



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Non-textual evidence in international criminal prosecutions: discovering the best practices for audiovisual materials in a digital age

Hak, J.W.

Citation

Hak, J. W. (2023, November 9). *Non-textual evidence in international criminal prosecutions: discovering the best practices for audiovisual materials in a digital age*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3656787>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3656787>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

International Instruments

ICTY Statute, Art. 1, 25 May 1993, UNSC Res 827. Last amendment, 7 July 2009.

Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, 1998, UN Doc. A/CONF.183/9, 2187 UNTS 90.

The Agreement for the Prosecution and Punishment of Major War Criminals of the European Axis of August 8, 1945, 59 Stat. 1544, 82 U.N.T.S 279.

United Nations Documents

Letter dated 13 November 2019 from the Special Adviser and Head of the United Nations Investigative Team to Promote Accountability for Crimes Committed by Da'esh/Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant addressed to the President of the Security Council, UNSC S2019/878, 13 November 2019.

Report of the Special Rapporteur on Extrajudicial, Summary or Arbitrary Executions, Christof Heyns, UN Doc. A/HRC/29/37, 24 April 2015.

The Rule of the Law and Transitional Justice in Conflict and Post-Conflict Societies, UN Doc. S/2004/616.

UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, Civil Society and the United Nations Human Rights System: A Practical Guide for Civil Society, 3 (2014), available at <https://www.ohchr.org/en/publications/policy-and-methodological-publications/civil-society-space-and-united-nations-human> (accessed 5 December 2022).

UNSC Meeting Coverage and Press Release, Upcoming Elections Offer Historic Opportunity to Widen Columbia's Democracy, Special Representative Tells Security Council, SC/14770, 8951ST Meeting, 20 January 2022, available at <https://www.un.org/press/en/2022/sc14770.doc.htm> (accessed 11 February 2022).

International Reports

European Network of Contact Points in Respect of Persons Responsible for Genocide, Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes (the Genocide Network). (2018). *Prosecuting War Crimes of Outrage Upon Personal Dignity Based on Evidence from Open Sources – Legal Framework and Recent Developments in the Member States of the European Union*, available at <https://www.eurojust.europa.eu/publication/prosecuting-war-crimes-outrage-upon-personal-dignity-based-evidence-open-sources-legal> (accessed 5 December 2022).

Independent International Fact-Finding Mission on Myanmar, United Nations Human Rights Council, <https://www.ohchr.org/en/hrbodies/hrc/myanmarffm/pages/index.aspx> [perma.cc/M25J-3FAE]; Human Rights Council Res. 39/2, U.N. Doc. A/HRC/RES/39/2 (Sept. 27, 2018).

Other Documents and Sources

Dutch Safety Board's report on the MH17 Crash (October 2015), available at <https://www.onderzoeksraad.nl/en/page/3546/crash-mh17-17-july-2014> (accessed 28 July 2021).

Forensic Science Regulator Codes of Practice and Conduct: Development of Evaluative Opinions, FSR-C-118, 2021, FSR available at https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/960051/FSR-C-118_Interpretation_Appendix_Issue_1__002_.pdf (accessed 19 December 2022).

Forensic Science Regulator Regulatory Notice 01/2019, available at https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/883281/Regulatory_notice_2019.01_-_Imaging__2_.pdf (accessed 19 December 2022).

House of Lords Select Committee on Science and Technology: Fifth Report (Digital Images as Evidence) 1998, available at www.parliament.the-stationery-office.co.uk/pa/ld199798/ldsctech/064v/st0501.htm (accessed 13 December 2022).

Kuntz, S. Dane County Sheriff's Office, Madison, Wisconsin, United States, personal communication, 29 March 2020.

MH17 Official Court Website, 9, 10 June 2021, 18, 21, 23, and 24 March 2022, available at <https://www.courtmh17.com/en/news/2021/summary-of-the-day-wednesday-9-june-2021.html>; <https://www.courtmh17.com/en/news/2021/summary-of-the-day-in-court-thursday-10-june-2021.html>; https://www.courtmh17.com/en/news/2022/pleadings-by-the-defence_summary.html (accessed 26 April 2022).

MH17 Trial, Summary of the Judgment of the District Court of The Hague, available at <https://www.courtmh17.com/en/news/2022/summary-of-the-day-in-court-17-november-2022---judgment.html> (accessed 5 December 2022).

Report from Mr. Justice Jackson, Chief Counsel for the United States in the Prosecution of Axis War Criminals (NARA, RG 226, E 90, B 12, F 126, at 3) (quoting Delage, C. (2011). Bringing History into the Present Through Film: An Historian in the Archives of Nuremberg. *CINEASTE*, Winter 2011, 34-39).

UK Law Commission (2011), *Expert Evidence in Criminal Proceedings in England and Wales*, at 93, available at https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/229043/0829.pdf (accessed 7 August 2021).

Ward, P., Video Technician for Rodney King defence team, personal communication, 15 June 2021.

ICC Documents

Guidelines Governing the Relations Between the Court and Intermediaries for the Organs and Units of the Court and Counsel Working with Intermediaries, ICC, March 2014, available at <https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/e0f990/pdf>, at 5 (accessed 13 July 2021).

ICC Chambers Practice Manual (2019), available at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/iccdocs/other/191129-chamber-manual-eng.pdf> (accessed 11 December 2022).

ICC Chambers Practice Manual (2019), *Unified Technical Protocol (“E-court Protocol”) for the Provision of Evidence, Witness and Victims Information in Electronic Form*, available at https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/RelatedRecords/CR2019_00267.PDF (accessed 23 December 2022).

ICC Code of Professional Conduct for Counsel, Resolution ICC-ASP/4/Res.1, available at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/Publications/Code-of-Professional-Conduct-for-counsel.pdf> (access 10 September 2022).

ICC Office of the Prosecutor, Documenting International Crimes and Human Rights Violations for Accountability Purposes: Guidelines for Civil Society Organizations, ICC Press Release, 21 September 2022, available at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/icc-prosecutor-and-eurojust-launch-practical-guidelines-documenting-and-preserving-information> (accessed 21 November 2022).

ICC Office of The Prosecutor, Policy Paper on Case Selection and Prioritisation, 15 September 2016, available at: https://www.icc-cpi.int/itemsDocuments/20160915_OTP-Policy_Case-Selection_Eng.pdf.

ICC Office of The Prosecutor, Strategic Plan 2016-2018 (16 November 2015), available at https://www.icc-cpi.int/iccdocs/otp/070715-OTP_Strategic_Plan_2016-2018.pdf.

ICC Office of The Prosecutor, Strategic Plan 2019-2021 (17 July 2019), available at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/itemsDocuments/20190726-strategic-plan-eng.pdf>.

ICC Office of The Prosecutor, Strategic Plan 2023-2025, available at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/2023-08/2023-strategic-plan-icc-v.2.pdf>.

ICC Office of The Prosecutor, Report on Preliminary Examination Activities 2020, 14 December 2020, available at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/itemsDocuments/2020-PE/2020-pe-report-eng.pdf>.

ICC Prosecutor, Eleventh Report of The Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court to the United Nations Security Council Pursuant to UNSCR 1970 (2011) on 26 May 2016, available at https://www.icc-cpi.int/itemsDocuments/otp_report_lib_26052016-eng.pdf (accessed 13 July 2021).

ICC Prosecutor, Statement of ICC Prosecutor, Karim A.A. Khan QC: Contributions and Support from States Parties will Accelerate Action Across our Investigations, 28 March 2022, available at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/statement-icc-prosecutor-karim-aa-khan-qc-contributions-and-support-states-parties-will> (accessed 5 January 2023).

ICC Prosecutor, Statement by ICC Prosecutor, Karim A.A. Khan QC: Office of the Prosecutor Joins National Authorities in Joint Investigation Team on International Crimes Committed in Ukraine, 25 April 2022, available at ICC website at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/statement-icc-prosecutor-karim-aa-khan-qc-office-prosecutor-joins-national-authorities-joint> (accessed 10 August 2022).

ICC Prosecutor, ICC Prosecutor Karim A.A. Khan QC Announces Deployment of Forensics and Investigative Team to Ukraine, Welcomes Strong Cooperation with the Government of the Netherlands, 17 May 2022, available on ICC website at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/icc-prosecutor-karim-aa-khan-qc-announces-deployment-forensics-and-investigative-team-ukraine> (accessed 10 August 2022).

ICC Prosecutor, Press Conference of the ICC Prosecutor and Eurojust with Joint Investigation Team on Alleged Core International Crimes in Ukraine, 27 May 2022, available on ICC website at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/press-conference-icc-prosecutor-and-eurojust-joint-investigation-team-alleged-core> (accessed 10 August 2022).

ICC Prosecutor, ICC Prosecutor Karim A.A. Khan QC Visits Kharkiv, Ukraine on 15 June 2022, 17 June 2022, available on ICC website at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/icc-prosecutor-karim-khan-qc-visits-kharkiv-ukraine-15-june-2022> (accessed 10 August 2022).

Independent Expert Review of the International Criminal Court and the Rome Statute System: Final Report, 30 September 2020 (IER Report), para. 632, available at https://asp.icc-cpi.int/sites/asp/files/asp_docs/ASP19/IER-Final-Report-ENG.pdf. (accessed 11 August 2022).

ICC Registry List of Experts, ICC website, available at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/2021-06-16-list-of-experts-eng.pdf>; Applying to be an Expert, at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/get-involved/experts> (accessed 19 December 2022).

ICC Regulations of the Registry, available at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/Publications/Regulations-of-the-Registry.pdf> (accessed 10 September 2022).

ICC website, Outreach: Interacting with Communities Affected by Crimes, available at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/about/interacting-with-communities> (accessed 25 October 2022).

Rogers, R. (2017). Assessment of the ICC's Legal Aid System, available online at <https://www.icc-cpi.int/itemsDocuments/legalAidConsultations-LAS-REP-ENG.pdf>, at 9-10 (accessed 31 January 2022).

Rules of Procedure and Evidence, Official Records of the Assembly of States Parties to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, First session, New York, 3-10 September 2002 (ICC-ASP/1/3 and Corr.1), Part II.A, as amended.

Jurisprudence

International Criminal Court

Prosecutor v. Abd-Al-Rahman, ICC-02/05-01/20-433, Decision on the Confirmation of Charges Against Ali Muhammad Ali Abd-Al-Rahman ('Ali Kushayb'), 9 July 2021.

Prosecutor v. Abu Garda, ICC-02/05-02/09-243-Red, Decision on Confirmation of Charges, 8 February 2010.

Prosecutor v. Al Hassan, ICC-01/12-01/18-T-108-Red-ENG, Transcript, 15 July 2020.

Prosecutor v. Al Hassan, ICC-01/12-01/18-T-073-ENG ET WT, Transcript, 14 April 2021.

Prosecutor v. Al Hassan, ICC-01/12-01/18-T-073-ENG ET WT, Transcript of P-0635, 14 April 2021.

Prosecutor v. Al Hassan, ICC-01/12-01/18-T-074-Red-ENG WT, Transcript of P-0635, 15 April 2021.

Prosecutor v. Al Hassan, ICC-01/12-01/18-T-079-Red-ENG WT, Transcript of P-0631, 28 April 2021.

Prosecutor v. Al Hassan, ICC-01/12-01/18-T-087-Red-ENG WT, Transcript of P-0127, 28 May 2021.

Prosecutor v. Al Mahdi, ICC-01/12-01/15-T-4-Red-ENG, Transcript, 22 August 2016.

Prosecutor v. Al Mahdi, ICC-01/12-01/15-171, Judgment and Sentence, 27 September 2016.

Prosecutor v. Al-Werfalli, ICC-01/11-01/17, Warrant of Arrest, 15 August 2017.

Prosecutor v. Al-Werfalli, ICC-01/11-01/17, Second Warrant of Arrest, 4 July 2018.

Prosecutor v. Bemba, ICC-01/05-01/08-55, Pre-Trial Chamber III, Decision on the Evidence Disclosure System and Setting a Timetable for Disclosure between the Parties, 31 July 2008.

Prosecutor v. Bemba, ICC-01/05-01/08-695, Decision on the Procedures to be Adopted for Instructing Expert Witnesses, 12 February 2010.

Prosecutor v. Bemba, ICC-01/05-01/08-1386 OA 5 OA 6, *Judgment on the appeals of Mr Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo and the Prosecutor against the decision of Trial Chamber III entitled*

“Decision on the admission into evidence of materials contained in the prosecution’s list of evidence.”, 3 May 2011.

Prosecutor v. Bemba, ICC-01/05-01/08-2012, First Decision on the Prosecution and Defence Requests for the Admission of Evidence, 9 February 2012.

Prosecutor v. Bemba, ICC-01/05-01/08-2299-Red, *Public Redacted Version of “Decision on the Prosecution’s Application for Admission of Materials into Evidence Pursuant to Article 64(9) of the Rome Statute” of 6 September 2012*, 08 October 2012.

Prosecutor v. Bemba, ICC-01/05-01/08-2721, Decision on the Admission into Evidence of Items Deferred in the Chamber's "Decision on the Prosecution's Application for Admission of Materials into Evidence Pursuant to Article 64(9) of the *Rome Statute*" (ICC-01/05-01/08-2299), 27 June 2013.

Prosecutor v. Bemba et al., ICC-01/05-01/13-1245-Red, Public Redacted Version of Defence Response to Prosecution’s Third Request for the Admission of Evidence from the Bar Table (ICC-01/05-01/13-1170), 10 September 2015.

Prosecutor v. Bemba et al., ICC-01/05-01/13-T-33-ENG ET WT 02-11-2015 1/38 SZ T, *Trial Hearing Transcript*, 2 November 2015.

Prosecutor v. Bemba et al., ICC-01/05-01/13-1498-Red, Public Redacted Version of the “Prosecution’s Fifth Request for the Admission of Evidence from the Bar Table”, 27 November 2015, ICC-01/05-01/13-1498-Conf, 30 November 2015.

Prosecutor v. Bemba et al., ICC-01/05-01/13-1524, Decision on “Prosecution’s Fifth Request for the Admission of Evidence from the Bar Table”, 14 December 2015.

Prosecutor v. Bemba, ICC-01/05-01/08-3343, Judgment Pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute, 21 March 2016.

Prosecutor v. Bemba et al., ICC-01/05-01/13 A A2 A3 A4 A5, Public Redacted Judgment on the Appeals of Mr Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo, Mr Aimé Kilolo Musamba, Mr Jean-Jacques Mangenda Kabongo, Mr Fidèle BabalaWandu and Mr Narcisse Arido Against the Decision of Trial Chamber VII Entitled “Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute”, 8 March 2018.

Prosecutor v. Bemba et al., ICC-01/05-01/13-2275-Anx, Separate Opinion of Judge Henderson, 8 March 2018.

Prosecutor v. Bemba, ICC-01/05-01/08 A, Judgment on the Appeal of Mr Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo Against Trial Chamber III's "Judgment Pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute", 8 June 2018.

Prosecutor v. Bemba, ICC-01/05-01/08-3636-Anx2, Separate Opinion of Judge Van den Wyngaert and Judge Morrison, 8 June 2018.

Prosecutor v. Gbagbo, ICC-02/11-01/11-286-Red, Decision on the Fitness of Laurent Gbagbo to Take in the Proceedings Before This Court, 2 November 2012.

Prosecutor v. Gbagbo, ICC-02/11-01/11-432, Decision Adjourning the Hearing on the Confirmation of Charges Pursuant to Article 61(7)(c)(i) of the Rome Statute, 3 June 2013.

Prosecutor v. Gbagbo, ICC-02/11-01/11-656-Red, Decision on the Confirmation of Charges Against Laurent Gbagbo, 12 June 2014.

Prosecutor v. Gbagbo and Blé Goudé, ICC-02/11-01/15-405, *Decision on the Submission and Admission of Evidence*, 29 January 2016.

Prosecutor v. Gbagbo and Blé Goudé, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-185-Red2-ENG, Transcript of the evidence of OTP Criminalistics Expert CIV-OTP-P-0583, 4 September 2017.

Prosecutor v. Gbagbo and Blé Goudé, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-186-Red2-ENG, Transcript of the evidence of OTP Criminalistics Expert CIV-OTP-P-0583, 5 September 2017.

Prosecutor v. Gbagbo and Blé Goudé, ICC-02/11-01/15-1263, Reasons for Oral Decision of 15 January 2019 on the Requête de la Défense de Laurent Gbagbo Afin Qu'un Jugement D'acquiescement Portant Sur Toutes Les Charges Soit Prononcé en Faveur de Laurent Gbagbo et Que sa Mise en Liberté Immédiate Soit Ordonnée, and on the Blé Goudé Defence No Case to Answer Motion, 16 July 2019.

Prosecutor v. Gbagbo and Blé Goudé, ICC-02/11-01/15, Judgment, 16 July 2019.

Prosecutor v. Gbagbo and Blé Goudé, ICC-02/11-01/15-1263-Conf-AnxB, Reasons of Judge Geoffrey Henderson, 16 July 2019.

Prosecutor v. Gbagbo and Blé Goudé, ICC-02/11-01/15-1263-AnxA, Reasons of Judge Cuno Tarfusser, 16 July 2019.

Prosecutor v. Gbagbo and Blé Goudé, ICC-02/11-01/15-1263-AnxA, Dissenting Opinion of Judge Herrera Carbuccion, 16 July 2019.

Prosecutor v. Gbagbo and Blé Goudé, ICC-02/11-01/15-1277-Red, Public Redacted Version of "Prosecution Document in Support of Appeal", ICC-02/11-01/15-1277-Conf, 15 October 2019, 17 October 2019.

Prosecutor v. Gbagbo and Blé Goudé, ICC-02/11-01/15-1400 01-04-2021 1/166 SL A, Judgment in the Appeal of the Prosecutor Against Trial Chamber I's Decision on the No Case to Answer Motions, 31 March 2021.

Prosecutor v. Gbagbo and Blé Goudé, ICC-02/11-01/15-1400-Anx1-Corr-Red 09-04-2021 1/134 SL A, Concurring Opinion of Judge Eboe-Osuji, 31 March 2021.

Prosecutor v. Harun & Kushayb, ICC-02/05-01/07, Public Redacted Version of Prosecution Request for a Finding on the Non-Cooperation of the Government of the Sudan, 19 April 2010.

Prosecutor v. Katanga and Ngudjolo, ICC-01/04-01/07-717, Decision on the Confirmation of Charges, 30 September 2008.

Prosecutor v. Katanga and Ngudjolo, ICC-01/04-01/07-1336, Decision on Prosecution Application to Present Video Evidence, 27 July 2009.

Prosecutor v. Katanga and Ngudjolo Chui, ICC-01/04-01/07-1515, Decision on the Disclosure of Evidentiary Material Relating to the Prosecutor's Site Visit to Bogoro on 28, 29 and 31 March 2009 (ICC-01/04-01/07-1305, 1345, 1360, 1401, 1412 and 1456), 7 October 2009.

Prosecutor v. Katanga and Ngudjolo Chui, ICC-01/04-01/07-1665-Corr, Corrigendum Directions for the Conduct of the Proceedings and Testimony in Accordance with Rule 140, 1 December 2009.

Prosecutor v. Katanga and Ngudjolo Chui, ICC-01/04-01/07-T-90-ENG ET WT 26-01-2010, Transcript, 26 January 2010.

Prosecutor v. Katanga and Ngudjolo Chui, ICC-01/04-01/07-2635, Decision on the Prosecutor's Bar Table Motions, 17 December 2010.

Prosecutor v. Katanga, ICC-01/04-01/07 OA 13, Appeals Chamber Judgment, 27 March 2013.

Prosecutor v. Katanga, ICC-01/04-01/07, Judgment Pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute, 7 March 2014.

Prosecutor v. Katanga, ICC-01/04-01/07-3436-AnxI, Minority Opinion of Judge Van den Wyngaert, 7 March 2014.

Prosecutor v. Katanga, ICC-01/04-01/07-3436-AnxII-tENG, Concurring Opinion of Judges Diarra and Cotte, 7 March 2014.

Prosecutor v. Kenyatta et al., ICC-01/09-02/11-382-Red, Decision on Confirmation of Charges Pursuant to Article 61(7)(a) and (b) of the Rome Statute, 23 January 2012.

Prosecutor v. Lubanga, ICC-01/04-01/06-679, Decision on the Practices of Witness Familiarisation and Witness Proofing, 8 November 2006.

Prosecutor v. Lubanga, ICC-01/04-01/06, *Decision on the Confirmation of Charges*, 29 January 2007.

Prosecutor v. Lubanga, ICC-01/04-01/06-1019, Decision Regarding the Timing and Manner of Disclosure and the Date of Trial, 9 November 2007.

Prosecutor v. Lubanga, ICC-01/04-01/06-1069, Decision on the Procedures for Instructing Expert Witnesses, 10 December 2007.

Prosecutor v. Lubanga, ICC-01/04-01/06-1091, Decision on Defence's Request to Obtain Simultaneous French Transcripts, 14 December 2007.

Prosecutor v. Lubanga, ICC-01/04-01/06-1140, Decision on Various Issues Related to Witnesses' Testimony During Trial, 29 January 2008.

Prosecutor v. Lubanga, ICC-01/04-01/06-952, Prosecution's Application for Variation of Time Limits for Disclosure of Expert Witnesses' Evidence, 3 March 2008.

Prosecutor v. Lubanga, ICC-01/04-01/06-1399, Decision on the Admissibility of Four Documents, 13 June 2008.

Prosecutor v. Lubanga, ICC-01/04-01/06-1401, Decision on the Consequences of Non-disclosure of Exculpatory Materials Covered by Article 54(3)(e) Agreements and the Application to Stay the Prosecution of the Accused, Together with Certain Other Issues Raised at the Status Conference on 10 June 2008, 13 June 2008.

Prosecutor v. Lubanga, ICC-01/04-01/06, Deposition of Witness DRC- OTP-WWWW-0582, 16 November 2010.

Prosecutor v. Lubanga, ICC-01/04-01/06-1399-Corr, Corrigendum to Decision on Admissibility of Four Documents, 20 January 2011.

Prosecutor v. Lubanga, ICC-01/04-01/06-2842, Judgment Pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute, 14 March 2012.

Prosecutor v. Lubanga, ICC-01/04-01/06-2969-Red, Prosecutor's Response to Thomas Lubanga's Appeal Against Trial Chamber I's Judgment Pursuant to Article 74, 18 February 2013.

Prosecutor v. Lubanga, ICC-01/04-01/06-3121-Red, Judgment on Appeal, 1 December 2014.

Prosecutor v. Mbarushimana, ICC-01/04-01/10-465-Red, Decision on the Confirmation of Charges, 16 December 2011.

Prosecutor v. Ngudjolo Chui, ICC-01/04-02/12-3-tENG, Judgment Pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute, 18 December 2012.

Prosecutor v. Ngudjolo Chui, ICC-01/04-02/12-271-AnxA, Joint Dissenting Opinion of Judges Trendafilova and Tarfusser, 27 February 2015.

Prosecutor v. Ngudjolo Chui, ICC-01/04-02/12 A, Judgment on the Prosecutor's Appeal Against the Decision of Trial Chamber II Entitled "Judgment Pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute", 7 April 2015.

Prosecutor v. Ntaganda, ICC-01/04-02/06-389, Reasons for Review of Registrar’s Decision on Defence Resources, 29 October 2014.

Prosecutor v. Ntaganda, ICC-01/04-02/06-1838, Decision on the Prosecution’s Request for the Admission of Documentary Evidence, 28 March 2017.

Prosecutor v. Bosco Ntaganda, ICC-01/04-02/06-2026, Judgment on the Appeal of Mr Bosco Ntaganda Against the ‘Decision on Defence Request for Leave to File a No Case to Answer Motion’, 5 September 2017.

Prosecutor v. Ntaganda, ICC-01/04-02/06-2359, Judgment, 8 July 2019.

Prosecutor v. Ongwen, ICC-02/04-01/15-438, Prosecution Submissions in Accordance with the Scheduling Order of 4 May 2016, 18 May 2016.

Prosecutor v. Ongwen, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-25-ENG ET WT 23-05-2016, Transcript, 23 May 2016.

Prosecutor v. Ongwen, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, Judgment, 4 February 2021.

Prosecutor v. Ongwen, ICC-02/04-01/15-2022-Red 15-12-2022 1/611 NM A, Judgment on the Appeal of Mr Ongwen Against the Decision of Trial Chamber IX of 4 February 2021 entitled “Trial Judgment”, 15 December 2022.

Prosecutor v. Ruto et al., ICC-01/09-01/11-373, Decision on Confirmation of Charges Pursuant to Article 61(7)(a) and (b) of the Rome Statute, 23 January 2012.

Situation in Kenya, ICC-01/09-19, ICC PT. Ch. II, Decision Pursuant to Article 15 of the Rome Statute on the Authorisation of an Investigation into the Situation in the Republic of Kenya, 31 March 2010.

International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda

Prosecutor v. Bagilishema, ICTR-95-1A-T, Judgment, 7 June 2001.

Prosecutor v. Bagosora et al., ICTR-98-41-T, Judgment and Sentence, 18 December 2008.

Prosecutor v. Bizimungu et al., ICTR-99-50-T, Decision on the Prosecutor’s Motion and Notice of Adjudicated Facts, 10 December 2004.

Prosecutor v. Bizimungu et al., ICTR-99-50-T, Judgment and Sentence, 30 September 2011.

Prosecutor v. Kanyarukiga, ICTR-2002-78-T, Judgment and Sentence, 1 November 2010.

Prosecutor v. Karemera, ICTR-98-44-T, Judgment and Sentence, 2 February 2012.

Prosecutor v. Karera, ICTR-01-74-T, Judgment, 7 December 2007.

Prosecutor v. Kayishema et al., ICTR-95-1-T, Judgment, 21 May 1999.

Prosecutor v. Musema, ICTR 96-13, Judgment and Sentence, 27 January 2000.

Prosecutor v. Ngirabatware, ICTR-99-54-T, Judgment and Sentence, 20 December 2012.

Prosecutor v. Ntagerura et al., ICTR-99-46-A, Judgement, 7 July 2006.

Prosecutor v. Nyiramasuhuko et al., ICTR-98-42-T, Judgment and Sentence, 24 June 2011.

Prosecutor v. Renzaho, ICTR-97-31-T, Judgment and Sentence, 14 July 2009.

Prosecutor v. Rutaganda, ICTR-96-3-T, Judgment, 13 February 1996.

Rutaganda v. Prosecutor, ICTR-96-3-A, Judgment, 26 May 2003.

Prosecutor v. Zigiranyirazo, ICTR-01-73-T, Judgment, 18 December 2008.

International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia

Prosecutor v. Blagojević and Jokić, IT-02-60-T, Judgment, 17 January 2005.

Prosecutor v. Blaskić, IT-95-14-T, Decision on the Application of the Prosecutor dated 17 October 1996, Requesting Protective Measures for Victims and Witnesses, 5 November 1996.

Prosecutor v. Boškoski & Tarčulovski, IT-04-82, Trial Judgment, 10 July 2008.

Prosecutor v. Brdanin & Talić, IT-99-36-T, Order on the Standards Governing the Admission of Evidence, 15 February 2002.

Prosecutor v. Brdinan, IT-99-36-T, Judgment, 1 September 2004.

Prosecutor v. Delalić et al., IT-96-21-T, Decision on the Motion of the Prosecution for the Admissibility of Evidence, 19 January 1998.

Prosecutor v. Delalić et al., IT-96-21-T, Decision on the Prosecution's Oral Requests for the Admission of Exhibit 155 into Evidence and for an Order to Compel the Accused Zdravko Mucić to Provide a Handwriting Sample, 21 January 1998.

Prosecutor v. Delalić et al., IT-96-21-T, Decision on Application of Defendant Zejnil Delalic for Leave to Appeal Against the Decision of the Trial Chamber of 19 January 1998 for the Admissibility of Evidence, 4 March 1998.

Prosecutor v. Delalić et al., IT-96-21, Trial Judgement, 16 November 1998.

Prosecutor v. Delić, IT-04-83-T, Judgment, 15 September 2008.

Prosecutor v. Dordević, IT-05-87/1-T, Decision on Prosecution's Motion for Admission of Video-Recording MFI P1575, 30 March 2010.

Prosecutor v. Dordević, IT-05-87/1-T, Judgment, 23 February 2011.

Prosecutor v. Galić, IT-98-29-T, Decision on Prosecution's Motion for the Trial Chamber to Travel to Sarajevo, 4 February 2003.

Prosecutor v. Galić, IT-98-29-T, Judgment, 5 December 2003.

Prosecutor v. Gotovina et al., IT-06-90-T, Judgment, 15 April 2011.

Prosecutor v. Gotovina and Markač, IT-06-90-A, Appeals Chamber Judgment, 16 November 2012.

Prosecutor v. Halilović, IT-01-48-T, Motion for Judicial Notice, 1 March 2005.

Prosecutor v. Halilović, IT-01-48-T, Judgment, 16 November 2005.

Prosecutor v. Halilović, IT-01-48-A, Judgement, 16 October 2007.

Prosecutor v. Haradinaj et al., IT-04-84-T, Judgment, 3 April 2008.

Prosecutor v. Karadžić, IT-95-5/18-T, Judgment, 24 March 2016.

Prosecutor v. Kordić and Cerkez, IT-95-14/2-A, Judgment, 17 December 2004.

Prosecutor v. Krstić, IT-98-33-T, Judgment, 2 August 2001.

Prosecutor v. Kupreskić, IT-95-16-T, Judgment, 14 January 2000.

Prosecutor v. Kupreškić et al., IT-95-16-A, Appeals Judgment, 23 October 2001.

Prosecutor v. Limaj et al., IT-03-66-T, Judgment, 30 November 2005.

Prosecutor v. Milosević, IT-98-29/1-T, Judgment, 12 December 2007.

Prosecutor v. Naletilic and Martinovic, IT-98-34-PT, T. Ch. I, Decision on Prosecutor's Motion to Take Depositions for Use at Trial (Rule 71), 10 November 2000.

Prosecutor v. Nikolić, IT-94-2-S, Sentencing Judgment, 18 December 2003.

Prosecutor v. Perisić, IT-04-81-T, Judgment, 6 September 2011.

Prosecutor v. Popović, IT-05-88-T, Decision on Admissibility of Intercepted Communications, 7 December 2007.

Prosecutor v. Popović et al., IT-05-88-T, Judgment (Volume I), 10 June 2010.

Prosecutor v. Prlić et al., IT-04-74-AR73.16, Decision on Prlić's Interlocutory Appeal Against the Decision on Prlić Defence Motion for Reconsideration of the Decision on the Admission of Documentary Evidence, 3 November 2009.

Prosecutor v. Prlić et al., IT-04-74-T, Decision on Praljak Defence Motion for Admission of Documentary Evidence, 1 April 2010.

Prosecutor v. Stanisić and Simatović, IT-03-69-T, Judgment, 30 May 2013.

Prosecutor v. Strugar, IT-01-42-T, Judgment, 31 January 2005.

Prosecutor v. Tadić, IT-94-1, Trial Chamber, Protective Measures Decision, 10 August 1995.

Prosecutor v. Tadić, IT-94-1-T, Decision on Defence Motion on Hearsay, 5 August 1996.

Prosecutor v. Tolimir, IT-05-88/2-T, Transcript 1726-1728, 13 May 2010 and Transcript 1789, 14 May 2010.

Prosecutor v. Tolimir, IT -05-88/2-T, Trial Judgment, 12 December 2012.

Special Tribunal for Lebanon

Prosecutor v. Ayyash et al, STL-11-01/PT/TC, Redacted Version of the Corrected Version of the Pre-Trial Judge's Report Prepared Pursuant to Rule 95(A) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, 11 December 2013.

Prosecutor v. Ayyash et al., STL-11-01/T/TC, Decision on Prosecution's Motion to Admit into Evidence Photographs, Videos, Maps, and 3-D Models, 13 January 2014.

Prosecutor v. Ayyash et al., STL-11-01/T/TC, R273784, Decision on Five Prosecution Motions on Call Sequence Tables and Eight Witness Statements and on the Legality of the Transfer of Call Data Records to UNIIIC and STL's Prosecution, 6 May 2015.

Prosecutor v. Ayyash et al., STL-11-01, Decision on the Admissibility of Documents Published on the Wikileaks Website, 21 May 2015.

Prosecutor v. Ayyash et al., STL-11-01/T/TC-F3839/20200818/R331945-R334626/EN/dm, Judgment, 18 August 2020.

Prosecutor v. Merhi and Oneissi, STL-11-01/A-2/AC F0051/20220310/R007727-R007979/EN/af, Appeal Judgment, 10 March 2022.

Other ad hoc Criminal Tribunals

IMT Nuremberg, *Trial of the Major War Criminals Before the International Military Tribunal, Nuremberg, 14 November 1945 – 1 October 1946*.

IMT Nuremberg, *Trials of War Criminals before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals, United States v. Ohlendorf et al.* [1949] 4 TWC 3.

SCSL, *Prosecutor v. Taylor*, SCSL 03-01-T, Judgment, 18 May 2012.

SCSL, *Prosecutor v. Taylor*, SCSL-03-01-A, Judgment, 26 September 2013.

Domestic Statutory Instruments

Canada Evidence Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-5, as amended.

Criminal Code of Canada, R.S.C. 1970, C-34.

Domestic Case Law – Canada

R. v. Andalib-Goortani, 2014 CarswellOnt 13171, 2014 ONSC 4690.

R. v. Bulldog, 2015 ABCA 251.

R. v. Hirsch, 2017 SKCA 14.

R. v. JSC, 2013 ABCA 157.

R. v. Leaney and Rawlinson (1987), 38 C.C.C. (3d) 263.

R. v. Martin, 2021 NLCA 1.

R. v. Nikolovski (1996), 111 C.C.C. (3d) 403.

R. v. Saddleback, 2016 ABCA 204.

R. v. Zoraik, 2012 CarswellBC 1858.

Domestic Case Law – Hong Kong

Choi Kit Kau v. R. [1980] HKLR 433.

HKSAR v. Cheng Shek Fai and Others, [2014] HKDC 1518.

HKSAR v. Jariabka Juraj [2014] HKDC 1049.

HKSAR v. Lau Cheuk Ngai and Others [2017] HKCA 268.

HKSAR v. Lee Chi Fai and Others, [2003] HKCA 233, [2003] 3 HKLRD 751, [2003] 3 HKC 486.

HKSAR v. Wong Cho Shing and Others [2017] HKDC 121.

HKSAR v. Wong Cho Shing [2017] HKCA 637.

HKSAR v. Yeung Ka Ho, [2013] HKCFA 82, (2013) 16 HKCFAR 609.

Domestic Case Law – Israel

Attorney-General of the Government of Israel v. Adolf Eichmann, Criminal Case 40/61, Judgment, 11 December 1961.

Demjanjuk v. Israel, Crim. App. No. 347/88.

Domestic Case Law – Sweden

Prosecutor v. Omae Haisam Sakhanh, Judgment, 31 May 2017, Svea C.A.

Domestic Case Law – United Kingdom

Attorney General's Reference (No. 2 of 2002), [2002] EWCA 2373.

R. v. Coles, [2018] EWCA Crim 407.

R. v. Ferdinand and Others, [2014] EWCA Crim 1243.

R. v. Murphy and Another, 1990 Northern Ireland 306.

R. v. Quinn, [2010] NICC 27.

R. v. Quinn, [2011] NICA 19.

R. v. Shepherd, [1993] 1 All E.R. 225.

R. v. Solomon [2007] EWCA Crim 2633.

Domestic Case Law – United States

Callaway v. State of Maryland, 2016 WL 7379300 (2016).

Crawford v. Washington, 541 U.S. 36 (2004).

Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals Inc., 113 S. Ct. 2786 (1993).

Greene v. State of Mississippi, 2019 WL 3297082 (2019).

Hibbert v. State of Maryland, 2019 WL 2290320 (2019).

Jacobellis v. Ohio, 378 U.S. 184 (1964).

Lamb v. State of Florida, 246 So.3d 400 (Fla. 4th DCA 2018).

Lorraine v. Markel Am. Ins. Co., 241 F.R.D. 534, 542 (Md. 2007).

Mills v. State of Maryland, 2018 WL 3660574 (2018).

Moore v. State of Georgia, 305 Ga. 251, 824 S.E.2d 377 (2019).

People in Interest of M.V., 432 P.3d 628, 2018 WL 5988705 (Colo. Ct. App. 2012).

State of Arizona v. Haight-Gyuro, 218 Ariz. 356, 186 P.3d 33 (Ct. App. 2008).

Scott v. Harris, 127 S.Ct. 1769, 550 U.S. 372 (2007).

State of California v. D.S., 2012 WL 5411556 (2012).

State of California v. Colbert, 2014 WL 4243576 (2014).

State of California v. Schmidt, 2018 WL 4627171 (2018).

State of Connecticut v. Swinton, 268 Conn. 781, 2004 Conn. LEXIS 190 (2004).

State of Georgia v. Parks, 294 Ga.App. 646, 669 S.E.2d 684 (2009).

State of Illinois v. Taylor, 2011 IL 110067, 956 N.E.2d 431 (2011).

State of Indiana v. Rogers, 902 N.E.2d 871 (2009).

State of Iowa v. Pollard, 2007 WL 2408114 (2007).

State of Maryland v. Washington, 406 Md. 642, 961 A.2d 1110 (Md. 2008).

State of New Jersey v. Nieves, 2013 WL 4104088 (N.J. 2013).

State of New Jersey v. Brown, 463 N.J.Super. 33, 229 A.3d 216 (N.J. 2020).

State of New York v. Price, 29 N.Y.3d 472 (N.Y. 2017).

State of Ohio v. Dzelajlija, 2008 WL 1903995 (Ohio 2008).

State of Ohio v. Rogers, 2018 WL 4182294 (Ohio 2018).

State of Oregon v. Sassarini, 300 Or.App 106, 452 P.3d 457 (Or. 2019).

State of Tennessee v. Long, 2017 WL 2958700 (Tenn. 2017).

State of Texas v. Thierry, 288 S.W.3d 80 (Tex. App. 2009).

State of Wisconsin v. Malone, 384 Wis.2d 413, 921 N.W.2d 518 (Table), 2018 WL 4656878, 2018 WI App 66 (Wis. 2018).

Torres v. Hickman, 162 A.D.3d 821, 79 N.Y.S.3d 62, 2018 WL 2945392 (N.Y. 2018).

Walthall v. State of Georgia, 281 Ga.App. 434, 636 S.E.2d 126 (Ga. 2006).

Wilson v. State of Mississippi, 267 So.3d 264 (2019), 2019 WL 1567536 (Miss. 2019).

United States v. Hicks, 27 Fed. Appx. 577, 2001 U.S. App. LEXIS 27085 (6th Cir. 2001).

United States v. Pettway, 2018 WL 4958962 (W.D.N.Y. 2018).

United States v. Seifert, 351 F. Supp. 2d 926 (D. Minn. 2005).

United States v. Seifert, 445 F.3d 1043 (8th Cir. 2006).

Secondary Sources

Texts and book chapters

Allen, E. (2011). Introduction to the Imaging Process. In Allen, E. and Triantaphillidou, S. (eds), *The Manual of Photography*, Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1-18.

Allot, P. (2002). *The Health of Nations: Society and Law Beyond the State*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Appazov, A. (2016). *Expert Evidence and International Criminal Justice*, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.

Aranburu, X.A. (2020). The Contribution of Analysis to the Quality Control in Criminal Investigation. In Agirre, X., Bergsmo, M., De Smet, S., & Stahn, C. (eds), *Quality Control in Criminal Investigation*, Brussels: Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher, 117-272.

Aranburu, X.A. and Bergsmo, M. (2020). Investigative Bottlenecks and the Mindset of Quality Control. In Agirre, X., Bergsmo, M., De Smet, S., & Stahn, C. (eds), *Quality Control in Criminal Investigation*, Brussels: Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher, 1-34.

Arendt, H. (1963). *Eichmann in Jerusalem: A Report on the Banality of Evil*, New York: Viking.

Aronson, J.D. (2018). The Utility of User-Generated Content in Human Rights Investigations. In Land, M.K. and Aronson, J.D. (eds), *New Technologies for Human Rights Law and Practice*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 129-148.

Bazyler, M.J. (2006). The Role of the Soviet Union in the International Military Tribunal at Nuremberg. In Reginbogin, H.R., Safferling, C.J.M., & Hippel, W.R. (eds), *The Nuremberg Trials: International Criminal Law Since 1945*, Munich: K.G. Saur, 45-52.

Beaver, J. (2018). *The Physics and Art of Photography, Volume 3: Detectors and the Meaning of Digital*, San Rafael, CA: Morgan and Claypool.

Bergsmo, M. and Kruger, P. (2008). Article 54: Duties and Powers of the Prosecutor with Respect to Investigations. In Triffterer, O. (ed.), *Commentary of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court* (2nd ed.), Munich: Beck/Hart.

Black, H.C. (1979). *Black's Law Dictionary* (5th ed.), Minnesota: West Publishing.

Boas, G., Bischoff, J.L., Reid, N.L., & Taylor, B.D. (2011). *International Criminal Procedure*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Bohlander, M. (2021). *Principles of German Criminal Procedure*, Oxford: Hart Publishing.

Boister, N. (2013). The Tokyo Trial. In Schabas, W. and Bernaz, N. (eds), *Routledge Handbook of International Criminal Law*, London: Routledge, 17-32.

Bösch, M., Gensch, S., & Rath-Wiggins, L. (2018). Immersive Journalism: How Virtual Reality Impacts Investigative Storytelling. In Hahn, O. and Stalph, F. (eds), *Digital Investigative Journalism: Data, Visual Analytics and Innovative Methodologies in International Reporting*, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 103-111.

Bouwknegt, T.B. (2020). Investigating International Crimes: Pitfalls, Problems and Promises. In Agirre, X., Bergsmo, M., De Smet, S., & Stahn, C. (eds), *Quality Control in Criminal Investigation*, Brussels: Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher, 67-116.

Brinkman, R. (1999). *The Art and Science of Digital Compositing*, San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufman.

Broun, K.S., Dix, G.E., & Imwinkelried, E.J. (2014) *McCormick on Evidence*, 7th ed., St. Paul, MN: Thomson Reuters.

Bugliosi, V. (2007). *Reclaiming History: The Assassination of President John F. Kennedy*, New York, London: W.W. Norton and Company, Inc.

Burke, P. (2019). *Eyewitnessing: The Use of Images as Historical Evidence*, London: Reaktion Books.

Cassese, A. (ed.) (2009). *The Oxford Companion to International Criminal Justice*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Clark, P. (2018). *Distant Justice: The Impact of the International Criminal Court on African Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Clarke, C.J. (2019). A Matter of Perspective: Truth, Evidence and the Role of Photography as an Investigative Tool. In Hahn, O. and Stalph, F. (eds), *Digital Investigative Journalism: Data, Visual Analytics and Innovative Methodologies in International Reporting*, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 157-169.

Combs, N.A. (2010). *Fact Finding Without Facts: The Uncertain Evidentiary Foundation of International Criminal Convictions*, New York: Cambridge University Press.

Combs, N.A. (2013). Evidence. In Schabas, W. and Bernaz, N. (eds), *Routledge Handbook of International Criminal Law*, London: Routledge.

Côté, L. (2012). Independence and Impartiality. In Reydams, L., Wouters, J., & Ryngaert, C. (eds), *International Prosecutors*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 319-415.

Cottee, S. (2019). *ISIS and the Pornography of Violence*, London: Anthem Press.

Craver, S., Liu, B., & Wolf, W. (2004). An Implementation of, and Attacks on, Zero-Knowledge Watermarking. In Fridrich, J. (ed.), *Information Hiding, 6th International Workshop, IH 2004*, Berlin: Springer, 1-12.

Cronin-Furman, K. and Taub, A. (2013). Lions and Tigers and Deterrence, Oh My: Evaluating Expectations of International Criminal Justice. In Schabas, W.A., McDermott, Y., & Hayes, N. (eds), *The Ashgate Research Companion to International Criminal Law*, Surrey, UK: Ashgate Publishing Limited.

Damaška, M. (1986). *The Faces of Justice and State Authority: A Comparative Approach to the Legal Process*, New Haven: Yale University Press.

d'Aspremont, J. (2021). The Literary Performances of the Tipping Point. In Haskell, J.D. and d'Aspremont, J. (eds), *Tipping Points in International Law: Commitment and Critique*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 26-36.

Davis, C. (2020). Challenges in Charge Selection: Considerations Informing the Number of Charges and Cumulative Charging Practices. In Agirre, X., Bergsmo, M., De Smet, S., & Stahn,

C. (eds), *Quality Control in Criminal Investigation*, Brussels: Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher, 703-734.

deGuzman, M.M. (2018). Criminal Law Philosophy in William Schabas's Scholarship. In deGuzman, M.M. and Amann, D.M. (eds), *Arcs of Global Justice*, New York: Oxford University Press, 155-172.

Delage, C. (2014). *Caught on Camera: Film in the Courtroom from the Nuremberg Trials to the Trials of the Khmer Rouge*, Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.

de Vlaming, F. (2012). Selection of Defendants. In Reydam, L., Wouters, J., & Ryngaert, C. (eds), *International Prosecutors*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 542-571.

Donlon, F. (2013). Hybrid Tribunals. In Schabas, W. and Bernaz, N. (eds), *Routledge Handbook of International Criminal Law*, London: Routledge, 85-105.

Douglas, L. (2001). *The Memory of Judgment: Making Law and History in the Trials of the Holocaust*, New Haven, CT and London, U.K.: Yale University Press.

Douglas, L. (2006). History and Memory in the Courtroom: Reflections on Perpetrator Trials. In Reginbogin, H.R., Safferling, C.J.M., & Hippel, W.R. (eds), *The Nuremberg Trials: International Criminal Law Since 1945*, Munich: K.G. Saur, 95-105.

Douglas, L. (2016). Truth and Justice in Atrocity Trials. In Schabas, W.A. (ed.), *The Cambridge Companion to International Criminal Law*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 34-51.

Drumbl, M.A. (2007). *Atrocity, Punishment, and International Law*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Drumbl, M.A. (2013). The Future of International Criminal Law and Transitional Justice. In Schabas, W.A., McDermott, Y., & Hayes, N. (eds), *The Ashgate Research Companion to International Criminal Law*, Surrey, UK: Ashgate Publishing Limited, 531-545.

Dubberley, S., Koenig, A., & Murray, D. (eds). 2020. *Digital Witness: Using Open Source Information for Human Rights Investigation, Documentation, and Accountability*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Emerson, J., Satterthwaite, M.L., & Virkram Pandey, A. (2018). The Challenging Power of Data Visualization for Human Rights Advocacy. In Land, M.K. and Aronson, J.D. (eds), *New Technologies for Human Rights Law and Practice*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 162-187.

Farid, H. (2016). *Photo Forensics*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Farid, H. (2019). *Fake Photos*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Farrow, E. (2019). eyeWitness to Atrocities: Verifying Images with Metadata. In Hahn, O. and Stalph, F. (eds), *Digital Investigative Journalism: Data, Visual Analytics and Innovative Methodologies in International Reporting*, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 143-155.
- Fedorova, M. (2017). The Principle of Equality of Arms in International Criminal Proceedings. In Rohan, C. and Zyberi, G. (eds), *Defense Perspectives on International Criminal Justice*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 204-234.
- Ferencz, B.B. (1947). Opening Statement at Nuremberg. *Trial of the Major War Criminals, vol. IV*.
- Ferencz, B.B. and Ferencz, D.M. (2016). Criminalizing the Illegal Use of Force. In Schabas, W.A. (ed.), *The Cambridge Companion to International Criminal Law*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 230-252.
- Friedman, L.M. (1999). On Stage: Some Historical Notes About Criminal Justice. In Ewick, P., Kagan, R.A., & Sarat, A. (eds), *Social Science, Social Policy and the Law*, New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 68-100.
- Friman, H. (2013). International Criminal Procedures: Trial and Appeal Procedures. In Schabas, W. and Bernaz, N. (eds), *Routledge Handbook of International Criminal Law*, London: Routledge, 271-287.
- Fyfe, S.E. (2019). Truth, Testimony, and Epistemic Injustice in International Criminal Law. In Bergsmo, M. and Buis, E.J. (eds), *Philosophical Foundations of International Criminal Law: Foundational Concepts*, Brussels: Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher, 269-308.
- Gilbert, G.M. (1947). *Nuremberg Diary*, New York: The New American Library.
- Gladwell, M. (2000). *The Tipping Point: How Little Things Can Make a Big Difference*, New York: Little, Brown and Company.
- Godulla, A. (2019). The Content Verification of Digital Photographs. In Hahn, O. and Stalph, F. (eds), *Digital Investigative Journalism: Data, Visual Analytics and Innovative Methodologies in International Reporting*, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 171-177.
- Grey, R. (2021). Digital. In Haskell, J.D. and d'Aspremont, J. (eds), *Tipping Points in International Law: Commitment and Critique*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 116-131.
- Guariglia, F. (2009). The Selection of Cases by the Office of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court. In Stahn, C. and Sluiter, G. (eds), *The Emerging Practice of the International Criminal Court*, Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 209-217.
- Hausner, G. (1966). *Justice in Jerusalem*, New York: Schocken Books.

- Haskell, J.D. (2021). Experiencing Tipping Points in International Law. In Haskell, J.D. and D'Aspremont, J. (eds), *Tipping Points in International Law: Commitment and Critique*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1-25.
- Hendrix, J. and Morozoff, D. (2022). Media Forensics in the Age of Disinformation. In Sencar, H.T., Verdoliva, L., & Memon, N. (eds), *Multimedia Forensics*, Singapore: Springer, 7-40.
- Higgins, E. (2019). Visual Analysis: Verification Via Geolocation and Photographs. In Hahn, O. and Stalph, F. (eds), *Digital Investigative Journalism: Data, Visual Analytics and Innovative Methodologies in International Reporting*, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 137-142.
- Hopkins, A.K., Correll, M., & Satyanarayan, A. (2020). VisuaLint: Sketchy In Situ Annotations of Chart Construction Errors. In Gleicher, M., Landesberger von Antburg, T., & Viola, I. (eds), *Eurographics Conference on Visualization (EuroVis) 2020*, 39(3), 219-228.
- Jackson, Justice Robert H., Opening Speech for the Prosecution at the Nuremberg Trials, November 21, 1945, reproduced in Ryan, J.G. and Schlup, L.C. (2006). *Historical Dictionary of the 1940s*, New York: Routledge.
- Klamberg, M. (2013). *Evidence in International Criminal Trials*, Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.
- Klamberg, M. (ed.). (2017). *Commentary on the Law of the International Criminal Court*, Brussels: Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher.
- Koenig, A., McMahon, F., Mehandru, N., & Bhattacharjee, S.S. (2018). Open Source Fact-Finding in Preliminary Examinations. In Bergsmo, M. and Stahn, C. (eds), *Quality Control in Preliminary Examination: Volume 2*, Brussels: Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher, 681-730.
- Kohli, A. (2019). Data-Driven Human Rights Investigations. In Hahn, O. and Stalph, F. (eds), *Digital Investigative Journalism: Data, Visual Analytics and Innovative Methodologies in International Reporting*, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 67-77.
- Koller, D.S. (2015). The Global is Local: The Limits and Possibilities of Integrating International and Transitional Justice. In De Vos, C., Kendall, S., & Stahn, C. (eds), *Contested Justice: The Politics and Practice of International Criminal Court Interventions*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 85-105.
- Koskenniemi, M. (2002). Between Impunity and Show Trials, *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law*, 6, 1-36.
- Kurth, M.E. (2008). Anonymous Witnesses Before the International Criminal Court: Due Process in Dire Straits. In Stahn, C. and Sluiter, G. (eds), *The Emerging Practice of the International Criminal Court*, Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 599-614.

- Lafontaine, F. (2016). National Jurisdictions. In Schabas, W.A. (ed.), *The Cambridge Companion to International Criminal Law*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 155-177.
- Lagrou, P. (2013). 'Historical Trials': Getting the Past Right – Or the Future? In Delage, C. and Goodrich, P. (eds), *The Scene of the Mass Crime: History, Film, and International Tribunals*, Oxford: Routledge.
- Land, M.K. and Aronson, J.D. (2018). The Promise and Peril of Human Rights Technology. In Land, M.K. and Aronson, J.D. (eds), *New Technologies for Human Rights Law and Practice*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1-20.
- Landsman, S. (2005). *Crimes of the Holocaust: The Law Confronts Hard Cases*. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Laroche, X. and Baccard, E. (2013). International Courts and Forensic Science. In Siegel, J.A. and Saukko, P.J. (eds.), *Encyclopedia of Forensic Sciences, Second Edition*, Waltham: Academic Press, 488-495.
- Laudan, L. (2006). *Truth, Error, and Criminal Law*, New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Laughland, J. (2007). *Travesty: The Trial of Slobodan Milosevic and the Corruption of International Justice*, London: Pluto Press.
- Law, J. (2018). *A Dictionary of Law (9th ed.)*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lidén, M. (2020). Child Soldier or Soldier? Estimating Age in Cases of Core International Crimes: Challenges and Opportunities. In Agirre, X., Bergsmo, M., De Smet, S., & Stahn, C. (eds), *Quality Control in Criminal Investigation*, Brussels: Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher, 323-460.
- Lidén, M. (2020). Confirmation Bias in Investigation of Core International Crimes: Risk Factors and Quality Control Techniques. In Agirre, X., Bergsmo, M., De Smet, S., & Stahn, C. (eds), *Quality Control in Criminal Investigation*, Brussels: Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher, 461-528.
- Locke, J. (2012). Indictments. In Reydams, L., Wouters, J., & Ryngaert, C. (eds), *International Prosecutors*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 604-646.
- Loftus, E.F. (1979) *Eyewitness Testimony*, Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Lowe, P. (2014). The Forensic Turn: Bearing Witness and Thingness of the Photograph. In Kennedy, L. and Caitlin, P. (eds), *The Violence of the Image: Photography and International Conflict*, London: I.B. Tauris, 211-234.
- Lyu, S. (2022). Deepfake Detection. In Sencar, H.T., Verdoliva, L., & Memon, N. (eds), *Multimedia Forensics*, Singapore: Springer, 313-332.

- McAuliffe, P. (2013). Hybrid Courts in Retrospect: Of Lost Legacies and Modest Futures. In Schabas, W.A., McDermott, Y., & Hayes, N. (eds), *The Ashgate Research Companion to International Criminal Law*, Surrey, UK: Ashgate Publishing Limited, 435-452.
- McPherson, E. (2018). Risk and the Pluralism of Digital Human Rights Fact-Finding and Advocacy. In Land, M.K. and Aronson, J.D. (eds), *New Technologies for Human Rights Law and Practice*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 188-214.
- Mettraux, G. (2013). Trial at Nuremberg. In Schabas, W. and Bernaz, N. (eds), *Routledge Handbook of International Criminal Law*, London: Routledge, 5-32.
- Olásolo, H. (2018). *International Criminal Law, Transnational Criminal Organizations and Transitional Justice*, Leiden: Brill Nijhoff.
- Osiel, M. (1997). *Mass Atrocity, Collective Memory, and Law*, New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers.
- Oudin, M. (2015). *Evidence in Civil Law – France, Slovenia: Institute for Local Self-Government and Public Procurement Maribor*.
- Overy, R. (2009). The Nuremberg Trials: International Law in the Making. In Sands, P. (ed.), *From Nuremberg to The Hague: The Future of International Criminal Justice*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1-29.
- Popescu, A.C. and Farid, H. (2004). Statistical Tools for Digital Forensics. In Fridrich, J. (ed.), *Information Hiding, 6th International Workshop, IH 2004*, Berlin: Springer, 128-147.
- Powderly, J. (2013). The Trials of Eichmann, Barbie and Finta. In Schabas, W. and Bernaz, N. (eds), *Routledge Handbook of International Criminal Law*, London: Routledge, 33-49.
- Poynton, C. (2003). *Digital Videos and HD: Algorithms and Interfaces*, Amsterdam: Morgan Kaufman.
- Pu, I.M. (2006). *Fundamental Data Compression*, Burlington, MA: Elsevier.
- Re, D. (2020). Rethinking Disclosure: Embrace the Electronic Disclosure Suite. In Agirre, X., Bergsmo, M., De Smet, S., & Stahn, C. (eds), *Quality Control in Criminal Investigation*, Brussels: Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher, 735-800.
- Reginbogin, H.R. and Safferling, C.J.M. (2006). Introduction: Lessons of Nuremberg. In Reginbogin, H.R., Safferling, C.J.M., & Hippel, W.R. (eds), *The Nuremberg Trials: International Criminal Law Since 1945*, Munich: K.G. Saur, 11-17.
- Reiman, J. and Leighton, P. (2013). *The Rich Get Rich and the Poor Get Prison*, New York; Routledge.

- Reydams, L. (2013). The Rise and Fall of Universal Jurisdiction. In Schabas, W. and Bernaz, N. (eds), *Routledge Handbook of International Criminal Law*, London: Routledge.
- Ryan, J.G. and Schlup, L.C. (2006). *Historical Dictionary of the 1940s*, New York: Routledge.
- Sandon, E. (2018). Law and Film. In deGuzman, M.M. and Amann, D.M. (eds), *Arcs of Global Justice*, New York: Oxford University Press, 501-520.
- Schabas, W.A. (2013). Building the Narrative: The UN Tribunals for the former Yugoslavia, Rwanda and Sierra Leone. In Delage, C. and Goodrich, P. (eds), *The Scene of the Mass Crime: History, Film, and International Tribunals*, Oxford: Routledge.
- Scharf, M. (2016). Slobodan Milosevic. In Schabas, W.A. (ed.), *The Cambridge Companion to International Criminal Law*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 295-311.
- Scheffer, D. (2013). The International Criminal Court. In Schabas, W. and Bernaz, N. (eds), *Routledge Handbook of International Criminal Law*, London: Routledge, 67-83.
- Scott, J.W. (2020). *On the Judgment of History*, New York: Columbia University Press.
- Sencar, H.T. (2022). Source Camera Attribution from Videos. In Sencar, H.T., Verdoliva, L., & Memon, N. (eds), *Multimedia Forensics*, Singapore: Springer, 89-116.
- Sherman, W.R. and Craig, A.B. (2003). *Understanding Virtual Reality: Interface, Application, and Design*, Amsterdam: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers.
- Sherwin, R. (2009). Imagining Law as Film (Representation without Reference?). In Sarat, A., Anderson, M., & Frank, C.O. (eds), *Law and the Humanities: An Introduction*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 241-268.
- Sherwin, R. (2011). *Visualizing Law in the Age of the Digital Baroque*, London: Routledge.
- Sluiter, G. (2016). *Ad Hoc* International Criminal Tribunals (Yugoslavia, Rwanda, Sierra Leone). In Schabas, W.A. (ed.), *The Cambridge Companion to International Criminal Law*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 117-136.
- Sontag, S. (1977). *On Photography*, New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Sontag, S. (2003). *Regarding the Pain of Others*, New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Spiesel, C.O., Sherwin, R.K., & Feigenson, N. (2005). Law in the Age of Images: The Challenge of Visual Literacy. In Wagner, A., Summerfield, T., Vanegas, F. (eds) *Contemporary Issues of the Semiotics of Law*, Oxford: Hart Publishing, 231-266.
- Stahn, C. (2018). Legacy in International Criminal Justice. In deGuzman, M.M. and Amann, D.M. (eds), *Arcs of Global Justice*, New York: Oxford University Press, 271-285.

Stahn, C. (2020). From Preliminary Examination to Investigation: Rethinking the Connection. In Agirre, X., Bergsmo, M., De Smet, S., & Stahn, C. (eds), *Quality Control in Criminal Investigation*, Brussels: Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher, 37-66.

Stahn, C. (2020). *Justice as Message: Expressivist Foundations of International Criminal Justice*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Sun, W. and Yang, E. (2004). On Achievable Regions of Public Multiple-Access Gaussian Watermarking Systems. In Fridrich, J. (ed.), *Information Hiding, 6th International Workshop, IH 2004*, Berlin: Springer, 38-51.

Tagg, J. (1992). *Grounds of Dispute: Art History, Cultural Politics and the Discursive Field*, London: Macmillan Education.

Tochilovsky, V. (2008). *Jurisprudence of the International Criminal Courts and the European Court of Human Rights: Procedure and Evidence*, Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.

Toler, A. (2019). Crowdsourced and Patriotic Digital Forensics in the Ukrainian Conflict. In Hahn, O. and Stalph, F. (eds), *Digital Investigative Journalism: Data, Visual Analytics and Innovative Methodologies in International Reporting*, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 203-215.

Torres, S. (2003). *Black, White, and in Color: Television and Black Civil Rights*, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Triantaphillidou, S. (2011). Introduction to Image Quality and System Performance. In Allen, E. and Triantaphillidou, S. (eds), *The Manual of Photography*, Amsterdam: Elsevier, 345-363.

Vagias, M. (2014). *The Territorial Jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Warnke, M. (2016). On the Spot: The Double Immersion of Virtual Reality. In Liptay, F. and Dogramaci, B. (eds), *Immersion in the Visual Arts and Media*, Leiden: Brill Rodopi, 205-213.

Watt, D. (2007). *Watt's Manual of Criminal Evidence*, Toronto, Canada: Thomson Carswell.

Wiesel, E. (1960). *Night*, New York: Bantam.

Wigmore, H.G. (1913). *The Principles of Judicial Proof, as Given by Logic, Psychology and General Experience, and Illustrated in Judicial Trials*, Boston: Little, Brown and Company.

Wigmore, J.H., *Evidence in Trials at Common Law*, Revised Edition of Volume III of the Third Edition, Revision by Chadbourn, J.H. (1970), Boston: Little, Brown & Co., at para. 1367; 5 Wigmore Evidence at para. 1367 (Chadbourn rev. 1974).

Williams, M. (2013). Satellite Evidence in International Institutions. In Purdy, R. and Leung, D. (eds), *Evidence from Earth Observation Satellites*, Leiden and Boston: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 195-216.

Journal articles

Agosto, E., Ajmar, A., Boccardo, P., Giulio Tonolo, F., & Lingua, A. (2008). Crime Scene Reconstruction Using a Fully Geomatic Approach. *Sensors*, 8, 6280-6302.

Akhavan, P. (2013). The Rise, and Fall, and Rise, of International Criminal Justice. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 11, 527-536.

Aksamitowska, K. (2021). Digital Evidence in Domestic Core International Crimes Prosecutions. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 19(1), 189-212.

Almog, S. (2006). Creating Representations of Justice in the Third Millennium: Legal Poetics in Digital Times. *Rutgers Computer & Technology Law Journal*, 32(2), 183-246.

Alrwishdi, D., Reconsidering the Digitalization of International Criminal Justice, *Just Security*, 19 January 2021, available at <https://www.justsecurity.org/74166/reconsidering-the-digitalization-of-international-criminal-justice/> (accessed 22 February 2021).

Al-Sanjary, O., Ahmed, A., and Sulong, G. (2016). Development of a Video Tampering Dataset for Forensic Investigation. *Forensic Science International*, 266, 565-572.

Ambos, K. (2003). International Criminal Procedure: “Adversarial”, “Inquisitorial” or Mixed? *International Criminal Law Review*, 3, 1-37.

Anderson, L., Gross, J., Sonne, T., Zajac, R., & Hayne, H. (2016). Where There’s Smoke, There’s Fire: The Effect of Truncated Testimony on Juror Decision-Making. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*, 34, 200-217.

Aradau, C. and Hill, A. (2013). The Politics of Drawing: Children, Evidence, and the Darfur Conflict. *International Political Sociology*, 7, 368-387.

Aronson, J.D. (2017) Preserving Human Rights Media for Justice, Accountability, and Historical Clarification. *Genocide Studies and Prevention: An International Journal*, 11(1), 82-99.

Aronson, J.D., Cole, M., Hauptmann, A., Miller, D., & Samuels, B. (2018). Reconstructing Human Rights Violations Using Large Eyewitness Collections: The Case of Euromaidan Protester Deaths. *Journal of Human Rights Practice*, 1-20.

Aronson, J.D. (2018). Computer Vision and Machine Learning for Human Rights Video Analysis: Case Studies, Possibilities, Concerns, and Limitations. *Law and Social Inquiry*, 43(4), 1188-1209.

- Ashouri, A., Bowers, C., & Warden, C. (2013). The 2013 Salzburg Workshop on Cyber Investigations: An Overview of the Use of Digital Evidence in International Criminal Courts. *Digital Evidence and Electronic Signature Law Review*, 11, 115-127.
- Baetens, F. and Bismuth, R. (2020). Face à Face: Interview with Paolina Massidda, Principal Counsel of the Independent Office of Public Counsel for Victims at the ICC. *The Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, 19, 137-145.
- Baier, W., Warnett, J.M., Payne, M., & Williams, M.A. (2018). Introducing 3D Printed Models as Demonstrative Evidence at Criminal Trials. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 63(4), 1298-1302.
- Baier, W., Donnelly, M.J., Payne, M., & Williams, M.A. (2020). A Holistic Multi-Scale Approach to Using 3D Scanning Technology in Accident Reconstruction. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 65, 1774-1778.
- Bailenson, J.N., Blascovich, J., Beall, A.C., & Noveck, B. (2006). Courtroom Applications of Virtual Environments, Immersive Virtual Environments, and Collaborative Virtual Environments. *Law & Policy*, 28(2), 249-270.
- Barry, D.K. (2015). Snap Judgment: Recognizing the Propriety and Pitfalls of Direct Judicial Review of Audiovisual Evidence at Summary Judgment. *Fordham Law Review*, 83(6), 3343-3388.
- Bellin, J. and Pemberton, S. (2019). Policing the Admissibility of Body Camera Evidence. *Fordham Law Review*, 87(4), 1425-1458.
- Bradfield, P. (2019). Preserving Vulnerable Evidence at the International Criminal Court – the Article 56 Milestone in *Ongwen*. *International Criminal Law Review*, 19, 373-411.
- Birajdar, G. and Mankar, V. (2013). Digital Image Forgery Detection Using Passive Techniques: A Survey. *Digital Investigation*, 10, 226-245.
- Birck, M.A. (2018). Do You See What I See?: Problems with Juror Bias in Viewing Body-Camera Video Evidence. *Michigan Journal of Race & Law*, 24(1), 153-176.
- Bright, D.A. and Goodman-Delahunty, J. (2006). Gruesome Evidence and Emotion: Anger, Blame, and Jury Decision-Making. *Law and Human Behavior*, 30, 183-202.
- Buck, U., Kneubuehl, B., Näther, S., Albertini, N., Schmidt, L., & Thali, M. (2011). 3D Bloodstain Pattern Analysis: Ballistic Reconstruction of the Trajectories of Blood Drops and Determination of the Centres of Origin of the Bloodstains. *Forensic Science International*, 206, 22-28.
- Bulbul, H.I., Yavuzcan, H.G., & Ozel, M. (2013). Digital Forensics: An Analytical Crime Scene Procedure Model. *Forensic Science International*, 233, 244-256.
- Butler, J. (2005). Photography, War, Outrage, *PMLA* 120(3), 822-827.

- Butler, J. (2007). Torture and the Ethics of Photography. *Society and Space*, 25, 951-966.
- Cao, Y., Gao, T., Sheng, G., Fan, L., & Gao, L. (2015). A New Anti-Forensic Scheme – Hiding the Single JPEG Compression Trace for Digital Image. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 60(1), 197-205.
- Carew, R.M. and Errickson, D. (2020). An Overview of 3D Printing in Forensic Science: The Tangible Third-Dimension. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 65(5), 1752-1760.
- Carney, R.N. and Levin, J.R. (2002). Pictorial Illustrations *Still* Improve Students' Learning from Text. *Educational Psychology Review*, 14(1), 5-26.
- Casey, E. (2020). Editorial: The Epic Story of Scientific Interpretation in Digital Investigations. *Forensic Science International: Digital Investigations*, 34, 301063, 1-2.
- Chamlawi, R. and Khan, A. (2010). Digital Image Authentication and Recovery: Employing Integer Transform Based Information Embedding and Extraction. *Information Science*, 180, 4909-4928.
- Chamot, F., Geradts, Z., & Haasdijk, E. (2022). Deepfake Forensics: Cross-Manipulation Robustness of Feedforward and Recurrent Convolutional Forgery Detection Methods. *Forensic Science International: Digital Investigation*, 40, 301374, 1-11.
- Chesney, R. and Citron, D. (2019). Deepfakes and the New Disinformation War: The Coming Age of Post-Truth Geopolitics. *Foreign Affairs*, 98(1), 147-155.
- Chesney, B. and Citron, D. (2019). Deep Fakes: A Looming Challenge for Privacy, Democracy, and National Security. *California Law Review*, 107(6), 1753-1820.
- Combs, N.A. (2015). A New Look at Fact-Finding at the ICTR: Advances in Judicial Acknowledgment. *Criminal Law Forum*, 26, 387-401.
- Combs, N. (2017). Grave Crimes and Weak Evidence: Fact-Finding Evolution in International Criminal Law. *Harvard International Law Journal*, 58(1), 47-126.
- Combs, N. (2018). Deconstructing the Epistemic Challenges to Mass Atrocity Prosecutions. *Washington and Lee Law Review*, 75(1), 223-300.
- Cooper, G.S. and Meterko, V. (2019). Cognitive Bias Research in Forensic Science: A Systematic Review. *Forensic Science International*, 297, 35-46.
- Costello, R.A. (2018). International Criminal Law and the Role of Non-State Actors in Preserving Open Source Evidence. *Cambridge International Law Journal*, 7(2), 268-283.
- Côté, L. (2005). Reflections on the Exercise of Prosecutorial Discretion in International Criminal Law. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 3, 162-186.

Côté, L. (2006). International Criminal Justice: Tightening Up the Rules of the Game. *International Review of the Red Cross*, 88(861), 133-144.

Beidler Carr, P. (2007). Playing by all the Rules: How to Define and Provide a “Prior Opportunity for Cross-Examination” in Child Sexual Abuse Cases After *Crawford v. Washington*. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 97(2), 631-664.

Croquet, N. (2010). Implied External Limitations on the Right to Cross-Examine Prosecution Witnesses: The Tension Between a Means Test and a Balancing Test in the Appraisal of Anonymity Requests. *Melbourne Journal of International Law*, 11(1), 27-67.

Damaška, M. (1973). Evidentiary Barriers to Conviction and Two Models of Criminal Procedure: A Comparative Study. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 121(3), 506-589.

Damaška, M. (1998). Truth in Adjudication. *Hastings Law Journal*, 49(2), 289-308.

Damaška, M. (2008). What is the Point of International Criminal Justice? *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, 83(1), 329-368.

Delage, C. (2011). Bringing History into the Present Through Film: An Historian in the Archives of Nuremberg. *CINEASTE*, Winter 2011, 34-39.

Derham, R. and Derham, N. (2010). From Ad Hoc to Hybrid – the Rules and Regulations Governing the Reception of Expert Evidence at the International Criminal Court. *The International Journal of Evidence & Proof*, 14, 25-56.

De Silva, N. (2017). Intermediary Complexity in Regulatory Governance: The International Criminal Court’s Use of NGOs in Regulating International Crimes. *The Annals of the American Academy*, 670, 170-188.

De Vos, C.M. (2013). Investigating from Afar: The ICC’s Evidence Problem. *Leiden Journal of International Law*, 26(4), 1009-1024.

Dillon, M. and Beresford, D. (2014). Electronic Courts and the Challenges in Managing Evidence: A View from Inside the International Criminal Court. *International Journal for Court Administration*, 6(1), 1-8.

Douglas, K.S., Lyon, D.R., & Ogloff, J.R.P. (1997). The Impact of Graphic Photographic Evidence on Mock Jurors’ Decisions in a Murder Trial: Probative or Prejudicial? *Law and Human Behavior*, 21(5), 485-501.

Douglas, L. (1995). Film as Witness: Screening Nazi Concentration Camps Before the Nuremberg Tribunal. *Yale Law Journal*, 105(2), 449-482.

- Dror, I.E. (2020). Cognitive and Human Factors in Expert Decision Making: Six Fallacies and the Eight Sources of Bias, *Analytical Chemistry*, 92, 7998-8004.
- Duffy, A. (2018). Bearing Witness to Atrocity Crimes: Photography and International Law. *Human Rights Quarterly*, 40, 776-814.
- Dunn, M.A., Salovey, P., & Feigenson, N. (2006). The Jury Persuaded (and Not): Computer Animation in the Courtroom. *Law and Policy*, 28(2), 228-248.
- Edmond, G., Biber, K., Kemp, R., & Porter, G. (2009). Law's Looking Glass: Expert Identification Evidence Derived from Photogenic and Video Images. *Current Issues in Criminal Justice*, 20(3), 337-377.
- Errickson, D., Fawcett, H., Thompson, T.J.U., & Campbell, A. (2019). The Effect of Different Imaging Techniques for the Visualization of Evidence in Court on Jury Comprehension. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*, 1-5.
- Fan, M.D. (2018). Democratizing Proof: Pooling Public and Police Body-Camera Videos. *North Carolina Law Review*, 96(5), 1639-1680.
- Farid, H. (2006). Digital Doctoring: How to Tell the Real from the Fake. *Significance*, December 2006, 162-166.
- Farid, H. (2009). Image Forgery Detection. *IEEE Signal Processing*, 26(2), 16-25.
- Farid, H. (2018). Digital Forensics in a Post-Truth Age. *Forensic Science International*, 289, 268-269.
- Farmer, L. (2003). Whose Trial? Comments on "A Theory of the Trial." *Law and Social Inquiry*, 28(2), 547-552.
- Farmer, L. (2007). With All the Impressiveness and Substantial Value of Truth: Notable Trials and Criminal Justice, 1750-1930. *Law and Humanities*, 1(1), 57-78.
- Feigenson, N. and Sherwin, R.K. (2007). Thinking Beyond the Shown: Implicit Inferences in Evidence and Argument. *Law, Probability and Risk* 6, 295-310.
- Feigenson, N. (2010). Visual Evidence. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 17(2), 149-154.
- Feigenson, N. (2014). The Visual in Law: Some Problems for Legal Theory. *Law, Culture and the Humanities*, 10(1), 13-23.
- Ferreira, W., Ferreira, C.B.R., da Cruz Júnior, G., & Soares, F. (2020). A Review of Digital Image Forensics. *Computers and Electrical Engineering*, 85, 106685, 1-9.

- Fiedler, B.S. (2003). Are Your Eyes Deceiving You?: The Evidentiary Crisis Regarding the Admissibility of Computer Generated Evidence. *New York Law School Law Review*, 48 (1&2), 295-322.
- Fiorella, G., Godart, C., & Waters, N. (2021). Digital Integrity: Exploring Digital Evidence Vulnerabilities and Mitigation Strategies for Open Source Researchers. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 19(1), 147-162.
- Fletcher, J. (2018). Deepfakes, Artificial Intelligence, and Some Kind of Dystopia: The New Faces of Online Post-Fact Performance. *Theatre Journal*, 70(4), 455-471.
- Freeman, L. (2018). Digital Evidence and War Crimes Prosecutions: The Impact of Digital Technologies on International Criminal Investigations and Trials. *Fordham International Law Journal*, 41(2), 283-335.
- Freeman, L. and Vazquez Llorente, R. (2021). Finding the Signal in the Noise: International Criminal Evidence and Procedure in the Digital Age, *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 19(1), 163-188.
- Friedland, M.L. (2014). Searching for Truth in the Criminal Justice System. *Criminal Law Quarterly*, 60(4), 487-521.
- Friman, H. (2003). Inspiration from the International Criminal Tribunals When Developing Law of Evidence for the International Criminal Court. *The Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, 3, 373-400.
- Friis, S.M. (2015). “Beyond Anything We Have Ever Seen”: Beheading Videos and the Visibility of Violence in the War Against ISIS. *International Affairs*, 91(4), 725-746.
- Fyfe, S. (2018). The Office of the Prosecutor: Seeking Justice or Serving Global Imperialism? *International Criminal Law Review*, 18, 988-1014.
- Gabriele, C., Matheson, K., & Vazquez Llorente, R. (2021). The Role of Mobile Technology in Documenting International Crimes: The *Affaire Castro et Kizito* in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 19(1), 107-130.
- Gaynor, F. (2012). Uneasy Partners – Evidence, Truth and History in International Trials. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 10, 1257-1275.
- Gardner, H. (1996). Explanations and Illustrations: Demonstrative Evidence in the Criminal Courtroom. *Criminal Law Quarterly*, 38(4), 425-446.
- Geradts, Z. (2018). Digital, Big Data and Computational Forensics. *Forensic Sciences Research*, 3(3), 179-182.

- Gerland, O. (1994). Brecht and the Courtroom: Alienating Evidence in the “Rodney King” Trials. *Text and Performance Quarterly*, 14, 305-318.
- Gokhale, A., Mulay, P., Pramod, D., & Kulkarni, R. (2020). A Bibliometric Analysis of Digital Image Forensics. *Science & Technology Libraries*, 39(1), 96-113.
- Goode, S. (2009). The Admissibility of Electronic Evidence. *Review of Litigation*, 29(1), 1-64.
- Gready, P. and Robins, S. (2017). Rethinking Civil Society and Transitional Justice: Lessons from Social Movements and ‘New’ Civil Society. *The International Journal of Human Rights*, 21(7), 956-975.
- Green, S. (2014). 50 Shades of Prejudicial: Reexamining Demonstrative Evidence Through State v. Jones. *University of Toledo Law Review*, 45(2), 319-346.
- Greenfield, S. (2019). Social Media Platforms: Preserving Evidence of International Crimes. *International Comparative, Policy Ethics Law Review*, 2(3), 821-848.
- Greengard, S. (2020). Will Deepfakes Do Deep Damage? *Communications of the ACM*, 63(1), 17-19.
- Gregory, S. (2019). Cameras Everywhere Revisited: How Digital Technologies and Social Media Aid and Inhibit Human Rights Documentation and Advocacy. *Journal of Human Rights Practice*, 11, 373-392.
- Grunewald, R. (2013). Comparing Injustices: Truth, Justice, and the System. *Albany Law Review*, 77(3), 1139-1200.
- Guariglia, F. (2018). “Admission” v. “Submission” of Evidence at the International Criminal Court: Lost in Translation? *Journal of international Criminal Justice*, 16, 315-339.
- Hak, J.W. (2019). Evaluation of the Forensic Science Regulator’s Recommendations Regarding Image Comparison Evidence. *Forensic Science International: Synergy*, 1, 294-297.
- Hak, J.W. (2019). Response to “Commentary on: Hak. Evaluation of the Forensic Science Regulator’s Recommendations Regarding Image Comparison Evidence. *Forensic Science International: Synergy*, 1, 302.
- Haldar, P. (2008). Law and the Evidential Image. *Law, Culture and the Humanities*, 4, 139-155.
- Hall, S.W., Sakzad, A., & Choo, K.R. (2022). Explainable Artificial Forensics for Digital Forensics. *WIREs Forensic Science*, 4, e1434, 1-11.
- Hamilton, R.J. (2018). User Generated Evidence. *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law*, 57(1), 1-61.

- Hamilton, R. (2019). Atrocity Prevention in the New Media Landscape. *AJIL Unbound*, 113, 262-266.
- Hamilton, R.J. (2020). Social Media Platforms in International Criminal Investigations. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 52, 213-224.
- Haragi, M., Yamaguchi, R., Okuhara, T., & Kiuchi, T. (2020). Questionnaire Survey of a Mock Jury on Their Impressions of Medical-Legal Illustrations Aimed at Reducing Trauma and PTSD of Jurors. *Journal of Visual Communications in Medicine*, 43(2), 67-75.
- Haslam, E. and Edmunds, R. (2013). Managing a New 'Partnership': 'Professionalization', Intermediaries and the International Criminal Court. *Criminal Law Forum*, 24, 49-85.
- Huamán, C.Q., Orozco, A.L.S., & Villalba, L.J.G. (2020). Authentication and Integrity of Smartphone Videos Through Multimedia Container Structure Analysis. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 108, 15-33.
- Irving, E. (2017). And So It Begins... Social Media Evidence In An ICC Arrest Warrant, available at opiniojuris.org/2017/08/17/and-so-it-begins-social-media-evidence-in-an-icc-arrest-warrant/ (accessed 15 April 2020).
- Jani, G. and Johnson, A. (2022). Virtual Reality and its Transformation in Forensic Education and Research Practices. *Journal of Visual Communication in Medicine*, 45(1), 18-25.
- Jeffrey, A. (2021). Legal Geography III: Evidence. *Progress in Human Geography*, 45(4), 902-913.
- Jegatheswaran, R., Ramachandiran, C.R., & Juremi, J. (2021). Implementation of Virtual Reality in Solving Crime Scene Investigation. *Journal of Applied Technology and Innovation*, 5(2), 28-32.
- Jones, A.M. and Kovera, M.B. (2015). A Demonstrative Helps Opposing Expert Testimony Sensitize Jurors to the Validity of Scientific Evidence, *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, 15(5), 401-422.
- Kahan, D.M., Hoffman, D.A., & Braman, D. (2009). Whose Eyes Are You Going to Believe? Scott v. Harris and the Perils of Cognitive Illiberalism. *Harvard Law Review*, 122(3), 837-906.
- Keïta, X. (2016). Disclosure of Evidence in the Law and Practice of the ICC. *International Criminal Law Review*, 16, 1018-1047.
- Kietzmann, J., Lee, L.W., McCarthy, I.P., & Kietzmann, T.C. (2020). Deepfakes: Trick or Treat? *Business Horizons*, 63, 135-146.
- Kim, C. (2013). Adversarial and Inquisitorial Procedures with Information Acquisition. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, 30(4), 767-803.

Klamberg, M. (2015). The Alternative Hypothesis Approach, Robustness and International Criminal Justice: A Plea for a “Combined Approach” to Evaluation of Evidence. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 13(3), 535-553.

Klinkner, M. (2009). Forensic Science Expertise for International Criminal Proceedings: An Old Problem, a New Context and a Pragmatic Resolution. *The International Journal of Evidence and Proof*, 13, 102-129.

Knoops, G.A. (2019). The Proliferation of Forensic Sciences and Evidence Before International Criminal Tribunals from a Defence Perspective. *Criminal Law Forum*, 30, 33-60.

Koenig, A. (2019). “Half the Truth is Often a Great Lie”: Deep Fakes, Open Source Information, and International Criminal Law, *AJIL Unbound*, 113, 250-255.

Koenig, A. and Freeman, L. (2022). Cutting-Edge Evidence: Strengths and Weaknesses of New Digital Investigation Methods in Litigation, *Hastings Law Journal*, 73, 1233-1254.

Koenig, A. (2022). From “Capture to Courtroom”: Collaboration and the Digital Documentation of International Crimes in Ukraine. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 20(4), 829-842.

Korus, P. (2017). Digital Image Integrity – A Survey of Protection and Verification Techniques. *Digital Signal Processing*, 71, 1-26.

Kotecha, B. (2020). The International Criminal Court’s Selectivity and Procedural Justice. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 18, 107-139.

Landsman, S. (1996). Alternative Responses to Serious Human Rights Abuses: Of Prosecution and Truth Commissions. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 59(4), 81-92.

Landström, S., Granhag, P.A., & Hartwig, M. (2007). Children’s Live and Videotaped Testimonies: How Presentation Mode Affects Observers’ Perception, Assessment, and Memory. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 12, 333-347.

Langer, M. (2011). The Diplomacy of Universal Jurisdiction: The Political Branches and the Transnational Prosecution of International Crimes. *American Journal of International Law*, 105(1), 1-49.

Langer, M. (2015). Universal Jurisdiction is Not Disappearing: The Shift from “Global Enforcer” to “No Safe Haven” Universal Jurisdiction. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 13, 245-256.

Lederer, F. I. (2010). Wired: What We’ve Learned About Courtroom Technology. *Criminal Justice*, 24(4), 18-25.

- Leonetti, C. and Bailenson, J. (2010). High-Tech View: The Use of Immersive Virtual Environments in Jury Trials. *Marquette Law Review*, 93(3), 1073-1120.
- Levy, J.C., and Williams, P.R. (2020). Documentation for Accountability. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 52, 451-466.
- Leyh, B.M. (2017). Changing Landscapes in Documentation Efforts: Civil Society Documentation of Serious Human Rights Violations, *Utrecht Journal of International and European Law*, 33(84), 44-58.
- Lobba, P. and Pons, N. (2020). Rethinking the Legacy of the ECCC: Selectivity, Accountability, Ownership. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 18(3), 599-614.
- Lonergan, M., Leclerc, M., Descamps, M., Pigeon, S., & Brunet, A. (2016). Prevalence and Severity of Trauma and Stressor-Related Symptoms Among Jurors: A Review. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 47, 51-61.
- Lusty, D. (2002). Anonymous Accusers: An Historical and Comparative Analysis of Secret Witnesses in Criminal Trials. *Sydney Law Review*, 24(3), 361-426.
- Lyons, B.S. (2011). Enough is Enough: The Illegitimacy of International Criminal Convictions: A Review Essay of Fact-Finding Without Facts, The Uncertain Evidentiary Foundations of International Criminal Convictions by Nancy Amoury Combs. *Journal of Genocide Research*, 13(3), 287-312. at 289.
- Ma, M., Zheng, H., & Lallie, H. (2010). Virtual Reality and 3D Animation in Forensic Visualization. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 55(5), 1227-1231.
- Maras, M.H. and Alexandrou, A. (2019). Determining Authenticity of Video Evidence in the Age of Artificial Intelligence and in the Wake of Deepfake Videos. *The International Journal of Evidence and Proof*, 23(3), 255-262.
- Marcus, E. (2006). The New Razzle Dazzle: Questioning the Propriety of High-Tech Audiovisual Displays in Closing Argument. *Vermont Law Review*, 30(2), 361-392.
- Marder, N.S. (2013). The Court and the Visual: Images and Artifacts in U.S. Supreme Court Opinions. *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, 88(2), 331-364.
- Martin, B. (2005). The Beating of Rodney King: The Dynamics of Backfire. *Journal of Critical Criminology*, 13, 307-326.
- Maurantonio, N. (2014). Remembering Rodney King: Myth, Racial Reconciliation, and Civil Rights History. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 91(4), 740-755.
- McDermott, Y. (2017). Strengthening the Evaluation of Evidence in International Criminal Trials. *International Criminal Law Review*, 17, 682-702.

- McDermott, Y. (2020). The ECCC's Approach to Evidence and Proof. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 18, 747-764.
- McDermott, Y., Koenig, A., & Murray, D. (2021). Open Source Information's Blind Spot. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 19(1), 85-106.
- Meernik, J. (2003). Equality of Arms? The Individual vs. The International Community in War Crimes Tribunals. *Judicature*, 86(6), 312-319.
- Mégret, F. (2016). The Anxieties of International Criminal Justice. *Leiden Journal of International Law*, 29(1), 197-221.
- Meis, M. (2022). Civil-Resistance Videography as Human Rights Practice: The Non-Survivor Testimony and the Striving for Criminal Jurisdiction in Syria and Beyond. *Journal of Human Rights Practice*, 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jhuman/huac034>.
- Mezey, N. (2013). The Image Cannot Speak for Itself: Film, Summary Judgment, and Visual Literacy. *Valparaiso University Law Review*, 48(1), 1-40.
- Milaninia, N. (2020). Biases in Machine Learning Models and Big Data Analytics: The International Criminal and Humanitarian Law Implications. *International Review of the Red Cross*, 102 (913), 199-234.
- Mnookin, J. (1998). The Image of Truth: Photographic Evidence and the Power of Analogy. *Yale Journal of Law and the Humanities*, 10(1), 1-74.
- Mnookin, J. and West, N. (2001). Theaters of Proof: Visual Evidence and the Law in *Call Northside 777*. *Yale Journal of Law and the Humanities*, 13(2), 329-390.
- Mnookin, J.L. (2013). Atomism, Holism, and the Judicial Assessment of Evidence, *UCLA Law Review*, 60, 1524-1585.
- Mnookin, J.L. (2014). Semi-Legibility and Visual Evidence: An Initial Exploration. *Law, Culture and the Humanities*, 10(1), 43-65.
- Mnookin, J.L. (2015). Repeat Play Evidence: Jack Weinstein, "Pedagogical Devices," Technology, and Evidence. *DePaul Law Review*, 64(2), 571-600.
- Montibeller, G. and von Winterfeldt, D. (2015). Cognitive and Motivational Biases in Decision and Risk Analysis. *Risk Analysis*, 35(7), 1230-1251.
- Mootz, F. (2012). Law Among the Sight Lovers. *New York Law School Law Review*, 57(1), 61-76.

- Morgan, C.A., Hazlett, G., Doran, A., Garrett, S., Hoyt, G., Thomas, P., Baranoski, M., & Southwick, S.M. (2004). Accuracy of Eyewitness Memory for Persons Encountered During Exposure to Highly Intense Stress. *International Journal of Law and Society*, 27, 265-279.
- Negri, S. (2005). The Principle of “Equality of Arms” and the Evolving Law of International Criminal Procedure, *International Criminal Law Review*, 5(4), 513-571.
- Nerlich, V. (2019). Audiences of the International Criminal Court. *International Criminal Law Review*, 19, 1046-1056.
- Newman, E. and Feigenson, N. (2013). The Truthiness of Visual Evidence. *Jury Expert*, 25(5), 9-14.
- Ngane, S.N. (2009). Witnesses Before the International Criminal Court. *The Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, 8, 431-457.
- Nightingale, S.J., Agarwal, S., & Farid, H. (2021). Perceptual and Computational Detection of Face Morphing. *Journal of Vision*, 21(3):4, 1-18.
- O'Connor, C.C. (1995). Computer-Generated Visual Evidence. *Advocate (Vancouver Bar Association)*, 53(3), 421-426, Expert Institute. (2020), available at <https://www.expertinstitute.com/resources/insights/computer-simulations-in-the-courtroom-an-expert-perspective/> (accessed 29 March 2020).
- Pardo, M.S. (2000). Juridical Proof, Evidence, and Pragmatic Meaning: Toward Evidentiary Holism. *Northwestern University Law Review*, 95(1), 399-442.
- Pool, R. (2019). Virtual and Augmented Reality Tech Joins the Fight Against Crime. *SPIE*, 11 January 2019, available at <https://spie.org/news/spie-professional-magazine-archive/2019-january/ar/vr-tech-joins-the-fight-against-crime?SSO=1> (accessed 13 February 2022).
- Porter, E.G. (2018). Taking Images Seriously. *Columbia Law Review*, 114, 1687-1782.
- Porter, E.G. (2018). Imagining Law: Visual Thinking Across the Law School Curriculum. *Journal of Legal Education*, 68(1), 8-14.
- Powell, C.E. (1996). Computer Generated Visual Evidence: Does Daubert Make a difference? *Georgia State University Law Review*, 12(2), 577-600.
- Pues, A. (2017). Towards the “Golden Hour”? A Critical Exploration of the Length of Preliminary Examinations. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 15, 435-453.
- Rabie, T. (2016). Color-Secure Digital Image Compression. *Multimedia Tools Applications*, 76, 16657-16679.

- Rabinowitz, R. (2015). Street/Crime: From Rodney King's Beating to Michael Brown's Shooting. *Cultural Critique*, 90, 143-147.
- Radeva, E. (2021). The Potential for Computer Vision to Advance Accountability in the Syrian Crisis. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 19(1), 131-146.
- Rakoff, J.S. and Loftus, E.F. (2018). The Intractability of Inaccurate Eyewitness Identification. *Dædalus, The Journal of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences*, 147(4), 90-98.
- Raneri, D. (2018). Enhancing Forensic Investigation Through the Use of Modern Three-Dimensional (3D) Imaging Technologies for Crime Scene Reconstruction, *Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 50(6), 697-707.
- Reading, A. (2009). Mobile Witnessing: Ethics and the Camera Phone in the 'War on Terror'. *Globalizations*, 6(1), 61-76.
- Redi, J.A., Taktak, W., & Dugelay, J. (2011). Digital Image Forensics: A Booklet for Beginners. *Multimedia Tools Applications*, 51, 133-162.
- Ristovska, S. (2016). The Rise of Eyewitness Video and its Implications for Human Rights: Conceptual and Methodological Approaches. *Journal of Human Rights*, 15(3), 347-360.
- Ristovska, S. (2019). Human Rights Collectives as Visual Experts: The Case of Syrian Archive. *Visual Communications*, 18(3), 333-351.
- Rodman, K.A. (2019). When Justice Leads, Does Politics Follow? *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 17, 13-44.
- Ryngaert, C. (2009). Territorial Jurisdiction Over Cross-Frontier Offences: Revisiting a Classic Problem of International Criminal Law. *International Criminal Law Review*, 9, 187-209.
- Ryser, E., Spichiger, H., & Casey, E. (2020). Structured Decision Making in Investigations Involving Digital and Multimedia Evidence. *Forensic Science International: Digital Investigation*, 34, 301015, 1-10.
- Sadat, L. (2020). Reforming the International Criminal Court: "Lean in" or "Leave". *Washington University Journal of Law & Policy*, 62, 51-76.
- Sainato, V.A. (2009). Evidentiary Presentations and Forensic Technologies in the Courtroom, The Director's Cut. *Journal of the Institute of Justice and International Studies*, 9, 38-52.
- Sackett, D.L. (1979). Bias in Analytical Research. *Journal of Chronic Diseases*, 32, 51-63.
- Salerno, J.M. (2017). Seeing Red: Disgust Reactions to Gruesome Photographs in Color (but not in Black and White) Increase Convictions. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 23(3), 336-350.

- Sander, B. (2018). The Method is the Message: Law, Narrative Authority and Historical Contestation in International Criminal Courts. *Melbourne Journal of International Law*, 19(1), 299-334.
- Sander, B. (2019). The Expressive Limits of International Criminal Justice: Victim Trauma and Local Culture in the Iron Cage of the Law. *International Criminal Law Review*, 19, 1014-1045.
- Santee, D.S. (2012). More Than Words: Rethinking the Role of Modern Demonstrative Evidence. *Santa Clara Law Review*, 52(1), 105-144.
- Sassoubre, T. (2018). Visual Persuasion for Lawyers. *Journal of Legal Education*, 68(1), 82-91.
- Schofield, D. (2009). Animating Evidence: Computer Game Technology in the Courtroom. *Journal of Information Law and Technology*, 2009(1), 1-21.
- Schofield, D and Fowle, K. (2013). Technology Corner – Visualizing Forensic Data: Evidence (Part 1). *Journal of Digital Forensics, Security and Law*, 8(1), 73-90.
- Schweizer, M. (2014). Comparing Holistic and Atomistic Evaluation of Evidence. *Law, Probability and Risk*, 13, at 65-89.
- Seckiner, D., Mallett, X., Roux, C., Meuwly, D., & Maynard, P. (2018). Forensic Image Analysis: CCTV Distortion and Artefacts. *Forensic Science International*, 285, 77-85.
- Sekhon, N. (2014). The Pedagogical Prosecutor. *Seton Hall Law Review*, 44(1), 1-46.
- Sheppard, K., Cassella, J.P., & Fieldhouse, S. (2017). A Comparative Study of Photogrammetric Methods Using Panoramic Photography in a Forensic Context. *Forensic Science International*, 273, 29-38.
- Sheppard, K., Fieldhouse, S.J., & Cassella, J.P. (2020). Experiences of Evidence Presentation in Court: An Insight into the Practice of Crime Scene Examiners in England, Wales and Australia. *Egyptian Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 10(8), 1-12.
- Sherwin, R.K. (2007). Manifesto for Visual Legal Realism. *Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review*, 40(2), 719-744.
- Sherwin, R.K., Feigenson, N., & Spiesel, C. (2007). What Is Visual Knowledge, and What Is It Good For? Potential Ethnographic Lessons from the Field of Legal Practice. *Visual Anthropology*, 20, 143-178.
- Sherwin, R.K. (2012). Visual Jurisprudence. *New York Law School Law Review*, 57(1), 11-40.
- Sherwin, R.K. (2018). Visual Literacy for the Legal Profession. *Journal of Legal Education*, 68(1), 55-63.

- Sieberth, T., Dobay, A., Affolter, R., & Ebert, L.C. (2019). Applying Virtual Reality in Forensics – A Virtual Scene Walkthrough. *Forensic Science, Medicine and Pathology*, 15, 41-47.
- Sieberth, T., Seckiner, D., Dobay, A., Dobler, E., Golomingi, R., & Ebert, L. (2021). The Forensic Holodeck: Recommendations After 8 Years of Experience for Additional Equipment to Document VR Applications. *Forensic Science International*, 329 (111092), 1-7.
- Silbey, J. (2008). Cross-Examining Film. *University of Maryland Law Journal of Race, Religion, Gender and Class*, 8(1), 17-46.
- Silbey, J. (2010). Evidence Verité and the Law of Film, *Cardozo Law Review*, 31, 1257-1299.
- Silbey, J. (2012). Images in/of Law. *New York Law School Law Review*, 57(1), 171-184.
- Silbey, J. (2018). Persuasive Visions: Film and Memory. *Law, Culture and the Humanities*, 10(1), 24-42.
- Singh, G. and Singh, K. (2016). Forensics for Partially Double Compressed Doctored JPEG Images, *Multimedia Tools Applications*, 77, 485-502.
- Singh, G. and Singh, K. (2017). Improved JPEG Anti-Forensics with Better Image Visual Quality and Forensic Undetectability. *Forensic Science International*, 277, 133-147.
- Singh, R. and Aggarwal, N. (2018). Video Content Authentication Techniques: A Comprehensive Survey. *Multimedia Systems*, 24, 211-240.
- Smith, W.E. (2010). Judicial Opinions and the Digital Revolution. *Judges' Journal*, 49(4), 7-12.
- Solomon, S.H. (2003). How Jurors Make Decisions: A Practical and Systematic Approach to Understanding Jury Behavior. *Sedona Conference Journal*, 4, 175-196.
- Souresh, A. (2019). The Adversarial vs Inquisitorial Dichotomy in International Criminal Law: A Redundant Conversation. *International Comparative Jurisprudence*, 5(1), 81-86.
- Spiesel, C. (2006). Reflections on Reading: Words and Pictures and Law. *International Journal of Law in Context*, 2(3), 305-320.
- Stahn, C. (2012). Between 'Faith' and 'Facts': By What Standards Should We Assess International Criminal Justice? *Leiden Journal of International Law*, 25, 251-282.
- Stammers, S. and Bunn, S. (2015). Unintentional Bias in Forensic Investigation. *House of Parliament: Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology*, 15, 1-6.
- Stolk, S. (2018). A Sophisticated Beast? On the Construction of an 'Ideal' Perpetrator in the Opening Statements of International Criminal Trials. *European Journal of International Law*, 29(3), 677-701.

- Stoughton, S.W. (2018). Police Body-Worn Cameras. *North Carolina Law Review*, 96(5), 1363-1424.
- Suprun, D. (2016). Legal Representation of Victims before the ICC: Developments, Challenges, and Perspectives. *International Criminal Law Review*, 16, 972-994.
- Swoboda, S. (2008). The ICC Disclosure Regime: A Defence Perspective. *Criminal Law Forum*, 19, 449-472.
- Tait, D. (2007). Rethinking the Role of the Image in Justice: Visual Evidence and Science in the Trial Process. *Law, Probability and Risk*, 6(1-4), 311-318.
- Tallgren, I. (2017). Come and See? The Power of Images and International Criminal Justice. *International Criminal Law Review*, 17, 259-280.
- Tillers, P. (2007). Introduction: Visualizing Evidence and Inference in Legal Settings. *Law, Probability and Risk*, 6, 1-4.
- Tully, G. and Stockdale, M. (2019). Commentary on: Hak. Evaluation of the Forensic Science Regulator's Recommendations Regarding Image Comparison Evidence. *Forensic Science International: Synergy*, 1, 298-301.
- Tushnet, R. (2012). Worth a Thousand Words: The Images of Copyright. *Harvard Law Review*, 125(3), 683-759.
- Twist, S. (2005). Evidence of Atrocities or Atrocious Use of Evidence: The Controversial Use of Atrocity Film at Nuremberg. *Liverpool Law Review*, 26, 267-302.
- Ulbricht, B.R., Moxley, C., Austin, M.D., & Norburg, M.D. (2022). Digital Eyewitnesses: Using New Technologies to Authenticate Evidence in Human Rights Litigation. *Stanford Law Review*, 74(4), 851-896.
- Urbanová, P., Jurda, M., Vojtisek, T., & Krajsa, J. (2017). Using Drone-Mounted Cameras for On-Site Body Documentation: 3D Mapping and Active Survey. *Forensic Science International*, 281, 52-62.
- Vaccari, C. and Chadwick, A. (2020). Deepfakes and Disinformation: Exploring the Impact of Synthetic Political Video on Deception, Uncertainty, and Trust in News. *Social Media and Society*, January-March, 1-13.
- Valentine, T. and Maras, K. (2011). The Effect of Cross-Examination on the Accuracy of Adult Eyewitness Testimony. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 25, 554-561.
- van der Velden, L. (2015). Forensic Devices for Activism: Metadata Tracking and Public Proof. *Big Data & Society*, July-December 2015, 1-14.

- van Weelden, L. and van Charldorp, T. (2019). Communications Perspective on the Use of Visualisations in Dutch Court for Minor Felonies. *Utrecht Law Review*, 15(1), 26-37.
- Venema, A.E. and Geradts, Z.J. (2020). Digital Forensics, Deepfakes, and the Legal Process. *The SciTech Lawyer*, 16(4), 14-23.
- Verdoliva, L. (2020). *Media Forensics and DeepFakes: An Overview*. Cornell University, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.06564v1> (accessed 13 December 2022).
- Viterbo, H. (2014). Seeing Torture Anew: Transnational Reconceptualization of State Torture and Visual Evidence. *Stanford Journal of International Law*, 50(2), 281-318.
- Vos, R. and Stolk, S. (2022). Courtroom 600: The (Virtual) Reality of Being There. *International Criminal Law Review*, 22, 308-327.
- Wang, B.Y., Raymond, N.A., Gould, G., & Baker, I. (2013). Problems from Hell, Solution in the Heavens?: Identifying Obstacles and Opportunities for Employing Geospatial Technologies to Document and Mitigate Mass Atrocities. *Stability: International Journal of Security & Development*, 2(3), 53, 1-18.
- Ward, J. (2019). 10 Things Judges Should Know About AI. *Judicature*, 103(1), 12-18.
- Watson, R. (2019). In The Wakes of Rodney King: Militant Evidence and Media Activism in the Age of Viral Black Death. *The Velvet Light Trap*, 84, 34-49.
- Welch, T., Haider, H., Meenagh, M., & M'Boge, Y. (2011). Witness Anonymity at the International Criminal Court: Due Process for Defendants, Witnesses or Both? *The Denning Law Journal*, 23, 29-46.
- Westerlund, M. (2019). The Emergence of Deepfake Technology: A Review. *Technology Innovation Management Review*, 9(11), 39-52.
- Wilson, R.A. (2005). Judging History: The Historical Record of the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia, *Human Rights Quarterly*, 27(3), 908-942.
- Wistrich, A.J., Guthrie, C., & Rachlinski, J.J. (2004-2005). Can Judges Ignore Inadmissible Information? The Difficulty of Deliberately Disregarding. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 153, 1251-1345.
- Witkowski, J. (2002). Can Juries Really Believe What They See? New Foundational Requirements for the Authentication of Digital Images. *Washington University Journal of Law & Policy*, 10, 267-294.
- Wolfberg, A.D. (1995). Israel v. Ivan (John) Demjanjuk; Wachmann Demjanjuk Allowed to Go Free. *Loyola of Los Angeles International and Comparative Law Review*, 17, 445-475.

Yang, B.W., Restrepo, C.V., Stanley, M.L., & Marsh, E.J. (2021). Truncating Bar Graphs Persistently Misleads Viewers. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 298-311.

Young, A. (2012). Arrested by the Image. *New York Law School Law Review*, 57(1), 77-84.

Young, C.O. (2014). Employing Virtual Reality Technology at Trial: New Issues Posed by Rapid Technological Advances and Their Effects on Jurors' Search for "the Truth". *Texas Law Review*, 93(1), 257-274.

Zappalà, S. (2004). The Prosecutor's Duty to Disclose Exculpatory Materials and the Recent Amendment to Rule 68 ICTY RPE. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 2, 620-630.

Zarmsky, S. (2021). Why Seeing Should Not Always Be Believing: Considerations Regarding the Use of Digital Reconstruction Technology in International Law. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 19(1), 213-226.

Zveržhanovski, I. (2007). Watching War Crimes: The Srebrenica Video and the Serbian Attitudes to the 1995 Srebrenica Massacre. *Southeast European and Black Sea Studies*, 7(3), 417-430.

Working Papers

Aronson, J.D., Xu, S., & Hauptmann, A., *Video Analytics for Conflict Monitoring and Human Rights Documentation: Technical Report*, Carnegie Mellon University, July 2015, available at: <https://bit.ly/2LXJhiH>.

Dubberley, S., Griffin, E., & Bal, H.B. (2015). Making Secondary Trauma a Primary Issue: A Study of Eyewitness Media and Vicarious Trauma on the Digital Frontline. Eyewitness Media Hub Report, available at <http://eyewitnessmediahub.com/uploads/browser/files/Trauma%20Report.pdf>. (accessed 8 July 2022); and <http://eyewitnessmediahub.com/research/vicarious-trauma> (11 August 2022).

Final Report of the BIICL Study Group, *Earth Observation Data in the Legal Sector*, 19 May 2001, 75.

Forensic Technology Center of Excellence, *Landscape Study on 3D Crime Scene Scanning Devices (with 2018 Update)*, available at <https://forensiccoe.org/private/5dd6ad2d0ffeb> (accessed 17 November 2020).

Forensic Technology Center of Excellence, *Meeting Report: Terrestrial LiDAR Scanning Working Group for Criminal Justice Applications, First Meeting*, July 2020, available at) <https://forensiccoe.org/private/5fdb5d3d0b04d> (accessed 17 November 2020). (2018). *Geospatial Evidence in International Human Rights Litigation: Technical and Legal Considerations*. (Report prepared under the auspices of the AAAS Scientific Responsibility, Human Rights and Law Program). doi:10.1126/srhl.aau6090.

Harris, T.L., Drake, J., Wyndham, J.M., Wolfenbarger, S.R., Lott, S.D., & Lerner, M. (2018). *Geospatial Evidence in International Human Rights Litigation: Technical and Legal Considerations*. AAAS Scientific Responsibility, Human Rights and Law Program, doi: 10.1126/srhrl.aau6090.

Kalshoven-Gieskes Forum on International Humanitarian Law, *Leiden Guidelines on the Use of Digitally Derived Evidence in International Criminal Courts and Tribunals*, available at <https://leiden-guidelines.com/guidelines/> (accessed 26 October 2022).

Kalshoven-Gieskes Forum on International Humanitarian Law, *Prosecution of International Crimes Using Digitally Derived Evidence in National Court*, available at <https://leiden-guidelines.com/assets/National-Courts.pdf> (accessed 6 December 2022).

Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights and the Human Rights Centre at the University of California, Berkeley, School of Law, *Berkeley Protocol on Digital Open Source Investigations*, available at https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/2022-04/OHCHR_BerkeleyProtocol.pdf.

Open Society Justice Initiative Briefing Paper. (2019). *Universal Jurisdiction: Law and Practice in the Netherlands*, available at <https://www.justiceinitiative.org/publications/universal-jurisdiction-law-and-practice-in-the-netherlands> (accessed 22 December 2022).

The Royal Society, *Machine Learning: The Power and Promise of Computers that Learn by Example*, 2017, available at <https://royalsociety.org/-/media/policy/projects/machine-learning/publications/machine-learning-report.pdf> (accessed 13 December 2022).

Scientific Working Group on Digital Evidence, *SWGDE Digital Image Compression and File Formats Guidelines* (2016), available at https://drive.google.com/file/d/1EoT0ZTKtDzahFfyr_2h6uO7UHsBWJIWv/view (accessed 25 November 2022).

Scientific Working Group on Digital Evidence, *SWGDE Best Practices for Digital Forensic Video Analysis* (2018), available at <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1vXMav2QS8N5iiC7UcW6ZoTsH0OHI7FQi/view> (accessed 25 November 2022).

Scientific Working Group on Digital Evidence, *SWGDE Best Practices for Image Authentication* (2018), at 4, available at <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1IhMWleu-i-jW4LBSOIQIjnejNOfdCdJs/view> (accessed 2 December 2022).

Scientific Working Group on Digital Evidence, *SWGDE Technical Overview for Forensic Image Comparison* (2019), available at <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1m7WO40YJld7i4Aue4JmsHW7OBsN5BI9r/view> (accessed 24 November 2022).

UC Berkeley School of Law Human Rights Center, *The New Forensics: Using Open Source Information to Investigate Grave Crimes*, 2018, available at

https://humanrights.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/publications/bellagio_report_july2018_final.pdf, at 3 (accessed 6 July 2021).

UC Berkeley School of Law Human Rights Center, *Digital Lockers: Archiving Social Media Evidence of Atrocity Crimes*, 2021, available at https://humanrights.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/digital_lockers_report5, at 2 (accessed 4 July 2021).

Doctoral and Master's Theses

Mullins, R.A., *Virtual Views: Exploring the Utility and Impact of Terrestrial Laser Scanners in Forensics and Law*, M.A. thesis, University of Windsor, 2016.

Nordin, C. and Zekan, A. (2021). *Taking Virtual Reality into the Courtroom: An Exploratory Study of How to Implement Virtual Reality Within Swedish Courts*. Master's Thesis, Chalmers University of Technology.

Ristovska, S. *Human Rights Through the Lens: A Study of the Institutionalization and Professionalization of Video Activism*, PhD Dissertation, University of Pennsylvania, 2016, ProQuest Dissertations Publishing.

Non-Governmental Organizations

Human Rights Watch, 'Video Unavailable': Social Media Platforms Remove Evidence of War Crimes, 10 September 2020 available at <https://www.hrw.org/report/2020/09/10/video-unavailable/social-media-platforms-remove-evidence-war-crimes> (accessed 5 December 2022).

International Bar Association Report, *IBA ICL Perspectives: Evidence Matters in ICC Trials*, August 2016, available online at <https://www.ibanet.org/document?id=Evidence-matters-in-icc-trials> (accessed 28 November 2022).

Kalshoven-Gieskes Forum on International Humanitarian Law website at http://kalshovengieskesforum.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/2021_IHL-ICL-Domestic-Case-Summaries-for-Launch-Event_for-publication.pdf (accessed 13 July 2021).

Documentaries / Television

The Accountant of Auschwitz, A Documentary Channel Production, TLNT Productions Inc, 2018.

The Capture, (2019). BBC TV.

United States Army, *Nazi Concentration Camps*, 1945, available online at https://archive.org/details/nazi_concentration_camps_mp4 (accessed 13 August 2021).

News Media

A Crypto-Based Dossier Could Help Prove Russian Committed War Crimes, *CNN Business*, 10 June 2022, available at <https://www.cnn.com/2022/06/10/tech/ukraine-war-crimes-blockchain/index.html> (accessed 8 July 2022).

Deepfake Detection Tool Unveiled by Microsoft, 1 September 2020, available at <https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-53984114> (accessed 14 September 2021).

Deepfake Presidents Used in Russia-Ukraine War, *BBC News*, 18 March 2022, available at <https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-60780142> (accessed 12 December 2022).

Dutch Court Tries Refugee over Syria Murder Video, *BBC News*, 15 June 2021, available at <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-57481556> (accessed 12 July 2021).

“Hovering Ship” Photographed Off Cornish Coast by Walker, *BBC News*, 5 March 2021, available at <https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-england-cornwall-56286719> (accessed 11 March 2021).

In a First, UNSC Experiences 3-D “Virtual Diplomacy” to Better Understand Peace-Building, *Republic World News*, 21 January 2022, available at <https://www.republicworld.com/world-news/rest-of-the-world-news/in-a-first-uns-experiences-3-d-virtual-diplomacy-to-better-understand-peace-building-articleshow.html> (accessed 11 February 2022).

Mozambique Video of Killing Fake, Says Defence Minister, *BBC News*, 16 September 2020, available at <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-africa-54179776> (accessed 8 March 2021).

Nagorno-Karabakh Conflict: “Execution” Video Prompts War Crime Probe, *BBC News*, 24 October 2020, available at <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-54645254> (accessed 8 March 2021).

Nagorno-Karabakh Conflict: Azeri Soldiers Charged with War Crimes, *BBC News*, 14 December 2020, available at <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-55308460> (accessed 12 July 2021).

Syrian Asylum Seeker in the Netherlands Convicted of War Crimes, *DutchNews.nl*, 16 July 2021, available at <https://www.dutchnews.nl/news/2021/07/syrian-asylum-seeker-in-the-netherlands-convicted-of-war-crimes/> (accessed 18 July 2021).

Syrian Civil War: Germany Holds Unprecedented State Torture Trial, *BBC News*, 23 April 2020, available at <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-52393402> (accessed 26 April 2020).

Syria Torture: German Court Convicts Ex-Intelligence Officer, *BBC News*, 24 February 2021, available at <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-56160486> (accessed 12 July 2021).

The Smartphone War: Soldiers, Civilians and Satellites Give the World a Window onto Russian Invasion, *CBC News*, 6 April 2022, available at <https://www.cbc.ca/news/world/ukraine-osint-war-1.6410037> (accessed 8 April 2022).

Ukraine Conflict: Many Misleading Images Have Been Shared Online, *BBC News*, 24 February 2022, available at <https://www.bbc.com/news/60513452> (accessed 31 March 2022).

Ukraine Conflict: Further False Images Shared Online, *BBC News*, 25 February 2022, available at <https://www.bbc.com/news/60528276> (accessed 20 March 2022).

Ukraine Invasion: Misleading Claims Continue to Go Viral, *BBC News*, 28 February 2022, available at <https://www.bbc.com/news/60554910> (accessed 20 March 2022).

Ukraine Invasion: False Claims the War is a Hoax Go Viral, *BBC News*, 8 March 2022, available at <https://www.bbc.com/news/60589965> (accessed 20 March 2022).

Ukraine War: 21,000 Alleged War Crimes Being Investigated, Prosecutor Says, *BBC News*, 6 July 2022, available at <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-62073669> (accessed 7 July 2022).

Ukraine War: Putin Should Face Trial This Year, says Top Lawyer, *BBC News*, 1 January 2023, available at <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-64138851> (accessed 1 January 2023).

Virtual Reality to Aid Auschwitz War Trials of Concentration Camp Guards, *BBC News*, 16 November 2016, available at <https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-38026007> (accessed 6 February 2022).

VR Technology Called to the Stand in Beijing Court, *Sixth Tone*, 2 March 2018, available at <https://www.sixthtone.com/news/1001846/vr-technology-called-to-the-stand-in-beijing-court> (accessed 13 February 2022).

Williams, T., Thomas, J., Jacoby, S., & Cave, D., "Police Body Cameras: What Do You See?", *The New York Times*, 1 April 2016, available at <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2016/04/01/us/police-bodycam-video.html> (accessed April 14, 2020).

Miscellaneous Internet Based Resources

Amped Software, Amped Authenticate, available at <https://ampedsoftware.com/authenticate> (accessed 21 December 2022).

Bellingcat, Bellingcat.com/About, available at <https://www.bellingcat.com/about/> (accessed 5 December 2022) (webpage no longer accessible).

Bellingcat, *MH17 – The Open Source Investigation*, available at <https://www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2015/10/08/mh17-the-open-source-evidence/> (accessed 27 April 2022).

Bellingcat, *MH17: The Open Source Investigation Three Years Later*, available at <https://www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2017/07/17/mh17-open-source-investigation-three-years-later/> (accessed 27 July 2021).

Expert Institute, available at <https://www.expertinstitute.com/resources/insights/computer-simulations-in-the-courtroom-an-expert-perspective/> (accessed 29 March 2020).

eyeWitness to Atrocities, available at <https://www.eyewitness.global/FAQS> (accessed 5 December 2022).

eyeWitness to Atrocities, available at <https://www.eyewitness.global/5-Lessons-Learned-in-5-Years> (accessed 5 December 2022).

Farid, H. (2020). Misinformation Apocalypse. *Stay Tuned with Preet Podcast*, 5 March 2020.

Ferencz, B.B., The Biggest Murder Trial in History, available at <https://benferencz.org/stories/1946-1949/the-biggest-murder-trial-in-history/> (accessed 11 May 2020).

Forecast Number of Mobile Phone Users Worldwide from 2020 to 2024. *Statistica*, available at <https://www.statista.com/statistics/218984/number-of-global-mobile-users-since-2010/> and <https://www.statista.com/statistics/617136/digital-population-worldwide/> (accessed 22 February 2021).

Forensic Architecture, available at <https://forensic-architecture.org/about/agency> (accessed 3 February 2022) and Investigations – Virtualreality at <https://forensic-architecture.org/methodology/%20virtualreality> (accessed 21 November 2022).

Guardian Project, available at <https://guardianproject.info/archive/camerav/> (accessed 5 December 2022).

Guardian Project, available at <https://blog.witness.org/2013/01/how-informacam-improves-verification-of-mobile-media-files/> (accessed 5 December 2022).

Guardian Project, Proofmode, available at <https://github.com/guardianproject/proofmode/blob/master/README.md> (acc 21 December 2022).

Joint Investigation Team MH17, Witness Appeal Video, available at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rhyd875Qtlg> (accessed 25 April 2022).

Lexico Dictionary, by Oxford English Dictionary, “Disinformation”, available at <http://www.lexico.com> (accessed 14 April 2020).

Lexico Dictionary, by Oxford English Dictionary, “Misinformation”, available at <http://www.lexico.com> (accessed 14 April 2020).

Merriam-Webster Dictionary, “Virtual Reality”, available at <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/virtual%20reality> (accessed 18 January 2022).

MH 17 Trial, Summary of the Judgment of the District Court of The Hague, available at <https://www.courtmh17.com/en/news/2022/summary-of-the-day-in-court-17-november-2022---judgment.html> (accessed 5 December 2022).

Microsoft on the Issues, available at <https://blogs.microsoft.com/on-the-issues/2020/09/01/disinformation-deepfakes-newsguard-video-authenticator/> (accessed 29 September 2021).

Oxford English Dictionary, “Authentication”, available at <http://oed.com> (accessed 6 September 2022).

Oxford English Dictionary, “Democratize”, available at <http://oed.com> (accessed 5 October 2020).

Oxford English Dictionary, “Film”, “Video”, “Photographs”, available at <http://oed.com> (accessed 12 September 2020).

Oxford English Dictionary, “Filmic”, available at <http://oed.com> (accessed 31 May 2021).

Oxford English Dictionary, “Locus in quo”, available at <http://oed.com> (accessed 11 September 2020).

Oxford English Dictionary, “Reliability”, available at <http://oed.com> (accessed 7 March 2021).

Oxford English Dictionary, “Res ipsa loquitur”, available at <http://oed.com> (accessed 14 September 2020).

Oxford English Dictionary, “Vérité”, available at <http://oed.com> (accessed 4 April 2020).

Oxford English Dictionary, “Viva voce”, available at <http://oed.com> (accessed 11 September 2020).

SITU Research, Euromaidan Event Reconstruction, available at <https://situ.nyc/research/projects/euromaidan-event-reconstruction> (accessed 26 December 2022).

SITU Research, available at <https://situ.nyc/research/profile> (accessed 1 March 2022) and <https://situ.nyc/research/projects> (accessed 3 February 2022).

STL website, *Overview of Prosecutor v. Ayyash et al.* (STL-11-01), available at <https://www.stl-tsl.org/en/the-cases/stl-11-01> (accessed 12 June 2021).

Techopedia, “Binary Format”, available at <https://www.techopedia.com/definition/938/binary-format> (accessed 13 August 2021).

Wardle, C. (2018). *Information Disorder, Part 1: The Essential Glossary*, available at <https://medium.com/1st-draft/information-disorder-part-1-the-essential-glossary-19953c544fe3> (accessed 21 December 2020).

YouTube for Press, available at <https://blog.youtube/press/> (accessed 6 December 2022).

CURRICULUM VITAE

John (Jonathan) William Hak KC (Calgary, Canada) holds a Diploma in Criminal Justice from Mount Royal University, Canada; a B.Sc. (with distinction) in Criminal Justice from California State University, USA; an LL.B. from the University of British Columbia, Canada; and an LL.M. from the University of Cambridge, UK. He has been a barrister and solicitor and member of the Law Society of Alberta since 1987. Jonathan was appointed Queen's Counsel in 2006.

From 1988-2018, Jonathan was a Crown Prosecutor with the Alberta Crown Prosecution Service in Canada. Since 2000, he has been an international imagery law lecturer and teaches in several countries. He is also an Adjunct Associate Professor of Law at the University of Lethbridge in Canada. From 2020-2023, Jonathan has been a PhD Candidate in the Grotius Centre for International Legal Studies in the Faculty of Law at Leiden University, under the supervision of Prof. dr. Carsten Stahn and Dr. Jens Iverson.