



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Editorial for "Evaluation of Cardiac Shunts With 4D Flow Cardiac Magnetic Resonance: Intra- and Interobserver Variability"

Westenberg, J.J.M.; Roest, A.A.W.; Lamb, H.J.

Citation

Westenberg, J. J. M., Roest, A. A. W., & Lamb, H. J. (2020). Editorial for "Evaluation of Cardiac Shunts With 4D Flow Cardiac Magnetic Resonance: Intra- and Interobserver Variability". *Journal Of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 52(4), 1064-1065.
doi:10.1002/jmri.27175

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3182150>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Editorial for “Evaluation of Cardiac Shunts With 4D Flow Cardiac Magnetic Resonance: Intra- and Interobserver Variability”

Cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) combined with 2D phase-contrast (PC) MRI is a well-established approach to detect and quantify the severity of intra- and extracardiac shunt defects and its utility is recognized in the clinical workup of patients with atrial or ventricular septal defects or a patent ductus arteriosus.^{1,2} Accurate quantitation of the pulmonic-to-systemic flow Qp/Qs-ratio is an important measure in the evaluation of shunt flow, since the timing of surgical intervention is currently based on this ratio. Qp/Qs above 1 indicates shunt flow from the left-sided heart to the right-sided heart, while Qp/Qs below 1 indicates right-to-left shunt flow. Conservative treatment is recommended for asymptomatic patients with Qp/Qs between 1 and 1.5, whereas patients with Qp/Qs of 1.5 and above are usually referred for surgical correction.³ Assessment of Qp/Qs only at the levels of the aortic and pulmonary valve does not specify whether the location of the septal defect is atrial or ventricular, or—in the case of extracardiac—between the great arteries (ie, patent ductus arteriosus). For such specific information, multiple quantitative flow assessments are required, obtained at the pulmonary veins, ascending and thoracic descending aorta, inferior and superior venae cava, and/or at the atrioventricular valves. 2D PC MRI has been suggested for such an extensive evaluation as well.⁴

However, in the case of concomitant valve regurgitation at either one of the cardiac valves, or for a combination of valves, 2D PC MRI may not be the optimal approach when used for shunt flow calculation. 2D PC MRI uses a static imaging plane that is not adapted to the through-plane motion of the valves during the cardiac cycle. In addition, eccentric flow jets may be missed or misaligned with the acquisition plane, which may lead to underestimation of flow volumes, either for antegrade or retrograde flow, or both.^{5,6} Furthermore, by combining measures from multiple 2D PC MRI acquisitions, interscan variations may be introduced that can affect the Qp/Qs calculation. Extending PC MRI towards 3D volumetric acquisition with velocity encoding in all three directions, ie, a 4D flow MRI acquisition, can overcome these

limitations of 2D PC MRI. With 4D flow MRI, the time-dependent three-directional blood flow velocity field is registered inside a 3D volume. By applying retrospective valve tracking,⁷ transvalvular flow can be quantified accurately, even in the presence of regurgitant jets that are dynamically changing in direction.⁶ The net forward flow over each of the four cardiac valves is consistent in subjects without shunt flow,^{7,8} and therefore, this technique is clinically very useful for indirect estimation of septal defects. Chelu et al showed the reproducibility of this approach when performed by readers in different centers.⁹ The study described in “Evaluation of Cardiac Shunts With 4D Flow Cardiac Magnetic Resonance: Intra- and Interobserver Variability” by Javier et al,¹⁰ published in the current issue of the *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, is a feasibility study performed in 18 patients with a confirmed diagnosis of cardiac shunt. The authors used 4D flow MRI to visualize and quantify shunt flow directly at the septal defect and compared these with indirect assessment from the same 4D flow MRI data. Strong intra- and interobserver correlations of direct and indirect assessments were found. Strong correlations were found between Qp/Qs ratio and shunt jet flow volume, diameter, and area. Furthermore, they described good correlations of Qp/Qs ratio, jet volume, area, and diameter assessments with right ventricular volume as possible prognostic associations.

This study extends the findings of the aforementioned study by Chelu et al, whose direct assessment in patients with atrial septal defects established a success rate of 69% of the cases. The current study is the first to explore the direct assessment of shunt flow by 4D flow in a wider range of patients beyond those with atrial septal defects and achieves a success rate of 100% ($n = 18$) in patients with various shunt pathologies. The results of this study will therefore contribute to the translation of 4D flow MRI into clinical workup of patients with suspicion of cardiac shunt flow, but with the recognition that 4D flow MRI is still associated with long scan times and compromises with respect to spatial and temporal resolution.

Jos J.M. Westenberg, PhD 

Department of Radiology
Leiden University Medical Center
Leiden, The Netherlands
E-mail: j.j.m.westenberg@lumc.nl

Arno A.W. Roest, MD, PhD

Department of Pediatric Cardiology
Leiden University Medical Center
Leiden, The Netherlands

Hildo J. Lamb, MD, PhD

Department of Radiology
Leiden University Medical Center
Leiden, The Netherlands

References

1. Beerbaum P, Korperich H, Barth P, et al. Noninvasive quantification of left-to-right shunt in pediatric patients; phase-contrast cine magnetic resonance imaging compared with invasive oximetry. *Circulation* 2001; 103:2476-2482.
2. Beerbaum P, Korperich H, Gieseke J, et al. Rapid left-to-right shunt quantification in children by phase-contrast magnetic resonance imaging combined with sensitivity encoding (SENSE). *Circulation* 2003;108 (11):1355-1361.
3. Baumgartner H, Bonhoeffer P, De Groot NMS, et al. ESC guidelines for the management of grown-up congenital heart disease (new version 2010). *Eur Heart J* 2010;31(23):2915-2957.
4. Valsangiacomo Buechel ER, Grosse-Wortmann L, Fratz S, et al. Indications for cardiovascular magnetic resonance in children with congenital and acquired heart disease: An expert consensus paper of the imaging working group of the AEPC and the cardiovascular magnetic resonance section of the EACVI. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;16(3): 281-297.
5. Calkoen EE, Roest AA, Kroft LJ, et al. Characterization and improved quantification of left ventricular inflow using streamline visualization with 4Dflow MRI in healthy controls and patients after atrioventricular septal defect correction. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2015;41(6):1512-1520.
6. Calkoen EE, Westenberg JJ, Kroft LJ, et al. Characterization and quantification of dynamic eccentric regurgitation of the left atrioventricular valve after atrioventricular septal defect correction with 4D flow cardiovascular magnetic resonance and retrospective valve tracking. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2015;17:18.
7. Westenberg JJ, Roes SD, Ajmone Marsan N, et al. Mitral valve and tricuspid valve blood flow: Accurate quantification with 3D velocity-encoded MR imaging with retrospective valve tracking. *Radiology* 2008;249(3):792-800.
8. Kamphuis VP, Roest AAW, Ajmone Marsan N, et al. Automated cardiac valve tracking for flow quantification with four-dimensional flow MRI. *Radiology* 2019;290(1):70-78.
9. Chelu RG, Horowitz M, Sucha D, et al. Evaluation of atrial septal defects with 4D flow MRI-multilevel and inter-reader reproducibility for quantification of shunt severity. *Magma* 2019;32(2):269-279.
10. Javier UU, Ana AV, de Vega Vicente M, Angel CJ. Evaluation of cardiac shunts with 4D flow cardiac magnetic resonance: Intra- and inter-observer variability. *J Magn Reson Imaging* (this issue).

DOI: 10.1002/jmri.27175

Level of Evidence: 5
Technical Efficacy Stage: 2