

Opioid prescribing patterns after arthroplasty of the knee and hip: a Dutch nationwide cohort study from 2013 to 2018

Brug, H.E. van; Nelissen, R.G.H.H.; Lijfering, W.M.; Steenbergen, L.N. van; Rosendaal, F.R.; Dorp, E.L.A. van; ...; Gademan, M.G.J.

Citation

Brug, H. E. van, Nelissen, R. G. H. H., Lijfering, W. M., Steenbergen, L. N. van, Rosendaal, F. R., Dorp, E. L. A. van, ... Gademan, M. G. J. (2022). Opioid prescribing patterns after arthroplasty of the knee and hip: a Dutch nationwide cohort study from 2013 to 2018. *Acta Orthopaedica*, 93, 667-681. doi:10.2340/17453674.2022.3993

Version: Publisher's Version

License: <u>Creative Commons CC BY-NC 4.0 license</u>
Downloaded from: <u>https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3512663</u>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Opioid prescribing patterns after arthroplasty of the knee and hip: a Dutch nationwide cohort study from 2013 to 2018



Heather E VAN BRUG ^{1,2}, Rob G H H NELISSEN ^{1,3}, Willem M LIJFERING ², Liza N VAN STEENBERGEN ³, Frits R ROSENDAAL ², Eveline L A VAN DORP ⁴, Marcel L BOUVY ⁵, Albert DAHAN ⁴, and Maaike G J GADEMAN ^{1,2}

¹ Department of Orthopaedics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden; ² Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden; ³ Dutch Arthroplasty Register (LROI), 's-Hertogenbosch; ⁴ Department of Anaesthesiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden; ⁵ Utrecht Institute for Pharmaceutical Sciences (UIPS), Division of Pharmacoepidemiology and Clinical Pharmacology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands Correspondence: h.e.van_brug@lumc.nl Submitted 2022-01-11. Accepted 2022-06-09.

Background and purpose — Numbers on opioid prescriptions over time in arthroplasty patients are currently lacking. Therefore we determined the annual opioid prescribing rate in patients who received a hip/knee arthroplasty (HA/KA) between 2013 and 2018.

Patients and methods — The Dutch Foundation for Pharmaceutical Statistics, which provides national coverage of medication prescriptions, was linked to the Dutch Arthroplasty Register, which provides arthroplasty procedures. The opioid prescription rates were expressed as the number of defined daily dosages (DDD) and morphine milligram equivalent (MME) per person year (PY) and stratified for primary and revision arthroplasty. Amongst subgroups for age (< 75; ≥ 75 years) and sex for primary osteoarthritis arthroplasties, prescription rates stratified for opioid type (weak/strong) and prevalent preoperative opioid prescriptions (yes/no) were assessed.

Results — 48,051 primary KAs and 53,964 HAs were included, and 3,540 revision KAs and 4,118 HAs. In 2013, after primary KA 58% were dispensed ≥ 1 opioid within the first year; this increased to 89% in 2018. For primary HA these numbers increased from 38% to 75%. In KAs the prescription rates increased from 13.1 DDD/PY to 14.4 DDD/PY, mainly due to oxycodone prescriptions (2.9 DDD/PY to 7.3 DDD/PY), while tramadol decreased (7.3 DDD/PY to 4.6 DDD/PY). The number of MME/PY also increased (888 MME/PY to 1224 MME/PY). Similar changes were observed for HA and revision arthroplasties. Irrespective of joint, prescription of opioid medication increased over time, with highest levels in groups with preoperative opioid prescriptions while weak opioid prescriptions decreased.

Interpretation — In the Netherlands, between 2013 and 2018 postoperative opioid prescriptions after KA and HA

increased, mainly due to increased oxycodone prescriptions with highest levels after surgeries with preoperative prescriptions.

Worldwide, approximately 70% of drug-induced deaths can be linked to opioids (1). While opioid use is lower in Europe than in the United States, it also increased during the last decade (2-6). In the Netherlands between 2013 and 2017 an increase in opioid prescriptions was observed from 4.9% to 6.0% (3) and has plateaued since (7).

Compared with the general population, arthroplasty patients are more exposed to opioid medication, as opioids are frequently prescribed before and after arthroplasty (8). Furthermore, arthroplasty patients may continue to require pain medication as 9–20% perceive persistent pain after surgery (9).

Numbers on opioid prescriptions over time in Europe in arthroplasty patients are currently lacking. In the United States, prolonged opioid usage rates were between 25% and 40% in postoperative arthroplasty patients (10,11). Insight into prescription rates over time is needed as the lifetime risk for hip arthroplasty (HA) is estimated at 8–16%, and for knee arthroplasty (KA) 6–23% in Western countries (12,13). The consequences of opioid use may therefore be substantial and could have a major impact on healthcare systems and patients' lives.

To create awareness on opioid use we assessed the opioid prescription rates in Dutch HA and KA patients 1 year after surgery between 2013 and 2018. Moreover, we assessed these rates in high-risk subgroups, such as previous opioid users, the elderly, women, and patients with lower physical status (3). We conducted the subgroup analysis in osteoarthritis (OA) patients as this is the primary indication for KA/HA and also the group with likely preoperative pain.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Medical Journals Sweden, on behalf of the Nordic Orthopedic Federation. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material for non-commercial purposes, provided proper attribution to the original work.

Patients and methods

Data sources

This study was based on 2 national databases. Data on HA and KA surgeries and most patient demographics was obtained from the Dutch Arthroplasty Register (LROI). The LROI covers all hospitals performing arthroplasties in the Netherlands. The completeness of total HA and KA (primary and revision), calculated using the hospital information system in which all operations performed in the Netherlands are registered, has been stable at > 95% since 2016 (14).

Pharmaceutical dispensing data was obtained from the Dutch Foundation for Pharmaceutical Statistics (SFK), which contains out-of-hospital prescriptions from > 95% of community pharmacies, including outpatient pharmacies. Individual-level opioid dispensing data was derived 1 year before and 1 year after arthroplasty, including Anatomic-Therapeutic-Chemical (ATC5) codes, dose, and number dispensed.

Datasets from Statistics Netherlands (CBS) were used to validate our results: opioid reimbursement data and hospital admission data. The CBS, specifically the hospital data (completeness > 97% since 2014) provides information on all operations in the Netherlands with the exception of operations performed in private hospitals. The dispensing of medication in CBS is provided on ATC4 level, which is limited to the chemical subgroup.

Data linkage

The deterministic linkage between LROI and SFK datasets was performed on a combination of birthyear, sex, 4-digit postcode, and surgery date together with the start of thromboprophylaxis prescribed around the surgery date (4 days before, 10 days after) as a proxy for surgery date (unavailable in the SFK). If an arthroplasty, registered in the LROI, matched with a patient, based on sex, birthyear, and 4-digit postcode in the SFK, also had thromboprophylaxis medication in the timeperiod around the surgical date of the arthroplasty, this patient was linked. Data linkage was performed by the SFK. All data were pseudonymized before they were received.

Study population

All primary and revision KA/HA surgeries between 2013 and 2018 were included, except patellofemoral KA. Revision surgery was defined as any change (insertion, replacement, or removal) of ≥ 1 components of the prosthesis. Exclusion criteria were: < 18 years, arthroplasties with administrative errors (survival wrongfully retrieved from medical record), or > 4,000 DDDs opioids prescribed.

Measures

Demographics

The following patient demographics were included: age, sex, BMI, current smoking status (yes/no), joint (knee/hip),

OA as surgery indication (yes/no), ASA, and Charnley score. Socioeconomic status (SES) was based on individual 4-digit postcodes from SFK. SES originated from the 2014 and 2016 measurements of the Netherlands Institute for Social Research, based on income, education, and occupation of the Dutch inhabitants and was received from the SFK. SES scores were based on quintiles: very low (≤ -1.5), below average (-1.49 to -0.5), average (-0.49 to 0.49), above average (0.5 to 1.49), and very high (≥ 1.5).

Arthroplasty

The following prosthesis-related information was derived: procedure type (primary/revision), prosthesis type (total, resurfacing, hemi/unicondylar), fixation (cemented, uncemented, hybrid), and revision type (total, partial, removal, other).

Opioid usage

Opioid use before and after arthroplasty was defined as ≥ 1 dispensed opioid prescription at a Dutch pharmacy, either 1 year before or after surgery. The annual opioid prescription rate was expressed as defined daily doses (DDDs), and morphine milligram equivalent (MME) per person years (PYs) for the first year after arthroplasty. PYs were calculated for all arthroplasties performed per calendar year. PYs were counted for each arthroplasty until one year follow-up, or censored at death. DDDs were defined as the supplied dose divided by the average maintenance dose according to the WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology. MMEs were calculated by calculating the dosages of each opioid prescription multiplied by an MME conversion factor. If no MME/DDD existed for a certain opioid, it was not counted (DDD for codeine-paracetamol combination non-existent). All arthroplasties were stratified into opioid prevalent (≥ 1 opioid prescription 1 year before surgery) and opioid naive (no opioid prescription 1 year before surgery) arthroplasties. Codeine and tramadol were considered as weak opioids, all other opioids as strong. For primary arthroplasties, the number of prescriptions were categorized: 1 prescription, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6–10, 11–20, 21–50, and \geq 50 prescriptions. As such, we could assess whether the number of prescriptions dispensed per surgery or the pills/DDDs/MMEs per prescription changed. Multiple prescriptions on the same date were counted as 1.

Data analysis

All analyses were stratified for KA and HA. Characteristics of the LROI population and the linked arthroplasties were compared to assess representativeness. For continuous variables the standardized mean differences (SMD) were calculated; SMD > 0.1 was considered a meaningful difference (15).

Descriptive statistics were used to assess yearly opioid prescriptions after all arthroplasties annually from 2013 to 2018. The proportion of patients with ≥ 1 opioid prescription after surgery was calculated. Opioid prescription rates of the 5 most

frequent prescribed opioids were expressed as DDD/PY and MME/PY for both primary and revision arthroplasties. The 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated.

For each category regarding the number of prescriptions per surgery we calculated the following per calendar year: the number and percentage of arthroplasties, the percentage of opioid prescriptions, the median number of pills prescribed (interquartile range), and the DDDs/PY and MME/PY.

The opioid prescription rates were shown in subgroups: men/women, age (<75 years/≥75 years (based on the average age in this population and the expected age of death)), and ASA classification (ASA I/ASA II/ASA III–IV). Weak and strong opioids, and prevalent and opioid naive arthroplasties were shown separately.

A sensitivity analysis was performed on primary index arthroplasties. When a patient received > 1 arthroplasty, only the first arthroplasty was included. Within this group, the yearly opioid prescription rates were shown separately for the 5 most frequently prescribed opioids.

Our study results were validated by assessing the proportion of opioid users in the year of surgery within the CBS (Appendix, see Supplementary data).

All data cleaning and analyses were performed in R 3.6.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Ethics, funding, data sharing, and potential conflicts of interest

Approval by an ethics committee was waived by the Medical Ethics Committee Leiden–Den Haag–Delft (reference number: G19.018). This work was funded by the van Rens Foundation (Project number: VRF2019-002). Data cannot be shared publicly because of confidentiality. Data is available from the SFK and LROI Institutional

AD declares grants from ZonMW, Grunenthal, AMO Pharma, Enalare, MSD, Medtronic, and Medasense for research, educational, speaker, lecture, and consulting fees and study equipment. EvD declares grants from NWO/NWA TAPTOE. All other authors have nothing to declare.

Results

Population

368,471 primary arthroplasties were performed between 2013 and 2018, and 31,606 revisions. Arthroplasties that could not be linked on patient postcode, or on low-molecular weight heparin, or only on hospital pharmacy prescriptions, were excluded. Our study population included 48,051 primary KAs, 53,964 primary HAs, 3,540 revision KAs and 4,118 HAs (Figure 1). This population was somewhat younger (mean (SD): 68 (10) versus 71 (10) years), more often had OA as indication (88% versus 82%), and was somewhat healthier, indicated by the ASA classification, than unlinked arthroplasties (Tables 1–2, see Supplementary data).




Figure 1. Flowchart showing data selection. KA = knee arthroplasty; HA = hip arthroplasty.

Table 3 shows the population characteristics for arthroplasties with a known indication for surgery. Arthroplasties with unknown or missing indication (n = 246 hips; n = 228 knees) were not shown. The mean age for KA for OA was 67 (9.1) versus 62 (12) in KAs for another indication. HAs for OA were performed at a mean age of 69 (9.8), versus 70 (15) in HAs for other indications. About 60% of arthroplasties were performed in women. In the OA group, 26% of arthroplasties had prevalent opioid prescriptions; this was 30% amongst other indications. Most arthroplasties were total arthroplasties.

The mean age for revision KA was 66 (9.6) and for HA it was 70 (11). Some 60% of the revision arthroplasties were performed in women. For revision KA almost 50% were a total revision; for revision HA this was 20% (Table 4, see Supplementary data).

Opioid prescriptions over time

In primary KA, the proportion of patients with ≥ 1 opioid prescription increased from 58% (CI 57–59%) in 2013 to 89% (CI 88–90%) in 2018. In primary HA this proportion increased from 38% (CI 37–40%) to 75% (CI 74–76%). The 5 most often prescribed opioids were oxycodone, tramadol, morphine, fentanyl, and buprenorphine. All other opioids were: codeine, tapentadol, hydromorphone, pethidine, piritramide, pentazocine, and nicomorphine. In primary KA opioid pre-

Table 3. Population characteristics stratified for primary hip and knee arthroplasties and diagnosis (osteoarthritis vs. non-osteoarthritis). Values are count (%) unless otherwise specified

		nee	Hi	
	Osteo- arthritis	Other indication	Osteo- arthritis	Other indication
	n = 46,109	n = 1,714	n = 42,891	n = 10,827
Demographics	07 (0.4)	CO (40)	CO (O O)	70 (45)
Age, mean (SD) missing	67 (9.1) 0 (0)	62 (12) 0 (0)	69 (9.8) 0 (0)	70 (15) 0 (0)
Female sex	27,321 (59)	1,044 (61)	26,270 (61)	6,756 (62)
missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
BMI a	22 (2.1)	- · · · · · ·	()	
≤ 18.5 18.5–25	60 (0.1) 7,052 (16)	21 (1.3) 424 (26)	244 (0.6) 12,418 (31)	416 (4.3) 4,647 (48)
25–30	18,166 (42)	642 (40)	17,673 (44)	3,358 (34)
30–40	16,921 (39)	480 (30)	9,677 (24)	1,295 (13)
> 40	1,486 (3.4)	44 (2.7)	457 (1.1)	51 (0.5)
missing	2,424 (5.3)	103 (6.0)	2,422 (5.6)	1,060 (9.8)
ASA class	7 000 (15)	240 (15)	0.004 (10)	1 /10 /12\
l II	7,082 (15) 31,430 (68)	249 (15) 1,116 (65)	8,084 (19) 28,172 (66)	1,418 (13) 5,438 (50)
III–IV	7,490 (16)	347 (20)	6,548 (15)	3,933 (37)
missing	107 (0.2)	2 (0.1)	87 (0.2)	38 (0.4)
Socioeconomic status				
very low	6,156 (13)	263 (15)	4,845 (11)	1,545 (14)
below average	8,957 (20)	318 (19)	7,843 (18) 16,274 (38)	2,325 (22) 4,054 (38)
average above average	17,460 (38) 11,209 (25)	635 (37) 416 (24)	11,343 (27)	2,388 (22)
very high	2,060 (4.5)	78 (4.6)	2,383 (5.6)	460 (4.3)
missing	267 (0.6)	4 (0.2)	203 (0.5)	55 (0.5)
Smoking a	4,104 (9.9)	257 (17)	4,504 (12)	1,491 (16)
missing	4,618 (10)	188 (11)	4,393 (10)	1,244 (12)
Charnley classification A	n " 17,848 (44)	682 (45)	17,300 (46)	4,023 (41)
B1	14,029 (34)	355 (23)	11,459 (30)	879 (9.0)
B2	7,832 (19)	153 (10)	8,224 (22)	701 (7.2)
С	933 (2.3)	119 (7. <u>8</u>)	802 (2.1)	246 (2.5)
not applicable	114 (0.3)	218 (14)	120 (0.3)	3,912 (40)
missing	5,353 (12)	187 (11)	4,986 (12)	1,066 (9.8)
Prosthesis-related Type of prosthesis				
total prosthesis	40,987 (89)	1,626 (95)	42,686 (100)	6,368 (59)
hemi/unicondylar	5,112 (11)	80 (4.7)	186 (0.4)	4,433 (41)
resurfacing	- '	- "	4 (0.0)	- '
other	9 (0.0)	8 (0.5)	5 (0.0)	18 (0.2)
missing Fixation	1 (0)	0 (0)	10 (0)	8 (0.1)
cemented	40,579 (88)	1,540 (90)	9,492 (22)	5,230 (48)
uncemented	4,277 (9.3)	107 (6.2)	29,531 (69)	4,680 (43)
hybrid	1,185 (2.6)	65 (3.8)	3,811 (8.9)	900 (8.4)
missing	68 (0.1)	2 (0.1)	57 (0.1)	17 (0.2)
Opioid use before surge				
, .		EZ4 (0.4)	44 000 (07)	0.054 (00)
prevalent users	12,064 (26) 0 (0)	574 (34) 0 (0)	11,639 (27) 0 (0)	3,254 (30) 0 (0)

^a available since 2014

Charnley classification: A = 1 joint affected with osteoarthrosis; B1 = 2 joints affected (both hips/both knees); B2 = Contralateral joint with prothesis; C = Multiple joints affected with osteoarthrosis or a chronic disease impairing quality of life (in walking).

scription rates increased from 13.1 DDDs/PY (CI 11.1–15.0) to 14.4 DDDs/PY (CI 12.9–15.9) between 2013 and 2018. Oxycodone increased from 2.9 DDDs/PY (CI 2.0–3.8) to 7.3 DDDs/PY (CI 6.2–8.4) between 2013 and 2018, while pre-

scription of tramadol decreased from 7.3 DDDs/PY (CI 5.9–8.7) to 4.6 DDDs/PY (CI 3.8–5.5). All other opioids remained relatively stable (Figure 2). When opioid prescription was expressed as MME/PY similar patterns were found (Figure 2).

In primary HA similar patterns were found. Opioid prescription rates increased from 9.1 DDDs/PY (CI 7.5–10.6) to 11.6 DDDs/PY (CI 10.3–12.9) between 2013 and 2018. Oxycodone increased from 2.2 DDDs/PY (CI 1.5–3.0) in 2013, to 4.8 DDDs/PY (CI 4.0–5.7) in 2018, and fentanyl increased from 1.5 DDDs/PY (CI 0.9–2.2) to 3.1 DDDs/PY (CI 2.4–3.7). Tramadol decreased from 4.7 DDDs/PY (CI 3.6–5.8) in 2013, to 2.9 DDDs/PY (CI 2.2–3.5) in 2018; all other opioids remained relatively stable. When opioid prescription was expressed as MME/PY similar patterns were found.

In the revision arthroplasty population similar changes were found, albeit at higher opioid prescription rates and with slightly different increase patterns than in their respective primary counterparts (Figures 3–4, see Supplementary data).

Opioids per prescription dispensing category

After KA the percentage of opioid prescriptions within each prescription dispensing category remained the same. However, in parallel with the higher proportion of opioid users, the number of arthroplasty surgeries with prolonged opioid prescriptions increased (Table 5). Intermittent years are shown in Table 6 (see Supplementary data).

After HA, more often 1 or 2 prescriptions were dispensed. Comparing 2018 with 2013, the higher prescription categories were stable, or decreased slightly (Table 7). In both KA and HA DDDs/PY decreased between 2013 and 2018, while MME increased in most categories. This implies a decrease in the number of dosages prescribed, but an increase in the opioid potency. Intermittent years are shown in Table 8 (see Supplementary data).

Furthermore, despite an increasing number of prescriptions, the median number of pills remained similar. However, the MME/PY increased more progressively between the 3rd and the 4th prescription compared with the earlier prescriptions. This was present in both KA and HA and suggests a difference between early postoperative and long-term users.

Opioid use over time in subgroups

Figure 5 shows the prescribed opioids over time amongst primary KA for OA in distinct subgroups for age, sex, and ASA classification. In most subgroups, strong opioid prescription




Figure 2. Opioid prescriptions over time in different opioid types in defined daily dosages and morphine milligram equivalent amongst primary knee and hip arthroplasties per person year. DDD = defined daily dosage, MME = morphine milligram equivalent, PY = person year. DDDs for codeine–paracetamol combination were non-existent.

increased, with the exception of the prevalent opioid male arthroplasty group. Weak opioids decreased in all subgroups. The ASA III–VI groups received more opioids in both DDD/PY and MME/PY. The prevalent opioid group had a higher overall prescription rates than the naive group (e.g., mean MME/PY prevalent opioid group < 75 years (strong opioids) over time: 2,339 MME/PY (CI 2,320–2,357); mean MME/PY opioid naive opioid group < 75 years (strong opioids) over time 447 MME/PY (CI 442–453)). The opioid prescription rates in the total KA OA populations are shown in Figure 6 (see Supplementary data).

In primary HA for OA similar patterns can be observed. In most subgroups, strong opioids increased, except for the subgroup of prevalent opioid group ≥ 75 years old, which remained stable (Figure 7). The highest opioid exposure was found amongst the prevalent opioid group (e.g., mean MME/PY prevalent opioid group < 75 years (strong opioids) over time: 1,740 MME/PY (CI 1,723–1,758); mean MME/PY opioid naive group < 75 years (strong opioids) over time 191 MME/PY (CI 187–194)). Weak opioid exposure decreased in all subgroups. The opioid prescription rates in the total HA OA populations are shown in Figure 6 (see Supplementary data).

Sensitivity analysis

The sensitivity analysis yielded similar results to our main analysis (Tables 9–10 and Figure 8, see Supplementary data).

Table 5. Opioid prescriptions per prescription dispensing category after primary knee arthroplasty

Opioid prescription category	Operation year	n	Yearly arthro- plasties (%)	Yearly arthroplasties with opioid prescription (%)	Median prescription moment (Q1–Q3)	Median supply (Q1-Q3)	DDDs/PY	MME/PY
1 prescription	2013	1,346	28	47	4 (3–8)	21 (15–30)	3.9	228
	2018	3,907	43	48	2 (1–2)	20 (11–30)	2.8	269
2 prescriptions	2013	541	11	19	20 (12–16)	30 (15–30)	8.4	468
	2018	1,564	17	19	13 (7–34)	20 (12–30)	6.0	544
3 prescriptions	2013	261	5.3	9.2	38 (22-17)	30 (20-30)	16	894
	2018	776	8.6	9.6	27 (15–79)	20 (14–30)	10	888
4 prescriptions	2013	184	3.8	6.5	67 (35–168)	30 (20-42)	28	1,461
	2018	506	5.6	6.3	44 (24-122)	20 (14–30)	17	1,378
5 prescriptions	2013	116	2.4	4.1	88 (49-180)	30 (20–56)	35	1,620
	2018	318	3.5	3.9	66 (35–162)	28 (15–30)	26	2,030
6-10 prescriptions	2013	243	5.0	8.5	141 (85–236)	30 (20–60)	61	3,779
·	2018	632	7.0	7.8	124 (63–224)	30 (15–40)	50	3,690
11-20 prescriptions	2013	122	2.5	4.3	220 (138–290)	30 (21–60)	125	9,245
·	2018	286	3.2	3.5	213 (135–288)	30 (16–60)	113	9,749
21-50 prescriptions	2013	23	0.5	0.8	231 (172–296)	30 (14–60)	361	37,047
	2018	71	0.8	0.9	270 (204–317)	30 (14–45)	243	22,913
> 50 prescriptions	2013	11	0.2	0.4	316 (270–346)	15 (7–30) [′]	224	18,027
	2018	12	0.1	0.1	315 (269–347)	20 (14–30)	337	35,540

Median supply in number of pills supplied per prescription category per prescription; Yearly arthroplasties (%): among arthroplasties within an operation year, percentage of arthroplasties within a certain prescription category; Yearly arthroplasties with opioid prescription: among patients with postoperative opioids the percentage of arthroplasties within a certain prescription dispensing category; Q1–Q3 = 1st to 3rd quartile; DDD = Defined Daily Dosage, MME = Morphine Milligram Equivalent.

Table 7. Opioid prescriptions per prescription dispensing category after primary hip arthroplasty

Opioid prescription category	Operation year	n	Yearly arthro- plasties (%)	Yearly arthroplasties with opioid prescription (%)	Median prescription moment (Q1–Q3)	Median supply (Q1-Q3)	DDDs/PY	MME/PY
1 prescription	2013	1,168	21	56	3 (3–18)	22 (15–30)	4.0	201
	2018	4,583	47	63	1 (1–3)	18 (10–28)	2.4	229
2 prescriptions	2013	327	5.9	16	44 (15–156)	30 (20–39)	11	553
	2018	1,186	12	16	19 (8–105)	20 (10–30)	5.9	508
3 prescriptions	2013	172	3.1	8.2	94 (31-193)	30 (20–30)	17	879
	2018	446	4.6	6.1	50 (20-146)	20 (10–30)	11	931
4 prescriptions	2013	87	1.6	4.1	94 (49–223)	30 (15–60)	35	1,834
	2018	279	2.9	3.8	50 (34–187)	20 (14–30)	19	1,427
5 prescriptions	2013	70	1.3	3.3	148 (70–240)	30 (20–60)	48	2,285
	2018	133	1.4	1.8	109 (48–205)	24 (13-40)	37	2,468
6-10 prescriptions	2013	160	2.9	7.6	187 (97–268)	30 (20–60)	78	5,144
	2018	356	3.7	4.9	154 (82–245)	30 (14–36)	56	4,408
11-20 prescriptions	2013	77	1.4	3.7	217 (134–286)	30 (10–60)	146	12,133
· ·	2018	204	2.1	2.8	208 (138–290)	30 (12–60)	151	14,078
21-50 prescriptions	2013	37	0.7	1.8	273 (216–320)	21 (14–30)	233	23,162
	2018	83	0.9	1.1	267 (202–315)	30 (14–60)	300	28,272
> 50 prescriptions	2013	4	0.1	0.2	350 (339–358)	14 (7–28)	377	34,445
•	2018	13	0.1	0.2	275 (189–337)	14 (6–21)	386	45,342

For footnotes: see Table 2.

Discussion

We found that from 2013 to 2018 opioid prescription rates after both primary and revision surgery increased in the first year after arthroplasty. The increase was present for strong opioids, was highest in the prevalent opioid group, and was independent of operated joint. Additionally, after KA, the number of prescriptions did not change. However, it did change after HA. The number of dosages decreased, while the potency of the prescribed opioids increased in most prescription categories.

The increase in opioid prescriptions is consistent with previous literature concerning the general population (2-6). Amongst the arthroplasty population the results are heterogeneous. In the United States, postoperative prevalence ranged between 60% and 90% (10,11,16,17). In Europe, little has been published on this prevalence in recent studies. A Finnish study found 26% of HA and 40% of KA with ≥ 1 mild opioid, and 1.5% of HA and 3.3% of KA with ≥ 1 strong opioid in the first postoperative year between 2002 and 2013 (18). The difference in found prevalence might be due to the earlier timeframe and possible legislative differences. To our knowledge, only one recent study assessed the prevalence over time, and found a less steep increase in opioid prescriptions (17). However, these results apply to the US population and with variable results in postoperative prevalence might not be comparable to our population. Furthermore, recently, preoperative opioid use was linked to continued postoperative use (19), which would also lead to higher MME and DDD as was found in our study. It would therefore be important that future research focuses on the preoperative opioid prescriptions over time, to assess changes before arthroplasty.

The increase in opioid prescriptions could be explained by several factors. First, enhanced recovery after arthroplasty surgery, where patients sometimes are discharged on the day of surgery (20), which implies immediate postoperative pain control. For that matter several measures (e.g., multimodal analgesia, wound care) have been altered to reduce length of stay. Second is the focus on adequate postoperative pain relief during the first 72 hours after surgery (21). Since 2009, this has been an important benchmark for hospital quality of care in the Netherlands, which may encourage postoperative opioid prescribing. Furthermore, oxycodone was reintroduced in postoperative guidelines in 2013 (22), possibly explaining the increase seen in our study.

With increased opioid prescriptions, more people are exposed to opioid medication. Hence more people are at risk of prolonged opioid use with consequently possible adverse events (23). We observed that, with increasing postoperative opioid prescriptions, the number of arthroplasties with multiple prescriptions also increased over time. Additionally, the largest increase in opioid prescriptions was found in the prevalent opioid group, which has been linked to less pain relief after arthroplasty (24). This subsequently leads to unfavorable outcomes after surgery, which again poses an extra risk for prolonged postoperative use; thus a vicious circle of pain and increased opioid medication is created. While more arthroplasty patients were dispensed opioid medication, we found that in each prescription category the DDD decreased. However, the potency increased, exemplified by the shift from tramadol to oxycodone. Oxycodone is a stronger opioid, which might lead to more chronic users (25). We believe that orthopedic surgeons, as first prescribers, and general practi-




Figure 5. Opioid prescriptions in primary knee arthroplasties with an osteoarthritis indication stratified for opioid strength, age, user type, sex, and ASA classification. In MMEs codeine—paracetamol combination and tramadol were considered weak opioids, in DDDs tramadol was considered a weak opioid as DDDs for codeine—paracetamol combination were non-existent. For abreviations, see Figure 2.

Figure 7. Opioid prescriptions in primary hip arthroplasties with an osteoarthritis indication stratified for opioid strength, age, user type, sex, and ASA classification. For symbols, see Figure 3 and for abbreviations, see Figure 2.

tioners, should be cautious in their opioid prescribing. Known preoperative opioid users should be closely monitored and, if possible, helped in opioid weaning.

A major strength of our study is that national databases were used with arthroplasty coverage and out-of-hospital pharmaceutical prescriptions. Furthermore, we were able to compare MMEs and DDDs, allowing a precise evaluation of opioid prescriptions. Some limitations should also be considered. First, the SFK is a prescription register, so a dispensed drug may not have been consumed. Furthermore, it holds no information on prescriptions during hospitalization, thereby underestimating the postoperative opioid prescription prevalence. Also, because the reason for the prescription was unavailable, opioids could have been prescribed for indications other than arthroplasty. However, we aimed to describe the opioid usage irrespective

of its cause. Related to our linkage, opioid prescriptions were linked to arthroplasties, instead of persons, resulting in double-counted prescriptions if a second surgery occurred within one year of the index surgery. However, the sensitivity analysis in which only the index arthroplasty was included yielded similar results, leaving us to conclude this effect was trivial. Additionally, the datasets were not linked on a unique identifier, but on a combination of identifiers. As such, it remains a probability linkage. Furthermore, only patients who used LMWH as thromboprophylaxis in the period 3 days before and 10 days after arthroplasty were included. As such, the patients using DOACs as antithrombotic treatment for their arthroplasty instead of LMWH were not included. This could have led to bias as patients who chronically use DOACs have an indication for effective anticoagulation that could be associated with

opioid use. We were able to link 28% of primary and 24% of revision surgeries. This could have influenced generalizability to the arthroplasty population as a whole. Our linked population appeared to be somewhat younger and healthier, possibly due to the unavailability of pharmaceutical data for nursing home residents. However, our results were externally validated and showed relatively similar results.

In conclusion, the proportion of KA and HA patients with ≥ 1 postoperative opioid prescription increased between 2013 and 2018 in the Netherlands, with the highest increase in prescription rates in arthroplasties with a preoperative opioid prescription. The increase was mainly due to a shift toward oxycodone. Future research should assess the possible effects of this increase as well as preoperative opioid prescriptions to ensure the best quality of care for arthroplasty patients.

HvB and MG wrote the original draft. Conceptualization and funding acquisition was performed by RN, WL, AD, and MG. LvS provided data curation for the LROI data.. Formal analyses and visualization were performed by HvB. Methodology was done by HvB, RN, FR, MB, EvD and MG. Supervision was done by RN and MG. All authors interpreted the data and contributed to the final manuscript.

Acta thanks Alma B Pedersen and Sten Rasmussen for help with peer review of this study.

- 1. WHO/Sergey Volkov. Opioid overdose. 2021.
- Hurtado I, García-Sempere A, Peiró S, Sanfélix-Gimeno G. Increasing trends in opioid use from 2010 to 2018 in the region of Valencia, Spain: a real-world, population-based study. Fron Pharmacol 2020; 11: 612556.
- Bedene A, Lijfering W M, Niesters M, Van Velzen M, Rosendaal F R, Bouvy M L, et al. Opioid prescription patterns and risk factors associated with opioid use in the Netherlands. JAMA Network Open 2019; 2: e1910223.
- Rosner B N J, Yang J C, Roman-Urrestarazu R. Opioid prescription patterns in Germany and the global opioid epidemic: systematic review of available evidence. PLoS One 2019; 14.
- Muller AE Clausen T, Sjøgren P, Odsbu I, Skurtveit S. Prescribed opioid analgesic use developments in three Nordic countries, 2006–2017. Scand J Pain 2019; 19: 345-53.
- Helmerhorst G T T, Teunis S, Janssen S J, Ring D. An epidemic of the use, misuse and overdose of opioids and deaths due to overdose, in the United States and Canada? Is Europe next? Bone Joint J 2017; 99: 856-64
- Stichting Farmaceutische Kengetallen. Aantal oxycodongebruikers vrijwel ongewijzigd in 2018. Pharmaceutisch Weekblad 2019; 154(13).
- Neuman M D, Bateman B T, Wunsch H. Inappropriate opioid prescription after surgery. Lancet 2019; 393: 1547-57.

- Beswick A D, Wylde V, Gooberman-Hill R, Blom A, Dieppe P. What
 proportion of patients report long-term pain after total hip or knee
 replacement for osteoarthritis? A systematic review of prospective studies in unselected patients. BMJ Open 2012; 2: e000435.
- Namba R S, Singh A, Paxton E W, Inacio M C S. Patient factors associated with prolonged postoperative opioid use after total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty 2018; 33: 2449-54.
- Prentice H A, Inacio M C S, Singh A, Namba R S, Paxton E W. Preoperative risk factors for opioid utilization after total hip arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2019; 101: 1670-8.
- Ackerman I N, Bohensky M A, de Steiger R, Brand C A, Eskelinen A, Fenstad A M, et al. Substantial rise in the lifetime risk of primary total knee replacement surgery for osteoarthritis from 2003 to 2013: an international, population-level analysis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2017; 25: 455-61.
- Ackerman I N, Bohensky M A, de Steiger R, Brand C A, Eskelinen A, Fenstad A M, et al. Lifetime risk of primary total hip replacement surgery for osteoarthritis from 2003 to 2013: a multinational analysis using national registry data. Arthritis Care Res 2017; 69: 1659-67.
- Dutch Arthroplasty Register. Completeness. 's-Hertogenbosch: LROI; 2021.
- Austin P C. An introduction to propensity score methods for reducing the effects of confounding in observational studies. Multivariate Behav Res 2011; 46: 399-424.
- Shah R, Kuo Y-F, Westra J, Lin Y-L, Raji M A. Opioid use and pain control after total hip and knee arthroplasty in the US, 2014 to 2017. JAMA Network Open 2020; 3: e2011972.
- Ross B J, Wu V J, Mansour A A I, Lee O C, Sherman W F. Opioid claims prior to elective total joint arthroplasty and risk of prolonged postoperative opioid claims. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2021; 29(23): e1254-e1263. doi: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-20-01184.
- Rajamäki T J, Puolakka P A, Hietaharju A, Moilanen T, Jämsen E.
 Use of prescription analgesic drugs before and after hip or knee replacement in patients with osteoarthritis. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2019; 20. Article no. 427.
- 19. **Tay H P, Wang X, Narayan S W, Penm J, Patanwala A E.** Persistent postoperative opioid use after total hip or knee arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Health Syst Pharm 2021; 10.1093/ajhp/2020367
- Kehlet H. Multimodal approach to control postoperative pathophysiology and rehabilitation. Br J Anaesth 1997; 78: 606-17.
- VMS Veiligheidsprogramma. Vroege herkenning en behandeling van pijn; 2009..
- Houweling P L, Molag M L, van Boekel R L, Verbrugge S J, van Haelst I M, Hollmann M W. [Postoperative pain treatment—practice guideline revised]. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd 2013; 157: A7005.
- Vowles K E, McEntee M L, Julnes P S, Frohe T, Ney J P, Van Der Goes D N. Rates of opioid misuse, abuse, and addiction in chronic pain. Pain 2015; 156: 569-76.
- Smith S R, Bido J, Collins J E, Yang H, Katz J N, Losina E. Impact of preoperative opioid use on total knee arthroplasty outcomes. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2017; 99: 803-8.
- Ruddell J H, Reid D B C, Shah K N, Shapiro B H, Akelman E, Cohen E M, et al. Larger initial opioid prescriptions following total joint arthroplasty are associated with greater risk of prolonged use. JBJS 2021; 103: 106-14.

Supplementary data

Table 1. The primary arthroplasty study population compared to not linked population. Values are count (%) unless otherwise specified

	Not linked	Study population	
	n = 265,409	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SMD a
	11 = 200,400	11 = 102,010	OIVID
Knee arthroplasty	115,853 (44)	48,051 (47)	
Female sex	176,038 (66)	61,696 (61)	
Age, mean (SD)	70.2 (10)	67.9 (10.4)	0.22
BMI b	7 0.2 (10)	07.10 (101.1)	0
missing	35,236 (13)	6,135 (6.0)	
≤ 18.5	2,494 (1.1)	, , ,	
18.5–25	65,098 (28)	24,607 (26)	
25–30	93,347 (41)	39,999 (42)	
30–40	64,177 (28)	28,486 (30)	
> 40	5,057 (2.2)		
Smokers b	22,084 (10)	10,392 (11)	
missing	44,858 (17)	10,634 (10)	
Osteoarthritis	219,544 (84)	89,000 (88)	
missing	2,723 (1.0)	474 (0.5)	
Charnley classificatio		(0.0)	
missing	47,901 (18)	11,919 (12)	
A	92,763 (43)	39,921 (44)	
B1	60,970 (28)	26,765 (30)	
B2	41,345 (19)	16,937 (19)	
C	6,291 (2.9)		
not applicable	16,139 (7.4)		
ASA classification	,	.,	
missing	2,134 (0.8)	345 (0.3)	
	39,651 (15)	16,900 (17)	
il .	166,896 (63)	66,406 (65)	
III–IV	56,728 (22)	18,364 (18)	

^a Standardized Mean Difference between the study population and non-linked population.

Table 2. The revision arthroplasty study population compared to not linked population. Values are count (%) unless otherwise specified

	Not linked S n = 23,935	Study population n = 7,658	SMD ^a
Knee arthroplasty	9,616 (40.2)	3,540 (46.2)	
Female sex	15,637 (65.3)	4,625 (60.4)	
Age, mean (SD) BMI ^b	70.9 (11)	68.1 (11)	0.26
missing	3,525 (15)	526 (6.9)	
≤ 18.5	236 (1.2)	71 (1.0)	
18.5–25	5,709 (28)	1,780 (25)	
25–30	7,987 (39)	2,953 (41)	
30–40	5,932 (29)	2,170 (30)	
> 40	546 (2.7)	158 (2.2)	
Smokers b	1,937 (9.8)	878 (13)	
missing	4,216 (18)	809 (11)	
Charnley classification	b		
missing	5,197 (22)	1,150 (15)	
Α	8,808 (47)	3,155 (49)	
B1	2,764 (15)	1,026 (16)	
B2	4,715 (25)	1,623 (25)	
С	1,169 (6.2)	341 (5.2)	
not applicable	1 282 (6.8)	363 (5.6)	
Type of revision			
missing	249 (1.0)	17 (0.2)	
total revision	7,632 (32)	2,495 (33)	
partial revision	15,954 (67)	5,134 (67)	
other	100 (0.4)	12 (0.2)	
ASA classification			
missing	693 (2.9)	126 (1.6)	
I .	2,449 (11)	877 (12)	
II.	13,962 (69)	4,863 (65)	
III–IV	6,831 (29)	1,792 (24)	

For Footnotes, see Table 1.

Charnley A = One joint affected with osteoarthrosis; B1= 2 joints affected (both hips/both knees); B2 = Contralateral joint with prothesis; C = Multiple joints affected with osteoarthrosis or a chronic disease impairing quality of life (in walking).

b available since 2014.




Figure 3. Opioid prescriptions over time in different opioid types in daily defined dosages (DDD) and morphine milligram equivalent (MME) amongst revision knee arthroplasty per person year (PY). DDDs for codeine-paracetamol combination were non-existent.




Figure 4. Opioid prescriptions over time in different opioid types in daily defined dosages (DDD) and morphine milligram equivalent (MME) amongst revision hip arthroplasty per person year (PY). DDDs for codeine-paracetamol combination were non-existent.

Table 4. Population characteristics for hip and knees revision arthroplasties. Values are count (%) unless otherwise specified

	Knee n = 3,540	Hip n = 4,118
Demographics		
Age, mean (SD)	66 (9.6)	70 (11)
missing	0 (0)	0 (0)
Female sex	2,177 (62)	2,448 (59)
missing	0 (0)	0 (0)
BMI b		
≤ 18.5	5 (0.2)	66 (1.7)
18.5–25	504 (15)	1,276 (33)
25–30	1,306 (40)	1,647 (43)
30–40	1,361 (41)	809 (21)
> 40	118 (3.6)	40 (1.0)
missing	246 (6.9)	280 (6.8)
ASA score	447 (40)	100 (10)
l II	417 (12)	460 (13)
II III–IV	2,334 (67)	2,529 (2.4)
missing	728 (21)	1,046 (26)
Socioeconomic status		
very low	540 (15)	486 (12)
below average	709 (20)	853 (21)
average	1,309 (37)	1,570 (38)
above average	821 (23)	1,009 (25)
very high	148 (4.2)	185 (4.5)
missing	13 (0.4)	15 (0.4)
Smoking b	403 (13)	475 (13)
missing	340 (9.6)	469 (11)
Charnley classification b		
Α	1,560 (52)	1,595 (46)
B1	566 (19)	460 (13)
B2	590 (20)	1,033 (30)
С	155 (5.1)	186 (5.3)
not applicable	160 (5.3)	203 (5.8)
missing	509 (14)	641 (16)
Prosthesis-related		
Type of revision	1 670 (47)	90E (20)
total revision partial revision	1,670 (47) 1,745 (50)	825 (20) 3,276 (80)
other	1,745 (50)	12 (0.3)
removal	113 (3.2)	NA
missing	12 (0.3)	5 (0.1)
Fixation	12 (0.0)	0 (0.1)
cemented	2,890 (82)	1,835 (45)
uncemented	455 (13)	1,836 (45)
hybrid	76 (2.2)	428 (10.4)
not applicable	` ,	95 (2.7)
missing	24 (0.7)	19 (0.5)
Opioid use before surgery		
prevalent users	1,386 (39)	1,449 (35)
missing	0 (0)	0 (0)

For Footnotes, see Table 1.

Table 6. Opioid prescriptions per prescription dispensing category after primary knee arthroplasty

Opioid prescription category Operation year n	Yearly arthro- plasties (%)	Yearly arthroplasties with opioid prescription (%)	Median prescription moment (Q1–Q3)	Median supply (Q1-Q3)	DDDs/PY	MME/PY
1 prescription 2013 1,346 2014 2,355 2015 3,015 2016 2,989	5 28 34	47 46 49 48	4 (3–8) 4 (2–8) 3 (2–5) 2 (1–4)	21 (15–30) 20 (14–30) 20 (10–30) 20 (10–30)	3.9 3.7 3.2 3.1	228 254 242 254
2017 3,396 2018 3,907 2 prescriptions	39 43	46 48	2 (1–3) 2 (1–2)	20 (12–30) 20 (11–30)	3.2 2.8	300 269
2013 541	11	19	20 (12–60)	30 (15–30)	8.4	468
2014 976 2015 1,149		19 19	19 (11–60) 16 (9–52)	22 (15–30) 20 (14–30)	8.3 7.3	567 508
2016 1,158	3 14	18	15 (9–45)	20 (14–30)	7.2	567
2017 1,400 2018 1,564		19 19	14 (8–45)	20 (14–30)	7.1 6.0	617 544
3 prescriptions	17	19	13 (7–34)	20 (12–30)	0.0	544
2013 261		9.2	38 (22–117)	30 (20–30)	16	894
2014 496 2015 593		9.7 9.6	40 (21–111) 34 (18–99)	30 (16–30) 20 (14–30)	15 12	916 858
2016 603		9.6	30 (17–93)	20 (14–30)	12	905
2017 739		10	28 (15–87)	20 (14–30)	11	973
2018 776 4 prescriptions	8.6	9.6	27 (15–79)	20 (14–30)	10	888
2013 184		6.5	67 (35–168)	30 (20–42)	28	1,461
2014 310 2015 344		6.1 5.5	69 (34–158) 55 (28–143)	30 (20–42) 30 (15–30)	31 22	1,521 1,325
2016 357		5.7	52 (27–144)	25 (14–30)	22	1,622
2017 444		6.1	49 (26–140)	25 (15–30)	18	1,465
2018 506 5 prescriptions	5.6	6.3	44 (24–122)	20 (14–30)	17	1,378
2013 116		4.1	88 (49–180)	30 (20–56)	35	1,620
2014 231 2015 241		4.5 3.9	98 (46–194) 76 (38–175)	30 (20–42) 30 (15–42)	33. 29	2,010 1,750
2016 266		4.2	77 (39–174)	28 (15–32)	42	3,398
2017 299		4.1	74 (36–182)	28 (15–30)	29	2,316
2018 318 6–10 prescriptions	3.5	3.9	66 (35 -162)	28 (15–30)	26	2,030
2013 243		8.5	141 (85–236)	30 (20–60)	61	3,779
2014 463 2015 546		9.0 8.8	158 (85–251) 139 (72–240)	30 (20–60) 30 (20–60)	64 50	4,069 3,286
2016 547		8.7	140 (73–231)	30 (15–42)	49	3,712
2017 643		8.8	130 (67–222)	30 (15–40)	48 50	3,616
2018 632 11–20 prescriptions	2 7.0	7.8	124 (63–224)	30 (15–40)	50	3,690
2013 122		4.3	220 (138–290)	30 (21–60)	125	9,245
2014 223 2015 229		4.4 3.7	216 (140–293) 218 (138–291)	30 (20–60) 30 (20–60)	127 127	10,379 10,100
2016 266		4.2	223 (139–296)	30 (20–60)	117	9,847
2017 318		4.3	213 (140–291)	30 (20–60)	112	10,546
2018 286 21–50 prescriptions	3.2	3.5	213 (135–288)	30 (16–60)	113	9,749
2013 23		0.8	231 (172–296)	30 (14–60)	361	37,047
2014 54 2015 74		1.1 1.2	260 (202–310) 266 (207–315)	28 (14–42) 30 (15–50)	195 219	16,379 19,676
2016 80		1.3	259 (197–310)	30 (13–56)	263	22,629
2017 69		0.9	273 (220–317)	30 (20–60)	307	29,574
2018 71 > 50 prescriptions	0.8	0.9	270 (204–317)	30 (14–45)	243	22,912
2013 11		0.4	316 (270–346)	15 (7–30)	224	18,027
2014 15 2015 12		0.3 0.2	332 (300–351) 342 (323–353)	21 (14–21) 21 (14–28)	283 271	23,359 20,375
2016 13		0.2	344 (330–355)	14 (14–28)	269	23,421
2017 11		0.2	346 (329–357)	14 (7–18)	314	42,654
2018 12	2 0.1	0.1	315 (269–347)	20 (14–30)	337	35,540

Median supply in number of pills supplied per prescription category per prescription; Yearly arthroplasties (%): among arthroplasties within an operation year, percentage of arthroplasties within a certain prescription category; Yearly arthroplasties with opioid prescription: among patients with postoperative opioids the percentage of arthroplasties within a certain prescription dispensing category; Q1–Q3 = 1st to 3rd quartile; DDD = Defined Daily Dosage, MME = Morphine Milligram Equivalent.

Table 8. Opioid prescriptions per prescription dispensing category after primary hip arthroplasty

Opioid prescription category Operation year	n	Yearly arthro- plasties (%)	Yearly arthroplasties with opioid prescription (%)	Median prescription moment (Q1-Q3)	Median supply (Q1-Q3)	DDDs/PY	MME/PY
1 prescription							
2013	1,168	21	56	3 (3–18)	22 (15–30)	4.0	201
2014	2,297	25	57 50	2 (2–10)	20 (14–30)	3.6	236
2015 2016	3,027 3,421	31 36	59 60	2 (2–6) 1 (1–5)	20 (10–30) 20 (10–30)	2.8 2.9	219 251
2017	4,023	41	59	1 (1–4)	20 (10–30)	2.7	255
2018	4,583	47	63	1 (1–3)	18 (10–28)	2.4	229
2 prescriptions	.,			. ()	(==)		
2013	327	5.9	16	44 (15-156)	30 (20-39)	11	553
2014	629	6.7	16	36 (14–139)	28 (15–30)	9.2	580
2015	785	7.9	15	29 (11–124)	20 (12–30)	7.8	525
2016	878	9.2	15	26 (10–129)	20 (12–30)	6.9	557
2017	1,104	11	16 16	20 (9–110)	20 (12–30)	6.4	551
2018 3 prescriptions	1,186	12	16	19 (8–105)	20 (10–30)	5.9	508
2013	172	3.1	8.2	94 (31–193)	30 (20–30)	17	879
2014	304	3.2	7.5	76 (29–188)	30 (14–30)	19	1,274
2015	376	3.8	7.3	66 (24–161)	20 (14–30)	15	954
2016	349	3.6	6.1	58 (23–170)	20 (14–30)	14	1,048
2017	457	4.6	6.8	55 (20–157)	20 (13–30)	13	985
2018	446	4.6	6.1	50 (20–146)	20 (10–30)	11	931
4 prescriptions	07	4.0	4.4	0.4 (40, 000)	00 (45, 60)	0.5	1.004
2013 2014	87 170	1.6 1.8	4.1 4.2	94 (49–223)	30 (15–60) 30 (14–60)	35 31	1,834 1,998
2015	190	1.9	3.7	76 (49–204) 66 (39–190)	30 (14–60)	23	1,522
2016	234	2.4	4.1	58 (39–201)	21 (14–30)	27	1,849
2017	273	2.8	4.0	55 (37–196)	25 (12–30)	23	1,803
2018	279	2.9	3.8	50 (34–187)	20 (14–30)	19	1,427
5 prescriptions				, ,	, ,		
2013	70	1.3	3.3	148 (70–240)	30 (20–60)	48	2,285
2014	112	1.2	2.8	133 (69–227)	30 (10–42)	42	3,810
2015	133 155	1.3	2.6 2.7	124 (56–216)	30 (14–40)	37 33	2,184
2016 2017	168	1.6 1.7	2.7	123 (60–222) 116 (54–208)	30 (15–42) 27 (14–30)	33 34	2,044 2,140
2017	133	1.4	1.8	109 (48–205)	24 (13–40)	37	2,140
6-10 prescription:		•••	1.0	100 (10 200)	21(10 10)	0,	2, 100
2013	160	2.9	7.6	187 (97-268)	30 (20-60)	78	5,144
2014	312	3.3	7.7	178 (97–258)	30 (15–60)	66	5,083
2015	314	3.2	6.1	167 (93–248)	30 (15–60)	63	4,729
2016	354	3.7	6.2	174 (96–261)	30 (15–60)	70	5,575
2017	413	4.2	6.1	174 (93–252)	30 (14–60)	66 56	5,267
2018	356	3.7	4.9	154 (82–245)	30 (14–36)	56	4,408
11–20 prescription 2013	77	1.4	3.7	217 (134–286)	30 (10–60)	146	12,133
2014	155	1.7	3.8	218 (130–293)	30 (10–60)	200	17,734
2015	212	2.1	4.2	228 (137–297)	30 (10–60)	150	13,834
2016	222	2.3	3.9	222 (134–303)	30 (10–60)	141	13,424
2017	233	2.3	3.4	228 (143–295)	30 (10–60)	144	13,601
2018	204	2.1	2.8	208 (138–290)	30 (12–60)	151	14,078
21–50 prescription		0.7	4.0	070 (040, 000)	04 (44 00)	000	00.400
2013	37 50	0.7	1.8	273 (216–320)	21 (14–30)	233	23,162
2014 2015	59 75	0.6 0.8	1.5 1.5	253 (194–310) 258 (194–310)	20 (7–45) 15 (10–30)	266 328	24,097 31,523
2016	73 72	0.8	1.3	259 (195–310)	28 (14–30)	262	25,704
2017	92	0.9	1.4	271 (209–317)	28 (14–56)	262	26,102
2018	83	0.9	1.1	267 (202–315)	30 (14–60)	300	28,272
> 50 prescriptions				, , , , , ,	(/		,
2013	4	0.1	0.2	350 (339–358)	14 (7–28)	377	34,445
2014	19	0.2	0.5	336 (304–353)	14 (14–21)	343	35,915
2015	13	0.1	0.3	259 (117–328)	14 (6–21)	244	20,073
2016	15	0.2	0.3	341 (325–353)	14 (10–21)	212	15,216
2017 2018	16 13	0.2 0.1	0.2 0.2	343 (322–354)	14 (14–21)	377 386	39,093 45,342
2010	13	0.1	0.2	275 (189–337)	14 (6–21)	300	45,342

For Footnotes, see Table 6.




Figure 6. Opioid prescriptions over time in different opioid types in daily defined dosages (DDD) and morphine milligram equivalent (MME) amongst osteoarthritis patients in both knee and hip arthroplasties per person year (PY). DDDs for codeine-paracetamol combination were non-existent.

Figure 8. Opioid prescriptions over time in different opioid types in daily defined dosages (DDD) and morphine milligram equivalent (MME) amongst index primary knee and hip arthroplasties per person year (PY). DDDs for codeine-paracetamol combination were non-existent.

Table 9. Index primary knee arthroplasties after which an opioid is prescribed

Operation year	Number of arthroplasties	Opioids after arthroplasty, n (%)
2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018	4,834 7,900 8,000 7,390 7,784 7,987	2,808 (58) 4,842 (61) 5,698 (71) 5,666 (77) 6,525 (84) 7,114 (89)

Table 10. Index primary hip arthroplasties after which an opioid is prescribed

Operation year	Number of arthroplasties	Opioids after arthroplasty, n (%)
2013	5,451	2,082 (38)
2014	8,972	3,886 (43)
2015	9,255	4,803 (52)
2016	8,818	5,257 (60)
2017	9,028	6,197 (69)
2018	8,709	6,529 (75)

Appendix

Validation in Statistics Netherlands

The linkage between the LROI and the SFK was validated by comparing our results with results from similar analyses performed on data from Statistics Netherlands. Primary knee and hip arthroplasties were selected from the Dutch Hospital Data [1] in which procedures performed in all Dutch hospitals are registered with the exception of independent treatment centers. Independent treatment centers were part of the hospitals in the LROI-SFK dataset. The selected codes, used for registration of hip and knee arthroplasties, are shown in Table 1. The opioid reimbursement data from the Health Care Insurance Board [2] were used to assess the opioid prescriptions (ATC-code N02A) in the year of arthroplasty surgery. The primary knee and hip arthroplasties were selected and linked to the medication data. Opioid use before and after arthroplasty was defined as at least one dispensed opioid prescription, at a Dutch pharmacy, either before or after the arthroplasty surgery.

Table 2 and 3 show the proportion of arthroplasties with at least one opioid prescription in the year of surgery in the CBS and the proportion of arthroplasties with at least one opioid prescription in the year of surgery in the LROI-SFK dataset. In hip arthroplasties the differences between these proportions were small with the exception of 2018 in which a difference of 11.3% was found, in knee arthroplasties the differences ranged from 1.7% to 4.3%. In both datasets the proportion of patients with at least one opioid prescription increased with similar rates between 2013 and 2018 indicated by the parallel lines in Figures 1 and 2.

- Dutch Hospital Data, National Basic Registration of Hospital Care [in Dutch]. [cited 2021 February 22]; Available from: https://www.dhd.nl/producten-diensten/LBZ/Paginas/Dataverzameling-LBZ.aspx.
- Statistics Netherlands. Structure and instructions for medication data on ATC-4 classification [in Dutch]. [cited 2021 February 23]; Available from: https://www.cbs.nl/nl-nl/onze-diensten/maatwerk-en-microdata/ microdata-zelf-onderzoek-doen/microdatabestanden/medicijntabgeneesmiddelen-op-atc-code-4--.

Appendix Table 1. Proceeding codes for primary knee and hip arthroplasty

CBV CBV a CvV ZA 38567 338663L 5814 38567 38663 338663N 58145 38663 190306 338663P 581451 190305 190377 338663P 581451 190306 190377 338663R 581452 190314 190378 338663R 581453 190375 190379 338663T 581454 190375 338567B 338669A 5815 190378 338567B 338669A 5815 190378 338567B 338669B 5815 190378 338567B 338669B 5815 190378 338567C 338669B 58150 190379 338567D 68860D 5816 338561 338567E 688660B 58161 338561 338567F 688660B 58161 338561 338567H 688661 58162 338567 338567L 338566 58558 33856				
38663 338663M 581450 190305 190314 338663P 581451 190306 190377 338663Q 581452 190314 190378 338663R 581453 190375 190379 338663T 581454 190376 338567B 338669A 5815 190377 338567B 338669A 5815 190378 338567B 338669A 5815 190378 338567B 338669A 5815 190378 338567B 338669A 5815 190378 338567C 338669B 58150 190379 338567D 688660 5816 338561 338567E 688660B 58161 338562 338567F 688660C 58162 338563 338567H 688661 58168 338567 338567L 338566 58558 338567 338567L 338566 58558 338567 338567L 338566 58558	CBV	CBV ^a	CvV	ZA
338663C 338669	38567 38663 190306 190314 190377 190378 190379 338567 338567B 338567C 338567D 338567E 338567F 338567F 338567H 338567H 338567H 338567H 338567H 338567H 338568D 338568B 338568B 338568B 338568B 338568B 338568B 338568B 338568B 338568B 338568B 338568C 338568B 338568C 338662C 338662C 338662C 338662C 338662C 338662C 338662C 338662C 338662C 338662C 338662C	338663L 338663M 338663N 338663P 338663Q 338663R 338663R 338669 338669A 338669B 688660 688660A 688660B 688660C 688661 688662 038565 338566B 338566B 338566B 338566B 338566B 338566B 338566B 338566B 338566B 338566B 338566C 33856C 35	5814 58145 581450 581451 581452 581453 581454 58149 5815 58150 5816 58160 58161 58162 58168 58169 58556	38567 38663 190305 190306 190314 190375 190376 190377 190378 190379 338561 338563 338563 338563 338563 338567 338567 338567 338567 338567 338567 338567 338567 338567 338567 338567 338567 338567 338567 338567 338568 338662 338662 338662 338663
000000	338663C			338669

CBV = systematic list with which all medical, paramedical and nursing procedures can be recorded;

 $[\]mbox{CvV} = \mbox{systematic list with which all medical, paramedical and nursing procedures can be recorded;}$

ZA = Care activity provided by medical, paramedical and nursing staff a continuation of first CBV row

Appendix Table 2. The difference between the number of primary hip arthroplasty procedures with an opioid prescription in the year of surgery

Operation year	Operations CBS	Opioids prescribed (%)	Operations SFK-LROI	Opioids prescribed (%)	Δ PPD (%)
2013	18,945	7,537 (39.8)	5,513	2,401 (43.6)	3.8
2014	27,301	11,764 (43.9)	9,354	4,454 (47.6)	3.7
2015	29,108	14,838 (51.0)	9,903	5,522 (55.8)	4.8
2016	30,278	17,531 (57.9)	9,580	5,591 (58.4)	0.5
2017	30,935	20,445 (66.1)	9,926	7,125 (71.8)	5.7
2018	33,647	22,720 (67.5)	9,688	7,633 (78.8)	11.3

Opioids prescribed: primary hip arthroplasties that had an opioid prescription in the same year as their operation.

Δ PPD: percent point difference in SFK-LROI compared with CBS.

Appendix Table 3. The difference between the number of primary knee arthroplasty procedures with an opioid prescription in the year of surgery

Operation year	Operations CBS	Opioids prescribed (%)	Operations SFK-LROI	Opioids prescribed (%)	Δ PPD (%)
2013	18,945	7,537 (39.8)	5,513	2,401 (43.6)	3.8
2013	12,338	7,097 (57.3)	4,897	2,938 (60.0)	2.7
2014	20,614	12,135 (58.9)	8,372	5,272 (63.0)	4.1
2015	21,930	14,940 (68.1)	8,767	6,294 (71.8)	3.7
2016	22,501	16,940 (75.3)	8,196	6,336 (77.3)	2.0
2017	23,591	19,510 (82.7)	8,746	7,397 (84.4)	1.7
2018	24,239	20,832 (85.9)	9,073	8,187 (90.2)	4.3


Footnote: see Appendix Table 2.

Prescribed opioid (%) - hip arthroplasty



Appendix Figure 1. Annual percentage of prescribed opioids according to the prescriptions in the year of hip arthroplasty over different years.

Prescribed opioid (%) - knee arthroplasty



Appendix Figure 2. Annual percentage of prescribed opioids according to the prescriptions in the year of primary knee arthroplasty over different years.