



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Lupus anticoagulant associates with thrombosis in patients with COVID-19 admitted to intensive care units: a retrospective cohort study

Noordermeer, T.; Schutgens, R.E.G.; Visser, C.; Rademaker, E.; Maat, M.P.M. de; Jansen, A.J.G.; ... ; Dutch COVID Thrombosis Coalition (DCTC)

Citation

Noordermeer, T., Schutgens, R. E. G., Visser, C., Rademaker, E., Maat, M. P. M. de, Jansen, A. J. G., ... Urbanus, R. T. (2022). Lupus anticoagulant associates with thrombosis in patients with COVID-19 admitted to intensive care units: a retrospective cohort study. *Research And Practice In Thrombosis And Haemostasis*, 6(6). doi:10.1002/rth2.12809

Version: Publisher's Version


License: [Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3503813>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Lupus anticoagulant associates with thrombosis in patients with COVID-19 admitted to intensive care units: A retrospective cohort study

Tessa Noordermeer MSc¹   | Roger E. G. Schutgens MD, PhD¹  |
 Chantal Visser MD²  | Emma Rademaker MD³  | Moniek P. M. de Maat PhD²  |
 A. J. Gerard Jansen MD, PhD²  | Maarten Limper MD, PhD⁴  |
 Olaf L. Cremer MD, PhD⁵  | Marieke J. H. A. Kruij MD, PhD²  |
 Henrik Endeman MD, PhD⁶  | Coen Maas PhD⁷  | Bas de Laat PhD⁸  |
 Rolf T. Urbanus PhD¹  | the Dutch COVID & Thrombosis Coalition (DCTC)

¹Center for Benign Hematology, Thrombosis and Haemostasis, Van Creveldkliniek, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

²Department of Hematology, Erasmus MC, Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

³Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁴Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁵Intensive Care Center, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁶Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

⁷Central Diagnostic Laboratory, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁸Department of Biochemistry, Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands, Synapse Research Institute, Maastricht, The Netherlands

Correspondence

Rolf T. Urbanus, University Medical Center Utrecht, Van Creveldkliniek, Room C01.428, PO Box 85500, 3508 GA, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
 Email: r.t.urbanus@umcutrecht.nl

Funding information

Trombosetichting Nederland, Grant/Award Number: 2018-03 and 2020_A; ZonMw, Grant/Award Number: 10430012010004

Handling Editor: Dr Neil Zakai

Abstract

Background: Thrombosis is a frequent and severe complication in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU). Lupus anticoagulant (LA) is a strong acquired risk factor for thrombosis in various diseases and is frequently observed in patients with COVID-19. Whether LA is associated with thrombosis in patients with severe COVID-19 is currently unclear.

Objective: To investigate if LA is associated with thrombosis in critically ill patients with COVID-19.

Patients/Methods: The presence of LA and other antiphospholipid antibodies was assessed in patients with COVID-19 admitted to the ICU. LA was determined with dilute Russell's viper venom time (dRVVT) and LA-sensitive activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) reagents.

Results: Of 169 patients with COVID-19, 116 (69%) tested positive for at least one antiphospholipid antibody upon admission to the ICU. Forty (24%) patients tested positive for LA; of whom 29 (17%) tested positive with a dRVVT, 19 (11%) tested positive

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2022 The Authors. *Research and Practice in Thrombosis and Haemostasis* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH).

with an LA-sensitive aPTT, and 8 (5%) tested positive on both tests. Fifty-eight (34%) patients developed thrombosis after ICU admission. The odds ratio (OR) for thrombosis in patients with LA based on a dRVVT was 2.5 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.1–5.7), which increased to 4.5 (95% CI, 1.4–14.3) in patients at or below the median age in this study (64 years). LA positivity based on a dRVVT or LA-sensitive aPTT was only associated with thrombosis in patients aged less than 65 years (OR, 3.8; 95% CI, 1.3–11.4) and disappeared after adjustment for C-reactive protein.

Conclusion: Lupus anticoagulant on admission is strongly associated with thrombosis in critically ill patients with COVID-19, especially in patients aged less than 65 years.

KEYWORDS

COVID-19, critically ill, lupus anticoagulant, risk factor, thrombosis

Essentials

- Lupus anticoagulant (LA) is frequently observed in critically ill patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).
- It is not known whether the presence of LA is associated with thrombosis in patients with COVID-19.
- LA and other antiphospholipid antibodies were determined in critically ill patients with COVID-19.
- We found a strong association between LA and thrombosis that disappeared after adjusting for C-reactive protein.

1 | INTRODUCTION

In the first years of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, many patients with severe COVID-19 developed arterial or venous thrombosis. The incidence of thrombosis is highest in patients with COVID-19 admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU).¹ The profound coagulopathy observed in patients with COVID-19 coincides with a prolonged activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) in 20% of cases.² In general, a prolonged aPTT is linked to a clotting factor deficiency or the presence of an inhibitor of coagulation such as antibodies against factor VIII (FVIII), heparin use, or, depending on the aPTT reagent, lupus anticoagulant (LA). The latter seems to occur frequently in the context of COVID-19; a recent study shows that 91% of these prolonged aPTTs is related to LA.² LA is defined as a phospholipid-dependent prolongation of the clotting time caused by (auto)antibodies directed to protein-phospholipid complexes.³ These so-called antiphospholipid antibodies are known to interfere with both procoagulant and anticoagulant processes. LA has mainly been attributed to autoantibodies against the phospholipid-binding plasma proteins β 2GPI or prothrombin, which form the central antigens in the rare autoimmune disease antiphospholipid syndrome (APS).^{4–6} However, other antibodies directed toward phospholipid-binding proteins can cause LA as well. The presence of LA is a strong acquired risk factor for thrombosis.^{7,8} In severe cases, LA causes thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA), known as catastrophic APS. This life-threatening form of APS is characterized by rapidly progressive and widespread vascular occlusions leading to multiple organ failure.⁹ Severe COVID-19 infections are complicated by TMA-like pathology, which might be related to the presence of antiphospholipid antibodies and thus the LA phenomenon.

Until now, it was unclear whether the presence of LA is associated with thrombosis in critically ill patients with COVID-19. Recent

literature describes the presence of antiphospholipid antibodies in patients with COVID-19.^{2,10–16} However, these studies failed to show an association between the presence of antiphospholipid antibodies and thrombosis in patients with COVID-19. Several studies were limited to the detection of anti- β 2GPI or anticardiolipin IgM and IgG as citrated plasma samples were not available.^{12,14,15} Moreover, the number of patients included in these studies is often limited.^{10,14} Further investigation into the risk factors for thrombosis in COVID-19, and the possible role that LA plays in this process, can provide valuable insights for clinical practice of patients with COVID-19. Obtaining this knowledge is essential for decision making concerning the intensity of thromboprophylaxis or treatment of thrombosis, especially in critically ill patients admitted to the ICU who are at highest thrombotic risk.

In this study, we investigated whether the presence of LA is associated with thrombosis in a cohort of patients with COVID-19 who were admitted to the ICU. Furthermore, we focused on the identification of the causal antibody population using solid-phase binding assays with phospholipids and phospholipid-binding proteins, including β 2-glycoprotein I and prothrombin, the known antigens for LA-inducing autoantibodies.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Patient population

Patients aged 18 years and older with confirmed COVID-19 virus infection who were admitted to the ICU of the University Medical Center (UMC) Utrecht or Erasmus Medical Center (Erasmus MC) Rotterdam for respiratory support (mechanical ventilation or Optiflow Nasal High Flow therapy) between March 2020 and March

2021 with a hospital length of stay greater than 7 days (including their stay at ward afterwards) were eligible for inclusion in this retrospective multicenter cohort study. The COVID-19 diagnosis was confirmed with a reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction real-time assay of nasal and pharyngeal swabs. Patients were excluded if (i) they had a thrombotic event within 3 months before ICU admission, (ii) they were on direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs), or (iii) died within 7 days after ICU uptake. All patients received either prophylactic, high prophylactic, or therapeutic dose low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) (dalteparin or nadroparin) or unfractionated heparin. Thrombotic complications, including pulmonary embolism (PE), deep vein thrombosis (DVT), jugular vein thrombosis, myocardial infarction, stroke, and arterial thrombosis, were confirmed with computed tomography pulmonary angiography or duplex ultrasound. Diagnosis of myocardial infarction was based on (i) a typical rise and fall of troponin or creatine kinase-MB, and (ii) at least one of: chest pain or other clinical correlates of myocardial ischemia, ST depression or elevation on electrocardiogram (ECG), new left bundle-branch block or new pathological Q-waves on ECG, or findings of significant coronary artery disease or new culprit lesion on percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. Importantly, in the Erasmus MC, routine computed tomography pulmonary angiography was performed in all patients before admission to the ICU, whereas in the UMC Utrecht, diagnostic tests were applied only if thrombotic complications were clinically suspected. The indication and timing of computed tomography pulmonary angiography in the UMC Utrecht was determined by alterations in clinical markers of PE including unexplained hemodynamic deterioration, right ventricular strain, worsening P/F-ratio or increasing CO₂ gap. Patients were followed until they died, until transfer to another hospital, or until discharge from the ward with a maximum of 2 weeks after ICU discharge. Baseline data on coagulation (prothrombin time (PT), aPTT, fibrinogen, platelet count, D-dimer) and inflammation (C-reactive protein (CRP)) and preexisting comorbidities were extracted from the electronic patient file. Informed consent was obtained by an opt-out approach. The study was approved by the local medical ethical committees of the UMC Utrecht and Erasmus MC and was performed on behalf of the Dutch COVID & Thrombosis Coalition.¹⁷

2.2 | Plasma samples

The first available citrated blood sample (3.2% sodium citrate) that was drawn after ICU admission was used. Plasma was frozen at -80°C at the local biobank. Plasma samples were thawed before analyses, which were completed within 4 h of sample thawing.

2.3 | Lupus anticoagulant assay

Lupus anticoagulant testing was performed according to ISTH guidelines¹⁸ with STA-Staclot screen and confirm dilute Russell's viper venom time (dRVVT) reagents (Stago), and HemosIL Silica Clotting

Time (Werfen/Instrumentation Laboratories) LA-sensitive aPTT reagents. All assays were performed on a MC10-plus coagulometer (Merlin Medical) at 37°C. Patient plasma was mixed 1:1 with pooled normal plasma (PNP) to exclude coagulation factor deficiencies and dilute heparins present in the sample. Normalized LA (nLA) ratios were expressed as (screen clotting time of patient/screen clotting time of PNP)/(confirm clotting time of patient/confirm clotting time of PNP). Samples were considered LA positive when clotting times were prolonged (LA screen time greater than the 99th percentile of time recorded for 40 healthy volunteers) and the nLA ratio exceeded 1.11 for dRVVT or 1.18 for aPTT. All reagents contained heparin neutralizing agents, allowing reliable outcomes at heparin levels up to 1 IU/ml for dRVVT and 0.4 IU/ml for LA-sensitive aPTT as determined with an anti-activated factor X assay.

2.4 | Antiphospholipid IgM and IgG determination

Quantitative values of anticardiolipin and anti-β2GPI IgG and IgM were measured with Cardiolipin IgM/IgG ELISA (IBL International GmbH) or IMTEC β2GPI antibodies IgG/IgM ELISA (Clindia Benelux BV) with a cutoff value for positivity on 12.0 GPL/ml for anticardiolipin IgG, 7.0 MPL/ml for anticardiolipin IgM, 7.0 GPL/ml for anti-β2GPI IgG, and 7.0 MPL/ml for β2GPI IgM, based on a nonparametric 99th percentile of 120 reference individuals. Quantitative values of antiphosphatidylserine/prothrombin (aPS/PT) IgG and IgM were measured with QUANTA Lite ELISA (Inova Diagnostics) with a cutoff value for positivity on 30 units/ml based on the manufacturer's recommendations.

2.5 | Statistical analysis

A statistical power analysis was performed for sample size estimation. Based on a 3.6-fold increased risk for venous thromboembolism (VTE) in LA carriers in the general population,⁷ an incidence of thrombosis of 30% in patients with COVID-19 admitted to the ICU¹ and a prevalence of LA of 20% in patients with COVID-19,² a sample size of at least 165 patients was required to estimate the risk of thrombosis in LA carriers with 80% power and two-tailed significance level of 0.05. Continuous variables are presented as medians and range, and categorical variables are presented as counts (*n*) and frequencies (%). A Mann-Whitney test was used to compare two groups of continuous variables, and χ^2 test was used to determine differences between categorical variables. Statistical analysis to study the association of LA and other antiphospholipid antibodies with thrombosis occurrence was performed using logistic regression. Risk for thrombosis associated with LA are reported as odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). We checked for potential confounders including sex, body mass index (BMI), length of stay at the ICU, (duration of) mechanical ventilation, and comorbidities as listed in Table 1. In addition, we checked for potential effect modifiers including age, BMI, sex, comorbidities as listed in Table 1,

length of stay at the ICU, intensity of anticoagulation, D-dimer, CRP, fibrinogen, platelet count, and mechanical ventilation. All analyses were performed with SPSS Statistics version 27.0 (IBM).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Patient characteristics

Between March 2020 and March 2021, 311 patients with suspected COVID-19 were admitted to the ICU of the UMC Utrecht. Of these patients, 48 patients were not eligible for inclusion because COVID-19 infection could not be confirmed, 34 patients because of thrombosis before admission to the ICU, 46 patients because their hospital length of stay was too short, and 9 patients because of use of DOACs. A total of 174 of 311 patients from the UMC Utrecht met the inclusion criteria, of whom only 119 patients (68%) could be analyzed due to missing blood samples. Age, sex, and thrombotic complications were similar for patients with or without available blood samples. An additional random sample of 50 of 113 critically ill patients with COVID-19 from the Erasmus MC who met the inclusion criteria and for whom a citrated plasma sample was available were included to achieve a total of 169 patients. Baseline characteristics of these 169 patients are presented in [Table 1](#). The median age was 64 years (range, 18–81) and 136 (80%) patients were men. The median stay at the ICU was 17 days (range, 2–181) and 156 (92%) patients received mechanical ventilation. Although all patients received at least standard-dose thromboprophylaxis, 58 (34%) patients developed thrombotic complications during their stay at the ICU (median of 10 days after ICU admission; range, 1–74). PE was the most common thrombotic complication ($n = 47$) followed by VTE, which includes DVT ($n = 5$) and jugular vein thrombosis ($n = 4$). Arterial thrombosis ($n = 3$), stroke ($n = 2$), and myocardial infarction ($n = 1$) were less frequently observed. Hypertension ($n = 53$) was the most frequent comorbidity in this cohort of patients with COVID-19, followed by cardiovascular disease ($n = 37$), diabetes ($n = 37$), and previous thrombosis ($n = 23$). None of the patients with a history of thrombosis had been diagnosed with APS.

3.2 | Clinical outcomes

As expected, patients who developed thrombosis showed higher levels of markers of inflammation CRP and coagulopathy (D-dimer) compared to patients without thrombosis ([Table 2](#)). Median CRP was 154.5 mg/L in patients with thrombosis and 70 mg/L in patients without thrombosis. Median D-dimer was 5.9 mg/L (UMC Utrecht) or 3.2 mg/L (Erasmus MC) in patients with thrombosis and 1.2 mg/L (UMC Utrecht and Erasmus MC) in patients without thrombosis. In addition, we found a prolonged PT in patients (from UMC Utrecht) who developed thrombosis versus patients who did not develop a thrombotic event. BMI was lower in patients who developed a

thrombotic event (median, 27.3) compared to patients who did not develop thrombosis (median, 28.9). No differences in age, aPTT, platelet count, and fibrinogen were observed between patients with and without thrombosis. Heparin levels were below the threshold for sample exclusion in all samples for dRVVT testing, while heparin levels exceeded the threshold in 22 samples for LA-sensitive aPTT testing.

Lupus anticoagulant, anticardiolipin, anti- β 2GPI, and anti-PS/PT IgM and IgG were determined in all patients ([Table 3](#)). Of the 169 patients, 117 patients (69%) tested positive for at least one type of antiphospholipid antibody. Forty patients (24%) tested positive for LA, of whom 29 (17%) tested positive with a dRVVT, 19 (11%) tested positive with a LA-sensitive aPTT, and 8 (5%) tested positive on both tests. Of all antiphospholipid antibodies, IgG and IgM antibodies directed towards β 2GPI were most frequently observed, in 38% and 29% of patients, respectively. Only one patient tested positive for aPS/PT IgG. Two patients had moderate (40–80 GPL/SGU) and one patient had high (>80 GPL/SGU) anti-cardiolipin IgG titers, and one patient had moderate titers of anti- β 2GPI IgM antibodies (40–80 MPL/SMU). Thrombosis occurred only in the patient with high titers of anticardiolipin IgG. Eight patients were triple positive (tested positive for LA, anticardiolipin, and anti- β 2GPI antibodies, same isotype) and four patients were tetra positive (triple positive including aPS/PT antibodies). D-dimer levels were elevated in patients tested positive for LA (4.3 mg/L) compared to patients tested negative for LA (1.5 mg/L) ($p < 0.001$).

According to guidelines for LA testing, samples are positive for LA when LA is detected with either a dRVVT or an LA-sensitive aPTT. Although LA detected with a dRVVT was associated with thrombosis (OR, 2.4; 95% CI, 1.1–5.4), LA detected with an LA-sensitive aPTT was not (OR, 1.3; 95% CI, 0.5–3.3) ([Table 3](#)). Positivity for either test was also not associated with thrombosis (OR, 1.6; 95% CI, 0.8–3.3). Two of eight triple-positive patients and one of four tetra-positive patients had thrombosis. Neither anticardiolipin, anti- β 2GPI, or aPS/PT antibodies were associated with thrombosis. The association of antiphospholipid antibodies with thrombosis remained similar after adjusting ORs for the confounding variables sex, length of stay at the ICU, history of arterial or venous thrombosis, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia ([Table 3](#)). Because high CRP levels can influence LA-test results in the aPTT system¹⁹ and CRP-levels were high in the study population, we adjusted for CRP. However, this had no effect on the risk of thrombosis associated with a positive LA-sensitive aPTT outcome (OR, 0.9; 95% CI, 0.3–2.4). After adjustment for CRP in patients with a positive LA based on the dRVVT, the association between LA and thrombosis disappeared (OR, 1.1; 95% CI, 0.4–3.0). Only a few patients tested positive for both tests, precluding analysis of the risk of thrombosis in these patients.

Stratifying patients by BMI, sex, history of venous or arterial thrombosis, length of stay at the ICU, intensity of anticoagulation, or the traditional cardiovascular risk factors had no effect on the risk of thrombosis associated with a positive LA test result (results not shown). Age had a strong effect on the risk of thrombosis associated with LA positivity ([Table 4](#)). As the median age was

TABLE 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of 169 patients with COVID-19

Characteristic or finding	Thrombosis (N = 58)	No thrombosis (N = 111)	p value
Age, years, median (range)	64 (25–80)	65 (18–81)	0.7
Male sex, n (%)	47 (81)	89 (80)	0.9
BMI, median (range)	27 (20–42)	29 (19–57)	0.02
Taking anticoagulants at admission, ^a n (%)	7 (12)	1 (1)	0.2
Length of stay in the ICU, days, median (range)	25 (3–181)	16 (2–61)	0.007
Mechanical ventilation, n (%)	54 (93)	102 (92)	0.8
Duration, days, median (range)	21 (0–175)	11 (0–55)	0.001
ECMO, n (%)	1 (2)	2 (2)	1.0
Medical history/comorbidity - n (%)			
Cardiovascular disease	11 (19)	26 (23)	0.5
Hypertension	15 (26)	38 (34)	0.3
Thrombosis	8 (14)	15 (14)	1.0
Diabetes	9 (16)	28 (25)	0.1
Active cancer	0 (0)	0 (0)	–
Renal disease	2 (3)	10 (9)	0.2
Hypercholesterolemia	4 (7)	9 (8)	0.8
Cerebrovascular disease	6 (10)	3 (3)	0.04
Anticoagulation therapy upon ICU admission, n (%)			
LMWH prophylactic	25 (43)	25 (23)	0.005
LMWH high-intensity prophylactic	22 (38)	70 (63)	0.002
LMWH therapeutic	4 (7)	10 (9)	0.6
UFH therapeutic	7 (12)	6 (5)	0.1
Thrombosis during ICU stay, n (%)			
Pulmonary embolism	47 (81)	–	–
Deep vein thrombosis	5 (9)	–	–
Jugular vein thrombosis	4 (7)	–	–
Arterial thrombosis	3 (5)	–	–
Stroke	2 (3)	–	–
Myocardial infarction	1 (2)	–	–
Time between ICU admission and sample availability, days, median (range)	2 (0–37)	3 (0–27)	0.2
Time between ICU admission and thrombotic event, days, median (range)	10 (1–74)	–	–

Note: Data of BMI are missing for 4 patients.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; ICU, intensive care unit; LMWH, low-molecular-weight heparin; UFH, unfractionated heparin.

^aAnticoagulants include vitamin K antagonists and therapeutic LMWH.

64 years, we looked into the effect of LA positivity on thrombosis in patients aged less than 65 years ($N = 85$) and in patients aged 65 years or older ($N = 84$). In LA-positive patients aged less than 65 years, the odds for thrombosis were 3.8-fold higher compared with LA-negative patients of the same age (OR, 3.8; 95% CI, 1.3–11.4). The OR for thrombosis increased to 4.5 (95% CI, 1.4–14.3) in dRVVT-positive patients aged less than 65 years compared with dRVVT-negative patients of the same age. There was no

association between LA and thrombosis in patients aged 65 years or older. Age did not change the risk for thrombosis in patients with a positive LA-sensitive aPTT test.

As LA is caused by antiphospholipid antibodies, we investigated the association between the classical antiphospholipid antibodies and the occurrence of LA. Of all antiphospholipid antibodies, LA was associated only with IgM antibodies directed toward cardiolipin (OR, 6.0; 95% CI, 2.0–18.0) and β 2GPI (OR, 2.2; 95% CI, 1.1–4.7).

TABLE 2 Laboratory results of 169 patients with COVID-19 on day of sample collection

Laboratory parameter	Thrombosis (N = 58)	No thrombosis (N = 111)	Reference range	p value
Coagulation assays, median (range)				
PT (s)				
UMC Utrecht	14.8 (11.9–20.9)	14.3 (11.7–32.6)	10.0–13.0	0.03
Erasmus MC	13.2 (10.9–18.2)	13.2 (11.5–15.8)	10.9–13.3	0.7
aPTT (s) ^a				
UMC Utrecht	39.0 (27.0–60.0)	35.0 (23.0–105.0)	24.0–34.0	0.2
Erasmus MC	32.0 (20.0–70.0)	25.0 (18.0–73.0)	22.0–32.0	0.3
Fibrinogen (g/L), median (range)	6.7 (1.8–11.9)	5.8 (2.7–10.0)	2.0–4.0	0.1
D-dimer (mg/L), median (range)				
UMC Utrecht	5.9 (0.4–100.0)	1.2 (0.2–34.0)	0.00–0.50	<0.0001
Erasmus MC	3.2 (0.2–15.2)	1.2 (0.3–35.2)	0.00–0.50	0.05
CRP (mg/L), median (range)	154.5 (1.4–480.0)	70 (1.4–432.0)	0–10	<0.0001
Platelet count (×10 ⁹ /L), median (range)	259 (51–842)	283 (96–611)	150–450	0.4
Anti-FXa levels, ^b n				
<0.50 IU/ml	39	56		
0.50–0.99 IU/ml	19	54		
1.00–1.39 IU/ml	0	1		

Note: Data of PT are missing for 8 patients, aPTT for 46 patients, fibrinogen for 33 patients, D-dimer for 34 patients, CRP for 2 patients, and platelet count for 4 patients.

Abbreviations: aPTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; CRP, C-reactive protein; ICU, intensive care unit; PT, prothrombin time.

^aLA-insensitive aPTT reagent was used.

^bDetermined before mixing with normal plasma. Different assays were used for PT, aPTT, and D-dimer determination, so data for UMC Utrecht and Erasmus MC were separated.

Antiphospholipid antibody, n	Thrombosis (N = 58)	No thrombosis (N = 111)	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI) ^b
LA positive, any test	17	23	1.6 (0.8–3.3)	1.6 (0.8–3.4)
dRVVT	15	14	2.4 (1.1–5.4)	2.5 (1.1–5.7)
LA-sensitive aPTT ^a	8	11	1.3 (0.5–3.3)	1.2 (0.4–3.4)
Both tests positive	6	2	NA	NA
aCL IgM	2	13	0.3 (0.1–1.2)	0.2 (0.1–1.1)
aCL IgG	3	11	0.5 (0.1–1.9)	0.4 (0.1–1.4)
aβ2GPI IgM	19	30	1.3 (0.7–2.6)	1.5 (0.7–3.1)
aβ2GPI IgG	22	42	1.0 (0.5–1.9)	1.0 (0.5–1.9)
aPS/PT IgM	11	24	0.8 (0.4–1.9)	1.0 (0.4–2.2)
aPS/PT IgG	0	1	NA	NA

TABLE 3 Antiphospholipid antibodies and their association with thrombosis

Abbreviations: aCL, anticardiolipin antibody; aPS/PT, antiphosphatidylserine/prothrombin antibody; aPTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; aβ2GPI, anti-β2-glycoprotein I antibody; dRVVT, dilute Russell's viper venom time; LA, lupus anticoagulant; NA, not analyzed; OR, odds ratio.

^aData were missing in 22 patients (3 patients with thrombosis, 19 patients without thrombosis) due to activated FX levels that exceeded the threshold for reliable LA-sensitive aPTT test outcome.

^bAdjusted for the confounding variables sex, length of stay at the ICU, history of arterial or venous thrombosis, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia.

4 | DISCUSSION

In our cohort of critically ill patients with COVID-19, the presence of LA was associated with thrombosis in patients aged less than

65 years. LA was not associated with thrombosis in older patients.

We investigated the association of LA and thrombosis in patients with COVID-19 in a large and well-documented group, allowing proper statistical analysis. Bowles et al.² were the first who

TABLE 4 Lupus anticoagulant and the association with thrombosis in relation to age

	LA positive	Thrombosis	No thrombosis	Adjusted OR ^a (95% CI)
Patients <65 years (N = 85)	Any test	13	8	3.8 (1.3–11.4)
	dRVVT	12	6	4.5 (1.4–14.3)
Patients ≥65 years (N = 84)	Any test	4	15	0.6 (0.2–2.3)
	dRVVT	3	8	1.0 (0.2–4.7)

Abbreviations: dRVVT, dilute Russell's viper venom time; LA, lupus anticoagulant; OR, odds ratio.

^aAdjusted for the confounding variables sex, length of stay at the ICU, history of arterial or venous thrombosis, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia.

mentioned LA in patients with COVID-19 with a prolonged aPTT. They tested 35 patients with COVID-19 with a prolonged aPTT and found 91% LA positive. Harzallah et al.²⁰ measured antiphospholipid antibodies in 56 patients with COVID-19 and found 25 patients LA positive, and 5 patients anticardiolipin antibody or anti-β2GPI antibody positive. Devreese et al.¹⁰ described the incidence of LA, anticardiolipin, anti-β2GPI, and aPS/PT antibodies and their association with thrombosis in 31 patients with COVID-19 admitted to the ICU. In contrast with our results, they did not find a clear relationship between LA and thrombosis, probably due to their small sample size. As we did not only include LA-positive patients or patients with a suspected positive LA,¹¹ our results are more generalizable to other patients with COVID-19 admitted to the ICU.

Classically, LA is detected with at least two different tests based on a different clotting test principle because no single test is 100% sensitive for all types of LA.¹⁸ Our results indicate that LA detected with a dRVVT was associated with thrombosis in critically ill patients with COVID-19, while LA detected with an LA-sensitive aPTT reagent was not. The aPTT, which measures the intrinsic pathway of coagulation, is inherently more sensitive to interference than the dRVVT, which measures the common pathway of coagulation. For instance, increased FVIII levels, which are frequently observed in patients with COVID-19, can cause false-negative results within an LA-sensitive aPTT.^{18,21} Unfortunately, we were unable to measure FVIII activity in our samples. Moreover, high levels of CRP are known to cause false-positive LA test results in an aPTT-based system, not in a dRVVT.¹⁹ However, the difference in association with thrombosis between dRVVT and aPTT test results in our study could not be explained by the lower sensitivity of the dRVVT test for CRP than the LA-sensitive aPTT test, as correction for CRP did not change the OR for thrombosis in aPTT-positive patients. The reason behind the difference in risk associated with dRVVT and aPTT positivity remains to be determined. Our results show that the association of a positive LA with thrombosis is stronger in younger patients. Although data in the literature is limited, it has been suggested that the prevalence of nonpathogenic antiphospholipid antibodies increases with age.²² Interestingly, the association between LA and thrombosis disappeared after adjustment for CRP. CRP is a marker of acute inflammation and is increased in patients with COVID-19. Moreover, CRP levels are positively correlated with disease severity and mortality in patients with COVID-19 and have been shown to be predictive of the risk of thrombosis.²³ The presence of LA

might also reflect an inflammatory status, as transient LA positivity is reported after infections.²⁴ Moreover, LA was associated with the presence of IgM antiphospholipid antibodies. As IgM antibodies typically appear in the early phase of an immune response, this fits with a status of LA as "infection-related antibody." In general, these infection-associated antiphospholipid antibodies are considered to be nonpathogenic.^{25,26} Devreese et al.¹⁰ retested antiphospholipid antibodies in a small cohort of patients with COVID-19 after 3 months and found that all but one patient tested negative, suggesting that the presence of antiphospholipid antibodies in patients with COVID-19 is transient as well. This does not exclude a causal relationship between LA and thrombosis in COVID-19.

The prevalence of LA in this study is 24%, which is much higher than the prevalence of LA in the healthy population (<1%). A high incidence of LA positivity has previously been reported in critically ill patients without COVID-19 (52.9%).²⁷ In that study, LA developed after a prolonged stay at the ICU, with a median time to LA positivity after admission to ICU of 13 days.²⁷ In contrast, the critically ill patients with COVID-19 enrolled in our study were LA positive within a median of 1.5 days after ICU admission, suggesting that patients were LA positive before ICU admission. This is in line with the high incidence of LA in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 reported by others.² Our results apply only to patients with COVID-19 variants that were encountered early in the pandemic; it remains to be determined if LA positivity is observed with similar rates in patients with other COVID-19 variants. Moreover, we have no information regarding ethnicity or race in our study population. This might be an implication, as race and ethnicity are associated with risk of VTE in patients with COVID-19.²⁸ Evidence has shown that patients with more than one positive test, and particularly those patients with triple positivity (LA, anticardiolipin, and anti-β2GPI antibodies, same isotype), show the strongest association with thrombotic and obstetric complications.²⁵ In our study, eight patients were triple positive, of which four patients also tested positive for aPS/PT, also known as tetra positivity. Unfortunately, these numbers are too low to determine any association with thrombosis.

The switch from prophylactic anticoagulation at the start of the COVID-19 crisis in March/April 2020 toward high-intensity prophylactic or therapeutic anticoagulation later on, is reflected in the number of thrombotic complications: Whereas 50% of patients on prophylactic anticoagulation developed a thrombotic event, only 28% of patients on high-intensity prophylactic or therapeutic

anticoagulation developed thrombosis. However, the intensity of anticoagulation did not change the risk of thrombosis associated with a positive LA result. A limitation of our study is that the incidence of thrombosis in our study might be underestimated, which might have led to an underestimation of the true association between LA and thrombosis. First, VTE is more difficult to recognize in intubated patients with a higher threshold to perform diagnostic imaging tests because of strict isolation protocols. If all patients had been screened for thrombosis during their stay at the ICU, we might have detected more thrombotic events. Second, although we excluded patients with a recognized thrombosis at ICU admission, routine computed tomography with angiography before admission to the ICU was only performed at the Erasmus MC. Therefore, we cannot exclude that some patients already had thrombosis before enrollment. Third, the information on thrombotic events was limited to the information present, and no follow-up data of the patients transferred to other hospitals were available. Moreover, patients who died of thromboembolic events could have been missed, as autopsy was not routinely performed.

To conclude, LA, as measured on admission to the ICU, is associated with thrombotic complications in critically ill patients with COVID-19 in an age-dependent manner, with a strong association in the younger patients.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

T. Noordermeer, C. Visser, and E. Rademaker collected the data. T. Noordermeer performed the experiments. T. Noordermeer, R. E. G. Schutgens, and R. T. Urbanus analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. R. E. G. Schutgens, M. P. M. de Maat, A. J. G. Jansen, O. L. Cremer, M. Limper, M. J. H. A. Kruip, H. Endeman, C. Maas, B. de Laat, and R. T. Urbanus designed the study. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was funded by grants of the Netherlands Thrombosis Foundation (2020_A & 2018-03) and the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (project number 10430012010004).

Consortium Members Dutch COVID & Thrombosis Coalition: Amsterdam University Medical Center: Location AMC; Prof. Dr. D. van de Beek, neurologist; Dr. M. C. Brouwer, neurologist; Dr. S. de Bruin, PhD candidate; Dr. M. Coppens, internist vascular medicine; Dr. N. van Es, PhD candidate; Dr. T. F. van Haaps, PhD candidate, Department of Vascular Medicine; Prof. Dr. N. P. Juffermans, intensivist; Dr. M. C. A. Muller, intensivist; Prof. Dr. A. P. J. Vlaar, intensivist. Location VUMC: Prof. Dr. C. M. P. M. Hertogh, nursing home specialist; Prof. Dr. L. M. A. Heunks, professor intensive care; Dr. J. G. Hugtenburg, pharmacologist; Dr. J. van Kooten, nursing home specialist; Dr. E. J. Nossent, pulmonologist; Prof. Dr. Y. Smulders, internist; Dr. P. R. Tuinman, intensivist; Dr. A. Vonk Noordegraaf, pulmonologist. Amphia Hospital: Dr. M. J. J. H. Grootenboers, pulmonologist; Dr. C van Guldener, internist; Dr. M. Kant, pulmonologist. Argos Zorggroep: Dr. A. Lansbergen, physiotherapist.

Deventer Hospital: Dr. J. Faber, coordinator of the Research Department; Dr. G. Hajer, internist vascular medicine. Dr. A. Stemerink, intensivist. Erasmus University Medical Center: Dr. J. van den Akker, intensivist; Dr. R. Bierings, cellular biologist, Department of Hematology; Dr. H. Endeman, intensivist; Dr. M. Goeijenbier, internal medicine, Department of Viroscience; Dr. N. G. M. Hunfeld, hospital Pharmacist; Prof. Dr. E. C. M. van Gorp, infectious diseases specialist, Department of Viroscience; Prof. Dr. D. A. M. P. J. Gommers, intensivist; Prof. Dr. M.P.G. Koopmans, veterinarian-virologist, Department of Viroscience; Dr. M. J. H. A. Kruip, hematologist; Prof. Dr. T. Kuiken, professor of comparative pathology, Department of Viroscience; Dr. T. Langerak, PhD candidate, Department of Viroscience; Prof. Dr. Leebeek, professor of hemostasis and thrombosis; Dr. M. N. Lauw, hematologist, Department of Hematology; Prof. Dr. M. P. M. de Maat, biochemist, Department of Hematology; Dr. D. Noack, PhD candidate i.o. Department of Viroscience; Dr. M.S. Paats, pulmonologist; Dr. M. P. Raadsen, PhD candidate, Department of Viroscience; Dr. B. Rockx, assistant professor, Department of Viroscience; Dr. C. Rokx, infectious diseases specialist; Dr. C. A. M. Schurink, infectious diseases specialist; Dr. K. Tong-Minh, PhD candidate, Department of Viroscience; Dr. L. van den Toorn, pulmonologist; Dr. C. A. den Uil, cardiologist-intensivist; Dr. C. Visser, PhD candidate, Department of Hematology; Farmadam: Dr. F. Boutkourt, PhD candidate; Dr. T. Roest, pharmacist; Flevoziekenhuis: Dr. R. A. Douma, infectious diseases specialist; Dr. L. R. de Haan, PhD candidate; Dr. M. ten Wolde, internist vascular medicine; Hospital de Gelderse Vallei: Dr. R. H. H. Bemelmans, internist; Dr. B. Festen, intensivist; Ikazia Hospital: Dr. S. Stads, intensivist; Jeroen Bosch Hospital: Dr. C. P. C. de Jager, intensivist; Dr. K.S. Simons, intensivist; Leids University Medical Center: Drs. M. L. Antoni, cardiologist, Department of Cardiology; Dr. M. H. Bos, biochemicus, associate professor, Department of Medicine–Thrombosis and Hemostasis; Drs. J. L. I. Burggraaf, PhD candidate, Department of Clinical Epidemiology; Prof. S. C. Cannegieter, clinical epidemiologist, Department of Medicine–Thrombosis and Hemostasis and Department of Clinical Epidemiology; Prof. Dr. H. C. J. Eikenboom, hematologist/internist vascular medicine, Department of Medicine–Thrombosis and Hemostasis; Dr. P. L. den Exter, vascular medicine specialist, Department of Medicine–Thrombosis and Hemostasis; Dr. J. J. M. Geelhoed, pulmonologist, Department of Pulmonology; Prof. Dr. M. V. Huisman, internist vascular medicine, Department of Medicine–Thrombosis and Hemostasis; Prof. E. de Jonge, internist-intensivist, Department of Intensive Care Medicine; Dr. F. H. J. Kaptein, PhD candidate, Department of Medicine–Thrombosis and Hemostasis; Dr. F. A. Klok, internist vascular medicine, Department of Medicine–Thrombosis and Hemostasis; Dr. L. J. M. Kroft, radiologist, Department of Radiology; Dr. W. M. Lijfering, clinical epidemiologist, Department of Medicine–Thrombosis and Hemostasis; Dr. L. Nab, PhD candidate, Department of Clinical Epidemiology; Dr. M. K. Ninaber, pulmonologist, Department of Pulmonology; Prof. Dr. H. Putter, statistician, Department of Biomedical Data Sciences; Dr. S. R. S. Ramai, pulmonologist, Department of Pulmonology; Dr. A. M.











da Rocha Rondon, postdoctoral researcher, Department of Medicine–Thrombosis and Hemostasis; Dr. A. H. E. Roukens, infectious diseases specialist, Department of Infectious Diseases; Dr. M. A. M. Stals, PhD candidate, Department of Medicine–Thrombosis and Hemostasis; Prof. Dr. H. H. Versteeg, cellular biologist, Department of Medicine–Thrombosis and Hemostasis; Dr. H. W. Vliegen, cardiologist, Department of Cardiology; Dr. B. J. M. van Vlijmen, cellular biologist, Department of Medicine–Thrombosis and Hemostasis. Maastricht University Medical Center: Dr. T. van de Berg, PhD candidate; Dr. R. Bruggemann, PhD candidate; Dr. B. C. T. van Bussel, internist-intensivist; Prof. Dr. H. ten Cate, internist; Dr. A. ten Cate-Hoek, clinical epidemiologist and medical director of Thrombosis Service Maastricht; Prof. Dr. T. M. Hackeng, biochemist; Dr. ir. Y. Henskens, clinical chemist; Dr. A. Hulshof, PhD candidate; Dr. M. Mulder, PhD candidate; Dr. R. H. Olie, internist vascular medicine; Prof. Dr. L. Schurgers, biochemist; Dr. B. Spaetgens, internist subspecialised in geriatrics; Dr. H. Spronk, biochemist; Prof. Dr. M. A. Spruit, executive board member Ciro and professor in rehabilitation; Dr. K. Winckers, internist vascular medicine. Maxima Medical Center: Dr. L. Nieuwenhuizen, hematologist. Medical Center Leeuwarden; Dr. B. Franken, hematologist; Dr. I. M. Schrover, internist vascular medicine; Dr. E. G. M. de Waal, hematologist. Medical Center Twente; Dr. A. Beishuizen, intensivist; Dr. A. Cornet, intensivist; Dr. J. Krabbe, clinical biochemist. Radboud University Medical Center: Prof. dr. K. Kramers, professor medical safety; Dr. J. Leentjens, internist vascular medicine; Dr. Q. de Mast, infectious diseases specialist; Prof. dr. S. Middeldorp, internist vascular medicine. Reinier de Graaf Gasthuis Hospital: Dr. R. E. Brouwer, hematologist; Dr. J. L. J. Ellerbroek, infectious diseases specialist; Dr. J. Tijmensen, hematologist. Rijnstate Hospital; Dr. M. M. C. Hovens, internist vascular medicine; Dr. E. A. N. Oostdijk, intensivist; Drs. B. D. Westerhof, anesthesiologist-intensivist. Rode Kruis Hospital; Dr. L. M. Faber, hematologist. Sanquin Research, Amsterdam: Dr. M. van den Biggelaar, head of Laboratory of Proteomics, Department of Molecular and Cellular Hemostasis; Prof. Dr. J. C. M. Meijers, biochemist (and Amsterdam University Medical Centers); Prof. Dr. J. Voorberg, molecular and cellular biologist (and Amsterdam University Medical Centers). St Franciscus Gasthuis & Vlietland Hospital: Dr. M. E. Kevenaer, internist; Dr. Y. L. Soei, internist; Dr. E. J. Wils, intensivist. St. Jansdal Hospital: Dr. F. N. Croles, hematologist. Synapse Research Institute: Dr. B. de Laat, biochemist, director. Tergooi Hospital: Prof. Dr. P. W. Kamphuisen, internist vascular medicine; Dr. R. Vink, intensivist. University Medical Center Groningen: Prof. Dr. T. Lisman, biochemist; Prof. Dr. K. Meijer, hematologist, Department Hematology; Dr. Y. I. G. van Tichelaar, internist. University Medical Center Utrecht: Prof. Dr. O. L. Cremer, anesthesiologist-intensivist; Dr. G. Geersing, general practitioner, Julius Center, Department of Primary Care; Prof. Dr. H. A. H. Kaasjager, internist vascular medicine; Dr. N. Kusadasi, hematologist-intensivist; Dr. A. Huisman, clinical biochemist; Dr. C. Maas, principal investigator Coagulation & Fibrinolysis; Dr. M. Nijkeuter, internist vascular medicine; Prof. Dr. R.E.G. Schutgens, hematologist, Van

Crevelkliniek; Dr. R. T. Urbanus, biochemist, Van Crevelkliniek; Dr. J. Westerink, internist vascular medicine. Wilhelmina Hospital Assen: Dr. H. J. Faber, internist-intensivist. Zaans Medical Center: Dr. S. C. E. Koster, anesthesiologist-intensivist. Zuyderland Hospital: Dr. P. van Montfort, resident internal medicine; Dr. D. J. L. van Twist, internist vascular medicine.

RELATIONSHIP DISCLOSURE

A. J. G. Jansen reports speaker's fees and travel cost payments from 3SBio, Amgen, and Novartis; international advisory board from Novartis and Amgen; and research funding from CSL Behring (all not applicable to this study). M. J. H. A. Kruij has received unrestricted grants paid to the department for research outside this work from Sobi, and has received a speaker's fee paid to the department from Roche, Sobi, and Bristol Myers Squibb. B. de Laat is an employee of Synapse Research Institute, which is part of the STAGO SAS group. All other authors have no conflict of interest.

ORCID

Tessa Noordermeer  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2929-4930>
 Roger E. G. Schutgens  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2762-6033>
 Chantal Visser  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2025-1734>
 Emma Rademaker  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5143-5723>
 Moniek P. M. de Maat  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7749-334X>
 A. J. Gerard Jansen  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2612-1420>
 Maarten Limper  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4859-3250>
 Olaf L. Cremer  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4264-1108>
 Marieke J. H. A. Kruij  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0265-4871>
 Henrik Endeman  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2011-5169>
 Coen Maas  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4593-0976>
 Bas de Laat  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9596-1944>
 Rolf T. Urbanus  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1601-9393>

TWITTER

Tessa Noordermeer  @Tessa_Noorder

REFERENCES

1. Klok FA, Kruij MJHA, van der Meer NJM, et al. Incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19. *Thromb Res.* 2020;191:145-147. doi:10.1016/j.thromres.2020.04.013
2. Bowles L, Platton S, Yartey N, et al. Lupus anticoagulant and abnormal coagulation tests in patients with COVID-19. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(3):286-288.
3. Pengo V, Banzato A, Denas G, et al. Correct laboratory approach to APS diagnosis and monitoring. *Autoimmun Rev.* 2013;12(8):832-834.
4. Roubey RAS, Pratt CW, Buyon JP, Winfield JB. Lupus anticoagulant activity of autoimmune antiphospholipid antibodies is dependent upon β 2-glycoprotein I. *J Clin Invest.* 1992;90(3):1100-1104.
5. Bevers EM, Galli M, Barbui T, Comfurius P, Zwaal RFA. Lupus anticoagulant IgG's (LA) are not directed to phospholipids only, but to a complex of lipid-bound human prothrombin. *Thromb Haemost.* 1991;66(6):629-632.
6. Oosting JD, Derksen RHWM, Entjes HTI, Bouma BN, De Groot PG. Lupus anticoagulant activity is frequently dependent on the presence of β 2-glycoprotein I. *Thromb Haemost.* 1992;67(5):499-502.

7. De Groot PG, Lutters B, Derksen RHWM, Lisman T, Meijers JCM, Rosendaal FR. Lupus anticoagulants and the risk of a first episode of deep venous thrombosis. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2005;3(9):1993-1997.
8. Urbanus RT, Siegerink B, Roest M, Rosendaal FR, de Groot PG, Algra A. Antiphospholipid antibodies and risk of myocardial infarction and ischaemic stroke in young women in the RATIO study: a case-control study. *Lancet Neurol.* 2009;8(11):998-1005. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70239-X
9. Carmi O, Berla M, Shoenfeld Y, Levy Y. Diagnosis and management of catastrophic antiphospholipid syndrome. *Expert Rev Hematol.* 2017;10(4):365-374. doi:10.1080/17474086.2017.1300522
10. Devreese KMJ, Linskens EA, Benoit D, Peperstraete H. Antiphospholipid antibodies in patients with COVID-19: a relevant observation? *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020;18(9):2191-2201.
11. Reyes Gil M, Barouqa M, Szymanski J, Gonzalez-Lugo JD, Rahman S, Billett HH. Assessment of lupus anticoagulant positivity in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA Netw Open.* 2020;3(8):e2017539.
12. Zuo Y, Estes SK, Ali RA, et al. Prothrombotic autoantibodies in serum from patients hospitalized with COVID-19. *Sci Transl Med.* 2020;12(570):1-17.
13. Xiao M, Zhang Y, Zhang S, et al. Antiphospholipid antibodies in critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2020;72(12):1998-2004.
14. Frapard T, Hue S, Rial C, de Prost N, Mekontso Dessap A. Antiphospholipid antibodies and thrombosis in patients with COVID-19. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2020;73(3):897-899.
15. Hossri S, Shadi M, Hamarsha Z, Schneider R, El-sayegh D. Clinically significant anticardiolipin antibodies associated with COVID-19. *J Crit Care.* 2020;59:32-34.
16. Gatto M, Perricone C, Tonello M, et al. Frequency and clinical correlates of antiphospholipid antibodies arising in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection: findings from a multicentre study on 122 cases. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* 2020;38:754-759.
17. Kruip MJHA, Cannegieter SC, ten Cate H, et al. Caging the dragon: research approach to COVID-19-related thrombosis. *Res Pract Thromb Haemost.* 2021;5(2):278-290.
18. Devreese KMJ, de Groot PG, de Laat B, et al. Guidance from the Scientific and Standardization Committee for lupus anticoagulant/antiphospholipid antibodies of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis: update of the guidelines for lupus anticoagulant detection and interpretation. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020;18(11):2828-2839.
19. Schouwers SME, Delanghe JR, Devreese KMJ. Lupus anticoagulant (LAC) testing in patients with inflammatory status: does C-reactive protein interfere with LAC test results? *Thromb Res.* 2010;125:102-104.
20. Harzallah I, Debliquis A, Drénou B. Lupus anticoagulant is frequent in patients with COVID-19. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020;18(8):2064-2065.
21. Helms J, Tacquard C, Severac F, et al. High risk of thrombosis in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care Med.* 2020;46(6):1089-1098. doi:10.1007/s00134-020-06062-x
22. Schved JF, Dupuy-Fons C, Biron C, Quére I, Janbon C. A prospective epidemiological study on the occurrence of antiphospholipid antibody: the Montpellier Antiphospholipid (MAP) study. *Haemostasis.* 1994;24(3):175-182.
23. Gorog DA, Storey RF, Gurbel PA, et al. Current and novel biomarkers of thrombotic risk in COVID-19: a consensus statement from the international COVID-19 thrombosis biomarkers colloquium. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* 2022;19:475-495.
24. Sène D, Piette JC, Cacoub P. Antiphospholipid antibodies, antiphospholipid syndrome and infections. *Autoimmun Rev.* 2008;7(4):272-277.
25. Devreese KMJ, Ortel TL, Pengo V, de Laat B. Laboratory criteria for antiphospholipid syndrome: communication from the SSC of the ISTH. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2018;16(4):809-813.
26. Schreiber K, Sciascia S, De Groot PG, et al. Antiphospholipid syndrome. *Nat Rev Dis Prim.* 2018;4:17103.
27. Wenzel C, Stoiser B, Locker GJ, et al. Frequent development of lupus anticoagulants in critically ill patients treated under intensive care conditions. *Crit Care Med.* 2002;30(4):763-770.
28. Ilyas S, Henkin S, Martinez-Cambor P, et al. Sex-, race- and ethnicity-based differences in thromboembolic events among adults hospitalized with COVID-19. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2021;10(23):e022829.

How to cite this article: Noordermeer T, Schutgens REG, Visser C, et al. Lupus anticoagulant associates with thrombosis in patients with COVID-19 admitted to intensive care units: A retrospective cohort study. *Res Pract Thromb Haemost.* 2022;6:e12809. doi: [10.1002/rth2.12809](https://doi.org/10.1002/rth2.12809)