



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Algorithm selection and configuration for Noisy Intermediate Scale Quantum methods for industrial applications

Moussa, C.

Citation

Moussa, C. (2023, October 11). *Algorithm selection and configuration for Noisy Intermediate Scale Quantum methods for industrial applications*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3643423>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3643423>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Algorithm selection and configuration for Noisy Intermediate Scale Quantum methods for industrial applications

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 11 oktober 2023
klokke 10:00 uur

door

Charles Moussa
geboren te Saint-Claude, Guadeloupe, France
in 1993

Promotores:

Dr. V. Dunjko

Prof.dr. T.H.W. Bäck

Promotiecomissie:

Prof.dr. A. Laat

Prof.dr. M.M. Bonsangue

Prof.dr. H. Trautman (University of Münster - University of Twente)

Dr. H. Wang

Dr. M. Möller (Delft University of Technology)

To my dear family and friends.

“Great things are done by a series of small things brought together.”

Vincent Van Gogh, 1882

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Contributions	2
1.3	Author's Contributions	4
2	Background	5
2.1	Quantum computing basics: the gate-based model	5
2.2	Parameterized quantum circuits - Variational quantum algorithms . .	9
2.3	Ground state problems in quantum chemistry	11
2.4	Combinatorial Optimization	12
2.5	Machine Learning	14
3	Towards algorithm selection in NISQ era	19
3.1	Introduction	20
3.2	Background	23
3.3	Performances on 4-regular graphs	25
3.4	Characterizing QAOA advantage	30
3.5	Discussion	37
4	Unsupervised strategies for setting optimal parameters in Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm	43
4.1	Introduction	44
4.2	Background	45
4.3	Revisiting the concentration property	46
4.4	Clustering-based (unsupervised) learning for angles	50
4.5	Demonstration with RQAOA	59
4.6	Discussion	62

Contents

5	Tabu-driven quantum neighborhood samplers	65
5.1	Introduction	66
5.2	Background	67
5.3	Tabu-driven QAOA sampling	68
5.4	Simulations	73
5.5	Conclusion and outlook	82
6	Performance comparison of optimization methods on variational quantum algorithms	85
6.1	Introduction	86
6.2	VQE methods	86
6.3	Optimizers and systems considered	87
6.4	Hyperparameter tuning results	88
6.5	The sampling noise floor	89
6.6	Conclusion	92
7	Hyperparameter Importance of Quantum Neural Networks Across Small Datasets	95
7.1	Introduction	96
7.2	Background	96
7.3	Methods	99
7.4	Dataset and inclusion criteria	102
7.5	Results	103
7.6	Conclusion	106
8	Resource frugal optimizer for quantum machine learning	109
8.1	Introduction	110
8.2	Background	111
8.3	Framework	115
8.4	Unbiased estimators for gradients of QML losses	123
8.5	The Refoqus optimizer	131
8.6	Convergence Guarantees	132
8.7	Numerical Results	134
8.8	Discussion	137
9	Conclusions and outlook	139
	Bibliography	143

Samenvatting

Summary

Acknowledgements

About the author

Contents
