Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Hello, who is this? The relationship between linguistic and speaker-dependent information in the acoustics of consonants

Smorenburg, B.J.L.

Citation
Smorenburg, B. J. L. (2023, June 28). *Hello, who is this?: The relationship between linguistic and speaker-dependent information in the acoustics of consonants*. *LOT dissertation series*. LOT, Amsterdam. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3627840

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3627840

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Hello, who is this?

The relationship between linguistic and speaker-dependent information in the acoustics of consonants

Published by
LOT
Binnengasthuisstraat 9
1012 ZA Amsterdam
The Netherlands

phone: +31 20 525 2461
e-mail: lot@uva.nl
http://www.lotschool.nl

ISBN: 978-94-6093-432-2
DOI: https://dx.medra.org/10.48273/LOT0647
NUR: 616

Copyright © 2023: Laura Smorenburg. All rights reserved.

Hello, who is this?

The relationship between linguistic and speaker-dependent information in the acoustics of consonants

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden
op gezag van rector magnificus prof. dr. ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 28 juni 2023
klokke 10:00 uur

door

Laura Smorenburg

geboren te Tiel, Nederland
op 3 februari 1992

Promotor: Prof. dr. Niels O. Schiller

Copromotor: Dr. Willemijn Heeren

Promotiecommissie: Dr. Tina Cambier-Langeveld
(Immigratie- en Naturalisatiedienst,
Nederlands Forensisch Instituut)

Prof. dr. Yiya Chen

Prof. dr. Paul Foulkes
(University of York)

Prof. dr. Hans Van de Velde
(Fryske Akademy, Universiteit Utrecht)

Funding statement: This PhD project is part of the VIDI project *The speaker in speech* (principle investigator: dr. Willemijn Heeren) and was funded by the Dutch Research Council (276-75-010)

Contents

Acknowledgements v

1 General introduction 1

1.1 Studying speaker variation 1

1.2 Sources of speaker variation 4

1.3 Forensic speaker comparisons 6

1.4 Research questions 9

1.5 Practical considerations 12

1.6 Outline of the dissertation 17

2 Linguistic effects on the speaker-dependent variability in fricatives 19

2.1 Introduction 21

2.1.1 Within-speaker variability in fricative production 22

2.1.2 Between-speaker variability in fricative production 25

2.1.3 Speaker-specificity and linguistic context 26

2.1.4 Fricatives in Dutch telephone speech 28

2.1.5 Research questions and hypotheses 32

2.2 Methodology 33

2.2.1 Materials 33
2.2.2 Acoustic analysis 35
2.2.3 Statistical analysis 37

2.3 Results 40
2.3.1 Linguistic effects 40
2.3.2 Speaker classification 43

2.4 Discussion 46
2.4.1 Linguistic effects 47
2.4.2 Speaker classification 49
2.4.3 Limitations 50

2.5 Conclusion 51

3 Linguistic effects on the speaker-dependent variability in nasals 53

3.1 Introduction 55
3.1.1 Nasal consonants 56
3.1.2 Within and between-speaker variability in nasals 59
3.1.3 Research questions 62

3.2 EXPERIMENT I: Acoustics 64
3.2.1 Materials and speakers 64
3.2.2 Segmentation 65
3.2.3 Acoustical analysis 67
3.2.4 Statistical analysis 68

3.3 Results I 69

3.4 EXPERIMENT II: Speaker classification 77
3.4.1 Materials 77
3.4.2 Statistical analysis 77

3.5 Results II 78

3.6 Discussion 81

3.7 Conclusion 84

4 Effects of the landline telephone filter 87

4.1 Introduction 89
4.1.1 Fricative /s/ acoustics 91
4.1.2 Idiosyncratic information in /s/ 92
4.1.3 Telephone signals and telephone speech 94
4.1.4 Research questions 96

4.2 Method 97

4.2.1 Materials and segmentation 97
4.2.2 Acoustic analysis 99
4.2.3 Statistical analysis 103

4.3 Results 104
4.3.1 Acoustic effects of the landline telephone 104
4.3.2 Acoustic effects of linguistic contexts (LME) 108
4.3.3 Telephone effects on speaker discrimination (LDA) 111

4.4 Discussion 114

4.5 Conclusion 117

5 Effects of linguistic context on the LR strength-of-evidence 119

5.1 Introduction 121
5.1.1 Articulation and acoustics of fricatives and nasals 121
5.1.2 Linguistic context effects 125
5.1.3 Research questions 128

5.2 Method 128
5.2.1 Materials 128
5.2.2 Segmentation 129
5.2.3 Acoustic analysis 131
5.2.4 Statistical analysis 132

5.3 Results 134

5.4 Discussion and conclusion 137

6 Summary and conclusions 141

6.1 Summary 141

6.2 Conclusions 146
6.2.1 Theoretical implications 146
6.2.2 Practical implications 154
6.2.3 Limitations 157

Appendix 161

Bibliography 163

Samenvatting in het Nederlands 183

Curriculum Vitae 189

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I'd like to thank my promotor and supervisor, Niels Schiller and Willemijn Heeren, for their thoughtful feedback and support throughout these four (plus) years. Willemijn, when asked about you throughout these years by other PhDs, I always said I felt really lucky to have you as a supervisor and still feel that way. I aspire to have your professionalism, time management and planning skills one day.

Also thanks to my wonderful colleagues at LUCL, in particular my PhD colleagues: Astrid van Alem, Meike de Boer, Hang Cheng, Lis Kerr, Rasmus Puggaard-Rode, Menghui Shi, Xander Vertegaal, Cesko Voeten, Andrew Wigman, Sarah von Grebmer zu Wolfsthurn, Jiang Wu, and everyone else. I really enjoyed our discussions at the lunch table, which very predictably ended up being about linguistics in all its shapes and forms. You have made me feel really at home at LUCL. Special thanks also to our weekly statistics meeting group with Willemijn, Cesko, Meike and Sanne Ditewig. Cesko, thanks for all your statistical advice and thanks for writing and publishing your R package buildmer, which has saved me many hours of (rather boring) work in R!

Most chapters in this dissertation have gone through the peer review system from journals and conferences, which has greatly improved their content and readability. I'd like to thank all peer reviewers who have provided their professional and detailed feedback to these manuscripts and abstracts, even when their recommendation was to

'reject'. I'd also like to thank all those who have asked questions and provided feedback at conferences; I really appreciate it.

Due to the pandemic, this PhD did not quite turn out as expected. In March 2020, I had just arrived in York for a research visit, which ended up being briefer than anticipated! Nevertheless, I'd still like to give my thanks to Erica Gold and Vince Hughes for their willingness to receive me at the University of Huddersfield and University of York. Upon returning home, my apartment also became my office, lunch-table discussions stopped and basically all conferences were cancelled and then moved online. My thanks to the members of the LUCL PhD Council, who invited me to be a member and organized online lunch meetings regularly. Willemijn and Meike, thanks for hosting project meetings at your homes (and for the baked goods). Thanks also to the YouTube channel Yoga with Adriene and the service point at Leiden University for bringing me my office chair when we had to work from home.

I'd also like to thank Aoju Chen. You (and admittedly several others) forwarded me the vacancy for this PhD position and encouraged me to apply.

To my book club members in The Hague, Arre, Lars and Linde, thanks for making sure I read some fiction while there were so many academic works to read instead.

Lastly, thanks to my friends and family, who I think still haven't quite grasped what I've been working on in this PhD – especially my family who, at one point, just seemed to inaccurately simplify it to 'she investigates wiretapped telephone conversations for the police, she's basically a detective'. Thanks for making my PhD project sound cool and thanks for letting me complain about it every now and then.