



Universiteit  
Leiden

The Netherlands

## Highly accurate simulations and benchmarking of molecule-surface reactions

Tchakoua, T.

### Citation

Tchakoua, T. (2023, July 4). *Highly accurate simulations and benchmarking of molecule-surface reactions*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3628451>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3628451>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# HIGHLY ACCURATE SIMULATIONS AND BENCHMARKING OF MOLECULE-SURFACE REACTIONS

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,  
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties  
te verdedigen op dinsdag 4 juli 2023  
klokke 10:00 uur

door

Théophile Tchakoua  
geboren te Foumban, Kameroen  
in 1988

**Promotor:** Prof. dr. G. J. Kroes  
**Co-promotor:** Dr. M. F. Somers

## Promotiecommissie

Prof. dr. M. Ubbink  
Prof. dr. A. Kros  
Prof. dr. P. Hyldgaard (Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden)  
Prof. dr. P. Larrégaray (University of Bordeaux, France)  
Dr. I. M. N. Groot

ISBN: 978-94-6473-148-4

The research reported in this thesis has been performed in the Theoretical Chemistry group at the Leiden Institute of Chemistry (Einsteinweg 55, 2333 CC, Leiden, the Netherlands). This work has been made possible by financial support by the Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO) through an NWO/CW TOP Grant (No. 715.017.001) and by the European Research Council through an ERC-2013 advanced grant (Nr. 338580), and with computer time granted by the Physical Sciences division of NWO (NWO-EW).

Je voudrais dédier ce travail à ma grand-mère **Samou Marthe** qui est passée de vie à trépas le 7 février 2021. Tu as su durant les deux premières années de cette thèse, me motiver sans ménager un quelconque effort. Reposes en paix, car ton vœu le plus cher qui était de finir cette thèse s'est réalisé! Tu m'a offert un havre de paix pendant les moments épineux de ma vie depuis mon jeune âge.

*Celui qui sait et qui sait qu'il sait est un savant, il faut le suivre.*

*Celui qui sait et ne sait pas qu'il sait est un dormeur, il faut le réveiller.*

*Celui qui ne sait pas et sait qu'il ne sait pas est un chercheur, il faut le guider.*

*Celui qui ne sait pas et ne sait pas qu'il ne sait pas est un danger public, il faut l'éviter.*

Proverbe africain.

## Contents

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>General Introduction</b>  | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1      | Gas-surface reactions . . . . .  | 1         |
| 1.2      | Molecule metal-surface reaction mechanisms . . . . .   | 3         |
| 1.3      | Background to topics of this thesis . . . . .  | 4         |
| 1.3.1    | H <sub>2</sub> reacting on metal surfaces . . . . .  | 4         |
| 1.3.2    | Databases . . . . .  | 6         |
| 1.3.3    | Mixed DFs for SRP-DF development . . . . .   | 7         |
| 1.4      | Aims of this thesis . . . . .  | 8         |
| 1.5      | Main results . . . . .   | 9         |
| 1.6      | Outlook . . . . .  | 12        |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Towards a Specific Reaction Parameter Density Functional for<br/>H<sub>2</sub> + Ni(111): Comparison of Theory with Molecular Beam<br/>Sticking Experiments</b> | <b>31</b> |
| 2.1      | Introduction . . . . .   | 32        |
| 2.2      | Methods . . . . .  | 37        |
| 2.2.1    | Dynamical model . . . . .  | 37        |
| 2.2.2    | Construction of the PES . . . . .  | 37        |
| 2.2.3    | Interpolation of PES . . . . .   | 40        |
| 2.2.4    | Dynamics Methods . . . . .   | 41        |
| 2.2.4.A  | Quasi-classical Dynamics . . . . .   | 41        |
| 2.2.4.B  | Quantum Dynamics . . . . .   | 42        |
| 2.2.5    | Computation of the Observables . . . . .   | 43        |
| 2.2.5.A  | Degeneracy-Averaged reaction probabilities . . . . .   | 43        |
| 2.2.5.B  | Molecular Beam sticking probabilities . . . . .  | 43        |
| 2.3      | Results and Discussion . . . . .   | 45        |
| 2.3.1    | Potential Energy Surfaces . . . . .  | 45        |
| 2.3.2    | Comparison to experiment . . . . .   | 48        |
| 2.3.3    | Causes for the discrepancies between theory and experiment . . . . .   | 53        |
| 2.4      | Conclusions . . . . .  | 59        |

|          |  |            |
|----------|--|------------|
| <b>3</b> | <b>SBH17: Benchmark Database of Barrier Heights for Dissociative Chemisorption on Transition Metal Surfaces</b>  | <b>69</b>  |
| 3.1      | Introduction . . . . .   | 70         |
| 3.2      | Methods . . . . .  | 73         |
| 3.2.1    | Density functionals tested . . . . .   | 73         |
| 3.2.2    | Semi-empirical approaches to obtaining reference values of barrier heights . . . . .                             | 76         |
| 3.2.2.A  | The specific reaction parameter approach to density functional theory (SRP-DFT) . . . . .                        | 78         |
| 3.2.2.B  | Ad hoc semi-empirical approaches . . . . .   | 79         |
| 3.2.3    | The SBH17 database . . . . .   | 80         |
| 3.2.3.A  | Dissociative chemisorption of H <sub>2</sub> on transition metals . . . . .                                      | 81         |
| 3.2.3.B  | N <sub>2</sub> dissociation on Ru surfaces . . . . .   | 84         |
| 3.2.3.C  | CH <sub>4</sub> dissociation on transition metals . . . . .  | 85         |
| 3.2.4    | Algorithms for computing minimum barrier heights . . . . .   | 88         |
| 3.2.4.A  | Light Algorithm . . . . .  | 89         |
| 3.2.4.B  | Medium Algorithm . . . . .   | 89         |
| 3.2.4.C  | High Algorithm . . . . .   | 90         |
| 3.2.5    | Computational details . . . . .  | 90         |
| 3.3      | Results . . . . .  | 91         |
| 3.3.1    | Structure of the metals . . . . .  | 91         |
| 3.3.2    | Dissociative chemisorption barriers . . . . .  | 91         |
| 3.4      | Discussion . . . . .   | 102        |
| 3.4.1    | Description of the metal . . . . .   | 102        |
| 3.4.2    | Description of barrier heights to DC . . . . .   | 103        |
| 3.4.2.A  | Preferred algorithm . . . . .  | 103        |
| 3.4.2.B  | Performance of DFs for SBH17 with medium algorithm . . . . .   | 104        |
| 3.4.2.C  | Dependence on the type of system . . . . .   | 109        |
| 3.4.2.D  | Comparison to present and previous results for SBH10 . . . . .   | 110        |
| 3.4.3    | Comparison to results for adsorption and to gas phase results  | 113        |
| 3.4.4    | Future improvements . . . . .  | 114        |
| 3.5      | Conclusions and outlook . . . . .  | 117        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Simulating Highly Activated Sticking of H<sub>2</sub> on Al(110): Quantum versus quasi-classical dynamics</b> | <b>138</b> |
| 4.1      | Introduction . . . . .   | 139        |
| 4.2      | Method . . . . .   | 141        |

|          |   |            |
|----------|---|------------|
| 4.2.1    | Dynamical model . . . . .   | 141        |
| 4.2.2    | DFT Method . . . . .  | 142        |
| 4.2.3    | Interpolation of PES . . . . .  | 144        |
| 4.2.4    | Calculations of observables . . . . .   | 146        |
| 4.2.5    | Dynamics Methods . . . . .  | 150        |
| 4.2.5.A  | Quantum Dynamics . . . . .  | 150        |
| 4.2.5.B  | Quasi-classical dynamics . . . . .  | 152        |
| 4.3      | Results and discussion . . . . .  | 153        |
| 4.3.1    | The fitted potential energy surface . . . . .   | 153        |
| 4.3.2    | Sticking probabilities computed with quantum and quasi-classical methods, and their comparison . . . . .                    | 155        |
| 4.3.3    | Analysis of the size of the quantum effects on the sticking: role of vibration and incidence energy . . . . .               | 159        |
| 4.4      | Conclusions . . . . .   | 164        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Constructing Mixed Density Functionals for Describing Dissociative Chemisorption on Metal Surfaces: Basic Principles</b> | <b>174</b> |
| 5.1      | Introduction . . . . .  | 175        |
| 5.2      | Methods . . . . .   | 176        |
| 5.2.1    | The SBH16 database . . . . .  | 176        |
| 5.2.2    | Mixed density functional expressions . . . . .  | 177        |
| 5.2.3    | Computational details . . . . .   | 180        |
| 5.3      | Results and discussion. . . . .   | 181        |
| 5.3.1    | Equilibrium lattice constants computed with mixed density functionals . . . . .   | 181        |
| 5.3.2    | Performance of limiting forms of the mixed density functionals . . . . .  | 181        |
| 5.3.3    | Performance of mixed density functionals as tunable SRP DFs . . . . .   | 185        |
| 5.3.4    | Correlation of the mixing parameter with the charge transfer parameter . . . . .  | 190        |
| 5.4      | Conclusions and outlook. . . . .  | 192        |
| 5.A      | Appendix Tables and Figures . . . . .   | 195        |
|          | <b>Samenvatting</b>   | <b>205</b> |
|          | <b>Curriculum vitae</b>   | <b>212</b> |
|          | <b>List of publications</b>   | <b>213</b> |
|          | <b>Afterword</b>  | <b>214</b> |



