# Daily quantitative MRI for radiotherapy response monitoring

Kooreman, E.S.

Citation

Kooreman, E. S. (2023, June 13). *Daily quantitative MRI for radiotherapy response monitoring*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3620208

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	[Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3620208

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

APPENDICES

REFERENCES

SUMMARY

SAMENVATTING

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

CURRICULUM VITAE

REFERENCES

[1] Raaymakers BW, Lagendijk JJW, Overweg J, Kok JGM, Raaijmakers AJE, Kerkhof EM, et al. Integrating a 1.5 T MRI scanner with a 6 MV accelerator: proof of concept. Phys Med Biol 2009;54:N229–37. https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/54/12/N01.

[2] Mutic S, Dempsey JF. The ViewRay System: Magnetic Resonance-Guided and Controlled Radiotherapy. Semin Radiat Oncol 2014;24:196–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semradonc.2014.02.008.

[3] Tofts PS. Concepts: Measurement and MR. Quant. MRI Brain, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2003, p. 1–15. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/0470869526.ch1.

[4] Stejskal EO, Tanner JE. Spin diffusion measurements: Spin echoes in the presence of a time-dependent field gradient. J Chem Phys 1965;42:288–92. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1695690.

[5] Le Bihan D, Breton E, Lallemand D, Grenier P, Cabanis E, Laval-Jeantet M. MR imaging of intravoxel incoherent motions: application to diffusion and perfusion in neurologic disorders. Radiology 1986;161:401–7. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.161.2.3763909.

[6] Bernstein MA, King KF, Zhou XJ. Handbook of MRI Pulse Sequences, Elsevier Academic Press; 2004.

[7] Le Bihan D, Breton E, Lallemand D, Aubin ML, Vignaud J, Laval-Jeantet M. Separation of diffusion and perfusion in intravoxel incoherent motion MR imaging. Radiology 1988;168:497–505. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.168.2.3393671.

[8] Bloch F. Nuclear induction. Phys Rev 1946;70:460–74. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.70.460.

[9] Gilani IA, Sepponen R. Quantitative rotating frame relaxometry methods in MRI. NMR Biomed 2016;29:841–61. https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.3518.

[10] Tofts PS, Brix G, Buckley DL, Evelhoch JL, Henderson E, Knopp M V, et al. Contrast-Enhanced T 1 -Weighted MRI of a Diffusable Tracer : Standardized Quantities and Symbols 1999;232:223–32.

[11] Kessler LG, Barnhart HX, Buckler AJ, Choudhury KR, Kondratovich M V., Toledano A, et al. The emerging science of quantitative imaging biomarkers terminology and definitions for scientific studies and regulatory submissions. Stat Methods Med Res 2015;24:9–26. https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280214537333.

[12] O'Connor JPB, Aboagye EO, Adams JE, Aerts HJWL, Barrington SF, Beer AJ, et al. Imaging biomarker roadmap for cancer studies. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2017;14:169–86. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2016.162.

[13] van Houdt PJ, Yang Y, van der Heide UA. Quantitative Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Biological Image-Guided Adaptive Radiotherapy. Front Oncol 2021;10:1–9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.615643.

[14] Keenan KE, Ainslie M, Barker AJ, Boss MA, Cecil KM, Charles C, et al. Quantitative magnetic resonance imaging phantoms: A review and the need for a system phantom. Magn Reson Med 2017;00. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.26982.

[15] Tijssen RHN, Philippens MEP, Paulson ES, Glitzner M, Chugh B, Wetscherek A, et al. MRI commissioning of 1.5T MR-linac systems – a multi-institutional study. Radiother Oncol 2019;132:114–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2018.12.011.

[16] Hoogcarspel SJ, Zijlema SE, Tijssen RHN, Kerkmeijer LGW, Jürgenliemk-Schulz IM, Lagendijk JJW, et al. Characterization of the first RF coil dedicated to 1.5 T MR guided radiotherapy. Phys Med Biol 2018;63:025014. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/aaa303.

[17] Yang Y, Cao M, Sheng K, Gao Y, Chen A, Kamrava M, et al. Longitudinal diffusion MRI for treatment response assessment: Preliminary experience using an MRI-guided tri-cobalt 60 radiotherapy system. Med Phys 2016;43:1369–73. https://doi.org/10.1118/1.4942381.

[18] Gao Y, Han F, Zhou Z, Cao M, Kaprealian T, Kamrava M, et al. Distortion-free diffusion MRI using an MRI-guided Tri-Cobalt 60 radiotherapy system: Sequence verification and preliminary clinical experience. Med Phys 2017;44:5357–66. https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.12465.

[19] Shukla-Dave A, Obuchowski NA, Chenevert TL, Jambawalikar S, Schwartz LH, Malyarenko D, et al. Quantitative imaging biomarkers alliance (QIBA) recommendations for improved precision of DWI and DCE-MRI derived biomarkers in multicenter oncology trials. J Magn Reson Imaging 2019;49:e101–21. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.26518.

[20] van Houdt PJ, Saeed H, Thorwarth D, Fuller CD, Hall WA, McDonald BA, et al. Integration of quantitative imaging biomarkers in clinical trials for MR-guided radiotherapy: Conceptual guidance for multicentre studies from the MR-Linac Consortium Imaging Biomarker Working Group. Eur J Cancer 2021;153:64–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2021.04.041.

[21] Kerkmeijer LGW, Fuller CD, Verkooijen HM, Verheij M, Choudhury A, Harrington KJ, et al. The MRI-linear accelerator consortium: Evidence-based clinical introduction of an innovation in radiation oncology connecting researchers, methodology, data collection, quality assurance, and technical development. Front Oncol 2016;6:1–6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2016.00215.

[22] de Mol van Otterloo SR, Christodouleas JP, Blezer ELA, Akhiat H, Brown K, Choudhury A, et al. The MOMENTUM Study: An International Registry for the Evidence-Based Introduction of MR-Guided Adaptive Therapy. Front Oncol 2020;10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.01328.

[23] Federau C. Intravoxel incoherent motion MRI as a means to measure in vivo perfusion: A review of the evidence. NMR Biomed 2017;30:1–15. https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.3780.

[24] Singh A, Reddy D, Haris M, Cai K, Rajender Reddy K, Hariharan H, et al. T1ρ MRI of healthy and fibrotic human livers at 1.5 T. J Transl Med 2015;13:1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-015-0648-0.

[25] Rauscher I, Eiber M, Ganter C, Martirosian P, Safi W, Umgelter A, et al. Evaluation of T1ρ as a potential MR biomarker for liver cirrhosis: Comparison of healthy control subjects and patients with liver cirrhosis. Eur J Radiol 2014;83:900–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2014.02.017.

[26] Hectors SJCG, Moonen RPM, Strijkers GJ, Nicolay K. T1ρ mapping for the evaluation of high intensity focused ultrasound tumor treatment. Magn Reson Med 2015;73:1593–601. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.25269.

[27] Zahra MA, Hollingsworth KG, Sala E, Lomas DJ, Tan LT. Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI as a predictor of tumour response to radiotherapy. The Lancet Oncolgy 2007;8:63–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(06)71012-9.

[28] Iima M, Le Bihan D. Clinical Intravoxel Incoherent Motion and Diffusion MR Imaging: Past, Present, and Future. Radiology 2016;278:13–32. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2015150244.

[29] Weinreb JC, Barentsz JO, Choyke PL, Cornud F, Haider MA, Macura KJ, et al. PI-RADS Prostate Imaging-Reporting and Data System: 2015, Version 2. Eur Urol 2016;69:16–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2015.08.052.

A

[30] Morris EA, Comstock CE, Lee CH. ACR BI-RADS® Magnetic Resonance Imaging. ACR BI-RADS® Atlas, Breast Imaging Report. Data Syst., Reston, VA: American College of Radiology; 2013.

[31] Raunig DL, McShane LM, Pennello G, Gatsonis C, Carson PL, Voyvodic JT, et al. Quantitative imaging biomarkers: A review of statistical methods for technical performance assessment. Stat Methods Med Res 2015;24:27–67. https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280214537344.

[32] Decker G, Mürtz P, Gieseke J, Träber F, Block W, Sprinkart AM, et al. Intensity-modulated radiotherapy of the prostate: Dynamic ADC monitoring by DWI at 3.0 T. Radiother Oncol 2014;113:115–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2014.07.016.

[33] Ho JC, Allen PK, Bhosale PR, Rauch GM, Fuller CD, Mohamed ASR, et al. Diffusion-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging as a Predictor of Outcome in Cervical Cancer After Chemoradiation. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2017;97:546–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.11.015.

[34] Das S, Chandramohan A, Rami Reddy JK, Mukhopadhyay S, Kumar RM, Isiah R, et al. Role of conventional and diffusion weighted MRI in predicting treatment response after low dose radiation and chemotherapy in locally advanced carcinoma cervix. Radiother Oncol 2015;117:288–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2015.10.006.

[35] Wang L, Liu L, Han C, Liu S, Tian H, Li Z, et al. The diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DWI) predicts the early response of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma to concurrent chemoradiotherapy. Radiother Oncol 2016;121:246–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2016.10.021.

[36] Mahmood F, Johannesen HH, Geertsen P, Hansen RH. Repeated diffusion MRI reveals earliest time point for stratification of radiotherapy response in brain metastases. Phys Med Biol 2017;62:2990–3002. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/aa5249.

[37] Datta A, Aznar MC, Dubec M, Parker GJM, O'Connor JPB. Delivering Functional Imaging on the MRI-Linac: Current Challenges and Potential Solutions. Clin Oncol 2018;30:702–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clon.2018.08.005.

[38] Fallone BG. The Rotating Biplanar Linac-Magnetic Resonance Imaging System. Semin Radiat Oncol 2014;24:200–2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semradonc.2014.02.011.

[39] Keall PJ, Barton M, Crozier S. The Australian Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Linac Program. Semin Radiat Oncol 2014;24:203–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semradonc.2014.02.015.

[40] Wojcieszynski AP, Rosenberg SA, Brower J V, Hullett CR, Geurts MW, Labby ZE, et al. Gadoxetate for direct tumor therapy and tracking with real-time MRI-guided stereotactic body radiation therapy of the liver. Radiother Oncol 2016;118:416–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2015.10.024.

[41] Perfusion, Diffusion and Flow-MRI Biomarker Committee. Diffusion-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging (DWI), Quantitative Imaging Biomarkers Alliance. Initial Draft. QIBA 2017.

[42] DCE MRI Technical Committee. DCE MRI Quantification Profile, Quantitative Imaging Biomarkers Alliance. Version 1.0. Reviewed Draft. QIBA 2012.

[43] Fram EK, Herfkens RJ, Johnson GA, Glover GH, Karis JP, Shimakawa A, et al. Rapid calculation of T1 using variable flip angle gradient refocused imaging. Magn Reson Imaging 1987;5:201–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/0730-725x(87)90021-x.

[44] Deoni SCL, Rutt BK, Peters TM. Rapid combined T1 and T2 mapping using gradient recalled acquisition in the steady state. Magn Reson Med 2003;49:515–26. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.10407.

[45] Milford D, Rosbach N, Bendszus M, Heiland S. Mono-exponential fitting in T2-relaxometry: Relevance of offset and first echo. PLoS One 2015;10:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145255.

[46] Schabel MC, Parker DL. Uncertainty and bias in contrast concentration measurements using spoiled gradient echo pulse sequences. Phys Med Biol 2008;53:2345–73. https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/53/9/010.

[47] Bane O, Hectors SJ, Wagner M, Arlinghaus LL, Aryal MP, Cao Y, et al. Accuracy, repeatability, and interplatform reproducibility of T1 quantification methods used for DCE-MRI: Results from a multicenter phantom study. Magn Reson Med 2017;00:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.26903.

[48] Stikov N, Boudreau M, Levesque IR, Tardif CL, Barral JK, Pike GB. On the accuracy of T1 mapping: Searching for common ground. Magn Reson Med 2015;73:514–22. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.25135.

[49] Murase K. Efficient Method for Calculating Kinetic Parameters Using T1-Weighted Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Magn Reson Med 2004;51:858–62. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.20022.

[50] Parker GJM, Roberts C, Macdonald A, Buonaccorsi GA, Cheung S, Buckley DL, et al. Experimentally-derived functional form for a population-averaged high-temporal-resolution arterial input function for dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI. Magn Reson Med 2006;56:993–1000. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.21066.

[51] Bland JM, Altman DG. Measuring agreement in method comparison studies. Stat Methods Med Res 1999;8:135–60. https://doi.org/10.1177/096228029900800204.

[52] Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistics Notes: Measurement error proportional to the mean. BMJ 1996;313:106–106.

[53] Andersen C, Tågehøj Jensen F. Precision, accuracy, and image plane uniformity in NMR relaxation time imaging on a 1.5 T whole-body MR imaging system. Magn Reson Imaging 1994;12:775–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/0730-725X(94)92202-0.

[54] Graves MJ, Emmens D, Lejay H, Hariharan H, Polzin J, Lomas DJ. T2 and T2* Quantification Using Optimal B1 Image Reconstruction for Multicoil Arrays. J Magn Reson Imaging 2008;28:278–81. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.21420.

[55] Liney GP, Knowles AJ, Manton DJ, Turnbull LW, Blackband SJ, Horsman A. Comparison of conventional single echo and multi-echo sequences with a Fast Spin-Echo sequence for quantitative T2 mapping: Application to the prostate. J Magn Reson Imaging 1996;6:603–7. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.1880060408.

[56] de Bazelaire CMJ, Duhamel GD, Rofsky NM, Alsop DC. MR Imaging Relaxation Times of Abdominal and Pelvic Tissues Measured in Vivo at 3.0 T: Preliminary Results. Radiology 2004;230:652–9. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2303021331.

[57] van Houdt PJ, Agarwal HK, van Buuren LD, Heijmink SWTPJ, Haack S, van der Poel HG, et al. Performance of a fast and high-resolution multi-echo spin-echo sequence for prostate T2 mapping across multiple systems. Magn Reson Med 2017;79:1586–94. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.26816.

[58] Malyarenko D, Galbán CJ, Londy FJ, Meyer CR, Johnson TD, Rehemtulla A, et al. Multi-system repeatability and reproducibility of apparent diffusion coefficient measurement using an ice-water phantom. J Magn Reson Imaging 2013;37:1238–46. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.23825.

A

[59] Grech-Sollars M, Hales PW, Miyazaki K, Raschke F, Rodriguez D, Wilson M, et al. Multi-centre reproducibility of diffusion MRI parameters for clinical sequences in the brain. NMR Biomed 2015;28:468–85. https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.3269.

[60] Moreau B, Iannessi A, Hoog C, Beaumont H. How reliable are ADC measurements? A phantom and clinical study of cervical lymph nodes. Eur Radiol 2018;28:3362–71. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-017-5265-2.

[61] Schmidt MA, Payne GS. Radiotherapy planning using MRI. Phys Med Biol 2015;60:R323–61. https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/60/22/R323.

[62] Gurney-Champion OJ, Mahmood F, van Schie M, Julian R, George B, Philippens MEP, et al. Quantitative imaging for radiotherapy purposes. Radiother Oncol 2020;146:66–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2020.01.026.

[63] Keenan KE, Biller JR, Delfino JG, Boss MA, Does MD, Evelhoch JL, et al. Recommendations towards standards for quantitative MRI (qMRI) and outstanding needs. J Magn Reson Imaging 2019;49:e26–39. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.26598.

[64] Obuchowski NA, Buckler A, Kinahan P, Chen-Mayer H, Petrick N, Barboriak DP, et al. Statistical Issues in Testing Conformance with the Quantitative Imaging Biomarker Alliance (QIBA) Profile Claims. Acad Radiol 2016;23:496–506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acra.2015.12.020.

[65] Lambrecht M, Vandecaveye V, De Keyzer F, Roels S, Penninckx F, Van Cutsem E, et al. Value of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging for prediction and early assessment of response to neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy in rectal cancer: Preliminary results. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;82:863–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.12.063.

[66] Lambrecht M, Van Herck H, De Keyzer F, Vandecaveye V, Slagmolen P, Suetens P, et al. Redefining the target early during treatment. Can we visualize regional differences within the target volume using sequential diffusion weighted MRI? Radiother Oncol 2014;110:329–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2013.09.023.

[67] Park SY, Kim CK, Park BK, Park W, Park HC, Han DH, et al. Early changes in apparent diffusion coefficient from diffusion-weighted MR imaging during radiotherapy for prostate cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;83:749–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.06.2009.

[68] Liu Y, Bai R, Sun H, Liu H, Zhao X, Li Y. Diffusion-weighted imaging in predicting and monitoring the response of uterine cervical cancer to combined chemoradiation. Clin Radiol 2009;64:1067–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crad.2009.07.010.

[69] Padhani AR, Liu G, Mu-Koh D, Chenevert TL, Thoeny HC, Takahara T, et al. Diffusion-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging as a Cancer Biomarker: Consensus and Recommendations. Neoplasia 2009;11:102–25. https://doi.org/10.1593/neo.81328.

[70] Perfusion, Diffusion and Flow-MRI Biomarker Committee. QIBA Profile: Diffusion-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging (DWI). Public comment draft. QIBA 2019.

[71] Baltzer AP, Mann RM, Iima M, Sigmund EE, Clauser P, Gilbert F. Diffusion-Weighted Imaging of the breast – A consensus and mission statement from the EUSOBI International Breast Diffusion-Weighted Imaging working group 2019:1–25.

[72] Kooreman ES, van Houdt PJ, Nowee ME, van Pelt VWJ, Tijssen RHN, Paulson ES, et al. Feasibility and accuracy of quantitative imaging on a 1.5 T MR-linear accelerator. Radiother Oncol 2019;133:156–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2019.01.011.

[73] Gudbjartsson H, Patz S. The Rician distribution of noisy MRI data (vol 34, pg 910, 1995). Magn Reson Med 1996;36:332.

[74] Tijssen RHN, Philippens MEP, Paulson ES, Glitzner M, Chugh B, Wetscherek A, et al. MRI commissioning of 1 . 5T MR-linac systems – a multi-institutional study. Radiother Oncol 2019;132:114–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2018.12.011.

[75] Baig TN, Eagan TP, Petropoulos LS, Kidane TK, Edelstein WA, Brown RW. Gradient coil with active endcap shielding. Concepts Magn Reson Part B Magn Reson Eng 2007;31B:12–23. https://doi.org/10.1002/cmr.b.20079.

[76] Chan RW, Von Deuster C, Giese D, Stoeck CT, Harmer J, Aitken AP, et al. Characterization and correction of eddy-current artifacts in unipolar and bipolar diffusion sequences using magnetic field monitoring. J Magn Reson 2014;244:74–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmr.2014.04.018.

[77] Meier C, Zwanger M, Feiweier T, Porter D. Concomitant field terms for asymmetric gradient coils: Consequences for diffusion, flow, and echo-planar imaging. Magn Reson Med 2008;60:128–34. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.21615.

[78] Bammer R, Markl M, Barnett A, Acar B, Alley MT, Pelc NJ, et al. Analysis and generalized correction of the effect of spatial gradient field distortions in diffusion-weighted imaging. Magn Reson Med 2003;50:560–9. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.10545.

[79] Jackson SJ, Glitzner M, Tijssen RHN, Raaymakers BW. MRI B_0 homogeneity and geometric distortion with continuous linac gantry rotation on an Elekta Unity MR-linac. Phys Med Biol 2019:0–7. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/ab231a.

[80] Le Bihan D. What can we see with IVIM MRI? Neuroimage 2019;187:56–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.12.062.

[81] Lemke A, Laun FB, Simon D, Stieltjes B, Schad LR. An in vivo verification of the intravoxel incoherent motion effect in diffusion-weighted imaging of the abdomen. Magn Reson Med 2010;64:1580–5. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.22565.

[82] Riches SF, Hawtin K, Charles-Edwards EM, de Souza NM. Diffusion-weighted imaging of the prostate and rectal wall: Comparison of biexponential and monoexponential modelled diffusion and associated perfusion coefficients. NMR Biomed 2009;22:318–25. https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.1328.

[83] Barnhart HX, Barboriak DP. Applications of the repeatability of quantitative imaging biomarkers: a review of statistical analysis of repeat data sets. Transl Oncol 2009;2:231–5. https://doi.org/10.1593/tlo.09268.

[84] Bito Y, Hirata S, Yamamoto E. Optimal gradient factors for ADC measurements. B. Abstr. Third Annu. Meet. Int. Soc. Magn. Resnance Med. ISMRM, Berkeley, CA: ISMRM; 1995, p. 913.

[85] Xing D, Papadakis NG, Huang CLH, Lee VM, Carpenter TA, Hall LD. Optimised diffusion-weighting for measurement of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in human brain. Magn Reson Imaging 1997;15:771–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0730-725X(97)00037-4.

[86] Saritas EU, Lee JH, Nishimura DG. SNR dependence of optimal parameters for apparent diffusion coefficient measurements. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 2011;30:424–37. https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2010.2084583.

[87] Dietrich O, Heiland S, Sartor K. Noise correction for the exact determination of apparent diffusion coefficients at low SNR. Magn Reson Med 2001;45:448–53. https://doi.org/10.1002/1522-2594(200103)45:3<448::AID-MRM1059>3.0.CO;2-W.

A

[88] Otazo R, Lambin P, Pignol JP, Ladd ME, Schlemmer HP, Baumann M, et al. MRI-guided Radiation Therapy: An Emerging Paradigm in Adaptive Radiation Oncology. Radiology 2021;298:248–60. https://doi.org/10.1148/RADIOL.2020202747.

[89] van Houdt PJ, Yang Y, van der Heide UA. Quantitative Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Biological Image-Guided Adaptive Radiotherapy. Front Oncol 2021;10:1–9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.615643.

[90] Hall WA, Paulson ES, van der Heide UA, Fuller CD, Raaymakers BW, Lagendijk JJW, et al. The transformation of radiation oncology using real-time magnetic resonance guidance: A review. Eur J Cancer 2019;122:42–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2019.07.021.

[91] Vaupel P, Mayer A. Hypoxia in cancer: Significance and impact on clinical outcome. Cancer Metastasis Rev 2007;26:225–39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10555-007-9055-1.

[92] Cao Y. The Promise of Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced Imaging in Radiation Therapy. Semin Radiat Oncol 2011;21:147–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semradonc.2010.11.001.

[93] Hompland T, Hakon Hole K, Ragnum HB, Aarnes E-K, Vlatkovic L, Lie AK, et al. Combined MR Imaging of Oxygen Consumption and Supply Reveals Tumor Hypoxia and Aggressiveness in Prostate Cancer Patients. Cancer Res 2018;78:4774–86. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-3806.

[94] Zelhof B, Pickles M, Liney G, Gibbs P, Rodrigues G, Kraus S, et al. Correlation of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance data with cellularity in prostate cancer. BJU Int 2009;103:883–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2008.08130.x.

[95] Kato H, Esaki K, Yamaguchi T, Tanaka H, Kajita K, Furui T, et al. Predicting early response to chemoradiotherapy for uterine cervical cancer using intravoxel incoherent motion mr imaging. Magn Reson Med Sci 2019;18:293–8. https://doi.org/10.2463/mrms.tn.2018-0138.

[96] Bian H, Liu F, Chen S, Li G, Song Y, Sun M, et al. Intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted imaging evaluated the response to concurrent chemoradiotherapy in patients with cervical cancer. Medicine (Baltimore) 2019;98:e17943. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000017943.

[97] Marzi S, Piludu F, Sanguineti G, Marucci L, Farneti A, Terrenato I, et al. The prediction of the treatment response of cervical nodes using intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted imaging. Eur J Radiol 2017;92:93–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2017.05.002.

[98] Paudyal R, Oh JH, Riaz N, Venigalla P, Li J, Hatzoglou V, et al. Intravoxel incoherent motion diffusion-weighted MRI during chemoradiation therapy to characterize and monitor treatment response in human papillomavirus head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. J Magn Reson Imaging 2017;45:1013–23. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.25523.

[99] Foltz WD, Wu A, Chung P, Catton C, Bayley A, Milosevic M, et al. Changes in apparent diffusion coefficient and T2 relaxation during radiotherapy for prostate cancer. J Magn Reson Imaging 2013;37:909–16. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.23885.

[100] van Schie MA, van Houdt PJ, Ghobadi G, Pos FJ, Walraven I, de Boer HCJ, et al. Quantitative MRI Changes During Weekly Ultra-Hypofractionated Prostate Cancer Radiotherapy With Integrated Boost. Front Oncol 2019;9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.01264.

[101] Mottet N, Bellmunt J, Bolla M, Briers E, Cumberbatch MG, De Santis M, et al. EAU-ESTRO-SIOG Guidelines on Prostate Cancer. Part 1: Screening, Diagnosis, and Local Treatment with Curative Intent. Eur Urol 2017;71:618–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2016.08.003.

[102] Kooreman ES, van Houdt PJ, Keesman R, Pos FJ, van Pelt VWJ, Nowee ME, et al. ADC measurements on the Unity MR-linac – A recommendation on behalf of the Elekta Unity MR-linac consortium. Radiother Oncol 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2020.09.046.

[103] Turkbey B, Rosenkrantz AB, Haider MA, Padhani AR, Villeirs G, Macura KJ, et al. Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System Version 2.1: 2019 Update of Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System Version 2. Eur Urol 2019;76:340–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2019.02.033.

[104] Taouli B, editor. Extra-Cranial Applications of Diffusion-Weighted MRI. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511778070.

[105] Obuchowski NA. Interpreting Change in Quantitative Imaging Biomarkers. Acad Radiol 2018;25:372–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acra.2017.09.023.

[106] Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. J Stat Soft 2015;67:1–48. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01.

[107] Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff PB, Christensen RHB. lmerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models. J Stat Software, Artic 2017;82:1–26. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v082.i13.

[108] He N, Li Z, Li X, Dai W, Peng C, Wu Y, et al. Intravoxel Incoherent Motion Diffusion-Weighted Imaging Used to Detect Prostate Cancer and Stratify Tumor Grade: A Meta-Analysis. Front Oncol 2020;10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.01623.

[109] Jafari-Khouzani K, Paynabar K, Hajighasemi F, Rosen B. Effect of Region of Interest Size on the Repeatability of Quantitative Brain Imaging Biomarkers. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 2019;66:864–72. https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2018.2860928.

[110] Alonzi R, Padhani AR, Taylor NJ, Collins DJ, D'Arcy JA, Stirling JJ, et al. Antivascular effects of neoadjuvant androgen deprivation for prostate cancer: An in vivo human study using susceptibility and relaxivity dynamic MRI. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2011;80:721–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.02.060.

[111] Hötker AM, Mazaheri Y, Zheng J, Moskowitz CS, Berkowitz J, Lantos JE, et al. Prostate Cancer: assessing the effects of androgen-deprivation therapy using quantitative diffusion-weighted and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI. Eur Radiol 2015;25:2665–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-015-3688-1.

[112] Barker HE, Paget JTE, Khan AA, Harrington KJ. The tumour microenvironment after radiotherapy: Mechanisms of resistance and recurrence. Nat Rev Cancer 2015;15:409–25. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3958.

[113] Dinis Fernandes C, van Houdt PJ, Heijmink SWTPJ, Walraven I, Keesman R, Smolic M, et al. Quantitative 3T multiparametric MRI of benign and malignant prostatic tissue in patients with and without local recurrent prostate cancer after external-beam radiation therapy. J Magn Reson Imaging 2019;50:269–78. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.26581.

[114] García-Figueiras R, Baleato-González S, Padhani AR, Luna-Alcalá A, Vallejo-Casas JA, Sala E, et al. How clinical imaging can assess cancer biology. Insights Imaging 2019;10:28. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13244-019-0703-0.

[115] O'Connor JPB, Robinson SP, Waterton JC. Imaging tumour hypoxia with oxygen-enhanced MRI and BOLD MRI. Br J Radiol 2019;92:20180642. https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20180642.

[116] Cao Y. The Promise of Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced Imaging in Radiation Therapy. Semin Radiat Oncol 2011;21:147–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semradonc.2010.11.001.

A

[117] Park JJ, Kim CK, Park SY, Simonetti AW, Kim EJ, Park BK, et al. Assessment of early response to concurrent chemoradiotherapy in cervical cancer: Value of diffusion-weighted and dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging. Magn Reson Imaging 2014;32:993–1000. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2014.05.009.

[118] Xie T, Ye Z, Pang P, Shao G. Quantitative Multiparametric MRI May Augment the Response to Radiotherapy in Mid-Treatment Assessment of Patients with Esophageal Carcinoma. Oncol Res Treat 2019;42:326–33. https://doi.org/10.1159/000499322.

[119] Wang P, Popovtzer A, Eisbruch A, Cao Y. An approach to identify, from DCE MRI, significant subvolumes of tumors related to outcomes in advanced head-and-neck cancer. Med Phys 2012;39:5277–85. https://doi.org/10.1118/1.4737022.

[120] Baer AH, Hoff BA, Srinivasan A, Galbán CJ, Mukherji SK. Feasibility Analysis of the Parametric Response Map as an Early Predictor of Treatment Efficacy in Head and Neck Cancer. Am J Neuroradiol 2015;36:757–62. https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A4296.

[121] Wong KH, Panek R, Dunlop A, Mcquaid D, Riddell A, Welsh LC, et al. Changes in multimodality functional imaging parameters early during chemoradiation predict treatment response in patients with locally advanced head and neck cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2018;45:759–67. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-017-3890-2.

[122] Kooreman ES, van Houdt PJ, Keesman R, van Pelt VWJ, Nowee ME, Pos F, et al. Daily Intravoxel Incoherent Motion (IVIM) In Prostate Cancer Patients During MR-Guided Radiotherapy—A Multicenter Study. Front Oncol 2021;11:1–9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.705964.

[123] Lawrence LSP, Chan RW, Chen H, Keller B, Stewart J, Ruschin M, et al. Accuracy and precision of apparent diffusion coefficient measurements on a 1.5 T MR-Linac in central nervous system tumour patients. Radiother Oncol 2021;164:155–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2021.09.020.

[124] Joo I, Lee JM, Grimm R, Han JK, Choi BI. Monitoring vascular disrupting therapy in a rabbit liver tumor model: Relationship between tumor perfusion parameters at IVIM Diffusion-weighted MR imaging and those at dynamic contrast-enhanced MR Imaging1. Radiology 2016;278:104–13. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2015141974.

[125] Wang J, Salzillo T, Jiang Y, Mackeyev Y, David Fuller C, Chung C, et al. Stability of MRI contrast agents in high-energy radiation of a 1.5T MR-Linac. Radiother Oncol 2021;161:55–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2021.05.023.

[126] Zhang X, Petersen ET, Ghariq E, De Vis JB, Webb AG, Teeuwisse WM, et al. In vivo blood T1 measurements at 1.5 T, 3 T, and 7 T. Magn Reson Med 2013;70:1082–6. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.24550.

[127] Rohrer M, Bauer H, Mintorovitch J, Requardt M, Weinmann H-J. Comparison of Magnetic Properties of MRI Contrast Media Solutions at Different Magnetic Field Strengths. Invest Radiol 2005;40:715–24.

[128] Georgiou L, Wilson DJ, Sharma N, Perren TJ, Buckley DL. A functional form for a representative individual arterial input function measured from a population using high temporal resolution DCE MRI. Magn Reson Med 2019;81:1955–63. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.27524.

[129] Chang LC, Cheng GK, Basser PJ, Pierpaoli C. Linear least-squares method for unbiased estimation of T1 from SPGR signals. Magn Reson Med 2008;60:496–501. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.21669.

[130] Cheong LH, Koh TS, Hou Z. An automatic approach for estimating bolus arrival time in dynamic contrast MRI using piecewise continuous regression models. Phys Med Biol 2003;48:N83–8. https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/48/5/403.

[131] Tofts PS, Brix G, Buckley DL, Evelhoch JL, Henderson E, Knopp M V, et al. Estimating Kinetic Parameters From Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced T1-Weighted MRI of a Diffusable Tracer: Standardized Quantities and Symbols. J Magn Reson Imag 1999;10:223–32. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1522-2586(199909)10.

[132] Bakdash JZ, Marusich LR. Repeated Measures Correlation. Front Psychol 2017;8:1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00456.

[133] Gao P, Shi C, Zhao L, Zhou Q, Luo L. Differential diagnosis of prostate cancer and noncancerous tissue in the peripheral zone and central gland using the quantitative parameters of DCE-MRI. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:e5715. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000005715.

[134] Le Bihan D, Turner R. The Capillary Network: A Link between IVIM and Classical Perfusion. Magn Reson Med 1992;27:171–8.

[135] Pang Y, Turkbey B, Bernardo M, Kruecker J, Kadoury S, Merino MJ, et al. Intravoxel incoherent motion MR imaging for prostate cancer: An evaluation of perfusion fraction and diffusion coefficient derived from different b -value combinations. Magn Reson Med 2013;69:553–62. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.24277.

[136] Blyth CR. On simpson's paradox and the sure-thing principle. J Am Stat Assoc 1972;67:364–6. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1972.10482387.

[137] Klawer EME, van Houdt PJ, Simonis FFJ, van den Berg CAT, Pos FJ, Heijmink SWTPJ, et al. Improved repeatability of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI using the complex MRI signal to derive arterial input functions: a test-retest study in prostate cancer patients. Magn Reson Med 2019;81:3358–69. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.27646.

[138] Klawer EME, Houdt PJ, Simonis FFJ, den Berg CAT, Pos FJ, Heijmink SWTPJ, et al. Erratum to: Improved repeatability of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI using the complex MRI signal to derive arterial input functions: a test-retest study in prostate cancer patients (Magn Reson Med. 2019; 81: 3358–3369). Magn Reson Med 2021;85:2334–6. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.28606.

[139] Alonzi R, Taylor NJ, Stirling JJ, D'Arcy JA, Collins DJ, Saunders MI, et al. Reproducibility and correlation between quantitative and semiquantitative dynamic and intrinsic susceptibility-weighted MRI parameters in the benign and malignant human prostate. J Magn Reson Imaging 2010;32:155–64. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.22215.

[140] Cohen J, Cohen P, West SG, Aiken LS. Applied Multiple Regression/Correlation Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. Third. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.; 2003.

[141] Joiner M, van der Kogel A. Basic Clinical Radiobiology. Fourth Edi. Hodder Education; 2009. https://doi.org/10.1201/b13224.

[142] Cobb JG, Xie J, Gore JC. Contributions of Chemical and Diffusive Exchange to T 1 r Dispersion 2013;1366:1357–66. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.24379.

[143] Wang L, Regatte RR. T1ρ MRI of Human Musculoskeletal System. J Magn Reson Imaging 2015;41:586–600. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.24677.

[144] Jambor I, Pesola M, Taimen P, Merisaari H, Boström PJ, Minn H, et al. Rotating frame relaxation imaging of prostate cancer: Repeatability, cancer detection, and Gleason score prediction. Magn Reson Med 2016;75:337–44. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.25647.

[145] Santyr GE, Henkelman RM, Bronskill MJ. Spin locking for magnetic resonance imaging with application to human breast. Magn Reson Med 1989;12:25–37. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.1910120104.

A

[146] Zhou N, Chu C, Dou X, Li M, Liu S, Guo T, et al. Early Changes of Irradiated Parotid Glands Evaluated by T1rho-Weighted Imaging. J Comput Assist Tomogr 2017;41:472–6. https://doi.org/10.1097/RCT.0000000000000547.

[147] Witschey WRT, Borthakur A, Elliott MA, Mellon E, Niyogi S, Wallman DJ, et al. Artifacts in T1ρ-weighted imaging: Compensation for B1 and B0 field imperfections. J Magn Reson 2007;186:75–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmr.2007.01.015.

[148] Witschey WRT, Borthakur A, Elliott MA, Mellon E, Niyogi S, Wallman DJ, et al. Artifacts in T1ρ-Weighted Imaging: Compensation for B1 and B0 Field Imperfections. J Magn Reson 2007;186:75–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2009.10.020.The.

[149] Buck FM, Bae WC, Diaz E, Du J, Statum S, Han ET, et al. Comparison of T1rho measurements in agarose phantoms and human patellar cartilage using 2D multislice spiral and 3D magnetization prepared partitioned k-space spoiled gradient-echo snapshot techniques at 3 T. Am J Roentgenol 2011;196:174–9. https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.10.4570.

[150] Fokas E, Appelt A, Glynne-Jones R, Beets G, Perez R, Garcia-Aguilar J, et al. International consensus recommendations on key outcome measures for organ preservation after (chemo)radiotherapy in patients with rectal cancer. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2021;18:805–16. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-021-00538-5.

[151] Buck FM, Bae WC, Diaz E, Du J, Statum S, Han ET, et al. Comparison of T1rho measurements in agarose phantoms and human patellar cartilage using 2D multislice spiral and 3D magnetization prepared partitioned k-space spoiled gradient-echo snapshot techniques at 3 T. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2011;196:W174-9. https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.10.4570.

[152] Xie S, Li Q, Cheng Y, Zhang Y, Zhuo Z, Zhao G, et al. Impact of liver fibrosis and fatty liver on T1rho measurements: A prospective study. Korean J Radiol 2017;18:898–905. https://doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2017.18.6.898.

[153] Lambregts DMJ, Boellaard TN, Beets-tan RGH. Response evaluation after neoadjuvant treatment for rectal cancer using modern MR imaging : a pictorial review 2019.

[154] Ai QYH, Zhang H, Jiang B, So TY, Mo FKF, Qamar S, et al. Test-retest repeatability of T1rho (T1ρ) MR imaging in the head and neck. Eur J Radiol 2021;135:109489. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2020.109489.

[155] Keenan KE, Gimbutas Z, Dienstfrey A, Stupic KF. Assessing effects of scanner upgrades for clinical studies. J Magn Reson Imaging 2019;50:1948–54. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.26785.

[156] Subashi E, Dresner A, Tyagi N. Longitudinal assessment of quality assurance measurements in a 1.5 T MR-linac: Part II—Magnetic resonance imaging. J Appl Clin Med Phys 2022. https://doi.org/10.1002/acm2.13586.

[157] Keesman R, van de Lindt TN, Juan-Cruz C, van den Wollenberg W, van der Bijl E, Nowee ME, et al. Correcting geometric image distortions in slice-based 4D-MRI on the MR-linac. Med Phys 2019:3044–54. https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.13602.

[158] McDonald BA, Salzillo T, Mulder S, Ahmed S, Dresner A, Preston K, et al. In Vivo and Phantom Repeatability of Diffusion-Weighted MRI Sequences on 1.5 T MRI-Linear Accelerator (MR-Linac) and MR Simulator Devices for Head and Neck Cancers: Results from a Prospective R-IDEAL Stage 2a Evaluation of Tumor and Normal Tissue Apparent. MedRxiv 2022.

[159] Meyer HJ, Wienke A, Surov A. Pre-treatment apparent diffusion coefficient does not predict therapy response to radiochemotherapy in cervical cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Anticancer Res 2021;41:1163–70. https://doi.org/10.21873/anticanres.14873.

[160] Harry VN, Persad S, Bassaw B, Parkin D. Diffusion-weighted MRI to detect early response to chemoradiation in cervical cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Gynecol Oncol Reports 2021;38:100883. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gore.2021.100883.

[161] Zijlema SE, Tijssen RHN, Van Dijk L, Hackett SL, Wolthaus JWH, Breimer W, et al. Improving the imaging performance of the 1.5 T MR-linac using a flexible, 32-channel, on-body receive array. Phys Med Biol 2020;65. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/aba87a.

[162] Sun H, Xu Y, Xu Q, Shi K, Wang W. Short-term reproducibility of intravoxel incoherent motion parameters in 3.0T magnetic resonance imaging. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017;96:e6866. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000006866.

[163] Gurney-Champion OJ, Klaassen R, Froeling M, Barbieri S, Stoker J, Engelbrecht MRW, et al. Comparison of six fit algorithms for the intra-voxel incoherent motion model of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging data of pancreatic cancer patients. PLoS One 2018;13:e0194590. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194590.

[164] Lemke A, Stieltjes B, Schad LR, Laun FB. Toward an optimal distribution of b values for intravoxel incoherent motion imaging. Magn Reson Imaging 2011;29:766–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2011.03.004.

[165] Merisaari H, Jambor I. Optimization of b-value distribution for four mathematical models of prostate cancer diffusion-weighted imaging using b values up to 2000 s/mm2: Simulation and repeatability study. Magn Reson Med 2015;73:1954–69. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.25310.

[166] Wetscherek A, McDonald BA, Kooreman ES, Lau AZ, Ramesh P, Shukla-Dave A, et al. Data-driven optimization of intravoxel incoheren motion imaging for clinical endpoints in radiotherapy on a 1.5 T MR-linac [abstract]. Jt. Annu. Meet. ISMRM-ESMRMB ISMRT 31st Annu. Meet., London: 2022.

[167] Kaandorp MPT, Barbieri S, Klaassen R, van Laarhoven HWM, Crezee H, While PT, et al. Improved unsupervised physics-informed deep learning for intravoxel incoherent motion modeling and evaluation in pancreatic cancer patients. Magn Reson Med 2021;86:2250–65. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.28852.

[168] Vannesjo SJ, Haeberlin M, Kasper L, Pavan M, Wilm BJ, Barmet C, et al. Gradient system characterization by impulse response measurements with a dynamic field camera. Magn Reson Med 2013;69:583–93. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.24263.

[169] Jezzard P, Barnett AS, Pierpaoli C. Characterization of and correction for eddy current artifacts in echo planar diffusion imaging. Magn Reson Med 1998;39:801–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.1910390518.

[170] Le Bihan D, Poupon C, Amadon A, Lethimonnier F. Artifacts and pitfalls in diffusion MRI. J Magn Reson Imaging 2006;24:478–88. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.20683.

[171] Corkum MT, Achard V, Morton G, Zilli T. Ultrahypofractionated Radiotherapy for Localised Prostate Cancer: How Far Can We Go? Clin Oncol 2022;34:340–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clon.2021.12.006.

[172] Johnson LM, Turkbey B, Figg WD, Choyke PL. Multiparametric MRI in prostate cancer management. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2014;11:346–53. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2014.69.

[173] American College of Radiology. PI-RADS Version 2.1. Prostate Imaging-Reporting Data Syst 2019:1–69.

A

[174] Thorwarth D, Ege M, Nachbar M, Mönnich D, Gani C, Zips D, et al. Quantitative magnetic resonance imaging on hybrid magnetic resonance linear accelerators: Perspective on technical and clinical validation. Phys Imaging Radiat Oncol 2020;16:69–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phro.2020.09.007.

[175] Chan RW, Lawrence LSP, Oglesby RT, Chen H, Stewart J, Theriault A, et al. Chemical exchange saturation transfer MRI in central nervous system tumours on a 1.5 T MR-Linac. Radiother Oncol 2021;162:140–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2021.07.010.

[176] Ma D, Gulani V, Seiberlich N, Liu K, Sunshine JL, Duerk JL, et al. Magnetic resonance fingerprinting. Nature 2013;495:187–92. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11971.

[177] Mickevicius NJ, Kim JP, Zhao J, Morris ZS, Hurst NJ, Glide-Hurst CK. Toward magnetic resonance fingerprinting for low-field MR-guided radiation therapy. Med Phys 2021;48:6930–40. https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.15202.

[178] Mickevicius NJ, Glide-Hurst CK. Low-rank inversion reconstruction for through-plane accelerated radial MR fingerprinting applied to relaxometry at 0.35 T. Magn Reson Med 2022;88:840–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.29244.

[179] Bruijnen T, van der Heide O, Intven MPW, Mook S, Lagendijk JJW, Berg CAT van den, et al. Technical feasibility of Magnetic Resonance Fingerprinting on a 1.5T MRI-Linac 2020:1–17.

[180] Press RH, Shu HKG, Shim H, Mountz JM, Kurland BF, Wahl RL, et al. The Use of Quantitative Imaging in Radiation Oncology: A Quantitative Imaging Network (QIN) Perspective. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2018;102:1219–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2018.06.023.

[181] Yankeelov TE, Mankoff DA, Schwartz LH, Lieberman FS, Buatti JM, Mountz JM, et al. Quantitative imaging in cancer clinical trials. Clin Cancer Res 2016;22:284–90. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-3336.

[182] Manfrini E, Smits M, Thust S, Geiger S, Bendella Z, Petr J, et al. From research to clinical practice: a European neuroradiological survey on quantitative advanced MRI implementation. Eur Radiol 2021;31:6334–41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-020-07582-2.

[183] McGee KP, Hwang KP, Sullivan DC, Kurhanewicz J, Hu Y, Wang J, et al. Magnetic resonance biomarkers in radiation oncology: The report of AAPM Task Group 294. Med Phys 2021;48:e697–732. https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.14884.

A