



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Protective interventions by local elites in early Islamic Egypt

Scheerlinck, E.

Citation

Scheerlinck, E. (2023, September 13). *Protective interventions by local elites in early Islamic Egypt*.

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from:

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Protective Interventions by Local Elites in the Countryside of Early Islamic Egypt

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van

de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 13 september 2023

klokke 11.15 uur

door

Eline Scheerlinck

geboren te Gent

in 1986

Promotor: Prof. dr. Petra Sijpesteijn

Copromotor: Dr. Edmund Hayes

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. Alain Delattre (Université libre de Bruxelles)

Dr. Cecilia Palombo (Chicago University)

Dr. Arietta Papaconstantinou (Aix-Marseille Université)

Prof. dr. Caroline Waerzeggers

Eline Scheerlinck ©2023. No part of this work may be reproduced without the author's explicit permission. Printing and cover design: Ridderprint BV.

This thesis emerges from research done in the context of the project “Embedding Conquest: Naturalising Muslim Rule in the Early Islamic Empire (600–1000)”, directed by Petra Sijpesteijn and funded by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No. 683194.

Table of contents

List of Figures and tables	1
Abbreviations	1
Acknowledgements	3
Introduction	7
<i>Topic</i>	7
<i>Research questions</i>	9
<i>Embedding Conquest</i>	10
<i>Structure of the dissertation</i>	11
Chapter 1: Context and Methodology	15
1.1 <i>Introduction: Protection</i>	15
1.1.1 <i>Jeremias' protection letter</i>	15
1.1.2 <i>Protection in this dissertation</i>	17
1.1.3 <i>Backgrounds of the protection letter mechanism</i>	19
1.2 <i>The administration of Egypt in the seventh and eighth centuries: immediate changes and gradual developments</i>	33
1.2.2 <i>Functions and personnel of the administration</i>	36
1.2.3 <i>Multilingual background of documentary production</i>	39
1.3 <i>"Local elites"</i>	45
1.3.1 <i>Clarification of the terms "elites", "local elites", "rural elites"</i>	45
1.3.2 <i>Rural elites: who appears in the corpus?</i>	49
1.4 <i>Coptic protection letters, a documentary source</i>	51
1.4.1 <i>Uneven distribution of the documentation</i>	52
1.4.2 <i>Problem of dating documents</i>	53
1.4.3 <i>Single documents, archives and dossiers</i>	54
1.4.4 <i>The "unmediatedness" of documentary papyri</i>	55
1.5 <i>My approach, what is new?</i>	56
1.5.1 <i>The existing research on the Coptic protection letters</i>	57
1.5.2 <i>Categorization and embeddedness</i>	59
1.5.3 <i>Functions of the protection letters in society</i>	61
1.5.4 <i>Solidarity, reciprocity, and patronage</i>	62
1.5.5 <i>Place of the rural elites in the empire</i>	65
Chapter 2: The Coptic Protection Letters: Overview of the Corpus	69
2.1 <i>History of editions and categorizations</i>	69

2.1.1 Schiller.....	69
2.1.2 Till.....	69
2.1.3 Delattre.....	71
2.1.4 Hasitzka: <i>SB Kopt. V</i>	72
2.2 Core corpus: <i>Coptic protection letters</i>	73
2.2.1. The term “Coptic protection letter”.....	74
2.2.2 Categorization.....	74
2.3 Dating, provenance, and writing support of the <i>Coptic protection letters</i>	75
2.3.1 Where.....	75
2.3.2 When.....	79
2.3.2 Writing support.....	83
2.4 Terms used in the descriptions and analyses.....	84
2.4.1 Instruction clause.....	84
2.4.2 Promise clause.....	85
2.4.3 Limitation clause.....	85
2.4.4 Exception clause.....	86
2.4.5 Protectee.....	87
2.4.6 Protector.....	89
2.4.7 Intermediary.....	90
Chapter 3: Patterns of Protection	93
3.1 The protection letters as recognizable instruments of protection.....	94
3.1.1 The core formulas.....	94
3.1.2 Protective documents: expressions of protection.....	96
3.2 Procedures of protection.....	102
3.2.1 Presence of intermediaries.....	104
3.2.2 Various roles of intermediaries.....	105
3.2.3 Oral interactions as moments of negotiation.....	107
3.2.4 Logistics of the protection letter procedure.....	108
3.2.5 The protection letters between village and monastic contexts.....	110
3.3 Variability and uniformity.....	114
3.3.1 Patterns in the promises? The protection letters written by the village scribes of Djeme.	114
3.3.2 Specific references in the protection letters.....	120
3.3.3 The protection letter mechanism as an institution of village life.....	124
Chapter 4: Problems Solved	129
4.1 Taxation.....	131

4.1.1 Taxation in the Coptic protection letters.....	132
4.1.2 The pressure of taxation in the documents from Early Islamic Egypt.....	141
4.1.3 The place of Coptic protection letters as instruments to solve fiscal problems.....	147
4.2 Travel and fugitives.....	149
4.2.1 Travel in the Coptic protection letters.....	149
4.2.2 Problems and protection of travel in Early Islamic Egypt.....	152
4.2.3 How do the Coptic protection letters relate to the documents regulating travel?.....	159
4.3 Private legal issues.....	174
4.3.1 Private legal issues in the Coptic protection letters.....	174
4.3.2 Private legal issues: Petitions to the governor.....	177
4.3.3 Problem-solving rather than crime and punishment.....	179
4.4 Release of prisoners.....	179
4.4.1 Release of arrestees: requests, orders, guarantees.....	179
4.4.2 Release of prisoners: Guarantees and Coptic protection letters.....	182
Chapter 5: The Integrated System: From Fustat to Djeme – and back.....	185
5.1 Introduction.....	185
5.1.1 Structure and arguments of the chapter.....	185
5.1.2 Methodological remarks.....	187
5.2 Translating orders from above.....	190
5.2.1 Orders arriving at the local elites.....	190
5.2.2 Pagarchy level: The local elites transmitting policies and demands.....	195
5.3 The integrated system: village elites and their documents and mechanisms in the administration.....	203
5.3.1 Case study 1: The involvement of the local elites in travel permit procedures.....	203
5.3.2 Case study 2: Protection letters beyond the village.....	208
5.4 Local elites and their interests between Djeme and Fustat (through the lens of the protection letters).....	214
5.4.1 Activating social relationships in the village.....	216
5.4.2 The protectors as threat.....	218
5.4.3 Reciprocity.....	220
5.4.4 Dependency networks.....	225
5.4.5 Stakeholders in empire.....	226
Conclusion.....	231
What did each chapter accomplish?.....	231
Answers to my research questions.....	233
The timeframe of the Coptic protection letter mechanism.....	237

Bibliography	241
Appendix: Overview of the documents in the corpus of Coptic protection letters.....	259
Summary.....	309
Curriculum Vitae	313

List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1: Coptic protection letter: O.Bachit o. Nr., Deir-el Bachit, Theban area, ceramic shard. © Koptische Ostraka Online, München, Münster 2011-2014.
.....17

Figure 2: Map of the Theban region (M. Wachtal, © E. R. O’Connell). From: O’Connell Ruffini, Social Networks of late Antique Western Thebes.78

Figure 3: Map of sites in Western Thebes known to have been reused in Late Antiquity (M. Wachtal, © E. R. O’Connell). From: O’Connell Ruffini, Social Networks of late Antique Western Thebes.79

Table 1: Appendix: Overview of the corpus of Coptic protection letters.....259-306

Abbreviations

Coptic and Greek documents are cited according to the “Checklist of Editions of Greek, Latin, Demotic, and Coptic Papyri, Ostraca, and Tablets”, available online at <http://papyri.info/docs/checklist>.

Arabic documents are cited according to “The Checklist of Arabic Documents”, available online at <http://www.naher-osten.lmu.de/isapchecklist>.

Acknowledgements

My supervisors, Petra Sijpesteijn and Edmund Hayes, have had a great hand in shaping this dissertation, in broad strokes and minute details. They challenged my thinking, offered new perspectives, and motivated me along the way. I am very grateful for their many careful readings and observations, as well as for their guidance and patience. Especially towards the end of writing my dissertation, the discussions with Marie Legendre guided me through some tangled points in my arguments. I am indebted to her for taking the time and lending me her expertise at a crucial moment.

My conversations with Cecilia Palombo have been a source of inspiration and motivation throughout the years. I am grateful to her for sharing with me her critical thinking, innovative viewpoints, and generosity.

The *Embedding Conquest* office was a place to share and discuss research freely. I am grateful for the support of my office and team mates Alon Dar, Reza Huseini, Birte Kristiansen, and Nynke van der Veldt. The Coptic papyrology seminars with Renate Dekker and Jacques van der Vliet introduced me to the Coptic protection letters, and I have greatly benefited from both scholars' expertise.

I have had the opportunity to participate in many academic events: workshops and conferences organized by the *Embedding Conquest* project as well as others. The discussions I have had at those events have enriched this dissertation in many respects. I wish to thank especially Maaïke van Berkel, Jenny Cromwell, Anne Boud'hors, Esther Garel, Noémie Lucas, Maria Nowak, Arietta Papaconstantinou, Stefanie Schmidt, Lajos Berkes, Jean-Luc Fournet, Frederik Krueger, Sebastian Richter, Naïm Vanthieghem. I am also indebted to all the participants in the *Acts of Protection* workshop and the *Papyri and Religious Identifications* conference, both in Leiden. I also wish to thank my co-organizers for those events, specifically Edmund Hayes for the workshop, and Mattias Brand, Cisca Hoogendijk, and Koen Donker van Heel for the conference.

I am grateful to the organizers, teachers, and participants of the Coptic Papyrology Summer School, in particular Anne Boud'hors and Alain Delattre, for giving me the opportunity to edit two documents, one of which of great relevance to this dissertation. The Academic Women Online Writing Retreat was a both a safe haven and a place of focus and inspiration during the last months of writing.

I am grateful to my colleagues and adventuring party of *Dice on the Nile*: Jenny Cromwell, Alon Dar, Edmund Hayes, and Grzegorz Ochała, for all the excitement, and for joining me in exploring a different side to our research.

I owe a debt of gratitude to my family of friends. My parents Arlette Thys and Walter Scheerlinck supported me throughout this whole process. Among so many other things, I am grateful to them and my sister Saskia Scheerlinck for helping me settle in Leiden. My close and extended family and friends were a source of support and distraction whenever I asked. My partner Daniël Geelen has been near to me in innumerable small and big ways during this process.

Many more people, other than those mentioned here, have supported me or contributed to this dissertation in different ways. I am grateful to each of them.

