

Malayic varieties of Kelantan and Terengganu: description and linguistic history

Wu, J.

Citation

Wu, J. (2023, September 28). *Malayic varieties of Kelantan and Terengganu: description and linguistic history. LOT dissertation series.* LOT, Amsterdam. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3642470

Version: Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3642470

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

CHAPTER 5

Morphology

5.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a comparative description of the morphology of NEPMs, on account of the considerable similarities observed in their morphological systems. It is organised into two parts.

Part one (§5.2) outlines the defining criteria and characteristics of basic morphological units such as words, affixes, bases, roots and clitics in NEPMs, aiming at providing the reader with a proper understanding of the building blocks of the morphological systems. It begins with a discussion of wordhood in §5.2.1, followed by an examination of the internal structure of words in §5.2.2. Clitics, which share properties of both affixes and words, are discussed in §5.2.3.

Part two ($\S5.3$) delves into how basic morphological units combine to form complex words. It covers various word-formation processes, including prefixation ($\S5.3.1$), initial gemination ($\S5.3.2$), compounding ($\S5.3.3$) and reduplication ($\S5.3.4$). Some fossilised complex words are addressed in $\S5.3.5$.

Finally, §5.4 provides a summary of this chapter.

5.2 Morphological units

5.2.1 Words

While the notion of "word" is often assumed in morphosyntactic descriptions, discussions on wordhood are seldom found for Malayic varieties, with exceptions such as Gil (2020) for Riau Indonesian and McDonnell (2016) for Besemah. Following Dixon & Aikhenvald (2002) and Aikhenvald et al. (2020), I propose that it is possible to distinguish phonological words from grammatical words in NEPMs, whereby the former category is identified based on phonological criteria, and the latter on morphosyntactic criteria.

Relevant properties for identifying phonological words (or prosodic words) in NEPMs can be drawn from segmental features and phonological rules. As described in §2.4, §3.4 and §4.4, a set of phonotactic constraints applies at a level that can be considered as phonological wordhood. There are constraints on the number of consonants permitted in an initial cluster, permissible segments at both edges of a word, and the distribution of vowels within a word. Taking KM as an example, the following diagnostic criteria can be used to identify the boundaries of phonological words:

- 1) If a string of utterances has three consecutive consonants, there must be a word boundary between the first consonant and the following two consonants. For example, in *tumbo? lluma?* (pound crush) 'pound to crush', the string of three consonants /-?ll-/ has a word boundary between /?/ and /ll/.
- 2) Geminate clusters indicate a word boundary to the left, as they only occur word-initially. In the same example of *tumbo? lluma?* 'pound to crush', the word boundary is also signalled by /ll-/.
- 3) A coda /h/ signals a word boundary to the right. In *ayɔh makε* (father eat) 'father eats', the coda /h/ in *ayɔh* 'father' indicates the right edge of a phonological word.
- 4) A nucleus /ə/ signals a word boundary before the syllable in which it occurs. In *mon kənə* (2sg must) 'you must', the schwa in *kənə* 'must' indicates the word boundary to the left.
- 5) The mid-high vowels /e, o/ signal a word boundary following the syllable in which they occur. In the same example of *mon kənɔ* 'you must', /o/ in *mon* '2sg' indicates the word boundary to the right.

These criteria are applicable in the native lexicon, but not necessarily in loanwords, toponyms or person names. For example, klene? 'clinic' circumvents criterion 5), and *ehse* 'Ihsan' (a person name) circumvents criteria 3) and 5).

Phonological words can be further identified as the units in which phonological processes such as vowel nasalisation and nasal spreading take place. Nasal onsets nasalise following vowels, and the nasality spreads across glides and glottals, affecting vowels in the subsequent syllables within the same phonological words (see §2.2.2.1, §3.2.2.1 and §4.2.2.1). Across word boundaries, however, nasal spreading is blocked. As shown in the CTM example in (1), nnawo? [nnawo?] 'to lie' attests nasal spreading, but wwapa [wwapa] 'how many, how much' following ama [ama] 'age' is not affected by nasalisation, indicating that it constitutes a separate phonological word.

```
(1)
   CTM
                   [nnãw3?]
                                  'to lie'
      nnawɔ?
                                  'how old' (age how.much)
      этэ  wwapə
                   [omɔ̃ wwapə]
```

Furthermore, phonological words are prosodically independent in the sense that they can be preceded and followed by pauses or intonation breaks. There are typically no such pauses in the middle of a phonological word. Most phonological words also have the ability to stand freely, e.g., as an answer to questions. This is, however, not a necessary criterion. Function words such as prepositions like KM *dəηε* 'with; and' and *kalu* 'if; τορ' do not occur in complete isolation, but they fit all other criteria of a phonological word.

Other prosodic features such as stress assignments are not clearly applicable to NEPMs. There also does not seem to be a minimality constraint for phonological words. While phonological words in NEPMs are typically disyllabic, monosyllabic structures are attested for both content words and function words, as summarised in Table 5.1 for KM. Even words with a monomoraic CV shape such as ni 'DEM.PROX' and tu 'DEM.DIST' can be uttered in isolation as single-word answers to questions, therefore qualifying as phonological words.²⁹

 $^{^{29}}$ Bimoraic word minimality appears to hold for surface phonological words, but this constraint only seems applicable to content words. There are two observations that sug-

Word type Word shape Function word Content word 'from; at' makε 'to eat' padə bud₂? 'kid' $d \partial \eta \varepsilon$ 'with; and' Disyllabic tbuwε 'hornet' ləpah 'after; then' 'head' 'if; TOP' ppalə kalu 'hour' ni 'DEM.PROX' jε co? 'hoe' 'DEM.DIST' tuMonosyllabic 'bush' γ2? hĩ 'AFF' 'six' do? nnε 'NEG' mmah 'gold' mon '2sg'

Table 5.1: Examples of phonological words in KM

Grammatical words (or morphosyntactic words, syntactic words) are defined as a number of grammatical elements which always occur together in a fixed order and have conventionalised coherence and meaning (Dixon & Aikhenvald 2002: 19, 35). They can be moved, replaced or deleted by syntactic operations, and they are the smallest units on which syntactic rules can apply (Kroeger 2005: 318; Haspelmath & Sims 2010: 203). In most cases, grammatical words coincide with phonological words in NEPMs: all examples in Table 5.1 are both phonological words and grammatical words. Nevertheless, there are some instances where these two types of words do not match.

gest the requirement of this word minimality. First, when pronounced in isolation, content words with an underlying CV(C) shape are almost always accented with an initial geminate at the phonetic level, e.g., $/j\epsilon/ \rightarrow [jj\epsilon]$ 'hour', $/la/ \rightarrow [lla]$ 'sheet'. Second, when the numeral clitic s= 'one' is attached to these CV(C) words, no gemination is found; instead, an epenthetic schwa is inserted between the clitic s= and the following consonant, as in $s=j\epsilon/sj\epsilon/ \rightarrow [s\nij\epsilon]$ 'one hour', $s=la/sla/ \rightarrow [s\ni la]$ 'one sheet'. The second observation also supports analysing the underlying forms of $j\epsilon$ 'hour' and la 'sheet' as having initial singletons rather than geminates. Two claims can be made to explain these observations: first, initial geminates are moraic, but initial non-geminate clusters are not; second, surface content words need to respect the bimoraic requirement of word minimality. For words with a subminimal monomoraic CV(C) shape, the licit minimal word status of the surface is guaranteed by initial gemination. For proclitic + host groups with a $C_x C_y V(C)$ shape (which are recursive phonological words, see §5.2.3 below), the augmentation is achieved by schwa epenthesis.

On the one hand, some grammatical words consist of two independent phonological words, as in cases of full reduplication and compounds, e.g., CTM kkatə?-kkatə? (RDP-frog) 'frogs' and buyoŋ-atu (bird-ghost) 'owl'. Their status as single grammatical words is justified by their non-permutability and conventionalised meanings: they cannot be interrupted by other material while keeping their semantics intact, and compounds like buyon-atu 'owl' have idiomatic meanings that cannot be entirely determined from their constituents, which differentiates them from noun-noun juxtaposition phrases. Furthermore, grammatical words as such display morphological cohesion by undergoing derivation as one morphological unit, as illustrated by the KM example *t*-[*kəleh-kəleh*] (NVOL-RDP-look) 'to look casually' in (2).

```
(2)
    KM
     diyə do? t-kəleh-kəleh kə tuwe tu.
         PROG NVOL-RDP-look to owner DEM.DIST
     'He was peeping at the owner.'
                                                (KM 180814 n01 20)
```

On the other hand, some grammatical words can be reduced to become phonologically dependent, thus coalescing with neighbouring phonological words. For instance, prepositions like KM di 'LOC' and ko 'to; AGT' can be reduced to single-segment grammatical words d= and k= respectively, as illustrated in (3).

(3)KM

```
di skələh
                  (LOC school)
                                        'at school'
                                        'on the body'
     di tuboh
                  (Loc body)
                  (to 2sg)
     kə mon
                                        'to you'
     kɔ jiγε
                  (AGT neighbour)
                                       'by the neighbour'
b.
     datah
                d=atah
                                (LOC=top)
                                                   'on top'
     duməh
                d=\langle y\rangle um h
                                (LOC=house)
                                                   'in the house'
     kaku
                k=aku
                                (to=1sg)
                                                   'to me'
                                (AGT=person)
                                                   'by someone'
     k\gamma\epsilon
                k=2\gamma\varepsilon
```

In terms of syntactic constructions, d= 'LOC' and k= 'to; AGT' in (3b) serve as heads of the prepositional phrases, occupying the same positions as their full forms in (3a). Yet, phonologically, d= and k= form an inseparable unit with the following words (which become the hosts), i.e., *d*=*atah* [da.tah] 'on top' and *k*=*aku* [ka.ku] 'to me'. Cases like these resemble the classic instances of simple clitics (cf. English 's and is, see Zwicky 1977). For more discussions on clitics, see §5.2.3.

5.2.2 Internal structure of words

Having established wordhood in NEPMs, this section examines the internal structure of words. The usage of the term "words" from now on generally refers to grammatical words, unless otherwise specified.

Depending on whether a word can be segmented into smaller morphemes, a distinction can be made between simple words and complex words. Simple words are free morphemes on their own, and complex words are composed of two or more morphemes, often with an affix attached to a base. This type of complex words is referred to as "derivatives". For example, KM t-kəju? (NVOL-startle) 'to be startled' and t-kəleh-kəleh (NVOL-RDP-look) 'to peep' have a prefix t- 'NVOL' marking non-volitionality (an allomorph of ty-, see §5.3.1.3), attached to the bases kəju? 'to startle' and kəleh-kəleh 'RDP-look' respectively. When the base itself is a morpheme, it is also a root; that is, kəju? 'to startle' in t-kəju? is both a base and a root, whereas kəleh-kəleh 'RDP-look' is a base containing two roots. Examples like t-kəleh-kəleh 'to peep' are nevertheless rare; thus bases and roots are equivalent in most cases. In addition to derivatives, complex words may also consist of multiple roots, as in full reduplication and compounds.

Example sentences from each NEPM variety are given in (4) to (6). Various types of words can be observed in these examples. There are derivatives such as KM *t-kaju?* (NVOL-startle) 'to be startled' in (4), CTM *pp-<s>alɔ?* (IPFV-bark) 'barking' in (5) and ITM *j-jalay* (INTR-road) 'to walk' in (6). Compounds are also present, such as KM *buyon-atu* (bird-ghost) 'owl' in (4), and full reduplication is seen in ITM *caka?-caka?* (RDP-speak) 'to speak (continuously)' in (6). For most words, however, there is a one-to-one correspondence between a morpheme and a word. The internal structure of complex words is also rather simple; they are generally bimorphemic.

 $^{^{30}}$ The distinction between inflectional and derivational morphology is not clear-cut in NEPMs. Since NEPMs do not mark grammatical categories like gender, number or case, inflectional morphology is generally absent. However, some word-formation processes may be viewed as inflectional. For instance, the nasal prefix $N\!N_{I^-}$ 'IPFV' is analysed as an imperfective aspectual marker (§5.3.1.5), and full reduplication of nouns overtly expresses plurality and diversity (§5.3.4), thus showing some degree of inflectional characteristics.

(4) KM

maso diyo d=atah poko? tu, diyo t-kəju? teŋo?
when 3 LOC=top tree DEM.DIST 3 NVOL-startle see
buyoŋ-atu, diyo poŋ jatoh.
bird-ghost 3 also fall
'When he was on top of the tree, he was startled seeing an owl. Then
he fell.' (KM_180812_n01_16)

(5) CTM

anjin tu təyuh lagi, diyə nn-<s>alə? agi. dog DEM.PROX continue again 3 IPFV-bark again 'The dog kept on barking.' (CTM_181023_n02_24)

(6) ITM

budə? təv də? caka?-caka?, j-jalaŋ təyuh=jə. kid dem.dist neg rdp-speak, intr-road directly=just 'The kids didn't say a word and just went on their way.' (ITM 180919 n01 46)

In fact, it is also common to have a whole sentence consisting of simple words only, as shown by (7). Suffice it to say, NEPMs are fairly isolating.

(7) KM

kalu tumih diyə tu, nə? make ... kalu wa? ayi ni,
TOP sauté 3 DEM.DIST want eat ... if make day DEM.PROX
esə? bayu leh make.
tomorrow only.then can eat
'The sauté she makes ... if it's made today, it can only be eaten the
next day.' (KM_180820_cv03_02)

Affixes are bound morphemes that cannot stand on their own. NEPMs have a small number of affixes, all of which are prefixes, as listed in Table 5.2. The exact functions and usage of these prefixes will be discussed in more detail in §5.3.1. Additionally, some suffixes or circumfixes may be identified, but they are analysed as either fossilised or borrowed, see §5.3.5.

KM CTM ITM Gloss bγby-'INTR; MID' bγ-'NVOL' tytγtyруру-'CAUS; FCT' NN_{1} NN1- NN_{1} -'IPFV' NN_2 - NN_2 - NN_2 -'NMLS'

Table 5.2: Affixes in NEPMs

The overwhelming preference for prefixing in NEPMs is somewhat surprising from a typological perspective. While this pattern goes against the general tendency of favouring suffixing in the world's languages (Greenberg 1957; Bybee et al. 1990; Aikhenvald 2007), it appears to be the regional norm. In addition to NEPMs, neighbouring Aslian languages also strongly favour prefixes (Kruspe et al. 2015). Even further afield, Iban (Borneo), Rejang (Sumatra) and Chamic (coastal Mainland Southeast Asia), as well as Mon-Khmer languages neighbouring Chamic, can be added to the list of languages that exclusively have prefixes (Richard 1982; Thurgood 1999).

Also worthy of note is that all prefixes in NEPMs are smaller than a full syllable, consisting of consonants only. The distinction between affixes and words is therefore also reflected clearly in their phonological properties.

Roots in NEPMs are essentially simple words, as bound roots are difficult to motivate. For instance, KM/CTM by|ati and ITM by|atei 'to stop' may seem to have the roots $-(\gamma)ati$ or $-(\gamma)atei$ prefixed with $b(\gamma)$ -'MID' (cf. SM bar-hanti 'MID-stop'). However, the putative roots are not only unattested as independent words, but they are also not attested anywhere else in the lexicon with a recurrent meaning. Therefore, there is no evidence for treating them as roots synchronically (see more discussions in §5.3.5).

On a last note, it should be emphasised that derivatives and roots/simple words are subject to the same phonotactic rules, and together they constitute the domain of phonological wordhood. This prosodic pattern has several consequences in the morphological system, one of which is manifested as the constraint on the prefixation process. As all phonological words can have maximally two consonants in the initial cluster, and all prefixes consist of consonants only, prefixes may undergo morphophonological alternations in order to respect the phonological well-formedness in the derivatives. When prefixes like by- 'INTR; MID' and ty- 'NVOL' are attached to C-initial roots, the liquid y in the prefix is deleted so that the derivatives have an initial CC cluster, e.g., KM b-layi 'MID-run' and t-baka 'INTR-burn'. With roots that already have initial CC clusters, prefixation is generally not allowed.³¹ Further details are provided in §5.3.1.1.

5.2.3 Clitics

Between affixes and words is the intermediate category of clitics. Generally speaking, clitics resemble affixes in that they lack phonological independence, but at the same time they are similar to independent words in that they show higher mobility and lower degree of host selectivity (see Zwicky & Pullum 1983; Zwicky 1985). In NEPMs, a number of elements may be conveniently labelled as clitics, characterised as word-like forms that are prosodically dependent or deficient. Three subtypes of clitics can be further distinguished on account of their heterogeneous properties, and they can be viewed as existing on a cline between affixes and full-fledged phonological words.

The first type of clitics is represented by shortened variants of prepositions such as $d = \leftarrow di$ 'LOC' and $k = \leftarrow k \circ$ 'to; AGT' in KM, as already shown earlier in example (3). When reduced, these prepositions are integrated with their hosts prosodically: they cannot be uttered in isolation or be interrupted by other material or pause. Cliticisation as such (optionally) occurs before vowel-initial hosts, producing single-segment proclitics d= and k=, as illustrated in (8).

(8)KM

- a. masə diyə d=atah pəkə? tu LOC=top tree DEM.DIST ... when 3 'When he was on top of the tree ...' (KM 180812 n01 16.1)
- b. $diy \eta \eta aja = k\varepsilon$, diyə tə? $t \in \{0.5\}$ kə budə?, diyə $t \in \{0.5\}$ k = atah. 3 IPFV-teach=TAG, 3 NEG look to kid 3 look to=top 'When she teaches, you know, she doesn't look at the kids, but looks above.' (KM 180820 cv03 110.2)

³¹ Those roots may be reduplicated, or form a compound with another root.

c. no supoh k=əyɛ=lah.

ANAPH curse AGT=person=SFP

'It would get cursed by people.' (KM 180820 cv03 142)

Similar to KM, CTM has di 'LOC; AGT' and $k\partial$ 'to', and ITM has $d\partial$ 'LOC; AGT' and $k\partial$ 'to', which can be reduced to the clitics d= and k= respectively, as exemplified in (9) and (10).

(9) CTM

a. gagoh hõ yə, jembeŋ yə bako, buboh atah basika, strong AFF 3 carry 3 basket put top bike

d=atah payə dəpaŋ.

LOC=top rack front

'He was strong; he carried the basket and put it on the bike, on the front rack.'

(CTM 181025 n02 32)

b. aku m-mayəh k=anə? aku.

ISG MID-angry to=child ISG
'I am angry at my kid.' (CTM_220927_e02_30)

(10) ITM

- a. diyɛ tiŋuʔ lubəŋ d=ujəʊŋ kayəʊ nuŋ.
 3sg see hole Loc=end wood there
 'He saw a hole at the tip of the tree there.' (ITM 180907 n02 19)
- b. akəv nə? cayɛi nn-<t>uləvŋ k=akəv s=uyəŋ.

 lsg want look.for nmls-help to=lsg one=clf
 'I want to look for a helper for myself.' (ITM_180921_e01_31)

NEPMs also have a proclitic s= 'a; one; same', as in KM $s=\imath\gamma\varepsilon$, CTM $s=\imath\gamma\imath\eta$ and ITM $s=\imath\imath\gamma\imath\eta$ 'one=person; one=CLF'. The clitic s= also occurs before consonant-initial bases, forming various consonant clusters with initial s, including the geminate cluster ss-, e.g., KM/CTM s=bako and ITM s=baku 'one=basket', as well as ITM s=sika? 'one=comb (of banana)'. Historically s= can be seen as the reduced form of corresponding numerals for 'one' (KM $s\imath$, CTM $s\imath$ and ITM $s\varepsilon$), although synchronically, the cliticised forms and the free forms exhibit different properties, see §6.2.6.1.

The phonological features of proclitics like d=, k= and s= are extremely similar to those of affixes, for which reason I call them "affixal clitics" (a term

borrowed from Selkirk 1995, also see Anderson 2005: 46). Both affixal clitics and affixes in NEPMs consist of consonants only. More importantly, the proclitic + host group forms a recursive phonological word, and it is subject to the same phonotactic constraints as combinations of a prefix + a root.

The second type of clitics is referred to as "free clitics", represented by discourse markers such as KM = lah 'FOC; SFP', = $k\varepsilon$ 'TAG' and = $k\sigma$ 'Q'. Their usage is illustrated in (11). These discourse markers express a wide range of functions, see more discussions in §6.2.12.

(11) KM

- a. pah tumih=lah bawe kitə iyih, tumih tumih ... then sauté=FOC onion lpl slice sauté sauté ... 'Then just sauté the onions we sliced, sauté, sauté ...' (KM_180820_cv03_171.1)
- b. $bano? kkayo doh=k\epsilon?$ many item already=TAG 'That's already a lot of stuff, right?' (KM 180820 cv03 256)
- c. mon do? tənəh py-aco batu=k2? 2sg prog middle caus-crushed stone=q 'Are you crushing the stone?' (KM 180827 e01 30)

Similar to d= and k=, these discourse markers are prosodically dependent on their hosts, as no pause is possible between the host and the clitic (in these cases the host precedes the clitic). The differences between these two types of clitics is, on the one hand, reflected in their shapes, and on the other hand, reflected in the prosodic structure of the host + clitic group. Unlike affixal clitics, these free clitics take up full syllables, and the host + enclitic group does not form a phonological word.

The equivalents of KM = lah 'FOC; SFP', = $k\varepsilon$ 'TAG' and = $k\sigma$ 'Q' in CTM and ITM are =lah, =kan and =ka and respectively, illustrated in (12) and (13). In these two varieties, the tag marker $= ka\eta$ (which derives from the non-verbal negator *bukan*) has a special type of usage that may be referred to as a double tag marker. As shown in (12b) and (13c), kan 'TAG' occurs twice, both before and after the main clauses tyabo 'scatter' and paka ska? mule 'wore skirts back then'.

 $^{^{32}}$ The question marker =k2 'Q' needs to be distinguished from the preposition k2 'to; AGT'.

(12) CTM

a. lalu=**lah** s=ɔyaŋ budɔʔ llaki ŋə basika.
pass.by=FOC one=CLF kid male with bike

'A boy with a bike passed by.' (CTM_181025_n02_20)

- b. ika? mɔlɛ?, kaŋ=tyabo=kaŋ?
 hold good TAG=scatter=TAG?
 'Hold well, (otherwise) it will scatter, you know?'
 (CTM 220927 e02 114)
- c. budɔ? ni anɔ? mɔ?ci?=kə? kid dem.prox child auntie=q? 'Is this kid auntie's child?' (CTM_181029_e02_17)

(13) ITM

- a. geij-jalan=lah tige uyon tah.
 go INTR-road=FOC three CLF DEM.DIST
 'The three guys went on walking.' (ITM_180919_n01_32)
- b. ka? umɔh diyɛ adɛ=kaŋ?
 near house 3sg exist=tag
 'He has them at his place, no?' (ITM_180930_cv01_15)
- c. nein kuci? ... kuci? bajəv neh, kaŋ=paka

 dem.prox pocket ... pocket shirt dem.prox tag=wear

 skə? mule=kaŋ, gei skuləh paka skə?.

 skirt(ENG) beginning=tag go school wear skirt(ENG)

 "This pocket ... this pocket, we used to wear skirts back then, you know? We wore skirts to school." (ITM_220920_cv01_173)
- d. no? yase manih=kə do??
 want feel sweet=Q NEG
 'Does it taste sweet or not?' (ITM_180917_cv01_62)

The last type of morphemes that may be classified as clitics are phonologically deficient words, which I refer to as "weak words". In addition to cliticised k=, the preposition k3 in KM has another variant k3. It can be seen as an intermediate stage in the cliticisation process of k3 \rightarrow k3 \rightarrow k4, but its wordhood status is somewhat ambiguous. On the one hand, elements like this enjoy some prosodic autonomy: as shown in (14), k3 can be separated from

the following word by hesitation and filler material, which sets it apart from typical clitics like k=. On the other hand, these weak words are not quite like phonological words in their segmental structure: ka does not conform to the phonotactic constraints in KM, as phonological words never end in schwas.

```
(14)
     KM
```

```
diyə pon gi kə ... ggapə
                                       ... təpi ... ggapə
                                                                      təpi
     also go to ... whatchamacallit ... edge ... whatchamacallit edge
3
ut\varepsilon
forest DEM.DIST
```

'He then went to the edge of the forest.' (KM_180812_n01_10)

However, except for the deficiency in phonological shapes, the clitic status of these weak words cannot be justified on other grounds. Hence, in transcriptions I treat these morphemes as words surrounded by space.

Also in ITM, the prepositions *də* 'LOC; AGT' and *kə* 'to' are not prototypical phonological words since they end in schwa. ITM also has an anaphoric marker ηa with a final schwa. Examples with these weak words in ITM are given in (15).

(15)ITM

- a. diye nai? atah batəv bəsə təh, pəgən də dahan kayəv. 3sg go.up top stone big DEM.DIST, hold LOC branch wood 'He climbed up the big stone, grabbing the branches of a tree.' (ITM 180907 n01 19)
- b. $diy \varepsilon w \varepsilon i buw h p \varepsilon t h$ tige buti kə budə? llakei tige 3sg give fruit pear DEM.DIST three CLF to kid uyəŋ təʊ.

CLF DEM.DIST

'He gave three pears to the three boys.' (ITM_180907_n01_36)

c. diye ina? no? ambei? s=buti=jə buwsh təv. 3sG think want take one=CLF=just fruit DEM.DIST də? iyə diyε tiηu?, ηə ambεi? s=baku. tuwan nə owner anaph neg notice 3sg look anaph take one=basket 'He wanted to take only one pear. But seeing that the owner didn't take notice, he just took the whole basket.'

(ITM_180919_n01_18-19)

Moreover, weakened forms of the demonstratives in ITM may fit into the category of weak words (see more on demonstratives in §6.2.5). Table 5.3 shows that the full forms of ITM demonstratives $n\varepsilon iy$ 'dem.prox' and $t\partial v$ 'dem.dist' have diphthongs, but they can be weakened to $nVh\sim nV$? or $tVh\sim tV$? respectively, the V being any non-high monophthong. The factors determining the choice of V in $nVh\sim nV$? or $tVh\sim tV$? and the choice of the final consonant remain unclear, but -h appears to be more common than -?. These weakened demonstratives are considered phonologically deficient, as there are no other words in ITM ending in $-\partial h$, $-\partial ?$, $-\varepsilon h$ or $-\varepsilon ?$.

Table 5.3: Demonstratives in ITM

Full forms	Weakened forms	Gloss
пєіŋ	nah~na? neh~ne? nɔh~nɔ? nəh~nə?	'DEM.PROX'
təv	tah~ta? teh~te? tɔh~tɔ? təh~tə?	'DEM.DIST'

To sum up, three broad categories of clitics or clitic-like elements can be distinguished in NEPMs, and there are three parameters in which they differ from each other, as outlined in Table 5.4. Affixal clitics and free clitics cannot be separated from their hosts with pauses, but only affixal clitics are well integrated with the hosts to form phonological words. Weak words, on the other hand, are characterised by their phonological deficiency. These three types of clitics form a continuum, with affixal clitics exhibiting most affixlike properties, and weak words behaving almost like full-fledged words.

Possibility Phonological Phonological Examples in KM of pauses integration deficiency d = `LOC'Affixal clitics k = `to; AGT's= 'a; one; same' =lah 'FOC; SFP' Free clitics $=k\varepsilon$ 'TAG' (+) $=k\mathfrak{d}'\mathfrak{Q}'$ Weak words kə 'to' +

Table 5.4: Types of clitics in NEPMs

5.2.4 **Interim summary**

The previous sections have outlined the characteristics of basic morphological units in NEPMs, including words, affixes, bases and clitics. In addition to offering a more detailed description of the building blocks of the morphological systems, the foregoing examination is paramount for understanding what it means to be an isolating language, which will be a crucial theme in the discussion of the morphological history of NEPMs (Chapter 8). Traditionally, isolating languages are associated with a low morpheme per word ratio, but this definition only holds on the basis of a proper comprehension of wordhood and other bound morphemes.

The discussions above also highlighted the interplay between phonology and morphology in NEPMs. This interplay is evident from the defining criteria of phonological wordhood, the distinct phonological shapes between words and affixes, and the varied phonological proprieties of clitics. Furthermore, the examination aims to fill a gap in the general descriptive literature, where concepts like words and clitics are often taken for granted without further explanation. We now shift the focus to the examination of how affixes and simple words can combine to form complex words in NEPMs.

5.3 Word-formation

The traditional categorisation of word-formation processes includes two primary types: derivation and compounding (Aikhenvald 2007; Booij 2007; Štekauer et al. 2012). Derivation involves the use of bound morphemes or morphological processes, whereas compounding involves the combination of free morphemes.

NEPMs have little derivational morphology, which is limited to prefixation and initial gemination. These two processes are described in §5.3.1 and §5.3.2. While both processes involve adding a segment to the left of the base, they differ in that initial gemination involves a templatic segment which copies its phonemic content from the initial consonant of the base, hence representing a type of non-concatenative morphological process (Davis & Tsujimura 2014: 191; Spencer 2001: 125).

Compounding is somewhat productive in NEPMs. Reduplication takes the form of full reduplication and in a few instances of echo reduplication, yielding complex words composed of two roots. Reduplicated forms thus show more formal similarities to compounds than to derivatives; for this reason, reduplication can be seen as a special type of compounding (also see Fabb 2001; Inkelas & Zoll 2005). Compounding and reduplication are discussed in §5.3.3 and §5.3.4 respectively.

5.3.1 Prefixation

NEPMs have a relatively small inventory of affixes when compared to other Malayic varieties (cf. McDonnell et al. in print). KM and CTM have five prefixes, four of which are verbalising prefixes, namely by- 'INTR; MID', ty- 'NVOL', py- 'CAUS; FCT' and NN_{I^-} 'IPFV'. Additionally, there is one homophonous nominalising prefix NN_{2^-} 'NMLS'. ITM has one prefix less as it lacks the causative/factitive marker. These prefixes exhibit morphophonological alternations, with allomorphs occurring in different phonological environments, as explained in §5.3.1.1.

5.3.1.1 Morphophonological alternations

The prefixes by-'INTR; MID', ty-'NVOL' and py-'CAUS; FCT' have several allomorphs, with their shape determined by the initial segment of the base to

which they are attached. They occur in their full forms before vowel-initial bases, whereas before consonant-initial bases, the liquid y is deleted, and the prefixes occur as b-, t- and p- respectively. Examples illustrating the prefixation of by-, ty- and py- in KM are given in (16).

(16)Prefixation of by- 'INTR; MID', ty- 'NVOL' and py- 'CAUS; FCT' in KM

```
Before vowel-initial bases
           'child'
 ano?
                                    by-ano?
                                                'to give birth; to be born'
 iŋa?
           'to think'
                                    ty-iŋa?
                                                'to remember; to miss'
 il\varepsilon
           'to disappear'
                                    pγ-ilε
                                                'to lose'
Before consonant-initial bases
           'to taste; to feel'
                                                'to feel'
 yasə
                                    b-yasə
 bakɔ
           'to burn'
                                    t-bakə
                                                'to be burnt'
 luma?
           'crushed'
                                    p-luma?
                                                'to crush'
```

The deletion of y in these prefixes when attached to consonant-initial bases can be explained by the phonotactic constraint that limits the number of initial consonants in phonological words to a maximum of two. Also importantly, the CC- clusters resulting from prefixation need to be phonologically well-formed. In other words, these clusters should comply with the SSP, which is applied with varying strictness in different NEPM varieties (see §2.5, §3.5 and §4.5). In KM and CTM, the prefixes b-, t- and p- only appear when the initial consonant of the base is minimally as sonorous as the prefix (see examples in (18), (22), (26) and (34) below). In cases where the baseinitial consonant is identical to the prefix, initial geminates are produced at the phonetic level, which may be alternatively viewed as deriving from the process of initial gemination (see §5.3.2), as in KM binin 'wife' \rightarrow b-binin 'to marry a wife' or CTM tido 'to sleep' $\rightarrow t$ -tido 'to fall asleep (non-volitionally)'. In ITM, where the SSP applies less strictly, the prefix by- 'INTR; MID', which has an initial voiced stop b-, occasionally appears before a base with a voiceless stop, e.g., *kabuh* 'fog' → *b-kabuh* 'foggy'. However, such clusters of a voiced stop + a voiceless stop tend to be unstable and often alternate with geminate clusters, as in *b-kabuh*~*k-kabuh* (INTR-fog) 'foggy'.

The same phonotactic constraint generally prevents bases with initial consonant clusters from undergoing prefixation, but there are a few exceptions where by- 'INTR; MID' is attached to bases with an initial CC cluster, appearing as ba-. Examples include KM/CTM ba-tyabo (MID-scattered) 'cluttered', ITM ba-slimu? (INTR-blanket) 'to cover (oneself) with a blanket', ba-glisəh (MID-anxious) 'to feel anxious', and ba-s=buti (INTR-one=CLF) 'to have one' (in which the base surprisingly has a clitic s=). It is worth noting that the initial clusters in these bases typically consist of an obstruent and a liquid, and only the prefixation of $b\gamma$ - 'INTR; MID' is attested before CC-initial bases.

The other two prefixes NN_{I^-} 'IPFV' and NN_{2^-} 'NMLS' are geminate nasals. The capital N represents an underspecified nasal that is subject to nasal assimilation and nasal substitution, which are common morphophonological alternations in languages in West Indonesia (Blust 2004, 2013: 242–244). In NEPMs, these nasal prefixes only occur before disyllabic bases whose initial segment falls into one of the following categories: vowels, the liquid γ , or the voiceless obstruents p, t, c, k and s. Their morphophonological alternations are illustrated by KM examples in (17), with the underlying initial consonants that are deleted or substituted being indicated in angle brackets <>. 33

(17) Morphophonological alternations of NN- in KM

```
NN<sub>1</sub>-aka?
                 (IPFV-lift)
                                           ηη-aka?
                                                                'lifting'
NN<sub>1</sub>-γukah
                 (IPFV-climb)
                                                                'climbing'
                                       \rightarrow \eta\eta-<\gamma>ukah
                 (IPFV-call)
                                                                'calling'
NN<sub>1</sub>-pange
                                      → mm-ange
NN_1-tane
                 (IPFV-plant)
                                      \rightarrow nn-<t>ane
                                                                'planting'
NN2-cet2?
                 (NMLS-print)
                                       → pp-<c>εtɔ?
                                                                'printer'
NN<sub>1</sub>-kute?
                 (IPFV-pick)
                                          ηη-<k>ute?
                                                                'picking'
NN<sub>2</sub>-sapuh
                 (NMLS-sweep)
                                      \rightarrow pp-\langle s\rangle apuh
                                                                'broom'
```

These nasal prefixes take the default realisation of velar $\eta\eta$ - when occurring before vowel-initial bases. When they occur before bases with initial γ , the γ is deleted, and NN- also takes the form of velar $\eta\eta$ -. ³⁴ Before bases with initial

³³ There is also one KM example where NN_I - seems to occur before a base with initial l and surface as a singleton m-, i.e., 2NN_I -lamboŋ (IPFV-bump) $\rightarrow m$ -lamboŋ 'bumping'. However, the allomorphic alternation of NN_I - $\rightarrow m$ is phonologically implausible, and from a diachronic perspective, m- does not reflect an underspecified *N, see §8.3.2.

³⁴ Another example is CTM NN_I -yacoy (IPFV-poison) $\rightarrow yy$ -acoy 'poisoning'. A parallel pattern of initial γ deletion can be seen in the cliticisation of di 'Loc' and k' 'to; AGT', as in KM di $\gamma um2h \rightarrow d=um2h$ 'Loc=house' or $k2 \gamma um2h \rightarrow k=um2h$ 'to=house'. The hosts are usually not affected by preceding prepositions, but initial γ in nouns like $\gamma um2h$ 'house' is deleted in the cliticisation process. Just like the prefixation of NN- before bases with initial γ , the cliticisation of prepositions before hosts with initial γ also appears as if it takes places before vowel-initial hosts.

voiceless obstruents, NN- undergoes nasal assimilation and nasal substitution, whereby its place of articulation is assimilated to that of the base-initial obstruent. Essentially, initial voiceless obstruents in the bases are replaced by homorganic geminate nasals: *p*- is replaced by *mm*-, *t*- by *nn*-, *c*- by *nn*- and k- by $\eta\eta$ -. Exceptions apply for bases with initial s-, which is usually replaced by pn- instead of the expected nn-. In some examples, both pn- and nn- are attested, e.g., KM/CTM NN_1 -susu? (IPFV-hide) $\rightarrow ppusu$?/nnusu? 'hiding'.

The following sections provide a more detailed description of the functions of each prefix.

5.3.1.2 Prefix by- 'INTR; MID'

The prefix by- derives intransitive verbs, with two more specific functions depending on the word class of the bases it is attached to.

First, when attached to nominal bases, by- is a category-changing prefix, deriving intransitive verbs with the general meaning of 'to have, to produce BASE', as illustrated in (18) to (21). Note that semantic adjectives are considered a type of intransitive verbs called stative verbs (as opposed to dynamic verbs), see §6.2.2.

(18)Intransitive verbaliser $b\gamma$ - 'INTR'

```
KM
 anɔ?
          'child'
                              by-anɔ?
                                          'to give birth; to be born'
 ae
          'water'
                              by-ae
                                          'watery'
                                          'fat'
 isi
          'content'
                              by-isi
 oba?
          'medicine'
                              bγ-၁ba?
                                          'to receive treatment'
          'layer'
                              b-lapih
                                          'lavered'
 lapih
          'sail'
                              b-laya
                                          'to sail'
 laya
          'price'
                              b-yəgə
                                          'pricy'
 \gamma \partial g \partial
CTM
 ano?
           'child'
                               by-ano?
                                           'to give birth; to be born'
                               by-ae
                                            'watery'
 ae
           'water'
           'wind'
                               by-aηiη
                                           'windy'
 aŋiŋ
                               by-isi
           'content'
                                            'fat'
 isi
          'hole'
                               b-lubaŋ
                                           'to have a hole'
 lubaŋ
 layɔ
           'sail'
                               b-lay2
                                            'to sail'
           'Eid al-Fitr'
                               b-yayə
                                            'to celebrate Eid al-Fitr'
 уауә
```

```
ITM
                               by-ano?
                                               'to give birth; to be born'
 ano?
           'child'
                               by-ai
                                               'watery'
 ai
           'water'
           'wind'
                               by-aηiη
                                               'windy'
 aŋiŋ
 up h
           'wage'
                               bу-ирэh
                                               'to work'
 dəbu
           'splash'
                               b-dəbu
                                               'to swash'
                               b-likอบ-likอบ
                                               'tortuous'
 likəv
           'twist'
                                               'to celebrate Eid al-Fitr'
           'Eid al-Fitr'
                               b-yay\varepsilon
 γανε
                               bə-slimu?
                                               'to wear a blanket'
 slimu?
           'blanket'
                               bə-cləbũ?
 cləbũ?
           'plop'
                                               'to make a plop sound'
```

(19) KM

mɔ? aku by-anɔ? k=aku di kapoŋ kusia-bayu.
mother lsg intr-child to=lsg loc village Kusial-Bharu
'My mother gave birth to me in the village of Kusial Bharu.'

(KM_180825_e01_28)

(20) CTM

by-anin mɔle? pətaŋ ni.

INTR-wind nice afternoon DEM.PROX

'The wind blows nicely this afternoon.' (CTM_220927_e02_67)

(21) ITM

kudih məvŋ by-ai ah akəv tiŋu?.
scabies 2sg INTR-water INTERJ lsg look
'I see that your scabies have suppurated.' (ITM_220915_e03_24)

Second, when attached to verbal bases, *by*- functions as a middle (voice) marker, which signifies that the action denoted by the verb is imposed on the actor itself (Kemmer 1993).³⁵ For instance, KM/CTM *ato* 'to arrange (s.th.)' is a transitive verb, and the prefixation of *by*- derives an intransitive verb *by-ato* meaning 'to line up', which can be conceptualised as 'to arrange oneself'. Similarly, ITM *by-aleih* 'to change position' is derived from *aleih* 'to move (s.th.)', and *by-aleih* can be conceptualised as 'to move oneself'.

 $^{^{35}}$ It is acknowledged that "middle voice" is a controversial notion (see Inglese 2022), and its application in NEPMs is less satisfactory, especially given that NEPMs do not have active/passive voice marking morphology. The term is adopted loosely here, and I opt for using "middle marker" over "middle voice marker".

KM/CTM *layi* and ITM *layi* 'to run' are used in imperative mood to order someone to run, whereas b-layi/b-layei means someone is running. The prefix by- 'MID' is also found on stative verbs denoting feelings and emotions, in which cases the difference in meaning between the base and the derived form is often subtle, as in KM/CTM syabu? 'upset' → bə-syabu? 'to feel upset', and ITM glissh 'anxious' $\rightarrow b\partial$ -glissh 'to feel anxious'. More examples illustrating the prefixation of by-'MID' are provided in (22), along with example sentences presented in (23) to (25).

(22)Middle prefix *bγ*- 'MID'

```
KM
                                     by-anjo?
 anjo?
           'to move'
                                                   'to change position'
           'to think'
                                     by-iŋa?
                                                   'to take care'
 iŋa?
 ubəh
           'to change (s.th.)'
                                     bγ-ubɔh
                                                   'to become different'
           'to train (s.o.)'
                                     b-lateh
                                                   'to exercise'
 lateh
                                                   'to feel'
           'to taste; to feel'
                                     b-yasə
 yasə
                                     bə-tyabo
                                                   'cluttered'
 tyabo
           'scattered'
 syabu?
           'upset'
                                     bə-syabu?
                                                   'to feel upset'
                                                   'to feel sad'
 glabəh
           'sad'
                                     bə-glabəh
CTM
 ato
          'to arrange'
                                    by-ato
                                                'to line up'
          'to move'
                                   by-aleh
                                                'to change position'
 aleh
 iŋa?
          'to think'
                                   by-iŋa?
                                                'to take care'
 ubəh
          'to change (s.th.)'
                                   by-ubəh
                                                'to become different'
          'to hit'
                                   b-laŋgɔ
                                                'to collide'
 laŋgɔ
 tyabo
          'scattered'
                                    bə-tyabo
                                                'cluttered'
ITM
 atu
          'to arrange'
                                    by-atu
                                                 'to line up'
                                    by-alεih
 aleih
          'to move'
                                                 'to change position'
 ubəh
          'to change (s.th.)'
                                    by-ub>h
                                                 'to become different'
                                    b-jəyəʊ?
 jəγəσ?
          'wet'
                                                 'to become wet'
 laŋgɔ
          'to hit'
                                    b-laŋgɔ
                                                 'to collide'
          'to taste; to feel'
                                    b-γasε
                                                 'to feel'
 yase
          'to arrange'
                                   b-sนรนŋ
                                                 'to pile up'
 รนรนทุ
 glisəh
          'anxious'
                                    bə-glisəh
                                                 'to feel anxious'
                                                 'cluttered'
 tyabu
          'scattered'
                                    bə-tyabu
```

(23) KM

a. aku do? lateh anɔ?-muyi? aku ni.lsg prog train child-pupil lsg dem.prox

'I'm training my student.'

(KM_221026_e01_49)

b. aku gi b-lateh dəŋa saiŋ aku.lsG go MID-train with friend lsG'I'm going to exercise with my friend.'

(KM_221026_e01_50)

(24) CTM

a. mon lango mondo ta?di?2sg hit what just.now'What did you hit just now?'

(CTM_220927_e01_80)

b. pagi a7di aku b-lango dəŋaŋ kkɛtə.
morning just.now lsg MID-hit with car
'This morning I collided with a car.' (CTM_220927_e02_79)

(25) ITM

- a. susun mulei? ga? ikî? kain tah.
 arrange good EMPH little cloth DEM.DIST
 'Put away these clothes.' (ITM_220923_e01_10)
- b. *kain batî? diye banɔ? a, b-susuŋ-susuŋ.*cloth batik 3 much interj mid-rdp-arrange
 'He has a lot of batik, all piling up.' (ITM_220923_e01_11)

5.3.1.3 Prefix *ty*-'NVOL'

The prefix $t\gamma$ - 'NVOL' is attached to dynamic verbal bases that are either transitive or intransitive (for the distinction between stative and dynamic verbs, see §6.2.2). It is used to mark non-volitionality or unintentionality of the action denoted by the base verb, as illustrated by the examples in (26).

(26) Non-volitional verbal prefix *ty*-'NVOL'

```
KM
         'to collide'
 ato?
                            ty-ato?
                                      'to bump against'
 aleh
         'to move'
                                      'to change position'
                            ty-aleh
 iŋa?
         'to think'
                            ty-iŋa?
                                      'to remember; to miss'
 iga?
         'to catch'
                            ty-iga?
                                      'to get caught'
                                      'to be burnt'
 baka
         'to burn'
                            t-baka
 bикэ
         'to open'
                            t-bukว
                                      'to open (on its own)'
                                      'to be startled'
 kəju?
         'to startle'
                            t-kəju?
         'to fall'
                            t-jatoh
                                      'to fall (unintentionally)'
 jatoh
CTM
 ato?
           'to collide'
                             ty-ato?
                                          'to bump against'
                             ty-aka?
 aka?
           'to lift'
                                          'to be lifted'
 ambe?
           'to take'
                                          'to take (by mistake)'
                             ty-ambe?
                                          'to remember'
 iŋa?
           'to think'
                             ty-iŋa?
           'to burn'
 bakɔ
                             t-bakə
                                          'to be burnt'
 tido
           'to sleep'
                             t-tido
                                          'to fall asleep (non-volitionally)'
 gatoŋ
           'to hang'
                             t-gaton
                                          'to be hung'
           'to hit'
                             t-laŋgɔ
                                          'to get hit; to hit (accidentally)'
 laŋgɔ
ITM
 aka?
           'to lift'
                             ty-aka?
                                          'to be lifted'
                                          'to take (by mistake)'
 ambei?
           'to take'
                             ty-ambei?
           'to think'
                             ty-iŋa?
                                          'to remember; to miss'
 iŋa?
           'to catch'
                             ty-iga?
                                          'to get caught'
 iga?
                                          'to open (on its own); be left
 викε
           'to open'
                             t-bukε
                                          open'
 bakɔ
           'to burn'
                            t-bakə
                                          'to be burnt'
           'to hang'
                                          'to be hung'
 gatəvŋ
                            t-gatəʊŋ
           'to hook'
                             t-saku?
                                          'to be hooked'
 saku?
 laŋgɔ
           'to hit'
                             t-laŋgɔ
                                          'to get hit; to hit (accidentally)'
```

With transitive bases, the prefixation of $t\gamma$ - is often a valency-decreasing device, whereby the derived forms become intransitive. Compare KM baka 'to burn' with the prefixed form t-baka 'to be burnt' in (27), CTM aka? 'to lift' with $t\gamma$ -aka? 'to be lifted' in (28), and ITM $buk\epsilon$ 'to open' with t- $buk\epsilon$ 'to be left open' in (29). The bases in all three examples are transitive, while the corresponding derivatives are intransitive.

(27) KM

- a. *jiye* diyo **baka** yumoh tu.
 neighbour 3 burn house DEM.DIST

 'His neighbour burnt the house.' (KM 180825 e01 39)
- b. yumɔh tu t-baka.
 house DEM.DIST NVOL-burn
 'The house was burnt.' (KM_180825_e01_38)

(28) CTM

a. belon kunin tu aka? s=ɔyan budɔ? ppuwaŋ balloon yellow dem.dist lift one=clf kid female ni.

DEM.PROX

'The yellow balloon is lifting a girl.' (CTM_181028_e01_51)

b. kuwa? anin malan, ty-aka? abih ata?.
strong wind night NVOL-lift finished roof
'The wind at night was strong, and the roof was all blown away.'
(CTM 220927 e02 108)

(29) ITM

- a. ... buke pitəv-maleiŋ paŋgi kkatə? ŋə.
 ... open door-thief call frog ANAPH
 'He opened the window, calling his frog.' (ITM_180907_n01_6.2)
- b. akəv gei k=uməh məvŋ, məvŋ taʔdə?, pitəv məvŋ t-buke.

 ISG go to=house 2SG 2SG NEG.EXIST door 2SG NVOL-open
 'I went to your house and you were not there, but your door was left open.'

 (ITM_220915_e03_45)

There are also some instances where the prefixation of ty-does not decrease the valency of the transitive verbal base, but instead, it derives a form that highlights the unintentionality of the action, as shown by the contrast between (30a) and (30b).

(30) ITM

a. nah ambei? kwalei nah.

DEM.PROX take wok DEM.PROX

'Take this wok.' (ITM_180917_cv01_63)

b. akəv ty-ambei? bukəv məvŋ, ma?āh.
lsg NVOL-take book 2sg sorry
'I took your book by mistake, sorry.' (ITM_220915_e03_39)

Similarly, when attached to intransitive bases, the prefix ty- indicates an involuntary or uncontrolled action without affecting the valency of the verbal base. In examples (31) to (33), both the bases and the corresponding derived forms are intransitive.

- (31) KM

 diyə do? t-kəleh-kəleh kə tuwe tu.

 3 PROG NVOL-RDP-look to owner DEM.DIST

 'He was peeping at the owner.' (KM_180814_n01_20)
- (32) CTM

 aku t-tido dalaŋ kəlah ta?di.

 1sg nvol-sleep inside class just.now

 'I fell asleep in the class just now.' (CTM_181029_e02_30)
- (33) ITM

 akəv laləv bawə? kayəv təh, ty-atəv? ppale akəv,

 ISG pass.by bring wood DEM.DIST NVOL-collide head ISG

 bəŋəŋ tliŋɛ-tliŋɛ.

 buzzing RDP-ear

 'I was passing by carrying the wood, then my head bumped against

 it, and my ears are buzzing.' (ITM_2200915_e03_42)

It is noteworthy that the cognate of *tγ*- in some other Malayic varieties can be attached to stative intransitive verbs, marking a superlative, comparative or excessive degree, as attested in SM, Minangkabau, Banjar Hulu and Besemah (Adelaar 1992: 151–155; McDonnell 2016: 42). This usage is, however, not attested in NEPMs.

5.3.1.4 Prefix $p\gamma$ - 'CAUS; FCT'

The prefix py- derives transitive verbs, and it is (historically) in a paradigmatic relation with by-, which derives intransitive verbs (Adelaar 1984). Among NEPM varieties, py- is only attested in KM and CTM. It can be attached to both intransitive verbs and nouns, serving two functions.

First, when attached to intransitive verbal bases, $p\gamma$ - derives causative verbs with the meaning of 'to make BASE, to cause BASE', as illustrated in (34) to (36).

(34) Causative prefix py- 'CAUS'

```
KM
 abih
          'finished'
                                          'to finish'
                               pγ-abih
 aŋa?
          'warm'
                               py-aŋa?
                                          'to warm up'
                              pγ-ilε
 ilε
          'to disappear'
                                          'to lose'
                               p-luma?
 luma?
          'crushed'
                                          'to crush'
 ləsa?
          'to disappear'
                              p-ləsa?
                                          'to steal'
 luwah
          'wide'
                               p-luwah
                                          'to expand'
 yəbəh
          'to fall'
                                          'to bring down'
                               р-ұәbэһ
CTM
         'finished'
                                          'to finish'
 abih
                               pγ-abih
         'crushed'
                                          'to crush'
 aco
                               ру-асо
         'be warm'
 aŋa?
                              pγ-aŋa?
                                          'to warm up'
 iŋa?
          'to remember'
                               py-iŋa?
                                          'to remind'
 үәbэh
         'to fall'
                               р-ұәbэһ
                                          'to bring down'
```

(35) KM

- a. *yayɔ abih dɔh.*Eid.al-Fitr finished already
 - 'Hari Raya (Eid al-Fitr) is already finished.' (KM_180825_e01_29)
- b. aku py-abih doh xxijo aku.
 lsG caus-finished already work lsG
 'I already finished my work.' (KM_180825_e01_30)

(36) CTM

- a. yə poŋ b-laŋgə yəbəh.
 - 3 then MID-crash fall

'He crashed and fell.' $(CTM_181025_n02_39.1)$

b. yə **p-yəbəh** basika yə, yə ambe?.

3 caus-fall bike 3 3 take

'He dropped his bike and took (the pears).'

(CTM_181025_n02_26)

Second, when attached to nominal bases, py-derives factitive verbs that convey a general meaning of 'to use BASE on the object' or 'to treat object as BASE', as shown by the examples in (37) and (38). More broadly speaking, the derived form signals that the subject makes the object be in a certain condition that involves the BASE. I refer to this function of py- as a factitive marker (Lyons 1977: 491). For instance, the prefixation of py- on the noun ati 'liver' derives the verb py-ati 'to observe', which can be interpreted as 'to treat s.th./s.o. as a liver (i.e., the locus of emotion)'. When attached to uba?~zba? 'medicine', py- derives py-uba?~py-zba? 'to cure', essentially 'to make s.th./s.o. in a state that involves medicine' or 'to use medicine on s.th./s.o.'. Cross-linguistically, it is not uncommon to have one prefix that serves to derive both causatives and factitives, as is also the case in Kambera (Klamer 1998: 178-184) and Boumaa Fijian (Dixon 1988: 181-191).

(37)Factitive prefix py-'FCT'

```
KM
 ati
         'liver'
                                         'to observe'
                            pγ-ati
 uba?
         'medicine'
                            py-uba?
                                         'to cure'
                                         'to clean (fish)'
 isi
         'content'
                            pγ-isi
                                         'to set a price for'
         'price'
 үәдә
                            р-үәдэ
CTM
 ati
       'liver'
                                  'to observe'
                        py-ati
 isi
       'content'
                                  'to clean (fish)'
                        py-isi
```

(38)KM to? bəməh tu=lah py-uba? aku. mister witch DEM.DIST=FOC FCT-medicine 1sG

'It was that traditional healer who cured me.' (KM_221025_e02_63)

The causative/factitive prefix py- is not found in ITM. Overall, its equivalent is attested to a limited extent within Malayic; in addition to KM and CTM, it is found in a few other Peninsular Malayic varieties including Kedah Malay and Jakun Malay (Adelaar 1984), as well as in Mualang (Tjia 2007: 44–45). In ITM, causative constructions are formed periphrastically with the auxiliary verbs wei 'CAUS' and wa? 'CAUS', which are also used as content verbs meaning 'to give' and 'to do; to make' respectively. Some examples of causative constructions in ITM are provided in (39).³⁶

³⁶ The histories of wei 'CAUS' and wa? 'CAUS' reflect the common grammaticalisation

(39) ITM

- a. adei? wei iləŋ bəʊ? təʊ. .
 younger.sibling caus disappear book dem.dist
 'The younger kid lost the book.' (ITM_180921_e01_01)
- b. kite wa? basa apei.

 IPL.INCL CAUS big fire

 'We raise the heat.' (ITM 180917 cv01 76)

In KM and CTM, causative constructions can also be formed with wa? 'to make; CAUS', as in (40). The causative prefix $p\gamma$ - may also cooccur with wa?, as shown in (41) and (42).

- (40) KM
 - aku pisəh wa? jatoh bəla tu dayi mejə. 1SG deliberately CAUS fall ball DEM.DIST from table 'I deliberately made that ball fall from the table.'

(KM_180827_e02_20)

- (41) KM
 - ka? lon wa? py-ile buku tu. sister eldest make/CAUS CAUS-disappear book DEM.DIST 'Sister (referring to the eldest child) lost the book.'

(KM_180827_e02_30)

- (42) CTM
 - wa? py-aco ladə tu sbəloŋ gunə.make/CAUS CAUS-be.crush chilli DEM.DIST before use'Crush the chilli before use.' (CTM_181029_e02_81)

5.3.1.5 Prefix NN_{I} - 'IPFV'

The prefix NN_{I^-} is a category-preserving morpheme found on verbal bases. While its equivalent in other Malayic varieties is often assumed to be an act-

paths of 'to give' > 'CAUS' and 'to make' > 'CAUS' (Heine & Kuteva 2004: 117–118, 152). The difference between these two causative markers is not entirely clear; wei is typically used before a dynamic verb, whereas wa? is used before a stative verb, but sometimes they show free variation, as in wei kayeiŋ and wa? kayeiŋ (CAUS dry) 'to dry'. There are also some counterexamples, such as wa? layi (CAUS run) 'to make run' and wei cai (CAUS liquid) 'to make liquid'.

ive or actor-oriented voice marker (cf. SM maN-, see Cole et al. 2008; Sneddon 2010: 255; McDonnell et al. in print), NN_I - in NEPMs is not associated with voice alternation. Instead, it serves as an imperfective aspect marker, describing situations that are habitual, continuous or progressive while paying special attention to the internal structure of the situation (Comrie 1976: 16; de Swart 2012: 757). The following discussion starts with a focus on the usage of NN_I - in KM, for which the largest amount of data is available, before extending the analysis to CTM and ITM.

Example (43) illustrates the presence and absence of the prefix NN_{I^-} on the base $tan\varepsilon$ 'to plant' in KM. At first sight, the prefixed form $nn\text{-}\langle t\rangle an\varepsilon$ appears to have two different aspectual readings: habitual in (43b) and progressive in (43c). In (43d), however, $nn\text{-}\langle t\rangle an\varepsilon$ further combines with an auxiliary do? 'PROG'. Though not mandatory, the cooccurrence of do? 'PROG' with a verb prefixed with NN_{I^-} is very common, which casts doubt on the analysis of NN_{I^-} as a progressive aspect marker.

(43) KM

- a. mon tane poko? ggapo?

 2sg plant tree what

 'What tree(s) do/did you plant?'

 (KM_180824_fn)
- b. ayəh aku nn-<t>anɛ.
 father lsg ipfv-plant
 'My father is a farmer.' (Lit. 'My father plants.')

 (KM_180830_e01_13)
- c. puwɔ? moŋ nn-<t>anɛ ggapɔ tu?
 group 2sg ipfv-plant what dem.dist
 'What are you planting over there?' (KM_180824_fn)
- d. mon do? nn-<t>ane pɔkɔ? ggapɔ?

 2SG PROG IPFV-plant tree what

 'What tree(s) are you planting?'

 (KM_180824_fn)

An alternative view that unifies (43b), (43c) and (43d) is that they all focus on the incompleteness of the event "with no information about its endpoints" (Smith 1997: 73), either by suggesting its ongoing state or its durative nature, as opposed to (43a). Such a contrast between verbs with and without NN_{I^-} can also be seen in example (44). In (44a), the unmarked verb form

yukah 'to climb' implies the completion of the event in its entirety (translated as he *climbed up* the tree), whereas *yyukah* in (44b) suggests the event of climbing is ongoing.

(44) KM

- a. diyə poŋ yukah pəkə?, nə? layi padə tbuwɛ, diyə yukah.
 3 also climb tree want run from hornet 3 climb
 'He climbed up the tree, wanting to run from the hornets.'

 (KM 180812 n01 15)
- b. *σγε* do? ηη-<γ>ukah nɔ? kute? buwɔh pɛ.
 person PROG IPFV-climb want pick fruit pear
 'Someone is climbing (up the tree) to pick up pears.'
 (KM_180814_n01_01)

Examples in (45) illustrate a more elaborated aspectual distinction between the bare form tumih 'to sauté' and the prefixed form nn-<t>umih 'IPFV-sauté'. All sentences in (45) are taken from a conversation where the speakers discussed Kelantanese cooking.

(45) KM

- a. *γε* gətiŋ tɔ? tumih.
 person Geting NEG sauté
 'People from Geting do not sauté.' (KM_180820_cv03_5)
- b. pah tumih=lah bawe kitɔ iyih, tumih tumih ... biya ...
 then sauté=FOC onion lpl slice, sauté sauté ... let ...
 bawe tu biya gayiŋ napɔ? kɔko.
 onion dem.dist let crispy look brown
 'Then sauté the onions we sliced, sauté, sauté, until the onions are crispy and brown.' (KM_180820_cv03_171)
- c. diyə tumih ... diyə wa? awah nn-<t>umih tu,
 3 sauté ... 3 make ingredient IPFV-sauté DEM.DIST
 ladə ija dəŋa asɛ-lima, diyə tə? capo ae.
 chilli green and sour-citrus 3 NEG mix water
 "They sauté ... (while) they sauté the ingredients, (they only use)
 green chilli and lime, they don't add water.'

 (KM_180820_cv03_60)

- d. kalu kitə nn-<t>umih ni,

 TOP lPL IPFV-sauté DEM.PROX

 diyə ssəyə bawɛ di minə? tu.

 3 feel onion LOC oil DEM.DIST

 'As for the kind we saute, we taste the garlic in the oil.'

 (KM_180820_cv03_41)
- e. *meme masɔ kitɔ blendə tu kuwaʔ baũ,* indeed when lpl blend dem.prox strong smell *kitɔ nn-<t>umih poŋ bakeʔ tapi meme sədaʔ.* lpl ipfv-sauté also rise but indeed delicious 'Indeed when we blend (the ingredients), the smell is strong; when we sauté, (the smell) also rises, but it's really delicious.' (KM_180820_cv03_78)

In (45a), the event of 'sauté' is viewed as a whole from the outside; *tɔʔ tu-mih* 'not sauté' is presented as an observation. In (45b), *tumih* first occurs in an imperative form signalled by the focus clitic =*lah*, and the second and third *tumih* describe an event with an endpoint, namely 'until the onions are crispy and brown'. In (45c)–(45e), in contrast, 'sautéing' is viewed as a process with an internal temporal constituency, with the focus placed on something that is involved or happens during the process of sautéing, rather than the beginning or the endpoint of the situation. Also notable is that all instances of *nn-<t>umih* in (45c)–(45e) occur in subordinate clauses, providing background statements. Those clauses can be roughly translated as 'while/when sautéing', even though the temporal conjunctions are not expressed. From these examples, it is clear that what differentiates *nn-<t>umih* 'IPFV-sauté' from *tumih* 'to sauté' is the viewpoint towards the situation being described, and *nn-<t>umih* 'IPFV-sauté' is typically associated with imperfective viewpoints.

In another example presented in (46), the same situation is first referred to with a bare form *salɔ?* 'to bark', then with a prefixed form *yyn-*<*s>alɔ?* 'IPFV-bark'. The change in the choice of verbal forms presumably reflects the change in the speaker's viewpoint, which first took the event of 'barking' as a complete whole, then shifted the focus to its interior composition, during which 'the dog disturbed the beehive'.

(46) KM

anin diyə gi salə?... gi pp-<s>alə? di pəkə? tu, dog 3 go bark ... go ipfv-bark loc tree dem.dist

do? itu kaca sayɛ tbuwɛ. PROG? disturb nest hornet

'His dog went barking ... while it was barking at the tree, it disturbed the beehive.' (KM_180812_n01_12)

The same analysis can be extended to CTM and ITM. Based on similar examples with NN_{I^-} in my CTM and ITM corpora, I assume NN_{I^-} functions in the same way. Some examples are given in (47) and (48).

(47) CTM

- a. masə diyə pp-<s>alə? tu, tbuwaŋ tu poŋ tube?
 when 3 IPFV-bark DEM.DIST hornet DEM.DIST also go.out
 yama-yama dayipadə sayaŋ diyə.
 RDP-many from nest 3
 'While the dog was barking, many hornets flew out of their nest.'
 (CTM_181023_n01_25)
- b. ayəh mon nn-<t>anan padi=kə? father 2sg IPFV-plant paddy=Q 'Is your father a rice farmer?' (CTM_181029_e02_15)

(48) ITM

- a. mɔʔciʔ gɛi mm-utəʊŋ gətəh.
 auntie go IPFV-cut rubber
 'I went to cut rubber/I used to cut rubber (for a living).'
 (ITM_180923_n01_20)
- b. duwe tige iku neh nn-<t>ungoo ina? apei atah buki?, two three CLF DEM.PROX IPFV-wait light.up fire top hill do? jupe cayei.

 NEG meet find

 "The few others waited and lit up fire on the hill, as they didn't find (the civet and the chicken)." (ITM_180927_n01_14)

c. kaiŋ təʊ basəh, cəʔ məʊŋ gei ŋŋ-<k>əɣeiŋ kaiŋ təʊ cloth dem.dist wet imp 2sg go ipfv-dry cloth dem.dist sikîʔ lagei. little again

'The cloth is still wet, try to dry it a bit more.'

(ITM_180921_e01_06)

The prefixed form pp-<s>>alə? 'IPFV-bark' in (47a) conveys a similar meaning as its counterpart in (46). In (47b) and (48a), nn-<t>>anay and 'IPFV-cut' mm-<p>>utəvy 'IPFV-cut' both have a habitual reading (the latter appears in a narrative about the speaker's experience in the past). In (48b), nn-<t>>uygəv 'IPFV-wait' has a temporal constituency, during which the event of iyaiy apii 'light up fire' took place. In (48c), yy-<k>>yiy 'IPFV-dry' focuses on the process of 'drying' without information about its endpoint.

One potential complication with the analysis of NN_I - as an imperfective marker is that NN₁- would be the only aspectual affix in NEPMs, and also the only inflectional affix. The bare verbal forms seem to be underspecified for aspectual interpretations, but to what extent this observation can be upheld needs further examination. At the same time, however, the aspectual function of NN_{I} - in NEPMs is not entirely exceptional when compared to its equivalents in other Malayic varieties. The interpretation motivated above is in line with recent proposals concerning the aspectual functions of *məN*in SM (Soh & Nomoto 2009, 2015; Nomoto 2013; Soh 2013). Soh and Nomoto show that maN- in SM has a progressive aspectual effect which makes it generally incompatible with stative verbs, and situations described by verbs with maN- are always eventive and atelic. Many characteristics of an imperfective marker have also been reported for maN- in Kuala Lumpur Malay, including its tendency to occur in subordinate rather than main clauses, and to describe ongoing or durative activities as opposed to completed or punctual activities (Gil 2002: 273).

5.3.1.6 Prefix *NN*₂-'NMLS'

The last prefix in NEPMs is NN_2 - 'NMLS', which is homophonous to NN_1 - 'IPFV'. It is attached to dynamic verbal bases to derive nouns, and it is the only nominalising prefix attested in NEPMs. Some examples with NN_2 - 'NMLS' are presented in (49).

(49) Nominaliser NN_2 - 'NMLS'

```
KM
          'to hold'
                                                   'handle'
 рәде
                               mm-<p>\partial g\varepsilon
 tuto?
          'to close'
                               nn-<t>uto?
                                                   'cap'
 ceto?
          'to print'
                              pp-<c>\epsilon t
                                                   'printer'
 cuke
          'to pick'
                              nn-<c>uke (gigi)
                                                   '(tooth)pick'
                                                   'broom'
 sapuh
          'to sweep'
                              pp-<s>apuh
                              nn-<s>ako?
 sako?
          'to hang'
                                                   'hanger'
                              nn-<s>ake?
 sake?
          'to hurt; sick'
                                                   'disease'
CTM
           'to hold'
                                                 'handle'
 pəgaŋ
                                mm-əgaŋ
           'to close'
                                nn-<t>uto?
                                                 'cap'
 tuto?
           'to weight'
                                nn-<t>imban
                                                 'scale'
 timban
           'to blend'
                                                 'blender'
 kisə
                                ηη-<k>isə
 sako?
           'to hang'
                               nn-<s>ako?
                                                 'hanger'
                                                 'broom'
 sapuh
           'to sweep'
                               nn-<s>apuh
           'to hurt; sick'
                               pp-<s>ake?
                                                 'disease'
 sake?
ITM
 рәдәŋ
           'to hold'
                                mm-əgəŋ
                                                'handle'
 tutu?
           'to close'
                                nn-<t>utu?
                                                 'cap'
 timbəŋ
           'to weight'
                               nn-<t>imbəŋ
                                                 'scale'
           'to blend'
                                                'blender'
 kisə
                                ηη-<k>isə
                                                'broom'
 sapəv
           'to sweep'
                               ทุก-<s>apอช
 saki?
           'to hurt; sick'
                               nn-<s>aki?
                                                'disease'
```

Unlike its SM equivalent paN-, which productively forms nouns referring to the actor of a performance or the instrument with which the action is performed, NN_2 - is typically restricted to forming nouns referring to instruments. Actors of performances are often expressed by compounds with a nominal head meaning 'person', 'expert' of 'craftsman', as shown by examples in (50) to (52).

(50) Compounds denoting actors in KM

```
jon nnuleh (expert write) 'writer'
jon nnaγi (expert dance) 'dancer'

ογε ηηαji (person study) 'student, researcher'

ογε xxijo (person work) 'worker'

ογε gaji (person wage) 'maid'
```

(51) Compounds denoting actors in CTM

```
tukan lukih (craftsman paint) 'painter' 
əyan jjuwa (person sell) 'seller' 
əyan sake? (person sick) 'patient' 
əyan gaji (person wage) 'maid'
```

(52) Compounds denoting actors in ITM

tukəŋ nnulih	(craftsman write)	'writer'
иүэŋ ххәјє	(person work)	'worker'
иүэŋ saki?	(person sick)	'patient'

A compound like *joŋ nnuleh* 'writer' in KM can be analysed as *joŋ nn-<t>uleh* (expert *NN*-write) which contains a *NN*- prefix, but the exact meaning of this prefix is ambiguous. One the one hand, *nn*- in *nn-<t>uleh* can be interpreted as NN_{I^-} 'IPFV'. *Joŋ nnuleh* is thus someone who habitually writes, following the idea of NN_{I^-} being an imperfective aspect marker. On the other hand, *nn*- in *nn-<t>uleh* may be interpreted as NN_{2^-} 'NMLS', which derives an attributive modifier for the nominal head *joŋ* 'expert'. The second interpretation might reflect the diachronic path more accurately (as a continuation of the wider application of the PM nominaliser *pAN-, see Adelaar 1992: 183–184), but this attributive use of nouns with NN_{2^-} must have been fossilised, as *nnuleh* cannot be used as a noun meaning 'writer' on its own.

Some words for instruments are formed periphrastically in a similar way, e.g., KM *ala? nnənoŋ* (tool weave) 'loom', *ala? ŋŋuko* (tool measure) 'measuring tools', and ITM *jayoŋ ŋŋai?* (needle knit) 'knitting needle'. English words have also been borrowed to fill the gaps created by the restricted usage of the nominaliser, e.g., KM *pɛsəŋjɛ* 'passenger', KM/CTM *lɔya* 'law-yer', KM/CTM *pɔsmɛn* and ITM *pusmɛiŋ* 'postman', as well as KM *gɛ* and CTM/ITM *gaŋ* 'glue, gum' (cf. SM *pən-<t>umpang* (NMLs-ride) 'passenger', *pə-guam* (NMLs-dispute) 'lawyer', *pəŋ-hantar* (NMLs-deliver) 'postman, delivery person', and *pə-ləkat* (NMLs-stick) 'glue').

5.3.1.7 Interim summary

As an interim summary, Table 5.5 provides an overview of the prefixes in NEPMs and the bases to which they can be attached. Among the five prefixes, $b\gamma$ - and $t\gamma$ - each has two distinct functions when attached to bases from different word classes.

		Nouns	Dynamic transitive verbs	Dynamic intransitive verbs	Stative intransitive verbs
<i>b</i> ұ-	'INTR'	+	-	-	-
	'MID'	-	+	+	+
ty-	'NVOL'	=	+	+	=
рү-	'CAUS'	-	-	+	+
	'FCT'	+	-	-	=
NN_{I} -	'IPFV'	-	+	+	-
<i>NN</i> ₂ -	'NMLS'	-	+	+	-

Table 5.5: Overview of prefixation in NEPMs

(+: attested, -: not attested)

5.3.2 Initial gemination

It has been observed that NEPMs have geminates that contrast with their singleton counterparts in word-initial position (§2.2.1.2, §3.2.1.2 and §4.2.1.2). Many singleton-geminate pairs are not only related in their phonological shapes but also in their semantics, suggesting that geminates can be analysed as morphologically complex. The gemination of an initial singleton consonant, i.e., $C_{x^-} \rightarrow C_x C_{x^-}$, can be proposed as a single morphophonological process to explain this pattern.

Initial gemination serves various grammatical functions, as will be described in §5.3.2.1. It will then become clear that the initial gemination resembles prefixation in many ways; the relationship between these two derivational processes will be explored in §5.3.2.2. Next, §5.3.2.3 takes a closer at another type of initial gemination, which essentially results from the cliticisation of prepositions.

5.3.2.1 Grammatical functions of initial gemination

First, initial gemination can serve as an intransitive verbaliser that operates on nominal bases. This is illustrated by the examples in (53) through (56), where the derived forms have the general meaning of 'to have, to produce BASE' or 'to have the quality of, to be engaged in BASE'.

(53) Gemination as an intransitive verbaliser

```
KM
                                                  'to be at war'
               'war'
 p \partial \gamma \varepsilon
                                   p-p\partial \gamma \varepsilon
 buwɔh
               'fruit'
                                   b-buwəh
                                                  'to bear fruit'
 kuwɔh
                                   k-kuwəh
                                                  'to become gravy'
               'gravy'
jalε
               'road'
                                  j-jalε
                                                  'to walk'
               'friend'
                                                  'to befriend'
 saiŋ
                                   s-saiŋ
                                                  'to celebrate Eid al-Fitr'
               'Eid al-Fitr'
 уауэ
                                   ү-үауэ
CTM
 bunin
               'sound'
                                   b-bսրiŋ
                                                  'to make sound'
               'smell'
                                   b-bau
                                                  'smelly'
 bau
 diyi
               'self'
                                   d-diyi
                                                  'to stand'
                                                  'to walk'
jalaŋ
               'road'
                                   j-jalaŋ
                                                  'to befriend'
 kawaŋ
               'friend'
                                   k-kawaŋ
ITM
 biniŋ
               'wife'
                                   b-biniŋ
                                                  'to marry (a wife)'
               'blood'
                                   d-dayəh
                                                  'to bleed'
 dayɔh
                                                  'branched'
 cab > \eta
               'branch'
                                   c-cabวฦ
               'farm'
                                                  'to farm'
 kəbuŋ
                                   k-kəbuŋ
                                                  'useful'
 gun\varepsilon
               'use'
                                   g-gunε
```

(54) KM

puwo? tu do? p-pəy ε . tribe dem.dist prog intr-war 'Those tribes are at war.' (KM_180827_e01_51)

(55) CTM

sepa? batu, yə poŋ j-jalaŋ. kick stone 3 also intr-road 'He kicked the stone, and went on his way.' (CTM_181025_n02_48.1)

(56) ITM

wa?pε kakεi məʊŋ d-dayəh? why leg 2sG INTR-blood 'Why is your leg bleeding?' (ITM_220918_e01_25) Second, initial gemination can operate on verbal bases and function as a middle marker, as demonstrated in (57). The bases are typically transitive, and the derived forms become intransitive. In the case of ITM *sando* 'to lean' > *s-sando* 'to lean (oneself) against', the base *sando* is also an intransitive verb which has an inanimate subject (e.g., a ladder *sando* on the wall), whereas *ssando* is used when a person leans (oneself) against something/someone else. Example sentences are provided in (58) to (60).

(57) Gemination as a middle marker

```
KM
                'to hit'
                                        t-təpoh
                                                  'to collide'
        təpoh
                'to dry (clothes)'
                                                  'to sunbathe'
       jəmo
                                       j-jəmo
                                        s-suson
                'to arrange'
                                                  'to pile up'
        suson
                'to roll'
                                                  'to lie down'
        gulin
                                       g-guliŋ
       CTM
                                                  'to sunbathe'
       jəmo
                'to dry (clothes)'
                                       j-jəmo
                'to arrange'
                                                  'to pile up'
        suson
                                       s-suson
                'to light up s.th.'
                                                  'to light up'
       nalə
                                       n-nalə
       ITM
                 'to meet'
                                                   'to meet'
        təmuŋ
                                        t-təmuŋ
                 'wet'
                                                   'to become wet'
       jəyəʊ?
                                       j-jəyəʊʔ
        sandɔ
                 'to lean'
                                        s-sandɔ
                                                   'to lean (oneself) against'
                                                   'to light up'
       pale
                 'to light up s.th.'
                                       η-ηalε
(58)
      KM
       ba?pɔ mon j-jəmo dəna panah?
       why 2sg MID-dry with hot
       'Why are you sunbathing with this heat?'
                                                      (KM_221026_e01_64)
(59)
      CTM
       bans? ah
                     buku s-suson.
       much interj book mid-arrange
       'There are a lot of books piling up.'
                                                   (CTM_220927_e02_103)
(60)
      ITM
       budɔʔ təʊ
                       s-sando d=ayoh
                                             ah.
             DEM.DIST MID-lean LOC=father INTERJ
       'The kid is leaning on his father.'
                                                      (ITM_180921_e03_2)
```

Third, when applied to dynamic verbal bases (either transitive or intransitive), initial gemination can also derive verbs denoting non-volitional or unintentional events. Examples of this derivation are listed in (61), with sentences in context given in (62) to (64). Initial gemination in these examples typically reduces the valency of transitive verbs, or downplays the actors of intransitive verbs while highlighting the non-volitionality of the actions.

(61)Gemination as a non-volitional marker

```
KM
          'to hit'
 təpoh
                            t-təpoh
                                       'to hit (unintentionally)'
 tido
          'to sleep'
                            t-tido
                                       'to fall asleep'
 kəju?
          'to startle'
                            k-kəju?
                                       'to be startled'
 sako?
          'to hook'
                            s-sako?
                                       'to be hooked'
CTM
 cabu?
          'to pull out'
                              c-cabu?
                                         'to be pulled out'
 kəju?
          'to startle'
                              k-kəju?
                                          'to be startled'
 sako?
          'to hook'
                              s-sako?
                                          'to be hooked'
          'to kick'
 ѕера?
                              s-sepa?
                                          'to kick (unintentionally)'
ITM
 pij<sub>2</sub>?
          'to step on'
                              p-pij>?
                                         'to step on (unintentionally)'
 bakɔ
           'to burn'
                              b-bакэ
                                         'to be burnt'
 bukε
          'to open'
                              b-bukε
                                         'to open (on its own)'
          'to fall'
                                         'to fall (unintentionally)'
jatəvh
                             j-jatəʊh
```

(62)KM

diyə **k-kəju?=lah**, tεηρ? tibɔ-tibɔ kkatɔ? tu tube? NVOL-startle=SFP look suddenly frog DEM.DIST come.out dayipadɔ lubε, diyɔ **k-kəju?**.

from hole 3 **NVOL-startle**

'He was startled seeing a frog suddenly coming out from the hole, he was startled.' (KM_180812_n01_13)

(63)**CTM**

```
yə b-langə
             yəbəh hõ, c-cabu?
                                     tэрі уә.
3 MID-crash fall
                 AFF NVOL-pull.out hat 3
'He crashed (with the stone) and fell, and his hat was blown away.'
                                           (CTM_181025_n02_39.2)
```

(64) ITM

adei? t-tinga də skuləh.
younger.sibling NVOL-leave LOC school
'The younger kid was left behind at school.' (ITM_180921_e01_46)

Fourth, gemination can apply to intransitive verbs (both stative and dynamic) to derive transitive verbs with a causative meaning. This is only attested in KM and CTM, as shown in (65) to (67). The absence of initial gemination as an causative marker in ITM is not surprising, as it aligns with the lack of any morphological marker for causativity in this variety (see §5.3.1.4).

(65) Gemination as a causative marker

```
KM
b 	at to 'correct' 	au b - b 	at to 'to correct s.th.'
t 	at to 'to sleep' 	au t - t 	at to 'to put s.o. to sleep'
```

'loud' **d-d**əyah **d**əyah 'to raise (voice)' 'dry' 'to dry s.th.' kəyiŋ → **k-k**əγiŋ 'to thicken' **g**adi? 'thick' **g-g**adi? siya? 'finished' s-siya? 'to finish' 'crushed' *l-luma*? luma? 'to crush'

CTM

bəsə 'big' **b-b**əsə 'to enlarge' 'to clarify' təyaŋ 'clear' t-təyaŋ kuwa? **k-k**uwa? 'to strengthen' 'strong' 'to stuff' maso? 'to enter' **m-m**aso? 'to bathe s.o.' **m**andi 'to bathe' **m-m**andi

(66) KM

 $\begin{array}{lll} \textit{diyo}~\textit{g-gadi?} & \textit{sate} & \textit{diyo}. \\ 3 & \text{CAUS-thick coconut.milk 3} \\ \text{'It thickens the coconut milk.'} & (KM_180820_cv03_25) \end{array}$

(CTM_181029_e02_25)

(67) CTM

aku **m-mandi** anɔ? aku. lsg caus-bathe child lsg 'I am bathing my child.' Fifth, there are a few examples where initial gemination derives verbs with an imperfective aspectual meaning, as demonstrated in (68) and (69).

(68) Initial gemination as an imperfective marker

```
KM/CTM
jəyi?
        'to cry'
                → j-jəγi?
                               'crying'
        'to sell'
                               'to trade'
juwa
                     j-juwa
ITM
 bəlei
        'to buy'
                      b-bəlεi
                                'to go shopping'
        'to sell'
juwa
                      j-juwa
                                'to trade'
```

(69) ITM

suke gei b-bəlei, də? sədə abih pitih dalaŋ bei?. like go ipfv-buy neg realise finished money inside bag 'We liked going shopping, didn't realise the money in the bag was all finished.'

(ITM_180923_n01_35)

Finally, in a few examples in KM, initial gemination functions as an intensifier when applied to stative verbs or temporal nouns, as illustrated in (70) and (71).

(70) Initial gemination as an intensifier marker

```
KM
pagi 'morning' \rightarrow p-pagi '(in the) early morning'
ja\eta a a b^2 'pretty' \rightarrow j-ja\eta a a b^2 'very pretty'
paka a^2 'thick' \rightarrow p-paka a^2 'very thick'
```

(71) KM

```
aku m-masɔ? ja? p-pagi pah malɛ.

lsg caus-ripe from ints-morning until night
'I cooked from early morning until the night.' (KM_180825_e01_51)
```

To summarise, initial gemination is a polyfunctional morphophonological operation that can be applied to bases from various word classes. An overview of its functions is provided in Table 5.6. Note that gemination as a causative marker is only attested in KM and CTM, and gemination as an intensifier is only attested in KM, where the nominal bases are restricted to temporal nouns.

Dynamic Dynamic Stative **Nouns** transitive intransitive intransitive verbs verbs verbs 'INTR' + 'MID' 'NVOL' + + 'CAUS' 'IPFV' + + (-)'INTS' +

Table 5.6: Overview of functions of initial gemination

(+: attested, -: not attested, (-): attested with limited presence)

As can be seen from the table, initial gemination may serve multiple grammatical functions with bases from a given word class, which means that the derived forms may have than more meaning. With a dynamic transitive verb, for example, gemination may serve as a middle marker or denote non-volitionality. In the derivation of KM *təpoh* 'to hit' > *t-təpoh*, the derived form can mean either 'to collide' (which requires a following preposition) or 'to hit (non-volitionally)', as illustrated in (72).

(72) KM

a. moŋ t-təpoh ŋa sapɔ?
2sg MID-hit with who
'Who did you collide with?' (KM_221026_e01_46)
b. maʔāh, maʔāh, aku t-təpoh moŋ.
sorry sorry lsg NVOL-hit 2sg

(KM_221026_e01_96)

With a dynamic intransitive verb, gemination may function as either a non-volitional marker or a causative marker. For instance, KM/CTM *tido* 'to fall' can derive *t-tido* which means either 'to fall asleep' or 'to put s.o. to sleep'.

5.3.2.2 Initial gemination and prefixation

'Sorry, sorry, I hit you by mistake.'

The preceding description shows that initial gemination and prefixation exhibit many similarities. A comparison between Table 5.5 and Table 5.6 in-

dicates a significant overlap in the majority of the grammatical functions they serve: both can mark intransitivity, middle (voice), non-volitionality, causativity and the imperfective aspect.

Three additional observations further highlight the intricate relation between initial gemination and prefixation. First, to some extent, they exhibit a complementary distribution that is determined phonologically. While both processes add segments to the left of the base, initial gemination only takes place before a consonant-initial base, whereas prefixes are often attached to vowel-initial bases; compare KM ano? → by-ano? (INTR-child) 'to give birth; to be born' with $day_2h \rightarrow d$ - day_2h (INTR-blood) 'to bleed'. Second, as previously mentioned in §5.3.1.1, the derivation of certain complex forms can be ambiguous. For instance, KM b-binin 'to marry (a wife)' can be viewed as either having a prefix b- 'INTR' that coincidentally matches the base-initial consonant, or it may stem from the initial gemination of *b*- in binin 'wife'. Third, variation is occasionally attested between prefixes and geminated segments (especially in ITM), e.g., ITM jalan 'road' $\rightarrow b$ -jalan \sim jjalan (INTR-road) 'to walk'. These observations suggest the possibility of unifying prefixation and initial gemination as one single process with allomorphic alternations. However, as I will argue below, the unified analysis cannot be sustained upon closer examination, and initial gemination should be acknowledged as a separate morphophonological operation.

Recall the allomorphic alternations of the prefixes by- 'INTR; MID', ty-'NVOL' and py- 'CAUS; FCT' (§5.3.1.1): these prefixes appear as by-, ty- and pybefore vowel-initial bases, and the allomorphs b-, t- and p- surface before bases with an initial consonant, which is typically equally or more sonorous than the initial consonant in the prefixes. In a few examples, by-'INTR; MID' also appears as ba- before bases with initial CC clusters; those few instances will be ignored for now. It is conceivable to propose that initial geminated segments could be additional allomorphs of the same underlying prefixes, which occur under other phonological conditions. When the bases have initial consonants that are less sonorous than the prefixes, the C_xC_y- clusters derived from prefixation are phonologically ill-formed, hence C_x regressively assimilates to C_v, the result of which appears as geminates. This possible allomorphic alternation of by-, ty- and py- is schematised as follows:

(73) Possible allomorphic alternations of *by-, py-, ty-*

$$by-, ty-, py- \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} by-, ty-, py- & / \ _V \\ b-, t-, p- & / \ _C \text{ with same or higher sonority} \\ \text{base-initial C} & / \ _\text{other C} \end{array} \right.$$

This hypothetical allomorphic alternation between prefixation and gemination provides a plausible explanation for the derivational process in many cases, as illustrated by KM examples in (74). All these complex forms can be analysed as deriving from the prefixation of $b\gamma$ - 'INTR; MID', which has different surface realisations under different phonological conditions.

(74) An unified analysis for the prefixation of $b\gamma$ - 'INTR; MID' in KM

```
b\gamma \rightarrow b\gamma - / V
anɔ?
           'child'
                                     → by-anɔ?
                                                         'to give birth; to be born'
ae
            'water'
                                     \rightarrow by-ae
                                                         'waterv'
ubəh
           'to change (s.th.)'
                                    \rightarrow by-ub\Rightarrowh
                                                         'to become different'
by \rightarrow b - / C with same or higher sonority
            'to run'
layi
                                          b-layi
                                                         'to run'
           'to feel'
                                          b-уаsэ
                                                         'to feel'
yasə
                                                         'to bear fruit'
buwəh
           'fruit'
                                          b-buwɔh
by \rightarrow \text{geminated segments} / \text{ other C}
рәұғ
            'war'
                                                         'to be at war'
                                     \rightarrow p-p \rightarrow \gamma \varepsilon
            'to hit'
                                     → t-təpoh
                                                         'to hit (unintentionally)'
təpoh
kuwəh
                                          k-kuwoh
           'gravy'
                                                         'gravy'
```

The problem with this approach is, however, that not all instances of complex geminates can be satisfactorily explained in this way. It is important to note that all prefixes have an initial obstruent. Following the phonological conditions proposed for the hypothetical allomorphic alternations, $b\gamma$, $t\gamma$ - and $p\gamma$ - are expected to surface as b-, t-, p- before bases with initial liquid l- and γ -, which are more sonorous. Consequently, this would predict that complex geminate liquids should not occur. However, this prediction is contradicted by some attested complex forms such as KM l-luma? (CAUscrushed) 'to crush' and γ - γ ayɔ (INTR-Eid.al-Fitr) 'to celebrate Eid al-Fitr', as shown in (75).

³⁷ The expected form *p-luma?* (CAUS-crushed) 'to crush' is also attested, but its variation with *l-luma?* cannot be explained phonologically. The other expected form $^{\times}b$ - γaya is not attested and not accepted by the consultants.

(75) KM

- a. mon kənə tumbo? l-luma? ladə tu.
 2SG must pound CAUS-crushed chilli DEM.DIST
 'You must pound to crush the chilli.' (KM 180827 e01 31)
- b. bayu ni saiŋ abah mayi y-yayɔ.
 have.just DEM.PROX friend Abah come INTR-Eid.al-Fitr
 'Recently Abah's friend came over to celebrate Eid al-Fitr.'

 (KM_180816_cv01_18)

Unexplained irregularities are also observed in CTM and ITM. Similarly, since the prefix $t\gamma$ - 'NVOL' has an initial voiceless obstruent, t- is expected to surface before bases with a more sonorous initial voiced obstruent. Contrary to the expectations, however, derivatives with initial geminates are attested, as shown in (76).

(76) Gemination as a non-volitional marker

```
KM/CTM
 babah
         'to overturn' →
                             b-babah
                                        'to be overturned'
 gaton
         'to hang'
                             g-gaton
                                        'to be hung'
ITM
                         b-bakว
 bakɔ
          'to burn'
                                   'to be burnt'
 bukε
          'to open'
                         b-bukε
                                   'to open (on its own)'
jatəʊh
         'to fall'
                        j-jatəʊh
                                   'to fall (unintentionally)'
```

The initial geminates in derivatives like ITM $b\text{-}buk\varepsilon$ 'to open (on its own)' and $j\text{-}jat\partial vh$ 'to fall (unintentionally)' cannot be seen as deriving from an underlying prefix that is assimilated to the base-initial consonant, since there is no phonological basis for such assimilation ($^{\times}tb\text{-}\to bb\text{-} \text{ or }^{\times}tj\text{-}\to jj\text{-}$). The more plausible analysis, therefore, is to treat $b\text{-}buk\varepsilon$ and $j\text{-}jat\partial vh$ as derived from the bases $buk\varepsilon$ and $jat\partial vh$ from a morphophonological operation of initial gemination ($b\text{-}\to bb\text{-} \text{ and } j\text{-}\to jj\text{-}$).

Lastly, the derivation of KM forms like pagi 'morning' $\rightarrow p$ -pagi 'early morning' also indicates that initial gemination is not identical to prefixation, as there is no corresponding prefix that serves the same grammatical function as an intensifier.

In conclusion, while initial gemination resembles prefixation to certain extent (and historically it has indeed originated from prefixation in most

cases, see §8.3), it should be treated as a separate morpho(phono)logical process at the synchronic level.

5.3.2.3 Initial gemination and the cliticisation of prepositions

There are yet another two types of initial gemination that have not been discussed so far: gemination as a locative marker and an agent marker. In both cases, initial gemination operates on nominal bases. Unlike the previous types of initial gemination which are comparable to prefixation, these two processes are more similar to the cliticisation of prepositions, deriving forms that take the same syntactic slots as prepositional phrases.

Examples in (77) illustrate the usage of initial gemination as a locative marker, which derives locative nouns with a general meaning of 'on/at/in/to/from/by BASE'. The double-hyphen "=" is used to indicate the clitic status of the geminated segments. Some examples in contexts are given in (78) to (80).

(77) Gemination as a locative marker

```
KM
 bala
                                                    'at the police station'
            'police station'
                                     b=bala
            'hand'
                                                    'in the hand'
 ta\eta \varepsilon
                                     t=tan\varepsilon
                                     d=d\partial p\varepsilon
 d \partial p \varepsilon
            'front'
                                                    'in the front'
            'wall'
                                     d=dindin
                                                    'on the wall'
 dindin
            'shop'
                                     k=kəda
                                                    'in the shop'
 kəda
            'village'
                                                    'in the village'
 kapoŋ
                                     k=kapon
            'who'
                                                    'to whom'
 sapo
                                     s=sapp
CTM
            'market'
                                            'at/from the market'
 pasə
                              p=pasɔ
 bandɔ
           'city'
                            b=bandɔ
                                           'in/to the city'
 tanəh
           'ground'
                             t=tanɔh
                                           'on/to the ground'
           'middle'
                         \rightarrow t = t = t = \eta = h
                                           'in the middle'
 təŋɔh
                                           'in/to/from the kitchen'
 dapo
           'kitchen'
                             d=dapo
 kaki
           'leg'
                             k=kaki
                                           'on the leg'
           'river'
                                           'in the river'
                              s=su\eta a
 ssuŋa
```

```
ITM
 bajəʊ
                           b=bajอช
                                        'on the shirt'
           'shirt'
                           t=tiyɔŋ
                                        'on the pole'
 tiyəŋ
           'pole'
 təkəʊ?
           'neck'
                           t=təkəʊ?
                                        'around the neck'
 dusuŋ
           'Dusun'
                           d=dusu\eta
                                        'in Dusun'
 kaps?
           'axe'
                           k=kap<sub>2</sub>?
                                        'on the axe'
 liliŋ
           'candle'
                           l=lilin
                                        'on the candle'
```

As seen in the examples below, the derived forms with initial geminates resemble prepositional phrases: $k=k \partial da$ in (78) means $di k \partial da$ (LOC shop) 'in the shop', $t=tan \partial h$ in (79) means $k \partial tan \partial h$ (to ground) 'to the ground', and $p=pas \partial D$ in (80) can be replaced with $d\partial tan \partial D$ (LOC axe) 'on the axe'.

- (78) KM

 mujo adɔ ɔyɛ jatɛ k=kəda doʔ yɔyaʔ, diyɔ yɔyaʔ...
 lucky exist person male loc=shop prog say 3 say ...

 'Luckily there was a man in the shop saying ... he said ...'

 (KM_180816_cv01_45.1)
- (79) CTM

 tibə-tibə anjin tu jatoh t=tanəh.

 suddenly dog DEM.DIST fall LOC=ground

 'Suddenly the dog fell to the ground.' (CTM_181023_n02_11)
- (80) ITM uy > y u

Initial gemination may also function as an agent marker in passive constructions (see §6.4.1), as shown in (81) to (83). In these cases, initial geminated segments essentially replace the corresponding agent markers (KM $ka\sim ka$, CTM di and ITM da).

(81) KM

anɔ? aku kənɔ ttɛ c=ce?gu ayı nı dı skɔlɔh.

child lsg advs hit agt=teacher day dem.prox loc school

'My child was slapped by the teacher at school today.'

(KM_180816_cv01_45.1)

(82) CTM

ikan ho? aku bəli p=pasə ta?di makan k=kucin. fish REL ISG buy LOC=market just.now eat AGT=cat 'The fish I bought at the market was eaten by the cat.'

(CTM_220927_e02_65)

(83) ITM

budə? təv jaha?, kənɛ iga? p=pulih. kid DEM.DIST bad ADVS catch AGT=police 'That kid was bad, and he got caught by the police.'

(ITM_220915_e03_36)

Recall that the basic prepositions dV and kV in NEPMs can be shortened to single-segment proclitics d= and k=, which appear before vowel-initial hosts, e.g., KM d=atah 'on top' and ITM k=akəv 'to me' (§5.2.1 and §5.2.3, also see prepositions in §6.2.10). The initial gemination of base-initial consonants in examples (78) to (83) represents a similar type of cliticisation, even though the geminated segments do not directly reflect the shorted form of a preposition. Instead, they follow a template whose phonemic content was copied from the initial consonant of the following host.

Similar to the unified analysis of initial gemination and prefixation as discussed in §5.3.2.2, one may argue that these geminated locative/agent markers essentially result from the cliticisation of prepositions dV and kV, the outcomes of which further assimilate to the base/host-initial consonant. For example, KM $k=k \partial da$ (LOC=shop) 'in the shop' in (78) may be seen as having an underlying prepositional proclitic d=, i.e., $d=k \partial da$, with the assimilation of $dk- \rightarrow kk-$ at the surface level. Similarly, ITM p=pulih (AGT=police) 'by the police' in (83) may also be analysed as having an underlying d= 'AGT' which assimilates to p- in pulih 'police', generating pp-. Yet again some important observations suggest that this is not the optimal analysis.

Assuming that it is also the SSP that regulates the cliticisation and assimilation processes, one prediction would be that the prepositional proclitics d= and k= should occur before nouns with a more sonorous initial segment, without undergoing assimilation. However, this prediction is not borne out. On the one hand, hypothetical forms like k= budo? 'to the kid' are not attested. In fact, the proclitics d= and d= never form non-geminate clusters with the host-initial consonants. On the other hand, geminated locative markers are attested in hosts with an initial liquid, as in (84).

```
(84) ITM

ibin təv ika? l=liliŋ.

ribbon dem.prox tie loc=candle
```

'The ribbon is tied on the candle.'

(ITM_180921_e02_4)

It therefore appears that initial gemination as a locative/agent marker and the cliticisation of the basic prepositions dV and kV to d= and k= are two independent processes. The cliticisation only takes place before vowel-initial hosts; and alternatively, initial gemination of the base-initial consonant can have a general locative meaning or mark the agent in passive constructions. Both processes are optional, as prepositions can also stand on their own in full forms.

5.3.3 Compounding

Compounding is defined as the formation of a new word by adjoining two (or more) words (Bauer 2003: 40). The results are compounds that show lexical integrity, which differ from phrases in that they often have a conventionalised and idiomatic meaning. In the present study, compounds are transcribed using a hyphen '-' linking the two constituents. Depending on the grammatical relationship between the constituents, three types of compounds can be distinguished: attributive, coordinative and subordinative (see Lieber 2010: 46–49).

The most common type of compounds in NEPMs is attributive compounds, in which one element acts as the modifier of the other. These compounds are typically left-headed with a nominal head, and the modifier on the right can be either a noun or a stative verb. Some examples are given in Table 5.7. A few right-headed compounds can be found as exceptions. For example, the head <code>jayi</code> 'finger' in KM <code>ibu-jayi</code> (mother-finger) 'thumb' (also cf. ITM <code>ibav-jayei</code>) is modified by <code>ibu</code> 'mother' to the left. KM <code>ase-lima</code> (sourcitrus) 'lime' is also right-headed.

KM CTM ITM Literal translation Gloss N + N compounds buyon-atu buyəʊŋ-atəʊ bird-ghost 'owl' buγoŋ-atu wood-fire 'firewood' kayu-api кауәʊ-арєі ubi-kayu ubi-kayu ubɛi-kayəช tuber-wood 'cassava' tali-pəyu? rope-stomach 'intestine' pitəv-malein door-thief pitu-malin 'window' N + stative V compounds 'lime' lima-nipih lima-nipih citrus-thin limo-nipih bawe-bəsa bawaŋ-bəsə bawɔŋ-bəsɔ onion/garlic-big 'onion' bawe-puteh bawan-puteh bawan-puteih onion/garlic-white 'garlic' timoŋ-cinə timoŋ-cinə timuŋ-cinɛ melon-Chinese 'watermelon'

Table 5.7: Attributive compounds in NEPMs

Coordinative compounds consist of two constituents showing a relation of coordination. Examples include KM *ae-taŋɛ* (water-hand) 'home cooking', KM/CTM *to?-nɛnɛ?* (grandfather-grandmother) 'ancestors', and NEPM *mɔ?-ayɔh* (mother-father) 'parents'.

The third type of compounds is subordinate compounds, comprising a dynamic verb and a nominal element acting as the argument of the verb. KM *ae-pacu?* (water-squirt) 'fountain', KM/CTM *ae-tyajoŋ* (water-jump) 'waterfall', and ITM *litɔŋ-pukɔŋ* (cross-crotch) 'helter-skelter' are examples of subordinate compounds.

NEPMs also use compounding to form a particular type of stative verbs meaning 'very BASE', as illustrated by the KM and ITM examples in (85) and (86). I refer to them as "augmented stative verbs". Some of these augmented stative verbs may be classified as coordinate compounds formed by the juxtaposition of two stative verbs, as in ITM <code>kuyuh-kayein</code> (skinny-dry) 'very skinny' and <code>sajav?-siya?</code> (cold-finished) 'very cold'. In many instances, however, the second constituents in these augmented stative verbs are not independently attested, and their exact semantics are not clear. I tentatively consider these words as compounds with "bound words" (Fabb 2001: 69).

'very pretty

'very hot'

'very cold'

KM				
masiŋ	'salty'	masiŋ-pəya?	'very salty'	
manih	'sweet'	manih-lətiŋ	'very swee	ť
pahi?	'bitter'	pahi?-ləpε	'very bitter	r'
mase	'sour'	masɛ-puyi	'very sour'	
pətah	'spicy'	pətah-ŋaŋa	'very spicy	,
дәто?	'fat'	gəmoʔ-gdəpo	'very fat'	
kuyuh	'thin'	kuγuh-kəkε?	'very thin'	
udoh	ʻugly'	udoh-baŋa	'very ugly'	
busũ?	'smelly'	busũʔ-kəhoŋ	'very smell	ly'
ITM				
bəsə	'big'	bəsə-da?ə		'very salty'
kəcĩ?	'small'	kəcĩ?-kutẽh, k	อcĩʔ-tuwɛ̃ʔ	'very small'
manih	'sweet'	manih-mlətiŋ		'very sweet'
masaŋ	'sour'	masaŋ-gəbaŋ		'very sour'
pai?	'bitter' <i>paiʔ-ləpãŋ</i>			'very bitter'
pədah	'spicy'	pədah-dəsi?		'very spicy'
udəvh	'stupid'	udəʊh-səpaŋ		'very stupid'
kuyuh	'skinny'	kuyuh-kəyεiŋ		'very skinny'
дәти?	'fat'	gəmu?-dibũ?		'very fat'
busũ?	'smelly'	busũ?-bbaŋɔ		'very smelly'
	masin manih pahi? mase pətah gəmo? kuyuh udoh busũ? ITM bəsə kəcî? manih masan pai? pədah udəvh kuyuh gəmu?	masiŋ 'salty' manih 'sweet' pahi? 'bitter' masɛ 'sour' pətah 'spicy' gəmo? 'fat' kuyuh 'thin' udoh 'ugly' busũ? 'smelly' ITM bəsɔ 'big' kəcũ? 'small' manih 'sweet' masaŋ 'sour' pai? 'bitter' pədah 'spicy' udəvh 'stupid' kuyuh 'skinny' gəmu? 'fat'	masin 'salty' masin-pəya? manih 'sweet' manih-lətin pahi? 'bitter' pahi?-ləpɛ masɛ 'sour' masɛ-puyi pətah 'spicy' pətah-ŋaŋa gəmo? 'fat' gəmo?-gdəpo kuyuh 'thin' kuyuh-kəkɛ? udoh 'ugly' udoh-baŋa busũ? 'smelly' busũ?-kəhoŋ ITM bəsə 'big' bəsə-da?ə kəcũ? 'small' kəcũ?-kutẽh, k manih 'sweet' manih-mlətin masaŋ 'sour' masaŋ-gəbaŋ pai? 'bitter' pai?-ləpãŋ pədah 'spicy' pədah-dəsi? udəvh 'stupid' udəvh-səpaŋ kuyuh 'skinny' kuyuh-kəyɛiŋ gəmu? 'fat' gəmu?-dibũ?	masin 'salty' masin-pəya? 'very salty' manih 'sweet' manih-lətin 'very sweet' pahi?-ləpɛ 'very bitter' pahi?-ləpɛ 'very bitter' pahi?-ləpɛ 'very bitter' pahi?-ləpɛ 'very sour' pətah 'spicy' pətah-ŋaŋa 'very spicy gəmo? 'fat' gəmo?-gdəpo 'very fat' kuyuh 'thin' kuyuh-kəkɛ? 'very thin' udoh 'ugly' udoh-baŋa 'very ugly' busū? 'smelly' busū?-kəhon 'very smell' ITM bəsə 'big' bəsə-da?ə kəcī? 'small' kəcī?-kutēh, kəcī?-tuwē? manih 'sweet' manih-mlətin masan 'sour' masan-gəban pai? 'bitter' pai?-ləpān pədah 'spicy' pədah-dəsi? udəvh 'stupid' udəvh-səpan kuyuh 'skinny' kuyuh-kəyɛin gəmu? 'fat' gəmu?-dibū?

 $cum \varepsilon$ - $lut \tilde{\varepsilon}$

panah-klətei?

səjəʊ?-siya?

5.3.4 Reduplication

 $cum \varepsilon$

panah?

รอjอช?

'pretty'

'hot'

'cold'

T 23 /

Reduplication is broadly defined as the repetition of part or all of a linguistic constituent to form a new constituent with a different function (Inkelas 2014: 169). In NEPMs, reduplication is restricted to full reduplication and echo reduplication, yielding new word forms with two roots. Following Inkelas & Zoll (2005)'s analysis, full reduplication can be viewed as the compounding of two identical words, the outcomes of which are comparable to the coordinate compounds discussed earlier. Echo reduplication is best treated as a subtype of full reduplication, where the prosodic word shape of the reduplicant is retained, but certain segments undergo

slight modifications. Two examples of echo reduplication are attested in KM: gatah-gateh (RDP-cycle) 'to cycle (continuously)' and yaba-gaba (RDP-notice) 'to pay attention (casually)'. Since gateh 'to cycle' and gaba 'to notice' are bases that can occur independently, these examples also demonstrate that reduplicants are copied to the left of the bases. In the first example, the vowel e changes to o, and in the second example, the consonant e0 becomes e1.

Reduplication is a productive process that can be applied to words from various word classes. The following discussion provides an overview of the semantics of reduplication. As will be shown, reduplication mostly functions in an iconic way.

First, when applied to nouns, reduplication overtly expresses plurality and diversity, as illustrated by the examples in (87).

(87) Reduplication of nouns: plurality and diversity

a. KM

diyə teŋə? atah ka? batu tu adə pəkə?-pəkə?,

3 look top near stone DEM.DIST EXIST RDP-tree

diyə ina? kə yatin kayu.

3 think PREP branch wood

'He saw some trees on the stone; he thought they were branches.' $(KM_180812_n01_19)$

b. CTM

adə tigə ekə ayan dudo? ka? tanəh tu, EXIST three CLF chicken sit near ground DEM.DIST

ano?-ano? yə adə ah.

RDP-child 3 EXIST INTERI

'There are three chickens on the ground, and their children were also there.' (CTM 181029 n01 5)

c. ITM

bəʊʔ-bəʊʔ atah mije neh haʔ mike. RDP-book top table DEM.PROX REL 3PL

'The books on the table are theirs.' (ITM_180909_e02_20)

The reduplication of temporal nouns often results in an adverbial reading, e.g., KM *mulɔ* 'beginning' → *mulɔ-mulɔ* 'in the beginning' and ITM *pagɛi* 'morning' → *pagɛi-pagɛi* 'in the early morning'.

Second, when applied to stative verbs, reduplication can indicate intensity, as illustrated in (88).

(88) Reduplication of stative verbs: intensity

a KM

supɔ nasiʔ-ləmɔʔ, diyɔ tɔʔleh api dəyah-dəyah.
like rice-grease 3 cannot fire RDP-high

'Like (when making) Nasi Lemak, the heat cannot be very high.'

(KM_180820_cv03_49)

b. CTM

diyə pon pəlo? anjin tu kuwa?-kuwa? ah.

3 also hug dog DEM.DIST RDP-strong INTERJ

'He hugged the dog very tightly.' (CTM_181023_n02_14)

c. ITM

kaləv dudəv? uməh mə? əndəh tah puŋ lame-lame if stay house mother Endah dem.dist also RDP-long də? səda? juge.

NEG nice also

'If we stay at Mrs. Endah's place for too long, that's also not nice.' $(ITM_180926_cv02_37)$

Depending on the context, reduplication sometimes signals the reverse semantics of attenuation. In (89a), *manih-manih* does not mean 'very sweet' but 'kind of sweet', and in (89b), *kɔko-kɔko* means 'brownish, a bit brown'.

(89) Reduplication of stative verbs: attenuation

a. KM

ike manih-manih ggitu=lah, isi samba. fish RDP-sweet like.that=SFP content sambal 'The fish that's kind of sweet, filled with sambal.'

(KM_180820_cv03_74)

b. KM

diyə macε diyə wanə ija ... ija kəko-kəko.
3 like 3 colour green ... green RDP-brown
'İts colour is like green, brownish green.' (KM_180820_cv03_75)

When a stative verb functions as an attributive modifier or a predicate, its reduplication can contribute to a plural reading of the head noun or the subject. Examples in (90) illustrate this function.

(90) Reduplication of stative verbs: plurality

a. KM

stai ye tuwo-tuwo=lah. style(ENG) person RDP-old=SFP

'It's the old people's style.' $(KM_180820_cv03_248)$

b. CTM

yə la? wəh pε hə? kətə-kətə ... diyə la? ŋaŋ kaiŋ yə.
3 wipe fruit pear REL RDP-dirty ... 3 wipe with cloth 3
'He wiped the dirty pears; he wiped them with his cloth.'

(CTM_180825_n02_9)

c. ITM

ləpah anɔ? bəsɔ-bəsɔ tah, adɛ=lah yəzəkɛi sikĩ?-sikĩ?. after child RDP-big DEM.DIST have=FOC livelihood RDP-little 'After the children grew up, we had a little bit of saving.' (ITM_180923_n01_27)

Third, with dynamic verbs, reduplication often encodes continuation and iterativity of the actions, as shown in (91).

(91) Reduplication of dynamic verbs: continuation and iterativity

a. KM

pah kitə gəle?-gəle? ggitu ah. then IPL RDP-flip like.that interj 'Then we keep flipping (the fish) like that.'

(KM 180820 cv03 83.2)

b. CTM

sake? lutu?, sakə? məndə hɔ̃, uwaŋ-uwaŋ stɔkiŋ, sake?.
hurt knee hurt what Aff RDP-throw sock hurt
'His knee hurt, something hurt ... then he was dusting his socks.'

(CTM_181025_n02_42.2)

c. ITM

```
diye puŋ lamba-lamba kə ha? yama kkatɔ? nəh.

3sg then RDP-wave to REL many frog DEM.PROX

'Then he was waving at the many frogs.' (ITM_180907_n01_31)
```

Another common function of reduplicating dynamic verbs is to indicate casualness or aimlessness, as illustrated in (92).

(92) Reduplication of dynamic verbs: casualness

a. KM

diyə do? ita-ita teŋɔ? tuwe diyə tə? gaba, 3 prog rdp-peep look owner 3 neg pay.attention diyə poŋ aka?.

3 then lift

'He peeped (casually), seeing that the owner wasn't paying attention, he just took (a basket).' (KM_180814_n01_22)

b. CTM

bale?-bale? ta?di, b-bukə pitu.

RDP-return just.now NVOL-open door

'When I came back just now, the door was open.'

(CTM_220927_e02_123)

c. ITM

```
təŋɔh dimɛ dudəʊʔ-dudəʊʔ, minuŋ-minuŋ ai,
middle 3PL RDP-sit RDP-drink water
makaŋ-makaŋ nɛh ...
RDP-eat DEM.PROX ...
'While they were sitting around, drinking and eating (casually)
...' (ITM 180927 n03 3.1)
```

Reduplication can also apply to interrogatives, forming indefinite pronouns or pronominal adverbs with the meanings such as 'anywhere' or 'anything', as in the examples in (93).

(93) Reduplication of interrogatives

```
CTM

man \partial 'which; where' \rightarrow man \partial -man \partial 'anywhere'

bil \partial 'when' \rightarrow bil \partial -bil \partial 'anytime'
```

```
ITM
```

```
m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
ightharpoonup m 
i
```

Lastly, some reduplicated forms have a conventionalised meaning that cannot be immediately deduced from the base form. For example, KM yupɔ-yupɔ (RDP-appearance) means 'seemingly' (cf. CTM yupɔ-yupə and ITM upɛ-upɛ), and tibɔ-tibɔ (RDP-arrive) means 'suddenly' (cf. CTM tibə-tibə and ITM tibɛ-tibɛ).

A question worth exploring is whether initial gemination in NEPMs can be categorised as a special type of reduplication, i.e., the reduplication of a bare consonant. There are two different views on the more general relationship between gemination and reduplication in the literature. On the one hand, some scholars consider gemination as a type of partial reduplication, with the reduplicant being a single segment (Inkelas 2005, 2014; Rubino 2005, 2013). On the other hand, others argue that the reduplicative template must consist of well-defined prosodic constituents, the smallest of which being a mora (McCarthy & Prince 1986, 1995). The doubling of a segment like a consonant, which is not admitted as a proper prosodic constituent, is therefore excluded from reduplication. Whether initial consonant doubling can be considered as an instance of reduplication depends on how linguistic facts are formalised. In NEPMs, it makes sense to treat these two phenomena as separate morpho(phono)logical processes for the following reasons. For one, as discussed earlier, initial gemination is primarily derivational and related to prefixation and the cliticisation of certain prepositions, while full reduplication is closer to compounding, often carrying iconic meanings. Overall, initial gemination and full reduplication do not concur in their semantics and functions, except in cases where the bases are temporal nouns. Compare KM/CTM p-pagi with ITM pagei-pagei '(in the) early morning'; in both, initial gemination and full reduplication indicate intensity with an adverbial reading. Moreover, if initial gemination is seen as a subtype of reduplication, it would be the only type of partial reduplication. This created a pattern where the reduplicative template is either as small as a single consonant, or as big as a full phonological word, leaving a wide gap for all other types of prosodic constituents. Although this pattern is not necessarily problematic, on the whole the analysis seems unfavourable.

5.3.5 Fossilised complex words

The previous sections have examined how complex words are formed in NEPMs through various word-formation processes at the synchronic level. In addition, there are words that initially appear complex but are, in fact, derived historically and are no longer analysable synchronically, either because of the loss of one or more constituents in the original derivative or because of the contraction of earlier compounds or reduplicated forms. In this section, I introduce these fossilised complex words and explain why they are treated as such.

Examples of historical derivatives in NEPMs are presented in Table 5.8. The '|' sign marks the historical morpheme boundary. At first glance, these words appear to have derived from affixation, especially when compared with their SM correspondences: the first two sets may be seen as having the prefix by- 'INTR; MID', and the forms with initial nasals could be associated with the prefix NN_1 - 'IPFV'. However, none of the putative bases is attested as isolated words synchronically (e.g., $\forall ati/at\epsilon i$ or $\forall (p)ik\epsilon i$); as for the last two sets, neither the bases $b\epsilon k/bi/k$, $martin the suffixes -i/-\epsilon i$, \times - ε /- $a\eta$ are attested.

Table 5.8: Historical derivatives that are synchronically unanalysable

KM	CTM	ITM	SM	Gloss
by əti	by əti	bγ ətεi	bər-hənti	'to stop'
b үә $narepsilon$	b yənaŋ	b unɔŋ	bə-rənaŋ	'to swim'
mm ike	mm ike	mm ikɛi	məN-ikir	'to think'
nn ayi	nn ayi	nn ayεi	məN- <t>ari</t>	'to dance'
ŋŋ uwa?	ŋŋ uwa?	ŋŋ uwa?	məN- <k>uap</k>	ʻto yawn'
ŋŋ ale	ŋŋ ale	ŋŋ ali	məN-alir	'to flow'
$b \varepsilon ? k i$	$b \varepsilon ? k i$	bi?k εi	baik-i	'to repair'
mɔʔt $ arepsilon $	mɔʔt aŋ	ambut aŋ	rambut-an	ʻrambutan'
nnis $ arepsilon $	nnis aŋ	manis aŋ	manis-an	ʻpalm sugar'

Also importantly, historical derivatives are indistinguishable from simple words in terms of their phonological properties. As mentioned in §2.4, §3.4, §4.4 and §5.2.2, simple words and (historical) derivatives have similar phonological shapes and are subject to the same phonotactic constraints. Consider the following two sets of words in KM:

```
(94) KM
```

```
byəsiŋ
                                         'to sneeze'
a.
     byəti
                                         'to stop'
               by|əti
     byano?
               by-ano?
                         (INTR-child)
                                         'to give birth; to be born'
b.
    nnate
                                             'animal'
              nn|ayi
                                             'to dance'
     nnayi
              NN_1-<t>ane
                             (IPFV-plant)
                                            'planting'
     ппапє
```

In each set, the three forms have different morphological structures: byasiy 'to sneeze' and $nnat\varepsilon$ 'animal' are simple words, by|ati 'to stop' and nn|ayi 'to dance' are historical derivatives, and by-ana? 'to give birth; to be born' and $nn-< t> an\varepsilon$ 'planting' are complex words. However, the phonological structure of words within each set is similar: all three words in (94a) have a CCVCV(C) shape with an initial by- cluster, and all three words in (94b) have a CCVCV shape with an initial nn- cluster. There is no phonological difference between byasiy 'to sneeze' and by|ati 'to stop', and when the diachronic view is set aside, their morphological structures are identical. In other words, within the internal system of KM, the only reasons to consider words like by-ana? and $nn-< t> an\varepsilon$ as complex are the occurrences of their bases ana? and $tan\varepsilon$, and the form-meaning association between the derivative and the base.

Some fossilised complex words were originally compounds. A noteworthy example is the word for 'sun', namely KM/CTM *ttayi* and ITM *mata?ayɛi* (cf. SM *mata-hari* 'sun', lit. 'eye-day'). KM/CTM *ttayi* apparently developed from the contraction of *mata-hari > †matari > ttayi (involving the loss of *h and the merger of two *a, followed by syllable reduction; see Chapter 7 for more detail on sound changes). Synchronically, KM/CTM *ttayi* 'sun' cannot be further decomposed. The analysis for ITM *mata?ayɛi* is somewhat disputable, and it is perhaps best treated as a compound with a cranberry morpheme *mata?*- (cf. *matɛ* 'eye', which has a different shape).

Lastly, some NEPM words with initial geminates correspond to SM forms with full reduplication, suggesting that they may be fossilised reduplicated forms. Some examples are given in Table 5.9.

KM **CTM** ITM SM Gloss kkat₂? kkat₂? kkat₂? katak 'frog' kura-kura '(land) turtle' kkuyə kkuyə kkuyε та?атє 'butterfly' ууатэ үүатә rama-rama 'spider' llabə llabə $glab \varepsilon^a$ laba-laba ррауи ррауәи paru-paru 'lung'

Table 5.9: Fossilised reduplication in NEPMs

These words cannot be analysed as complex given the absence of bases such as $\times kato?$ and $\times kuyo/kuyo/kuyo.$ It is likely they reflect earlier partial reduplication (e.g., ^+k okatak, ^+k okura) followed by regular deletion of antepenultimate vowels (see §7.5). The evidence is nevertheless circumstantial, only inferred from their correspondence with SM forms.

5.4 Summary

This chapter has provided an overview of the morphological systems of NEPMs, starting with a discussion of wordhood and other morphological units such as affixes and clitics. The examination then moved onto the formation of complex words through various morphological processes, and special attention was paid to fossilised complex words.

Words in NEPMs are primarily defined on phonological grounds, with evidence drawn from segmental features and phonotactics. Grammatical words often, but not always, coincide with phonological words. The overwhelming majority of words in NEPMs consist of only one morpheme, i.e., they are simple words. When a word consists of more than one morpheme, its internal structure is often relatively simple with only one affix. Based on this observation, the general isolating profile of NEPMs was motivated. Prefixes differ from words not only in their morphological boundness but also in their phonological shapes, as they are typically subsyllabic. An intermediate category between affixes and words is formed by clitics, which exhibit a wide range of phonological behaviour. Three subtypes of clitics may be

^a Initial g- is unexplained.

^b KM *pləpoŋ* 'lung'.

identified, namely affixal clitics, free clitics and weak words.

In terms of word-formation, complex words in NEPMs can be derived through prefixation and initial gemination, whereby a complex form with a geminate cluster C_xC_x - derives from a base with a singleton consonant C_x -. While initial gemination resembles prefixation and the cliticisation of prepositions with overlapping grammatical functions, a closer examination reveals that it must be recognised as a morphophonological process which does not utilise invariant segmental material. Other word-formation processes include compounding and reduplication. Reduplication in NEPMs can be considered a special type of compounding, as it is restricted to full reduplication and echo reduplication with reduplicants taking the shape of a root.

The morphology of NEPMs showcases several noteworthy features, both within the Malayic group and from a cross-linguistic perspective. First, NEPMs have notably small inventories of affixes (five or four) with a strong prefixing preference. Within the Malayic varieties, such reduced morphology is characteristic of the contact varieties in Eastern Indonesia (Adelaar 2005c; Paauw 2008). Despite being vernacular varieties, NEPMs nevertheless share a similar morphological profile with these contact varieties, which raises questions about the role played by language contact in the evolution of NEPMs (see more discussions in §8.4.3). Second, the prefixation process is severely limited by the phonological conditions on permitted clusters in word-initial position, which further exemplifies the interplay between phonology and morphology (§5.2.4). Lastly, the grammatical functions performed by prefixes are often overtaken by the morphophonological operation of initial gemination (see more discussions in Chapter 7 from a diachronic perspective). While morphological gemination is known in a few languages including Arabic and Alabama (Hardy & Montler 1988; El Zarka 2005), no previous reports of morphological gemination in word-initial position have been documented to my knowledge.