



Universiteit  
Leiden

The Netherlands

## Model-assisted robust optimization for continuous black-box problems

Ullah, S.

### Citation

Ullah, S. (2023, September 27). *Model-assisted robust optimization for continuous black-box problems*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3642009>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3642009>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

---

## Bibliography

- Alarie, S., C. Audet, A. E. Gheribi, M. Kokkolaras, and S. Le Digabel (2021). Two decades of blackbox optimization applications. *EURO Journal on Computational Optimization* 9, 100011.
- Audet, C. and W. Hare (2017). *Derivative-free and blackbox optimization*, Volume 2. Springer.
- Audet, C. and M. Kokkolaras (2016). Blackbox and derivative-free optimization: theory, algorithms and applications.
- Auer, P. (2002). Using confidence bounds for exploitation-exploration trade-offs. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 3(Nov), 397–422.
- Averbakh, I. and Y.-B. Zhao (2008). Explicit reformulations for robust optimization problems with general uncertainty sets. *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 18(4), 1436–1466.
- Bäck, T., D. B. Fogel, and Z. Michalewicz (2018). *Evolutionary computation 1: Basic algorithms and operators*. CRC press.
- Bäck, T. and H.-P. Schwefel (1993). An overview of evolutionary algorithms for parameter optimization. *Evolutionary computation* 1(1), 1–23.
- Bagheri, M., M. Miri, and N. Shabakhty (2016). Fuzzy reliability analysis using a new alpha level set optimization approach based on particle swarm optimization. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems* 30(1), 235–244.
- Bal, H., D. Epema, C. de Laat, R. van Nieuwpoort, J. Romein, F. Seinstras, C. Snoek, and H. Wijshoff (2016). A medium-scale distributed system for computer science research: Infrastructure for the long term. *Computer* 49(5), 54–63.

- Balakrishnan, A. V. (2012). *Introduction to optimization theory in a Hilbert space*, Volume 42. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Barthelemy, J.-F. and R. T. Haftka (1993). Approximation concepts for optimum structural design: a review. *Structural optimization* 5(3), 129–144.
- Beasley, D., D. R. Bull, and R. R. Martin (1993). A sequential niche technique for multimodal function optimization. *Evolutionary computation* 1(2), 101–125.
- Belkin, M. and P. Niyogi (2002). Laplacian eigenmaps and spectral techniques for embedding and clustering. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pp. 585–591.
- Bellman, R. E. and L. A. Zadeh (1970). Decision-making in a fuzzy environment. *Management science* 17(4), B–141.
- Belotti, P., C. Kirches, S. Leyffer, J. Linderoth, J. Luedtke, and A. Mahajan (2013). Mixed-integer nonlinear optimization. *Acta Numerica* 22, 1–131.
- Ben-Tal, A., L. El Ghaoui, and A. Nemirovski (2009). *Robust optimization*, Volume 28. Princeton University Press.
- Ben-Tal, A., L. E. Ghaoui, and A. Nemirovski (2009). *Robust Optimization*, Volume 28 of *Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics*. Princeton University Press.
- Ben-Tal, A., A. Goryashko, E. Guslitzer, and A. Nemirovski (2004). Adjustable robust solutions of uncertain linear programs. *Mathematical programming* 99(2), 351–376.
- Bengio, Y., L. Yao, G. Alain, and P. Vincent (2013). Generalized denoising auto-encoders as generative models. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pp. 899–907.
- Bergstra, J., R. Bardenet, Y. Bengio, and B. Kégl (2011). Algorithms for hyperparameter optimization. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 24: 25th Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2011. Proceedings of a meeting held 12-14 December 2011, Granada, Spain*, pp. 2546–2554.
- Bergstra, J., R. Bardenet, Y. Bengio, and B. Kégl (2011). Algorithms for hyperparameter optimization. In *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, NIPS'11, USA*, pp. 2546–2554. Curran Associates Inc.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

- Bergstra, J., D. Yamins, and D. Cox (2013a). Making a science of model search: Hyperparameter optimization in hundreds of dimensions for vision architectures. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 115–123. PMLR.
- Bergstra, J., D. Yamins, and D. D. Cox (2013b). Making a science of model search: Hyperparameter optimization in hundreds of dimensions for vision architectures. In *ICML*.
- Berlinet, A. and C. Thomas-Agnan (2011). *Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces in probability and statistics*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Bertsimas, D., D. B. Brown, and C. Caramanis (2011). Theory and applications of robust optimization. *SIAM review* 53(3), 464–501.
- Bertsimas, D. and N. Koduri (2022). Data-driven optimization: A reproducing kernel hilbert space approach. *Operations Research* 70(1), 454–471.
- Bertsimas, D., O. Nohadani, and K. M. Teo (2010). Robust optimization for unconstrained simulation-based problems. *Operations research* 58(1), 161–178.
- Beyer, H.-G. and B. Sendhoff (2007). Robust optimization—a comprehensive survey. *Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering* 196(33-34), 3190–3218.
- Bhosekar, A. and M. Ierapetritou (2018). Advances in surrogate based modeling, feasibility analysis, and optimization: A review. *Computers & Chemical Engineering* 108, 250–267.
- Bishop, C. M. (2007). *Pattern recognition and machine learning, 5th Edition*. Information science and statistics. Springer.
- Boggs, P. T. and J. W. Tolle (1995). Sequential quadratic programming. *Acta numerica* 4, 1–51.
- Bossek, J., P. Kerschke, A. Neumann, F. Neumann, and C. Doerr (2019). One-shot decision-making with and without surrogates. *CoRR abs/1912.08956*.
- Box, G. E. and N. R. Draper (1987). *Empirical model-building and response surfaces*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Bubeck, S., R. Munos, and G. Stoltz (2009). Pure exploration in multi-armed bandits problems. In *International conference on Algorithmic learning theory*, pp. 23–37. Springer.

- Chen, S., J. Montgomery, and A. Bolufé-Röhler (2015). Measuring the curse of dimensionality and its effects on particle swarm optimization and differential evolution. *Applied Intelligence* 42(3), 514–526.
- Chowdhury, S. and S. Taguchi (2016). *Robust Optimization: World’s Best Practices for Developing Winning Vehicles*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Coello, C. C. and M. S. Lechuga (2002). Mopso: A proposal for multiple objective particle swarm optimization. In *Proceedings of the 2002 Congress on Evolutionary Computation. CEC’02 (Cat. No. 02TH8600)*, Volume 2, pp. 1051–1056. IEEE.
- Conn, A. R., K. Scheinberg, and L. N. Vicente (2009). *Introduction to derivative-free optimization*. SIAM.
- Cox, G. and W. Cochran (1957). *Experimental designs*. New York.
- Cristianini, N. and J. Shawe-Taylor (2004). *Support Vector Machines and other kernel-based learning methods*. Cambridge.
- Dantzig, G. B. (1955). Linear programming under uncertainty. *Management science* 1(3-4), 197–206.
- Das, I. (2000). Robustness optimization for constrained nonlinear programming problems. *Engineering Optimization+ A35* 32(5), 585–618.
- Deb, K., A. Pratap, S. Agarwal, and T. Meyarivan (2002). A fast and elitist multiobjective genetic algorithm: Nsga-ii. *IEEE transactions on evolutionary computation* 6(2), 182–197.
- Demartines, P. and J. Héroult (1997). Curvilinear component analysis: A self-organizing neural network for nonlinear mapping of data sets. *IEEE Transactions on neural networks* 8(1), 148–154.
- Der Kiureghian, A. and O. Ditlevsen (2009). Aleatory or epistemic? does it matter? *Structural safety* 31(2), 105–112.
- El Ghaoui, L. and H. Lebret (1997). Robust solutions to least-squares problems with uncertain data. *SIAM Journal on matrix analysis and applications* 18(4), 1035–1064.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

- Emmerich, M. (2005). *Single-and multi-objective evolutionary design optimization assisted by gaussian random field metamodels*. Ph. D. thesis, Dortmund, Univ., Diss., 2005.
- Emmerich, M. T. and A. H. Deutz (2018). A tutorial on multiobjective optimization: fundamentals and evolutionary methods. *Natural computing* 17(3), 585–609.
- Fan, J. (2007). Variable screening in high-dimensional feature space. In *Proceedings of the 4th international congress of chinese mathematicians*, Volume 2, pp. 735–747.
- Ferreira, S. C., R. Bruns, H. Ferreira, G. Matos, J. David, G. Brandão, E. P. da Silva, L. Portugal, P. Dos Reis, A. Souza, et al. (2007). Box-behnken design: an alternative for the optimization of analytical methods. *Analytica chimica acta* 597(2), 179–186.
- Fisher, R. A. (1936). Design of experiments. *Br Med J* 1(3923), 554–554.
- Forrester, A., A. Sobester, and A. Keane (2008). *Engineering design via surrogate modelling: a practical guide*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Frazier, P. I. (2018). Bayesian optimization. In *Recent advances in optimization and modeling of contemporary problems*, pp. 255–278. Informa.
- Gabrel, V., C. Murat, and A. Thiele (2014). Recent advances in robust optimization: An overview. *European journal of operational research* 235(3), 471–483.
- Garrido-Merchán, E. C. and D. Hernández-Lobato (2020). Dealing with categorical and integer-valued variables in bayesian optimization with gaussian processes. *Neurocomputing* 380, 20–35.
- Goh, T. (1993). Taguchi methods: some technical, cultural and pedagogical perspectives. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International* 9(3), 185–202.
- Golub, G. H. and C. F. Van Loan (2013). *Matrix computations*. JHU press.
- Goodfellow, I., Y. Bengio, and A. Courville (2016). *Deep learning*. MIT press.
- Gramacy, R. B. (2020). *Surrogates: Gaussian process modeling, design, and optimization for the applied sciences*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.

- Gregory, C., K. Darby-Dowman, and G. Mitra (2011). Robust optimization and portfolio selection: The cost of robustness. *European Journal of Operational Research* 212(2), 417–428.
- Hansen, N., A. Auger, R. Ros, O. Mersmann, T. Tutar, and D. Brockhoff (2021). COCO: a platform for comparing continuous optimizers in a black-box setting. *Optim. Methods Softw.* 36(1), 114–144.
- Hastie, T., R. Tibshirani, J. H. Friedman, and J. H. Friedman (2009). *The elements of statistical learning: data mining, inference, and prediction*, Volume 2. Springer.
- Herrmann, J. W. (1999). A genetic algorithm for minimax optimization problems. In *Proceedings of the 1999 Congress on Evolutionary Computation-CEC99 (Cat. No. 99TH8406)*, Volume 2, pp. 1099–1103. IEEE.
- Hinton, G. E. and R. S. Zemel (1994). Autoencoders, minimum description length and helmholtz free energy. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pp. 3–10.
- Hoffman, M., B. Shahriari, and N. Freitas (2014). On correlation and budget constraints in model-based bandit optimization with application to automatic machine learning. In *Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, pp. 365–374. PMLR.
- Hutter, F., H. H. Hoos, and K. Leyton-Brown (2011). Sequential model-based optimization for general algorithm configuration. In *Learning and Intelligent Optimization - 5th International Conference, LION 5, Rome, Italy, January 17-21, 2011. Selected Papers*, Volume 6683 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 507–523. Springer.
- Hutter, F., H. H. Hoos, and K. Leyton-Brown (2011). Sequential model-based optimization for general algorithm configuration. In *International conference on learning and intelligent optimization*, pp. 507–523. Springer.
- Hutter, F., H. H. Hoos, K. Leyton-Brown, and T. Stützle (2009). Paramils: an automatic algorithm configuration framework. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 36, 267–306.
- Ionescu-Bujor, M. and D. G. Cacuci (2004). A comparative review of sensitivity and uncertainty analysis of large-scale systems: Deterministic methods. *Nuclear science and engineering* 147(3), 189–203.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

- Jiang, R., J. Wang, M. Zhang, and Y. Guan (2013). Two-stage minimax regret robust unit commitment. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* 28(3), 2271–2282.
- Jin, R., X. Du, and W. Chen (2003). The use of metamodeling techniques for optimization under uncertainty. *Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization* 25(2), 99–116.
- Jin, Y. and J. Branke (2005). Evolutionary optimization in uncertain environments—a survey. *IEEE Transactions on evolutionary computation* 9(3), 303–317.
- Johnson, D. H. (2006). Signal-to-noise ratio. *Scholarpedia* 1(12), 2088.
- Johnson, M. E., L. M. Moore, and D. Ylvisaker (1990). Minimax and maximin distance designs. *Journal of statistical planning and inference* 26(2), 131–148.
- Jolliffe, I. T. (1986). Principal components in regression analysis. In *Principal component analysis*, pp. 129–155. Springer.
- Jolliffe, I. T. and J. Cadima (2016). Principal component analysis: a review and recent developments. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 374(20150202), 20150202.
- Jones, D. R., M. Schonlau, and W. J. Welch (1998). Efficient global optimization of expensive black-box functions. *Journal of Global optimization* 13(4), 455–492.
- Jurecka, F. (2007). *Robust design optimization based on metamodeling techniques*. Ph. D. thesis, Technische Universität München.
- Kall, P., S. W. Wallace, and P. Kall (1994). *Stochastic programming*. Springer.
- Kalman, D. (1984). The generalized vandermonde matrix. *Mathematics Magazine* 57(1), 15–21.
- Keane, A., A. Forrester, and A. Sobester (2008). *Engineering design via surrogate modelling: a practical guide*. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc.
- Kim, M., T. Hiroyasu, M. Miki, and S. Watanabe (2004). SPEA2+: improving the performance of the strength pareto evolutionary algorithm 2. In *Parallel*



- Problem Solving from Nature - PPSN VIII, 8th International Conference, Birmingham, UK, September 18-22, 2004, Proceedings*, Volume 3242 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 742–751. Springer.
- Kingma, D. P. and M. Welling (2014). Auto-encoding variational bayes. In *2nd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2014, Banff, AB, Canada, April 14-16, 2014, Conference Track Proceedings*.
- Kingma, D. P., M. Welling, et al. (2019). An introduction to variational autoencoders. *Foundations and Trends® in Machine Learning* 12(4), 307–392.
- Kirkpatrick, S., C. D. Gelatt Jr, and M. P. Vecchi (1983). Optimization by simulated annealing. *science* 220(4598), 671–680.
- Kleijnen, J. P., W. Van Beers, and I. Van Nieuwenhuysse (2012). Expected improvement in efficient global optimization through bootstrapped kriging. *Journal of global optimization* 54(1), 59–73.
- König, O. and M. Wintermantel (2004). Cad-based evolutionary design optimization with catia v5. *Proceedings of 1st Weimar Optimization and Stochastic Days WOST, Weimar*, 1–30.
- Korte, B. H., J. Vygen, B. Korte, and J. Vygen (2011). *Combinatorial optimization*, Volume 1. Springer.
- Kruisselbrink, J. W. (2012). *Evolution strategies for robust optimization*. Ph. D. thesis, Leiden University.
- Lee, K.-H. and G.-J. Park (2001). Robust optimization considering tolerances of design variables. *Computers & Structures* 79(1), 77–86.
- Lewis, R. M., V. Torczon, and M. W. Trosset (2000). Direct search methods: then and now. *Journal of computational and Applied Mathematics* 124(1-2), 191–207.
- Liu, J., Z. Han, and W. Song (2012). Comparison of infill sampling criteria in kriging-based aerodynamic optimization. In *28th congress of the international council of the aeronautical sciences*, pp. 23–28.
- Maaten, L. v. d. and G. Hinton (2008). Visualizing data using t-sne. *Journal of machine learning research* 9(Nov), 2579–2605.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

- McIlhagga, M., P. Husbands, and R. Ives (1996). A comparison of search techniques on a wing-box optimisation problem. In *International Conference on Parallel Problem Solving from Nature*, pp. 614–623. Springer.
- Merkuryeva, G. and V. Bolshakovs (2011). Benchmark fitness landscape analysis. *International Journal of Simulation Systems, Science and Technology* 12(2), 38–45.
- Močkus, J. (1975). On bayesian methods for seeking the extremum. In *Optimization techniques IFIP technical conference*, pp. 400–404. Springer.
- Močkus, J. (2012). *Bayesian approach to global optimization: theory and applications*, Volume 37. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Montgomery, D. C. (2017). *Design and analysis of experiments*. John wiley & sons.
- Morales, J. L. and J. Nocedal (2011). Remark on algorithm 778: L-bfgs-b: Fortran subroutines for large-scale bound constrained optimization. *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS)* 38(1), 1–4.
- Mulvey, J. M., R. J. Vanderbei, and S. A. Zenios (1995). Robust optimization of large-scale systems. *Operations research* 43(2), 264–281.
- Myers, R. H., D. C. Montgomery, and C. M. Anderson-Cook (2016). *Response surface methodology: process and product optimization using designed experiments*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Nissen, V. and J. Propach (1998). Optimization with noisy function evaluations. In *International Conference on Parallel Problem Solving from Nature*, pp. 159–168. Springer.
- Olsson, A., G. Sandberg, and O. Dahlblom (2003). On latin hypercube sampling for structural reliability analysis. *Structural safety* 25(1), 47–68.
- Orr, M. J. et al. (1996). Introduction to radial basis function networks.
- Pareto, V., A. S. Schwier, A. N. Page, et al. (1971). *Manual of political economy*. Macmillan London.
- Parr, J., C. M. Holden, A. I. Forrester, and A. J. Keane (2010). Review of efficient surrogate infill sampling criteria with constraint handling. In *2nd International conference on engineering optimization*, pp. 1–10.

- Parr, W. C. (1989). Introduction to quality engineering: designing quality into products and processes.
- Paté-Cornell, M. E. (1996). Uncertainties in risk analysis: Six levels of treatment. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 54(2-3), 95–111.
- Pedregosa, F., G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg, J. Vanderplas, A. Passos, D. Cournapeau, M. Brucher, M. Perrot, and E. Duchesnay (2011). Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 12, 2825–2830.
- Persson, J. and J. Ölvander (2013). Comparison of different uses of metamodels for robust design optimization. In *51st AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting Including the New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition*, pp. 1039.
- Pignatiello Jr, J. J. (1988). An overview of the strategy and tactics of taguchi. *IIE transactions* 20(3), 247–254.
- Pignatiello Jr, J. J. and J. S. Ramberg (1991). Top ten triumphs and tragedies of genichi taguchi. *Quality Engineering* 4(2), 211–225.
- Plackett, R. L. and J. P. Burman (1946). The design of optimum multifactorial experiments. *Biometrika* 33(4), 305–325.
- Ponweiser, W., T. Wagner, and M. Vincze (2008). Clustered multiple generalized expected improvement: A novel infill sampling criterion for surrogate models. In *2008 IEEE congress on evolutionary computation (IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence)*, pp. 3515–3522. IEEE.
- Pošík, P., W. Huyer, and L. Pál (2012). A comparison of global search algorithms for continuous black box optimization. *Evolutionary computation* 20(4), 509–541.
- Queipo, N. V., R. T. Haftka, W. Shyy, T. Goel, R. Vaidyanathan, and P. K. Tucker (2005). Surrogate-based analysis and optimization. *Progress in aerospace sciences* 41(1), 1–28.
- Ramnath, S., P. Haghghi, J. H. Kim, D. Detwiler, M. Berry, J. J. Shah, N. Aulig, P. Wollstadt, and S. Menzel (2019). Automatically generating 60,000 cad variants for big data applications. In *International Design Engineering Technical*

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

- Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference*, Volume 59179, pp. V001T02A006. American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
- Ramnath, S., J. J. Shah, P. Wollstadt, M. Bujny, S. Menzel, and D. Detwiler (2022). Osu-honda automobile hood dataset (carhoods10k). [Online].
- Ranzato, M., C. Poultney, S. Chopra, and Y. L. Cun (2007). Efficient learning of sparse representations with an energy-based model. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pp. 1137–1144.
- Rao, C. R. (1946). Hypercubes of strength'd'leading to confounded designs in factorial experiments. *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* 38, 67–78.
- Rasmussen, C. E. and C. K. I. Williams (2006). *Gaussian processes for machine learning*. Adaptive computation and machine learning. MIT Press.
- Rehman, S. U. (2016). *Robust optimization for computationally expensive systems: With applications to integrated photonics*. Ph. D. thesis, Technical University, Delft.
- Rezende, D. J., S. Mohamed, and D. Wierstra (2014). Stochastic backpropagation and approximate inference in deep generative models. In *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2014, Beijing, China, 21-26 June 2014*, pp. 1278–1286.
- Rifai, S., P. Vincent, X. Muller, X. Glorot, and Y. Bengio (2011). Contractive auto-encoders: Explicit invariance during feature extraction. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2011, Bellevue, Washington, USA, June 28 - July 2, 2011*, pp. 833–840. Omnipress.
- Robinson, T., M. Eldred, K. Willcox, and R. Haines (2008). Surrogate-based optimization using multifidelity models with variable parameterization and corrected space mapping. *Aiaa Journal* 46(11), 2814–2822.
- Roux, W., N. Stander, and R. T. Haftka (1998). Response surface approximations for structural optimization. *International journal for numerical methods in engineering* 42(3), 517–534.
- Roweis, S. T. (1998). Em algorithms for pca and spca. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pp. 626–632.
- Roweis, S. T. and L. K. Saul (2000). Nonlinear dimensionality reduction by locally linear embedding. *science* 290(5500), 2323–2326.

- Sacks, J., W. J. Welch, T. J. Mitchell, and H. P. Wynn (1989). Design and analysis of computer experiments. *Statistical science* 4(4), 409–423.
- Sahinidis, N. V. (2004). Optimization under uncertainty: state-of-the-art and opportunities. *Computers & Chemical Engineering* 28(6-7), 971–983.
- Santner, T. J., B. J. Williams, W. I. Notz, and B. J. Williams (2003). *The design and analysis of computer experiments*, Volume 1. Springer.
- Schmit Jr, L. and B. Farshi (1974). Some approximation concepts for structural synthesis. *AIAA journal* 12(5), 692–699.
- Schölkopf, B., A. Smola, and K.-R. Müller (1998). Nonlinear component analysis as a kernel eigenvalue problem. *Neural computation* 10(5), 1299–1319.
- Shahraki, A. F. and R. Noorossana (2014). Reliability-based robust design optimization: a general methodology using genetic algorithm. *Computers & Industrial Engineering* 74, 199–207.
- Shan, S. and G. G. Wang (2010). Survey of modeling and optimization strategies to solve high-dimensional design problems with computationally-expensive black-box functions. *Structural and multidisciplinary optimization* 41(2), 219–241.
- Sharma, S., S. Sharma, and A. Athaiya (2017). Activation functions in neural networks. *towards data science* 6(12), 310–316.
- Shcherbakov, M. V., A. Brebels, N. L. Shcherbakova, A. P. Tyukov, T. A. Janovsky, V. A. Kamaev, et al. (2013). A survey of forecast error measures. *World applied sciences journal* 24(24), 171–176.
- Snoek, J., H. Larochelle, and R. P. Adams (2012). Practical bayesian optimization of machine learning algorithms. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 25: 26th Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2012. Proceedings of a meeting held December 3-6, 2012, Lake Tahoe, Nevada, United States*, pp. 2960–2968.
- Sóbester, A., A. I. Forrester, D. J. Toal, E. Tresidder, and S. Tucker (2014). Engineering design applications of surrogate-assisted optimization techniques. *Optimization and Engineering* 15(1), 243–265.
- Sóbester, A., S. J. Leary, and A. J. Keane (2005). On the design of optimization strategies based on global response surface approximation models. *Journal of Global Optimization* 33(1), 31–59.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

- Srinivas, N., A. Krause, S. M. Kakade, and M. W. Seeger (2010). Gaussian process optimization in the bandit setting: No regret and experimental design. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML-10)*, June 21-24, 2010, Haifa, Israel, pp. 1015–1022. Omnipress.
- Stork, J., M. Friese, M. Zaefferer, T. Bartz-Beielstein, A. Fischbach, B. Breiderhoff, B. Naujoks, and T. Tušar (2020). Open issues in surrogate-assisted optimization. In *High-Performance Simulation-Based Optimization*, pp. 225–244. Springer.
- Svanberg, K. (1987). The method of moving asymptotes a new method for structural optimization. *International journal for numerical methods in engineering* 24(2), 359–373.
- Szabó, B. and I. Babuška (2021). Finite element analysis: Method, verification and validation.
- Ta’asan, S., G. Kuruwila, and M. Salas (1992). Aerodynamic design and optimization in one shot. In *30th aerospace sciences meeting and exhibit*, pp. 25.
- Taguchi, G. (1995). Quality engineering (taguchi methods) for the development of electronic circuit technology. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability* 44(2), 225–229.
- Taguchi, G. and M. S. Phadke (1989). Quality engineering through design optimization. In *Quality Control, Robust Design, and the Taguchi Method*, pp. 77–96. Springer.
- Tan, M. H. (2013). Minimax designs for finite design regions. *Technometrics* 55(3), 346–358.
- Tanaka, H. and K. Asai (1984). Fuzzy linear programming problems with fuzzy numbers. *Fuzzy sets and systems* 13(1), 1–10.
- Tanaka, H., T. Okuda, and K. Asai (1973). Fuzzy mathematical programming. *Transactions of the society of instrument and control engineers* 9(5), 607–613.
- Tenenbaum, J. B., V. De Silva, and J. C. Langford (2000). A global geometric framework for nonlinear dimensionality reduction. *science* 290(5500), 2319–2323.
- Tipping, M. E. and C. M. Bishop (1999a). Mixtures of probabilistic principal component analyzers. *Neural computation* 11(2), 443–482.

- Tipping, M. E. and C. M. Bishop (1999b). Probabilistic principal component analysis. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* 61(3), 611–622.
- Toropov, V. V., A. Filatov, and A. Polynkin (1993). Multiparameter structural optimization using fem and multipoint explicit approximations. *Structural optimization* 6(1), 7–14.
- Ullah, S., H. Wang, S. Menzel, B. Sendhoff, and T. Bäck (2019). An empirical comparison of meta-modeling techniques for robust design optimization. In *2019 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence (SSCI)*, pp. 819–828. IEEE.
- Ullah, S., H. Wang, S. Menzel, B. Sendhoff, and T. Bäck (2021). A new acquisition function for robust bayesian optimization of unconstrained problems. In *2021 Genetic and Evolutionary Conference Companion*.
- Ullah, S., H. Wang, S. Menzel, B. Sendhoff, and T. Bäck (2022). A systematic approach to analyze the computational cost of robustness in model-assisted robust optimization. In *Parallel Problem Solving from Nature - PPSN VIII, 17th International Conference, Dortmund, Germany, September 10-14, 2022, Proceedings*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer.
- Ullah, S., Z. Xu, H. Wang, S. Menzel, B. Sendhoff, and T. Bäck (2020a). Exploring clinical time series forecasting with meta-features in variational recurrent models. In *2020 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, pp. 1–9. IEEE.
- Ullah, S., Z. Xu, H. Wang, S. Menzel, B. Sendhoff, and T. Bäck (2020b). Exploring clinical time series forecasting with meta-features in variational recurrent models. In *2020 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, pp. 1–9. IEEE.
- ur Rehman, S., M. Langelaar, and F. van Keulen (2014). Efficient kriging-based robust optimization of unconstrained problems. *J. Comput. Sci.* 5(6), 872–881.
- Van Der Maaten, L., E. Postma, and J. Van den Herik (2009). Dimensionality reduction: a comparative. *J Mach Learn Res* 10(66-71), 13.
- Vapnik, V. N. (1999). An overview of statistical learning theory. *IEEE transactions on neural networks* 10(5), 988–999.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

- Vyas, G. M., A. Andre, and R. Sala (2020). Toward lightweight smart automotive hood structures for head impact mitigation: Integration of active stiffness control composites. *Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures* 31(1), 71–83.
- Wang, H. (2009). Forward regression for ultra-high dimensional variable screening. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 104(488), 1512–1524.
- Wang, H. (2018). *Stochastic and deterministic algorithms for continuous black-box optimization*. Ph. D. thesis, Leiden University.
- Wang, H., M. Emmerich, and T. Bäck (2018). Cooling strategies for the moment-generating function in bayesian global optimization. In *2018 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, pp. 1–8. IEEE.
- Wang, H., B. van Stein, M. Emmerich, and T. Back (2017). A new acquisition function for bayesian optimization based on the moment-generating function. In *2017 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC)*, pp. 507–512. IEEE.
- Wang, H., B. van Stein, M. Emmerich, and T. Bäck (2017). A new acquisition function for bayesian optimization based on the moment-generating function. In *2017 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, SMC 2017, Banff, AB, Canada, October 5-8, 2017*, pp. 507–512. IEEE.
- Wets, R. J.-B. (1966). Programming under uncertainty: the equivalent convex program. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* 14(1), 89–105.
- Wollstadt, P., M. Bujny, S. Ramnath, J. J. Shah, D. Detwiler, and S. Menzel (2022). Carhoods10k: An industry-grade data set for representation learning and design optimization in engineering applications. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*.
- Woodard, R. (2000). Interpolation of spatial data: Some theory for kriging. *Technometrics* 42(4), 436–437.
- Wright, S., J. Nocedal, et al. (1999). Numerical optimization. *Springer Science* 35(67-68), 7.
- Yang, P., K. Tang, and X. Yao (2017). Turning high-dimensional optimization into computationally expensive optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation* 22(1), 143–156.



- Ying, X. (2019). An overview of overfitting and its solutions. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, Volume 1168, pp. 022022. IOP Publishing.
- Žilinskas, A. (1992). A review of statistical models for global optimization. *Journal of Global Optimization* 2(2), 145–153.
- Zimmermann, H.-J. (1975). Description and optimization of fuzzy systems. *International journal of general System* 2(1), 209–215.
- Zou, H. and T. Hastie (2005). Regularization and variable selection via the elastic net. *Journal of the royal statistical society: series B (statistical methodology)* 67(2), 301–320.

---

# Index

- modeling accuracy, 51
- acquisition function, 29, 33, 34, 53, 76, 80, 87
- adaptive sampling, 80
- additive noise, 18, 49, 50
- additive uncertainty, 16, 37, 38
- Aleatory and Epistemic uncertainties, 18
- Algorithm Configuration, 25
- Analysis of Variance, 3
- Artificial Neural Networks, 45
- Autoencoders, 60, 63, 132
- average cpu time per iteration, 107
- average running cpu time per iteration, 94, 129
- Bayesian Optimization, 4, 79
- Bayesian optimization, 7, 80, 95, 97, 112, 113, 117, 133–136
- bi-objective optimization problem, 40
- black-box, 9, 11
- black-box functions, 10
- black-box optimization, 4, 19, 29, 76
- black-box problems, 1, 79, 80
- BOX-BEHNKEN Designs, 41
- classical set, 18
- composite robustness, 49, 50, 76, 101, 134
- computational budget, 5
- computational complexity, 5, 36
- computational cost of robustness, 5
- computational resources, 41
- Computer Aided Design, 112
- constrained optimization problem, 12
- continuity, 10
- continuous optimization, 10, 42, 59, 76
- controllable parameters, 3
- correlation, 25
- correlation matrix, 26
- cosine similarity, 119
- crisp sets, 18
- cross-validation, 25, 51
- cumulative distribution function, 82, 87
- Curse of Dimensionality, 59, 97
- data normalization, 44
- data pre-processing, 44, 69
- data preparation, 32
- data set, 113
- data-generating process, 44
- decision variable, 62

- decision variables, 13, 22, 32, 37, 38, 43, 44, 48, 50
- deep generative models, 63
- derivative-free methods, 22
- Design and Analysis of Computer Experiments, 25
- Design of Experiments, 3
- design optimization, 21, 117
- design variables, 112, 114–120
- deterministic set, 37, 47
- deterministic uncertainty, 38
- differentiability, 10
- dimensionality reduction, 33, 43, 44, 60–62, 65–67, 69, 76, 77
- dimensionality reduction techniques, 44, 48, 60, 135
- direct-search methods, 1, 22
- Discrete spaces, 10
- dispersion-based robustness, 101
- Domain, 9
  
- ecdf, 91–96, 104–106
- elastic-net penalty, 25
- empirical success rate, 92
- environmental conditions, 13
- environmental variables, 29, 135
- Euclidean metric, 42
- Evidence Lower Bound, 65
- expectation-based robustness, 39, 101, 134
- Expected Improvement, 27, 33, 79, 88, 97, 133
- Expected Improvement Criterion, 6, 82
- experimental design, 40
  
- factor space, 42
- feasible solutions, 20
- feature space, 62, 66, 67, 69, 71
- feed-forward neural networks, 60, 64
- finite element analysis, 113
  
- fixed budget analyses, 108
- fixed cpu time analysis, 91, 103, 104, 125
- fixed iteration analysis, 91, 92, 103, 104, 125
- fixed target analysis, 91, 104, 108, 125
- flexible programming, 4
- fractional factorial design, 41
- free parameters, 25
- full factorial design, 41
- fuzzy programming, 4
- fuzzy sets, 19
- fuzzy statement, 19
  
- Gaussian process, 88
- generalized least square estimator, 26
- global minimum, 10, 11
- Global Optimization, 25
  
- hard constraints, 17
- high dimensional, 59
- high dimensionality, 22, 32, 33, 59, 60, 66, 76, 112
- Hilbert spaces of functions, 10
- Hyper-parameter optimization, 47
- hyper-parameter optimization, 37
- hyper-parameters, 37, 47, 69, 70
  
- infill criteria, 92–96
- infill criterion, 29, 82, 88, 89, 97
  
- K Nearest-Neighbour, 118
- K-Nearest Neighbors, 50
- kernel function, 25
- kernel Hilbert space, 62
- Kernel Principal Component Analysis, 62, 132
- Kriging, 24, 25, 36, 42, 45, 50, 52, 53, 67, 71, 76, 77, 80, 81, 85, 87, 88,

## INDEX

---

- 95, 103, 115, 118, 122, 123, 133
- Kriging , 69
- Kullback-Leibler divergence, 65
- Lasso and Ridge regularization, 25
- latent space, 62
- latin hype-cube design, 43
- latin hyper-cube sampling, 42
- least upper bound, 38
- linear cooling strategy, 90
- Lower Confidence Bound, 88, 97, 133
- machine learning, 136
- manufacturing tolerances, 13
- Matérn 3/2 kernel, 25
- mathematical modeling of uncertainty, 34
- mathematical programming, 4
- maxi-min design, 42
- maximum likelihood principle, 24
- mean squared error, 26
- membership function, 18
- meta-model, 23
- mini-max design, 42
- Mini-max Regret Robustness, 38
- mini-max regret robustness, 101, 134
- Mini-max robustness, 37
- mini-max robustness, 33, 49, 50, 101, 102, 134
- Mixed-integer spaces, 10
- model-assisted optimization, 122
- model-assisted optimization, 42, 45, 52, 59, 61, 66
- model-based optimization, 48
- modeling accuracy, 53
- modeling accuracy, 45, 46, 51, 52, 59, 69–72, 118, 123
- modeling technique, 32, 34, 36, 37, 40, 44, 45, 48–50, 52, 67, 69, 76, 120
- modeling techniques, 119–124, 136
- Moment-Generating Function of the Improvement, 6, 79, 82, 88, 97, 133, 134
- Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse, 25
- MOPSO, 11
- multi-modal functions, 89
- multi-modality, 22
- multi-objective optimization, 17
- nature inspired heuristics, 11
- neural networks, 64
- noise level, 2, 34, 50, 76, 89, 119, 120
- noise level,, 52
- noise levels, 120
- noise parameters, 3
- non-deterministic, 17
- non-deterministic simulator, 12
- non-linearity, 69
- NSGA-II, 11
- objective function, 10, 37–39, 46, 53, 80, 87, 90, 103, 120, 125
- one-shot optimization, 7, 30, 48, 112, 117, 118
- operations research, 4
- optimization under uncertainty, 21, 23
- optimizer, 14
- orthogonal array, 3
- over-fitting, 25, 45
- Pareto optimal solutions, 11
- PLACKETT-BURMAN Designs, 41
- polynomial approximation, 25

- Polynomial Regression, 45, 50, 69, 71
- polynomial regression models, 24
- possibilistic programming, 4
- practical goal of optimization, 12
- Principal Component Analysis, 44, 60, 61, 132
- probability density function, 47, 82, 87
- probability density functions, 3, 19
- probability distribution, 50, 64
- probability distributions, 65
- problem description, 34
- problem landscape, 2, 32, 34, 44, 49, 53, 67
- process mean, 3
- quasi-Newton methods, 23
- Radial Basis Function Network, 50
- Random Forest, 50, 118
- real parameter optimization problems, 10
- regret, 38
- regularization, 25
- Relative Mean Absolute Error, 46
- reliability-based robustness, 20
- Response Surface Approximation, 23
- Response Surface Models, 24
- robust black-box optimization, 22
- robust counterpart, 38, 39, 100, 135
- robust counterpart approach, 37
- robust design, 3
- robust objective function, 39
- robust optimization, 1, 7, 20, 29, 100, 112, 132, 136
- robust solution, 6, 36, 37, 48, 49, 51, 76, 80, 84
- robust solutions, 32, 76, 89, 112
- robustness, 37
- robustness criteria, 100–102
- robustness criterion, 38, 39, 49, 88, 97, 112
- robustness formulation, 2, 6, 7, 32, 34, 37, 39, 47, 49, 119, 120
- robustness formulations, 120
- sample size, 5, 34, 50, 51, 67
- samples size, 48
- sampling infill criteria, 133, 136
- sampling infill criterion, 80, 103
- sampling locations, 48, 69, 80
- sampling plan, 36
- sampling plans, 5, 36
- sampling points, 40–42, 48
- sampling points,, 42
- scale of the uncertainty, 32, 49
- scale of uncertainty, 34
- screening experiments, 41
- search point, 37, 38
- search space, 9, 24, 36, 42–44, 46, 47, 61, 77, 82, 87, 119
- search variables, 13, 29, 34, 39, 76, 87–89, 102, 103, 119, 135
- sensitivity robustness, 20
- Sequential Least Square Programming, 51
- sequential model-based optimization, 30, 133
- severity of the uncertainty, 88, 93, 97
- signal-to-noise-ratio, 3
- smoothness, 10
- space-filling designs, 43
- space-filling property, 42
- SPEA2, 11
- standardizing, 43
- statistical learning, 36, 44
- stochastic programming, 4

## INDEX

---

- structural mechanics, 113, 114
- structure of the uncertainty, 37
- Support Vector Machines, 50, 76, 118, 136
- Support Vector Regression, 45
- surface mesh, 113
- surrogate modeling, 123
- surrogate model, 32, 36, 37, 40, 43, 45–48, 51, 52, 59, 61, 66, 67, 69, 76, 79, 81, 115, 118, 120, 122
- surrogate modeling, 4, 7, 25, 29, 30, 32, 40, 47, 49, 53, 59, 76, 77, 112, 118, 135, 136
- surrogate models, 23, 34, 49, 52, 53, 60, 61, 66, 120–124
- Surrogate-Assisted Evolutionary Algorithms, 25
- Surrogate-Assisted Optimisation, 23
- surrogate-assisted optimization, 1, 33
- surrogate-assisted robust optimization, 34
- system constants, 3
- Taylor series expansion, 25
- testing data set, 46
- training data set, 43
- Tree Parzen Estimator algorithm, 47
- uncertainty and noise, 18, 32, 53, 59, 79
- uncertainty and robustness specification, 34
- uncertainty assessment, 34
- uncertainty handling, 38
- uncertainty level, 89, 97, 103
- uncertainty specification, 34
- Under-complete Autoencoders, 64
- unexpected drifts and changes, 22
- uniform probability distribution, 89, 103, 120
- Vandermonde matrix, 24
- Variational Autoencoders, 132
- Variational autoencoders, 64
- variational distribution, 64
- worst-case, 38, 39
- worst-case scenario, 38

