



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **State-building, lawmaking, and criminal justice in Afghanistan: a case study of the prison system's legal mandate, and the rehabilitation programmes in Pul-e-charkhi prison**

Amin, N.

### **Citation**

Amin, N. (2023, June 22). *State-building, lawmaking, and criminal justice in Afghanistan: a case study of the prison system's legal mandate, and the rehabilitation programmes in Pul-e-charkhi prison*. Meijers-reeks. Eleven International Publishing, The Netherlands. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3626666>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3626666>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

## State-building, Lawmaking, and Criminal Justice in Afghanistan

*A case study of the prison system's legal mandate, and  
the rehabilitation programmes in Pul-e-charkhi prison*



# State-building, Lawmaking, and Criminal Justice in Afghanistan

*A case study of the prison system's legal mandate, and  
the rehabilitation programmes in Pul-e-charkhi prison*

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,  
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties  
te verdedigen op donderdag 22 juni 2023  
klokke 15.00 uur

*door*

Najib Amin

geboren te Wardak, Afghanistan

in 1976

Promotoren: Prof. dr. J.M. Otto  
Prof. dr. P.M. Schuyt

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. A. W. Bedner  
Prof. dr. M. M. Boone  
Prof. dr. T. Vander Beken (Universiteit Gent, België)  
Prof. dr. L. Piacentini (University of Strathclyde,  
Glasgow, UK)

Parts of the research for this dissertation were funded by: 1) the German Foreign Office, under the Hamida Barmaki Ph.D. Programme, through the Max Planck Foundation for International Peace and the Rule of Law; 2) the Leiden University Fund 'Wetenschappers in Verdrukking'; and 3) the Leiden Law School (the Van Vollenhoven Institute, and the Institute of Criminal Law and Criminology).

Lay-out: AlphaZet prepress, Bodegraven

© 2023 Najib Amin | Eleven International Publishing

*This publication is protected by international copyright law.  
All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system,  
or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or  
otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher.*

# Acknowledgements

I owe a great deal of gratitude to many people and institutions.

First, I owe gratitude to the people who shared their knowledge, ideas, and time, to contribute to this research. I applaud all my respondents, including (but not limited to) those living behind bars, who were stripped of their human identity and known only as 'prisoner number so and so', but were nevertheless brave enough to talk to me about prison conditions without fear. I also applaud those managing prisons under difficult conditions, who remained open to indicating the shortcomings of those prisons. Finally, I applaud those in key managerial positions, including within the criminal justice system, who were so committed to telling the truth that they were willing to risk their career to talk about various problems.

This acknowledgment would be incomplete without naming people like the late Chief Justice Said Yousef Halim, honorable judge Baha, honorable judge Babrakzai, and honorable judge Sangari of the Supreme Court. Also, Professor Amin Rasouli, Mr. Ghanizada and Mr. Azimi from the Ministry of Justice. Mr. Hayat and Mr. Noori of the General Attorney's Office. General Kohistani, General Shameer and General Safi of the GDPDC. General Sardar Zazai, and Colonel Raziq of the Pul-e-charkhi prison. General Haroon of Balkh prison. Mr. Said Hafiz Hashimi of Mishrano Jirga. Mr. Qader Zazai and Mr. Ghalib of the Wolisi Jirga. I also thank all the people I do not name here, for making themselves available to share their knowledge and experiences with me, and for closing information gaps up to the last day of my research.

Second, my gratitude to the Kabul Law School, especially to my colleagues at the Criminal Law Department and at the Political Sciences Faculty, who never hesitated to substitute for me regarding my regular scheduled lectures, and other academic responsibilities, whilst I was carrying out my research. I also owe gratitude to Leiden Law School, particularly to the Van Vollenhoven Institute, for hosting my research and supporting me by providing what was needed during times of difficulty. I am indebted to all the individuals who helped me feel at home and to strive for excellence. My special thanks to Adriaan Bedner, Caroline Jacobs, Bernardo Ribeiro, Bruno Braak, Dennis Janssen, Kari van Weeren, Kora Bentvelsen, and everyone else who contributed to keeping me on track. The help and support you gave me will always be remembered.

Third, my thanks to the supervisors. I have had the honor of working with an extraordinary team of supervisors: prof. dr. Jan Michiel Otto and prof. mr. dr. Pauline Schuyt. With wisdom and care they generously offered detailed edits, big ideas, and ample encouragement. Your humble and

kind attitude has been a great source of encouragement and support. This research would have been difficult and motionless without your constant support and guidance that extended beyond your academic responsibilities. A guidance that was always needed, but particularly vital in the aftermath of August 2021-2022, when I had no country to call home and reached the brink of failure a number of times. I owe you the kind of deep and heartfelt appreciation that words alone cannot express.

Fourth, I would like to acknowledge and honor our close family friend, prof. emer. Joke Schrijvers and her invaluable role. As a kind and supportive Oma, Joke became the heart and soul of our family, providing love, care, and wisdom that was cherished by the children and ourselves. Every time she visited, she brought a special sense of warmth, comfort, and nurturing presence that can never be replaced.

Finally, my heartfelt appreciation goes to my family. My loving parents, brothers, and sisters who did not hesitate in supporting at any cost. They were always there for me and provided me with unwavering love and support. My wife Malalai Amin, my daughters Heela Amin and Shaista Amin, my sons Abdullah Amin and Hekmatullah Amin. Their love and support truly provided me with joy and energy in every critical and sad moment that I went through during my research. They have been an invaluable source of strength and encouragement, pushing me to reach new heights. The sacrifices they made to allow me to complete this research were too huge for me to compensate for, and words fall short of expressing this. Yet, I would like to express my gratitude and acknowledge that my wife and children's unwavering patience and tolerance have been indispensable in making this work possible.

# Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
ACCEPTED TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	XIII
1 THE RESEARCH	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.1.1 State-building	2
1.1.2 Lawmaking	4
1.1.3 Criminal justice	8
1.1.4 The institution-building explanatory framework	9
1.2 Rationale – Pul-e-charkhi and rehabilitation	10
1.3 Research questions and working definitions	15
1.4 Research methodology and limitations	17
1.5 The prisons	21
1.5.1 Pul-e-charkhi prison	22
1.5.2 Balkh prison	24
1.6 Dissertation structure	26
2 STATE-BUILDING, LAWMAKING, AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE BEFORE AND AFTER THE 2001 INTERNATIONAL INTERVENTION	27
2.1 Introduction	27
2.2 A periodisation of the pre-2001 era, political rupture, and subsequent regimes	27
2.3 Fights for identity, self-defence, and statehood (the revolving door of history)	29
2.4 Fights between traditionalism and modernity	37
2.5 The golden era	41
2.6 The one-party republic	44
2.7 Socialist legality and the onset of civil war	46
2.8 The era of civil wars	48
2.9 Post-2001 international intervention	52
2.10 Conclusion	58
3 THE INSTITUTIONAL CONTEXT FOR POST-2001 LAWMAKING AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFORM	61
3.1 Introduction	61
3.2 The Bonn Process: towards reform and rebuilding (2001-2005)	63
3.2.1 The new Constitution: problems and dilemmas with the drafting and reviewing phases	63



3.2.2	The Constitutional Loya Jirga: problems and dilemmas in the adoption phase	70
3.2.3	Substantive problems throughout	71
3.2.4	Temporary and permanent institutions	72
3.2.5	The Judicial Reform Commission	75
3.2.6	Donor-led reform leads to disruption	78
3.3	The Post-Bonn Process: towards Afghan-ownership (2006 and beyond)	79
3.3.1	London conference 2006: the Afghanistan Compact and the Afghanistan National Development Strategy	79
3.3.2	Rome conference 2007: The National Justice Sector Strategy and the National Justice Sector Programme	81
3.3.3	Kabul conference 2010: the National Priority Programs	82
3.3.4	Tokyo conference 2012: the Mutual Accountability Framework	83
3.3.5	Tokyo conference 2014: Preparing for transformation (2015-2024)	84
3.3.6	A critical discussion regarding reform intervention	84
3.3.6.1	Afghan National Development Strategy's secretariat	86
3.3.6.2	Dedicated project management units	86
3.3.6.3	Working groups as institutions of reform	88
3.3.6.4	Benchmarking as an institution of reform	89
3.4	A story of conflicting contributions (the Bagram detention center)	90
3.5	Conclusion	93
4	A CASE STUDY OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE LAWS REFORMED DUE TO THE POST-2001 OVERHAUL	97
4.1	Introduction	97
4.2	The standard lawmaking process post-2001	97
4.3	The practice of lawmaking post-2001	103
4.3.1	The criminal procedure codes (2004 and 2014)	104
4.3.2	The Interim Criminal Procedure Code 2004	106
4.3.3	The Criminal Procedure Code 2014	109
4.3.4	The Penal Code (1976 and 2017)	116
4.3.5	The Prisons and Detention Centres Law (2005, 2007, and 2020)	119
4.4	Conclusion	124
5	THE LEGAL MANDATE FOR PRISONS AND THE CHALLENGE OF REHABILITATION	127
5.1	Introduction	127
5.2	The concept of rehabilitation	127
5.3	The policy and legal basis for the prison system mandate	131
5.4	The prison system's legal and policy foundations	131

5.4.1	Substantive issues within the prison system's legal framework	133
5.4.2	Operational issues within the prison system's legal framework	135
5.5	Mandate emerging from the body of laws	137
5.5.1	Rehabilitation as an important legal mandate	137
5.6	Domestic actors' perceptions of rehabilitation	140
5.7	Conclusion	143
6	PUL-E-CHARKHI: THE CONTEXT	145
6.1	Introduction	145
6.2	The historical context of Pul-e-charkhi	145
6.2.1	The birth of Pul-e-charkhi (the prison project)	146
6.2.2	Pul-e-charkhi under the People's Democratic Party (1978-1991)	149
6.2.3	Pul-e-charkhi under the Mujahidin (1991-1996)	152
6.2.4	Pul-e-charkhi under the Taliban (1996 to 2001)	156
6.3	The political context – post-2001	157
6.3.1	Controversial international investments and the prison system	158
6.3.2	Controversial state policies and the prison system	159
6.3.3	Issues of institutional independence and the prison system	161
6.4	The socio-economic context	162
6.5	Technologies	166
6.6	Some comparative observations regarding Balkh prison	168
6.7	Conclusion	168
7	PUL-E-CHARKHI – THE INSTITUTION	171
7.1	Introduction	171
7.2	Major institutional changes in the prison system since 2001	172
7.2.1	The evolution of militarised prison management	172
7.2.2	Partial demilitarisation, substantive reform, and new problems	173
7.2.3	Reinstating a 'security first' approach to prison management	177
7.3	The prison systems' internal structure	179
7.3.1	Internal structure – national Prison Administration	179
7.3.2	Internal structure – Pul-e-charkhi	181
7.3.2.1	Management meetings	182
7.3.2.2	The Baashees	183
7.3.3	Rehabilitation programmes	184
7.4	The leadership	185
7.4.1	General prison management and leadership	185
7.4.2	Management and leadership of individual programmes	187

7.5	Resources	188
7.5.1	Financial resources	189
7.5.2	Human resources	191
7.5.3	Infrastructure	193
7.6	Some comparative observations regarding Balkh prison	195
7.6.1	Leadership	195
7.6.2	Infrastructure and resources	196
7.7	Conclusion	197
8	PUL-E-CHARKHI: THE PRISONERS AND THE FAILING REHABILITATION PROGRAMMES	201
8.1	Introduction	201
8.2	Prisoner categories and living conditions	201
8.3	The intake process, daily life, and interests of prisoners	205
8.4	Rehabilitation programmes in Pul-e-charkhi	211
8.4.1	Prison-based education and literacy programmes	211
8.4.2	The official school programme	212
8.4.3	The adult literacy programme	214
8.4.4	Madrasa as prison-based education	214
8.4.5	Assessment of target group factors for the education programmes	215
8.4.6	The prison-based work and vocational training programme	217
8.4.7	Assessment of target group factors for the work and vocational training programmes	221
8.5	Prisoners' coping mechanisms	222
8.5.1	Andiwali as a coping mechanism	223
8.5.2	Corruption and prison system faults as coping mechanisms	223
8.6	A comparative observation from Balkh prison	225
8.7	Conclusion	226
9	MAIN CONCLUSIONS	229
9.1	Introduction	229
9.2	Evolution of the prison system's legal mandate	231
9.3	Implementation of the prison system's legal mandate	233
9.4	State-building efforts and their impact on lawmaking and criminal justice	235
9.4.1	State-building	235
9.4.2	Lawmaking	238
9.4.3	The criminal Justice system	240
9.5	Pul-e-charkhi	242
9.6	Lessons from Balkh prison	244

10	EPILOGUE – POST-2020 CHANGES, THE TALIBAN TAKEOVER, AND CHANGES IN THE PRISON SYSTEM	245
10.1	Introduction	245
10.2	The Office of Prison Administration and its challenges	245
10.3	History repeats itself: prison reform follows politics	249
10.4	Prison administration and its operation under the Taliban	251
	SUMMARY	255
	SAMENVATTING (DUTCH SUMMARY)	261
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	269
	CURRICULUM VITAE	287



# Accepted Terms and Abbreviations

AGO	Attorney General's Office
AIBA	Afghan Independent Bar Association
AIHRC	Afghan Independent Human Rights Commission
ANA	Afghan National Army
ANDS	Afghanistan National Development Strategy
ANP	Afghan National Police
ANSF	Afghan National Security Forces
ARTF	Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund
CLJ	Constitutional Loya Jirga
CLRWG	Criminal Law Reform Working Group
CSSP	Correction System Support Program
ELJ	Emergency Loya Jirga
GDPDC	General Directorate of Prisons and Detention Centres
GIRoA	Government of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan
GMAF	Geneva Mutual Accountability Framework
ICRC	International Committee of the Red Cross
IEC	Independent Electoral Commission
IJPO	Italian Justice Project Office
INDS	Interim National Development Strategy
INL	Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs
JCMB	Joint Coordination and Monitoring Board
JRC	Judicial Reform Commission
JSCWG	Justice Sector Consultative Working Group
JSSP	Justice Sector Support Program
MoE	Ministry of Education
MoF	Ministry of Finance
MoI	Ministry of Interior
MoJ	Ministry of Justice
NJSP	National Justice Sector Program
NJSS	National Justice Sector Strategy
NPP	National Priority Plans
NSIA	National Statistic and Information Authority
NTCPP	National Training Centre for Prison Police
PDCSC	Prisons and Detention Centres Supreme Council
PDP	People's Democratic Party
PRR	Priority Restructuring Reform
PWG	Prison Working Group
SIGAR	Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction
TMAF	Tokyo Mutual Accountability Framework

---

TVT	Technical and Vocational Training Directorate
UNAMA	United Nation's Assistance Mission for Afghanistan
UNDP	United Nation's Development Program
UNODC	United Nation's Office for Drugs and Crime