, helping 285 them to outgrow competitors. Thus, our modeling results predict that only 2'-FL, but not GOS 286 supports populations of butyrate producing bacteria in their competition against species such 287 as B. vulgatus and C. acnes. 288

The following *in vitro* and *in vivo* observations agree with these model predictions. Firstly, the 289 model predicts co-existence and crossfeeding between *Bifidobacterium* and butyrate producing 290 species on 2'-FL. In agreement with the model predictions, co-existence of and cross-feeding 291 between Bifidobacterium and butyrate producing bacteria occurs in vitro within simplified, 292 synthetic communities on glucose, fucose, and 2'-FL in the absence of competitors [64]. Secondly, 293 the model predicts that in presence of the competitors such as B. vulgatus and C. acnes, B. 294 vulgatus will become abundant in absence of prebiotics and outcompete butyrate producing 295 species. In agreement with this model prediction, B. vulgatus is often abundant in the in vivo 296

infant gut microbiota [4], and it can consume lactose in vitro [66]. No information is available 297 on lactate consumption of B. vulgatus, but the related Bacteroides fragilis is able to consume 298 lactate in vitro [42]. Thirdly, the model predicts that C. acres outcompetes butyrate producing 299 bacteria in presence of GOS by consuming lactate. In agreement with this prediction, C. acnes 300 is found in 22% of infants [4] and *Cutibacterium avidum*, closely related to C. acnes [62], reduces 301 the abundance of the butyrate producer A. hallii in an in vitro lactate-fed microbiota from infant 302 fecal samples [56]. Both C. acres and C. avidum consume lactate in vitro [29]. Finally, the model 303 predicts that butyrate producing bacteria become competitive through cross-feeding on 1,2-PD, 304 which is produced by *Bifidobacterium longum* from 2'-FL. In agreement with this prediction, 305 the butyrate producer A. hallii cross-feeds on 1,2-PD in an in vitro synthetic community of A. 306 hallii and B. longum [64]. Also in line with this prediction, 2'-FL supplementation increased 307 the abundance of butyrate producing bacteria in *in vitro* fecal communities based on infant 308 fecal samples, which likely include key competitors of butyrate producing species [73]. An *in* 309 vitro colonic fermentation model inoculated with infant feces has previously been used to study 310 the effect of introducing specific competitors to a lactate-consuming infant gut microbiota [56]. 311 This approach could also be used to test if B. vulgatus and C. acres are viable competitors in 312 the infant gut and if the presence of 1,2-PD allows butyrate producing species to outcompete 313 other bacteria. 314

More broadly, the model simulations without prebiotics predict that *Escherichia*, *Bacteroides*, 315 and *Bifidobacterium* become the three most abundant genera, which agrees with the most 316 abundant genera found in the infant gut microbiota around the age of three weeks [4, 69]. The 317 relative abundances the model predicts for butyrate producing species range from 1.4% without 318 prebiotics to 4.8% with 2'-FL, both of which are within the broad range of values reported 319 for the butyrate producing community [3]. However, for two less abundant groups, Bacilli and 320 Veillonella, the model predictions disagree with in vivo data. Firstly, an initially dominant 321 Bacilli phase is sometimes seen in vivo, e.g. in 17.6% of subjects in [19], but not in any model 322 outcomes. An initially dominant Bacilli phase is associated in non-premature infants with a 323 shorter gestational period [19], but it is unclear exactly what factors are responsible. A similar 324 initial dominance of Bacilli that often occurs in premature infants has been hypothesised to be 325 related to selection pressures by the immune system, a different composition of initial colonizers 326 [39], or a defective mucin barrier [18]. Secondly, the model predicted a very low Veillonella 327 dispar abundance in all conditions. These predictions contradict in vivo data [55, 4] in which 328

V. dispar is relatively abundant. V. dispar likely has a lower abundance in the model due to 329 an incorrectly reduced growth rate relative to the other species in the model on lactate, the 330 primary energy source of V. dispar [60], lactate, (Fig. 4B). We do not expect a large influence 331 on the overall model predictions from this discrepancy, as C. acnes has a metabolism similar 332 to that of V. dispar in the model and in vitro: both produce propionate, consume lactate, and 333 cannot consume lactose [29]. However, we cannot exclude that other species in the model, such 334 as Veillonella spp., may be more important competitors in vivo than the competitors that the 335 model predicts. 336

Potential sources of the discrepancies between model predictions and experimental data include: 337 (1) errors in the metabolic predictions of the underlying FBA models; (2) computational errors, 338 and (3) incomplete representation of the biology underlying infant digestion. A typical error 339 occurring in FBA models is an incomplete prediction of metabolic shifts, which is in part due to 340 the assumption of FBA models that the growth rate or energy production is optimised [52]. For 341 example, the FBA model does not correctly predict the metabolic shift from high-yield to low-342 yield metabolism as observed in vitro in Bifidobacterium growing on increasing concentrations 343 of GOS and 2'-FL [84, 16]. FBA only predicts high-yield metabolism. The model, therefore, 344 likely underestimates total lactate production. The effects of this discrepancy on the results are 345 difficult to predict, but as lactate is a cross-feeding substrate, the underestimation of lactate 346 may cause the model to underestimate the abundance of cross-feeding species such as C. acnes 347 or butyrate producing bacteria. The optimality assumption of FBA also ignores any other 'task' 348 that a bacterium has, besides growth. For example, sporulation, toxin production, or metabolic 349 anticipation [48] may limit biomass production. The model does not represent such genetically 350 regulated mechanisms. 351

Further errors in the model predictions can be due to simplifications in the FBA model. For 352 example, we assume that the total flux through the reaction networks is capped (Eq. 4), so as 353 to mimic the maximum volume in a cell that can be filled with enzymes. Here each enzyme is 354 assumed to have equal maximum flux, and the optimization problem then predicts the optimal 355 relative flux distribution. In reality, due to differences in enzyme concentration and enzyme 356 efficiency these maximum fluxes can of course differ, which affects the predictions of FBA 357 [6, 74]. If species-specific data on efficiency and genetic regulation of pathways become available, 358 such weighting could be included in the model. The metabolic predictions from the FBA 359

layer could be further improved in future versions of the model by integrating thermodynamic 360 plausibility and favorability into FBA, which have previously improved metabolic predictions 361 for intracellular metabolism [34, 25]. Additionally, the FBA model includes an extracellular 362 compartment in which long GOS chains are broken down to shorter GOS chains, but it is not 363 possible for extracellular breakdown products to diffuse during this process. Such extracellular 364 digestion may lead to additional competition effects, because competitors may 'steal' digestion 365 products without investing in the enzymes themselves [30]. Such effects may become important 366 if additional species are introduced in the model that digest prebiotics extracellularly, such as 367 Bifidobacterium bifidum [8]. 368

Computational errors in the model (2) include the discretization of time, the discretization of 369 space, and rounding errors in the FBA solver. Firstly, all processes in the model are assumed 370 to be constant within each timestep, which means the model only roughly approximates the 371 continuous temporal dynamics of processes such as metabolism and diffusion. Secondly, we 372 discretize the three-dimensional continuous cylindrical space of the gut into a two-dimensional 373 rectangular grid of lattice sites. We consider each lattice site to be of equal volume and to have 374 equal flow through it. This simplification introduces many errors, as lattice sites must represent 375 different shapes of three-dimensional space, and these shapes are not connected as they would 376 be in three-dimensional space. It is difficult to estimate what impact these discretizations have 377 on the model. Finally, the FBA solver uses floating point arithmetic to generate a deterministic 378 but not exact solution to each FBA problem. These distortions are very small, typically on the 379 order of 10^{-15} µmol per metabolite per FBA solution, so we do not expect a notable effect on 380 the results. 381

Errors in the model predictions due to an incomplete representation of the biology underlying 382 infant digestion (3) include missing organisms, missing ecological interactions, the simplifica-383 tions we made to the metabolic input, and missing representation of host interactions. Firstly, 384 the model does not include fungi or archaea in the infant gut. Both groups occur at a lower 385 absolute abundance than the bacterial microbiota, but may still influence it [59]. Secondly, the 386 model does not include interactions between bacteria other than cross-feeding and competition 387 for resources. Missing interactions include acidification of the gut [14], the production of bac-388 teriocins [22] and the effects of phage infections [47], all of which have species-specific effects. 389 Thirdly, the model does not include the input of fats, proteins, or minerals into the gut. This 390

means that the model cannot represent stimulation of growth by digestion of fats or proteins, nor potential limits on growth due to, for example, the lack of iron [46] or essential amino acids [41]. Finally, the model does not represent the interactions of the host with the microbiota, such as the continuous secretion by the gut wall of mucin [37] and oxygen [1], and the uptake of short-chain fatty acids [79]. Colonic mucins in particular could greatly influence the microbiota, as *B. bifidum* consumes colonic mucins extracellularly, which facilitates cross-feeding by butyrate producing bacteria *in vitro* [10].

Despite the inevitable limitations of the model, we have shown here how the model can be used to produce testable predictions on the effects of prebiotics and competition on butyrate producing bacteria in the infant gut microbiota. Future versions of the model may be a useful help in follow-up studies on the effects of nutrition on bacterial population dynamics in the infant and adult gut microbiota.

403 4 Methods

We used a spatially explicit model to represent the newborn infant gut microbiota. The model is based on our earlier models of a general microbiota [75] and the infant microbiota [78]. Prebiotic digestion is the most important addition in the present version of the model.

The model consists of a regular square lattice of 225×8 lattice sites, with each lattice site representing 2×2 mm of space. Taken together this represents an infant colon of 450×16 mm, in line with *in vivo* estimates [72, 15]. Each lattice site can contain an amount of the 735 metabolites represented in the model, as well as a single bacterial population.

411 4.1 Species Composition

Species were selected based on [4], using sheet 2 of their Table S3. We selected the 20 entries with the highest prevalence in vaginally delivered newborns. After removing two duplicate entries we selected a representative species for each genus from the AGORA database [43]. We then added an additional *Bifidobacterium longum* ssp. *infantis* GEM to serve as prebiotic consumer, and a *Roseburia inulinivorans* GEM. *Roseburia* spp. have been shown to be a prevalent butyrate producing bacterium in infants in other studies [3]. Together, these form the list of species (Table 1).

419 4.2 Changes from AGORA

The model uses GEMs generated in the AGORA project [44]. We have applied various changes and additions to these models (Table S1).

We have added digestion of GOS or 2'-FL to the *B. longum* ssp. *infantis* GEM as follows. 2'-FL digestion was implemented by adding reactions representing an ABC-transporter and an intracellular fucosidase that breaks 2'-FL down to lactose and fucose [84]. GOS was represented through separate DP3, DP4, and DP5 fractions [77]. The DP4 and DP5 fractions are broken down extracellularly to DP3 and DP4 fractions respectively, releasing one galactose molecule in the process [76]. The DP3 fraction is taken up with an ABC transporter, and broken down internally to lactose and galactose [76].

We have also further expanded earlier curation of the AGORA GEMs [78]. We disabled anaer-429 obic L-lactate uptake for the Bifidobacterium GEMs and for E. coli in line with available lit-430 erature [23, 13]. To have the GEMs correspond with existing literature on lactose uptake we 431 added a lactose symporter to Anaerobutyricum hallii [10], both Bifidobacterium longum GEMs 432 [54], Roseburia inulinivorans [57], Haemophilus parainfluenzae [32], and Rothia mucilaginosa 433 [71]. We also added galactose metabolism to R. inulinivorans [35] and R. mucilaginosa [71]. 434 Further changes were made to prevent unrealistic growths and the destruction of atoms within 435 reactions (Table S1). 436

437 4.3 Validity checks

After applying the changes in Table S1 we tested all GEMs individually for growth on a substrate
of lactose and water. In line with literature, this did not lead to growth for *Veillonella disparans*[60], *Cutibacterium acnes* [29], *Eggerthella* sp. YY7918 [83], and *Gemella morbillorum* [80]. All
other species grew on this substrate. We also checked for any spurious growth by checking each
GEM for growth with only water present.

⁴⁴³ During each simulation, the model checks the FBA solutions for thermodynamic plausibility. ⁴⁴⁴ The model uses a database of Gibbs free energy values [50] for all metabolites except 2'-FL and ⁴⁴⁵ GOS. Values for 2'-FL and GOS were generated from the values for lactose and fucose, and ⁴⁴⁶ lactose and galactose, respectively. Separate values were generated for the separate fractions ⁴⁴⁷ of GOS. All values assumed a pH of 7 and an ionic strength of 0.1 M. We found that in the ⁴⁴⁸ simulations of Fig. 2A with the baseline level of lactose, combined with those with GOS and

2'-FL (n=90) 99.98% of all FBA solutions had a lower or equal amount of Gibbs free energy in
the output compared to the input. The remaining 0.02% of FBA solutions was responsible for
0.003% of total bacterial growth.

452 4.4 FBA with enzymatic constraint

Although other aspects of the model were changed, the FBA approach we used is identical to 453 that used in the earlier model [78]. The model uses a modified version of flux balance analysis 454 with an enzymatic constraint to calculate the metabolic inputs and outputs of each population 455 at each timestep [52, 45]. Each GEM is first converted to a stoichiometric matrix S. Reversible 456 reactions are converted to two irreversible reactions, so that flux is always greater than or equal 457 to 0. Reactions identified in the GEM as 'exchange', 'sink', or 'demand' in the GEM are also 458 recorded as 'exchange' reactions. These exchange reactions are allowed to take up or deposit 459 metabolites into the environment. Each timestep, all reactions are assumed to be in internal 460 steady state: 461

$$S \cdot \vec{f} = 0, \tag{1}$$

where \vec{f} is a vector of the metabolic fluxes through each reaction in the network, in mol per time unit per population unit.

Each exchange reaction that takes up metabolites from the environment F_{in} is constrained by an upper bound F_{ub} which represents the availability of metabolites from the environment. It is determined as follows:

$$\vec{F_{in}} \le \vec{F}_{ub},\tag{2}$$

where $\vec{F_{in}}$ is a vector of fluxes between the environment and the bacterial population. \vec{F}_{ub} is a vector of upper bounds on these fluxes. \vec{F}_{ub} is set dynamically at each timestep t by the spatial environment at each lattice site \vec{x} :

$$\vec{F}_{ub}(\vec{x},t) = \frac{\vec{c}(\vec{x},t)}{B(\vec{x},t)},$$
(3)

where \vec{c} is a vector of all metabolite concentrations in mol per lattice site, \vec{x} is the location and $B(\vec{x},t)$ is the size of the local bacterial population. The size of B can range from $5 \cdot 10^7$ to $2 \cdot 10^{10}$ bacterial cells.

Finally the enzymatic constraint constrains the total flux through the network. It representsthe maximum, total amount of flux that can be performed per cell in each population:

$$\sum \vec{f} \le a. \tag{4}$$

The enzymatic constraint a is in mol per time unit per population unit. As both \vec{f} and a are 475 per population unit, this limit scales with population size, so each bacterial cell contributes 476 equally to the metabolic flux possible in a lattice site. The enzymatic constraint is included 477 as a constraint on each FBA solution. Given the constraints, FBA identifies the solution that 478 optimises the objective function, ATP production. The solution consists of a set of input and 479 output exchange fluxes $\vec{F_{in}}(\vec{x},t)$ and $\vec{F_{out}}(\vec{x},t)$, and a growth rate $g(\vec{x},t)$. The exchange fluxes 480 are taken as the derivatives of a set of partial-differential equations to model the exchange of 481 metabolites with the environment. The size of the population increases proportionally to the 482 growth rate in the FBA solution. 483

To mimic quiescence at high densities, populations above the spreading threshold of $2 \cdot 10^{10}$ bacteria do not perform metabolism. In practice this rarely occurs because we maintain sufficient space for populations to spread into empty lattice sites. In the simulations of Fig. 2A (n=120) metabolism was not performed in, on average, 0.05% of all populations in a timestep.

488 4.5 Environmental metabolites

We model 735 different extracellular metabolites. This is the union of all metabolites that can be exchanged with the environment by at least one GEM in the model. In the simulations 39 metabolites are present in the medium in more than micromolar amounts at any point. We combine the L-lactate and D-lactate metabolites for fig. 1B, Video S1 and Video S2. Nearly all lactate in the model is L-lactate.

To represent the mixing of metabolites by colonic contractions we apply a diffusion process to the metabolites at each timestep. Metabolic diffusion is applied in two equal steps to the model. In each step, 14.25% of each metabolite diffuses from each lattice site to each of the four nearest

⁴⁹⁷ neighbours. This causes a net diffusion each timestep of $6.3 \cdot 10^5 \ cm^2/s$. Metabolites are also ⁴⁹⁸ added and removed by bacterial populations as a result of the FBA solutions, yielding

$$\frac{d\vec{c}(\vec{x},t)}{dt} = \vec{F_{out}}(\vec{x},t)B(\vec{x},t) - \vec{F_{in}}(\vec{x},t)B(\vec{x},t) + \frac{D}{L^2}\sum_{\vec{i}\in \text{NB}(\vec{x})} \left(\vec{c}(\vec{i},t) - \vec{c}(\vec{x},t)\right),\tag{5}$$

where $\vec{F_{out}}(\vec{x}, t)$ is a vector of fluxes from the bacterial populations to the environment, in mol per time unit per population unit, D is the diffusion constant, L is the lattice side length, and $NB(\vec{x})$ are the four nearest neighbours.

All metabolites except oxygen are moved distally by one lattice site every timestep to represent advection. The transit time, including diffusion, is approximately 11 hours, corresponding with *in vivo* observations in newborn infants [61, 36]. Metabolites at the most distal column of the lattice, the end of the colon, are removed from the system at each timestep.

Every 60 timesteps (representing three hours) metabolites representing inflow from the small 506 intestine are inserted into the first six columns of lattice sites. Three hours represents a real-507 istic feeding interval for neonates [31]. Food intake contains 211 µmol of lactose by default, a 508 concentration in line with human milk [5], assuming 98% host uptake of carbohydrates before 509 reaching the colon [12]. In some simulations 211 µmol of additional lactose, GOS, or 2'-FL is 510 added. Because there is very little uptake of prebiotics by the infant [27], the oral intake of 511 prebiotics would be much lower than that of lactose. GOS is inserted as separate fractions of 512 DP3, DP4, or DP5 based on analysis of the composition of Vivinal-GOS [77]. 64% is DP3, 28% 513 is DP4 and 8% is DP5. Water is provided in unlimited quantities. Oxygen is placed during 514 initialisation [68] at 0.1 µmol per lattice site. No other metabolites are available, other than 515 those produced as a result of bacterial metabolism within the model. 516

517 4.6 Population dynamics

⁵¹⁸ During initialization there is a probability of 0.3 for each lattice site to get a population of size ⁵¹⁹ $5 \cdot 10^7$ of a random species (Table 1). Taken together, this averages around 540 populations, ⁵²⁰ leading to a total initial bacterial load of $2.7 \cdot 10^{10}$, in line with *in vivo* estimates [53] when we ⁵²¹ assume a uniform bacterial density and a total colon volume of 90 ml. In each timestep each ⁵²² local population solves the FBA problem based on its own GEM, the enzymatic constraint *a*, ⁵²³ its current population size $B(\vec{x}, t)$ and the local concentrations of metabolites $\vec{c}(\vec{x}, t)$, and applies

the outcome to the environment (see above) and the growth rate $g(\vec{x}, t)$ to its own population size, as follows:

$$\frac{dB(\vec{x},t)}{dt} = B(\vec{x},t)g(\vec{x},t).$$
(6)

Each step, each population of at least $1 \cdot 10^{10}$ bacteria (Table 2) will create a new population if 526 an adjacent empty lattice site is available. Half of the old population size is transferred to the 527 new population, so that the total size is preserved. To mimic colonisation new populations are 528 introduced at random into empty lattice sites during the simulation, representing both dormant 529 bacteria from colonic crypts [40] and small bacterial populations formed from ingested bacteria, 530 which may only become active after being moved far into the gut. Each empty lattice site has 531 a probability of 0.00005 (Table 2) each step to acquire a new population of a randomly selected 532 species. All species have an equal probability to be selected. We initialise these populations at 533 the same population size B as the initial populations in the model (Table 2). Each population 534 dies out at a probability of 0.0075 per timestep, creating a turnover within the range of estimated 535 microbial turnover rates in the mouse microbiota [26]. 536

To mix the bacterial populations the lattice sites swap population contents each timestep. We 537 use an algorithm inspired by Kawasaki dynamics [38], also used previously for bacterial mixing 538 [78, 75]: In random order, the bacterial content of each site, i.e., the bacterial population 539 represented by its size $B(\vec{x},t)$ and the GEM, are swapped with a site randomly selected from 540 the Moore neighbourhood. This swap only occurs if both the origin and destination site have 541 not already swapped in this timestep. With this mixing method the diffusion constant of the 542 bacterial populations is $6.3 \cdot 10^5 cm^2/s$, equal to that of the metabolites. Bacterial populations 543 at the most distal column, i.e. at the exit of the colon, are removed from the system. To 544 increase the bacterial diffusion rate in the sensitivity analysis this process was executed five 545 times, marking all sites as unswapped after each execution. To decrease the bacterial diffusion 546 rate the number of swaps was limited to a fifth of the usual number of swaps. 547

548 4.7 Analysis

We record the size, species, location, and important exchange fluxes $\vec{F_{in}}(\vec{x},t)$ and $\vec{F_{out}}(\vec{x},t)$ for each population at each timestep. To detect irregularities we also record the net flux of carbon,

⁵⁵¹ hydrogen, oxygen, and Gibbs free energy for every population at each timestep. Gibbs free ⁵⁵² energy is estimated using the Equillibrator database [50]. Energy loss l in joules per timestep ⁵⁵³ per population unit is recorded as follows, where i are metabolites, F is the exchange flux rate ⁵⁵⁴ in mol per timestep per population unit and E contains the Gibbs free energy in joules per mol ⁵⁵⁵ for each metabolite,

$$l = \sum_{i} F(i) \cdot E(i).$$
(7)

556 4.8 Parameters

⁵⁵⁷ Parameters of the system are listed in table 2. We estimate a total volume of 90ml for the infant ⁵⁵⁸ colon [72, 15], which leads to a rough estimate on the order of 10^{12} bacteria in the newborn ⁵⁵⁹ infant colon given an abundance per ml of around 10^{10} [53]. Values for free parameters were ⁵⁶⁰ estimated and evaluated in the sensitivity analysis.

561 4.9 Implementation

We implemented the model in C++11. We based the model on our own earlier models of 562 the gut microbiota [75, 78]. Random numbers are generated with Knuth's subtractive random 563 number generator algorithm. Diffusion of metabolites was implemented using the Forward 564 Euler method. The GEMs are loaded using libSBML 5.18.0 for C++. We used the GNU 565 Linear Programming Kit 4.65 (GLPK) as a linear programming tool to solve each FBA with 566 enzymatic constraint. We used the May 2019 update of AGORA, the latest at time of writing, 567 from the Virtual Metabolic Human Project website (vmh.life). We used Python 3.6 to extract 568 thermodynamic data from the eQuilibrator API (December 2018 update) [50]. When not noted 569 otherwise p-values were calculated with R 4.2.1 using the Mann-Whitney test from the 'stats' 570 package 3.6.2. Model screenshots were made using the libpng16 and pngwriter libraries. Other 571 visualisations were performed with R 4.2.1 and Google Sheets. Raincloud visualisations used a 572 modified version of the Raincloud plots library for R [2]. 573

574 5 Supplemental material

575 S1 Table.

576 Table of changed or deleted reactions and annotations.csv

577 A table of changes made to the AGORA models as a .csv file.

578 S1 Video.

Video of a simulation with no prebiotics, consisting of a visualisation of the distribution of bacterial species and major metabolites. Lines represent, from top to bottom:
Bacteria, lactose, 2'-FL, lactate (Both L and D), acetate, 1,2-PD, butyrate, succinate, CO2,
H2, propionate

583 S2 Video.

Video of a simulation with 2'-FL, consisting of a visualisation of the distribution of bacterial species and major metabolites. Lines represent, from top to bottom: Bacteria, lactose, 2'-FL, lactate (Both L and D), acetate, 1,2-PD, butyrate, succinate, CO2, H2, propionate

588 S3 Video.

Video of a simulation without prebiotics, displaying fluxes between population and metabolite pools Line width is scaled with the flux per metabolite over 60 timesteps per frame, multiplied by the carbon content of the molecule, with a minimum threshold of 100 µmol atomic carbon.

593

594 S4 Video.

Video of a simulation with 2'-FL, displaying fluxes between population and metabolite pools. Line width is scaled with the flux per metabolite over the 60 timesteps per frame, multiplied by the carbon content of the molecule, with a minimum threshold of 100 µmol atomic carbon.

599



600 S1 Figure.

Relative abundance of bacterial species at the end of 21 days with varying inputs of 2'-FL and GOS compared to the fixed input amount of lactose. n=30 for each condition, each simulation is weighed equally.



⁶⁰⁴ S2 Figure.

(A to K) Relative abundance of bacterial species in the conditions with no pre-605 biotics, with GOS, or with 2'-FL at the end of 21 days, with the following alteration 606 from the baseline of Fig. 2A: (A) Enzymatic constraint loosened by a factor of 2, to 4 µmol 607 flux per timestep per $1 \cdot 10^{10}$ population (B) Enzymatic constrained tightened by a factor of 608 2, to 1 µmol flux per timestep per $1 \cdot 10^{10}$ population (C) Growth decreased by a factor of 609 10, by increasing the ATP per bacteria to $1 \cdot 10^{-14}$, with the death probability decreased to 610 0.00075 per population per timestep. (D) Growth increased by a factor of 10 by decreasing the 611 ATP per bacteria to $1 \cdot 10^{-16}$, with the death probability increased to 0.075 per population per 612 timestep (E) Colonisation removed by setting the probability for new populations to be placed 613 after initialization to 0 (F) Colonisation increased by x10 by setting the probability per empty 614 lattice to acquire a new population to 0.0005 per timestep (G) Diffusion of both metabolites 615 and bacteria decreased by a factor of 5 to $1.26 \cdot 10^{-6} \ cm^2/s$ (H) Diffusion of both metabolites 616 and bacteria increased by a factor of 5 to $3.15 \cdot 10^{-5} \ cm^2/s$ (I) No initial presence of oxygen (J) 617 Initial oxygen increased to 1 µmol per lattice site (K) Quiescence disabled 618

⁶¹⁹ For each figure: n=30 for each condition, each simulation is weighed equally.



620 S3 Figure.

Abundance of butyrate producing bacteria at the end of 21 days with 422 µmol of lactose per three hours and without prebiotics, either without competitors (only *Bifidobacterium* and butyrate producing bacteria), with addition of *B. vulgatus*, or with addition of *C. acnes.* n=30 for each condition. Each simulation is represented by one dot.

626 NS: Not significant, *: p<0.05, **:p<0.01, ***:p<0.001

627 6 Contributions

J.M.W.G., and R.M.H.M acquired funding. D.M.V., J.M.W.G., and R.M.H.M. conceived and planned the simulations. D.M.V. wrote software used for the simulations. D.M.V. performed the simulations and analyzed the data. R.S, E.L., J.M.W.G., and R.M.H.M contributed to the interpretation of the results. J.M.W.G., and R.M.H.M. supervised the project. D.M.V. drafted the manuscript. D.M.V., R.S., E.L., J.M.W.G. and R.M.H.M. revised and edited the manuscript.

7 Acknowledgments

This study was financially supported by FrieslandCampina. R.S, E.L., and J.M.W.G. are currently employed by FrieslandCampina. This work was performed using the ALICE compute resources provided by Leiden University.

638 References

[1] Lindsey Albenberg, Tatiana V. Esipova, Colleen P. Judge, Kyle Bittinger, Jun Chen, Alice Laughlin, Stephanie Grunberg, Robert N. Baldassano, James D. Lewis, Hongzhe Li,
Stephen R. Thom, Frederic D. Bushman, Sergei A. Vinogradov, and Gary D. Wu. Correlation between intraluminal oxygen gradient and radial partitioning of intestinal microbiota. *Gastroenterology*, 147(5):1055–1063.e8, 2014.

- [2] Micah Allen, Davide Poggiali, Kirstie Whitaker, Tom Rhys Marshall, and Rogier A. Kievit.
 Raincloud plots: A multi-platform tool for robust data visualization [version 1; peer review:
 2 approved]. Wellcome Open Research, 4:1–52, 2019.
- [3] Olivia Appert, Alejandro Ramirez Garcia, Remo Frei, Caroline Roduit, Florentin Constancias, Vera Neuzil-Bunesova, Ruth Ferstl, Jianbo Zhang, Cezmi Akdis, Roger Lauener,
 Christophe Lacroix, and Clarissa Schwab. Initial butyrate producers during infant gut
 microbiota development are endospore formers. *Environmental Microbiology*, 22(9):3909–
 3921, 2020.
- [4] Fredrik Bäckhed, Josefine Roswall, Yangqing Peng, Qiang Feng, Huijue Jia, Petia
 Kovatcheva-Datchary, Yin Li, Yan Xia, Hailiang Xie, Huanzi Zhong, Muhammad Tan-

weer Khan, Jianfeng Zhang, Junhua Li, Liang Xiao, Jumana Al-Aama, Dongya Zhang, 654

- Ying Shiuan Lee, Dorota Kotowska, Camilla Colding, Valentina Tremaroli, Ye Yin, Stefan 655
- Bergman, Xun Xu, Lise Madsen, Karsten Kristiansen, Jovanna Dahlgren, and Wang Jun.
- Dynamics and stabilization of the human gut microbiome during the first year of life. Cell 657

Host and Microbe, 17(5):690-703, 2015. 658

656

- [5] Olivia Ballard and Ardythe L. Morrow. Human Milk Composition. Nutrients and Bioactive 659 Factors. Pediatric Clinics of North America, 60(1):49–74, 2013. 660
- [6] Q. K. Beg, A. Vazquez, J. Ernst, M. A. De Menezes, Z. Bar-Joseph, A. L. Barabási, and 661 Z. N. Oltvai. Intracellular crowding defines the mode and sequence of substrate uptake by 662 Escherichia coli and constrains its metabolic activity. Proceedings of the National Academy 663 of Sciences of the United States of America, 104(31):12663-12668, 2007. 664
- [7] Bernard Berger, Nadine Porta, Francis Foata, Dominik Grathwohl, Michèle Delley, Debo-665 rah Moine, Aline Charpagne, Léa Siegwald, Patrick Descombes, Philippe Alliet, Giuseppe 666 Puccio, Philippe Steenhout, Annick Mercenier, and Norbert Sprenger. Linking human milk 667 oligosaccharides, infant fecal community types, and later risk to require antibiotics. *mBio*, 668 11(2):1-18, 2020.669
- [8] Markus Böger, Sander S. Van Leeuwen, Alicia Lammerts Van Bueren, and L. Dijkhuizen. 670 Structural Identity of Galactooligosaccharide Molecules Selectively Utilized by Single 671 Cultures of Probiotic Bacterial Strains. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 672 67(50):13969-13977, 2019. 673
- [9] Vera Bunesova, Christophe Lacroix, and Clarissa Schwab. Fucosyllactose and L-fucose 674 utilization of infant Bifidobacterium longum and Bifidobacterium kashiwanohense. BMC 675 Microbiology, 16(1):1-12, 2016. 676
- [10] Vera Bunesova, Christophe Lacroix, and Clarissa Schwab. Mucin Cross-Feeding of Infant 677 Bifidobacteria and Eubacterium hallii. *Microbial Ecology*, 75(1):228–238, 2018. 678
- [11] Alissa Cait, Erick Cardenas, Pedro A. Dimitriu, Nelly Amenyogbe, Darlene Dai, Jessica 679 Cait, Hind Sbihi, Leah Stiemsma, Padmaja Subbarao, Piush J. Mandhane, Allen B. Becker, 680
- Theo J. Moraes, Malcolm R. Sears, Diana L. Lefebvre, Meghan B. Azad, Tobias Kollmann, 681
- Stuart E. Turvey, and William W. Mohn. Reduced genetic potential for butyrate fermenta-682

tion in the gut microbiome of infants who develop allergic sensitization. Journal of Allergy
 and Clinical Immunology, 144(6):1638–1647.e3, 2019.

- [12] Siu Hung Joshua Chan, Margaret N. Simons, and Costas D. Maranas. SteadyCom: Pre dicting microbial abundances while ensuring community stability. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 13(5):1–25, 2017.
- [13] David P. Clark. The fermentation pathways of Escherichia coli. FEMS Microbiology Letters,
 63(3):223-234, 1989.
- [14] Jonas Cremer, Markus Arnoldini, and Terence Hwa. Effect of water flow and chemical
 environment on microbiota growth and composition in the human colon. Proceedings of
 the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 114(25):6438–6443,
 2017.
- [15] William S. Davis, R. Parker Allen, Blaise E. Favara, and Thomas L. Slovis. Neonatal small
 left colon syndrome. *American journal of Roentgenology*, 120(2):322–329, 1974.
- [16] L. De Vuyst, F. Moens, M. Selak, A. Rivière, and F. Leroy. Summer Meeting 2013: Growth
 and physiology of bifidobacteria. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 116(3):477–491, 2014.
- [17] Carolina De Weerth, Susana Fuentes, Philippe Puylaert, and Willem M. De Vos. Intestinal
 microbiota of infants with colic: Development and specific signatures. *Pediatrics*, 131(2),
 2013.
- [18] Shaillay Dogra, Olga Sakwinska, Shu E. Soh, Catherine Ngom-Bru, Wolfram M. Brück,
 Bernard Berger, Harald Brüssow, Neerja Karnani, Yung Seng Lee, Fabian Yap, Yap Seng
 Chong, Keith M. Godfrey, and Joanna D. Holbrook. Rate of establishing the gut microbiota
 in infancy has consequences for future health. *Gut Microbes*, 6(5):321–325, 2015.
- [19] Shaillay Dogra, Olga Sakwinska, Shu-E. E. Soh, Catherine Ngom-Bru, Wolfram M. Brück,
 Bernard Berger, Harald Brüssow, Yung Seng Lee, Fabian Yap, Yap-Seng Seng Chong,
 Keith M. Godfrey, Joanna D. Holbrook, and GUSTO Study Group. Dynamics of infant
 gut microbiota are influenced by delivery mode and gestational duration and are associated
 with subsequent adiposity. *mBio*, 6(1):1–9, 2015.
- [20] Dallas R. Donohoe, Nikhil Garge, Xinxin Zhang, Wei Sun, Thomas M. O'Connell, Maureen K. Bunger, and Scott J. Bultman. The microbiome and butyrate regulate energy

metabolism and autophagy in the mammalian colon. *Cell Metabolism*, 13(5):517–526,
2011.

[21] Ilija Dukovski, Djordje Bajić, Jeremy M. Chacón, Michael Quintin, Jean C.C. Vila, Snorre
Sulheim, Alan R. Pacheco, David B. Bernstein, William J. Riehl, Kirill S. Korolev, Alvaro
Sanchez, William R. Harcombe, and Daniel Segrè. A metabolic modeling platform for the
computation of microbial ecosystems in time and space (COMETS). *Nature Protocols*,
2021.

- [22] G. A. Dykes and J. W. Hastings. Selection and fitness in bacteriocin-producing bacteria.
 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 264(1382):683–687, 1997.
- [23] Gwen Falony, Katerina Lazidou, An Verschaeren, Stefan Weckx, Dominique Maes, and
 Luc De Vuyst. In vitro kinetic analysis of fermentation of prebiotic inulin-type fructans
 by Bifidobacterium species reveals four different phenotypes. Applied and Environmental
 Microbiology, 75(2):454-461, 2009.
- ⁷²⁵ [24] Taylor Feehley, Catherine H. Plunkett, Riyue Bao, Sung Min Choi Hong, Elliot Culleen, Pedro Belda-Ferre, Evelyn Campbell, Rosita Aitoro, Rita Nocerino, Lorella Paparo, Jorge Andrade, Dionysios A. Antonopoulos, Roberto Berni Canani, and Cathryn R. Nagler. Healthy
 infants harbor intestinal bacteria that protect against food allergy. *Nature Medicine*,
 25(3):448–453, 2019.
- [25] R. M.T. Fleming, I. Thiele, and H. P. Nasheuer. Quantitative assignment of reaction
 directionality in constraint-based models of metabolism: Application to Escherichia coli.
 Biophysical Chemistry, 145(2-3):47–56, 2009.
- [26] R. J. Gibbons and B. Kapsimalis. Estimates of the overall rate of growth of the intestinal
 microflora of hamsters, guinea pigs, and mice. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 93(1):510–512,
 1967.
- [27] Mark J. Gnoth, Clemens Kunz, Evamaria Kinne-Saffran, and Silvia Rudloff. Human milk
 oligosaccharides are minimally digested in vitro. *Journal of Nutrition*, 130(12):3014–3020,
 2000.
- [28] Karen C. Goehring, Barbara J. Marriage, Jeffery S. Oliver, Julie A. Wilder, Edward G.
 Barrett, and Rachael H. Buck. Similar to those who are breastfed, infants fed a formula

containing 2'-fucosyllactose have lower inflammatory cytokines in a randomized controlled
trial. Journal of Nutrition, 146(12):2559–2566, 2016.

- [29] Michael Goodfellow, Peter Kämpfer, Hans-Jürgen Busse, Martha E Trujillo, Ken-ichiro
 Suzuki, Wolfgang Ludwig, and William B Whitman. Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology: Volume Five The Actinobacteria, Part A. Springer, 2012.
- [30] Jeff Gore, Hyun Youk, and Alexander Van Oudenaarden. Snowdrift game dynamics and
 facultative cheating in yeast. *Nature*, 459(7244):253–256, 2009.
- [31] Laurence M. Grummer-Strawn, Kelley S. Scanlon, and Sara B. Fein. Infant feeding and
 feeding transitions during the first year of life. *Pediatrics*, 122(SUPPL. 2), 2008.
- [32] Kamal A. Hamed, Philip R. Dormitzer, Catherine K. Su, and David A. Relman.
 Haemophilus parainfluenzae endocarditis: application of a molecular approach for identification of pathogenic bacterial species. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 19(4):677–683, 1994.
- [33] H. M. Hamer, D. Jonkers, K. Venema, S. Vanhoutvin, F. J. Troost, and R. J. Brummer.
 Review article: The role of butyrate on colonic function. *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 27(2):104–119, 2008.

[34] Laurent Heirendt, Sylvain Arreckx, Thomas Pfau, Sebastián N. Mendoza, Anne Richelle, 756 Almut Heinken, Hulda S. Haraldsdóttir, Jacek Wachowiak, Sarah M. Keating, Vanja 757 Vlasov, Stefania Magnusdóttir, Chiam Yu Ng, German Preciat, Alise Žagare, Siu H. J. 758 Chan, Maike K. Aurich, Catherine M. Clancy, Jennifer Modamio, John T. Sauls, Alberto 759 Noronha, Aarash Bordbar, Benjamin Cousins, Diana C. El Assal, Luis V. Valcarcel, Iñigo 760 Apaolaza, Susan Ghaderi, Masoud Ahookhosh, Marouen Ben Guebila, Andrejs Kostromins, 761 Nicolas Sompairac, Hoai M. Le, Ding Ma, Yuekai Sun, Lin Wang, James T. Yurkovich, 762 Miguel A. P. Oliveira, Phan T. Vuong, Lemmer P. El Assal, Inna Kuperstein, Andrei Zi-763 novyev, H. Scott Hinton, William A. Bryant, Francisco J. Aragón Artacho, Francisco J. 764 Planes, Egils Stalidzans, Alejandro Maass, Santosh Vempala, Michael Hucka, Michael A. 765 Saunders, Costas D. Maranas, Nathan E. Lewis, Thomas Sauter, Bernhard Ø. Palsson, Ines 766 Thiele, and Ronan M. T. Fleming. Creation and analysis of biochemical constraint-based 767 models using the COBRA Toolbox v.3.0. Nature Protocols, 14(3):639–702, mar 2019. 768

[35] Ethan T. Hillman, Ariangela J. Kozik, Casey A. Hooker, John L. Burnett, Yoojung Heo,
Violet A. Kiesel, Clayton J. Nevins, Jordan M.K.I. Oshiro, Melissa M. Robins, Riya D.

- Thakkar, Sophie Tongyu Wu, and Stephen R. Lindemann. Comparative genomics of the genus Roseburia reveals divergent biosynthetic pathways that may influence colonic competition among species. *Microbial Genomics*, 6(7):7–24, 2020.
- [36] Jeffrey S. Hyams, M. Alex Geertsma, Nancy L. Etienne, and William R. Treem. Colonic
 hydrogen production in infants with colic. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 115(4):592–594, 1989.
- [37] Sercan Karav, Giorgio Casaburi, and Steven A. Frese. Reduced colonic mucin degradation
 in breastfed infants colonized by Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis EVC001. FEBS
 Open Bio, 8(10):1649–1657, 2018.
- [38] Kyozi Kawasaki. Diffusion constants near the critical point for time-dependent ising models.
 I. *Physical Review*, 145(1):224–230, 1966.
- 781 [39] Patricio S. La Rosa, Barbara B. Warner, Yanjiao Zhou, George M. Weinstock, Erica Soder-
- gren, Carla M. Hall-Moore, Harold J. Stevens, William E. Bennett, Nurmohammad Shaikh,
- Laura A. Linneman, Julie A. Hoffmann, Aaron Hamvas, Elena Deych, Berkley A. Shands,
 William D. Shannon, and Phillip I. Tarr. Patterned progression of bacterial populations in
 the premature infant gut. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*States of America, 111(34):12522–12527, 2014.
- [40] S. Melanie Lee, Gregory P. Donaldson, Zbigniew Mikulski, Silva Boyajian, Klaus Ley, and
 Sarkis K. Mazmanian. Bacterial colonization factors control specificity and stability of the
 gut microbiota. *Nature*, 501(7467):426–429, 2013.
- [41] Petra Louis and Harry J. Flint. Formation of propionate and butyrate by the human colonic
 microbiota. *Environmental Microbiology*, 19(1):29–41, 2017.
- [42] J. M. Macy, L. G. Ljungdahl, and G. Gottschalk. Pathway of succinate and propionate
 formation in Bacteroides fragilis. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 134(1):84–91, 1978.
- [43] Stefanía Magnúsdóttir, Almut Heinken, Laura Kutt, Dmitry A. Ravcheev, Eugen Bauer,
 Alberto Noronha, Kacy Greenhalgh, Christian Jäger, Joanna Baginska, Paul Wilmes, Ro nan M.T. Fleming, and Ines Thiele. Generation of genome-scale metabolic reconstructions
- for 773 members of the human gut microbiota. *Nature Biotechnology*, 35(1):81–89, 2017.
- [44] Stefanía Magnúsdóttir and Ines Thiele. Modeling metabolism of the human gut micro biome. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 51:90–96, 2018.

- [45] R. A. Majewski and M. M. Domach. Simple constrained-optimization view of acetate
 overflow in E. coli. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 35(7):732–738, 1990.
- [46] Paola Mastromarino, Daniela Capobianco, Giuseppe Campagna, Nicola Laforgia, Pietro
 Drimaco, Alessandra Dileone, and Maria E. Baldassarre. Correlation between lactoferrin
 and beneficial microbiota in breast milk and infant's feces. *BioMetals*, 27(5):1077–1086,
 2014.
- ⁸⁰⁶ [47] Susan Mills, Fergus Shanahan, Catherine Stanton, Colin Hill, Aidan Coffey, and R. Paul
 ⁸⁰⁷ Ross. Movers and shakers Influence of bacteriophages in shaping the mammalian gut
 ⁸⁰⁸ microbiota. *Gut Microbes*, 4(1):4–16, jan 2013.
- [48] Amir Mitchell, Gal H. Romano, Bella Groisman, Avihu Yona, Erez Dekel, Martin Ku piec, Orna Dahan, and Yitzhak Pilpel. Adaptive prediction of environmental changes by
 microorganisms. *Nature*, 460(7252):220–224, 2009.
- [49] G. Moro, S. Arslanoglu, B. Stahl, J. Jelinek, U. Wahn, and G. Boehm. A mixture of
 prebiotic oligosaccharides reduces the incidence of atopic dermatitis during the first six
 months of age. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 91(10):814-819, 2006.
- [50] Elad Noor, Hulda S. Haraldsdóttir, Ron Milo, and Ronan M.T. Fleming. Consistent Estimation of Gibbs Energy Using Component Contributions. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 9(7), 2013.
- [51] L. Nylund, M. Nermes, E. Isolauri, S. Salminen, W. M. De Vos, and R. Satokari. Severity
 of atopic disease inversely correlates with intestinal microbiota diversity and butyrateproducing bacteria. *Allergy: European Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*,
 70(2):241–244, 2015.
- ⁸²² [52] Jeffrey D. Orth, Ines Thiele, and Bernhard O. Palsson. What is flux balance analysis?
 ⁸²³ Nature Biotechnology, 28(3):245–248, 2010.
- ⁸²⁴ [53] Chana Palmer, Elisabeth M. Bik, Daniel B. DiGiulio, David A. Relman, and Patrick O.
 ⁸²⁵ Brown. Development of the human infant intestinal microbiota. *PLoS Biology*, 5(7):1556–
 ⁸²⁶ 1573, 2007.
- [54] Stephan Parche, Doris Jacobs, Fabrizio Arigoni, Fritz Titgemeyer, and Ivana Jankovic.
 Lactose-over-Glucose Preference in Bifidobacterium longum NCC2705: glcP, Encoding a

Glucose Transporter, Is Subject to Lactose Repression[†]. Society, 188(4):1260–1265, 2006.

- [55] Van T. Pham, Christophe Lacroix, Christian P. Braegger, and Christophe Chassard. Early
 colonization of functional groups of microbes in the infant gut. *Environmental microbiology*,
 18(7):2246–2258, 2016.
- ⁸³³ [56] Van Thanh Pham, Christophe Chassard, Etienne Rifa, Christian Braegger, Annelies Geir⁸³⁴ naert, Vanesa Natalin Rocha Martin, and Christophe Lacroix. Lactate Metabolism Is
 ⁸³⁵ Strongly Modulated by Fecal Inoculum, pH, and Retention Time in PolyFermS Contin⁸³⁶ uous Colonic Fermentation Models Mimicking Young Infant Proximal Colon. *mSystems*,
 ⁸³⁷ 4(4), 2019.
- ⁸³⁸ [57] Michael Jakob Pichler, Chihaya Yamada, Bashar Shuoker, Camila Alvarez-Silva, Aina
 ⁸³⁹ Gotoh, Maria Louise Leth, Erwin Schoof, Toshihiko Katoh, Mikiyasu Sakanaka, Takane
 ⁸⁴⁰ Katayama, Chunsheng Jin, Niclas G. Karlsson, Manimozhiyan Arumugam, Shinya Fushi⁸⁴¹ nobu, and Maher Abou Hachem. Butyrate producing colonic Clostridiales metabolise
 ⁸⁴² human milk oligosaccharides and cross feed on mucin via conserved pathways. Nature
 ⁸⁴³ Communications, 11(1), 2020.
- ⁸⁴⁴ [58] Svenja Plöger, Friederike Stumpff, Gregory B. Penner, Jörg Dieter Schulzke, Gotthold Gä⁸⁴⁵ bel, Holger Martens, Zanming Shen, Dorothee Günzel, and Joerg R. Aschenbach. Microbial
 ⁸⁴⁶ butyrate and its role for barrier function in the gastrointestinal tract. Annals of the New
 ⁸⁴⁷ York Academy of Sciences, 1258(1):52–59, 2012.
- ⁸⁴⁸ [59] Chitong Rao, Katharine Z. Coyte, Wayne Bainter, Raif S. Geha, Camilia R. Martin, and
 ⁸⁴⁹ Seth Rakoff-Nahoum. Multi-kingdom ecological drivers of microbiota assembly in preterm
 ⁸⁵⁰ infants. *Nature*, 591(7851):633–638, 2021.
- [60] M. Rogosa. the Genus Veillonella. I. General Cultural, Ecological, and Biochemical Con siderations. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 87:162–170, 1964.
- [61] F. F. Rubaltelli and G. Largajolli. Effect of Light Exposure on Gut Transit Time in
 Jaundiced Newborns. Acta Pædiatrica, 62(2):146–148, 1973.
- [62] Christian F.P. Scholz and Mogens Kilian. The natural history of cutaneous propionibacteria, and reclassification of selected species within the genus propionibacterium to
 the proposed novel genera acidipropionibacterium gen. Nov., cutibacterium gen. nov. and

pseudopropionibacterium gen. nov. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary
 Microbiology, 66(11):4422–4432, 2016.

- [63] Robert Schuetz, Lars Kuepfer, and Uwe Sauer. Systematic evaluation of objective functions
 for predicting intracellular fluxes in Escherichia coli. *Molecular Systems Biology*, 3(119),
 2007.
- [64] Clarissa Schwab, Hans Joachim Ruscheweyh, Vera Bunesova, Van Thanh Pham, Niko
 Beerenwinkel, and Christophe Lacroix. Trophic interactions of infant bifidobacteria and
 eubacterium hallii during L-fucose and fucosyllactose degradation. Frontiers in Microbiol ogy, 8:1–14, 2017.
- ⁸⁶⁷ [65] Sudarshan A. Shetty, Simone Zuffa, Thi Phuong Nam Bui, Steven Aalvink, Hauke Smidt,
 ⁸⁶⁸ and Willem M. De Vos. Reclassification of eubacterium hallii as Anaerobutyricum hallii gen.
 ⁸⁶⁹ nov., comb. nov., and description of Anaerobutyricum soehngenii sp. nov., a butyrate and
 ⁸⁷⁰ propionate-producing bacterium from infant faeces. *International Journal of Systematic*⁸⁷¹ and Evolutionary Microbiology, 68(12):3741–3746, 2018.
- ⁸⁷² [66] Yuli Song, Chengxu Liu, and Sydney M Finegold. Bacteroides. In *Bergey's Manual of* ⁸⁷³ Systematics of Archaea and Bacteria, pages 1–24. Wiley, sep 2015.
- ⁸⁷⁴ [67] Norbert Sprenger, Hannah Odenwald, Anna Kaarina Kukkonen, Mikael Kuitunen, Erkki
 ⁸⁷⁵ Savilahti, and Clemens Kunz. FUT2-dependent breast milk oligosaccharides and allergy
 ⁸⁷⁶ at 2 and 5 years of age in infants with high hereditary allergy risk. *European Journal of*⁸⁷⁷ Nutrition, 56(3):1293–1301, 2017.
- [68] P. L. Stark and A. Lee. The microbial ecology of the large bowel of breast-fed and formulafed infants during the first year of life. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 15(2):189–203,
 1982.
- [69] Naoki Tsukuda, Kana Yahagi, Taeko Hara, Yohei Watanabe, Hoshitaka Matsumoto, Hiroshi Mori, Koichi Higashi, Hirokazu Tsuji, Satoshi Matsumoto, Ken Kurokawa, and
 Takahiro Matsuki. Key bacterial taxa and metabolic pathways affecting gut short-chain
 fatty acid profiles in early life. *ISME Journal*, 2021.
- [70] Francesca Turroni, Christian Milani, Sabrina Duranti, Gabriele Andrea Lugli, Sergio
 Bernasconi, Abelardo Margolles, Francesco Di Pierro, Douwe Van Sinderen, and Marco

- Ventura. The infant gut microbiome as a microbial organ influencing host well-being. *Italian Journal of Pediatrics*, 46(1):1–13, 2020.
- [71] Carla C. Uranga, Pablo Arroyo, Brendan M. Duggan, William H. Gerwick, and Anna
 Edlund. Commensal Oral Rothia mucilaginosa Produces Enterobactin, a Metal-Chelating
 Siderophore. *mSystems*, 5(2), 2020.
- ⁸⁹² [72] J. Valentin and Christian Streffer. Basic anatomical and physiological data for use in
 radiological protection: Reference values ICRP Publication 89. Annals of the ICRP,
 ⁸⁹⁴ 32(3-4):1-277, 2002.
- [73] P. Van den Abbeele, C. Duysburgh, E. Vazquez, J. Chow, R. Buck, and M. Marzorati.
 ⁸⁹⁶ 2 -Fucosyllactose alters the composition and activity of gut microbiota from formula-fed
 ⁸⁹⁷ infants receiving complementary feeding in a validated intestinal model. *Journal of Func-*⁸⁹⁸ tional Foods, 61(July):103484, 2019.
- ⁸⁹⁹ [74] Milan J.A. van Hoek and Roeland M.H. Merks. Redox balance is key to explaining full vs.
 ⁹⁰⁰ partial switching to low-yield metabolism. *BMC Systems Biology*, 6, 2012.
- [75] Milan J.A. van Hoek and Roeland M.H. Merks. Emergence of microbial diversity due to
 cross-feeding interactions in a spatial model of gut microbial metabolism. BMC Systems
 Biology, 11(1):1–18, 2017.
- ⁹⁰⁴ [76] Katrien M.J. Van Laere, Tjakko Abee, Henk A. Schols, Gerrit Beldman, and Alphons G.J.
 ⁹⁰⁵ Voragen. Characterization of a novel β-galactosidase from Bifidobacterium adolescentis
 ⁹⁰⁶ DSM 20083 active towards transgalactooligosaccharides. Applied and Environmental Mi-⁹⁰⁷ crobiology, 66(4):1379–1384, 2000.
- ⁹⁰⁸ [77] Sander S. Van Leeuwen, Bas J.H. Kuipers, Lubbert Dijkhuizen, and Johannis P. Kamerling.
 ⁹⁰⁹ 1 H NMR analysis of the lactose/β-galactosidase-derived galacto-oligosaccharide components of Vivinal[®] GOS up to DP5. *Carbohydrate Research*, 400:59–73, 2014.
- ⁹¹¹ [78] David M. Versluis, Ruud Schoemaker, Ellen Looijesteijn, Daniël Muysken, Prescilla V.
 ⁹¹² Jeurink, Marcel Paques, Jan M. W. Geurts, and Roeland M. H. Merks. A Multiscale Spa⁹¹³ tiotemporal Model Including a Switch from Aerobic to Anaerobic Metabolism Reproduces
 ⁹¹⁴ Succession in the Early Infant Gut Microbiota. *mSystems*, 2022.

- [79] Thomas Wolever Vogt and Janet. Human Nutrition and Metabolism to Acetate Absorption
 from the. American Society for Nutritional Sciences, 133(10):3145–3148, 2003.
- ⁹¹⁷ [80] Paul Vos, George Garrity, Dorothy Jones, Noel R Krieg, Wolfgang Ludwig, Fred A Rainey,
- 918 Karl-Heinz Schleifer, and William B Whitman. Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology:

Volume 3: The Firmicutes, volume 3. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.

- 920 [81] Harm Wopereis, Kathleen Sim, Alexander Shaw, John O. Warner, Jan Knol, and J. Simon
- ⁹²¹ Kroll. Intestinal microbiota in infants at high risk for allergy: Effects of prebiotics and role
- in eczema development. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 141(4):1334–1342.e5,
- 923 2018.
- [82] Xinqiang Wu, Yuanbing Wu, Liangmei He, Longhuo Wu, Xiangcai Wang, and Zhiping Liu.
 Effects of the intestinal microbial metabolite butyrate on the development of colorectal
 cancer. Journal of Cancer, 9(14):2510-2517, 2018.
- [83] Shin Ichiro Yokoyama and Tohru Suzuki. Isolation and characterization of a novel equol producing bacterium from human feces. *Bioscience, Biotechnology and Biochemistry*,
 72(10):2660-2666, 2008.
- [84] Bryan Zabel, Christian Clement Yde, Paige Roos, Jørn Marcussen, Henrik Max Jensen,
 Krista Salli, Johanna Hirvonen, Arthur C. Ouwehand, and Wesley Morovic. Novel Genes
 and Metabolite Trends in Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis Bi-26 Metabolism of
- Human Milk Oligosaccharide 2'-fucosyllactose. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1):1–11, 2019.