



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Unde venisti? The Prehistory of Italic through its Loanword Lexicon

Wigman, A.M.

Citation

Wigman, A. M. (2023, November 1). *Unde venisti?: The Prehistory of Italic through its Loanword Lexicon*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3655644>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3655644>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Unde vēnistī?

The Prehistory of Italic through its Loanword
Lexicon

Cover image: Photograph of Piedmont taken by Ettore Cauvin.

Copyright © 2023: Andrew Wigman. All rights reserved.

Unde vēnistī?
The Prehistory of Italic through its Loanword
Lexicon

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 1 november 2023
klokke 10:00 uur

door

Andrew Michael Wigman

geboren te Voorhees (New Jersey, Verenigde Staten)
in 1991

Promotores: Prof. dr. G.J. Kroonen
Prof. dr. K. Kristiansen

Promotiecommissie: Dr. L.C. van Beek
Dr. B. Nielsen Whitehead (University of Copenhagen)
Prof. dr. M. Peyrot
Prof. dr. M.L. Weiss (Cornell University)

This research was carried out with funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. 716732). I would also like to acknowledge the travel grant I received from the Leids Universiteits Fonds (LUF).

*cui dōnō lepidum novum libellum
āridā modo pūmice expolitūm?*

To my parents

*namque vōs solēbātis
meās esse aliquid putāre nūgās.*

(Adapted from Catullus 1)

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	xii
List of Figures.....	xiv
List of Tables.....	xiv
Abbreviations.....	xvi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 The Motivation.....	1
1.2 Prior Research.....	1
1.2.1 Indo-European Sources.....	4
1.2.1.1 The Balkans.....	4
1.2.1.1.1 Illyrian.....	4
1.2.1.1.2 Thracian and Macedonian.....	7
1.2.1.2 Indo-European Substrates.....	8
1.2.1.2.1 Pelasgian.....	9
1.2.1.2.2 Temematic.....	12
1.2.1.2.3 Indo-European Substrates directly involving Italy.....	13
1.2.1.2.3.1 Ribezzo's Ausonian.....	13
1.2.1.2.3.2 Haas's Frühitalische Element	14
1.2.1.2.3.3 Garnier and Sagot.....	15
1.2.1.3 Verdict on Indo-European Substrates	17
1.2.2 Non-Indo-European Sources.....	17
1.2.2.1 The Two Lineages	18
1.2.2.1.1 The Mediterranean Substrate	18
1.2.2.1.2 The European (Germanic) Substrate	20
1.2.2.2 Uniting the Lineages.....	21
1.2.2.3 An Excursion on Etruscan	25
1.3 The Consequences: Goals of this Dissertation	28
1.4 Methodology	29
1.4.1 Theoretical Perspective.....	29
1.4.2 How (not) to do it	30
1.4.2.1 Reservations about Semantic Category.....	30
1.4.2.2 Doubts about Limited Geographic Distribution.....	32
1.4.2.3 The Requirement of Positive Evidence.....	32
1.4.3 Terminology	33
1.4.3.1 Comparanda.....	33
1.4.3.2 Substrate	33
1.4.4 A Mild Disclaimer	34
1.5 Limitations	35
1.5.1 Scope	35
1.5.2 Methodological Blind Spots	35
2 The Linguistic Data	39
2.1 Introduction to the Data.....	39
2.1.1 Structure of the Data.....	39

2.1.2	Structure of the Entries	41
2.2	Non-inherited Origin in Latin Accepted.....	42
2.2.1	Phonotactic Reasons	42
2.2.1.1	Isolated to Latin but with Unrhotacized S.....	42
2.2.1.2	Isolated to Latin but with an Invalid Root Structure.....	46
2.2.2	Comparanda in Other Branches	49
2.2.2.1	Non-inherited Origin is Probable.....	49
2.2.2.2	Non-inherited Origin is Possible.....	130
2.2.3	Comparanda only in Latin and Romance.....	169
2.3	Origin Unclear.....	176
2.3.1	No Comparanda.....	176
2.3.2	Uncertain Comparanda	185
2.3.3	Conflicting Possibilities.....	213
2.3.3.1	Non-inherited vs. Inherited.....	213
2.3.3.2	Non-inherited vs. Loan from a Known Language	238
2.3.4	Core-Periphery Cases	247
2.3.5	Methodologically Difficult to Delimit Comparanda.....	252
2.4	Non-IE Origin in Latin Rejected.....	259
2.4.1	No Positive Evidence of Borrowing	259
2.4.2	Best Explained as Inherited	275
2.4.3	Loan from a Known Language	283
2.5	Latin Index for the Data Section	288
3	Feature Analysis	291
3.1	Introduction to the Feature Analysis	291
3.2	Phonological Alternations	292
3.2.1	Consonants.....	292
3.2.1.1	Alternations between PIE Rows	292
3.2.1.1.1	Non-Velars.....	293
3.2.1.1.1.1	Labials	293
3.2.1.1.1.1.1	Voicing.....	293
3.2.1.1.1.1.2	Aspiration.....	294
3.2.1.1.1.1.3	Voicing and Aspiration	294
3.2.1.1.1.2	Dentals.....	295
3.2.1.1.1.2.1	Voicing.....	295
3.2.1.1.1.2.2	Aspiration.....	295
3.2.1.1.1.2.3	Voicing and Aspiration	296
3.2.1.1.1.3	Interim Conclusion on Labials and Dentals.....	296
3.2.1.1.2	Velars.....	297
3.2.1.1.2.1	Voicing	297
3.2.1.1.2.2	Aspiration	297
3.2.1.1.2.3	Voicing and Aspiration.....	298
3.2.1.1.2.4	Palatalization	298
3.2.1.1.2.5	Labialization.....	299

3.2.1.1.2.6	Interim Conclusion on Velars.....	300
3.2.1.1.3	Conclusions on the QPIE Plosive Rows.....	300
3.2.1.2	Alternations Beyond the Plosive Rows.....	301
3.2.1.2.1	Labial Plosive ~ Labial Nasal Alternation	301
3.2.1.2.2	Labial Plosive ~ Labial Approximant Alternation	303
3.2.1.2.3	L ~ R Alternations.....	304
3.2.1.2.4	N ~ M Alternation.....	305
3.2.1.2.5	L ~ D Alternation.....	305
3.2.1.2.6	S ~ D Alternation.....	306
3.2.1.2.7	D ~ K ~ Ø Alternation.....	306
3.2.1.2.8	Further Irregular Involvement of a Sibilant.....	307
3.2.1.2.8.1	S mobile.....	307
3.2.1.2.8.2	S Insertion.....	308
3.2.1.2.8.3	SK Metathesis.....	309
3.2.1.2.9	Gemination.....	310
3.2.2	Vowels.....	312
3.2.2.1	Clearly non-IE Alternations.....	313
3.2.2.1.1	E ~ I	313
3.2.2.1.2	I ~ U	314
3.2.2.1.3	E ~ U	315
3.2.2.1.4	O ~ U.....	315
3.2.2.2	a-Vocalism	316
3.2.2.2.1	Reconstructed a-Vocalism	316
3.2.2.2.2	Alternations Involving A.....	317
3.2.2.2.2.1	A ~ Ä	317
3.2.2.2.2.2	A ~ E	317
3.2.2.2.2.3	A ~ O	318
3.2.2.2.2.4	A ~ AU	319
3.2.2.2.2.5	A ~ U	319
3.2.2.2.2.6	A ~ AI.....	319
3.2.2.3	Wider Variation	320
3.2.2.4	Ablaut Phenomena.....	321
3.2.2.4.1	Ablaut Unparalleled in IE	321
3.2.2.4.2	Ablaut Difficult to Motivate from an IE Perspective	322
3.2.2.4.3	Vocalic Alternations That Can Occur in Ablaut Paradigms.....	322
3.2.3	Phonological Conclusions.....	323
3.3	Morphological Alternations	324
3.3.1	Pre-Greek Suffixes.....	324
3.3.1.1	Latin <i>-essus</i>	325
3.3.1.2	Latin <i>-undo</i>	325
3.3.1.3	Latin <i>*-ara</i>	326
3.3.1.4	Conclusion on Pre-Greek Suffixes in Latin.....	326

3.3.2	The <i>a</i> -Prefix.....	326
3.3.3	The Velar Suffix.....	328
3.3.4	The <i>n</i> -Suffix.....	330
3.3.5	Reduplication.....	334
3.3.6	Morphological Conclusions.....	336
4	Distribution Analysis.....	337
4.1	Introduction to Distribution Analysis.....	337
4.2	Partial Stratification Based on Phonology: The Most Recent Borrowings.....	340
4.2.1	Latin-Greek Isoglosses with Recurring Irregular Alternations.....	341
4.2.1.1	Voicing and Devoicing.....	341
4.2.1.2	Aspiration Alternations.....	341
4.2.2	Wider Implications: A Mediterranean Substrate.....	342
4.2.2.1	Other Words with a Mediterranean Distribution.....	342
4.2.2.2	Balkan Connections.....	343
4.2.2.3	Indo-Iranian Connections.....	345
4.2.2.4	Recurring Features.....	346
4.2.2.4.1	L ~ R Alternation.....	346
4.2.2.4.2	E ~ I Alternation.....	347
4.2.2.4.3	I ~ U Alternation.....	347
4.2.2.5	A Definitively Mediterranean Substrate.....	348
4.2.2.6	Mediterranean Languages with a Wider Distribution.....	350
4.3	Further Stratification Using Distribution.....	351
4.3.1	Potentially Recent Borrowings.....	351
4.3.1.1	Words Exclusive to Latin and Romance.....	351
4.3.1.2	Gemination.....	352
4.3.2	Earlier Strata.....	352
4.3.2.1	The Oldest Loans in Italy.....	352
4.3.2.2	Early Contact or Widespread Substrate?.....	354
4.3.2.3	Intermediate Contact Situations.....	357
4.3.2.3.1	Stratum Excluding Greek.....	357
4.3.2.3.2	Italo-Celtic Isoglosses and the Italo-Celtic Subnode.....	358
4.3.2.3.3	Italo-Germanic Group.....	360
4.3.2.3.4	Germanic Loans in General.....	361
4.3.2.3.5	Other Groups.....	362
4.4	A More Inclusive Visualization.....	363
4.5	Summary of Stratigraphy.....	365
5	Semantic Analysis.....	367
6	Population Genetics of Italy.....	371
6.1	Genetics Introduction.....	371
6.2	European Genetics from the Origins of Agriculture to the Homeland Debate	
	371	
6.2.1	Understanding the Origin and Spread of Agriculture.....	371
6.2.2	The Indo-European Homeland Debate.....	373

6.2.3	Refining and Overturning the Understandings.....	374
6.2.3.1	Building Genetic Databases.....	374
6.2.3.2	A Paradigm Shift Waiting to Happen: the Sequencing of Ancient DNA	376
6.2.3.3	The 2015 Paradigm Shift.....	379
6.2.4	Summary.....	383
6.3	The Italian Peninsula.....	383
6.3.1	Studies on Modern Populations	383
6.3.1.1	The Earliest Studies.....	383
6.3.1.2	More Modern Methods	384
6.3.2	Ancient DNA.....	386
6.3.3	Other Questions	388
6.3.3.1	Greek Colonization.....	388
6.3.3.2	Etruscans.....	389
6.4	Conclusions.....	390
7	Archaeological Theories on the Italicization of Italy.....	391
7.1	Single Origin Theories	392
7.1.1	The Copper Age Cultures	392
7.1.2	Side Note: Bell Beaker and Polada Cultures.....	392
7.1.3	Terramare Culture.....	393
7.1.4	Apennine Culture.....	395
7.1.5	Urnfield Horizon.....	395
7.2	Multiple Origin Theories and the Question of Proto-Italic Unity.....	397
8	Conclusion.....	403
8.1	Summary.....	403
8.2	Discussion: Triangulating Italic Prehistory	404
	Bibliography	413
	Nederlandse Samenvatting.....	479
	Curriculum Vitae	481

Acknowledgements

The trajectory that has resulted in this dissertation began long ago, with the language teachers who instilled in me the first sparks of linguistic curiosity (Tina Bechtel, Michael Korom, Cynthia Knisley, and Gregory Toates). It was then under the aegis of Philip Baldi at Penn State that I discovered Latin historical grammar and the field of historical comparative linguistics. My curiosity became insatiable as I learned that, from the balcony of Latin, one could look down upon the floorboards of Proto-Indo-European. My teachers at the Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Olav Hackstein, Peter-Arnold Mumm, Dieter Gunkel, and Filip De Decker, taught me the nuances of the architecture of this reconstruction. When I saw the glints of something older, just barely visible from between the floorboards, it was with Guus Kroonen and his project *The Linguistic Roots of Europe's Agricultural Transition* that I was able to begin excavating (along with the expertise of one who has literally excavated, my second supervisor Kristian Kristiansen) to see what sort of substrate the edifice was built upon. To all these teachers I am grateful.

I am grateful to several other scholars from whom I have learned much along the way. To Sasha Lubotsky, Alwin Kloekhorst, Tijmen Pronk, Lucien van Beek, Michaël Peyrot, Maarten Kossmann, David Stifter, and Peter Schrijver, I am thankful for the conversations and email exchanges. I will treasure the mentorship I received from Michael Weiss and Alan Nussbaum at Cornell University along with the friends I made there.

My research would never have proceeded without the insightful discussions on material, methodology, and motivation with my team- and office-mates Yvonne van Amerongen, Paulus van Sluis, Anthony Jakob, Rasmus Thorsø, Axel Palmer, and Cid Swanenvleugel.

Wonderful friends and colleagues have played a great role in making the hard work in Leiden worth it. To the Lunch Bunch (especially Niels Schoubben, Jesse Wichers Schreur, Ahmed Sosal, and Sophia Nauta), thank you for putting up with all the Germanic etymologies. To Stefan Norbruis, Annika Kramer, Rasmus Puggaard-Rode, Chams Bernard, Olga Nozdracheva, Ami Okabe, Ménghuī Shǐ, Niko Kontovas, Astrid van Alem, Laura Smorenburg, Jiāng Wú, Priscilla Lam, Aljoša Šorgo, Davide Procaccino, Eleonora Settembrino, Tíngtíng Zhèng, Meike de Boer, Aliza Glasbergen-Plas, Jiāqí Wáng, Shàoyǔ Wáng, and others, thank you for the dinners, picnics, and algemene gezelligheid. Abel Warries en Femke Montagne (translator extraordinaire), sommige Nederlandse vogels zijn inderdaad mooier dan Amerikaanse. En stor tak til Simon Poulsen og Tobias Søborg. Louise Skydsbjerg Friis (I, II, and III) *nī kārweñe*, thank you for always being there. Kate Bellamy, thank you for the tri-Georgian feast and the reyt good career advice. Petra Cuvée, thank you for always believing in me. Yàn Wáng, thank you for the walks and talks. Sarah von Grebmer Zu Wolfsturn, your kindness and positivity has been an inspiration. Lis Kerr and Xander Vertegaal, you have been iridium quality friends.

I likewise could not have done without the support I have felt from friends in more far off places as well. Karla Gutberlet has been a constant source of strength these many years. Paweł Ślęczka, Daniel Méndez Aranda, and Ali Karrity have helped keep me sane through the hardest parts of being away from home. Kittipong Vongagsorn, *bahu manye mitratvam avayoh*. Thank you Martin Riesenberg for the insightful discussions about steppe archaeology and the methodological difficulties of comparing different lines of evidence. Thank you Hannes Puchta, my Berlin-based book sleuth. Tori Parker, Hannah Hoganson, Neil Hong, and Amritha Mallikarjun, my oldest friends, come what may, thank you for keeping Downingtown free of werewolves. To Melissa DiJulio and Deirdre Graham, I loved our weekly lockdown check-ins, and I am still ready to buy that farm in Ireland with you.

I am also ever grateful to the support of my family. This book is dedicated to my parents, Dr. Larry and Mrs. Lisa Wigman. I thank my brothers, Jonathan and Benjamin, my cousins, aunts, uncles, and grandmother, who checked in with me along the way. At his doctoral defense, Dr. Benjamin Wigman said he always thought I was smarter than he, but even a chemist with a PhD can be wrong sometimes.

Grātia [est], in quā amīcitiārum et officiōrum alterius memoria et remūnerandī voluntās continētur (Cicero, *de Inventione* 2.53.161).

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 The linguistic diversity of the Italian peninsula represented by sites of inscriptions.	2
Figure 4.1 Latin words of loanword origin distributed by existence of comparanda in Celtic, Germanic, and Greek	338
Figure 4.2 The transmission of Lat. <i>cupressus</i> and its comparanda from a source form	339
Figure 4.3 Overlapping irregular alternations between words with a Mediterranean distribution	348
Figure 4.4 Range of <i>Rhamnus alaternus</i>	350
Figure 4.5 Range of <i>Ficus carica</i>	350
Figure 4.6 Range of <i>Buxus sempervirens</i>	350
Figure 4.7 Range of <i>Cupressus sempervirens</i>	350
Figure 4.8 Separate contact situations suggested by the distribution of non-inherited lexemes.....	357
Figure 4.9 One interpretation of contact incorporating Italo-Celtic considerations	359
Figure 4.10 An alternative scenario with an early Italo-Celtic split and later close contact	360
Figure 4.11 PCA of loose analysis.....	364
Figure 4.12 PCA of strict analysis	365

List of Tables

Table 3.1 Alternations between <i>*b</i> and <i>*p</i>	293
Table 3.2 Alternations between <i>*b^h</i> and <i>*b</i>	294
Table 3.3 Alternations between <i>*b^h</i> and <i>*p</i>	294
Table 3.4 Alternations between <i>*b^h</i> or <i>*b</i> and <i>*p</i>	294
Table 3.5 Alternations between <i>*b^h</i> , <i>*b</i> , and <i>*p</i>	295
Table 3.6 Alternations between <i>*d</i> and <i>*t</i>	295
Table 3.7 Alternations between <i>*d^h</i> and <i>*d</i>	295
Table 3.8 Alternations between <i>*d^h</i> and <i>*t</i>	296
Table 3.9 Alternations between <i>*g</i> and <i>*k</i>	297
Table 3.10 Alternations between <i>*g^h</i> and <i>*k</i>	298
Table 3.11 Alternations between <i>*g^h</i> or <i>*g</i> and <i>*k</i>	298
Table 3.12 Alternations between <i>*g^h</i> , <i>*g</i> , and <i>*k</i>	298
Table 3.13 Alternation between <i>*b^(h)</i> and <i>*m</i>	301
Table 3.14 Alternation between <i>*p</i> , <i>*b</i> (<i>*b^h</i>), and <i>*m</i>	301
Table 3.15 Alternations between <i>*b^(h)</i> and <i>*mb^(h)</i>	302
Table 3.16 Alternation between <i>*b^h</i> , <i>*b</i> , and <i>*μ</i>	303
Table 3.17 Alternation between <i>*b</i> and <i>*μ</i>	303
Table 3.18 Group A alternations between <i>*l</i> and <i>*r</i>	304
Table 3.19 Group B alternations between <i>*l</i> and <i>*r</i>	305

Table 3.20 Group C alternation between <i>*l</i> and <i>*r</i>	305
Table 3.21 Alternations in the order of sibilant and velar in clusters.....	309
Table 3.22 Alternations in gemination.....	312
Table 3.23 Alternations between <i>*e</i> and <i>*i</i>	313
Table 3.24 Alternation between <i>*i</i> and <i>*u</i>	314
Table 3.25 Alternations between <i>*ī</i> and <i>*ū</i>	314
Table 3.26 Alternation between <i>*e</i> and <i>*u</i>	315
Table 3.27 Alternations between <i>*o</i> and <i>*u</i>	315
Table 3.28 Alternation between <i>ō</i> and <i>ū</i>	315
Table 3.29 Alternation between <i>ō</i> and <i>u</i>	315
Table 3.30 Alternations between <i>*e</i> and <i>*o</i>	322
Table 3.31 Alternations between <i>*e</i> and <i>*ø</i>	323
Table 3.32 Alternating presence of an n-suffix.....	332
Table 4.1 Consonantism correspondences between Germanic and other branches	361

Abbreviations

General

ca.	<i>circa</i> , around/approximately
cf.	<i>confer</i> , compare
dial.	dialectal
dim.	diminutive
e.g.	<i>exempli gratia</i> , for example
esp.	especially
et al.	<i>et alii</i> , and others
et alib.	<i>et alibi</i> , and elsewhere
etc.	<i>et cetera</i> , and so on
fn.	footnote
fthc.	forthcoming
id.	<i>idem</i> , the same
p.c.	personal communication
pg., pp.	page, pages
s.v.	<i>sub verbo</i> , under the entry
var(s).	variant(s)
with lit.	with literature

Dates

BCE	Before the Common Era (BC)
c.	century
CE	Common Era (AD)

Languages

Aeol.	Aeolic (Greek)
Akk.	Akkadian
Alb.	Albanian
Att-Ion.	Attic-Ionic (Greek)
Arab.	Arabic
Aram.	Aramaic
Arcad.	Arcadian (Greek)
Arm.	Armenian
Av.	Avestan
Boeot.	Boeotian (Aeolic Greek)
Bret.	Breton
Bulg.	Bulgarian
Cat.	Catalan
Cl. Arab.	Classical Arabic
CLuw.	Cuneiform Luwian
Copt.	Coptic
Dan.	Danish

Du.	Dutch
Egypt.	Egyptian
Engl.	English
Etr.	Etruscan
Fal.	Faliscan
Far.	Faroese
Fr.	French
Georg.	Georgian
Ger.	German
Gk.	Ancient Greek
Go.	Gothic
Hebr.	Hebrew
Hitt.	Hittite
HLuw.	Hieroglyphic Luwian
Hsch.	Hesychian (gloss in Greek)
Hurr.	Hurrian
Icel.	Icelandic
IE	Indo-European
It.	Italian
Kartv.	Kartvelian
Khot.	Khotanese
Lat.	Latin
Latv.	Latvian
LCo.	Late Cornish
Lith.	Lithuanian
Lyd.	Lydian
Mac.	Macedonian
MBret.	Middle Breton
MBulg.	Middle Bulgarian
MDu.	Middle Dutch
ME	Middle English
MHG	Middle High German
MÍr.	Middle Irish
MoDu.	Modern Dutch
MoGk.	Modern Greek
MoP	Modern Persian
MP	Middle Persian
MW	Middle Welsh
Myc.	Mycenaean (Greek)
Nw.	Norwegian
OBret.	Old Breton
ODan.	Old Danish
OE	Old English
OGeorg.	Old Georgian
OHG	Old High German

OLG	Old Low German	PSlav.	Proto-Slavic
ON	Old Norse	PU	Proto-Uralic
OP	Old Persian	PVasc.	Proto-Vasconic
OPol.	Old Polish	Pt.	Portuguese
OPr.	Old Prussian	Rom.	Romanian
OProv.	Old Provençal	Ru.	Russian
OPt.	Old Portuguese	RuCS	Russian Church Slavonic
ORu.	Old Russian	Sard.	Sardinian
Osc.	Oscan	SCr.	Serbo-Croatian
OSum.	Old Sumerian	Serb.	Serbian
OW	Old Welsh	SGael.	Scottish Gaelic
PAlb.	Proto-Albanian	Slk.	Slovak
PArm.	Proto-Armenian	Slov.	Slovene
PBalt.	Proto-Baltic	Sogd.	Sogdian
PBerb.	Proto-Berber	Sp.	Spanish
PBSl.	Proto-Balto-Slavic	SPic.	South Picene
PCelt.	Proto-Celtic	Sum.	Sumerian
PEBalt.	Proto-East Baltic	Sw.	Swedish
PGk.	Proto-Greek	Syr.	Syriac
PGm.	Proto-Germanic	Thess.	Thessalian (Aeolic Greek)
Phoen.	Phoenician	Toch. A	Tocharian A
PIE	Proto-Indo-European	Toch. B	Tocharian B
PIIr.	Proto-Indo-Iranian	Turk.	Turkish, Turkic
PIr.	Proto-Iranian	U	Umbrian
PItal.	Proto-Italic	Ugr.	Ugaritic
Pol.	Polish	Ved.	Vedic Sanskrit
PRom.	Proto-Romance	W	Welsh
Prov.	Provençal	YAv.	Young Avestan
PSem.	Proto-Semitic		

Grammar

abl.	ablative	masc.	masculine
acc.	accusative	neut.	neuter
adj.	adjective	nom.	nominative
dat.	dative	obl.	oblique
fem.	feminine	pass.	passive
fut.	future	pl.	plural
gen.	genitive	PPP	perfect passive participle
indecl.	indeclinable	pres.	present
inf.	infinitive	sg.	singular
loc.	locative	subj.	subjunctive

Archaeo-Genetics

ANE	Ancient North Eurasian (ancestry component)
calBCE	Calibrated radiocarbon date
CHG	Caucasus Hunter-Gatherer (ancestry component)
EEF	Early European Farmer (ancestry component)
EHG	Eastern Hunter-Gatherer (ancestry component)
LBK	Linearbandkeramik Culture
mtDNA	Mitochondrial DNA
WHG	Western Hunter-Gatherer (ancestry component)

Symbols

?	(appurtenance) uncertain
<	is from
>	develops to
>>	is borrowed as
~	alternates with
§	heading number (of this thesis)
< >	graphical element
//	phonemic transcription

Phonological Cover Symbols (in text; not in header reconstructions)

C	consonant
C _i	identical consonant
D	voiced stop
D ^h	voiced aspirated stop
H	laryngeal
R	resonant
T	unvoiced stop
V	vowel