



**Universiteit
Leiden**
The Netherlands

Objectively measured physical activity and body fatness: associations with total body fat, visceral fat, and liver fat

Winters-Van Eekelen, E.; Velde, J.H.P.M. van der; Boone, S.C.; Westgate, K.; Brage, S.; Lamb, H.J.; ... ; Mutsert, R. de

Citation

Winters-Van Eekelen, E., Velde, J. H. P. M. van der, Boone, S. C., Westgate, K., Brage, S., Lamb, H. J., ... Mutsert, R. de. (2021). Objectively measured physical activity and body fatness: associations with total body fat, visceral fat, and liver fat. *Medicine & Science In Sports & Exercise*, 53(11), 2309-2317. doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000002712

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3278311>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Objectively Measured Physical Activity and Body Fatness: Associations with Total Body Fat, Visceral Fat, and Liver Fat

ESTHER WINTERS-VAN EEKELEN¹, JEROEN H. P. M. VAN DER VELDE^{1,2}, SEBASTIAAN C. BOONE¹, KATE WESTGATE³, SØREN BRAGE³, HILDO J. LAMB⁴, FRITS R. ROSENDAAL¹, and RENÉE DE MUTSERT¹

¹Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, THE NETHERLANDS; ²Department of Nutrition and Movement Sciences, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, THE NETHERLANDS; ³MRC Epidemiology Unit, Institute of Metabolic Science, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UNITED KINGDOM; and ⁴Department of Radiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, THE NETHERLANDS

ABSTRACT

WINTERS-VAN EEKELEN, E., J. H. P. M. VAN DER VELDE, S. C. BOONE, K. WESTGATE, S. BRAGE, H. J. LAMB, F. R. ROSENDAAL, and R. DEMUTSERT. Objectively Measured Physical Activity and Body Fatness: Associations with Total Body Fat, Visceral Fat, and Liver Fat. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.*, Vol. 53, No. 11, pp. 2309–2317, 2021. **Purpose:** It remains unclear to what extent habitual physical activity and sedentary time (ST) are associated with visceral fat and liver fat. We studied the substitution of ST with time spent physically active and total body fat (TBF), visceral adipose tissue (VAT), and hepatic triglyceride content (HTGC) in middle-age men and women. **Design:** In this cross-sectional analysis of the Netherlands Epidemiology of Obesity study, physical activity was assessed in 228 participants using a combined accelerometer and heart rate monitor. TBF was assessed by the Tanita bioelectrical impedance, VAT by magnetic resonance imaging, and HTGC by proton-MR spectroscopy. Behavioral intensity distribution was categorized as ST, time spent in light physical activity (LPA), and moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA). To estimate the effect of replacing 30 min·d⁻¹ of ST with 30 min·d⁻¹ LPA or MVPA, we performed isothermal substitution analyses, adjusted for sex, age, ethnicity, education, the Dutch Healthy Diet index, and smoking. **Results:** Included participants (41% men) had a mean ± SD age of 56 ± 6 yr and spent 88 ± 56 min in MVPA and 9.0 ± 2.1 h of ST. Replacing 30 min·d⁻¹ of ST with 30 min of MVPA was associated with 1.3% less TBF (95% confidence interval = -2.0 to -0.7), 7.8 cm² less VAT (-11.6 to -4.0), and 0.89 times HTGC (0.82–0.97). Replacement with LPA was not associated with TBF (-0.03%; -0.5 to 0.4), VAT (-1.7 cm²; -4.4 to 0.9), or HTGC (0.98 times; 0.92–1.04). **Conclusions:** Reallocation of time spent sedentary with time spent in MVPA, but not LPA, was associated with less TBF, visceral fat, and liver fat. These findings contribute to the development of more specified guidelines on ST and physical activity. **Key Words:** PHYSICAL ACTIVITY, SEDENTARY TIME, ADIPOSITY, EPIDEMIOLOGY, MIDDLE-AGE MEN AND WOMEN

Abdominal obesity is a well-established risk factor for diabetes mellitus type 2, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular diseases (1,2). It has been hypothesized that the accumulation of fat in the visceral area and in and around the organs (2), such as the liver, increases cardiometabolic risk. Both visceral fat and liver fat have been associated with metabolic risk factors, insulin resistance, and cardiovascular

diseases (3–6), making these adipose depots important targets to prevent cardiometabolic diseases.

Previous meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials have shown that exercise can reduce both visceral fat (7) and liver fat (8). However, the included trials focused on structured exercise rather than habitual daily activities and sedentary time, and evidence on the association between habitual daily activity and different adipose depots is lacking. Most European guidelines on physical activity state to perform at least 150 min·wk⁻¹ of moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) and to limit sedentary time (9), as sedentary behavior has been associated with increased risks of type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer, even after adjustment for physical activity (10). It must be noted that, as a day contains 24 h, less time spent sedentary means more time spent in other intensity categories. When examining the association of one particular type of activity with health outcomes, it is therefore important to take into account which behavior this is being replaced with (e.g., replacing sedentary time with the same amount of time spent with light-intensity physical activity) (11). Many studies that applied this isothermal substitution analysis to examine the

Address for correspondence: Jeroen van der Velde, Ph.D., Department of Clinical Epidemiology, C7-99, Leiden University and Medical Center, PO box 9600, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands; E-mail: j.h.p.m.van_der_velde@lumc.nl. Submitted for publication December 2020.

Accepted for publication April 2021.

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's Web site (www.acsm-msse.org).

0195-9131/21/5311-2309/0

MEDICINE & SCIENCE IN SPORTS & EXERCISE®

Copyright © 2021 by the American College of Sports Medicine

DOI: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000002712

(LPA) was defined as any activity during wear time with an intensity >1.5 and ≤ 3 METs. MVPA was defined as any activity >3 METs.

Participants with a valid total wear time <24 h were excluded from the analyses. No minimum bout duration was set for all activity intensity categories.

Assessment of body fat. Body weight and percent body fat were assessed by the Tanita bio impedance balance (TBF-310; Tanita International Division, UK) without shoes, and 1 kg was subtracted from the body weight to account for the weight of clothing.

Visceral adipose tissue (VAT) was quantified by a turbo spin echo imaging protocol using MRI. Imaging was performed on a 1.5 Tesla MR system (Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands). At the level of the fifth lumbar vertebra, three transverse images each with a slice thickness of 10 mm were obtained during a breath hold. Visceral fat area was converted from the number of pixels to centimeters squared using in-house-developed software (MASS, Medis, Leiden, the Netherlands), and the average of three slices was used in the analyses (14).

Hepatic triglyceride content (HTGC) was quantified by proton-MR spectroscopy (^1H -MRS) of the liver (20). An 8-mL voxel was positioned in the right lobe of the liver, avoiding gross vascular structures and adipose tissue depots. Sixty-four averages were collected with water suppression. Spectra were obtained with an echo time of 26 ms and a repetition time of 3000 ms. Data points (1024) were collected using a 1000-Hz spectral line. Without changing any parameters, spectra without water suppression, with a repetition time of 10 s, and with four averages were obtained as an internal reference. ^1H -MRS data were fitted using Java-based magnetic resonance user interface software (jMRUI version 2.2, Leuven, Belgium), as described previously (21). HTGC relative to water was calculated as the sum of signal amplitudes of methyl and methylene divided by the signal amplitude of water and then multiplied by 100.

Covariates. On the questionnaire, participants reported ethnicity by self-identification in eight categories, which we grouped into White (reference) and other (Black, Turkish, Moroccan, Southeast Asian, Hindus, or other). Tobacco smoking was reported in the three categories current, former, and never smoking (reference). Highest level of education was reported in 10 categories according to the Dutch education system and grouped into high (including higher vocational school, university, and postgraduate education) versus low education (reference). Participants reported their medical history of diabetes and cardiovascular diseases. Preexisting cardiovascular disease was defined as myocardial infarction, angina, congestive heart failure, stroke, or peripheral vascular disease. BMI was calculated by dividing the weight in kilograms by the height in meters squared. Habitual dietary intake of all participants was estimated using a semiquantitative self-administered 125-item food frequency questionnaire (22,23). Based on these variables, an adapted version of the Dutch Healthy Diet (DHD) index 2015 was calculated, which consisted of 13 components (vegetables, fruit, wholegrain products, legumes, unsalted nuts,

dairy, fish, tea, liquid to solid fat ratio, red meat, processed meat, sweetened beverages, and alcohol). The score can range between 0 and 130, in which a higher score reflects better adherence to the Dutch Guidelines for a Healthy Diet of 2015 (24).

Statistical analysis. In the NEO study, there is an oversampling of persons with a BMI of $27 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ or higher. To correctly represent associations in the general population (25), adjustments for the oversampling of individuals with a BMI $\geq 27 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ were made. This was done by weighting individuals toward the BMI distribution of participants from the Leiderdorp municipality (26), whose BMI distribution was similar to the BMI distribution of the general Dutch population (27). All results were based on weighted analyses. Consequently, the results apply to a population-based study without oversampling of individuals with a BMI $\geq 27 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$. Because of the weighted analyses, percentages and proportions are given instead of numbers of participants. Other baseline characteristics are expressed as mean with standard deviation.

We performed linear regression analyses and fitted several models. First, we examined the association between 30 min of daily activities (i.e., sedentary time, LPA, and MVPA) and TBF, visceral fat, and liver fat in a crude model. Second, a multivariable model was performed that was adjusted for sex, age, ethnicity, education, DHD index, and smoking. This model describes the association between $30 \text{ min}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ of each of the daily activities on top of the regular activity pattern for each outcome. This model was also performed for total PAEE per $10 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$. Next, we performed an isotemporal substitution approach in our final model by adding total time awake and dropping sedentary time. Consequently, the regression coefficients of each activity represent the estimated difference in measure of body fat associated with replacing 30 min of sedentary time with this type of intensity of activity. We also performed this model with LPA as the intensity of activity to be substituted. This isotemporal substitution model on visceral fat and liver fat was additionally adjusted for TBF to study whether physical activity had an extra effect on visceral fat and liver fat beyond effects via TBF. Moreover, we performed sensitivity analyses in which we only included participants with at least 72 h of valid data instead of 24 h. Lastly, the associations between physical activity and TBF were also estimated without exclusion of participants with no MRI measurement.

Regression coefficients of TBF represent an absolute difference in TBF in percent per 30 min of a certain intensity of activity, and those of VAT an absolute difference in VAT in square centimeters per 30 min of a certain intensity of activity per day.

Because of a skewed distribution of HTGC, we used the natural logarithm of this variable in the analyses. For interpretation of the results, we back transformed the regression coefficients of HTGC toward a ratio with 95% confidence interval (CI), which is associated with $30 \text{ min}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ spent in a certain activity. Such ratio, for example, a ratio of 1.2, can be interpreted as each $30 \text{ min}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ of a certain activity being associated with a 1.2-fold increased HTGC, which would reflect an increase in liver fat content from, for example, 5% to 6%.

TABLE 1. Characteristics of participants of the NEO study: men and women between 45 and 65 yr of age with objectively measured physical activity and MRI of the abdomen, stratified by sex-specific tertiles of MVPA.

	MVPA (min·d ⁻¹)		
	T1 (men, 5.7–53.2; women, 5.5–52.2)	T2 (men, 53.8–103.5; women, 52.8–92.5)	T3 (men, 104.4–243.5; women, 93.5–284.8)
Age (yr)	57 ± 7	55 ± 6	54 ± 5
Sex (% men)	40	40	42
Ethnicity (% White)	95	99	99
Education level (% high) ^a	36	47	52
BMI (kg·m ⁻²)	26.9 ± 4.7	25.6 ± 3.5	23.8 ± 3.3
Tobacco smoking (% current)	13	17	15
DHD index	71 ± 17	70 ± 15	72 ± 12
HDL cholesterol (mmol·L ⁻¹)	1.6 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 0.4	1.7 ± 0.5
Triglycerides (mmol·L ⁻¹)	1.1 (0.7–1.6)	1.0 (0.8–1.4)	0.8 (0.6–1.2)
CVD (%)	11	9	1
Sedentary time (min·d ⁻¹)	641 ± 92	548 ± 87	434 ± 85
LPA (min·d ⁻¹)	267 ± 87	332 ± 96	378 ± 81
MPA (min·d ⁻¹)	31 ± 13	71 ± 14	129 ± 38
VPA (min·d ⁻¹)	0 (0–4)	3 (0–7)	14 (3–31)
PAEE (J·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹)	30 ± 8	45 ± 8	69 ± 13
TBF (%)			
Men	24.7 ± 7.6	24.5 ± 5.7	21.8 ± 6.8
Women	39.4 ± 6.3	35.5 ± 5.9	33.0 ± 4.3
Visceral fat (cm ²)			
Men	110 (69–169)	98 (87–146)	63 (38–109)
Women	64 (46–116)	57 (46–80)	37 (21–57)
Liver fat (%)			
Men	3.6 (2.2–13.1)	5.1 (1.1–11.0)	3.6 (1.8–5.8)
Women	2.7 (1.2–5.0)	1.6 (0.9–1.9)	1.1 (0.8–1.5)

Results are based on analyses weighted toward the BMI distribution of the general population ($n = 228$). Data are shown as mean ± SD, median (interquartile range), or percentage.

^aLow education: none, primary school, or lower vocational education as highest level of education.

CVD, cardiovascular disease; MPA, moderate physical activity; VPA, vigorous physical activity.

Table 4 (see Supplemental Digital Content, Appendix, <http://links.lww.com/MSS/C340>).

Physical activity in relation to visceral fat area. After adjustment for potential confounding factors, PAEE was inversely associated with visceral fat (-7.5 cm^2 , 95% CI = -11.4 to -3.7). With regard to intensity, each 30 min·d⁻¹ of sedentary time was associated with 2.6 cm² more visceral fat (95% CI = 0.6–4.6), whereas 30 min·d⁻¹ of MVPA was associated with 7.8 cm² less visceral fat (95% CI = -11.6 to -4.0) (Table 3). LPA was not associated with visceral fat (-1.7 cm^2 , 95% CI = -4.4 to 0.9). In the isothermal substitution model, replacing 30 min·d⁻¹ of sedentary time with 30 min·d⁻¹ of MVPA was associated with 8.0 cm² less (95% CI = -11.9 to -4.1) visceral fat, whereas replacement with LPA was not associated with visceral fat (0.0 cm², 95% CI = -2.8 to 2.8). The association with MVPA attenuated after additional adjustment for TBF. After additional adjustment TBF, the isothermal substitution of LPA with sedentary time or MVPA was not associated with visceral fat. Results were similar in participants with at least 72 h of objectively

measured physical activity data (Supplemental Table 1, Supplemental Digital Content, Appendix, <http://links.lww.com/MSS/C340>).

Physical activity in relation to liver fat content. After adjustment for potential confounding factors, PAEE was inversely associated with liver fat (0.88 times, 95% CI = 0.80–0.95). As for intensity, each 30 min·d⁻¹ of sedentary time was associated with more liver fat (1.05 times, 95% CI = 1.01–1.10), whereas 30 min·d⁻¹ of MVPA was associated with less liver fat (0.88 times, 95% CI = 0.81–0.96) (Table 4). LPA was not associated with liver fat (0.96, 95% CI = 0.91–1.02). In the isothermal substitution model, replacing 30 min·d⁻¹ of sedentary time with 30 min·d⁻¹ of MVPA was associated with less liver fat (0.89 times, 95% CI = 0.82–0.97), whereas replacement with LPA was not associated with liver fat (0.98, 95% CI = 0.92–1.04). The association with MVPA attenuated after additional adjustment for TBF. After this additional adjustment, the isothermal substitution of LPA with sedentary time or MVPA was not associated with liver fat. Results were

TABLE 2. Associations of daily activities per 30 min·d⁻¹ with TBF (%) in participants with an MRI of the abdomen and objectively measured physical activity.

Per 30 min·d ⁻¹	Crude	Multivariable ^a	Substitution Model ^b	Substitution Model ^c
	% TBF (95% CI)	% TBF (95% CI)	% TBF (95% CI)	% TBF (95% CI)
Sedentary time	0.1 (−0.3 to 0.5)	0.5 (0.2 to 0.7)	Substituted	0.03 (−0.4 to 0.5)
LPA	0.2 (−0.3 to 0.7)	−0.4 (−0.8 to 0.04)	−0.03 (−0.5 to 0.4)	Substituted
MVPA	−1.4 (−2.3 to −0.5)	−1.4 (−1.8 to −0.9)	−1.3 (−2.0 to −0.7)	−1.3 (−2.3 to −0.3)

Results reflect regression coefficients from linear regression analyses weighted toward the BMI distribution of the general population ($n = 228$).

^aAdjusted for sex, age, ethnicity, education, DHD index, and smoking.

^bAdditionally adjusted for total time awake, and for all other daily activities but not for sedentary time. Coefficients represent the association between the substitution of 30 min sedentary time with 30 min of either light or MVPA and TBF (%).

^cSame as model 2, but coefficients represent the association between the substitution of 30 min LPA with 30 min of either sedentary time or MVPA and TBF (%).

	Crude	Multivariable ^a	Substitution Model ^b	Substitution Model ^c	Substitution Model ^d
Per 30 min·d ⁻¹	cm ² VAT (95% CI)	cm ² VAT (95% CI)	cm ² VAT (95% CI)	cm ² VAT (95% CI)	cm ² VAT (95% CI)
Sedentary time	3.9 (2.2 to 5.7)	2.6 (0.6 to 4.6)	Substituted	Substituted	-0.2 (-2.5 to 2.1)
LPA	-3.2 (-5.3 to -1.1)	-1.7 (-4.4 to 0.9)	0.0 (-2.8 to 2.8)	0.2 (-2.1 to 2.5)	Substituted
MVPA	-8.8 (-12.2 to -5.3)	-7.8 (-11.6 to -4.0)	-8.0 (-11.9 to -4.1)	-1.4 (-5.1 to 2.3)	-1.6 (-6.7 to 3.6)

Results reflect regression coefficients from linear regression analyses weighted toward the BMI distribution of the general population ($n = 228$).

^aAdjusted for sex, age, ethnicity, education, DHD index, and smoking.

^bAdditionally adjusted for total time awake, and for all other daily activities but not for sedentary time. Coefficients represent the association between the substitution of 30 min sedentary time with 30 min of either light or MVPA and visceral fat (cm²).

^cAdditionally adjusted for TBF.

^dSame as model 3, but coefficients represent the association between the substitution of 30 min LPA with 30 min of either sedentary time or MVPA and visceral fat (cm²).

similar in participants with at least 72 h of objectively measured physical activity data (Supplemental Table 3, Supplemental Digital Content, Appendix, <http://links.lww.com/MSS/C340>).

DISCUSSION

In this population-based cohort study of middle-age men and women, overall physical activity was associated with less TBF, visceral fat, and liver fat. Regarding the underlying intensity distribution, sedentary time was associated with more TBF, visceral fat, and liver fat, whereas MVPA was associated with less TBF, visceral fat, and liver fat. LPA only seemed associated with less TBF but not with visceral fat or liver fat. Within the range of physical activity in our study population, the replacement of 30 min of sedentary time with MVPA, but not LPA, was associated with less TBF, visceral fat, and liver fat. These associations with visceral fat and liver fat attenuated after additional adjustment for TBF. It therefore seems that the associations with visceral fat and liver fat are mainly mediated by TBF.

To our knowledge, in only one previous study using isotemporal substitution analysis, direct measures of adiposity in combination with objectively measured physical activity were investigated (13). This study observed that the isotemporal substitution of 1 h·d⁻¹ of sedentary and light-intensity physical activity with other types of physical activity was associated with less visceral fat, and this association attenuated after additional adjustment for BMI (13), which is in line with our findings. However, in the previous study, liver fat was not assessed and analyses were adjusted for BMI rather than for TBF.

In another study with objectively measured levels of sedentary time and physical activity in 82 overweight or obese adults, there was no relationship between physical activity and liver fat and a weak positive association between time spent in moderate physical activity and visceral fat (28). These results could be explained by the fact that BMI was already included in the prediction model before measures of physical activity were added. These findings are consistent with our results, which show that sedentary time is positively associated with liver fat and moderate to vigorous activity negatively. However, these associations also attenuated after the additional adjustment for TBF, which suggests that they are mainly mediated by TBF. A twin study of both monozygotic and dizygotic twins who were discordant for physical activity based on questionnaires during a follow-up of more than 30 yr showed that habitual physical activity potentially prevents the accumulation of visceral and ectopic fat (29). Although several previous studies observed associations between time spent in sedentary time and the risk of nonalcoholic fatty liver (30–33), sedentary time was measured objectively in only one study (33).

Besides abdominal adiposity, increased sedentary time has also been associated with other adverse metabolic consequences. Recent studies have shown that a high amount of sedentary time is associated with multiple adverse health outcomes, including two diabetes (34). This association was also present in physically fit individuals (35). Moreover, time spent sedentary has been shown to predict higher levels of fasting insulin after adjusting for time spent in MVPA (36). This indicates that the detrimental effects of sedentary time are not merely due to a lack of sufficient physical activity. Large meta-analyses have also shown that high sedentary time was associated with all-cause mortality (37), type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and

TABLE 4. Associations of daily activities per 30 min·d⁻¹ with HTGC (%) in participants with an MRI of the abdomen and objectively measured physical activity.

	Crude	Multivariable ^a	Substitution Model ^b	Substitution Model ^c	Substitution Model ^d
Per 30 min·d ⁻¹	Relative Change in HTGC (95% CI)	Relative Change in HTGC (95% CI)	Relative Change in HTGC (95% CI)	Relative Change in HTGC (95% CI)	Relative Change in HTGC (95% CI)
Sedentary time	1.08 (1.03–1.12)	1.05 (1.01–1.10)	Substituted	Substituted	1.02 (0.97–1.07)
LPA	0.94 (0.89–0.99)	0.96 (0.91–1.02)	0.98 (0.92–1.04)	0.98 (0.94–1.03)	Substituted
MVPA	0.87 (0.80–0.94)	0.88 (0.81–0.96)	0.89 (0.82–0.97)	1.00 (0.92–1.09)	1.02 (0.91–1.14)

Results reflect regression coefficients from linear regression analyses weighted toward the BMI distribution of the general population ($n = 228$). Because of a skewed distribution of HTGC, we used the natural logarithm of this variable in the analyses. For interpretation of the results, we back transformed the regression coefficients of HTGC toward a ratio with 95% confidence interval, which is associated with 30 min·d⁻¹ spent in a certain activity. Such ratio, for example, a ratio of 1.2, can be interpreted as each 30 min·d⁻¹ of a certain activity being associated with a 1.2-fold increased HTGC, which would reflect an increase in liver fat content from, for example, 5% to 6%.

^aAdjusted for sex, age, ethnicity, education, DHD index, and smoking.

^bAdditionally adjusted for total time awake and for all other daily activities, but not for sedentary time. Coefficients represent the association between the substitution of 30 min sedentary time with 30 min of either light or MVPA and HTGC.

^cAdditionally adjusted for TBE.

^dSame as model 3, but coefficients represent the association between the substitution of 30 min LPA with 30 min of either sedentary time or MVPA and HTGC.

cancer risk, even after adjustment for physical activity (10). However, the underlying biological pathways via which sedentary time may lead to disease remain largely unknown. It has been suggested that replacing sitting with standing and LPA improves insulin sensitivity and decreases plasma triglycerides, thereby leading to a decrease in triglyceride storage in the liver (38,39). It has also been suggested that exercise results in preferential reductions in visceral fat compared with caloric restriction when the amount of exercise is sufficient to result in weight loss (40). This might be due to the fact that visceral fat is more metabolically active and more sensitive to lipolytic activation by the adrenal system (41), which occurs during vigorous exercise. We observed that the habitual replacement of sedentary time with time spent in MVPA was associated with less TBF, visceral fat, and liver fat, whereas the replacement of sedentary time with LPA was not. A recent systematic literature review of multiple observational studies on physical activity in relation to adiposity by means of isotemporal substitution analyses described that the replacement of 30 min·d⁻¹ of sedentary time with MVPA resulted in a decreased waist circumference, BMI, and body fat percentage in healthy adult populations (12). Moreover, reallocating sedentary time to light or MVPA was associated with multiple favorable cardiometabolic biomarkers, such as insulin sensitivity (12). Although most of the included studies used BMI or waist circumference as an outcome, the results are in line with our own findings, but we did not find an association between replacing sedentary time with time spent performing LPA and measures of adiposity.

A strength of this study is the extensive phenotyping, including direct assessment of VAT and hepatic triglyceride using MRI and ¹H-MRS. Moreover, physical activity was objectively assessed using combined accelerometry and heart rate monitoring, which has been shown to classify physical activity more accurately than either of the two measures (42–44). Another strength is that we applied isotemporal substitution analysis to be able to investigate the replacement of sedentary time with time spent in light and MVPA.

A few limitations should also be discussed. Because of the fact that both the objective measurement of physical activity and the body fat measurements by MRI were only performed in a random subset of the participants of the NEO study, the number of participants in the analyses on visceral fat and liver fat were relatively small. It must therefore also be noted that our results apply to people that were able to undergo an MRI of the abdomen and wear a monitor during free-living. Nevertheless, this sample size seems sufficient because we were able to detect associations with visceral fat and liver fat, as were previous studies that reported on the associations between objectively measured daily activities and visceral fat and liver fat and included even smaller sample sizes ($n < 100$) (28,33). In addition, the precision of combined sensing estimates of intensity is improved by individual calibration, and not everybody in our sample performed the step test. Consequently, the misclassification of activity intensity may have occurred for whom this was estimated based on the group calibration. Because we aimed to prevent such misclassification with our population-specific

calibration, if present, this may have resulted in an overestimation of our results. Furthermore, our definition of sedentary time was purely based on intensity as used in many other studies, but ideally information on sitting posture *per se* would have enhanced this classification. We also have no information in which domain the physical activity was performed. In addition, participants were not instructed to keep a log to record sleep and waking times, and therefore these were not available. Instead, we used general times during which we assumed most participants were asleep. This may have led to an over- or underestimation of sedentary time in certain participants. However, we do not expect that such misclassification would be related to the amount of body fat. Further, the amount of daily MVPA in our study population was relatively high. Whereas the Dutch population is known to be among the most active in Europe (45), a high level of MVPA has also been commonly reported in other studies where PA was assessed by Actiheart (46). Nevertheless, even if the absolute amount of MVPA was overestimated in our study, we do not expect that this would have affected our results in relation to the different measures of adiposity. Moreover, our population consisted mainly of White participants, so results need to be confirmed in other ethnic groups. Lastly, the observational cross-sectional study design precludes from any causal inference, and therefore larger prospective studies are needed to confirm these associations. In the present cross-sectional analyses, reverse causation, e.g., people engaging in less MVPA due to their high TBF, cannot be ruled out. Nevertheless, the results on visceral fat and liver fat are less likely affected by reverse causation because people are not aware of the amount of visceral fat or liver fat in their body.

To conclude, in this population-based study of middle-age men and women, sedentary time was associated with more TBF, visceral fat, and liver fat. The replacement of 30 min of sedentary time per day with MVPA was associated with less TBF, visceral fat, and liver fat. In our analyses, the replacement of sedentary time with light activities was not associated with TBF, visceral fat, or liver fat. The associations for visceral fat and liver fat attenuated after additional adjustment for TBF, which suggests that the associations with visceral fat and liver fat mainly flow via TBF.

This study provides knowledge on how reducing sedentary time by replacing it with MVPA is negatively associated with multiple TBF and abdominal fat, which is important for the prevention of abdominal obesity and ultimately cardiometabolic diseases.

The authors' responsibilities were as follows: R. dM. and F. R. R. designed the study; R. dM. and H. J. L. conducted the study; E. W.-vE., S. C. B., J. H. P. M. vdV., K. W., and S. B. performed data collection and Actiheart data processing; E. W.-vE. analyzed data; E. W.-vE. drafted the manuscript; E. W.-vE. and RdM had primary responsibility for final content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Clinical Trial Registry Number: NCT03410316 at clinicaltrials.gov.

The authors express their gratitude to all individuals who participate in the Netherlands Epidemiology in Obesity study. They are grateful to all participating general practitioners for inviting eligible participants. They furthermore thank Stefanie Hillebrand, Pat van Beelen, and all research nurses for collecting the data; Petra Noordijk and her team for sample handling and storage; and Ingeborg de Jonge for data management of the NEO study. They further thank Kristel Schaap from the Biomedical Data Sciences of the Leiden University Medical Center and

Lewis Griffiths and Antonia Smith from the MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, for their help with cleaning and processing the objective physical activity data.

The NEO study is supported by the participating departments, the Division and the Board of Directors of the Leiden University Medical Center, and by the Leiden University, Research Profile Area "Vascular and Regenerative Medicine." They acknowledge the support from the Netherlands Cardiovascular Research Initiative, an initiative with

support from the Dutch Heart Foundation (CVON2014-02 ENERGEISE). The work of KW and SB is supported by the UK Medical Research Council (MC_UU_12015/3) and NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (IS-BRC-1215-20014).

The authors declare no conflict of interest. The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by the American College of Sports Medicine. The results of this study are presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation.

REFERENCES

1. Stevens GA, Singh GM, Lu Y, et al. National, regional, and global trends in adult overweight and obesity prevalences. *Popul Health Metr.* 2012;10(1):22.
2. Despres JP, Lemieux I. Abdominal obesity and metabolic syndrome. *Nature.* 2006;444(7121):881–7.
3. Lim S, Meigs JB. Links between ectopic fat and vascular disease in humans. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2014;34(9):1820–6.
4. Gast KB, den Heijer M, Smit JWA, et al. Individual contributions of visceral fat and total body fat to subclinical atherosclerosis: the NEO study. *Atherosclerosis.* 2015;241(2):547–54.
5. Kaess BM, Pedley A, Massaro JM, Murabito J, Hoffmann U, Fox CS. The ratio of visceral to subcutaneous fat, a metric of body fat distribution, is a unique correlate of cardiometabolic risk. *Diabetologia.* 2012;55(10):2622–30.
6. Nazare J-A, Smith JD, Borel A-L, et al. Ethnic influences on the relations between abdominal subcutaneous and visceral adiposity, liver fat, and cardiometabolic risk profile: the International Study of Prediction of Intra-Abdominal Adiposity and Its Relationship With Cardiometabolic Risk/Intra-Abdominal Adiposity. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2012;96(4):714–26.
7. Ismail I, Keating S, Baker M, Johnson N. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of aerobic vs. resistance exercise training on visceral fat. *Obes Rev.* 2012;13(1):68–91.
8. Keating SE, Hackett DA, George J, Johnson NA. Exercise and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Hepatol.* 2012;57(1):157–66.
9. Kahlmeier S, Wijnhoven TM, Alpiger P, Schweizer C, Breda J, Martin BW. National physical activity recommendations: systematic overview and analysis of the situation in European countries. *BMC Public Health.* 2015;15:133.
10. Biswas A, Oh PI, Faulkner GE, et al. Sedentary time and its association with risk for disease incidence, mortality, and hospitalization in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015;162(2):123–32.
11. Mekary RA, Willett WC, Hu FB, Ding EL. Isotemporal substitution paradigm for physical activity epidemiology and weight change. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2009;170(4):519–27.
12. Grgic J, Dumuid D, Bengoechea EG, et al. Health outcomes associated with reallocations of time between sleep, sedentary behaviour, and physical activity: a systematic scoping review of isotemporal substitution studies. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2018;15(1):69.
13. Dahl-Petersen IK, Brage S, Bjerregaard P, Tolstrup JS, Jørgensen ME. Physical activity and abdominal fat distribution in Greenland. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2017;49(10):2064–70.
14. de Mutsert R, den Heijer M, Rabelink TJ, et al. The Netherlands Epidemiology of Obesity (NEO) study: study design and data collection. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2013;28(6):513–23.
15. Brage S, Ekelund U, Brage N, et al. Hierarchy of individual calibration levels for heart rate and accelerometry to measure physical activity. *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 2007;103(2):682–92.
16. Stegle O, Fallert SV, MacKay DJ, Brage S. Gaussian process robust regression for noisy heart rate data. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 2008;55(9):2143–51.
17. Brage S, Brage N, Franks PW, et al. Branched equation modeling of simultaneous accelerometry and heart rate monitoring improves estimate of directly measured physical activity energy expenditure. *J Appl Physiol.* 2004;96(1):343–51.
18. Brage S, Brage N, Franks P, Ekelund U, Wareham N. Reliability and validity of the combined heart rate and movement sensor Actiheart. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2005;59(4):561–70.
19. Brage S, Westgate K, Wijndaele K, Godinho J, Griffin S, Wareham N. Evaluation of a method for minimising diurnal information bias in objective sensor data. *Int Conf Amb Mon Phys Act Mov.* 2013.
20. Van Der Meer RW, Hammer S, Lamb HJ, et al. Effects of short-term high-fat, high-energy diet on hepatic and myocardial triglyceride content in healthy men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabol.* 2008;93(7):2702–8.
21. Naressi A, Couturier C, Devos J, et al. Java-based graphical user interface for the MRUI quantitation package. *MAGMA.* 2001;12(2–3):141.
22. Siebelink E, Geelen A, de Vries JH. Self-reported energy intake by FFQ compared with actual energy intake to maintain body weight in 516 adults. *Br J Nutr.* 2011;106(2):274–81.
23. Verkleij-Hagoort AC, de Vries JH, Stegers MP, Lindemans J, Ursem NT, Steegers-Theunissen RP. Validation of the assessment of folate and vitamin B12 intake in women of reproductive age: the method of triads. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2007;61(5):610–5.
24. Looman M, Feskens EJ, de Rijk M, et al. Development and evaluation of the Dutch Healthy Diet index 2015. *Public Health Nutr.* 2017;20(13):2289–99.
25. Korn EL, Graubard BI. Epidemiologic studies utilizing surveys: accounting for the sampling design. *Am J Public Health.* 1991;81(9):1166–73.
26. Lumley T. Analysis of complex survey samples. *J Stat Softw.* 2004;9(1):1–19.
27. Ministerie van VWS. Internet: <https://www.volksgezondheidenzorg.info/onderwerp/overgewicht/cijfers-context/huidige-situatie> (accessed February 20 2017).
28. Keating SE, Parker HM, Pavey TG, et al. Objectively quantified physical activity and sedentary behavior in predicting visceral adiposity and liver fat. *J Obes.* 2016;2016:2719014. doi:10.1155/2016/2719014.
29. Leskinen T, Sipilä S, Alen M, et al. Leisure-time physical activity and high-risk fat: a longitudinal population-based twin study. *Int J Obes (Lond).* 2009;33:1211–8.
30. Ryu S, Chang Y, Jung HS, et al. Relationship of sitting time and physical activity with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol.* 2015;63(5):1229–37.
31. Wei H, Qu H, Wang H, Deng H. Associations between sitting time and non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases in Chinese male workers: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open.* 2016;6(9):e011939.
32. Helajärvi H, Pakkala K, Heinonen OJ, et al. Television viewing and fatty liver in early midlife. The Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. *Ann Med.* 2015;47(6):519–26.
33. Hallsworth K, Thoma C, Moore S, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is associated with higher levels of objectively measured sedentary behaviour and lower levels of physical activity than matched healthy controls. *Frontline Gastroenterol.* 2015;6(1):44–51.
34. van der Berg JD, Stehouwer CD, Bosma H, et al. Associations of total amount and patterns of sedentary behaviour with type 2 diabetes and

- the metabolic syndrome: the Maastricht Study. *Diabetologia*. 2016; 59(4):709–18.
35. van der Velde JH, Schaper NC, Stehouwer CD, et al. Which is more important for cardiometabolic health: sedentary time, higher intensity physical activity or cardiorespiratory fitness? The Maastricht Study. *Diabetologia*. 2018;61(12):2561–9.
 36. Helmerhorst HJF, Wijndaele K, Brage S, Wareham NJ, Ekelund U. Objectively measured sedentary time may predict insulin resistance independent of moderate- and vigorous-intensity physical activity. *Diabetes*. 2009;58(8):1776–9.
 37. Machado de Rezende LF, Rey-López JP, Rodrigues Matsudo VK, do Carmo Luiz O. Sedentary behavior and health outcomes among older adults: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14(1):333.
 38. Duvivier BM, Schaper NC, Bremers MA, et al. Minimal intensity physical activity (standing and walking) of longer duration improves insulin action and plasma lipids more than shorter periods of moderate to vigorous exercise (cycling) in sedentary subjects when energy expenditure is comparable. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8(2):e55542.
 39. Duvivier BM, Schaper NC, Hesselink MK, et al. Breaking sitting with light activities vs structured exercise: a randomised crossover study demonstrating benefits for glycaemic control and insulin sensitivity in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia*. 2017;60(3): 490–8.
 40. Murphy JC, McDaniel JL, Mora K, Villareal DT, Fontana L, Weiss EP. Preferential reductions in intermuscular and visceral adipose tissue with exercise-induced weight loss compared with calorie restriction. *J Appl Physiol*. 2011;112(1):79–85.
 41. Arner P. Differences in lipolysis between human subcutaneous and omental adipose tissues. *Ann Med*. 1995;27(4):435–8.
 42. Sallis JF, Saelens BE. Assessment of physical activity by self-report: status, limitations, and future directions. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. 2000; 71(2 Suppl):S1–14.
 43. Freedson PS, Miller K. Objective monitoring of physical activity using motion sensors and heart rate. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. 2000; 71(2 Suppl):21–9.
 44. Treuth MS. Applying multiple methods to improve the accuracy of activity assessments. In: *Physical Activity Assessments for Health-Related Research*. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics; 2002. pp. 213–25.
 45. Lera-López F, Marco R. Sports participation, physical activity, and health in the European regions. *J Sports Sci*. 2018;36(15): 1784–91.
 46. Lindsay T, Westgate K, Wijndaele K, et al. Descriptive epidemiology of physical activity energy expenditure in UK adults (The Fenland study). *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2019;16(1):1–13.