



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

The games Flickrites play: an investigation of Flickr-based gaming activity with special focus on opportunities for exploratory interaction

Kallergi, A.; Verbeek, F.J.; Blashki, K.

Citation

Kallergi, A., & Verbeek, F. J. (2011). The games Flickrites play: an investigation of Flickr-based gaming activity with special focus on opportunities for exploratory interaction. *Proceedings Of The Iadis International Conference Game And Entertainment Technologies 2011*, 35-42. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3655529>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licensed under Article 25fa Copyright Act/Law \(Amendment Taverne\)](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3655529>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

THE GAMES FLICKRITES PLAY: AN INVESTIGATION OF FLICKR-BASED GAMING ACTIVITY WITH SPECIAL FOCUS ON OPPORTUNITIES FOR EXPLORATORY INTERACTION

Amalia Kallergi and Fons J. Verbeek

LIACS, Leiden University - Niels Bohrweg 1, 2333 CA Leiden, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

What kind of games do people play with digital images? To probe this question, we examine image-based gaming activity as exercised in the Flickr photo sharing system. Noticeably, a surprising number of user- moderated games are being played within the structure of Flickr groups. We provide an assessment of this activity and a first categorization of the exact types of games played: Recurrent themes are observed and discussed. Furthermore, we examine gaming activity in the scope of collection exploration, looking for opportunities that may stimulate or engage the player to explore an image collection. Being interested in playful interactions with images and in games as a platform for exploration, we question if the games played can support collection exploration. Potentially promising ideas as derived from the current Flickr gaming landscape are grouped, reported and discussed.

KEYWORDS

Flickr, games, image collection, collection exploration, playful interaction

1. INTRODUCTION: FLICKR AS A PLAYGROUND

An invitation to play is an invitation to explore. In the context of data collections, where a potential for discovery and a need to explore are substantial, exploration can benefit from a more playful and open-minded attitude of the (re)searcher. Technically, exploration relates more to undirected, open- ended information activities such as browsing and is often exercised as movement across information space. Precision is not always the key: Getting an impression of the collection as a whole, following related or connected items, landing at unexpected but useful items, establishing serendipitous connections between items can all trigger a feeling of discovery. In order to design interfaces that will challenge and engage the user to explore, we believe that ideas from play and games are worth exploiting. We observe that gaming can support both the relevant tasks, i.e. navigation, and the relevant emotional state, i.e. positive affect, for exploration (Kallergi and Verbeek, 2010b). Our research aligns with the increasing interest of the HCI community towards more playful interfaces: Currently, much attention is given to the potentials of applying gaming elements in different contexts including application software. Consider as an illustration the classic work of Malone (1982) pollinating HCI with gaming elements, recent studies on funology (Blythe, 2004) and fun in the interface and the numerous examples of serious games (Alvarez and Michaud, 2004), games with a purpose (von Ahn, 2006) and other game-like applications. We are particularly interested in the “gamification” of interfaces to image collections and in “gamification” as the means to promote collection exploration. To date, we have been implementing suitable gameplay for collection exploration (Kallergi and Verbeek, 2010a). Nevertheless, we find it useful to examine what lessons can be learned from existing practices in popular image collections and from existing games involving digital images. We hope to be able to extrapolate our findings to further support playful interactions with image collections.

For the researcher interested in interactions with image collections, Flickr is one of the obvious choices to study. Its popularity and success are phenomenal. Flickr is one of the major photo hosting and sharing systems and one of the top visited websites worldwide, with a large community of users and a vast collection of images. Official statistics are not available but Flickr is rumored to consist of over 30 millions of

registered accounts (Flickr: The Help Forum, 2009); the 5-billionth photo was reported on September 2010 (Flickr blog, 2010). More importantly, Flickr has been a fruitful and influential platform for social web practices, such as tagging, social networking and social browsing. Daily, a substantial number of users are uploading, annotating, browsing and commenting photos in Flickr, while a great deal of social interaction is taking place via features such as favorites, comments and groups.

Play and playfulness have a noticeable role in the overall interaction with Flickr. According to Velasco-Martin (2009), “one of Flickr's main strengths, beyond being highly usable, is that it is fun, since they were somehow capable of conserving its playfulness, which is key for its user participation”. Similarly, in “Flickr is a MMORPG” (cited in Fake, 2004), the commentator enumerates the striking similarities between a typical game and Flickr: “Flickr is inherently, down-to-its-bones about play. If you look at a list of the elements of a successful game, they are all present in Flickr: a sense of space to explore, a range of challenges, a range of abilities which can succeed [sic], the need for preparation and skill, a variable feedback system”. Taking a slightly different approach, Mäyrä (2008) analyzes Flickr as a game of *paidia*, i.e. as an activity of less competitive but playful and game-like behaviors to support online photo sharing.

If the core of Flickr's interaction is infested by such implied play, the platform is also associated with a number of explicit play activities that use the Flickr collection and system. In one hand, the Flickr API has allowed a considerable amount of programming activity for external applications that use the Flickr collection. Many of these applications are simple, image related games. External games are hosted and maintained by their developers and reside outside of the Flickr system. On the other hand, the platform itself, and specifically the format of groups, is extensively utilized for playing simple image games. This study will exclusively discuss gaming activity exercised within Flickr groups. Our interest in group gaming activity is two-fold: Firstly, groups are noteworthy community spaces and are often associated with entertainment (Stvilia and Jörgensen, 2007). Secondly, group games are initialized and moderated by Flickr users themselves. This allows for freedom and flexibility in setting up or adjusting the games, which we hope to provide us with a better insight into the needs and preferences of the players. Nevertheless, it is exciting to observe how members in a self-organizing system provide playful means to interact with the system.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows: An examination of the types of gaming activity exercised across Flickr groups and a first look into the exact types of games played is given in section 2. Reoccurring themes and distinguishing game concepts are identified and discussed. In section 3, we examine one particular “genre”, i.e. associative games, to contemplate on the potentials of gaming as exploration. Conclusions and points of discussion are given in section 4.

2. THE GAMES FLICKRITES PLAY

During the period September - November 2010, we reviewed a potentially relevant subset of Flickr groups and the gaming activity they employ. While manual and, consequently, only indicative, this examination verifies the extent of gaming activity taking place in the Flickr universe and provides us with a first yet informative look into the characteristics of this activity. The data examined in this section are available at <http://bio-imaging.liacs.nl/galleries/iadis2011/>.

2.1 Collecting and Examining Groups

Potentially relevant Flickr groups were retrieved by querying the Flickr interface for the terms 'flickr games', 'photo games' and 'games' with added restrictions. The ambiguity of the concept 'game' and the limitations of the Flickr search severely impaired the precision of our queries, the results of which required further manual filtering. Out of the overwhelming number of results, a maximum of 500 results per query were retrieved. Duplicate retrieved results, groups with insufficient number of members (≤ 1), non public groups and non English speaking groups were programmatically removed. Subsequently, groups with obviously irrelevant topics based on their title or description were manually removed. Examples of irrelevant topics encountered are sport events, toys, videogame screenshots, videogame conventions, game reserves and many more. After several manual filtering iterations, the original result set was reduced to 166 relevant groups for further analysis. Relevance of a group or activity was often assessed with respect to the intent of its initiator, i.e.

explicit reference to a game and its rules. All 166 groups make claims to some sort of gaming activity within their setting; however, their understanding of the concept of 'play' is varied.

The description texts of the examined groups in combination with their message boards suggest certain reoccurring themes. Specifically, it appears that most of the groups relate to any of the following themes:

1- Gaming as secondary activity: Groups of various topics may play games as threads in their message boards. These groups engage with unrelated to gaming topics (e.g. photos of boxer dogs or flowers) or communities (e.g. a group of Canberra based photographers) but provide or permit some entertaining diversion in the form of threaded games.

2- Gaming as core activity: A number of groups are specifically created for the purpose of playing "casual" games among their members. Several variations in the organization of these dedicated to gaming groups are possible. For example, dedicated groups can maintain collections of several known threaded games; such collection groups are often suggested as central hubs to play games otherwise scattered around Flickr. Other groups maintain multiple instances/threads of the same type of game in their message board. Finally, many dedicated groups are single instances of one game, played in the pool (image repository) of the group, rather than in the message board.

3- Gaming as contest: A number of groups are specifically created for the purpose of conducting image challenges and contests. Such activities are usually periodic, accompanied by strict posting rules and voting procedures and resolved with the announcement of a winning photo. A less formal variation of this theme is found in groups that require their members to award, rate, comment or favor images of other group members. While different in their organization, these groups also structure their play around rating the quality of one another's images.

4- External gaming activity: Groups may engage in games or playful activities that are photo-oriented but performed outside the Flickr system. Consider, for example, scavenger hunts that require players to shoot a list of given subjects in limited amount of time. In such cases, the group usually serves as a reference point for instruction and coordination and as a container for the submitted image entries.

Groups dedicated to "casual" games (theme 2), challenge/rate groups (theme 3) and container groups (theme 4) are all primarily engaged with playing with images and could be all filed as dedicated to gaming. Yet, we find useful to distinguish these varied understandings of play. External gaming activity, although potentially creative, will not be further discussed; focus is on games that utilize the existing Flickr database. Challenges and contests are labeled distinctively from "casual" games due to their particular focus on judging and voting. Gaming as secondary activity (theme 1), however, is a discrete theme and can be easily intertwined. For instance, there exist challenge groups that also play "casual" threaded games. For the purposes of this study, an exclusive classification of the groups is less important than observing the various functions and forms of playing as suggested by the users.

2.2 Collecting and Analyzing Types of Games Played

We further look into the types of "casual" games, played as either core or secondary activity. To collect games, we used all 10 groups that maintain game collections and 2x10 random groups with secondary gaming activity. The message boards of the groups were queried via the Flickr interface for the term 'game'. Again, the notion of game in the retrieved threads is not clear cut. Similarly to the various forms of play in groups, we encountered various activities such as challenges/contests or themed showcases among the results. In consistence with our earlier distinction, pure contests and themed threads as well as overt requests for comments were not considered for further inspection. Moreover, we found numerous purely word-based games, which are worth mentioning but are irrelevant to our study as they incorporate no images in their gameplay. Word games were excluded but image variants of those exact word games were retrieved.

According to our retrieved set, a number of popular threaded games tend to reoccur across groups. Table 1 provides a list of the most frequently played threaded games, presented under their generic names. In most cases, the exact same game appears under different names, e.g. the ABC game was found as the 'Alphabet game', the 'I am going on a picnic and I am bringing...' game, etc. In some cases, slight variations in the rules occur (e.g. count to 10 or to an ever increasing number) but the core of the game remains the same. A frequent variation, particularly in groups with secondary gaming activity, is to play known games with a subset of images relevant to the interests of the group, e.g. the "NJ- New Jersey" group plays known games

with New Jersey related images only. All these easily identifiable variants were filed under their generic names.

Table 1. Top- 10 most frequent threaded games filed under their generic names. Given frequency reflects the occurrences of the same game concept across multiple groups, not the number of game instances within the same group (can be more than 1). Collections: Groups dedicated to gaming that maintain collections of threaded games. Secondary: Groups that play threaded games as secondary activity

Generic name	Rules	Collections	Secondary (1)	Secondary (2)
ABC	Post an image whose subject starts with letter L, L increases alphabetically	7	6	6
I spy	Post an image that depicts a subject spied in the previous image	6	6	5
Person-above-you	Post your favorite image from the previous person's photostream	3	6	6
Photo association	Post an image that relates to the previous image	5	1	3
I request a...	Post an image that depicts the requested subject	4	1	1
Rainbow	Post an image with color C, C follows the rainbow	4	1	2
Count	Post an image that shows X items, X increases	3	1	3
Last letter	Post an image whose subject starts with letter L, L is the last letter of the previous subject	2	0	0
Spell shot	Post an image whose subject starts with letter L, L moves along the letters of a given word	1	1	1
Name my photo	Give a name/title to an image	1	1	2

A closer inspection of the collected threaded games reveals a rather limited range of game concepts. E.g. in both the ABC and the 'Last letter' game, the subject of the next image should start with a given letter. The two games differ in the way the next letter is produced, but, in both cases, the response is illustrative of a triggered subject. We attempt to capture such similarities in the core rules of the games by deriving a number of representative game concepts/genres. Our focus is on the function of images in the game: What is the player expected to do in respect to (an) image(s)? What is the contribution of a posted image in the progression of the game? Our proposed concepts are described in Table 2.

The proposed concepts were used to label all retrieved game threads (cf. Table 3). Also, we label the groups dedicated to one type of game (cf. section 2.1). Once again, our approach when applying genres is a deliberately image-centered one. For example, games labeled as associative require that the next move in the game is an image response to an image stimulus from the previous move. However, there exist word association games that ask the player to respond to a text stimulus with a text response accompanied by an image. Technically, the function of images in these games is illustrative. Still, it is difficult to assess the impact of the accompanying image on the response of the player: It is likely that, in the presence of an image, a player does not solely respond to a text even if supposed to.

Table 2. Proposed game genres/concepts, in alphabetical order. The verbs proposed are representative of the activity required by the player during the game and in respect to images

Category	Description	Examples
associate	Post an image response to the previous image post: Construct a chain of responses	Photo association , I spy
create	Produce images, mosaics, etc	Flickr-Wikipedia game
guess	Guess the subject of a given picture	Guess what? Guess where?
illustrate	Illustrate a (requested) subject, subjects produced by current or previous player	Count, Alphabet, Last Letter, I request a..., Opposites
reflect	Reflect on a posted image or on a photo-stream (note: simple feedback threads were excluded)	Person above you, Name my picture

Table 3. Games identified per concept. Collections: Groups dedicated to gaming that maintain collections of threaded games. Secondary: Groups that play threaded games as secondary activity. Single games: Groups dedicated to gaming that play one type of game only

Category	Collections	Secondary (1)	Secondary (2)	Single Games
associate	14	7	8	19
create	1	2	1	12
guess	1	3	2	20
illustrate	29	12	13	8
reflect	8	8	9	1
not categorized	6	2	1	2
total	59 games	34 games	34 games	62 groups

3. POTENTIALS FOR EXPLORATORY BEHAVIOR IN FLICKR GROUP GAMES: ASSOCIATIVE GAMES

In this section, we focus on the games identified as associative. Note that the underlying mechanism of associative games can be highly relevant to collection exploration: Establishing associations is often related to discovery and knowledge production. Moreover, associative games produce chains of images that are comparable to paths in information space and may be worth exploiting for collection exploration. Towards a closer examination of associative games, we study the game rules and message boards of the groups dedicated to one type of game labeled as associative. Groups dedicated to one type of game often use the entire pool as a game in progress. During this investigation, we use collection exploration as a yardstick to organize our observations into three topics of interest, namely chain construction, chain navigation and chain interpretation. Note that this examination is mainly an effort to harvest potentially promising ideas rather than an exhaustive study.

To begin with, let us examine the practices involved in the construction of a chain of images. Images played in a chain are predominantly taken from the player's own photostream. This may be dictated by the use of the pool (but threaded associative games tend to be played similarly) and may be a reasonable choice against copyright infringement. However, the possibility to encourage players to search or browse for images for the purposes of the game seems to be a missed one. Along a chain, the nature or type of the connection varies according to the focus of the group: Some groups value visual associations (color, shape, composition) while others value links based on the depicted subjects. The mood of a picture, or the emotions it triggers to the player may also comprise acceptable links. Non visual elements such as date, place and camera settings may or may not be accepted and so are other conceptual or intellectual links such as word jokes. Overall, players seem to contempt long chains of a very broad subject and a couple of groups explicitly aim for subtle or hard to identify links (cf. "Tenuous links" group"). Note that in the case of subtle links based on depicted content, minute subject details are captured and practically "zoomed" out in the next transition. Lively discussions on what comprises a successful link are frequent. Players also discuss their strategies for playing the game. Interestingly, players report having taken a picture particularly for the purposes of the game or for

future use in the game (cf. Table 4). Finally, it should be noted that the majority of image chains are linear: Links are established between two images only, i.e. between the new move and the last image in the pool. Yet, an intriguing exception was found in the “Matrix game” group which requires players to link their move to more than one images in the pool. Such experiments may allow for interesting topologies to emerge.

Table 4. Relevant quotes extracted from description texts and discussions held in the message boards of single game, associative groups (data accessed: 19-11-2010)

Topic of interest	Quotation
Deliberately shooting a move	<p>“Crossed a line yesterday by taking a photo just for Snap!” (source: http://www.flickr.com/groups/snap_game/discuss/36652/)</p> <p>“I haven't taken a picture for a specific link, but I do take pictures of things that I wouldn't ordinarily photograph because it might make a good link someday”</p> <p>“I haven't taken a picture for a specific link, but I have posted pictures to Flickr for a specific Tenuous Link.” (source: http://www.flickr.com/groups/association/discuss/72157603673979260/)</p>
Threads vs. pools	<p>“I definitely want to keep the links in the pool - I think it's easier to see the latest photos at a glance this way, rather than scrolling down a thread”, “I am against the putting photos in threads. Takes too much bandwidth” (source: http://www.flickr.com/groups/association/discuss/72157594512516387/)</p>
Comments vs. permanent elements	<p>“I agree that comments are a better form. There's more space to elucidate the association, and the comments can add to your tally for explore. Some people have hundreds of tags, so the comments would then be, at least, at the bottom and easy to find.”, “I'd prefer comments, too. I'm kind of picky about my tags” (source: http://www.flickr.com/groups/gameofphotoassociation/discuss/72057594097646962/)</p> <p>“I always delete notes that are added to my photos, I find them distracting.” (source: http://www.flickr.com/groups/free_association/discuss/72157613635786863/)</p>
Explanation vs. no explanation	<p>“Sometimes people have questioned what someone else's association is, and the discussion revealed all kinds of possible associations: different ones to different eyes”, “I want to put my own interpretation on the association. I would rather not be told” (source: http://www.flickr.com/groups/free_association/discuss/72157613635786863/)</p> <p>“I think if you had to explain the link there would be no fun in this game, either when you post a picture or when you ask yourself what link another poster had in mind” (source: http://www.flickr.com/groups/snap_game/discuss/72057594069503455/)</p>

Given an image chain, we look into the possible ways to browse or navigate the chain. As explained, dedicated associative games very often turn the complete pool into a chain in progress. This format may pose challenges for the players, who report difficulties in catching up with the last image, but provides more flexibility in accessing the chain. Members of pool-based games seem to be disapproving of long threads with thumbnails as used in threaded games. Pool-based chains are a noticeable example of using gaming as the mechanism to create accessible and interesting subsets of images. This mechanism is apparent in other types of gaming groups too, in particular contest groups that populate their pool with winning images only. However, in the case of associative games, the position of the image and, consequently, its context as

provided by its neighboring images are essential. As such, pool-based chains successfully demonstrate gaming as both a content and a context building mechanism. Moreover, using the pool for the needs of the game can lead to unexpected practical benefits such as the opportunity to use existing widgets for visualization and navigation: The default film strip navigation aid provided by Flickr is a representative example. Another, rather surprising, side effect is reported in (Tenuous Links, 2008): The default pagination and grid view of the pool resulted in serendipitous associations on the vertical dimension of the game.

Lastly, a frequent point of discussion among players is the use of explanations between moves. Several methods have been proposed to explain a connection between moves, such as adding a comment, tag, note or description text in the corresponding image. Generally speaking, players are reluctant to add permanent elements to their images and seem to prefer comments as explanations. More revealing, however, are the discussions whether an explanation should be provided at all. Opinions on this topic are divided and may depend of the focus of the group, e.g. visual, conceptual or subtle links. Explanations can be assisting in the case of difficult links and may provide a prevention mechanism against random posting (not connected images are deleted in most of the groups). Yet, in some cases, players seem to value the challenge of reconstructing an association and they perceive explanations as limiting to the imagination (cf. Table 4). Surprisingly, players report that they appreciate coming up with their own association, even if it is not the intended one. In our view, this may be a first step towards turning these chains into meaningful narratives.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This study attempts to crystallize aspects of gaming activity in Flickr groups. The work is indented as an exploratory study along the lines of “gamification” for collection exploration; automation was, therefore, not a priority. While our study is certainly subject to human bias, we trust that it provides a useful scouting of the Flickr ecosystem: A good understanding of the types of gaming activity and games encountered as well as of the vocabulary involved can be beneficial towards more automated investigations. A more robust system for group or game classification should be visible but a better way to gather a representative sample of groups from the Flickr database remains an issue. The ambiguity of the term 'game' not only impairs the retrieval of relevant results but also hinders the filtering process. In our case, it is plausible that groups excluded as irrelevant upon their description text do play threaded games as secondary activity as well.

Stvilia and Jörgensen (2007) discuss Flickr groups as community spaces providing opportunities for learning, for feedback and for entertainment via games and tournaments. Furthermore, Stvilia and Jörgensen relate games to “active” viewing of images and note that games can be used for “building context and telling a story of a place or a person”. Such an observation is particularly relevant to our research on appropriating games for playful and exploratory interactions with images. During our study, we tried to better understand the processes and conditions for such an effect to occur. In other words, we questioned how or when image games may support building context and, particularly, how game rules and game mechanics may affect this process. A significant observation we made is that pool-based associative games provide the means to populate a pool while indeed deliberately creating a neighboring context for each image. In fact, the example accompanying Stvilia and Jörgensen's remark on context and storytelling turns out to be what we would call a pool-based associative game. In associative games, context building is facilitated by both the rules (associate images in a linear fashion) and the chosen format and available visualization (pool). Further research is required in order to better understand the storytelling aspects of Flickr games. In particular, the function of text as a gaming element should be more carefully examined.

If games are to support a more exploratory interaction with an image collection, associative games are a considerable genre to implement. Associative games provide a mechanism to construct potentially meaningful chains of images with meaning being captured in the connections across images instead of the content or metadata of a single image. Such “interrelations” are user-generated and may have a high degree of serendipity: Players respond to stimuli (i.e. previous image) in a creative way and reconsider their own collections in relation to the pending image. Eventually, these trails of player activity should be accessible to traverse by both players and future viewers. To that end, efficient visualizations and usable navigation aids are of particular importance. Finally, it is worth noticing that chains produced via associative games differ from thematically defined galleries as they require the viewer to decode the connection between two moves. This may call for a more active look into the images in question and can potentially result in even more

associations being made. A surprising observation we made is that players often value ambiguity and the challenge to reconstruct an association. This process is extremely relevant in the context of collection exploration, particularly while traversing interconnected information points. Moreover, we believe that taking advantage of ambiguity as a source of creativity can be a useful strategy towards more exploratory and playful interfaces. Such a potential has been prominent in the concept of ludic interfaces as discussed by Gaver (2003, 2009). In a sense, ambiguity can be playful for it introduces opportunities for new usages and interpretations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is partially supported by the Cyttron consortium (BSIK grant).

REFERENCES

- von Ahn, L., 2006. Games with a purpose. *Computer*, Vol. 39, Issue 6, pp. 92-94.
- Alvarez, J. and Michaud, L., 2008. *Serious Games: Advergaming, edugaming, training and more*. [technical report] IDATE. Available at :<http://www.ludoscience.com/files/ressources/EtudeIDATE08_UK.pdf> [Accessed 11 March 2011].
- Blythe, M.A. et al., eds, 2004. *Funology: from usability to enjoyment*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwell, USA.
- Fake Caterina, 2004. The Blogs and Pundits Speak. *Flickr Blog*, [blog] 28 December. Available at: <<http://blog.flickr.net/en/2004/12/28/the-blogs-and-pundits-speak/>> [Accessed 11 March 2011].
- Flickr: The Help Forum, 2009. [Closed] how many flickr' users?!?. *Flickr: The Help Forum*. [online] 19 May 2009. Available at: <<http://www.flickr.com/help/forum/en-us/97258/>> [Accessed 11 March 2011].
- Gaver, W, Beaver, J. and Benford, S., 2003. Ambiguity as a resource for design. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems*. Florida, USA, pp 233-240.
- Gaver, W., 2009. Designing for Homo Ludens, Still. In: T. Binder et al., eds. *(Re)searching the Digital Bauhaus*. London: Springer, pp. 163-178.
- Kallergi, A. and Verbeek, F.J., 2010a. Onto-Frogger: the making of. In: *NordiCHI '10: Proceedings of the 6th Nordic Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*. Reykjavik, Iceland, pp. 691-694.
- Kallergi, A. and Verbeek, F.J., 2010b. Video Games for Collection Exploration: Games for and out of Data Repositories. In: *Proceedings of the 14th International Academic MindTrek Conference*. Tampere, Finland, pp. 143-146.
- Malone, T.W., 1982. Heuristics for designing enjoyable user interfaces: Lessons from computer games. In: *CHI '82: Proceedings of the 1982 conference on Human factors in computing systems*. Maryland, USA, pp. 63-68.
- Mäyrä, F., 2008. Play in the Mobile Internet: Towards Contextual Gaming. [online]. Available at: <http://www.uta.fi/~frans.mayra/Mayra_Contextual_Gaming_IR9-0.pdf> [Accessed 11 March 2011].
- Sheppard Zack, 2010. 5,000,000,000 . *Flickr Blog*, [blog] 19 September. Available at: <<http://blog.flickr.net/en/2010/09/19/5000000000/>> [Accessed 11 March 2011].
- Stvilia, B. and Jörgensen, C., 2007. End-user collection building behavior in Flickr. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, Vol. 44, Issue 1, pp. 1-20.
- Tenuous Links, 2008. Multidimensional links. *Tenuous Links Discussion*. [online] 21 May 2008. Available at: <<http://www.flickr.com/groups/association/discuss/72157605183108160/>> [Accessed 11 March 2011].
- Velasco-Martin, J., 2009. Sharing Photos on the Web: Flickr style, *Hipertext.net*, [online]. Available at: <<http://www.hipertext.net/english/pag1038.htm>> [Accessed 11 March 2011].