

Cortical contributions to cognitive control of language and beyond: evidence from functional connectivity profiles of the inferior parietal cortex and cognitive control-related resting state networks Tabassi Mofrad, F.

Citation

Tabassi Mofrad, F. (2023, October 12). *Cortical contributions to cognitive control of language and beyond: evidence from functional connectivity profiles of the inferior parietal cortex and cognitive control-related resting state networks. LOT dissertation series.* LOT, Amsterdam. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3643667

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the</u> <u>Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3643667

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cortical contributions to cognitive control of language and beyond

Evidence from functional connectivity profiles of the inferior parietal cortex and cognitive control-related resting state networks

Published by LOT Binnengasthuisstraat 9 1012 ZA Amsterdam The Netherlands

phone: +31 20 525 2461

e-mail: lot@uva.nl http://www.lotschool.nl

ISBN: 978-94-6093-437-7 DOI: https://dx.medra.org/10.48273/LOT0653 NUR: 616

Copyright © 2023: Fatemeh Tabassi Mofrad. All rights reserved.

Cortical contributions to cognitive control of language and beyond

Evidence from functional connectivity profiles of the inferior parietal cortex and cognitive control-related resting state networks

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van rector magnificus Prof. dr. ir. H. Bijl, volgens besluit van het college voor promoties te verdedigen op donderdag 12 oktober 2023 klokke 11.15 uur

door

Fatemeh Tabassi Mofrad

geboren te Tehran, Iran in 1979 Promotor: Co-promotor: Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. N. O. Schiller Dr. J. Witteman Prof. dr. M. P. G. M. Mous Dr. M. Parafita Couto Prof. dr. N. F. Ramsey (University Medical Center Utrecht) Prof. dr. H. Soltanian-Zadeh (University of Tehran) Prof. dr. G. Thierry (Bangor University) Blessed is He in Whose Hand is the Kingdom

Dedicated wholeheartedly to B. A. A. ${}_{\rm (AG)}$

Contents

Ackno	wledgen	nents	xv
Article	s in this	dissertation	n
1. Ge	neral ir	ntroduction	n1
1.1.	Cogniti	ve control	of language in bilinguals
1.2.	Neural	mechanism	as of cognitive control of language 4
1.3.	Scope of	of the prese	ent study
1.4.	Metho	dology	
	1.4.1.	Participan	ts
	1.4.2.	Quick pla	cement test
	1.4.3.	Picture na	ming experiments 8
	1.4.4.	Procedure	
	1.4.5.	Neuroima	ging methods
		1.4.5.1.	Multiband task based fMRI10
		1.4.5.2.	Multiband resting state fMRI 10
	1.4.6.	fMRI data	analyses
		1.4.6.1.	Psychophysiological interaction (PPI) analyses
		1.4.6.2.	Independent components analyses (ICA)11
		1.4.6.3.	Dual regression
1.5.	Outline	e of the diss	ertation 12
Refere	nces		

2. D	Dual function of primary somatosensory cortex in cognitive ontrol of language: evidence from resting state fMRI 23
Abstr	act
2.1. 2.2.	Introduction26Experimental procedures292.2.1. Participants292.2.2. Stimuli292.2.3. Language switching task312.2.4 Resting state fMRL acquisition31
2.3.	Data analysis 32 2.3.1. Behavioral data analysis 32 2.3.2. Pre-processing of resting state images 33 2.3.3. Functional connectivity analyses 33
2.4.	Results342.4.1.Behavioral data342.4.2.Independent components analysis362.4.3.Dual regression38
2.5. Refer	Discussion
3. C ir	ognitive demand modulates connectivity patterns of rostral nferior parietal cortex in cognitive control of language 55
Abstr 3.1. 3.2.	act 57 Introduction 58 Methods 62 3.2.1. Participants 62 3.2.2. Stimuli 63 3.2.3. Procedure 64 3.2.4. fMRI data acquisition 66

viii

3.3.	Data analysis	66
	3.3.1. Behavioral data analysis	66
	3.3.2. Pre-processing of fMRI data	67
	3.3.3. Psychophysiological interaction (PPI) analysis	67
3.4.	Results	68
	3.4.1. Behavioral data	68
	3.4.2. PPI results	70
	3.4.2.1. PPI results from switching to L1	70
	3.4.2.2. PPI results from switching to L2	72
3.5.	Discussion	74
	3.5.1. Switching to L1	75
	3.5.2. Switching to L2	78
	3.5.3. Laterality differences	79
3.6.	Conclusion	80
Refere	rences	82
4. M	Iapping caudal inferior parietal cortex supports the hypot	hesis
ał	bout a modulating cortical area	95
ał	bout a modulating cortical area	95
ak Abstra	bout a modulating cortical area	95
at Abstr: 4.1.	bout a modulating cortical area	95 97 98
ak Abstr: 4.1. 4.2.	bout a modulating cortical area	97 98 .100
at Abstra 4.1. 4.2.	bout a modulating cortical area	95 97 98 .100 100
ak Abstr: 4.1. 4.2.	bout a modulating cortical area	95 97 98 100 100 101
ab Abstr: 4.1. 4.2.	bout a modulating cortical area Introduction ract Introduction Methods 4.2.1. Participants 4.2.2. Stimuli 4.2.3. Procedure 4.2.4.	95 97 98 .100 100 101 .103
ak Abstra 4.1. 4.2.	bout a modulating cortical area	95 97 98 100 100 101 103 104
ak Abstr: 4.1. 4.2. 4.3.	bout a modulating cortical area Introduction ract Introduction Methods 4.2.1. Participants 4.2.2. Stimuli 4.2.3. Procedure 4.2.4. fMRI data acquisition 5. Data analysis 5.	95 97 98 100 100 101 103 104 105
ak Abstra 4.1. 4.2. 4.3.	bout a modulating cortical area Introduction ract Introduction Methods 4.2.1. Participants 4.2.2. Stimuli 4.2.3. Procedure 4.2.4. fMRI data acquisition 4.3.1. Behavioral data analysis 4.3.2.	95 97 98 100 100 101 103 104 105 105 105
ak Abstr: 4.1. 4.2. 4.3.	bout a modulating cortical area Introduction introduction Methods Methods 4.2.1. Participants 4.2.2. Stimuli 4.2.3. Procedure 4.2.4. fMRI data acquisition 1. Data analysis 4.3.1. Behavioral data analysis 4.3.2. Pre-processing of fMRI data 1. 4.3.3. Psychophysiological interaction (PPI) analysis	95 97 98 100 100 101 103 104 105 105 105
ak Abstra 4.1. 4.2. 4.3.	bout a modulating cortical area Introduction ract Introduction Methods 4.2.1. 4.2.2. Stimuli 4.2.3. Procedure 4.2.4. fMRI data acquisition Data analysis 4.3.1. Behavioral data analysis 4.3.2. Pre-processing of fMRI data 4.3.3. Psychophysiological interaction (PPI) analysis 4.3.3.	95 97 98 100 100 101 103 104 105 105 105 106
ak Abstra 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4.	bout a modulating cortical area Introduction ract Introduction Methods 4.2.1. Participants 4.2.2. Stimuli 4.2.3. Procedure 4.2.4. fMRI data acquisition 5. Data analysis 4.3.1. Behavioral data analysis 4.3.2. Pre-processing of fMRI data 5. 4.3.3. Psychophysiological interaction (PPI) analysis A 1 Behavioral data	95 97 98 100 100 101 103 104 105 105 106 106 106
ak Abstr: 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4.	bout a modulating cortical area Introduction ract Introduction Methods 4.2.1. 4.2.2. Stimuli 4.2.3. Procedure 4.2.4. fMRI data acquisition Data analysis 4.3.1. Behavioral data analysis 4.3.2. Pre-processing of fMRI data 4.3.3. Psychophysiological interaction (PPI) analysis 5.1. Results 4.4.1. Behavioral data 4.4.2.	95 97 98 .100 .100 .101 .103 104 .105 .105 106 106 106
ak Abstra 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4.	bout a modulating cortical area Introduction match Introduction Methods Methods 4.2.1. Participants 4.2.2. Stimuli 4.2.3. Procedure 4.2.4. fMRI data acquisition Data analysis A.3.1. Behavioral data analysis A.3.2. Pre-processing of fMRI data A.3.3. Psychophysiological interaction (PPI) analysis A.4.1. Behavioral data A.4.2. PPI results A.4.2.	95 97 98 .100 .100 .101 .103 .104 .105 .105 .105 .106 .106 106 108
ak Abstr: 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4.	bout a modulating cortical area Introduction methods Methods 4.2.1. Participants 4.2.2. Stimuli 4.2.2. Stimuli 4.2.3. Procedure 4.2.4. fMRI data acquisition 4.3.1. Behavioral data analysis 4.3.1. Behavioral data analysis 4.3.2. Pre-processing of fMRI data 4.3.3. Psychophysiological interaction (PPI) analysis 4.4.1. Behavioral data 4.4.1. Behavioral data 4.4.2. PPI results from switching to L1 4.4.2.1. PPI results from switching to L1 4.4.2.2	95 97 98 .100 .100 .101 .103 .104 .105 .105 .105 .106 .106 106 108 108 108

ix

		4.4.2.3.	Sensitivity analyses of PPI results	
			from switching to L111	14
		4.4.2.4.	Sensitivity analyses of PPI results	
			from switching to L2 11	15
4.5.	Discus	sion		17
	4.5.1.	The high	ly lateralized functional connectivity of	
		the cauda	ıl IPC	8
	4.5.2.	Similar ca	audal IPC functional connectivity in	
		switching	g to L1 and L2	9
	4.5.3.	Different	caudal IPC functional connectivity in	
		switching	g to L1 and L2	21
	4.5.4.	Modulati	ng function of the caudal IPC	24
4.6.	Conclu	ision		25
Refere	ences			27
5. C	onnectiv	vity profil	e of middle inferior parietal cortex confirm	ıs
th	e hypot	hesis abor	ut modulating cortical areas	35
Abstr	act			37
5.1.	Introdu	action) O
5.2.	Metho	ds		00
	5.2.1.	Dentiaine	14	80
		Participal	nts	58 10 10
	5.2.2.	Stimuli .		58 10 10 11
	5.2.2. 5.2.3.	Stimuli . Procedur	nts	58 40 40 41 41
	5.2.2. 5.2.3. 5.2.4.	Stimuli . Procedur fMRI dat	nts	58 40 40 41 41 41
5.3.	5.2.2. 5.2.3. 5.2.4. Data an	Stimuli Procedur fMRI dat nalysis	nts	58 40 40 41 41 41 42 42
5.3.	5.2.2. 5.2.3. 5.2.4. Data au 5.3.1.	Stimuli Procedur fMRI dat nalysis Behavior	14 14	58 40 40 41 41 41 42 42 42
5.3.	5.2.2. 5.2.3. 5.2.4. Data a: 5.3.1. 5.3.2.	Stimuli Procedur fMRI dat nalysis Behavior Pre-proce	Its	58 40 40 41 41 41 42 42 42
5.3.	5.2.2. 5.2.3. 5.2.4. Data an 5.3.1. 5.3.2. 5.3.3.	Stimuli Procedur fMRI dat nalysis Behavior Pre-proce Psychopł	14 14 re 14 ra acquisition 14	58 40 40 41 41 42 42 42 42 43
5.3. 5.4.	5.2.2. 5.2.3. 5.2.4. Data a: 5.3.1. 5.3.2. 5.3.3. Results	Stimuli Procedur fMRI dat nalysis Behavior Pre-proce Psychoph	Its	58 40 40 41 41 41 42 42 42 42 43 44
5.3. 5.4.	5.2.2. 5.2.3. 5.2.4. Data an 5.3.1. 5.3.2. 5.3.3. Results 5.4.1.	Stimuli Procedur fMRI dat nalysis Behavior Pre-proce Psychoph Behavior	Its 14	58 40 40 41 41 42 42 42 42 43 44
5.3. 5.4.	5.2.2. 5.2.3. 5.2.4. Data ar 5.3.1. 5.3.2. 5.3.3. Results 5.4.1. 5.4.2.	Stimuli Procedur fMRI dat nalysis Behavior Pre-proce Psychoph S Behavior PPI resul	Ints 14	58 40 40 41 41 42 42 42 42 43 44 45
5.3. 5.4.	5.2.2. 5.2.3. 5.2.4. Data an 5.3.1. 5.3.2. 5.3.3. Results 5.4.1. 5.4.2.	Stimuli Procedur fMRI dat nalysis Behavior Pre-proce Psychoph s Behavior PPI resul 5.4.2.1.	Ints 14	58 40 41 41 41 42 42 42 42 43 44 45 45

х

5.5. Refere	Discus: nces	sion	
6. Di co:	stinct of the st	connectivi	ity patterns in clusters of inferior parietal
Abstra	ct		
6.1.	Introdu	uction	
6.2.	Contril	outions of a	rostral IPC to cognitive control
6.3.	Unique	connectiv	ity profile of caudal IPC 168
6.4.	Connee	ctivity prof	ile of middle IPC 171
6.5.	Conclu	sion	
Refere	nces		
7. Ge	eneral d	iscussion	
7.1.	Summa	ary of the f	indings
	7.1.1.	Individua	l differences in cognitive control
		7.1.1.1.	Primary somatosensory cortex and
			cognitive control
	7.1.2.	Contribut	ions of rostral IPC to cognitive control 185
		7.1.2.1.	Connectivity profile of rostral IPC
			in switching to L1
		7.1.2.2.	Connectivity profile of rostral IPC
			in switching to L2
		7.1.2.3.	Connectivity profile of rostral IPC
			modulated by cognitive demand 187
	7.1.3.	Unique co	onnectivity profile of caudal IPC
		7.1.3.1.	Lateral connectivity patterns of
			caudal IPC
		7.1.3.2.	Similar connectivity profiles of caudal IPC
			in switching to L1 and L2
		7.1.3.3.	Different connectivity profiles of caudal IPC
			in switching to L1 and L2
		7.1.3.4.	Modulating function of caudal IPC 190

xi

7.1.4. Connectivity profile of middle IPC
7.2. Integration of findings
7.3. Limitations and future research
7.4. Conclusion
References
List of Figures
List of Tables
Samenvatting in het Nederlands 211
Curriculum vitae

xii

Acknowledgements

This PhD with the focus on Cognitive Neuroscience, followed my first PhD in Applied Linguistics, which equipped me with years of experience in research and a high level of independence. At the same time, there are definitely certain individuals to thank for. To begin with, I would like to thank Prof. dr. Niels Schiller, my promotor, with whom I did this PhD. In particular, I would like to thank him for his flexible research attitudes which let me focus on the research themes that I love, to develop my knowledge.

It was toward the end of this PhD program that Dr. Witteman was appointed as the co-supervisor (co-promotor), to meet the official requirements. Unfortunately, that did not provide room for his involvement in the research outputs from my PhD project – I would like to thank him for his time in reading my dissertation.

I would like to thank the respected members of the PhD committee for the time they invested in reading my dissertation, and for the valuable assessment of my dissertation. Besides, I would like to thank the Board of the Faculty of Social and Behavioral Sciences (FSW) of Leiden University for covering the costs related to the use of the MRI scanner in the Leiden University Medical Center. I would also like to thank the contact team of Leiden Institute for Brain and Cognition for arranging fMRI data acquisition sessions and I would like to thank all my research participants.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my dear family members, the support of whom are beyond words. On top of the pyramid is my precious mother whose love I have seen and I have felt everywhere and whose prayer has always accompanied me - I adore her forever. I would like to thank my father, my sister and brother, and my brother-in-law, in particular, for their patience, for all the years that I have lived so far from them.

Articles in this dissertation

This dissertation is based on the following research articles:

Tabassi Mofrad, F., Jahn, A., & Schiller, N. O. (2020). Dual function of primary somatosensory cortex in cognitive control of language: evidence from resting state fMRI. *Neuroscience*, 446, 59-68. [Chapter 2]

Tabassi Mofrad, F., & Schiller, N. O. (2020). Cognitive demand modulates connectivity patterns of rostral inferior parietal cortex in cognitive control of language. *Cognitive Neuroscience*, *11*(4), 181–193. [Chapter 3]

Tabassi Mofrad, F., & Schiller, N. O. (2022). Mapping caudal inferior parietal cortex supports the hypothesis about a modulating cortical area. *NeuroImage*, 259, 119441. [Chapter 4]

Tabassi Mofrad, F., & Schiller, N. O. (2023). Connectivity profile of middle inferior parietal cortex confirms the hypothesis about modulating cortical areas. *Neuroscience, 519*, 1-9. [Chapter 5]

Tabassi Mofrad, F., & Schiller, N. O. (under review). Distinct connectivity patterns in clusters of inferior parietal cortex. [Chapter 6]