



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Hello, who is this? The relationship between linguistic and speaker-dependent information in the acoustics of consonants

Smorenburg, B.J.L.

Citation

Smorenburg, B. J. L. (2023, June 28). *Hello, who is this?: The relationship between linguistic and speaker-dependent information in the acoustics of consonants*. LOT dissertation series. LOT, Amsterdam. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3627840>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3627840>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Hello, who is this?

The relationship between linguistic
and speaker-dependent information
in the acoustics of consonants

Published by
LOT
Binnengasthuisstraat 9
1012 ZA Amsterdam
The Netherlands

phone: +31 20 525 2461

e-mail: lot@uva.nl
<http://www.lotschool.nl>

ISBN: 978-94-6093-432-2
DOI: <https://dx.medra.org/10.48273/LOT0647>
NUR: 616

Copyright © 2023: Laura Smorenburg. All rights reserved.

Hello, who is this?

The relationship between linguistic
and speaker-dependent information
in the acoustics of consonants

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden
op gezag van rector magnificus prof. dr. ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 28 juni 2023
klokke 10:00 uur

door

Laura Smorenburg

geboren te Tiel, Nederland
op 3 februari 1992

Promotor: Prof. dr. Niels O. Schiller

Copromotor: Dr. Willemijn Heeren

Promotiecommissie: Dr. Tina Cambier-Langeveld
(Immigratie- en Naturalisatiedienst,
Nederlands Forensisch Instituut)

Prof. dr. Yiya Chen

Prof. dr. Paul Foulkes
(University of York)

Prof. dr. Hans Van de Velde
(Fryske Akademy, Universiteit Utrecht)

Funding statement: This PhD project is part of the VIDI project *The speaker in speech* (principle investigator: dr. Willemijn Heeren) and was funded by the Dutch Research Council (276-75-010)

Contents

Acknowledgements	v
1 General introduction	1
1.1 Studying speaker variation	1
1.2 Sources of speaker variation	4
1.3 Forensic speaker comparisons	6
1.4 Research questions	9
1.5 Practical considerations	12
1.6 Outline of the dissertation	17
2 Linguistic effects on the speaker-dependent variability in fricatives	19
2.1 Introduction	21
2.1.1 Within-speaker variability in fricative production	22
2.1.2 Between-speaker variability in fricative production	25
2.1.3 Speaker-specificity and linguistic context	26
2.1.4 Fricatives in Dutch telephone speech	28
2.1.5 Research questions and hypotheses	32
2.2 Methodology	33

ii *Hello, who is this ?*

2.2.1	Materials	33
2.2.2	Acoustic analysis	35
2.2.3	Statistical analysis	37
2.3	Results	40
2.3.1	Linguistic effects	40
2.3.2	Speaker classification	43
2.4	Discussion	46
2.4.1	Linguistic effects	47
2.4.2	Speaker classification	49
2.4.3	Limitations	50
2.5	Conclusion	51

3 Linguistic effects on the speaker-dependent variability in nasals 53

3.1	Introduction	55
3.1.1	Nasal consonants	56
3.1.2	Within and between-speaker variability in nasals	59
3.1.3	Research questions	62
3.2	EXPERIMENT I: Acoustics	64
3.2.1	Materials and speakers	64
3.2.2	Segmentation	65
3.2.3	Acoustical analysis	67
3.2.4	Statistical analysis	68
3.3	Results I	69
3.4	EXPERIMENT II: Speaker classification	77
3.4.1	Materials	77
3.4.2	Statistical analysis	77
3.5	Results II	78
3.6	Discussion	81
3.7	Conclusion	84

4 Effects of the landline telephone filter 87

4.1	Introduction	89
4.1.1	Fricative /s/ acoustics	91
4.1.2	Idiosyncratic information in /s/	92
4.1.3	Telephone signals and telephone speech	94
4.1.4	Research questions	96
4.2	Method	97

4.2.1	Materials and segmentation	97
4.2.2	Acoustic analysis	99
4.2.3	Statistical analysis	103
4.3	Results	104
4.3.1	Acoustic effects of the landline telephone	104
4.3.2	Acoustic effects of linguistic contexts (LME)	108
4.3.3	Telephone effects on speaker discrimination (LDA)	111
4.4	Discussion	114
4.5	Conclusion	117
5	Effects of linguistic context on the LR strength-of-evidence	119
5.1	Introduction	121
5.1.1	Articulation and acoustics of fricatives and nasals	121
5.1.2	Linguistic context effects	125
5.1.3	Research questions	128
5.2	Method	128
5.2.1	Materials	128
5.2.2	Segmentation	129
5.2.3	Acoustic analysis	131
5.2.4	Statistical analysis	132
5.3	Results	134
5.4	Discussion and conclusion	137
6	Summary and conclusions	141
6.1	Summary	141
6.2	Conclusions	146
6.2.1	Theoretical implications	146
6.2.2	Practical implications	154
6.2.3	Limitations	157
	Appendix	161
	Bibliography	163
	Samenvatting in het Nederlands	183
	Curriculum Vitae	189

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I'd like to thank my promotor and supervisor, Niels Schiller and Willemijn Heeren, for their thoughtful feedback and support throughout these four (plus) years. Willemijn, when asked about you throughout these years by other PhDs, I always said I felt really lucky to have you as a supervisor and still feel that way. I aspire to have your professionalism, time management and planning skills one day.

Also thanks to my wonderful colleagues at LUCL, in particular my PhD colleagues: Astrid van Alem, Meike de Boer, Hang Cheng, Lis Kerr, Rasmus Puggaard-Rode, Menghui Shi, Xander Vertegaal, Cesko Voeten, Andrew Wigman, Sarah von Grebmer zu Wolfsthurn, Jiang Wu, and everyone else. I really enjoyed our discussions at the lunch table, which very predictably ended up being about linguistics in all its shapes and forms. You have made me feel really at home at LUCL. Special thanks also to our weekly statistics meeting group with Willemijn, Cesko, Meike and Sanne Ditewig. Cesko, thanks for all your statistical advice and thanks for writing and publishing your R package `buildmer`, which has saved me many hours of (rather boring) work in R!

Most chapters in this dissertation have gone through the peer review system from journals and conferences, which has greatly improved their content and readability. I'd like to thank all peer reviewers who have provided their professional and detailed feedback to these manuscripts and abstracts, even when their recommendation was to

‘reject’. I’d also like to thank all those who have asked questions and provided feedback at conferences; I really appreciate it.

Due to the pandemic, this PhD did not quite turn out as expected. In March 2020, I had just arrived in York for a research visit, which ended up being briefer than anticipated! Nevertheless, I’d still like to give my thanks to Erica Gold and Vince Hughes for their willingness to receive me at the University of Huddersfield and University of York. Upon returning home, my apartment also became my office, lunch-table discussions stopped and basically all conferences were cancelled and then moved online. My thanks to the members of the LUCL PhD Council, who invited me to be a member and organized online lunch meetings regularly. Willemijn and Meike, thanks for hosting project meetings at your homes (and for the baked goods). Thanks also to the YouTube channel Yoga with Adriene and the service point at Leiden University for bringing me my office chair when we had to work from home.

I’d also like to thank Aaju Chen. You (and admittedly several others) forwarded me the vacancy for this PhD position and encouraged me to apply.

To my book club members in The Hague, Arre, Lars and Linde, thanks for making sure I read some fiction while there were so many academic works to read instead.

Lastly, thanks to my friends and family, who I think still haven’t quite grasped what I’ve been working on in this PhD – especially my family who, at one point, just seemed to inaccurately simplify it to ‘she investigates wiretapped telephone conversations for the police, she’s basically a detective’. Thanks for making my PhD project sound cool and thanks for letting me complain about it every now and then.