

Imaging phenotypes and outcomes after revascularization in chronic coronary artery disease

Saraste, A.; Bax, J.J.

Citation

Saraste, A., & Bax, J. J. (2022). Imaging phenotypes and outcomes after revascularization in chronic coronary artery disease. *Journal Of Nuclear Cardiology, 30,* 748-750. doi:10.1007/s12350-022-03118-x

Version:Publisher's VersionLicense:Leiden University Non-exclusive licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3567774

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



Imaging phenotypes and outcomes after revascularization in chronic coronary artery disease

Antti Saraste, MD, PhD,^{a,b} and Jeroen J. Bax, MD, PhD^{b,c}

^a Turku PET Centre, Turku University Hospital and University of Turku, Turku, Finland

^b Heart Center, Turku University Hospital and University of Turku, Turku, Finland

^c Department of Cardiology, Heart Lung Centre, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, The Netherlands

Received Sep 12, 2022; accepted Sep 14, 2022 doi:10.1007/s12350-022-03118-x

See related article, pp. 736-747

In chronic coronary artery disease (CAD), myocardial revascularization aims at treating myocardial ischemia for symptom relief or to reduce the risk of major acute cardiovascular events including myocardial infarction (MI) and death.^{1,2} A meta-analysis has indicated that revascularization targeting functionally significant lesions defined by reduced fractional flow reserve (FFR) reduces the risk of death and MI as compared to medical therapy alone.³ Similarly, observational studies have found survival benefit from myocardial revascularization over medical therapy in patients with moderate to severe myocardial ischemia on non-invasive testing.⁴ However, the International Study of Comparative Health Effectiveness with Medical and Invasive Approaches (ISCHEMIA) did not find reduction in risk of MI or death between such patients randomized to early invasive or conservative strategy during a median of 3.2 years of follow-up, although symptoms and quality of life improved upon revascularization.⁵ Consequently, there has been an increased interest in studying disease phenotypes that might predict prognostic benefit from revascularization in chronic CAD.

1071-3581/\$34.00

In this issue of the journal, Liga et al. evaluated associations between anatomic and functional severity of CAD, myocardial revascularization, and outcomes in a registry of patients referred to myocardial perfusion imaging and subsequently, had either coronary computed tomography angiography (CTA) or invasive coronary angiography within 90 days.⁶ Among 1585 patients, 1184 had obstructive CAD defined as a stenosis of \geq 70% and in 466 patients, obstructive CAD was associated with significant ischemia involving > 10% of the left ventricle. The management of patients with obstructive CAD was classified as appropriate in 797 patients in whom all obstructive lesions associated with significant myocardial ischemia were revascularized or, in the absence of significant ischemia, a conservative strategy was chosen. Management was classified as inappropriate in 386 patients in whom ischemic lesions were not treated (37% of such patients) or revascularization was performed in the absence of ischemia.

The main finding of the study by Liga et al. is that the primary end-point of cardiac death or non-fatal MI occurred less frequently in patients who were treated appropriately versus inappropriately treated.⁶ During average follow-up of 4.7 years, 132 patients died or had non-fatal MI. Patients with obstructive CAD associated with significant ischemia had significantly higher event rate when ischemic lesions were not treated versus those who had complete revascularization (17.6% vs 5.1%, P < .001). Conversely, revascularization of non-ischemic lesions was not superior to conservative management. Appropriateness of management remained associated with outcomes when adjusted for previous MI, elevated fasting plasma glucose, left ventricular ejection fraction, and extent of ischemia.

In addition to diagnostic performance, clinical value of a diagnostic test depends on its ability to stratify

Reprint requests: Jeroen J. Bax, MD, PhD, Heart Center, Turku University Hospital and University of Turku, Turku, Finland; *j.j.bax@lumc.nl*

J Nucl Cardiol 2023;30:748-50.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s) under exclusive licence to American Society of Nuclear Cardiology

patients at low or high risk of adverse events and guide subsequent management. The use of either functional imaging or invasive functional assessment for myocardial ischemia is recommended to evaluate stenoses before revascularization.¹ In the study of Liga et al., appropriateness of revascularization was defined based on finding myocardial ischemia involving > 10% of the left ventricle, a subgroup in which revascularization has been shown to be associated with prognostic benefit.^{1,4} It should be noted, however, that revascularization may be appropriate in the presence of less extensive ischemia for reducing symptoms rather than for prognostic reasons.

The study of Liga et al. adds to emerging evidence that combined anatomic and functional approach to investigate patients with chronic CAD may guide management for improved outcomes. In this respect, functional information can play a complementary role by further refining cardiovascular risk prediction.^{7,8} In the Evaluation of Integrated Cardiac Imaging for the Detection and Characterization of Ischemic Heart Disease (EVINCI) study, patients with CAD and ischemia who were managed with early revascularization had similar outcomes to patients with CAD without ischemia without revascularization.⁹ Conversely, managed patients with CAD without ischemia had higher event rates when revascularized.9 The Scottish Computed Tomography of the Heart (SCOT-HEART) trial demonstrated that anatomic approach, with coronary CTA, on top of exercise testing decreased rate of nonfatal MI compared to standard care.¹⁰ Observational analysis by Miller et al. found in large, propensity score matched cohorts that revascularization by both percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary artery bypass surgery was associated with reduced all-cause mortality (hazard ratios .70 and .73, respectively) in the presence of ischemia in > 15% of the left ventricle.¹¹ Similarly, the study by Patel et al. found in propensity adjusted analyses that there was a significant interaction between ischemia and early revascularization.¹² Patients with greater ischemia on ⁸²Rb PET had improved survival with early revascularization.¹² Exploratory analysis suggested a lower ischemia threshold (< 5% of the LV) above which survival benefit is observed with early revascularization than in earlier analyses based on SPECT.^{4,11,12} In addition to ischemia, new parameters based on quantification of myocardial blood flow have been evaluated for predicting benefit from early revascularization. One study found that revascularization of lesions associated with severe reduction of coronary flow capacity determined by ⁸²Rb PET was associated with reduced risk of death and myocardial infarction.¹³ Other studies have found that reduced global myocardial

flow reserve measured by ⁸²Rb PET was a predictor of mortality benefit from revascularization.^{14,15}

The analysis of Liga et al. is associated with limitations inherent to retrospective analysis and nonrandomized allocation of management. Despite significant ischemia, relatively large proportion of patients was not revascularized, which may be caused by many reasons, such as uncertainty regarding whether the test results were responsible for the patient's presenting symptoms, patient preference, challenges in performing revascularization or comorbidities. Thus, there may be unmeasured confounding factors impacting survival and risk of MI in unmatched cohorts. However, the study of Liga et al. is representative of all patients evaluated for potential revascularization, whereas patients who did not have a clear equipoise between invasive and conservative strategy, such as those with unacceptable levels of angina, may be less likely to be enrolled in a randomized clinical trial. A comprehensive medical therapy has been part of the management in randomized trials,^{1,5} whereas adherence to medical therapy is uncertain in real life. Finally, follow-up was longer in the study of Liga et al. than in the ISCHEMIA trial. These factors may explain differences in the results of the ISCHEMIA trial⁵ vs observational studies.^{4,6,9,11,12}

The study by Liga et al. provides evidence that combination of significant obstructive CAD and large area of myocardial ischemia is a strong predictor of outcomes that also correlates with benefit from early revascularization. However, due to its observational design, the study does not indicate a causal relationship between revascularization of ischemic myocardium and improved outcomes, because of multiple factors influencing decisions on revascularization. However, observational studies in cohorts representative of all patients being evaluated for CAD complement randomized controlled trials in providing information about the complex relationship between coronary anatomy, revascularization, and outcome in chronic CAD.

Disclosures

Antti Saraste received grants from the Academy of Finland, the Finnish Foundation for Cardiovascular Research and State Research Funding of Turku University Hospital, consultancy fees from Amgen and Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim and Pfizer, and speaker fees from Abbott, Astra Zeneca, and Bayer. Dr. Bax received speaker fees from Abbot Vascular. The department of Cardiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands has received unrestricted research grants from Bayer, Abbott Vascular, Medtronic, Biotronik, Boston Scientific, GE Healthcare and Edwards Lifesciences.

- Knuuti J, Wijns W, Saraste A, Capodanno D, Barbato E, Funck-Brentano C. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J 2020;41:407-77.
- Saraste A, Barbato E, Capodanno D, Edvardsen T, Prescott E, Achenbach S, et al. Imaging in ESC clinical guidelines: Chronic coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2019;20:1187-97.
- Zimmermann FM, Omerovic E, Fournier S, Kelbæk H, Johnson NP, Rothenbühler M, et al. Fractional flow reserve-guided percutaneous coronary intervention vs. medical therapy for patients with stable coronary lesions: Meta-analysis of individual patient data. Eur Heart J 2019;40:180-6.
- 4. Hachamovitch R, Hayes SW, Friedman JD, Cohen I, Berman DS. Comparison of the short-term survival benefit associated with revascularization compared with medical therapy in patients with no prior coronary artery disease undergoing stress myocardial perfusion single photon emission computed tomography. Circulation 2003;107:2900-7.
- Maron DJ, Hochman JS, Reynolds HR, Bangalore S, O'Brien SM, Boden WE, et al. Initial invasive or conservative strategy for stable coronary disease. N Engl J Med 2020;382:1395-407.
- Liga R, Neglia D, Cavaleri S, Grasso E, Giorgetti A, Gimelli A. Prognostic impact of patients' management based on anatomic/functional phenotype: A study in patients with chronic coronary syndromes. J Nucl Cardiol 2022. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12350-022-03070-w.
- Maaniitty T, Stenström I, Bax JJ, Uusitalo V, Ukkonen H, Kajander S, et al. Prognostic value of coronary CT angiography with selective PET perfusion imaging in coronary artery disease. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2017;10:1361-70.
- Pazhenkottil AP, Nkoulou RN, Ghadri JR, Herzog BA, Buechel RR, Kuest SM, et al. Prognostic value of cardiac hybrid imaging integrating single-photon emission computed tomography with

coronary computed tomography angiography. Eur Heart J 2011;32:1465-71.

- Neglia D, Liga R, Caselli C, Carpeggiani C, Lorenzoni V, Sicari R, et al. Anatomical and functional coronary imaging to predict long-term outcome in patients with suspected coronary artery disease: The EVINCI-outcome study. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2020;21:1273-82.
- Newby DE, Adamson PD, Berry C, Boon NA, Dweck MR, Flather M, et al. Coronary CT angiography and 5-year risk of myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2018;379:924-33.
- Miller RJH, Bonow RO, Gransar H, Park R, Slomka PJ, Friedman JD, et al. Percutaneous or surgical revascularization is associated with survival benefit in stable coronary artery disease. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2020;21:961-70.
- Patel KK, Spertus JA, Chan PS, Sperry BW, Thompson RC, Al Badarin F, et al. Extent of myocardial ischemia on positron emission tomography and survival benefit with early revascularization. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;74:1645-54.
- 13. Gould KL, Johnson NP, Roby AE, Nguyen T, Kirkeeide R, Haynie M, et al. Regional, artery-specific thresholds of quantitative myocardial perfusion by PET associated with reduced myocardial infarction and death after revascularization in stable coronary artery disease. J Nucl Med 2019;60:410-7.
- 14. Patel KK, Spertus JA, Chan PS, Sperry BW, Al Badarin F, Kennedy KF, et al. Myocardial blood flow reserve assessed by positron emission tomography myocardial perfusion imaging identifies patients with a survival benefit from early revascularization. Eur Heart J 2020;41:759-68.
- 15. Taqueti VR, Hachamovitch R, Murthy VL, Naya M, Foster CR, Hainer J, et al. Global coronary flow reserve is associated with adverse cardiovascular events independently of luminal angiographic severity and modifies the effect of early revascularization. Circulation 2015;131:19-27.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.