

# The bone and cartilage interplay in osteoarthritis: key to effective treatment strategy

Tuerlings, M.

### Citation

Tuerlings, M. (2023, September 27). *The bone and cartilage interplay in osteoarthritis: key to effective treatment strategy*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3642518

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral</u> <u>thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University</u> <u>of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3642518

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



## CHAPTER 8

### Capturing essential physiological aspects of interacting cartilage and bone tissue with osteoarthritis pathophysiology - a human osteochondral unit-on-a-chip model

Margo Tuerlings<sup>1\*</sup>, Ilja Boone<sup>1\*</sup>, Hossein Eslami Amirabadi<sup>2</sup>, Michelle A.M. Vis<sup>3</sup>, H. Eka D. Suchiman<sup>1</sup>, Enrike H.M.J. van der Linden<sup>4</sup>, Sandra Hofmann<sup>3</sup>, Rob G.H.H. Nelissen<sup>4</sup>, Jaap M.J. den Toonder<sup>2†</sup>, Yolande F.M. Ramos<sup>1†</sup>, Ingrid Meulenbelt<sup>1†</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dept. of Biomedical Data Sciences, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands.

 $^{\rm 2}$  Center for proteomics and metabolomics , Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup> Dept. Orthopaedics Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands.

\* contributed equally to this work

\* shared last

Advanced materials technologies, volume 7, issue 8, August 2022, pages 789-799 DOI: 10.1002/admt.202101310

#### Abstract

Given the multi-tissue aspects of osteoarthritis (OA) pathophysiology, translation of OA susceptibility genes towards underlying biological mechanism and eventually drug target discovery requires appropriate human *in vitro* OA models that incorporate both functional bone and cartilage tissue units. Therefore, we developed a microfluidic chip with an integrated fibrous polycaprolactone (PCL) matrix in which neo-bone and cartilage is produced, that could serve as a tailored human in vitro disease model of the osteochondral unit of joints. The model enables to evaluate OA related environmental perturbations to (individual) tissue units by controlling environmental cues, for example by adding biochemical agents. After establishing the co-culture in the system. a layer of cartilaginous matrix was deposited in the chondrogenic compartment, while a bone-like matrix appeared to be deposited between the PCL fibers, indicated by both histology and gene expression levels of collagen type 2 (COL2A1) and osteopontin (OPN), respectively. As proof-of-principle, the bone and cartilaginous tissue were exposed to active thyroid hormone (T3), creating an age-related OA disease model. This resulted in increased expression levels of hypertrophy markers integrin binding sialoprotein (IBSP) and alkaline phosphatase (ALPL) in both cartilage and bone, as expected. Altogether, this model could contribute to enhanced translation from OA risk genes towards novel OA therapies.

#### Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is an age-related degenerative joint disease, affecting more than 10% of the population over the age of 60 years [1-3]. The OA pathophysiological process is characterized by structural changes in both cartilage and the subchondral bone, including cartilage degeneration, subchondral bone thickening and osteophyte formation. In absence of effective disease modifying treatments, OA puts high social and economic burden on society [4]. OA has a considerable genetic component and many studies have been performed highlighting involvement of OA susceptibility The function of these genes merely involving maintenance processes in both bone and cartilage, confirm that aberrant molecular crosstalk between articular cartilage and subchondral bone plays an essential role in the initiation and progression of OA [5-8]. Furthermore, by applying molecular profiling of human OA articular cartilage, it has been consistently shown that activated articular chondrocytes with OA pathophysiology lose their healthy maturational arrested state and recapitulated an hypertrophic growth plate morphology with associated debilitating gene expressions [9, 10]. To reliably mimic OA related chondrocyte hypertrophy, we recently showed that active thyroid hormone (Triiodothyronine, T3) could serve as a reliable trigger to induce OA related chondrocyte hypertrophy, marked by increased expression levels of ALPL, RUNX2, and *COL10A1* [11-13], and eventually to the formation of bone [14, 15].

Given the multi-tissue function, translation of strong OA risk genes towards underlying biological mechanism, and eventually drug target discovery and testing requires appropriate human *in vitro* OA models that incorporate both functional bone and cartilage tissue units [16]. Such multi-tissue models require microfluidic tissue-on-chip systems that allow controllable automated flow in the different tissue compartment i.e. for culturing of chondrocytes and osteogenic cells separately in their preferred medium but in close contact with each other. Moreover, microfluidic chip technology allows OA related environmental perturbations to (individual) tissue units by adding e.g. biochemical cues such as unbeneficial metabolites, cytokines, or factors inducing hypertrophy [13]. Up until now, available microfluidic model systems mimicking osteochondral interaction are, however, hydrogel-based [17-20], while ideally biological extracellular matrix (ECM) can be studied on top of cartilage and subchondral bone gene expression data.

To this end we have developed a dual-tissue microfluidic device, that allows faithful engineering of functional interacting neo-cartilage and neo-bone tissues readily deposited by human primary osteogenic cells and human primary articular chondrocytes (hPACs) from patients that underwent joint replacement surgery due to OA (RAAK-study) [21]. Deposition of ECM by the primary cells was compared to our

previously described 3D cell pellet culture model, which is epigenetically highly similar to autologous tissue [22]. As proof-of-principle, we evaluated whether we could mimic the dysfunctional adaptation processes of hypertrophic chondrocytes in our model, by exposing the system to T3. Henceforth, osteochondral unit-on-a-chip model could serve as reliable biomimetic model to study tissue repair and regenerative capacity during OA.

#### Results

#### Microfluidic chip design

To allow engineering of functional interacting neo-cartilage and neo-bone tissues, a microfluidic chip was designed consisting of two channels that were separated by an electrospun polycaprolactone (PCL) matrix with a well-like structure on top of it. As shown in **Figure 1A**, the PCL matrix consists of a microfiber layer with thickness 190.1  $\pm$  30.58 µm, fibre diameters of 8.60  $\pm$  0.97 µm, and pore-sizes of 25.51  $\pm$  12.37 µm (Figure 1B and Figure 1C), and a nanofiber layer, with fibre diameters of  $0.74 \pm 0.55$  $\mu$ m and pore-sizes of 2.14 ± 1.14  $\mu$ m (Figure 1B and Figure 1C). The microfiber layer served as a scaffold to seed and culture primary osteogenic cells, while the nanofiber layer will separate the primary osteogenic cells from the hPACs and prevent their migration to the other compartment. hPACs inherently prone to deposit high-quality cartilaginous tissue were seeded and cultured in high density in the well-like structure. Upon culturing primary osteogenic cells and hPACs for 28 and 21 days (Supplementary Figure 1), respectively, we harvested the constructs from the microfluidic chips and performed histology or we separated the two compartments for RT-qPCR. To determine the optimal time between media refreshment of the system to keep the chondro- and osteogenic media separate, we performed diffusion experiments using Dextran. Dextran was injected in the chondrogenic channel and after approximately 60 min fluorescence was measured in the osteogenic channel (Supplementary Figure 2).

#### Gene expression analyses

Quality of chondrogenic and osteogenic matrix deposited in the chip was studied by means of RT-qPCR of cartilage markers *COL2A1* (encoding collagen type 2) and *ACAN* (encoding aggrecan) and bone markers *OPN* (encoding osteopontin), *RUNX2* (encoding RUNX Family Transcription Factor 2), and *COL1A1* (encoding collagen type 1), in comparison to our established 3D *in vitro* pellet culture model [22] of the same donors (N=3-4 donors, **Supplementary Table 1A**). Moreover, we included gene expression data of our previously assessed RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) datasets of autologous preserved bone and cartilage of patients that underwent a joint replacement surgery due to OA (**Supplementary Table 1B**) [9, 23]. As shown in **Figure 2A**, we observed similar expression levels of *COL2A1* and *ACAN* between the chondrogenic compartment of the



**Figure 1 – Osteochondral unit-on-a-chip model system.** (A) Schematic overview of the design of the microfluidic chip (blue: chondrogenic channel, pink: osteogenic channel, purple: co-culture compartment). (B) Scanning electron microscopy pictures of the PCL electrospun matrix, with microfibers (bottom) and nanofibers (top). The white scalebar indicates 100 µm. (C) Quantification of diameters and pore sizes of microfibers and nanofibers using the Quanta 600F ESEM software.

chip and the chondrocyte pellet cultures. Moreover, when comparing the chondrogenic to the osteogenic compartment, we observed higher expression of *COL2A1* (FC=9.0) in the chondrogenic compartment, which was in line with the 3D pellet cultures and RNA-seq data. As shown in **Figure 2B**, we observed similar expression levels of *RUNX2* and *OPN* between the osteogenic compartment of the chip and the osteogenic cell pellet cultures. Upon comparing the osteogenic compartment with the chondrogenic compartment, we observed higher expression of *RUNX2* (FC=3.6) and *OPN* (FC=8.4) (**Figure 2B**). Notably, *COL1A1* did not show similar expression levels between the chip and pellet cultures, as



well as consistent differences between the osteogenic and chondrogenic compartment. These gene expression levels suggest that high-quality neo-bone and neo-cartilage matrix was deposited in our microfluidic model system after 28 days.

#### Neo-bone and cartilage matrix deposition

As shown in **Figure 3A**, a general Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) histological staining of the complete chip indicated the presence of two tissue types in the model system, a dense cartilage-like matrix with a relatively high nuclei count on top of loose bonelike matrix. The matrix deposition in the osteochondral unit-on-a-chip model was assessed using several bone and cartilage stainings. Despite the fact that there was not a significant difference in ACAN expression levels between the chondrogenic and osteogenic compartment, we observed more intense Alcian Blue staining in the chondrogenic compartment, indicating higher glycosaminoglycan (GAG) content. The Alizarin Red staining showed calcium deposits at multiple locations of the osteogenic compartment of the chip, but not in the neo-cartilage (Figure 3C). This is in line with the more intense staining of bone marker OPN in the osteogenic compartment compared to the chondrogenic compartment (FC=1.48, P= $6.6 \times 10^{-2}$ , Figure 3D). Both osteogenic staining suggest inhomogeneous distribution of cells throughout the matrix. Notably, most mineralization took place in the surface area of the bone matrix. As shown in **Figure 3E**, we observed COL2A1 staining in both compartments (FC=1.05,  $P=1.26 \times 10^{-1}$ ), however, the staining appeared to be more structured (indicated by the arrow) in the chondrogenic compartment. Together, the gene expression findings and histology suggest the formation of two individual layers of cartilage- and bone likematrix separated by the nanofiber PCL matrix.

#### Implementation of an age-related disease model

To evaluate whether our biomimetic model can be used to study the effects of OArelated changes, we exposed both the chondrogenic and osteogenic compartments of our microfluidic chip to hypertrophy-inducing thyroid hormone T3, for 5 consecutive days (N=5 donors, **Supplementary Table 1B**). Effects were determined by measuring expression levels of the chondrocyte hypertrophy markers *ALPL* (encoding alkaline phosphatase), *IBSP* (encoding Integrin Binding Sialoprotein), and *RUNX2*. As shown in **Figure 4A**, within the chondrogenic compartments we observed upregulation of hypertrophy markers *IBSP* (FC=5.04, P=2.7x10<sup>-2</sup>), *ALPL* (FC=2.83), and *RUNX2* (FC=1.93) upon comparing the hypertrophic and control chips, however *ALPL* and *RUNX2* did not reach statistical significance (P=0.172 and P=0.104, respectively). We did not observe consistent changes in the expression level of chondrogenic markers *ACAN* and *COL2A1* (**Supplementary Figure 3**). Within the osteogenic compartments we observed an upregulation of *ALPL* (FC=2.57, P=4.1x10<sup>-2</sup>) and *IBSP* (FC=2.29) between



Figure 3 - Representative images of (immuno-)histochemistry on cross sections of the osteochondral

(A) Hematoxylin/ Eosin (H&E) staining. (B) Alcian Blue staining. (C) Alizarin red staining. (D) OPN staining (in green) and DAPI staining (in blue) and (E) COL2A1 staining (in green) and DAPI staining (in blue) of both chondrogenic and osteogenic compartment of the chip. Overlap brightfield and fluorescence image (left) and fluorescence image (right). Scalebar in smaller and larger magnification represents 200 µm and 50 µm, respectively.



**Figure 4 – Gene expression levels of hypertrophy markers in the chondrogenic compartment (A) and in the osteogenic compartment (B) upon exposure to hypertrophy by adding T3.** Paired sample T-test was used for statistical assessment, with \* P<0.05, \*\*P<0.005, \*\*\*P<0.001

the hypertrophic and control chips, however *IBSP* did not reach statistical significance (P=0.157). Notably, *RUNX2* did not show a consistent direction of effect in the osteogenic compartment (**Figure 4B**). Similar variations in directions of effect were seen in the reference 3D pellet cultures (**Supplementary Figure 4**). These findings suggest that our microfluidic model system can serve as a hypertrophy-induced OA model to study concurrently cartilage and bone changes.

In addition, we collected medium from the system on the day starting the exposure (day 23) and the day of harvesting the osteochondral unit-on-a-chip system (day 28). To examine cartilage breakdown as a consequence of hypertrophy, we measured the sGAG release by performing a dimethylmethylene blue (DMMB) assay on the medium collected from the chondrogenic compartment (N=3 donors). As shown in **Figure 5**, we observed increased sGAG release from day 23 to day 28 in all three hypertrophic samples, while control samples showed variation (two samples decreased and one sample increased) in sGAG release. These results additionally show the possibility to perform multiple measurements on different time points for the same system during culture.



Figure 5 – sGAG measurement in medium collected from the chondrogenic compartment on two different time points, before (day 23) and after (day d28) exposure to hypertrophy by adding T3.

#### Discussion

Currently, there are no *in vitro* biomimetic OA models available that incorporate functional bone and cartilage tissue units, including biological matrix, in interaction. Here, we introduce a novel dual-tissue microfluidic model system in which interacting neo-cartilage and neo-bone are deposited. The current model allows for in depth investigations of underlying mechanisms of OA risk genes beyond gene expression, towards a reliable biomimetic model of the osteochondral joint unit for tissue repair and regenerative capacity of primary osteogenic cells and hPACs upon OA related perturbations. Moreover, the model system can be used as pre-clinical model for identification of druggable targets and for drug testing.

Upon culturing hPACs and osteogenic cells for 21 and 28 days, respectively, the osteogenic cells deposited osteogenic matrix in their compartment of the microfluidic chip, as indicated by the Alizarin Red and OPN staining (**Figure 3C** and **Figure 3D**). The osteogenic nature of the matrix was confirmed by RT-qPCR, as bone markers *OPN* and *RUNX2* were highly expressed, while cartilage marker *COL2A1* was lowly expressed in the osteogenic compartment compared to the chondrogenic compartment (**Figure 2**). However, *ACAN* expression levels were relatively high in the osteogenic compartment. This, together with the lack of a calcified zone (**Figure 3A**) and the relatively low mineralization rate (**Figure 3C**), suggests the bone-like matrix in the system is not yet mature and therefore needs to be further optimized, for instance by extending the culture period or by homogenizing the distribution of osteogenic cells over the matrix. Upon culturing the hPACs for 21 days in our dual-tissue model system, we observed a thick layer of cartilaginous matrix deposited on top of the PCL matrix in the well-like structure as shown by the presence of GAGs (**Figure 3F**), the staining

appeared to be more structured in the chondrogenic compartment. The difference in COL2A1 staining intensity between the chondrogenic and osteogenic compartment was minimal compared to the difference in OPN staining intensity between the two compartments, which confirmed the differences seen in gene expression levels (Figure **2**). The H&E staining showed little difference in tissue morphology between chondroand osteogenic compartments, which is partly due to the tears in the osteochondral construct as result of sectioning. In contrast to the osteogenic compartment, we observed high expression levels of COL2A1, while low expression levels of OPN and *RUNX2* in the chondrogenic compartment, showing similar directions as both the wellestablished 3D pellet cultures [22] and the RNA-seq of autologous cartilage and bone (Figure 2). The differences observed in gene expression levels between the osteogenic and chondrogenic compartments are smaller than the differences observed in the osteogenic and chondrogenic 3D cell pellet cultures, which might be due to the fact that within the chip we have a co-culture while the pellets are purely chondrocytes or osteogenic cells. Notably, COL1A1 showed relatively high expression levels in both the osteogenic and chondrogenic compartment, while COL1 is known as an abundant protein in bone and is usually not present in healthy articular cartilage. Nonetheless, *COL1A1* is shown to be present in osteoarthritic articular cartilage [24], which we also observe in our RNA-seq data of the autologous macroscopically preserved cartilage from an end-stage OA joint. Therefore, COL1A1 might not be a suitable bone marker when working with OA tissues.

Upon inducing hypertrophy by exposing the constructs to T3 for five consecutive days, we observed consistently increased expression levels of chondrocyte hypertrophy markers *IBSP*, *ALPL*, and *RUNX2* in the chondrogenic compartment. IBSP is a structural protein of bone matrix and ALP and RUNX2 are both osteoblastic markers. All three markers are known to be expressed by terminal hypertrophic chondrocytes [25-28]. The upregulation of these genes upon exposure to hypertrophy indicates that the gene expression pattern of the chondrogenic compartment changes towards an osteogenic phenotype, similar to OA pathophysiology and similar to the effects we observed in our previous study establishing a hypertrophic OA model [13]. Despite the small sample size of the measurements on the collected medium, we show here the possibility to determine sGAGs at multiple timepoints during culture. The increase in sGAG release in the medium suggests that there was potentially more cartilage breakdown in hypertrophic constructs, which is in line with the OA phenotype. In the osteogenic compartment, *IBSP* and *ALPL* were also consistently upregulated in the hypertrophic compared to the control group, which may indicate increased bone formation upon inducing hypertrophy. This confirms possibility of implementing disease-related perturbations to our chip to mimic pathophysiological processes. Therefore, our model system could serve as a platform for identification of druggable targets and eventually drug testing. Together, this will contribute to cost-efficient preclinical research and reduce, refine, and replace animal experiments.

By introducing a novel dual-tissue microfluidic model system we established, for the first time, an osteochondral model in which interacting neo-cartilage and neoosteogenic tissues are deposited by the primary cells. This is in contrast to currently available microfluidic model systems representing osteochondral construct based on cells encapsulated in specific hydrogels [17-20]. For example Lin et al. [17] developed an osteochondral system consisting of two separate compartments to create chondrogenic and osteogenic microenvironments. Human bone marrow-derived stem cells were seeded in hydrogels inside this model system and UV was used to cure the hydrogel. Although this model attractively represents an osteochondral co-culture, the use of hydrogels has some disadvantages. The hydrogel requires UV or hydrogen peroxide exposure for its crosslinking, which may negatively influence primary cells by inducing cell senescence and adding potential uncertainty to the model [29]. In addition, hydrogels still fail to accurately mimic the 3D environment and a reoccurring problem is the formation of matrix islands within hydrogels, which occur because of the elastic nature of the material [30]. Moreover, the main disadvantage of the use of hydrogels instead of the formation of neo-tissue is that it limits the study output to only cell signalling and tissue repair upon perturbations is not visible.

Although we here showed that cartilaginous and osteogenic ECM were deposited in our microfluidic model system and that our model system can be used to study the effects of perturbations, further improvements to the model can still be made. In our previous studies [13, 31], we showed that mechanical stress is an important trigger to OA onset and this type of perturbation cannot yet be captured by our model system. Hence, it would be added value to incorporate an actuation chamber to the model system, which can be used to apply mechanical stress to the construct and the cells within. In addition, to fully recapitulate an OA joint, implementation of other cell types such as synoviocytes, adipocytes, and immune cells would be preferable. Nevertheless, the most important hallmarks of OA are degeneration of articular cartilage and remodelling of subchondral bone. Moreover, genetic studies have indicated that aberrant molecular crosstalk between articular cartilage and subchondral bone plays a major role during OA pathophysiological process, which can be studied using the here presented model. In the current study, the model system was cultured under normoxic (20% oxygen) conditions, while it is known that chondrocytes *in vivo* reside under hypoxic conditions (0-5% oxygen). Also, the cells in the subchondral bone are exposed to lower oxygen levels (5-10% oxygen) *in vivo*. Therefore, it might be beneficial to incorporate an oxygen gradient over the microfluidic chip or to culture the system under reduced oxygen levels. The primary cells used in the presented model system were isolated from end-stage OA joints. Primary cells are a finite cell source and the use of a more stable cell source, in the form of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), would be desirable. Using iPSCs in our model system would allow us to study, for example, high impact mutations in the interacting joint tissues bone and cartilage, instead of focussing on solely one tissue. Finally, to ensure compatibility with high-throughput screens, of newly developed medication as part of pre-clinical studies and to minimize the amount of reagents required, the model system could even be further miniaturised and upscaled.

In conclusion, with this osteochondral unit-on-a-chip model system we indicate that it is possible to culture functional cartilage and bone tissue *in vitro*. This, together with the implementation of age-related perturbation to this dual-tissue microfluidic chip, further advances the ongoing search for an appropriate multiple tissue interacting 3D-culture for multi-tissue diseases such as OA [32]. While this microfluidic chip is still further advancing, this model could contribute to enhanced translation from OA risk genes towards novel OA therapies.

#### Methods

#### Sample description

The current study includes N=24 participants of the RAAK study, who underwent a joint replacement surgery as a consequence of OA. Material of four of these patients was used in the first set of experiments, in which we developed the osteochondral unit-on-a-chip system (**Supplementary Table 1A**). Material of five other participants was used in implementation of an OA-related disease model (**Supplementary Table 1C**). Material of the remaining participants was used for RNA sequencing (**Supplementary Table 1B**). Informed consent was obtained from all participants of the RAAK study and ethical approval for the RAAK study was given by the medical ethics committee of the Leiden University Medical Center (P08.239/P19.013).

#### Electrospun matrix

The matrix was fabricated by electrospinning polycaprolactone (PCL, Corbion Purac Bopmaterials) as described previously[33]. Briefly, 18% PCL was dissolved in chloroform (anhydrous, amylene stabilized, Merck) for the microfibers and 12% PCL was dissolved in chloroform:methanol (Merck). Electrospinning was done using the EC-CLI electrospinning apparatus (IME Techologies). The obtained matrices were characterized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Quanta 600F ESEM, Fei). To increase conductivity of the surface, the matrices were sputter coated with gold prior to visualization. The quantification of the pore sizes was done by measuring the

distance between fibers on at least 10 locations in at least six different images. The fiber diameter was measured in a similar way. For both quantifications the Quanta 600F ESEM software was used.

#### Microfluidic chip

The microfluidic chip was fabricated with a selective curing process as described previously [33]. Concisely, polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, Dow Corning) without curing agent (PDMS-) was spincoated on a microscope glass slide. Then, the PCL matrix was applied on the spincoated PDMS-. PDMS with curing agent (PDMS+, curing agent: PDMS-1:10) was poured in a petri-dish, degassed, and partially cured at  $65^{\circ}$ C. The partially cured PDMS was peeled off, cut in pieces with a surface area of approximately 2 cm by 3.5 cm. Subsequently, a hole with a diameter of 4 mm was punched in the PDMS+, creating a well-like structure. Then, the well was aligned with the matrix. PDMS+ was prepared, poured over the mold containing the structures of the microfluidic channels, degassed, and partially cured at 65°C. The partially cured PDMS+ was peeled off, cut, and aligned with the well, after which it was left to completely cure overnight at room temperature. Subsequently, the cured structure was peeled off the glass slide and the holes for the in- and outlets were punched. Again PDMS+ was prepared, poured over the mold containing the channel structures, degassed, partially cured, peeled off, and cut, after which it was aligned with the matrix attached to the already cured structure. The chip was left at 40°C to completely cure. The chip was flushed with isopropyl alcohol to remove the residuals of PDMS- from the matrix. Finally, female luers were attached to the in- and outlets.

#### Diffusion

Fluorescein isothiocyanate-dextran (Merck) was dissolved in a concentration of 2 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> and added to the chondrogenic channel of an empty chip. Fluorescent images were obtained of the osteogenic channel every 5 min for 2 h at 37 °C using a fluorescent microscope (Leica, AF6000 LX). The average intensity was measured in these images using ImageJ.

#### Cell culture

Primary osteogenic cells and hPACs were isolated from human joints as described previously [11, 34]. Isolation of primary osteogenic cells results in a mixture of bone cells, i.e. MSCs, osteoblasts, and osteocytes. Comparison of expression levels of osteogenic and chondrogenic markers of these cells with the expression levels in subchondral bone, showed similar expression profiles [Tuerlings et al., under review]. Subsequently, the osteogenic cells and hPACs were expanded in 2D in osteogenic expansion medium (OBM) consisting of  $\alpha$ -MEM + GlutaMAX (Thermofisher, 500 ml) supplemented with

heat-inactivated FCS (10%, Biowest) and penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco, 0.2%, 10000 U ml<sup>-1</sup>) and chondrogenic expansion medium (MSC medium) consisting of DMEM (Thermofisher, 500 ml) supplemented with FCS (10%, Biowest), penicillin-streptomycin (0.2%, 10000 U ml<sup>-1</sup>) and FGF-2 (0.5 ng ml<sup>-1</sup>, PeproTech), respectively.

Prior to seeding the cells in the microfluidic model system, the microfluidic chips were coated with fibronectin (Merck Chemicals), by flushing the system with fibronectin in PBS solution and incubate overnight. Osteogenic cells were seeded at a concentration of  $6.0 \times 10^6$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup> into the bottom compartment of the chip. After incubation to allow the cells to attach, the chip was connected to a syringe pump (Nexus 3000, Chemyx), programmed to withdraw medium from the system once every hour, with a flow of 50 µl min<sup>-1</sup> in every channel. After 24 h, the OBM was replaced with osteogenic differentiation medium (ODM) consisting of  $\alpha$ -MEM + GlutaMAX (Thermofisher, 500 ml) supplemented with heat-inactivated FCS (10%, Biowest), dexamethasone (0.1 µm; Sigma-Aldrich), L-ascorbate-2-phosphate (50 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>, Sigma-Aldrich), and penicillin-streptomycin (0.2%, 10 000 U ml<sup>-1</sup>).

After 6 days of culturing, hPACs were seeded in the upper compartment of the microfluidic chip via the middle inlet located directly above the matrix (Figure 1A) at a concentration of  $1.5 \times 10^7$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup>. After incubation to allow the hPACs to attach, the chip was reconnected to the syringe pump. After 24 h, both media reservoirs were refreshed: β-glycerophosphate (5mm; Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the ODM and MSC medium was replaced with chondrogenic differentiation medium consisting of DMEM (Thermofisher) supplemented with L-ascorbate-2-phosphate (50 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>, Sigma-Aldrich), L-Proline (40 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>, Sigma-Aldrich), Sodium Puryvate (100 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>, Sigma-Aldrich), Dexamethasone (0.1 μm, Sigma-Aldrich), ITS+ (Corning), TGF-β1 (10 ng ml<sup>-1</sup>, PeproTech), and antibiotics. In the T3-induced hypertrophy experiments, 500 ng  $ml^{-1}$ T3 was added to both media from day 23 onwards. After 28 days of culture, the chips were harvested for further processing. An overview of the experiment timeline is shown in **Supplementary Figure 1**. 3D pellet cultures were formed by adding  $2.5 \times 10^5$  cells in their expansion medium to a 15 ml Falcon tube and subsequently exposing them to centrifugal forces. After 24 h, the expansion medium was replaced by either osteogenic differentiation medium or chondrogenic differentiation medium. The medium was refreshed every 3-4 days.

#### Relative gene expression levels

The two compartments were manually separated and were lysed using Trizol (Invitrogen) and stored at -80 °C until further processing. RNA was isolated from the

samples using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). cDNA synthesis was performed using the First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche Applied Science). Subsequently, RT-qPCR was performed using SYBR Green without the ROX reference dye (Roche Applied Science) and the QuantStudio 6 Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). GAPDH and SDHA were used as housekeeping genes. The measured gene expression levels were corrected for the housekeeping genes GAPDH and SDHA, and the foldchanges were calculated using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method. All values were calculated relative to the

#### Histochemistry

For the different types of staining, the harvested materials were fixed with 4% formaldehyde, embedded in Tissue-Tek (Sakura), and sectioned at 25 µm thickness. After rehydration in PBS, Haematoxylin and Eosin staining was performed using the H&E stain Kit (Abcam). In addition, Alcian blue staining was performed using Alcian Blue 8-GX (Sigma) for 30 min and Alizarin red staining with Alizarin Red S (Sigma) for 1 min. All slides were mounted before brightfield imaging on Olympus BX53. Images were made with the Olympus DP26, using 4x and 20x objectives, and processed with Olympus cellSens Dimension 1.18 software. OPN and COL2 were visualized using immunohistochemistry. After rehydration, the tissue was blocked with 5% normal Goat serum (NGS, Sigma), incubated with primary rabbit anti-OPN antibody (HPA027541, Atlas antibodies) or with primary rabbit anti-COL2 antibody (ab34712, Abcam) followed by incubation of goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 as the secondary antibody (ab150077, Abcam) and counterstained with DAPI prior to imaging on fluorescent microscope (Leica, AF6000 LX) with objectives HC PL FLUOTAR  $10.0 \times 0.30$  DRY and HCX PL APO CS 20.0  $\times$  0.75 DRY UV. Images were obtained with the Hamamatsu-C9100-02-COM4 camera and LASAF V2.7.4.10100 software and processed using ImageJ 1.53c.

#### DMMB Assay

sGAG concentration was measured in medium collected over 6 h from the chondrogenic compartment and measurements were done on two different time points, before (day 23) and after (day d28) exposure to hypertrophy by adding T3. Photometric 1.9 dimethylene blue (DMMB, Sigma Aldrich) dye was used to stain sGAGs, with Shark chondroitin sulfate (Sigma Aldrich) in culture medium as a reference. The collected medium from the chondrogenic compartment was diluted 30x, after which DMMB staining was added. Absorbance at 525 and 595 nm was measured using a microplate reader (Synergy HT, BioTek).

#### Statistical Analysis

For the RT-qPCR data, the minus delta CT values were used to perform the analysis. No outliers were visualized in the RT-qPCR data using boxplots. The RNA sequencing

data was pre-processed as described previously [9, 23] and variance stabilizing transformation was performed to normalize. The two-sided paired sample t-test was used to calculate significant differences in gene expression levels, considering p-value < 0.05 significant. Complete statistical output can be found in **Supplementary Table 2**. IBM SPSS Statistics, version 25 was used to perform all statistical analysis presented.

#### Declarations

#### *Acknowledgements*

We thank all the participants of the RAAK study. The LUMC has and is supporting the RAAK study. We thank all the members of our group. We also thank Demiën Broekhuis. Robert van der Wal, Anika Rabelink-Hoogenstraaten, Peter van Schie, Shaho Hasan, Maartje Meijer, Daisy Latijnhouwers and Geert Spierenburg for collecting the RAAK material. We thank hDMT for rewarding this concept with Best hDMT Organ-on-Chip showcase award 2018. Data is generated within the scope of the Medical Delta programs Regenerative Medicine 4D: Generating complex tissues with stem cells and printing technology and Improving Mobility with Technology.

#### Funding

The study was funded by the Dutch Scientific Research council NWO /ZonMW VICI scheme (nr 91816631/528) and VOILA - SMARTage (nr LSHM18093).

#### Disclosures

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

#### References

- Chen, D., et al., Osteoarthritis: toward a comprehensive understanding of pathological mechanism. Bone Res, 2017. 5: p. 1. 16044.
- Krishnan, Y. and A.J. Grodzinsky, Cartilage diseases. Matrix Biol, 2018. 71-72: p. 51-69.
- Wu, Y., et al., Novel treatments for osteoarthritis: an update. Open Access Rheumatol, 2018. 10: p. 135-140. 3.
- Litwic, A., et al., Epidemiology and burden of osteoarthritis. Br Med Bull, 2013. 105: p. 185-99.
- Pan, J., et al., Elevated cross-talk between subchondral bone and cartilage in osteoarthritic joints. Bone, 2012. 51(2): p. 5. 212-7
- Goldring, S.R. and M.B. Goldring, *Changes in the osteochondral unit during osteoarthritis: structure, function and cartilage-*bone crosstalk. Nat Rev Rheumatol, 2016. **12**(11): p. 632-644. Fellows, C.R., C. Matta, and A. Mobasheri, *Applying Proteomics to Study Crosstalk at the Cartilage-Subchondral Bone* Interface in Osteoarthritis: Current Status and Future Directions. EBioMedicine, 2016. **11**: p. 2-4. 6.
- 7.
- Lories, R.J. and F.P. Luyten, The bone-cartilage unit in osteoarthritis. Nature Reviews Rheumatology, 2011. 7(1): p. 43-49. 8 Coutinho de Almeida, R., et al., RNA sequencing data integration reveals an miRNA interactome of osteoarthritis cartilage. Ann Rheum Dis, 2019. **78**(2): p. 270-277. 9.
- 10. den Hollander, W., et al., Transcriptional associations of osteoarthritis-mediated loss of epigenetic control in articular cartilage. Arthritis Rheumatol, 2015. 67(8): p. 2108-16.
- 11. Bomer, N., et al., Underlying molecular mechanisms of DIO2 susceptibility in symptomatic osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis, 2015. 74(8): p. 1571-9.
- Goldring, M.B. and S.R. Goldring, Articular cartilage and subchondral bone in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis. Ann N Y Acad Sci, 2010. 1192: p. 230-7.
- 13. Houtman, E., et al., Human Osteochondral Explants: Reliable Biomimetic Models to Investigate Disease Mechanisms and Develop Personalized Treatments for Osteoarthritis. Rheumatology and Therapy, 2021. 8(1): p. 499-515.
- 14. Dreier, R., Hypertrophic differentiation of chondrocytes in osteoarthritis: the developmental aspect of degenerative joint disorders. Arthritis Res Ther, 2010. 12(5): p. 216.
- 15. Bos, S.D., P.E. Slagboom, and I. Meulenbelt, New insights into osteoarthritis: early developmental features of an ageingrelated disease. Curr Opin Rheumatol, 2008. 20(5): p. 553-9.
- 16. Primorac, D., et al., Knee Osteoarthritis: A Review of Pathogenesis and State-Of-The-Art Non-Operative Therapeutic Considerations. Genes (Basel), 2020. 11(8).
- 17. Lin, H., et al., Stem cell-based microphysiological osteochondral system to model tissue response to interleukin-1ß. Mol

Pharm, 2014. 11(7): p. 2203-12.

- 18. Lin, Z., et al., Osteochondral Tissue Chip Derived From iPSCs: Modeling OA Pathologies and Testing Drugs. Front Bioeng Biotechnol, 2019. 7: p. 411.
- Goldman, S.M. and G.A. Barabino, Spatial Engineering of Osteochondral Tissue Constructs Through Microfluidically Directed Differentiation of Mesenchymal Stem Cells. Biores Open Access, 2016. 5(1): p. 109-17. 19.
- Wang, C.C., et al., A highly organized three-dimensional alginate scaffold for cartilage tissue engineering prepared by microfluidic technology. Biomaterials, 2011. **32**(29): p. 7118-26. Ramos, Y.F., et al., *Genes involved in the osteoarthritis process identified through genome wide expression analysis in articular cartilage; the RAAK study.* PLoS One, 2014. **9**(7): p. e103056. 20.
- 21.
- Bomer, N., et al., Neo-cartilage engineered from primary chondrocytes is epigenetically similar to autologous cartilage, in contrast to using mesenchymal stem cells. Osteoarthritis Cartilage, 2016. **24**(8): p. 1423-30. 22
- Tuerlings, M., et al., RNA sequencing reveals interacting key determinants of osteoarthritis acting in subchondral bone and 23 articular cartilage. Arthritis Rheumatol, 2020.
- Miosge, N., et al., Expression of collagen type I and type II in consecutive stages of human osteoarthritis. Histochem Cell Biol, 2004. **122**(3): p. 229-36. 24.
- Komori, T., Regulation of bone development and extracellular matrix protein genes by RUNX2. Cell Tissue Res, 2010. 25. **(339**(1): p. 189-95. Lui, J.C., et al., Persistent Sox9 expression in hypertrophic chondrocytes suppresses transdifferentiation into osteoblasts.
- 26.
- Bun, 12, et al., Persident 30.9 et al., Hypertrophy in mesenchymal stem cell chondrogenesis: effect of TGF-beta isoforms and chondrogenic conditioning. Cells Tissues Organs, 2010. **192**(3): p. 158-66. 27.
- Liu, W., et al., AlpI prevents bone ageing sensitivity by specifically regulating senescence and differentiation in mesenchymal stem cells. Bone Research, 2018. 6(1): p. 27. 28.
- 29. Duan, J., et al., Irreversible cellular senescence induced by prolonged exposure to H2O2 involves DNA-damage-and-repair genes and telomere shortening. The International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology, 2005. 37(7): p. 1407-1420. Lee, H.-p., et al., Mechanical confinement regulates cartilage matrix formation by chondrocytes. Nature Materials, 2017.
- 30. 16(12): p. 1243-1251
- Houtman, E., et al., Elucidating mechano-pathology of osteoarthritis: transcriptome-wide differences in mechanically 31. stressed aged human cartilage explants. Arthritis Research & Therapy, 2021. 23(1): p. 215.
- 32.
- Filuso, S., et al., *Minicking the Articular Joint with In Vitro Models*. Trends Biotechnol, 2019. **37**(10): p. 1063-1077. Eslami Amirabadi, H., et al., *A novel method to understand tumor cell invasion: integrating extracellular matrix mimicking* 33. Islams Ann addit, it., et al., A note interformer outer states and the formation interforming and extreme that is a matrix in microfluid is the state of the stat
- 34 Biotechniques, 2012. 52(6): p. 361-73.

#### Supplementary files

Supplementary figures



Supplementary Figure 1 – Timeline of cell culture in osteochondral unit-on-a-chip model system. OBM: osteoblast medium/osteogenic expansion medium, ODM: osteogenic differentiation medium, and CDM: chondrogenic differentiation medium.



**Supplementary Figure 2 – Diffusion of fluorescent Dextran over the PCL matrix.** Dextran was injected in the chondrogenic channel and average intensity was measured in the osteogenic channel, next to the matrix.



Supplementary Figure 3 – Gene expression levels of chondrogenic markers in the cartilage compartment of our model system upon exposure to T3 (n = 5 donors). Two sided paired sample T-test was used for statistical assessment, with \* p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.005, \*\*\*p < 0.001



Supplementary Figure 4 – Gene expression levels of hypertrophy markers in the 3D chondrocyte cell pellet cultures (A) and in the 3D osteogenic cell pellet cultures (B) upon exposure to T3. Two sided paired sample T-test was used for statistical assessment, with \* P<0.05, \*\*P<0.005, \*\*P<0.001

#### Supplementary tables

Supplementary Table 1 - Baseline characteristics Supplementary Table 1A- Baseline characteristics of donors used in chip.

	Total (N=4)
Age	68.8 (8.0)
Females	2 out of 4

Supplementary Table 1B- Baseline characteristics of donors used in RNAseq.

	Total (N=15)
Age	67.5 (8.7)
Females	13 out of 15

Supplementary Table 1C- Baseline characteristics of donors used in implementation of an age-related disease model

	Total (N=5)
Age	67.0 (4.5)
Females	5 out of 5

					Paired Differen	ICES				
	Chip/ Pellet/			Std.	Std. Error	95% Confide the difference	snce Interval of Se			
	RNA-seq	Gene	Mean	Deviation	Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Pair 1	Chip	COL2A1	3.17216	1.9073	0.85297	0.80393	5.54038	3.719	4	0.02
Pair 2	Chip	ACAN	0.1257	1.24377	0.55623	-1.41865	1.67005	0.226	4	0.832
Pair 3	Chip	NGO	-3.06909	2.16151	0.96666	-5.75296	-0.38522	-3.175	4	0.034
Pair 4	Chip	RUNX2	-1.82933	1.22662	0.54856	-3.35239	-0.30628	-3.335	4	0.029
Pair 5	Chip	COL1A1	0.94232	1.45425	0.65036	-0.86336	2.74801	1.449	4	0.221
Pair 6	Pellet	COL2A1	10.21819	3.72916	2.15303	0.95445	19.48193	4.746	2	0.042
Pair 7	Pellet	ACAN	3.83059	2.13497	1.06749	0.43337	7.22781	3.588	33	0.037
Pair 8	Pellet	NGO	-7.34399	2.361	1.1805	-11.1009	-3.58712	-6.221	ŝ	0.008

Supplementary Table 2 - Statistical two-sided t-test output Supplementary Table 2A - Gene expression analysis comparison of bone/cartilage

8

					Paired Differen	ICES				
	Chip/ Pellet/			Std.	Std. Error	95% Confid	ence Interval of ce			
	RNA-seq	Gene	Mean	Deviation	Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Pair 9	Pellet	RUNX2	-3.21201	0.8514	0.4257	-4.56677	-1.85725	-7.545		0.005
Pair 10	Pellet	COL1A1	-0.35708	0.81123	0.40561	-1.64792	0.93376	-0.88	3	0.443
Pair11	RNA-seq	COL2A1	-5.73411	1.990794	0.514021	-6.83657	-4.63164	-11.1554	14	2.37E-08
Pair 12	RNA-seq	ACAN	-4.74167	2.118074	0.546884	-5.91462	-3.56872	-8.67033	14	5.3E-07
Pair 13	RNA-seq	NAO	3.026371	1.713188	0.442343	2.077639	3.975103	6.84168	14	8.04E-06
Pair 14	RNA-seq	RUNX2	3.30432	1.442733	0.372512	2.505361	4.103279	8.870373	14	4.04E-07
Pair 15	RNA-seq	COL1A1	1.085628	2.841655	0.733712	-0.48803	2.659284	1.479638	14	0.161

							).			
					Paired Differen	ces				
						95% Confidence	Interval of			
	Bone/			Std.	Std. Error	the difference				Sig. (2-
	Cartilage	Gene	Mean	Deviation	Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	tailed)
Pair 1	Bone	ISBP	1.19103	1.53125	0.68480	-0.71027	3.09233	1.739	4	0.157
Pair 2	Bone	ALPL	1.36190	1.02431	0.45809	0.09005	2.63375	2.973	4	0.041
Pair 3	Bone	RUNX2	0.54400	0.95929	0.42901	-0.64711	1.73511	1.268	4	0.274
Pair 4	Cartilage	ISBP	2.33096	1.53169	0.68499	0.42912	4.23281	3.403	4	0.027
Pair 5	Cartilage	ALPL	1.49905	2.01954	0.90317	-1.00855	4.00664	1.660	4	0.172
Pair 6	Cartilage	RUNX2	0.94600	1.00925	0.45135	-0.30715	2.19915	2.096	4	0.104

d
_
5
(1)
Ĕ
÷
8
-
Ä
Š
9
8
0
S
0
-21
Ч
0
9
at
Ģ
÷
ė
br
ŝ
E C
3
4
0
_
8
0
S
· 🖂
b)
Ő.
7
E .
0
0
0
S
$\geq$
ί σ
5
E
E .
0
- 20
0
0
<u> </u>
DI.
xpr
expro
expro
ie expri
ne expr
ene expr
Gene expr
- Gene expr
– Gene expr
B – Gene expr
2B – Gene expr
2B – Gene expr
le 2B – Gene expr
ole 2B – Gene expr
able 2B – Gene expr
Table 2B – Gene expr
Table 2B – Gene expr
y Table 2B – Gene expr
ıry Table 2B – Gene expr
ary Table 2B – Gene expr
ıtary Table 2B – Gene expr
entary Table 2B – Gene expr
ientary Table 2B – Gene expr
mentary Table 2B – Gene expr
ementary Table 2B – Gene expr
ılementary Table 2B – Gene expr
plementary Table 2B – Gene expr