



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## The European precariat: the protection of precarious workers in the European Union

Carter, D.W.

### Citation

Carter, D. W. (2023, April 19). *The European precariat: the protection of precarious workers in the European Union*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3594459>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3594459>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

**The European Precariat:  
The Protection of Precarious Workers in the European Union**

# **The European Precariat: The Protection of Precarious Workers in the European Union**

PROEFSCHRIFT  
ter verkrijging van  
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,  
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties  
te verdedigen op woensdag 19 april 2023  
klokke 11.15 uur

*door*

Daniel William Carter  
Geboren in Southampton, England  
In 1985

Promotor: prof. dr. R.C. Tobler  
Co-promotor: dr. M. Jesse

Promotiecommissie: prof. dr. M. Dougan (University of Liverpool, UK)  
prof. dr. N. Nic Shuibhne (University of Edinburgh, UK)  
prof. dr. S. Van Den Bogaert  
dr. V. Kosta

## Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my supervisors, Prof. Christa Tobler and Dr. Moritz Jesse, for their encouragement, motivation, and support during my time at Leiden. In particular, to Christa for giving me the opportunity to both write a PhD and act as Academic Coordinator for the Advanced LLM in European and International Business Law, which has undoubtedly been one of the most enriching and rewarding experiences of my life. Thank you also to Moritz for his support and mentorship as a teacher, moot court coach, co-coach, and co-author.

I would next like to express my appreciation to the members of the PhD Committee: Prof. Michael Dougan, Prof. Niamh Nic Shuibhe, Prof. Stefaan Van den Bogaert and Dr. Vasiliki Kosta for agreeing to assess my manuscript. I am honoured that they have invested the time and effort, and I am very grateful for the keen and helpful insights that they have provided.

A special mention to my paranympths, Kristel van Kruisbergen and Valentine Vandendaele, for the laborious work of preparing for a PhD defence as well as their enduring support and friendship over the years and into the future.

Next, thank you to the many, many people at Leiden Law School and the Europa Institute that made my time in Leiden such a special and memorable experience. To my dear friend and marathon partner Merinda Stewart, thank you for keeping me sane during the highs and lows of the ‘other marathon’. Thank you to my moot court coach, colleague, and dear friend Ilektra Antonaki for all her support and encouragement. Thank you to Maria Cabrera for persuading me to participate in the European Law Moot Court Competition and to my wonderful teammates, Aurelie Villanueva and Ruth Foulis, for our challenging, exhilarating, and generally life-altering experiences in Leiden, Prague, and Luxembourg. Thank you to Darinka Piqani for supervising my LLM thesis and her guidance throughout my studies. And to everyone else at Leiden that made it such a wonderful place to work: Maarten, Nathan, Barbora, Daniel, Kristof, Veronika, Richard, Anran, Tuvana, David, Carina, Jorrit, Armin, Vestert, Melanie, Alison, Ben, Jorrit, Armin, Rick, Petra, Jet, Jasmina, Narin, Agis, Sheena, and all the others who made my time at Leiden such a rich and fulfilling experience.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents for their emotional and financial support throughout my studies. To my mother, Loraine Harding, for her resolute support throughout my academic studies (and for proofreading large sections of this manuscript!), and to my father, Keith Carter, for giving me an opportunity to see that the most rewarding experiences can come from unexpected places.

## Table of Contents

### CONTENTS

<i>Chapter 1: Introduction .....</i>	<i>1</i>
1    The Development of Labour Markets & The Rise of Precarious Employment .....	1
2    The European Regulation of Precarious Employment .....	3
3    Protecting the European Precariat .....	6
4    Research Question & Sub-questions .....	7
5    Methodology & Limitations of the Thesis.....	8
6    Academic Relevance .....	10
7    Societal Relevance.....	13
8    Structure of the Thesis.....	14
<i>Part I: The Development of Labour Markets and the Rise of Precariousness .....</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>Chapter 2: The De-standardisation &amp; Precariatisation of Employment .....</i>	<i>19</i>
1    Introduction.....	19
2    The Development of Labour Markets Pre-European Union .....	19
3    Bretton Woods and the Post-war Consensus of Embedded Liberalism.....	22
3.1    Standardised Employment .....	24
3.2    The Welfare State.....	25
4    The De-standardisation of Employment.....	26
4.1    Shifting Consensus: From 'Embedded' to 'Neo' Liberalism.....	27
4.2    Flexible (i.e., de-standardised) Employment .....	28
4.3    Activating Labour Market Policies.....	30
5    Labour Markets following the Global Financial Crisis .....	31
6    The Traits of Precariousness .....	33
7    Conclusion.....	37
<i>Chapter 3: The European Response to the Development of Labour Markets.....</i>	<i>39</i>
1    Introduction.....	39
2    The Treaty of Rome.....	39
2.1    Rome: Social Protection through Market Integration .....	40
2.2    The EEC: European Embedded Liberalism? .....	43
3.    Maastricht: The Start of Social Integration.....	46
3.1    Maastricht: Making the Market more Social .....	46
3.2    Amsterdam: Formalised Social Competences .....	48
3.3    Open Coordination & The European Employment Strategy.....	49
3.4    Maastricht: European Neoliberalism? .....	51

<b>4 The Treaty of Lisbon .....</b>	<b>53</b>
4.1 The Balance Between Market and Social Rights.....	54
4.2 Increased Fundamental Rights Protection .....	55
4.3 The European Pillar of Social Rights.....	56
<b>5 Conclusion: The Limits and Influences on the Protection of Workers under EU law .....</b>	<b>58</b>
<i>Part II: The General Protection of EU Migrant Workers .....</i>	<i>61</i>
<i>Chapter 4: The Definition and Status of the Worker under EU Law .....</i>	<i>62</i>
<b>1 Introduction.....</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>2 The Definition of Worker under EU Law .....</b>	<b>62</b>
2.1 The Lawrie-Blum Criteria.....	63
2.2 Genuine Economic Activity .....	64
2.3 Subordination.....	70
2.4 Remuneration.....	72
<b>3 The Reach of Lawrie Blum beyond the Freedom of Movement for Workers .....</b>	<b>74</b>
3.1 EU Definition: The Direct Application of Lawrie-Blum.....	74
3.2 Member State Definition: The Indirect Application of Lawrie-Blum.....	76
3.3 A Uniform Definition of Worker Across EU Law? .....	81
<b>4 Lawrie-Blum as the Gateway to Market Citizenship .....</b>	<b>82</b>
4.1 Protection through Lawrie-Blum.....	82
4.2 Market Citizenship with a Federalised Character.....	85
<b>5 Conclusion.....</b>	<b>87</b>
<i>Chapter 5: Non-economic Free Movement &amp; Union Citizenship.....</i>	<i>89</i>
<b>1 Introduction.....</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>2 The Development of Non-Economic Rights: Pre-Citizenship .....</b>	<b>90</b>
2.1 ‘Non-economic’ Market Rights .....	90
2.2 The Residency Directives.....	92
<b>3 Citizenship of the European Union .....</b>	<b>94</b>
3.1 Citizenship of the European Union.....	95
3.2 The (expansive) early Citizenship case-law on.....	97
<b>4 One Directive to Rule Them All: Directive 2004/38 .....</b>	<b>103</b>
4.1 The Right to Reside under Directive 2004/38.....	104
4.2 Limitations on the Right to Reside .....	106
4.3 Equal Treatment Rights & Limitations .....	108
<b>5 The Case-law on Union Citizenship following the Adoption of Directive 2004/38 .....</b>	<b>109</b>
5.1 Early Case-law .....	109
5.2 The Saga of the Special Non-contributory Cash Benefits.....	114
<b>6 Evaluating Social Protection under Directive 2004/38 .....</b>	<b>121</b>

6.1	Criticisms of the Court .....	121
6.2	Unwarranted Nostalgia? .....	123
6.3	The Greater Legal Value of Directive 2004/38?.....	125
6.4	Literal & Teleological Interpretations of the Directive 2004/38 .....	127
<b>7</b>	<b>Implications for Non-standard and Precarious Workers .....</b>	<b>129</b>
7.1	No safety net of Social Citizenship.....	129
7.2	'Earned' Union Citizenship with (continued) Market Dominance.....	131
7.3	An Autonomous and Precarious System .....	134
7.4	Systemic in Place of Individual Assessments .....	139
7.5	Less Entitlement to Social Benefits .....	142
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusion.....</b>	<b>144</b>
<i>Part III: Case Studies .....</i>		<i>146</i>
<i>Chapter 6: Part-time &amp; On-Demand Workers .....</i>		<i>147</i>
<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Precarious forms of Limited Employment.....</b>	<b>148</b>
2.1	Marginal Part-time Work .....	149
2.2	On-demand Work, Zero-hour, and Platform Work.....	150
<b>3</b>	<b>Marginal Work &amp; Genuine Economic Activity .....</b>	<b>152</b>
3.1	CJEU Approach: Quantity over Quality? .....	153
3.2	A Shift towards Qualitative Considerations? .....	154
3.3	National Application: A problem of enforcement?.....	155
<b>4</b>	<b>Precarious Part-time Workers under Directive 2004/38.....</b>	<b>159</b>
4.1	The Binary Distinction between Economic Activity and Inactivity.....	159
4.2	Marginal Workers as Jobseekers.....	161
4.3	Sufficient Resources as Residual Residence for Marginal Workers? .....	164
4.4	The Treatment of Marginal Workers under National Law.....	166
<b>5</b>	<b>The Free Movement Rights of Precarious Part-time Workers.....</b>	<b>168</b>
5.1	Employment-based Rights .....	168
5.2	Social Security .....	170
5.3	Social Assistance and Social Advantages .....	173
5.4	Derived Family Rights .....	174
<b>6</b>	<b>The Social Rights of Precarious Part-time Workers.....</b>	<b>176</b>
6.1	The Part-time Work Directive .....	177
6.2	The Working Time Directive.....	182
6.3	Equal treatment between Men and Women .....	187
6.4	The Charter of Fundamental Rights.....	190
6.5	The Directive on Transparent & Predictable Working Conditions: (Finally) Protecting Marginal Workers? .....	196

<b>7</b>	<b>The Wider Consequences of excluding Precarious Part-time Workers.....</b>	<b>198</b>
7.1	Breaking the bonds of Market Solidarity.....	199
7.2	The European Lumpenprecariat.....	201
7.3	Labour Commodification & Downward Pressures on Social Standards.....	203
<b>8</b>	<b>Solution: A Rebuttable Presumption of Genuine Activity?.....</b>	<b>205</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Conclusion.....</b>	<b>208</b>
<i>Chapter 7: Intermittent Workers .....</i>		<b>211</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>211</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Precarious forms of Intermittent Employment .....</b>	<b>211</b>
2.1	Fixed-term Work.....	212
2.2	Employment Agency Work.....	212
2.3	Other forms of Intermittent Employment .....	213
<b>3</b>	<b>Protection of Intermittent Workers under Directive 2004/38 .....</b>	<b>214</b>
3.1	Retaining Workers Status: The Court's Case-law .....	214
3.2	No Social Assistance for Jobseekers? Intermittent Workers and the 'Alimanovic Trap' ....	219
3.3	Retaining Worker Status: A Proportionate System? .....	220
3.4	Intermittent Workers & Permanent Residence.....	221
3.5	Application at National Level.....	222
<b>4</b>	<b>Intermittent &amp; Fixed-term Workers and EU Social Law .....</b>	<b>224</b>
4.1	The Fixed-term Work Directive .....	224
4.2	The Employment Agency Directive .....	228
4.3	The Charter of Fundamental Rights.....	229
4.4	Transparent and Predictable Working Conditions .....	229
<b>5</b>	<b>The Wider Consequences for Intermittent Workers.....</b>	<b>230</b>
5.1	Intermittent Workers: Neoliberal Market Citizens? .....	230
5.2	Dualisations in the Labour Market .....	231
5.3	Social Exclusion.....	232
<b>6</b>	<b>Solution: Elevated Employment Security for Intermittent Workers?.....</b>	<b>232</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion.....</b>	<b>233</b>
<i>Chapter 8: False &amp; Precarious Self-Employed Persons.....</i>		<b>235</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>235</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Precarious Forms of Self-Employment.....</b>	<b>235</b>
2.1	'False' or 'Bogus' Self-employment .....	236
2.2	Dependent Contractors & Platform Workers .....	237
<b>3</b>	<b>The Distinction between genuine and false self-employment.....</b>	<b>238</b>
3.1	The Court of Justice .....	238
3.2	National Courts.....	240

3.3 Comparing the National and European Approaches .....	243
<b>4 The Protection of the Self-Employed under Free Movement Law.....</b>	<b>245</b>
4.1 Protection under the Freedom of Establishment provisions .....	245
4.2 Social Protection of Self-employed under Directive 2004/38 .....	246
<b>5 Social Protection of Self-Employed: Social Rights .....</b>	<b>248</b>
5.1 (No Right to) Employment & Social Law .....	249
5.2 The Right to Assembly and Collectively Agreed Rates.....	250
5.3 EU Competition Law.....	251
5.4 The Freedom of Establishment and Service Provision .....	254
<b>6 Suggestions: A Presumption of Paid Employment &amp; Social Rights for Precarious Self-Employed Workers?.....</b>	<b>256</b>
<b>7 Conclusion.....</b>	<b>258</b>
<i>Chapter 9: Final Conclusions.....</i>	260
1 Europe Sways with the Winds of Change .....	260
2 Protecting Precarious Workers: Please Mind the (Legal) Gap .....	263
3 Part-time and On-demand Workers: Europe's Lumpenprecariat? .....	265
4 Intermittent Workers: Falling between the Gaps .....	267
5 Precarious Self-Employment: New Employment, Old Distinctions.....	269
6 Final Comments: Time to protect Europe's Most Vulnerable Workers? .....	271
<i>Het Europese Precariaat .....</i>	273
<i>Samenvatting (Dutch Summary).....</i>	273
<i>Summary .....</i>	275
<i>Bibliography.....</i>	276
<i>Curriculum Vitae .....</i>	302