

# Protection of aviation security through the establishment of prohibited airspace

Zhang, W.

#### Citation

Zhang, W. (2023, June 8). Protection of aviation security through the establishment of prohibited airspace. Meijers-reeks. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3620100

Version: Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3620100

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Protection of aviation security through the establishment of prohibited airspace

# Protection of aviation security through the establishment of prohibited airspace

#### PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl, volgens besluit van het college voor promoties te verdedigen op donderdag 8 juni 2023, klokke 11.15 uur

door

## Wanlu Zhang

geboren te Funan, China

in 1992

Promotoren: prof. dr. S.J. Truxal

dr. R.W. Heinsch

Promotiecommissie: prof. dr. E.C.P.D.C. De Brabandere

dr. C.E. Rose

prof. dr. h.c. S. Hobe (University Cologne, Germany) prof. dr. J. Chuah (City, University of London, UK)

Omslagontwerp: Primo!Studio – Delft

Opmaak binnenwerk: Anne-Marie Krens - Tekstbeeld - Oegstgeest

Drukwerk: Ipskamp Printing - Enschede

ISBN 978 94 6473 103 3

© 2023 W. Zhang

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden verveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand, of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de uitgever.

Voor zover het maken van reprografische verveelvoudigingen uit deze uitgave is toegestaan op grond van artikel 16h Auteurswet dient men de daarvoor wettelijk verschuldigde vergoedingen te voldoen aan de Stichting Reprorecht (Postbus 3051, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, www.reprorecht.nl). Voor het overnemen van (een) gedeelte(n) uit deze uitgave in bloemlezingen, readers en andere compilatiewerken (art. 16 Auteurswet) kan men zich wenden tot de Stichting PRO (Stichting Publicatie- en Reproductierechten Organisatie, Postbus 3060, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, www.stichting-pro.nl).

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without written permission from the publisher.

### Acknowledgements

To my supervisors, Pablo Mendes de Leon, Steven Truxal, and Robert Heinsch, thank you for giving me the opportunity to undertake this project and for your guidance and support along the way. I very much appreciated your support and guidance along the way as I struggled through many phases to complete this project.

Thank you to others who took the time to share their knowledge, read my work and discuss and challenge my ideas. I will forever be grateful for the invaluable assistance, in particular Jiefang Huang, for allowing me to undertake the research at ICAO. Thank you also Tanja Masson-Zwaan, Mia Wouters, Roderick van Dam, Julian Rotter, Peter Haanappel, and Daqun Liu, for your aid and insight in the early days.

I am lucky to have met colleagues and friends Dejian Kong, Lain Kovudhikulrungsri, Xiaoxi Sun, Hui Liu, Ed Batista, Annemarie Schuite, Dimitra Stefoudi, Leah Powell, and Zhuang Tian for making my study at Leiden such an enjoyable memory. Thank you very much, Hilkje Wijnmaalen and Natascha Meewisse, for your assistance in navigating through the procedural process. Thank you also to Paula, who I believe is looking at me smiling from up there.

Many others who helped along the way: friends and family back at China University of Political Science and Law, at ICAO, at the United Nations, and throughout the world. Thank you for reading the draft of my thesis and offering guidance from various perspectives. I want to give a big shout-out to Ms. Christina Kiewiet de Jonge who included me into her family celebrations of Koningsdag and Sinterklaas and encouraged me to carry on with the research.

Michael Huang, my beloved husband, thank you for the love, patience, and support in every way and for keeping me on track. I cannot wait to embark on the next adventure with you! My mum Li Wang and dad Jian'en Zhang, thank you for raising me to be courageous and inspiring me to rise to challenges. But, most of all, thank you all for the endless love and 24/7 family support.

Finally, I am so grateful for having spared me on the afternoon of 24 July 2014 when I was flying to a summer school over the same area as MH17; and for the calling which keeps motivating me to demand a change.

# Table of contents

| ΤA  | ABLE OF FIGURES   | xiii     |
|-----|---|----------|
| Li  | ST OF ABBREVIATIONS   | XV       |
|     |   |          |
| Тът | TRODUCTION  | 1        |
|     | TRODUCTION  | 1        |
| 1   | Background  | 1        |
| 2   | ~   | 2        |
| 3   | Roadmap of the Study  | 3        |
| 4   | Summary of Methodology  | 4        |
| 1   | METHODOLOGY, TERMINOLOGIES AND PRELIMINARY QUESTIONS                  | 5        |
| 1   | Methodology of this study   | 5        |
|     | 1.1 Outline of methodology  | 5        |
|     | 1.2 Normative legal study   | 5        |
|     | 1.2.1 Customary treaty interpretation rules                           | 6        |
|     | 1.2.2 Interpretation methods in the Vienna Convention on the Law of   |          |
|     | Treaties  | 9        |
|     | 1.2.2.1 Ordinary meaning  | 9        |
|     | 1.2.2.2 Context   | 9        |
|     | 1.2.2.3 Object and purpose  | 10       |
|     | 1.2.2.4 Preparatory work  | 10       |
|     | 1.2.2.5 Subsequent practices  | 11       |
|     | 1.3 Case studies  | 12       |
| _   | 1.4 Interim conclusions   | 13       |
| 2   | Terminologies   | 13       |
|     | 2.1 Introduction of terminologies                                     | 13<br>14 |
|     | 2.2 Contracting States, States Parties, and Member States             | 14       |
|     | 2.2.1 Contracting Parties and States Parties 2.2.2 ICAO Member States | 15       |
|     | 2.2.3 Interim conclusions   | 17       |
|     | 2.3 Territory, sovereignty, and jurisdiction                          | 17       |
|     | 2.3.1 Sovereignty   | 17       |
|     | 2.3.1.1 Airspace sovereignty  | 17       |
|     | 2.3.1.2 Airspace sovereignty in relation to jurisdiction              | 19       |
|     | 2.3.2 Territory   | 20       |
|     | 2.3.3 Jurisdiction  | 22       |
|     | 2.3.4 Competence  | 23       |
|     | 2.3.4.1 Competence and capacity                                       | 23       |

VIII Table of contents

|   | 2.3.4.2 The usage of competence in the context of EU law   | 24 |
|---|--|----|
|   | 2.3.5 Interim conclusions  | 26 |
|   | 2.4 Responsibility and obligation  | 26 |
|   | 2.4.1 Responsibility   | 26 |
|   | 2.4.2 Obligation   | 27 |
|   | 2.4.3 Interim conclusions  | 29 |
|   | 2.6 Aviation safety, security, and risk  | 29 |
|   | 2.6.1 Aviation safety and security   | 29 |
|   | 2.6.2 Defining risk  | 30 |
|   | 2.6.3 Interim conclusions  | 31 |
| 3 | The legal force of ICAO regulations  | 31 |
|   | 3.1 Introduction of ICAO regulations   | 31 |
|   | 3.2 Standards and Recommended Practices (SARPs)  | 31 |
|   | 3.2.1 Introduction   | 31 |
|   | 3.2.2 Legal force of ICAO Standards  | 33 |
|   | 3.2.2.1 General statements   | 33 |
|   | 3.2.2.2 Filing of differences from ICAO Standards  | 34 |
|   | 3.2.2.3 The impact of enforcement of ICAO Standards  | 36 |
|   | 3.2.2.4 Interim conclusions  | 38 |
|   | 3.2.3 Legal force of Recommended Practices   | 38 |
|   | 3.2.3.1 General statements   | 38 |
|   | 3.2.3.2 Filing differences from Recommended Practices  | 39 |
|   | 3.2.3.3 ICAO audit of compliance with Recommended Practices  | 42 |
|   | 3.2.3.4 Interim conclusions  | 43 |
|   | 3.3 Procedures for Air Navigation Services (PANS)  | 44 |
|   | 3.3.1 Introduction   | 44 |
|   | 3.3.2 Legal force of PANS  | 44 |
|   | 3.4 ICAO guidance documents  | 45 |
|   | 3.4.1 Introduction   | 45 |
|   | 3.4.2 Legal force of guidance documents  | 46 |
|   | 3.5 Interim conclusions  | 48 |
| 1 | Chapter summary and conclusions  | 48 |
|   | The property was an appropriate and the property of the proper |    |
| 2 | THE INTERPRETATION OF PROVISIONS CONCERNING PROHIBITED AIRSPACE IN   | 51 |
|   | THE CHICAGO CONVENTION   |    |
| 1 | Preliminary remarks  | 51 |
| 2 | Analysis of the terms of Article 9 of the Chicago Convention   | 51 |
|   | 2.1 The text of Article 9 of the Chicago Convention  | 51 |
|   | 2.2 The right to prohibit flights over sovereign territory   | 53 |
|   | 2.2.1 Territorial sovereignty in relation to jurisdiction  | 53 |
|   | 2.2.2 The use of the term "may"  | 54 |
|   | 2.2.3 The definitions of prohibited and restricted area  | 56 |
|   | 2.2.4 Summary on a Contracting State's right to establish prohibited   | _  |
|   | airspace   | 58 |
|   | 2.3 The meaning of "its territory"   | 58 |
|   | 2.3.1 Territorial sea  | 58 |
|   | 2.3.2 International straits  | 59 |

| Table of contents | IX |
|-------------------|----|
|-------------------|----|

|          |     | 2.3.3 Internal waters   | 61       |
|----------|-----|---|----------|
|          |     | 2.3.4 Archipelagic waters   | 62       |
|          |     | 2.3.5 Summary on the meaning of "territory"                               | 64       |
|          | 2.4 | Conditions to establish prohibited airspace under Article 659             |          |
|          |     | of the Chicago Convention   | 65       |
|          |     | 2.4.1 Military necessity  | 65       |
|          |     | 2.4.1.1 General remarks   | 65       |
|          |     | 2.4.1.2 The context of the Chicago Convention                             | 66       |
|          |     | 2.4.1.3 Contextual interpretation of military necessity in Article 9      | 69       |
|          |     | 2.4.2 Public safety   | 70       |
|          |     | 2.4.3 Exceptional circumstances   | 72       |
|          |     | 2.4.4 Emergency   | 74       |
|          |     | 2.4.5 Summary on the justifications to establish prohibited airspace      | 77       |
|          | 2.5 | The application of the non-distinction requirement                        | 77       |
|          |     | 2.5.1 The objects and purposes of the Chicago Convention                  | 77       |
|          |     | 2.5.2 National treatment and most-favoured-nation treatment               | 80       |
|          |     | 2.5.3 Nationality of aircraft   | 83       |
|          |     | 2.5.4 Summary on the 'non-distinction' requirement                        | 86       |
|          | 2.6 | The requirement of reasonable extent and location                         | 86       |
|          |     | 2.6.1 The geographic scope of prohibited airspace                         | 86       |
|          |     | 2.6.2 Prohibited area in the Bay of Gibraltar (1967)                      | 87       |
|          |     | 2.6.3 The criteria for reasonable extent and location                     | 88       |
|          | ۰.  | 2.6.4 Summary on reasonable extent and location                           | 89       |
|          |     | The requirement to notify the international community                     | 89       |
| 2        |     | Interim conclusions   | 90       |
| 3        |     | ctices in the application of Article 9 of the Chicago Convention          | 91       |
|          |     | Pasir Gudang restricted area (2019)                                       | 91       |
|          | 3.2 | India-Pakistan dispute (1950s and 2010s)                                  | 94       |
|          |     | 3.2.1 Dispute in the 1950s  | 94       |
|          |     | 3.2.2 Dispute in the 2010s  | 95       |
|          |     | 3.2.2.1 Summary of the facts  | 95<br>97 |
|          |     | 3.2.2.2 The legality of Pakistan's restrictions                           | 97<br>97 |
|          |     | 3.2.2.2.1 Pakistan's right to regulate its territorial airspace           | 98       |
|          |     | 3.2.2.2.2 Pakistan's restriction in Airway P518 3.2.3 Interim conclusions | 103      |
|          | 2 2 | Qatar 'blockade' case (2017-2021)   | 103      |
|          | 3.3 | 3.3.1 The proceedings   | 104      |
|          |     | 3.3.2 The legality of the blockade in air law                             | 105      |
|          |     | 3.3.3 The closure of delegated airspace                                   | 108      |
|          |     | 3.3.4 Interim conclusions   | 110      |
| 4        | Cha | apter summary and conclusions   | 110      |
| <b>T</b> | Cit | apter summary and conclusions   | 11(      |
| 3        | TEC | CHNICAL AND OPERATIONAL ASPECTS OF PROHIBITED AIRSPACE                    | 113      |
| 1        | Pre | liminary remarks  | 113      |
| 2        |     | evance of ANS to prohibited airspace                                      | 113      |
|          |     | Introductory remarks  | 113      |
|          |     | Appropriate ATS authority   | 116      |

X Table of contents

|   | 2.3 Case study of airspace closure due to the unavailability of ATS                                 | 120        |
|---|---|------------|
|   | 2.4 Interim conclusions   | 124        |
| 3 | International rules with respect to the provision of Air Traffic Services                           | 124        |
|   | 3.1 Introductory remarks  | 124        |
|   | 3.2 Responsibility to provide ATS in national airspace 3.2.1 The national competence to provide ATS | 125<br>125 |
|   | 3.2.2 The obligation to provide ATS   | 126        |
|   | 3.2.3 The interpretation of "so far as it may find practicable"                                     | 128        |
|   | 3.3 Jurisdiction to provide ATS   | 133        |
|   | 3.3.1 Three situations with respect to ATS provision  | 133        |
|   | 3.3.2 ATS jurisdiction in FIRs  | 135        |
|   | 3.4 The delegation of the responsibility to provide ATS   | 137        |
|   | 3.5 Interim conclusions   | 140        |
| 1 | Responsibility of the appropriate ATS authorities relating to prohibited                            |            |
|   | airspace  | 141        |
|   | 4.1 Introductory remarks  | 141        |
|   | 4.2 Responsibility to assess risks of air routes  | 141        |
|   | 4.2.1 The competence to assess risks of air routes  | 141        |
|   | 4.2.2 The obligation to assess risks of air routes  | 141        |
|   | 4.3 Responsibility to take contingency measures   | 144        |
|   | 4.3.1 Contingency response to establish prohibited airspace   | 144        |
|   | 4.3.2 The obligation to take contingency measures   | 145        |
|   | 4.4 Customary international law status of ICAO regulations on contingency                           | 7          |
|   | responses   | 147        |
|   | 4.5 Interim conclusions   | 150        |
| 5 | Prohibited airspace in bilaterally delegated airspace   | 151        |
|   | 5.1 Introductory remarks  | 151        |
|   | 5.2 The '(non)-use' of sovereign airspace   | 151        |
|   | 5.3 Case study of the Qatar blockade in 2017-2021   | 152        |
|   | 5.4 Interim conclusions   | 157        |
| 6 | Chapter summary and conclusions   | 157        |
| 1 | AIRSPACE RESTRICTIONS OUTSIDE TERRITORIAL LIMITS  | 159        |
| 1 | Preliminary remarks   | 159        |
| 2 | Responsibility to provide ATS over the high seas  | 159        |
|   | 2.1 The Relationship between UNCLOS and the Chicago Convention                                      | 159        |
|   | 2.2 The supremacy of ICAO Rules of the Air over the high seas                                       | 163        |
|   | 2.3 The meaning of 'high seas' in ICAO regulations  | 165        |
|   | 2.3.1 The significance of regional agreements under the auspice of ICAO                             | 168        |
|   | 2.3.2 Case study of the re-delegation of ATS provision over the high                                | 100        |
|   | seas  | 171        |
|   | 2.4 Interim conclusions   | 173        |
| 3 | Airspace restrictions over the high seas and in airspace of undetermined                            | _, .       |
| _ | sovereignty   | 173        |
|   | 3.1 Introductory remarks  | 173        |
|   | 3.2 Category I: The regulations of prohibited airspace above the high seas                          | 175        |

Table of contents XI

|             |          |         | U U   | 175        |
|-------------|----------|---------|---|------------|
|             |          | 3.2.2   | O O   | 176        |
|             |          |         | ,   | 176        |
|             |          |         | 3.2.2.3 The supervision of contingency responses over the high          | 177        |
|             |          | 2 2 2   |   | 180        |
| 3.3         | ,        |         | , 0   | 180        |
| <b>5.</b> 3 | •        |         | gory II: The regulations of prohibited airspace over areas              | 101        |
|             |          |         | 0 7   | 181<br>181 |
|             |          |         |   | 183        |
|             |          | 0.0.2   | 3.3.2.1 The right to establish prohibited airspace over territories     | 100        |
|             |          |         | 0 7   | 183        |
|             |          |         | 0 0 11 1  | 187        |
|             |          | 3.3.3   | Summary: Prohibited airspace over territories of undetermined           |            |
|             | $\alpha$ |         | 0 ,   | 188        |
| 4           | Cha      | apter s | summary and conclusions   | 188        |
|             |          |         |   |            |
| 5           | Air      | SPACE   | RESTRICTIONS IN TIMES OF WAR  | 191        |
| 1           |          |         | ,   | 191        |
| 2           |          |         |   | 191        |
|             |          |         | ,   | 191        |
|             | 2.2      |         | 1   | 191        |
|             |          |         | 0   | 191        |
|             |          | 2.2.2   | The relationship between Article 89 and Article 9 of the Chicago        |            |
|             |          |         |   | 194        |
|             | 2.3      |         |   | 195        |
|             |          |         | ,   | 195        |
|             |          |         | 1   | 196        |
|             |          |         | 1 9   | 198        |
|             |          | 2.3.4   | Subsequent agreement between the parties regarding the meaning of 'war' | 198        |
|             |          |         |   | 198        |
|             |          |         |   | 200        |
|             | 2.4      | Trigg   |   | 202        |
|             |          |         | * **  | 202        |
|             |          |         | •   | 203        |
|             |          |         |   | 206        |
|             | 2.5      |         |   | 208        |
| 3           |          |         |   | 211        |
|             |          |         |   | 211        |
|             |          |         |   | 212        |
|             | 3.3      | Hum     | anitarian rules   | 214        |
|             |          | 3.3.1   | Customary rule on precautionary measures                                | 214        |
|             |          |         | Customary obligation of removing civil objects from combat              |            |
|             |          |         | zones   | 216        |

XII Table of contents

|    | <ul> <li>3.3.3 The expansion of customary obligations to 'conflict zones' defined by ICAO</li> <li>3.3.3.1 The tragedy of PS752</li> <li>3.3.3.2 Obligatory prohibited airspace over heighted alert areas</li> <li>3.4 Caveat to the obligation: technical considerations</li> </ul> | 218<br>218<br>222<br>224 |  |
|----|--|--------------------------|--|
| 4  | 3.5 Interim conclusions Chapter summary and conclusions  | 227<br>228               |  |
| -  | Complete Surface of Contractions   |                          |  |
| 6  | A SYNTHESIS AND THE PROSPECT FOR A COHERENT SECURITY REGIME FOR  |                          |  |
|    | PROHIBITED AIRSPACE  | 231                      |  |
| 1  | Preliminary remarks  | 231                      |  |
| 2  | A coherent legal regime for prohibited airspace  | 231                      |  |
|    | 2.1 Normative analysis of the Chicago Convention and ICAO regulations  | 231                      |  |
|    | 2.1.1 Conditions to establish prohibited airspace in the Chicago   |                          |  |
|    | Convention – how?  | 231                      |  |
|    | 2.1.2 Justifications for prohibited airspace under Article 9 – when?   | 232                      |  |
|    | 2.1.3 Jurisdiction to establish prohibited airspace – who?   | 233                      |  |
|    | 2.2 Sovereignty and ATS jurisdiction exercised by the same State   | 234                      |  |
|    | 2.2.1 From 'may' to 'should'   | 234                      |  |
|    | 2.2.2 When should States establish prohibited airspace?  | 235                      |  |
|    | 2.3 Sovereignty and ATS jurisdiction exercised by different States   | 236                      |  |
|    | 2.3.1 Prohibited airspace in bilaterally delegated airspace  | 236                      |  |
|    | 2.3.2 Danger areas over the high seas  | 237                      |  |
|    | 2.3.3 Prohibited airspace in areas of undetermined sovereignty   | 237                      |  |
|    | 2.4 ATS jurisdiction not exercised by any State  | 238                      |  |
| 3  | Stocktaking and prospect for lex ferenda   | 239                      |  |
| Sī | JMMARY   | 243                      |  |
|    |  | 247                      |  |
|    | Samenvatting (Dutch summary)   |                          |  |
| SE | LECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY  | 251                      |  |
| Ct | CURRICULUM VITAE   |                          |  |

# List of tables of figures

| Figure 1:  | Hierarchy of air laws and regulations  | 48       |
|------------|--|----------|
| Figure 2:  | UNCLOS Maritime and Airspace Zones   | 61       |
| Figure 3:  | Archipelagic sea lane passage  | 62       |
| Figure 4:  | The Pasir Gudang Port  | 91       |
| Figure 5:  | Proposed restricted airspace over Pasir Gudang   | 92       |
| Figure 6:  | Airspace closure over Pakistan   | 96       |
| Figure 7:  | Air routes between India and Pakistan in April 2019                                    | 99       |
| Figure 8:  | Air Routes over Pakistan in April 2019   | 102      |
| Figure 9:  | The new route in and out of Qatar  | 109      |
| Figure 10: | Air Navigation Services  | 114      |
| Figure 11: | Singapore FIR  | 138      |
| Figure 12: | High seas  | 168      |
| Figure 13: | ATS in the northeast of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia's                                  |          |
|            | airspace   | 171      |
| Figure 14: | Relationship territory, sovereignty and FIRs   | 173      |
| Figure 15: | The airspace over Cyprus   | 184      |
| Figure 16: | Civil aviation over Ukraine on 24 February 2022  | 223      |
| Figure 17: | The relationship among conflict zones, prohibited airspace,                            |          |
|            | and combat zones   | 229      |
| Table 1:   | Air service links in the Middle East in 1988   | 100 /100 |
|            |  | 122/123  |
| Table 2:   | Prohibited airspace in times of IAC, NIAC and military tensions                        | 210      |
| Table 3:   | Attacks against Civilian Aircraft over 'Conflict Zones' as defined by ICAO (1978-2020) | 221      |
| Table 4:   | Matrix for a coherent security regime for prohibited                                   |          |
| 14.2.2. 1. | airspace   | 239      |
|            | amopace  | 207      |

#### List of abbreviations

ACI Airports Council International
AIC Aeronautical Information Circular
AIP Aeronautical Information Publication
ANB Air Navigation Bureau (of ICAO)
ANC Air Navigation Commission (of ICAO)

ANP Air Navigation Plan
ANS Air Navigation Services
ASA Air Services Agreement
ATM Air Traffic Management
ATS Air Traffic Services
CAA Civil Aviation Authority

CJEU Court of Justice of the European Union
CZIB Conflict Zone Information Bulletin
CZIR Conflict Zone Information Repository

DSB Dutch Safety Board

EASA European Union Aviation Safety Agency

EUROCONTROL European Organisation for the Safety of Air Navigation

EEZ Exclusive Economic Zone EC European Commission

ECAC European Civil Aviation Conference

EU European Union

FAA United States Federal Aviation Administration

FABs Functional Airspace Blocks FIR Flight Information Region

GADSS Global Aeronautical Distress and Safety System (of ICAO)

GANP Global Air Navigation Plan (of ICAO)
GASP Global Aviation Safety Plan (of ICAO)
GIS Geographic Information System
HLSC High-level Safety Conference
IAC International Armed Conflict

ICAO International Civil Aviation Organization

ICTY International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia

ICJ International Court of Justice

ICRC International Committee of the Red Cross

IHL International Humanitarian Law
 ILC International Law Commission
 MANPADS Man-portable air-defence systems
 NIAC Non-international Armed Conflict

NOTAM Notice to airmen

PANS ICAO Procedures for Air Navigation Services
PIRG Planning and implementation regional group

Proceedings Proceedings of the International Civil Aviation Conference.

Chicago, Ill., November 1–December 7, 1944. (Publ. No. 2820) 2 vols. United States, Department of State, Washington D.C.,

1948

RCZ Risks to civil aviation arising from Conflict Zones

SARPs Standard and Recommended Practices

SAMs Surface-to-air missiles

SES European Union's Single European Sky Initiative

SMS Safety management system

TF RCZ Task force on Risks to Civil Aviation arising from Conflict

Zones (of ICAO)

USAP Universal Security Audit Programme (of ICAO)

USOAP Universal Safety Oversight Audit Programme (of ICAO)

UN United Nations

UNCLOS United Nations Convention for the Law of the Sea

UNGA United Nations General Assembly
UNSC United Nations Security Council
UNTS United Nations Treaty Series

VCLT Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties

WHO World Health Organization