



Universiteit
Leiden

The Netherlands

Quantum machine learning: on the design, trainability and noise-robustness of near-term algorithms

Skolik, A.

Citation

Skolik, A. (2023, December 7). *Quantum machine learning: on the design, trainability and noise-robustness of near-term algorithms*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3666138>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3666138>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Quantum machine learning: On the design, trainability and noise-robustness of near-term algorithms

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 7 december 2023
klokke 10.00 uur

door

Andrea Skolik

geboren te Karlsruhe, Duitsland
in 1988

Promotores: Prof. Dr. V. Dunjko
Prof. Dr. T. H. W. Bäck

Promotiecommissie: Prof. Dr. K. J. Batenburg
Prof. Dr. A. Plaat
Prof. Dr. C. Macchiavello (University of Pavia)
Prof. Dr. M. J. Hartmann (FAU Erlangen)
Dr. S. Feld (TU Delft)

Copyright © 2023 [Andrea Skolik](#).

This research is financially supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Grant Agreement No. 828826, the German Ministry for Education and Research (BMB+F) in the project QAI2-Q-KIS under grant 13N15587, and Volkswagen AG. The cover has been designed using assets from Freepik.com.

Abstract

The past decade has brought on tremendous progress in the quest to build a quantum computer, and cloud access to first devices is starting to get available. Although these devices are still very limited in terms of the number of qubits and coherence times, their emergence has focused attention on the question of how these near-term devices can be of value. A specific type of hybrid quantum-classical algorithms, namely variational quantum algorithms, has stood out as a candidate for providing value in these early stages of quantum computing. Machine learning is believed to be a field where variational quantum algorithms can be beneficial, and they have been studied in the context of various learning tasks. Theoretical guarantees are hard to obtain for these algorithms due to their heuristic nature, so progress in this field will heavily rely on empirical discovery and evaluation of algorithms. However, many fundamental questions about successfully running these types of algorithms are still open, like how to design individual components of the quantum circuits that are used, and how to avoid pitfalls in their training. In this thesis, we study various aspects of variational quantum algorithms for machine learning, with a focus on reinforcement learning. We study the steps of the whole pipeline in training a variational quantum machine learning model on near term devices, starting at the question of how to encode classical data and read out information from a quantum circuit, and how to design the circuit itself in a problem-tailored manner. We address the question of how the classical optimization routine in this model can be tailored to quantum-specific issues in optimization landscapes, as well as how various noise sources present on quantum hardware affect the training of these algorithms. With the above, this thesis aims at contributing to the knowledge of how to train variational quantum machine learning models, in order to foster further investigation of these types of algorithms once high-performance quantum hardware will become available.

Publications

This thesis is partially based on content that was previously published by the author and collaborators in the following manuscripts, with author contributions as listed below.

1. Layerwise learning for quantum neural networks

Andrea Skolik, Jarrod R. McClean, Masoud Mohseni, Patrick van der Smagt, Martin Leib

Quantum Machine Intelligence 3.1 (2021): 1-11

AS conceived the idea for this work and the layerwise learning algorithm. AS and JRM performed the numerical simulations. JRM defined the cost model for measuring the performance of the studied models. AS and JRM wrote the first draft of the manuscript, all authors were involved in the final editing. MM, PS, and ML supervised the work.

2. Quantum agents in the Gym: a variational quantum algorithm for deep Q-learning

Andrea Skolik, Sofiene Jerbi, Vedran Dunjko

Quantum 6 (2022): 720

AS and VD conceived the idea for this work. AS performed the numerical simulations, with the help of SJ. AS, SJ, and VD worked out theoretical separation between quantum and classical learners. AS wrote the manuscript, all authors were involved in the final editing. VD supervised the work.

3. **Equivariant quantum circuits for learning on weighted graphs**

Andrea Skolik, Michele Cattelan, Sheir Yarkoni, Thomas Bäck,

Vedran Dunjko

npj Quantum Information 9 (1), 1-15

AS conceived the idea for this work. AS and VD conducted theoretical analysis of the proposed ansatz. AS and MC performed numerical simulations and the analysis of their results. SY created the data set used in this work. AS, MC and SY wrote the first draft of the manuscript; all authors contributed to editing the final manuscript. VD and TB supervised the project.

4. **Robustness of variational quantum reinforcement learning under hardware errors**

Andrea Skolik, Stefano Mangini, Thomas Bäck, Chiara Macchiavello,

Vedran Dunjko

EPJ Quantum Technology 10 (1), 1-43

AS conceived the idea for this work and conducted the numerical experiments. SM performed analytical study on the effect of Gaussian noise and provided decoherence noise model. AS and VD proposed shot allocation algorithm. AS and SM wrote the first version of the manuscript, all authors contributed to the final editing.

The following publications were co-authored during the course of the PhD and are not included in this thesis.

5. **TensorFlow Quantum: A Software Framework for Quantum Machine Learning**

Michael Broughton, Guillaume Verdon, Trevor McCourt, Antonio J. Martinez, . . . , Andrea Skolik, . . . , Alan Ho, Hartmut Neven, Masoud Mohseni
arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.02989

6. **Quantum approximate optimization of non-planar graph problems on a planar superconducting processor**

Matthew P. Harrigan, Kevin J. Sung, Matthew Neeley, Kevin J. Satzinger, . . . , [Andrea Skolik](#), . . . , Erik Lucero, Edward Farhi, Ryan Babbush
Nature Physics 17.3 (2021): 332-336

7. **Beating classical heuristics for the binary paint shop problem with the quantum approximate optimization algorithm**

Michael Streif, Sheir Yarkoni, [Andrea Skolik](#), Florian Neukart, Martin Leib
Physical Review A 104.1 (2021): 012403

8. **Hyperparameter optimization of hybrid quantum neural networks for car classification**

Asel Sagingalieva, Andrii Kurkin, Artem Melnikov, Daniil Kuhmistrov, Michael Perelshtein, Alexey Melnikov, [Andrea Skolik](#), David von Dollen
arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.04878

Acknowledgements

Getting a PhD can be a long and hard journey, which is made tremendously more enjoyable by good company. I was blessed with this company over the past years, and would like to especially thank the following people.

First and foremost, I thank my supervisor Vedran Dunjko for being an endless source of support, advice, knowledge, and humor. I am impressed with his dedication to research and teaching, and very grateful for the many things I learned from him about quantum computing and research in general. I am also grateful for the welcoming and inclusive environment in the aQa group, that Vedran helped me to become a part of despite being an external student living in another country during a global pandemic. Even though the pandemic prevented me from spending as much time in Leiden as I would have liked, the time I did spend there I will always keep in good memory thanks to the amazing people at LIACS. I especially want to thank Yash Patel, Simon Marshall and Casper Gyurik for interesting discussions and sharing their insights about various topics, and Marie Kempkes, Adrián Pérez-Salinas, Charles Moussa and Stefano Polla for making the time I got to spend physically in Leiden so pleasant. I also thank Thomas Bäck for being my promotor.

One upside of being an external student is that one gets to be a part of two groups, and I thank the members of the Volkswagen quantum computing team – former and present – for the many fun discussions in- and outside of work, and for the trust and support that I received over the years. In particular I thank Sheir Yarkoni, Gabriele Compostella, Michael Streif, Martin Leib, and Florian Neukart. I am also very grateful for the support I received from Patrick van der Smagt at the beginning of my time at Volkswagen.

The work presented in this thesis is the outcome of collaborations with a number of people beyond the above two groups, whom I want to thank for the great

discussions and everything I learned from them. I thank Jarrod McClean and Masoud Mohseni for the joint work on layerwise learning, and their hospitality during my two visits to the Google Quantum AI team. I also thank Alan Ho for giving me the opportunity to contribute to the early stages of TensorFlow Quantum, and the whole TFQ team for making my life so much easier by implementing this framework. I thank Sofiene Jerbi for providing his insights about quantum reinforcement learning, and for sharing the woes of getting these algorithms to work. I also thank Stefano Mangini for randomly reaching out to me and starting a conversation about reinforcement learning, which eventually lead to a great collaboration, and his positive attitude that made this such a fun project.

Finally, I want to thank Marcus Voigt for embarking on this journey called “life” with me, and for his endless patience, support and love throughout the past decade. Let’s start the next adventure!

Contents

Abstract	i
Publications	ii
Acknowledgements	v
1 Introduction	1
2 Quantum computing	5
2.1 Gate model quantum computing	5
2.2 Noisy intermediate-scale quantum computing	9
2.2.1 Variational quantum algorithms	10
2.2.1.1 Computing gradients	12
2.2.1.2 Challenges in the optimization of PQCs	13
2.2.2 Application areas and outlook	17
3 Machine learning	19
3.1 Neural networks	20
3.1.1 Neurons, layers, and backpropagation	20
3.1.2 Generalization and overfitting	23
3.1.3 Geometric deep learning	26
3.2 Reinforcement learning	27
3.2.1 Value-based and policy-based learning	29
3.2.2 Q-learning	31
3.2.3 Policy gradients	34
3.3 Quantum machine learning	35
3.3.1 Near-term quantum machine learning	36
3.3.2 Data encoding and the choice of ansatz	39

3.3.3	Is there potential for quantum advantage?	41
4	Layerwise learning for quantum neural networks	44
4.1	Layerwise learning	47
4.2	Results	51
4.2.1	Setup	51
4.2.2	Sampling requirements	53
4.2.3	Comparison to CDL strategies	53
4.2.4	Numerical results	54
4.3	Conclusion and outlook	58
5	Quantum agents in the Gym: A variational quantum algorithm for deep Q-learning	60
5.1	Quantum Q-learning	64
5.1.1	Encoding environment states	64
5.1.2	Computing Q-values	66
5.2	Separation between quantum and classical Q-learning in restricted environments	68
5.2.1	A classification task based on the discrete logarithm problem	69
5.2.2	Learning optimal policies in environments based on the DLP classification task	70
5.2.3	Estimating optimal Q-values from optimal policies	73
5.3	Numerical results	74
5.3.1	Frozen Lake	74
5.3.2	Cart Pole	78
5.3.2.1	Comparison of data encoding and readout strategies	80
5.3.2.2	Comparison to the classical DQN algorithm	84
5.4	Conclusion	87
6	Equivariant quantum circuits for learning on weighted graphs	90
6.1	Geometric learning - quantum and classical	93
6.2	Neural combinatorial optimization with reinforcement learning . .	95
6.2.1	Solving the Traveling Salesperson Problem with reinforcement learning	96
6.2.2	Solving the TSP with the QAOA	96
6.3	Equivariant quantum circuit	98
6.3.1	Ansatz structure and equivariance	98

6.3.2	Trainability of ansatz	103
6.4	Quantum neural combinatorial optimization with the EQC	105
6.4.1	Formal definition of learning task and figures of merit	106
6.4.2	Equivariance of algorithm components	109
6.4.3	Analysis of expressivity	111
6.5	Numerical results	115
6.6	Discussion	124
7	Robustness of quantum reinforcement learning under hardware errors	127
7.1	Environments and implementation	130
7.1.1	CartPole	130
7.1.2	Traveling Salesperson Problem	132
7.2	Shot noise	132
7.2.1	Reducing the number of shots in a Q-learning algorithm . .	133
7.2.2	Numerical results	136
7.3	Coherent noise	140
7.3.1	Effect of Gaussian coherent noise on circuit output	140
7.3.2	Resilience of Hardware-Efficient ansatzes to Gaussian coherent noise	144
7.3.3	Numerical results	150
7.3.3.1	CartPole	150
7.3.3.2	Traveling Salesperson Problem	153
7.4	Incoherent noise	156
7.4.1	Depolarizing noise	157
7.4.2	Noise model based on current hardware	161
7.5	Conclusions	164
8	Conclusion	167
	Appendix	171
	Bibliography	194
	Summary	224
	Samenvatting	226
	About the author	228