



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Sea level rise and a Florida mortuary pond: how oysters (*crassostrea virginica*) reveal past climate change at the Manasota key offshore archaeological site

Price, M.R.

Citation

Price, M. R. (2023, November 29). *Sea level rise and a Florida mortuary pond: how oysters (crassostrea virginica) reveal past climate change at the Manasota key offshore archaeological site*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3665190>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3665190>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

SEA LEVEL RISE AND A FLORIDA MORTUARY POND

HOW OYSTERS (*CRASSOSTREA VIRGINICA*) REVEAL PAST CLIMATE CHANGE AT THE MANASOTA KEY
OFFSHORE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 29 november 2023
klokke 13.45 uur

door
Melissa Rae Price
geboren te Virginia Beach, Virginia, U.S.A.
in 1990

Promotor:

Prof. dr. Corinne L. Hofman (Universiteit Leiden)

Co-promotores:

Dr. C. Fred T. Andrus (University of Alabama)

Dr. Ryan M. Duggins (Bureau of Archaeological Research, Division of Historical Resources, Florida
Department of State)

Promotiecommissie:

Prof. dr. J.C.A. Kolen (Universiteit Leiden)

Prof. dr. P.A.I.H. Degryse (Universiteit Leiden)

Prof. dr. M.E.R.G.N. Jansen (Universiteit Leiden)

Dr. M.S. de Waal (Universiteit Leiden)

Prof. dr. Victor D. Thompson (University of Georgia)

Dr. Michael Faught (University of Arizona, The Archaeological Research Cooperative, Inc.)

Dit onderzoek werd mogelijk gemaakt door financiering door: de staat Florida, ministerie van milieubescherming, overeenkomst nummer CZ327; de Florida Gulf Coast Community Foundation; en de Alliance for Weedon Island Archaeological Research and Education, Inc. in samenwerking met de Levett Foundation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

No person is an island, and this is certainly true in the context of my journey as a PhD candidate. First and foremost, I am deeply indebted to my supervisors for their input, advice, motivation, encouragement, and intellectual support throughout the course of my studies. I am perpetually grateful to Prof. dr. Corinne L. Hofman for encouraging me to apply as an external PhD candidate and for her willingness to serve as my supervisor. Our shared interest in the early archaeological record and how it is threatened by climate change aligned wonderfully for this dissertation. Prof. dr. Hofman's guidance and support throughout the duration of my studies have been remarkable, and I absolutely could not have succeeded without her. Prof. dr. Hofman is not only an inspiration to me, she is an inspiration to women scientists everywhere. Her work is exemplary, and she has significantly added to the field's collective archaeological knowledge.

I am grateful also to Dr. C. Fred T. Andrus, professor at the University of Alabama in the Department of Geological Sciences. His interest in my study site and dedication to his field of study are evidenced in his enthusiastic agreement to serve as a co-supervisor for this dissertation. I thank him for partnering with me during my research, providing access to the Alabama Stable Isotope Laboratory, and assisting me with sample preparation. As a leading expert in mollusk growth and biomineralization, specifically as it pertains to isotope concentrations within shell structure, Dr. Andrus was pivotal to the creation of a more well-rounded dissertation. His patience, kindness, and expertise were a reassurance to me over the years, and I am incredibly fortunate to have flourished under his mentorship.

Esteemed recognition is given to Dr. Ryan M. Duggins, State Underwater Archaeologist for Florida and principal investigator for research at the Manasota Key Offshore archaeological site. I would never have pursued a PhD without his encouragement. He helped me select a topic and supported me throughout the entirety of my research. His devotion to and mastery of submerged precontact archaeology in Florida is both unmatched and inspiring. His willingness to advise and assist me throughout the course of my PhD candidacy provided me with much needed strength.

Thank you to Dr. Alex Parsons and Dr. Katie O'Donnell Miyar for reviewing portions of my dissertation and providing feedback and advice from an outside perspective. Thank you also to my cohorts at Leiden University, who offered much needed input on each chapter, as well as offering problem-solving solutions for each of my conundrums. I must also express my sincere gratitude to the agencies and individuals who

provided pertinent outside funding for my research: the Florida Division of Historical Resources, the Florida Gulf Coast Community Foundation, the Alliance for Weedon Island Archaeological Research and Education, Inc., and the State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Thank you to the many state, federal, and local agencies (too many to list here) that assisted the Florida Bureau of Archaeological Research in the protection and study of the Manasota Key Offshore site.

Thank you to Florida archaeologist Glen H. Doran (19 June 1950 – 10 June 2021), for providing a thorough foundation upon which all mortuary pond research rests.

I must also call attention to those peoples of the past, especially the ancestors at rest at Manasota Key Offshore. May we all take this moment to consider those who have walked these spaces before us.

To my friends, who have caught me over the years in their net of support, thank you. You have provided much needed avenues of decompression during particularly stressful aspects of research and writing. You have celebrated with me, provided me room to vent, and given me nothing but smiles and encouragement. I am privileged to call these individuals my friends: Chip, Haley, Kris, Beck and Camille, Bobby and Ryan, Laszlo, Caroline, Laura and Gabe, Ingrid and Mady, Camel, Karl and Caitlyn, Ben and Amy, Bart, Bob, Russ, Rachel, Sean, Dom, Calvin, Dave, Tyler, Nick, Marie, Paulette, Neil, Ivor, and Kelly.

I would not be where I am today without the eternal love of my family. Thank you to my sister, Hilary, and my grandparents, John and Mary Ann. Thank you also to my aunt, Susan, who originally inspired my interest in anthropology and archaeology. Stories of her travels all over the world filled me with a sense of curiosity and directed my career trajectory from the very beginning. Finally, thank you to my parents, Karen and Randy, who have always supported me and never once let me believe that I could not accomplish my goals. As the only person in my entire extended family to pursue a PhD, I am both honored and humbled.

For Mom and Dad

In memoriam
Jimmy Pruitt

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objectives.....	2
1.3.1 Research Questions	4
1.4 Approach.....	4
1.5 State and Federal Law and Ethical Considerations	6
1.6 Organization of the Dissertation.....	8

CHAPTER 2. CONTEXTUALIZING THE MANASOTA KEY OFFSHORE (8SO7030) ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE

2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Florida's Changing Landscape: Geology and the Paleoenvironment.....	11
2.2.1 Marine Transgression in the Gulf of Mexico.....	13
2.2.2 Geological and Coastal Processes of the Study Area	15
2.3 Historical Context: Submerged Precontact Archaeology.....	18
2.4 Regional Culture History: The Archaic Period of the Southeastern United States	22
2.4.1 Archaeological Context of the Study Area	26
2.5 The Manasota Key Offshore Archaeological Site.....	28
2.5.1 The Oyster Assemblage.....	31
2.6 Summary	38

CHAPTER 3. OYSTER SHELL STUDIES: A REVIEW

3.1 Introduction	40
3.2 <i>Crassostrea virginica</i>	41
3.2.1 Biology.....	41
3.2.2 Habitat	43
3.2.3 Parasites and Epifauna	44
3.3 Morphometric Analysis.....	44
3.4 Sclerochronology	47
3.4.1 Stable Isotope Analyses	49
3.4.2 Implications.....	52
3.5 Radiocarbon Dating.....	52
3.5.1 Implications.....	54
3.6 Summary	55

CHAPTER 4. GEOARCHAEOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK AND METHODOLOGY

4.1 Introduction	57
4.2 Geoarchaeological Framework	57
4.3 Methodological Approach	61
4.3.1 The Oyster Assemblages	61
4.3.1.1 MKO Archaic Oysters	61
4.3.1.2 Nokomis Boat Ramp Modern Oysters	62
4.3.1.3 Lemon Bay Modern Oysters	64
4.3.2 Morphometric Analysis.....	66
4.3.2.1 MKO Archaic Oysters	66
4.3.2.2 Modern Oysters	67
4.3.2.3 Comparative Analysis.....	67
4.3.3 Stable Isotope Analyses: Sample Selection and Preparation.....	67

4.3.3.1 Oysters	71
4.3.3.2 Barnacles and Freshwater Gastropods	76
4.3.3.3 Water Samples	78
4.3.3.4 Reporting and Comparing Results	78
4.3.4 Sclerochronology	80
4.3.5 Radiocarbon Dating	80
CHAPTER 5. RESULTS	
5.1 Introduction	84
5.2 Morphometric Analysis	84
5.2.1 MKO Archaic Oysters	84
5.2.2 Nokomis Boat Ramp Modern Oysters	87
5.2.3 Lemon Bay Modern Oysters	88
5.2.4 Comparative Analysis	90
5.3 Stable Isotope Analyses	91
5.3.1 Oysters	91
5.3.2 Barnacles and Freshwater Gastropods	92
5.3.3 Water Data	93
5.3.4 Comparative Analyses	95
5.3.4.1 Archaic versus Modern Oysters	96
5.3.4.2 Barnacles and Freshwater Gastropods	98
5.4 Sclerochronology	99
5.4.1 Archaic Oysters without Internal Banding	100
5.4.2 Archaic Oysters with Internal Banding	100
5.4.3 Dual-sampled Archaic Oysters	103
5.4.4 Season of Death and Age Estimates for Archaic Oysters	107
5.4.5 Modern Oyster Sample: Lemon Bay	107
5.5 Radiocarbon Dating	111
CHAPTER 6. DISCUSSION	
6.1 Introduction	113
6.2 Morphometric Analysis	113
6.2.1 Modern versus Archaic Oysters	114
6.3 Stable Isotope Analysis: Oxygen	117
6.3.1 Oysters	117
6.3.1.1 Comparing Drilled Holes versus Transect Lines	120
6.3.2 Barnacles and Gastropods	122
6.3.3 Water Data	123
6.3.3.1 Paleotemperature Equation: Building a Model of Past Water Parameters	125
6.4 Stable Isotope Analysis: Carbon	127
6.4.1 Oysters	127
6.4.2 Barnacles and Gastropods	128
6.5 Sclerochronology	129
6.6 Radiocarbon Dating	136
6.7 Changing Landscape and Preservation of MKO as Revealed by Oyster Studies	139
6.7.1 Preservation of Sensitive Organics at MKO	140
6.7.2 Sea Level Rise and the Changing Landscape as Revealed by Oysters	143
6.7.3 Florida's Mortuary Ponds: Cultural Practice and Abandonment	144
6.8 Summary	150
CHAPTER 7. CONCLUSION	

7.1 Summary of the Research	152
7.2 What can an examination of oysters excavated from MKO reveal about the paleoenvironment, site exposure, and site formation processes during marine transgression	153
7.3 How can this oyster study provide insight into the circumstances that allowed MKO to be preserved	155
7.4 How can oyster data provide insight into how changes in sea levels affected population(s) utilizing the landscape	155
7.5 Legal and Ethical Considerations Revisited.....	156
7.6 Future Research Directions.....	157
7.7 Significance of the Study.....	160
REFERENCES	162
APPENDIX A. Height-to-length ratios for modern and Archaic oyster shells	182
APPENDIX B. Isotopic composition of modern and Archaic oyster shells.....	185
APPENDIX C. Water station data for January 2015 to July 2020.....	198
APPENDIX D. Plotted carbon and oxygen isotope profiles for MKO Archaic oysters without visible growth bands	200
APPENDIX E. Bayesian modelling of shell carbonate radiocarbon dated material from MKO	203
SUMMARY	205
SAMENVATTING	207
CURRICULUM VITAE	209

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Location of Manasota Key Offshore in Sarasota County	3
Figure 2.1. Specific Florida archaeological sites mentioned in this dissertation	14
Figure 2.2 Manasota Key, Lemon Bay, and counties	17
Figure 2.3. Archaeological context in the vicinity of MKO.....	27
Figure 2.4. Cumulative site plan of MKO resulting from 2016 to 2019.	30
Figure 2.5. Distribution and frequency of individual oyster shells and reported instances of barnacle at MKO	33
Figure 2.6. FS 8.02 group of oyster valves recovered from Area 1 in 2016; embedded skeletal material in center	34
Figure 2.7. FS 8.02e post desalination and drying, during which skeletal material was detached. Note attachment scar which resulted from a human rib bone, scale in cm	34
Figure 2.8. FS 8.02 oyster valves; note concave, cylindrical impression in attachment scar	35
Figure 2.9. Left valve FS 2606.1 after desalination and drying; note embedded barnacle (2606.28) and skeletal material attachment scar	36
Figure 2.10. Re-articulated left (bottom) and right (upper) oyster valves FS 2606.1 and 2601.1; note embedded barnacle (2606.28), scale in cm	36
Figure 2.11. FS 2501.1 from unit 8N9E with large, cylindrical impression scar, scale in cm	37
Figure 2.12. FS 2589.1 and 2596.1 from unit 8N9E with cylindrical impression scars, scale in cm... ..	37
Figure 3.1. Labelled left valve of an oyster	42
Figure 3.2. Height and length of an oyster valve	46
Figure 4.1. Modern oyster assemblage locations compared to MKO archaeological site	63
Figure 4.2. Nokomis Boat Ramp modern oyster collection, pilings one (left) and two (right).....	64
Figure 4.3. Lemon Bay modern oysters collected from <i>Rhizophora mangle</i> roots	65

Figure 4.4. Water data station locations compared to LB modern oyster collection site, with water sampling locations for isotope analysis.....	69
Figure 4.5. FS 8.02e ventral shell removed; hinge embedded in epoxy and bisected; units in cm...	72
Figure 4.6. Thin-sectioned hinge from LBLV42; note alternating growth bands; units in cm	72
Figure 4.7. Transected lines drawn on LBLV42 using Merchantek EO Micromill PXC software; note alternating growth bands	73
Figure 4.8. Drilled holes in FS 8.02e; note lack of banding and large <i>P. websteri</i> void in hinge.....	74
Figure 4.9. FS 15.01b with transected lines and drilled holes; <i>P. websteri</i> voids present in bands ..	75
Figure 4.10. Barnacle FS F10.2 with sampled plate designated	77
Figure 4.11. Gastropods (genus <i>Tryonia</i>) from peat sediment sample FS 2659.1.....	78
Figure 4.12. Stylized examples exhibiting season of death determinations	80
Figure 5.1. Example HLR classifications from MKO.....	85
Figure 5.2. HLR ranges measured in MKO Archaic oysters	86
Figure 5.3. Box plot with range, mean, median, and outliers for MKO Archaic oyster HLR.....	86
Figure 5.4. HLR ranges measured in NBR modern oysters	87
Figure 5.5. Box plot with range, mean, and median for NBR modern oyster HLR	88
Figure 5.6. HLR ranges measured in LB modern oysters	89
Figure 5.7. Box plot with range, mean, and median for LB modern oyster HLR	89
Figure 5.8. Inverse salinity: $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{water}}$ relationship as revealed by modern water samples from Sarasota County.....	95
Figure 5.9. Mean and 95% confidence interval for $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ values in modern and Archaic oysters .	96
Figure 5.10. Mean and 95% confidence interval for $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{shell}}$ values in modern and Archaic oysters	97
Figure 5.11. Cross-plot of all $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ intra-shell values.....	97
Figure 5.12. Mean and 95% confidence interval comparison between all modern and all Archaic oysters for $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{shell}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ values.....	98
Figure 5.13. Cross plot of mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ intra-shell values for oyster, barnacle, and gastropod specimens.....	99
Figure 5.14. $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{shell}}$ profile for MKO Archaic oyster SC1. $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ profile amplitude divided into thirds.....	101
Figure 5.15. $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{shell}}$ profile for MKO Archaic oyster 353.1. $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ profile amplitude divided into thirds.....	102
Figure 5.16. $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{shell}}$ profile for MKO Archaic oyster 39.1a. Black band represents <i>P. websteri</i> void. Black arrows represent grey growth disturbances. $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ profile amplitude divided into thirds.....	103
Figure 5.17. Bisected hinge for MKO oyster 337.1 illustrating <i>P. websteri</i> voids.....	104
Figure 5.18. Oyster 337.1 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{shell}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ for transect lines (A) and drilled holes (B). Black band denotes <i>P. websteri</i> void. $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ profile amplitudes divided into thirds.....	104
Figure 5.19. Oyster 15.01b $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{shell}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ for transect lines (A) and drilled holes (B). Black bands denote <i>P. websteri</i> voids. Black arrows denote grey growth disturbances. $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ profile amplitudes divided into thirds	106
Figure 5.20. $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{shell}}$ profile for modern oyster LB42	108
Figure 5.21. $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{shell}}$ profile for modern oyster LB12	110
Figure 6.1. Mean and 95% confidence interval of shell height to length ratio for MKO's Archaic oysters and two modern oysters	115
Figure 6.2. HLR box plots with range, mean, median, and outliers for all oyster samples	116
Figure 6.3. Box plots exhibiting mean, median, and range in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ values for all isotopically sampled oysters	119

Figure 6.4. Mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ values and 95% confidence interval for Archaic oysters, illustrating Groups 1 and 2.....	119
Figure 6.5. MKO oyster 15.01b with drilled holes and transect lines.....	121
Figure 6.6. Mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ values for oysters; individual $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ values for barnacles and gastropods	123
Figure 6.7. Mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{shell}}$ values for oysters; individual $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{shell}}$ values for barnacles and gastropods	128
Figure 6.8. Example of growth disturbances and heat stress in modern oyster LB42 and Archaic oyster 39.1a	131
Figure 6.9. Plotted $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ profiles, estimated season of death, and estimated ages for MKO oysters lacking internal banding	133
Figure 6.10. Plotted $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ profiles, estimated season of death, and estimated ages for MKO oysters with internal banding	134
Figure 6.11. Plotted $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ profiles, estimated season of death, and estimated ages for dual-sampled MKO oysters 15.01b and 337.1	135
Figure 6.12. Bayesian modelling of radiocarbon dated material from MKO.....	138
Figure 6.13. Timing of events at MKO based on data generated as part of this dissertation’s research with BAR additions	147
Figure 6.14. Distribution of mortuary ponds across Florida and distance to ancient coastlines	148

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1. HLR and associated environment for <i>C. virginica</i>	47
Table 4.1. Milling information for MKO Archaic and modern oysters.....	75
Table 5.1. Summary statistics for HLR data	90
Table 5.2. ANOVA Single-Factor Analysis of HLR for Archaic and modern oysters	90
Table 5.3. Tukey-Kramer Post-Hoc Analysis for Archaic and modern oysters.....	91
Table 5.4. Summary Statistics of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{shell}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{shell}}$ values in modern and Archaic oysters	92
Table 5.5. Carbon and oxygen isotope results for barnacles and gastropods from MKO	93
Table 5.6. Mean salinity and temperature for water stations LB-3 and LB-4 2015 to 2020	93
Table 5.7. Water chemistry data from Manasota Key, Lemon Bay, and Forked Creek.....	94
Table 5.8. Predicted $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{water}}$ for MKO Archaic oysters using paleotemperature equation	95
Table 5.9. Season of death and age estimates for Archaic oysters based on a combination of isotopic and sclerochronological results.....	107
Table 5.10. Season of death and age estimates for modern oysters based on a combination of isotopic and sclerochronological results.....	110
Table 5.11. MKO material radiocarbon dated for this dissertation.....	111
Table 6.1. Minimum and maximum $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{shell}}$ values for 337.1 and 15.01b.....	122
Table 6.2. Minimum and maximum salinity readings from water stations for 2015 to 2020	124
Table 6.3. Mortuary Ponds and Distances from Present and Past Shorelines	148