



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Paul Natorp's reformulation of the Kantian distinction between intuition and concept

Pelegrin, L.A.

Citation

Pelegrin, L. A. (2023, July 6). *Paul Natorp's reformulation of the Kantian distinction between intuition and concept*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3629812>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3629812>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Bibliography

- Aguilar Martínez, Ernesto; “El Platón de Natorp”, *Bajo Palabra. Revista de Filosofía II Época*, Nº 9 (2014):223-232.
- Allison, Henry; *Kant's Transcendental Idealism. An Interpretation and Defense; Revised and Enlarged Edition*, Yale University Press, New Haven and London, 2004.
- Anderson, Lanier; “Neo-Kantianism and the Roots of Anti-Psychologism”, *British Journal for the History of Philosophy*, 13: 2, 2010, pp. 287-323.
- Beck, Jakob Sigismund; *Erläuternder Auszug aus den kritischen Schriften des Prof. I. Kant, auf Anrathen desselben*, Erster Band, Riga 1793.
- Beck, Lewis; “Two ways of Reading Kant's Letter to Herz: Comments on Carl”, in: Förster, Eckart (ed.), *Kant's Transcendental Deductions: The Three Critiques and the Opus Postumum*, Stanford University Press, Stanford, 1989, pp. 21 – 26.
- Beck, Lewis; *Early German Philosophy: Kant and His Predecessors*, Harvard University Press Cambridge, 1996.
- Beiser, Frederick, *After Hegel: German philosophy, 1840-1900*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2014.
- Beiser; Frederick C., *The Genesis of Neo-Kantianism, 1796-1880*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014.
- Beneke, Friedrich Eduard; *Kant und die philosophische Aufgabe unserer Zeit. eine Jubeldenschrift auf die Kritik der reinen Vernunft*. Berlin, Posen Bronberg, Mittler, 1832.
- Beneke, Friedrich Eduard, *Die Philosophie in ihrem Verhältnisse zur Erfahrung, zur Spekulation und zum Leben*, Berlin, Posen Bronberg, Mittler, 1833.
- Beneke, Friedrich Eduard; *System der metaphysik und religionsphilosophie*, F. Dümmler, Berlin, 1840.
- Beneke, Friedrich Eduard; *System der Logik als Kunstlehre des Denkens. Erster Teil*, Ferdinand Dümmler, Berlin, 1842.
- Bennett, Jonathan, “The Difference Between Right and Left”, *American Philosophical Quarterly*, Vol. 7/3, 1970, pp. 175-191.
- Beneke, F., Dressler, J. G., Raue, G; *The Elements of Psychology: On The Principles Of Beneke, Stated And Illustrated In A Simple And Popular Manner*, James Parker and Co, Oxford and London, 1871.

- Bérubé, Camille; *La connaissance de l'individuel au moyen âge*, Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 1964.
- Brandt, Francis Burke; *Friedrich Eduard Beneke, the man and his philosophy: an introductory study*, Columbia College, New York, 1895.
- Boler, John F.; “Intuitive and abstractive cognition”, in: *The Cambridge History of Later Medieval Philosophy*; N. Kretzmann et al (eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982, pp.460-478.
- Brandt, Reinhard „*Transzendente Ästhetik, §§1-3 (A19/B33-A30/B45)*“, *Klassiker Auslegen Interpreting Philosophical Classics*, Otfried Höffe (Ed.), Berlín, 1998.
- Burke, Brandt; *Friedrich Eduard Beneke, the Man and His Philosophy: An Introductory Study*, Macmillan, New York, 1895.
- Buroker, Jill Vance; *Space and Incongruence: The Origin of Kant's Idealism*, Springer, Dordrecht, 1981.
- Caffarena, José Gómez; “Estudio preliminar”, in: Kant Immanuel, *Principios formales del mundo sensible e inteligible (Disertación de 1770)*, trad. Caffarena José Gómez, Consejo superior de investigaciones científicas, Madrid, 1996.
- Caimi, Mario; “La sensación en la *Crítica de la razón pura*”, en: *Cuadernos de filosofía*, Buenos Aires, 1983, pp. 109 – 119.
- Caimi, Mario, “Introducción y notas”, to: Kant, I., *Prolegomena*, trad. Caimi, Mario; Istmo, Madrid, 1999, pp. 7-10.
- Caimi, Mario; “About the Argumentative Structure of the Transcendental Aesthetic”, *Studi Kantiani* 9, Pisa, 1996, pp. 27- 46.
- Caimi, Mario; „Gedanken ohne Inhalt sind leer”, in: *Kant-Studien*, 96, Berlin, 2005, pp. 135-146
- Caimi, Mario; “Introducción”, to: Descartes, R., *Discurso del método*, Trad. Mario Caimi, Colihue, Buenos Aires, 2006.
- Caimi, Mario; *Leçons sur Kant. La déduction transcendantale dans la deuxième édition de la Critique de la raison pure*, Paris, Publications de la Sorbonne, 2007.
- Caimi, Mario; “Introducción”, a: Kant, I. *Crítica de la razón pura*, Colihue, Buenos Aires, 2009.
- Carl, Wolfgang; “Kant's First Drafts of the Deduction of the Deduction of the Categories”, in: Förster, Eckart (ed.), *Kant's Transcendental Deductions: The*

- Three Critiques and the Opus Postumum*, Stanford University Press, Stanford, 1989, pp. 3 - 20.
- Carvajal, Julián; “Finitud radical y moralidad a la luz del debate Heidegger-Cassirer sobre el kantismo”, *Fragmentos de Filosofía*, 5, 2007, pp. 239-262.
 - Cassirer, Ernst; *The Problem of Knowledge. Philosophy, Science, and History since Hegel*, William H. W., Charles W. Hendel (trans.), Yale University Press, New Haven, 1950.
 - Cassirer, Ernst; *Kants Leben und Lehre*, Bruno Cassirer, Berlin, 1921.
 - Cazeaux, Clive; “Metaphor and Heidegger's Kant”, *The Review of Metaphysics*, Vol. 49, No. 2 (Dec., 1995), pp. 341-364.
 - Chenet, François Xavier; *L'assise de l'ontologie critique: L'esthétique transcendantale*, Presses Universitaires de Lille, Paris, 1994.
 - Cleve van, James, Frederick, Robert (eds.); *The Philosophy of Right and Left. Incongruent Counterparts and the Nature of Space*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1991.
 - Cleve van, James, *Problems from Kant*, Oxford University Press, New York/Oxford, 1999.
 - Cohen, Hermann, *System der Philosophie: Logik der reinen Erkenntnis*, Bruno Cassirer, Berlin, 1902.
 - Cohen, Hermann, *Kommentar zu Immanuel Kants Kritik der reinen Vernunft*, Verlag der Dürrschen Buchhandlung, Leipzig, 1907.
 - Conant, James. “Why Kant Is Not a Kantian”, *Philosophical Topics*, Vol. 44, n.1. Spring, 2016.
 - Dale, Jacqueline (Ed.); *Philosophy, Psychology, and Psychologism Critical and Historical Readings on the Psychological Turn in Philosophy*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003.
 - Day, Sebastian; *Intuitive Cognition A Key To The Significance Of The Later Scholastics*, Franciscan Institute Publications, New York, 1947.
 - Detlefsen Michael, “Philosophy of mathematics in the twentieth century” in: *Philosophy of Science, Logic and Mathematics in the Twentieth Century*. Routledge History of Philosophy Volume IX, Stuart G. Shanker (ed), London and New York, Routledge, 2004.

- Dewalque, Arnaud; “La critique néokantienne de Kant et l’instauration d’une théorie conceptualiste de la perception”, *Dialogue*, Vol. 49/03, 2010, pp 413 – 433.
- Dewalque, Arnaud; «Peut-on fondé sur la logique pure ? la controversée entre Natorp et Rickert », *Dialogue*, 46/01, 2014, pp.43-68.
- Dietmar H. Heidemann, „Anschauung überhaupt“, In: *Natur und Freiheit. Akten des XII. Internationalen Kant-Kongresses*, V. L. Waibel, M. Ruffing and D. Wagner (Eds), De Gruyter, Berlin, 2019, pp. 743-760.
- Dressler, Johann Gottlieb; *Elements of Psychology on the Principles of Beneke*, James Parker, Oxford and London, 1871.
- Duarte Amaral, Lucas Alessandro, “Remarks on the theoretical context of Cassirer’s philosophical project”, *Argumentos*, ano 7, n. 13 - Fortaleza, jan./jun. 2015, pp. 247-255.
- Dufour, É. „Le statut du singulier: Kant et le néokantisme de l’École de Marbourg“ *Kant-Studien* 93, 2002, pp 324–350.
- Dufour, Éric, *Les Néokantiens. Valeur et vérité*, Vrin, Paris, 2003.
- Dufour, Éric; “Négation et altérité chez Hermann Cohen et Paul Natorp”, in: Fiorato, Pierfrancesco ; *Negation, Unendlichkeit und Andersheit im Neukantianismus*, Königshausen & Neumann, 2009, pp. 29-46.
- Dufour, Éric, *Paul Natorp. De la psychologie générale à la systématique philosophique*, Vrin, Paris, 2010.
- Earman, John; “Kant, Incongruous Counterparts, and the Nature of Space and Space-Time” in: Cleve van, James, Frederick, Robert (eds.); *The Philosophy of Right and Left. Incongruent Counterparts and the Nature of Space*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1991, pp. 131-149.
- Earman, John; “On the Other Hand...: A reconsideration of Kant Incongruent Counterparts, and Absolute Space” in: Cleve van, James, Frederick, Robert (eds.); *The Philosophy of Right and Left. Incongruent Counterparts and the Nature of Space*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1991, pp. 235-255.
- Edgar, Scott. “Paul Natorp and the emergence of anti-psychologism in the nineteenth century”, *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science*, Volume 39, Issue 1, March 2008, Pages 54-65.
- Edgar, Scott. “Leibniz’s influence on Hermann Cohen’s Interpretation of Kant”, *Kant e-Prints*, Campinas, série 2, v. 16, n. 2, maio-ago. 2021, pp. 200-230.

- Falkenburg, Brigitte, “Intuition and Cosmology: The Puzzle of Incongruent Counterparts”, in: Carson E., Huber R. (eds) *Intuition and the Axiomatic Method*. The Western Ontario Series in Philosophy of Science, vol 70. Springer, Dordrecht, 2006, pp. 157-180.
- Falkenstein, Lorne; “Kant's Account of Intuition”, *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 21, n.2, June 1991, pp.165-193.
- Falkenstein, Lorne; *Kant's intuitionism. A Commentary on the Transcendental Aesthetic*, University of Toronto Press Incorporated, Toronto Buffalo London, 1995.
- Ferrari, Massimo; *Introduzione a il Neocriticismo*, Laterza, Roma, 1997.
- Förster, Eckart (ed.), *Kant's Transcendental Deductions: The Three Critiques and the Opus Postumum*, Stanford University Press, Stanford, 1989.
- Friedman, Michael, *Kant and the Exact Sciences*. Cambridge, London: Harvard University Press, 1995.
- Frege, Gottlob; *Begriffsschrift, eine der arithmetischen nachgebildete Formelsprache des reinen Denkens*, Halle an der Saale: Verlag von Louis Nebert, 1879.
- Frege, Gottlob; *Die Grundlagen der Arithmetik: Eine logisch-mathematische Untersuchung über den Begriff der Zahl*. Breslau: W. Koebner, 1884. [Translation: J. L. Austin, 1974. *The Foundations of Arithmetic: A Logico-Mathematical Enquiry into the Concept of Number*, 2nd ed. Blackwell.]
- Frege, Gottlob; *Grundgesetze der Arithmetik, begriffsschriftlich abgeleitet*, Jena: Verlag Hermann Pohle, Band I, 1893.
- Frege, Gottlob; *Posthumous Writings*, trans. Peter Long and Roger White, H. Hermes, F. Kambartel and F. Kaulbach, Basil Blackwell, Oxford, 1979.
- Fronterotta, Francesco; «L'interprétation néo-kantienne de la théorie platonicienne des idées et son «héritage» philosophique». In: *Revue Philosophique de Louvain*. Quatrième série, Tome 98, N°2, 2000. pp. 318-340.
- Gatto, Alfredo. “La maschera cartesiana: René Descartes nella Critica della ragion pura di Kant”. *Con-Textos Kantianos. International Journal of Philosophy*, [S.l.], n. 5, p. 138-149, jun. 2017.
- Edel, Geert, “Cohen und die analytische Philosophie der Gegenwart,” in R. Brandt and F. Orlik (eds.), *Philosophisches Denken – Politisches Wirken: Hermann-Cohen-Kolloquium Marburg 1992*, Hildesheim: Georg Olms, 1993.

- Edel, Geert, „Der Zusammenhang der Systemteile in ihrer methodischen Verknüpfung.“ in: *Hermann Cohen und die Erkenntnistheorie*, Wolfgang Marx, Ernst Wolfgang Orth, Königshausen & Neumann, 2001.
- Gigliotti, Giana; “Beweis and Aufweis: Transcendental *a priori* and Metaphysical *a priori* in Cohen’s Neo-Kantianism” in: Munk, Reiner (ed.), *Hermanns Cohen Critical Idealism*, Srpinger, Dordrecht, 2005, pp. 97- 131.
- Gilson, Etienne; *Jean Duns Scot: introduction a ses positions fondamentales*, Vrin, Paris, 1952.
- Giovanelli, Marco, “La distinzione kantiana tra 'concetto' e 'intuizione' e il problema della realta delle 'relazioni'”, 10:113-146 (2005).
- Giovanelli, Marco, *Reality and Negation – Kant’s Principle of Anticipations of Perception. An Investigation of its Impact on the Post-Kantian Debate*, Springer, New York, 2011.
- Gloy, Karen; “Die Kantische Differenz von Begriff und Anschauung und ihre Begründung”, *Kant-Studien*, 75, n. 1, 1984, pp. 1-37.
- González Porta, Mario Ariel, “Züruck zu Kant!”, *Dois pontos*, Curitiba, São Carlos, vol. 2, n. 2, 2005 pp.35-59.
- González Porta, Mario Ariel, “Frege y Natorp: Platonismos, Antipsicologismos y Teorías de la Subjetividad”, 2006.
- González Porta, Mario Ariel, “La teoría del número en Natorp y Cassirer”, *La ciencia de los filósofos*, 1996, pp. 199-222.
- González Porta, Mario Ariel, *Estudos Neokantianos*, Edições Loyola, São Paulo, 2011.
- González Porta, Mario Ariel “Prolegómenos a los “Prolegómenos” (Revisando la participación neokantiana en el Psychologismusstreit)”, *Kant e-Prints. Revista Internacional de filosofía*, Publicações CLE, Campinas, serie 2, v.16, n.2, 2021, pp. 164-184.
- Hegel, G. W.F., *Glauben und Wissen*, Felix Meiner, Hamburg, 1986.
- Heidegger, Martin; *Gesamtausgabe*, Vittorio Klostermann, Frankfurt am Main, 1975-ss.
- Helmholtz; Hermann v., *Die Tatsachen in der Wahrnehmung*, Hirschwald, Berlin, 1879.
- Helmholtz; Hermann v., „Über das Verhältnis der Naturwissenschaften zur Gesamtheit der Wissenschaft“, *Physikalische Blätter* 6/4, 1950, pp. 145-152.

- Hinske, Norbert; „Einleitung in die dritte Abteilung der Reihe Forschungen und Materialien zur deutschen Aufklärung (FMDA)“ in *Lambert-Index*. Band 1: *Stellenindex zu Johann Heinrich Lambert „Neues Organon I“*. In Zusammenarbeit mit Heinrich P. Delfosse (= FMDA III, 1) Stuttgart-Bad, Cannstatt, 1983, pp.V-XI.
- Hinske, Norbert; “Prefacio”, en: Kant, Immanuel; *Lógica; acompañada de una selección de "Reflexiones" del legado de Kant*, María Jesús Vázquez Lobeiras (ed), Akal, D.L., Madrid, 2000.
- Hintikka, Jaakko; “Kantian Intuitions,” *Inquiry* 15, 1972, pp. 341–5.
- Holzhey, Helmut, “Introduction” in: *Hermann Cohen Critical Idealism*, Munk Reinier, Springer, Dordrecht, 2005.
- Holzhey, Helmut, Die Leibniz-Rezeption im “Neu-Kantianismus” der Marburger Schule. *Leibniz: Werk und Wirkung IV*, Internationaler Leibniz-Kongress, 1986, pp. 289-300.
- Holzhey, Helmut, *Cohen und Natorp*, Schwabe, Basel/Stuttgart, 1986.
- Holzhey, Helmut; “Neo-Kantianism and Phenomenology: The Problem of Intuition”, in: *Neo-Kantianism in Contemporary Philosophy*, Indiana University Press, Bloomington and Indianapolis, 2010, pp. 25-40.
- Jacquette, Dale (ed.); *Handbook of the Philosophy of Science. Philosophy of Logic*, Elsevier, North Holland, 2007.
- Jauernig, Anja; “Kant’s Critique of the Leibnizian Philosophy: Contra the Leibnizians, but Pro Leibniz” in: *Kant and the Early Moderns*, D. Garber and B. Longuenesse (eds.), Princeton, Princeton University Press, 2008, pp. 41-63.
- Jourdain, Philip E. B., “Reviewed Work: *Die logischen Grundlagen der exakten Wissenschaften*. by Paul Natorp”, *Mind*, Vol. 20, No. 80 (Oct., 1911), pp. 552-560.
- Kant, I Immanuel; *Gesammelte Schriften*, Hrsg.: Bd. 1-22. Preussische Akademie der Wissenschaften Bd. 23. Deutsche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, ab Bd. 24. Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, 1900ss.
- Kant, Immanuel; *Correspondence*, Zweig, Arnulf (Trad.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- Kemp Smith, Norman; *A Commentary to Kant’s Critique of Pure Reason*, Macmillan and co., London, 1918.
- Kemp Smith, Norman; “The Paradox of Incongruous Counterparts” in: Cleve van, James, Frederick, Robert (eds.); *The Philosophy of Right and Left*.

- Incongruent Counterparts and the Nature of Space*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1991, pp. 43 - 47.
- Kim, Alan; “Neo-Kantian Ideas of History” in: Warren, Nicolas; Staiti, Andrea; (comps.), *New Approaches to Neo-Kantianism*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.
 - Kitcher, Patricia; *Kant’s Transcendental Psychology*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1990.
 - Kolb, Daniel C., “Thought and Intuition in Kant's Critical System” in: *Journal of the History of Philosophy*, Vol. 24/2, 1986, pp. 223-24.
 - Krijnen, Christian; „Der Wahrheitsbegriff im Neukantianismus“, in: *Die Geschichte des philosophischen Begriffs der Wahrheit* Hsg. Markus Enders und Jan Szaif, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2006.
 - Krijnen, Christian; “Neokantismus” in: Bonk, Thomas (Hrsg.) *Lexikon der Erkenntnistheorie*, WBG, Darmstad, 2013.
 - Krijnen Christian; „Transzendentaler Idealismus und empirischer Realismus“ in: Christian Krijnen / Kurt Walter Zeidler (Hrsg.), *Wissenschaftsphilosophie im Neukantianismus. Ansätze – Kontroversen – Wirkungen*, Königshausen & Neumann, Würzburg, 2014, pp. 11-52.
 - Külpe, Oswald; *Immanuel Kant: Darstellung und Würdigung*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1907.
 - Külpe, Oswald, *Einleitung in die Philosophie*, Hs. Messer, Leipzig, 1921.
 - Külpe, Oswald, *Introducción a la filosofía*, trad. Carlos Jesinghaus, Ed. Poblete, Buenos Aires-Madrid, 1931.
 - Kusch, Martin; *Psychologism. A case Study in the Sociology of Philosophical Knowledge*, London, 1995.
 - Kusch, Martin; *Psychologism: The Sociology of Philosophical Knowledge*, Taylor & Francis e-Library, 2005.
 - Laks, André; “Plato Between Cohen and Natorp: Aspects of the neo-Kantian Interpretation of the Platonic Ideas (Manuscript), P. Natorp, *Plato's Theory of Ideas* (edited with an introduction by V. Politis, trans. by V. Politis and J. Connolly), Academia-Verlag, Sankt Augustin, International Plato Studies, vol. 16, 2004, p. 453-483.

- Leibniz, Gottfried Wilhelm. *Die Leibniz-Handschriften der Königlichen Öffentlichen Bibliothek zu Hannover*. ed. Eduard Bodemann (Hildesheim: Olms, 1966). Reprint, Hannover, 1989.
- Leibniz, Gottfried Wilhelm. *Die philosophischen Schriften von Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz*. ed. Carl Immanuel Gerhardt (Berlin: Weidmann, 1875).
- Lema-Hincapié; Andrés; “La carta de Kant a Marcus Herz: 21 de febrero 1772”; *Praxis Filosófica*; Nueva serie, No. 18 (Ene.-Jun.), 2004, pp. 59-78.
- Lembeck, Karl-Heinz; „Begründungsphilosophische Perspektiven: Husserl und Natorp über Anschauung“, *Phänomenologische Forschungen, änomenologische Forschungen*, 2003, pp. 97-108.
- Longuenesse, Béatrice, *Kant and the Capacity to Judge: Sensibility and Discursivity in the Transcendental Analytic of the Critique of Pure Reason*, Trad. Wolfe, C., Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford, 1998.
- Malter, Rudolf and Beck, Hamilton; “Main Currents in the German Interpretation of the Critique of Pure Reason Since the Beginnings of Neo-Kantianism”, *Journal of the History of Ideas*, Vol. 42, No. 3 (Jul. - Sep., 1981), pp. 531-551.
- Marion, Jean; “Cartesian metaphysics and the role of the simple natures”. In: J. Cottingham (Ed.), *The Cambridge Companion to Descartes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992, pp. 115-139.
- Martínez, Luciana, “La doctrina kantiana de la definición en las lecciones de lógica (1770-1782)”, *Revista Anales del Seminario de Historia de la Filosofía* 36 (3), 683-704, 2019.
- Maxwell, “J. J., Note on a Review of Prof. Natorp’s *Die Logischen Grundlagen*”, etc, *Mind* 21:302, 1912, 302-303.
- Mensch, Jennifer; “The Key to All Metaphysics: Kant's Letter to Herz, 1772”, *Kantian Review*, Vol 12/ 02. July 2007, pp 109 – 127.
- Messer, August, *Geschichte der Philosophie im 19. Jahrhundert bis zu Gegenwart*, Quelle- Meyer, Leipzig, 1920.
- Moledo, Fernando; *Los años silenciosos de Kant: Aspectos de la génesis de la Deducción Transcendental en la década de 1770. Seguido de la traducción del Legado de Duisburg (ca. 1775)*, Buenos Aires, Prometeo, 2014.
- Moran Dermot, Cohen Joseph; *The Husserl Dictionary*, Continuum Philosophy Dictionaries, Continuum, New York, 2012.

- Mormann, Thomas and Katz, Mikhail: "Infinitesimals as an Issue of Neo-Kantian Philosophy of Science" in: *Hopos: The Journal of the International Society for the History of Philosophy of Science*, Vol. 3, No. 2 (Fall 2013), pp. 236-280.
- Munk, Reiner (ed.), *Hermanns Cohen Critical Idealism*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2005.
- Nagel, Gordon. *The Structure of Experience: Kant's System of Principles*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1983.
- Natorp, Paul. „Über objective und subjective Begründung der Erkenntnis I.“, *Philosophische Monatshefte*, Bd XXIII, Heidelberg, 1887.
- „Thema und Disposition der aristotelischen *Metaphysik*“, *Philosophische Monatshefte*, 24, 1888, p. 37-65; 540-574.
- „Über Aristoteles' *Metaphysik* K 1-8, 1065 a 26“, *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie*, 1, 1888, p. 178-193.
- „Quantität und Qualität im Begriff, Urteil und gegenständlicher Erkenntnis“, *Philosophische Monatshefte*, Bd XXVII, Heidelberg, 1891.
- *Sozialpädagogik. Theorie der Willenserziehung auf der Grundlage der Gemeinschaft*, Fr. Frommanns, Stuttgart, 1899.
- „Die erkenntnistheoretischen Grundlagen der Mathematik“ in: *Unterrichtsblätter für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften*, F. Pietzker, Verlag von Otto Sall, Berlin, 1902.
- *Platos Ideenlehre: Eine Einführung in den Idealismus*, Leipzig, Dürr. 1903.
- *Philosophische Propädeutik*, Marburg, 1904.
- «Nombre, temps, espace, dans leurs rapports avec les fonctions primitives de la pensée», *Bibliothèque du Congrès International de Philosophie, vol. 1, Philosophie générale et Métaphysique.*, Colin, Paris, 1900, pp. 343-389.
- *Allgemeine Psychologie in Leitsätzen zu akademischen Vorlesungen*, Elwert, Marburg, 1904.
- *Die logischen Grundlagen der exakten Wissenschaften*, Berlin, 1910.
- *Logik. Grundlegung und logischer Aufbau der Mathematik und mathematischen Naturwissenschaften in Leitsätzen zu akademischen Vorlesungen*, Marburg: Elwert. 1910.
- *Philosophie. Ihr Problem und ihre Probleme. Göttingen. 1911.*
- „Kant und die Marburger Schule“, *Kant-Studien* 17, 1912, pp. 193 – 221.

- *Platos Ideenlehre. Zweite, durchsehene und um einen metakritischen Anhang vermehrte Ausgabe*, Leipzig, Felix Meiner Verlag, 1921.
- *Plato's Theory of ideas. An introduction to Idealism*, Trad. V., Politis and J. Connolly, Sankt Augustin: Academia Verlag, 2004.
- „Descartes'-Erkenntnistheorie. Eine Studie zur Vorgeschichte des Kriticismus“, Elwert, Marburg, 1882.
- „Leibniz und der Materialismus“, (1881). Aus dem Nachlaß herausgegeben (Helmut Holzhey), *Studia Leibnitiana*, Bd. 17, H. 1, Franz Steiner Verlag, 1985, pp. 3-14.
- *Platos Ideenlehre*, Leipzig, Meiner, 1903
- „Zu den logischen Grundlagen der neueren Mathematik“, *Archiv für systematische Philosophie*, Bd. 7, 1901, pp. 177-209/372 -438.
- „Zur Frage der logischen Methode. Mit Beziehung auf Edm. Husserls 'Prolegomena zur reinen Logik“, *Kant-Studien* 6, 1901, 270–283.
- O'Connor, John K. “Precedents in Aristotle and Brentano for Husserl's Concern with 'Metabasis.'” *The Review of Metaphysics*, vol. 61, no. 4, Philosophy Education Society Inc., 2008, pp. 737–57.
- Parsons, Charles, “The Transcendental Aesthetic”, *The Cambridge Companion to Kant*, Paul Guyer (ed.), Cambridge University Press, New York, 1992, pp. 62-100.
- Parsons, Charles; “Kant's Philosophy of Arithmetic.” In: Posy C.J. (eds) *Kant's Philosophy of Mathematics. Synthese Library (Studies in Epistemology, Logic, Methodology, and Philosophy of Science)*, vol 219. Springer, Dordrecht, 1992b, pp. 42-79.
- Parsons, Charles, “The Kantian Legacy in Twentieth Century Foundations of Mathematics”, in: Parsons, Charles, *Philosophy of Mathematics in the Twentieth Century. Selected Essays*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge and London, 2014, pp. 11-39.
- Pasnau, Robert, “Cognition,” in Williams T., *The Cambridge Companion to Duns Scotus*, New York, Cambridge University Press, 2002, pp.285-311.
- Paton, James; *Kant's Metaphysic of Experience*, Allen & Unwin, London, 1970.
- Philonenko, Alexis; *L'Oeuvre de Kant*, Vrin, Paris, 1969.
- Pippin, Robert B; *Kant's Theory of Form. An Essay on the Critique of Pure Reason*, Yale University Press, Haven and London, 1982.

- Pinto, Luis; La dénégation de l'origine [Hermann Cohen, de la sociologie à la philosophie transcendantale], Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales, Vol. 109, Nro. 1, 1995, pp. 41-59.
- Placencia, Luis; Espinosa, Ruth; “Introducción” a: Frege, G., *Escritos Filosóficos*, trans. Placencia, Luis Espinosa, Colihue, Buenos Aires, 2017.
- Politis, Vasilis; “Anti-Realist Interpretations of Plato: Paul Natorp”, *International Journal of Philosophical Studies*, 9:1, 2001, 47-62.
- Poma, Andrea; *The Critical Philosophy of Hermann Cohen*, Trad. Denton John, State University of New York Press, Albany, 1997.
- Poma, Andrea; *Yearning for Form and other Essays on Hermann Cohen's Thought*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- Pringe, Hernán; “El sujeto en Cohen”, *El giro subjetivista de la Filosofía Moderna Perspectivas históricas y debates contemporáneos*; Cátedra de Filosofía Moderna, Mar del Plata, 2010, pp. 446-454.
- Pringe, Hernán; *Critique of the Quantum Power of Judgment*, De Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 2011.
- Pringe, Hernán; “Legalidad empírica y realidad efectiva.”, *Ideas y Valores* 64.158 (2015): 21-39.
- Pringe, Hernán; “Cohen's Logik der reinen Erkenntnis and Cassirer's Substanzbegriff und Funktionsbegriff”, *Kant Yearbook*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2020, pp. 137-168.
- Pringe, Hernán; “Conocimiento puro y cálculo infinitesimal en el neokantismo de Hermann Cohen”, *Revista Latinoamericana de Filosofía*, Vol. 46 N°2 1, 2020b.
- Pringe, Hernán; “Infinitesimal Method and Judgment of Origin”, *Kant e-Print*, Campinas, serie 2, Vol 16, n.2, 2021, pp. 185-199.
- Reale, Giovanni; “Introduzioni” in: Paul Natorp, *Dottrina platonica delle Idee. Una introduzione all'Idealismo*, Reale, Giovanni; Cicero, Vincenzo; Vita e Pensiero, Milano, 1999.
- Riehl, Alois *Hermann von Helmholtz in seinem Verhältnis zu Kant*. Reuther & Reichard, Berlin, 1904.
- Robson, Kent; "Kant's Concept of Intuition" in: *Akten des 4. Internationalen Kant-Kongresses*, Mainz. 6.-10. April 1974, Berlin-Nueva York, Hrsg. von Gerhard Funke, 1974, pp. 240-246.

- Rolf, George and Rusnock, Paul; “A Last Shot at Kant and Incongruent Counterparts”, *Kant-Studien* 86 (3), Walter de Gruyter, 1995, pp. 257-277.
- Rolf, George and Rusnock, Paul; “Review Essays: Snails Rolled Up Contrary to All Sense.” Reviewed Work: *The Philosophy of Right and Left: Incongruent Counterparts and the Nature of Space* by James Van Cleve, Robert E. Frederick”, *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. 54, No. 2 (Jun., 1994), pp. 459-466.
- Rosales, Alberto; *Sein und Subjektivität bei Kant: Zum subjektiven Ursprung der Kategorien*, Kantstudien-Ergänzungshefte, 135, De Gruyter, Berlin – New York, 2000.
- Russell, Bertrand A. W., *An Essay on the Foundations of Geometry. With a new Foreword by Morris Kline*, Dover Publications, New York, 1956.
- Sánchez Rodríguez, M., La teoría de los tipos de representación en Leibniz y sus principales influencias en la estética y la lógica de la Ilustración alemana, *Cultura. Revista de História e Teoria das Ideias*, Vol. 32, 2013, pp. 271-295.
- Schneider, Hans, *Die Einheit als Grundprinzip der Philosophie P. Natorps*, Bottrop i W., Buch- und kunstdruckerei W. Postberg, 1936.
- Schrader, George, “Kant’s Theory on concepts”, *Kant-Studien* vol. 49, no. 1-4, 1958, pp. 264-278.
- Scotus, John Duns; *God and Creatures. The Quodlibetal Questions*, A. B. Wolter, F. Alluntis; Princeton University Press, Princeton and London, 1975.
- Scotus, John Duns; *Questions on the Metaphysics of Aristotle* Vol. I, trans. Girard J. Etzkorn and Allan Wolter, Franciscan Institute Publications, New York, 1997.
- Scott, Kermit; “Ockham on Evidence, Necessity and Intuition”, *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 7, 1969, pp. 27-49.
- Seron, Denis; «L’idéalisme de Cohen et Natorp: Entre Kant et Platon», dans S. Delcomminette & A. Mazzú (éds.), *L’Idée platonicienne dans la philosophie contemporaine: Jalons*, Paris, Vrin, coll. «Tradition de la pensée classique», 2012, p. 97-116 (Pre-publication draft).
- Severo Passos, Rogério; “A puzzle about incongruent counterparts and the critique of pure reason”, *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly* 88 (4), 2007, 507–521.
- Shabel, Lisa; “The Transcendental Aesthetic” in: *The Cambridge Companion to Kant’s Critique of Pure Reason*, Paul Guyer, ed., Cambridge University Press, 2010, pp. 93-117.

- Smit, Houston; “Kant on Marks and the Immediacy of Intuition”, *The Philosophical Review*, Vol. 109, No. 2, 2000, pp. 235-266.
- Smyth, Daniel; “Infinity and givenness: Kant on the intuitive origin of spatial representation”, *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 44 (5-6), 2014, pp. 551-579.
- Stadler, August; „§1 der transscendentalen Aesthetik. Erster Absatz. Aus einem Konversatorium für Anfänger“ *Kant-Studien* 1 (1-3), 1897, pp. 100-107.
- Stati, Andrea, Warren Nicolás de. (eds), *New approaches to Neo-kantianism*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- Stephenson, Andrew, “Kant on object dependence and Halucination”, *The Philosophical Quarterly*, Volume 65, Issue 260, July 2015, Pages 486–508.
- Stolzenberg, Jünger, “The Highest Principle and the Principle of Origin in Hermann Cohen’s theoretical philosophy”, in: Makreel, R. Luft, S (eds), *Neo-Kantianism in Contemporary Philosophy*, Indiana University Press, 2010, pp. 132-149.
- Strawson, Peter; *The Bounds of Sense. An Essay on Kant’s Critique of Pure Reason*, Methuen & Co. Ltd., London, 1966.
- Stumpf, Carl, *Über den psychologischen Ursprung der Raumvorstellung*, S. Hirzel, Leipzig, 1873.
- Sullivan Peter M., “Frege's logic”, in: Gabbay, Dov M., John Woods (eds), *Handbook of the History of Logic. Vol. 3: The Rise of Modern Logic. From Leibniz to Frege*, ELSEVIER, Amsterdam, 2004, pp.659-750.
- Thompson, Manley; “Singular Terms and Intuitions in Kant's Epistemology”, *The Review of Metaphysics*, Vol. 26, No. 2 (Dec., 1972), pp. 314-343.
- Tonelli, Giorgio; „Wiederaufleben der deutsch-aristotelischen Terminologie bei Kant während der Entstehung der ‚Kritik der reinen Vernunft‘“, *Archiv für Begriffsgeschichte*, Vol. 9, 1964, pp. 233-242.
- Torretti, Roberto; “La geometría en el pensamiento de Kant”, *Logos. Anales del Seminario de Metafísica*, Vol. 9, Madrid, 1974, pp. 9-61.
- Torretti, Roberto; *Manuel Kant. Estudio sobre los fundamentos de la filosofía crítica*, Charcas, Buenos Aires, 1967.
- Vaihinger, Hans; *Commentar zu Kants Kritik der reinen Vernunft*, Zweiter Band, Union Deutsche Verlags-gesellschaft, Stuttgart, Berlin, Leipzig, 1892.

- Van Clave, James; “Foundationalism, Epistemic Principles, and the Cartesian Circle”, in: J. Cottingham (ed.), *Descartes*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- Van de Pitte, Frederick P; Intuition and Judgment in Descartes' Theory of Truth, *Journal of the History of Philosophy*, Vol 26/3, Johns Hopkins University Press, 1988, pp. 453-470.
- Vleeschauer, Herman Jean; *La evolución del pensamiento kantiano. Historia de una doctrina*, trad. Guerra, Ricardo; Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México, 1962.
- Walford, David; “Towards an Interpretation of Kant's 1768 *Gegenden im Raume* Essay, *Kant-Studien* 92. Jahrg., Walter de Gruyter 2001, S. 407-439.
- Walsh, Sean; “Incongruent Counterparts and Causality”, *Kant-Studien* 98. Jahrg., Walter de Gruyter, 2007, S. 418–430.
- Warren, Nicolas; Staiti, Andrea; (comps.), *New Approaches to Neo-Kantianism*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- Wiendelband, Wilhelm, *Lehrbuch der Geschichte der Philosophie. Dritte Auflage*, Mohr, Tübingen und Leipzig, 1903.
- Wilson, Kirk Dallas; “Kant on Intuition”, *The Philosophical Quarterly*, Vol. 25, No. 100 (Jul. 1975), pp. 247-265.
- Willaschek, Marcus; “The Sensibility of Human Intuition. Kant’s Causal Condition on Accounts of Representation” In Rainer Enskat (ed.), *Kants Theorie der Erfahrung*, De Gruyter. 2015, Berlin, pp. 129-150.
- Zerbudis Ezequiel, “Incongruent Counterparts and the Origin of Kant's Distinction Between Sensibility and Understanding”, *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie*, vol. 94, Berlin, 2012, pp. 326 – 352.
- Zweig, Arnulf, “Introduction”, in; Kant, I. *Correspondence*, Trad. and Ed. Zweig, A., Cambridge University Press, New York, 1999.

Acknowledgments

This thesis would have never been written without the patience and support of my supervisor Prof.dr. H. Pringe. It would be difficult to find so many virtues in a single person. Every interesting point of this project, I owe it to him. Mistakes are mine.

Words cannot express my gratitude to my dear friend and colleague Dr. Luciana Martinez. This thesis would not have been possible without her support, expert advice, and encouragement.

During my undergraduate studies and while writing this project, I had the honour of attending Prof. Mario Caimi seminars. When someone asks me, what philosophy is, I recommend reading any of his lectures.

I am grateful for the support of the University Diego Portal. Particularly, I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Juan Manuel Garrido, who helped me at the beginning of the project.

I would also like to thank to all the members of the Grupo de Estudios Kantianos of Buenos Aires who generously discussed philosophy with me.

I would like to express my gratitude to Prof.dr. Frans A.J. de Haas and Dr. V.A. Gijssbers, and to all the members of Leiden University.

This thesis was funded by the doctorate scholarship of the CONICYT (Chilean National Committee for Scientific and Technological Research). Throughout the years of my research, I have also received funding from Diego Portales University.

CV

Laura Pelegrín studied Philosophy at the University of Buenos Aires (UBA). She graduated in 2012. In 2010, she obtained a scholarship for undergraduate students in this institution with a project on contemporary readings of Immanuel Kant. In 2013, she obtained a PhD scholarship from the Universidad Diego Portales in Santiago de Chile. In 2014, she obtained a PhD scholarship from CONICYT in Chile. She developed her PhD in the UDP with a *Cotutelle* in Leiden University with a project on Neo-Kantianism. She has given seminars at The University Diego Portales and at the University of Buenos Aires. She has worked at Universidad Maimonides, Universidad del Museo Argentino, and in The University of Buenos Aires. She is a member of the Grupo de Estudios Kantianos of the Institute of Philosophy in the UBA, and of the Grupo Idealismo Crítico in the UDP. She is an associate researcher at the UDP. She has presented the results of her research at various scientific meetings and has published in national and international academic journals.