

Click-on fluorescence detectors: using robotic surgical instruments to characterize molecular tissue aspects

Oosterom, M.N. van; Leeuwen, S.I. van; Mazzone, E.; Dell'Oglio, P.; Buckle, T.; Beurden, F. van; ... ; Leeuwen, F.W.B. van

Citation

Oosterom, M. N. van, Leeuwen, S. I. van, Mazzone, E., Dell'Oglio, P., Buckle, T., Beurden, F. van, … Leeuwen, F. W. B. van. (2022). Click-on fluorescence detectors: using robotic surgical instruments to characterize molecular tissue aspects. *Journal Of Robotic Surgery*, *17*, 131-140. doi:10.1007/s11701-022-01382-0

Version: Publisher's Version License: [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3567621>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Click‑on fuorescence detectors: using robotic surgical instruments to characterize molecular tissue aspects

Matthias N. van Oosterom^{1,2} · Sven I. van Leeuwen¹ · Elio Mazzone^{3,4} · Paolo Dell'Oglio^{1,2,4,5} · Tessa Buckle^{1,2} · Florian van Beurden^{1,2} · Michael Boonekamp⁶ · Huybert van de Stadt⁶ · Kevin Bauwens⁴ · Hervé Simon⁷ · **Pim J. van Leeuwen² · Henk G. van der Poel2 · Fijs W. B. van Leeuwen1,2,[4](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6844-4025)**

Received: 23 December 2021 / Accepted: 29 January 2022 / Published online: 9 April 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Fluorescence imaging is increasingly being implemented in surgery. One of the drawbacks of its application is the need to switch back-and-forth between fuorescence- and white-light-imaging settings and not being able to dissect safely under fuorescence guidance. The aim of this study was to engineer 'click-on' fuorescence detectors that transform standard robotic instruments into molecular sensing devices that enable the surgeon to detect near-infrared (NIR) fuorescence in a white-light setting. This NIR-fuorescence detector setup was engineered to be press-ftted onto standard forceps instruments of the da Vinci robot. Following system characterization in a phantom setting (i.e., spectral properties, sensitivity and tissue signal attenuation), the performance with regard to diferent clinical indocyanine green (ICG) indications (e.g., angiography and lymphatic mapping) was determined via robotic surgery in pigs. To evaluate in-human applicability, the setup was also used for ICG-containing lymph node specimens from robotic prostate cancer surgery. The resulting Click-On device allowed for NIR ICG signal identification down to a concentration of 4.77×10^{-6} mg/ml. The fully assembled system could be introduced through the trocar and grasping, and movement abilities of the instrument were preserved. During surgery, the system allowed for the identifcation of blood vessels and assessment of vascularization (i.e., bowel, bladder and kidney), as well as localization of pelvic lymph nodes. During human specimen evaluation, it was able to distinguish sentinel from non-sentinel lymph nodes. With this introduction of a NIR-fuorescence Click-On sensing detector, a next step is made towards using surgical instruments in the characterization of molecular tissue aspects.

Keywords Robotic surgery · Fluorescence guided surgery · Image-guided surgery · Biosensing · Near-infrared · Steerable surgical instruments

authorship.

 \boxtimes Fijs W. B. van Leeuwen f.w.b.van_leeuwen@lumc.nl

- Interventional Molecular Imaging Laboratory, Department of Radiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands
- ² Department of Urology, Netherlands Cancer Institute-Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Department of Urology and Division of Experimental Oncology, Urological Research Institute, IRCCS San Rafaele Scientifc Institute, Milan, Italy

Introduction

One of the key features through which surgery can be improved is intraoperative target identifcation. In the last Matthias N. van Oosterom and Sven I. van Leeuwen shared first decade we have seen a rise of fluorescence guidance as a

- ⁴ ORSI Academy, Melle, Belgium
- ⁵ Department of Urology, ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milan, Italy
- ⁶ Design & Prototyping, Department of Medical Technology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands
- Eurorad, Eckbolsheim, France

means to facilitate the decision-making process during (laparoscopic) surgery. In particular, angiography and lymphatic mapping with the near-infrared (NIR) fuorescent dye indocyanine green (ICG) are now widely used [\[1](#page-9-0)]. The clinical demand for fuorescence-guided surgery coupled with the competition between industries that supply surgical equipment has given rise to a wealth of fuorescence detection modalities. Most engineering efforts focus on integrating fuorescence guidance in routine surgical workfows. For example, the first clinical fluorescence-guided surgery studies with the da Vinci robot still relied on the introduction of a second laparoscope through the assistant port to enable fuorescence imaging [[2\]](#page-9-1). However, current da Vinci robotic platforms are now equipped with a Firefly fluorescence laparoscope designed to support white light imaging as well as the detection of ICG [[3\]](#page-9-2). As such, the full da Vinci install base can beneft from ICG fuorescence guidance. A feature that has allowed surgeons to explore a variety of fuorescence-guided surgery concepts for diferent surgical indications; e.g., lymph node (LN) dissection [[4,](#page-9-3) [5\]](#page-10-0), partial nephrectomy $[6, 7]$ $[6, 7]$ $[6, 7]$ $[6, 7]$, anastomosis of the bowel $[8]$ $[8]$, visualization of the ureters $[1]$ $[1]$ and biliary tree identification $[9, 10]$ $[9, 10]$ $[9, 10]$ $[9, 10]$ $[9, 10]$.

Where ICG has been one of the few fuorescent tracers currently used in routine care, this does not mean that the technology of fuorescence-guided surgery is no longer evolving. In fact, the feld is actively being extended through the introduction of a great variety of fuorescent tracers $[11, 12]$ $[11, 12]$ $[11, 12]$. Furthermore, engineering efforts in fluorescenceguided surgery try to explore concepts such as reduced dosing [\[13](#page-10-8), [14](#page-10-9)], lifetime-imaging [\[15](#page-10-10)], use of diferent and/or complementing fuorescent emissions [[12\]](#page-10-7), optoacoustic imaging [[16\]](#page-10-11), and fuorescence tomography [[17\]](#page-10-12). One large constraint in all these fuorescence guidance concepts is that their implementation requires a surgeon to sequentially apply fuorescence and white light imaging. Surgeons are trained to resect under white light conditions and these conditions provide the best way to assess the surgical feld (e.g., bleedings). As such, switching between diferent imaging modes can cause pauses that are detrimental to the surgical workflow.

The aim of this project was to introduce a 'molecular sensing' technology that can measure the fuorescent content present in all tissues grasped during robotic surgery. This information source should be independent of the surgical light settings used (i.e., white light or fuorescence imaging) and the type of laparoscope used. Building on an earlier 'click-on' detector design [\[18](#page-10-13)] and optical fuorescence tracing concepts [\[17,](#page-10-12) [19\]](#page-10-14), we engineered a Click-On fuorescence detection system that can be attached to the widely applied ProGrasp instrument, providing both acoustic and numerical feedback upon the presence of ICG in the grasped tissues. This technology was evaluated in a phantom setting, during porcine surgery, and on surgical specimens (i.e., sentinel lymph nodes; SNs) from prostate cancer surgery.

Methods

Engineering of the Click‑On NIR fuorescence sensing device and system characterization

A schematic overview of the Click-On setup is shown in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) It consists of excitation and detection modules, designed to press-ft on to the jaws of a ProGrasp Forceps

Fig. 1 Schematic overview of the NIR fuorescence Click-On setup. **A**–**B** The modules are clicked onto a standard ProGrasp Forceps of the Da Vinci surgical robot. **C** The range of motion of the steerable instrument is not limited by the Click-On modules. **D** Upon illumina-

tion with the excitation light (in blue), the instrument detects the fuorescent tracer (in red) between the jaws of the forceps. **E** Upon detection of NIR fuorescence, the read-out unit provides both a numerical (i.e., counts/s) and audible feedback

robotic instrument (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)D). The prototype was built using an OptoNuclear read-out console (Eurorad SA) as basis [[17](#page-10-12), [19\]](#page-10-14). Both excitation and emission modules consist of a computer numerical control (CNC)-milled aluminum housing, designed with computer-aided design (CAD) software (SolidWorks, Dassault Systèmes SA), a CNC-milled stainless-steel mirror and acrylic optical fber (Edmund Optics Inc., Barrington, NJ, USA). Two acrylic optical fbers transport fuorescence excitation and emission and each have a 1 mm diameter and 0.51 numerical aperture. The excitation side is coupled to a 785 nm laser for fuorescence excitation, while the emission side is coupled to an optically fltered (i.e.,>810 nm) infrared-extended photomultiplier tube (H10721-20, Hamamatsu Photonics kk.) for fuorescence emission collection. Sample time for detection was chosen as 0.5 s. Fluorescence detection was depicted as both a numerical (i.e., counts/s) and audible read-out (Fig. [1E](#page-2-0)).

Excitation properties

To characterize the illumination properties of the system with respect to the absorption and emission spectra of ICG, a Horiba Jobin Yvon VS140 linear array fber spectrometer (Horiba Ltd., Kyoto, Japan) was used with a 1 m optical fber patch cable (M15L01, Thorlabs Inc., Newton, NJ, USA). To investigate the actual excitation power within the jaws of the Click-On system, a Thorlabs PM16-121 power meter (Thorlabs Inc.) was used with National instruments VISA software set at 785 nm.

Detection properties

To characterize the sensitivity of NIR fuorescence detection of the resulting Click-On setup, glass capillaries were taken (inner diameter 1.15 mm, outer diameter 1.55 mm; Micro Haematocrit tubes, Brand GmbH) and flled with an ICG dilution series ranging from 5.0 mg/mL to 9.3 ng/mL, dissolved in a human serum albumin concentration (HSA; 200 g/L) with dilution steps of 1:1, similar as used before [\[20](#page-10-15)]. All individual capillaries were grasped with the forceps setup and the resulting count rates were recorded. The measurements were acquired in triplicate. To demonstrate the efect that tissue has on these measurements, the whole process was also repeated with porcine fatty tissue covering the capillaries on all sides with a layer of approximately 1 mm, which would result in a rough tissue volume of 50 mm^3 .

To compare sensitivity with respect to the Firefy fuorescence camera systems of the da Vinci Si and Xi robotic systems, the same ICG dilution series was measured with both Firefly Si and Xi systems [\[20\]](#page-10-15). Since the cameras are applied in an observative fashion, rather than a grasping fashion, the ICG dilutions were placed in a black well-plate (96-wells,

Cellstar; Greiner Bio-One GmbH, Frickenhausen, Germany; with each well containing 50 μL of solution).

Opening and closing of the forceps jaws changes both the illumination profle on the targeted tissue (i.e., excitation light becomes more or less focused), as well as the captured emission profle of the targeted tissue (i.e., more or less fuorescent emission is captured). Therefore, measurements were taken to evaluate how sensitivity of ICG fuorescence detection changes at diferent opening angles of the forceps jaws. To this end, the fuorescent counts/s were measured with the setup using various jaw angles and a capillary filled with an ICG concentration of 7.63×10^{-5} mg/mL (prepared as described above). To fx the opening angles of the jaws precisely and reproducibly, a small holder was designed with CAD software (Dassault Systèmes SA) and 3D printed (acrylonitrile butadiene styrene plastics; Dimension Elite 3D printer, Stratasys Ltd., Eden Prairie, MN, USA), to fxate the capillary and the jaws at defned angles (ranging from 10 to 35 degrees). The whole experiment was performed four times.

In vivo evaluation in porcine models

Functioning of the NIR Click-On setup during surgery was investigated in fve living porcine models (weight per animal approximately 40 kg), with no specifc inclusion or exclusion criteria. Using both the da Vinci Si and Xi robotic platforms, two diferent surgical applications were investigated: (1) tissue vascularization detection, and (2) LN detection. In the frst, ICG was injected intravenously (1.5 mL, 2.5 mg/mL solution in sterile water) roughly 1 h prior to surgery. For the latter, ICG $(-0.1 \text{ mL}, 2.5 \text{ mg/mL}$ solution in sterile water) was injected intraoperatively using a needle attached to a syringe via a fexible tubing system, as previously described [[21\]](#page-10-16). After insertion of the needle through the trocar, the surgeon placed several tracer deposits at diferent anatomical locations to induce drainage towards LNs. To cross-validate functioning of the Click-On setup intraoperatively, the Firefy robotic laparoscope was used to confrm the presence of ICG via fuorescence imaging.

Tissue strongly attenuates light, mostly due to absorption and scatter [\[22\]](#page-10-17). As such fuorescence signal intensities are generally considered qualitative measures. In fact, in vivo quantifcation does not occur during routine clinical use of ICG. To still investigate if the quantifcation of fuorescence signals measured with the Click-On system would be of added beneft, a rough comparison was made between: (1) the theoretical ICG concentration expected in the tissue of interest based on injected dose and tracer pharmacokinetics, and (2) the ICG concentration that would be estimated based on the numerical read-out as provided by the Click-On system.

All animal experiments were performed under approval by the ethical board of the University of Ghent and were performed in accordance with the Experiments on Animals Act (Wod, 2014), the applicable legislation in Belgium and in accordance with the European guidelines (EU directive no. 2010/63/EU) regarding the protection of animals used for scientifc purposes. No animals had to be excluded from the evaluation. All animal data were reported in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines (Animals in Research: Reporting In Vivo Experiments) [[23\]](#page-10-18).

Ex vivo evaluation with prostate cancer samples

To evaluate the NIR Click-On setup with clinically relevant doses in human tissue, surgical LN specimens of three prostate cancer patients were evaluated ex vivo with the da Vinci Si robotic platform. These patients underwent a robot-assisted laparoscopic SN biopsy procedure, extended pelvic LN dissection and radical prostatectomy. To guide intraoperative dissection of the SNs, either the hybrid tracer ICG-99mTc-nanocolloid (2 patients) or 99mTc-nanocolloid (1 patient) was injected intra-prostatically using four deposits, in the morning before surgery, similar as described previously [[24\]](#page-10-19). To allow for fuorescence guidance in the latter group, ICG was injected intra-prostatically at the start of surgery. Using both lymphoscintigraphy and single-photon emission computed tomography/x-ray computed tomography (SPECT/CT) imaging, the number and location of prostate draining SNs were mapped before surgery. After surgical removal in the afternoon, the Click-On NIR detectors were applied ex vivo to evaluate if it could discriminate between the SNs that contained tracer uptake and the LNs which did not. Besides using the Firefy fuorescence laparoscope to confrm the presence of fuorescence, in this setting using a bimodal tracer, radioguidance (NeoProbe, Devicor Medical Products) also allowed for cross-validation. For ex vivo confrmation of fuorescence imaging, an open surgery fuorescence camera was used (FIS-00, Hamamatsu Photonics K.K., Japan). All activities were in accordance with the ethical approval of our institution and the Helsinki Declaration.

Results

Engineering of the Click‑On NIR fuorescence sensing device and system characterization

The Click-On fuorescence system was designed to: (1) obtain sufficient sensitivity (i.e., emit enough light for excitation and collect enough of the emission light), (2) allow for the fully mounted instrument to be inserted through a

12 mm trocar, (3) not restrict the 6-degrees of rotational freedom of the ProGrasp forceps [[25](#page-10-20)] maintaining a rough range of movement from -95° to $+95^\circ$ around the nominal axis of the instrument, and (4) preserve the normal grasping functions of the ProGrasp forceps (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)).

Excitation properties

An overview of the spectral properties of the NIR Click-On system, the Firefy Si in fuorescence mode and Firefy Xi in fuorescence mode are shown in Fig. [2](#page-5-0)A, D and E, respectively. The custom-made mirrors (placed over an angle of 45°) were sufficient to angle the excitation light and fluorescent emission in the jaws of the instrument, out of and into the fbers respectively. Measuring the light intensity with the power meter revealed 1.8 mW within the grasping surface of the forceps jaws (\sim 5 mm²). By using a press fit (i.e., the Click-On parts were manufactured 0.05 mm larger than the inner cavity of the grasper), we were able to explore free space in the jaws, thus preserving the geometry (i.e., the grasping surface) of the grasper. In addition to the audible feedback, the Click-On detection system provides a count rate proportional to the amount of fuorescence detected, both refecting the number of counts per second.

Detection properties

Evaluation in the phantom setup showed that, without a fuorescent source present, the Click-On modules did not record signal (counts/s); neither in open (opposing fibers at $>$ 215°) or closed position (opposing fbers at 180°; Fig. [2B](#page-5-0)). As is shown in Fig. [2C](#page-5-0), the opening angle of the forceps jaws had a large infuence on the ICG detection sensitivity: the smaller the opening angle the higher the detection sensitivity. Beyond an opening angle of about 30°, the forceps was no longer able to accurately detect ICG fuorescence in this phantom setting.

An overview of the count rate detected for the ICG dilution series in the capillaries with and without tissue is shown in Fig. [3](#page-5-1). Without tissue present, an increasing ICG concentration resulted in an increased detected count rate, up to a concentration where the dye self-quenches, as described previously [[20\]](#page-10-15). Signal saturation was reached for concentrations between 3.13×10^{-1} and 2.44×10^{-3} mg/mL. The detection limit in this NIR Click-On setup was found to be at an ICG concentration of at least 4.77×10^{-6} mg/mL. Repeating the measurements of the dilution series using attenuation by fatty porcine tissue (50 mm^3) yielded a clear signal attenuation, resulting in a signifcant drop in signal intensity and detection range (detection limit at 6.10×10^{-4} mg/mL), but preserved the same detection trend. Figure [3](#page-5-1) also displays

Fig. 2 Characterization of the Click-On NIR fuorescence device and Firefy Si and Xi camera systems. **A** An overview of the relevant spectral properties of the Click-On system (i.e., excitation in green and detection window in yellow) in relation to the absorption (in red) and emission spectra (in blue) of ICG. **B** Graphical representation of the forceps jaws in a closed position (top) and an open position (bottom). **C** Infuence of the forceps jaw angle on fuorescence detection sensitivity (without tissue). **D** Excitation spectrum of the Firefy Si system. **E** Excitation spectrum of the Firefy Xi system

Fig. 3 Fluorescence detection sensitivity of the Click-On NIR fuorescence device and Firefy Si and Xi camera systems

the detection sensitivity of both the Firefy Si and Xi camera systems with respect to the Click-On detector, suggesting the latter has a superior sensitivity.

In vivo evaluation in porcine models

Figure [4](#page-6-0) shows an overview of the Click-On system functioning in various laparoscopic applications during robotic surgery in pigs (see also Supplemental Video 1). Hereby, the count rates displayed demonstrate typical examples of the count rates detected. Given the high values obtained in vivo, it is likely that the ICG uptake measured was within a 1 mm tissue depth for all tissues (see Fig. [3](#page-5-1) for count rates measured with and without tissue).

In the angiographic experiments, it was possible to identify individual blood vessels or evaluate the vascularization

Fig. 4 Overview of the in vivo evaluation of the Click-On NIR fuorescence setup, showing examples for diferent applications. The Click-On modality functions in both white light imaging mode and fuorescence imaging mode, rendering it independent of the laparo-

scopic camera setting. The Click-On modality provides both an audible and numerical feedback once ICG is detected. The latter is used the roughly estimate the ICG concentration in the tissue grasped

of a specifc organ section, such as for the kidney, bowel and bladder (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)), with Click-On count rates detected up to 15,000 counts/s, at which the system was fully saturated. Based on the intravenously injected amount of ICG (i.e., 3.75 mg) and the typical total blood volume of a pig $(i.e., \sim 2.6 L for a pig of 40 kg), it is expected that a well$ vascularized part of tissue would contain 10^{-3} mg/mL of ICG. Figure [4](#page-6-0) displays a count rate 10,375 counts/s measured with the Click-On directly on a blood vessel. Relating this count rate to the values displayed in Fig. [3](#page-5-1) would also translate to a concentration range of 10^{-3} mg/mL. Figure [4](#page-6-0) shows a count rate of 15,000 counts/s for a vascularized part of the kidney, which would translate to an ICG concentration of 10^{-3} – 10^{-1} mg/mL. In a similar fashion, the examples from Fig. [4](#page-6-0) show a rough estimated ICG concentration of 10^{-4} – 10^{-3} mg/mL for both bowel and bladder tissue. Background measurements in control tissue (e.g., connective tissue; as observed with the Firefy) helped to confrm the systems specifcity, displaying a count range of 0 counts/s $(< 10^{-6}$ mg/mL; Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0). All count rates observed were in line with the fuorescence intensities identifed using the Firefy laparoscope (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)). The Click-On modules provided a successful performance in all light settings and were independent of the type of Firefy laparoscope used, rendering the Click-On modality independent of the camera settings and allowing for fuorescence detection during every part of the procedure.

In the pigs wherein ICG was locally administered (e.g., in abdominal wall or muscle) to allow for lymphatic mapping, the Click-On system was able to successfully localize the tissue draining LNs accumulating ICG. Based on the injected amount of ICG (i.e., 0.25 mg), typical lymphatic drainage (i.e., 1–10% [[13\]](#page-10-8)) and typical lymph node volume (i.e., roughly 0.15 mL), it is expected that there would be an ICG concentration of 10^{-3} – 10^{-2} mg/mL in the draining lymph nodes. Again, relating the count rate displayed in Fig. [4](#page-6-0) (15,000 counts/s) to the sensitivity displayed in Fig. [3](#page-5-1), yields a matching ICG concentration range $(10^{-3}-10^{-1} \text{ mg/mL})$. Just as with the angiographic applications, the Click-On NIR fuorescence measurements were possible during both white light and fuorescence imaging settings of the Firefy (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0).

Ex vivo evaluation with prostate cancer samples

Based on the preoperative SPECT/CT (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)A), SN locations were mapped and intraoperatively resected using both fuorescence- and radio-guidance, as described previously [\[13\]](#page-10-8). After surgical removal of the prostate cancer related (sentinel) LN specimens, back-table evaluations with the Click-On NIR fuorescence system enabled for a clear separation between LNs containing ICG-99mTcnanocolloid (count rates up to 4375 counts/s) and those that did not (count range of 0 counts/s; Fig. [5\)](#page-7-0). This was confrmed with the Firefy fuorescence laparoscope, the FIS-00 open surgery fuorescence camera and a handheld

Fig. 5 Example of the ex vivo evaluation in prostate cancer SN surgery. **A** A volume rendering of the patient SPECT/CT scan shows an overview of two SN locations, where tracer uptake is visualized in green. To illustrate the location of the non-SN specimen removed during surgery, an annotation of this lymph node was also added to

the scan. **B**–**D** The three annotated lymph nodes are shown on the individual CT slice (left) and evaluated with the Click-On fuorescence setup (right). The Click-On modality was able to identify SN specimens from non-SN specimens, providing a non-zero count rate and a sound when the SNs were detected

gamma probe. During these analyses, the readout of the Click-On NIR fuorescence detectors was not limited by ambient operating room lightening, a light source known to impair fuorescence detection [\[26\]](#page-10-21).

Discussion

By creating a Click-On NIR fuorescence detector, we have been able to convert a ProGrasp forceps into a fuorescence sensing device. Providing integrated detection of ICG, the technology proved value during real-life robotassisted procedures, such as angiography (including e.g., bladder and bowel anastomoses) and ICG lymphatic mapping, even at clinically relevant doses (Fig. [6\)](#page-8-0). In these procedures the instrument provides a numeric and acoustic read-out that complements the images of the fuorescence laparoscope. Important to note is that this type of fuorescence guidance remained useful in the white-light imaging mode, thus allowing the operating surgeon to "sense" the fuorescence signal during every part of the procedure, including the actual dissection. As such, this may also provide a way to realize fuorescence detection on newly emerging clinically approved robotic platforms (e.g., the Hugo RAS system of Medtronic plc and the Versius system of CMR Surgical Ltd) that do not yet have integrated fuorescence cameras.

Through the opto-nuclear technology, fber based fuorescent sensors have already demonstrated clinical potential in both open and laparoscopic surgery [\[17,](#page-10-12) [19,](#page-10-14) [27](#page-10-22), [28](#page-10-23)]. Alternatively, fber-based detection has also been clinically explored with for example Raman spectroscopy [[29](#page-10-24)], dif-fuse reflectance spectroscopy [[30](#page-10-25)], autofluorescence lifetime [[31\]](#page-10-26) and confocal microscopy [[32](#page-10-27)]. In the current study we extend on these efforts by integrating optical fbers with the robotic surgery instruments themselves. This approach is in line with the generation of dedicated tethered modalities for (robot-)assisted laparoscopic surgery [[33](#page-10-28)], such as the 'drop-in' ultrasound [[34](#page-10-29)], 'drop-in' gamma probe [\[24\]](#page-10-19), 'drop-in' beta probe [[35](#page-10-30)], 'drop-in' mass spectrometry probe [[36](#page-10-31)] and 'drop-in' electrical bio-impedance probe [[37](#page-10-32)]. These modern detection technologies allow the robotic surgeon to autonomously position the detectors with optimized degrees of freedom [[25](#page-10-20)], providing more maneuverability with respect to rigid laparoscopic instruments, including traditional fuorescence laparoscopes. With our Click-On gamma probe efforts we demonstrated that attaching the modality directly to the instrument enhances the surgical dexterity [[18\]](#page-10-13). While our eforts with gamma and NIR-fuorescence detection are based on the ProGrasp instrument, an instrument that is often used as third supporting instrument during the time of dedicated resection, the concept could equally apply to other instruments.

One of the limitations of this proof-of-concept study is the inability to defne how the technology would impact patient care. Something that remains a general challenge for the up-and-coming feld of fuorescence guided surgery; impact on patient care requires long term outcome studies. That said, one of the largest robotic studies on the value of fluorescence guidance at the moment $(n=1680)$ does indicate the potential beneft that fuorescence could have, indicating that more positive lymph nodes could be retrieved during prostate cancer lymph node surgery [\[38](#page-10-33)]. Recently, it was shown that performance improvements realized through a medical device may also be defned via kinematic assessment of surgical instrument movements [\[18](#page-10-13)]. In the future, a head-to-head comparison study between the Click-On fuorescence detectors and the current clinical standard could provide quantifed insight in to how the technology alters surgical decision making, dexterity and logistics. For routine in-human application, however, the Click-On device will need to comply to the latest European regulations for medical devices (i.e., EU MDR).

Next to the challenges faced to quantify performance improvements, a major challenge is found in the quantifcation of fuorescence signal intensities. Light is severely attenuated by tissue, as such NIR fuorescence-guided surgery remains a superficial method $(i.e., < 1 cm$ depth penetration) [[39\]](#page-10-34). Some studies, however, suggest that this efect can be partially compensated by compressing the tissue [[40](#page-10-35)]. A concept that we inherently apply in our sensing setup (see

Fig. 6 Overview of the Click-On fuorescence detector evaluation, displaying the three main stages: I. phantom, II. in vivo porcine and III. ex vivo human

Fig. [4](#page-6-0)), where the fuorescent content is measured when tissue is grasped within the forceps. Therefore, it is likely to expect that a grasping method can detect low fuorescent signatures that are initially not visible using a fuorescence camera. Furthermore, since the fuorescent content of the tissue grasped is measured as counts per second, rough comparison of the fuorescent tracer uptake is possible between diferent tissues. Interestingly, comparing the theoretical and in vivo count-derivatized ICG concentrations (Figs. [3](#page-5-1) and [4](#page-6-0)) suggested similar ICG concentrations. However, since tissue composition and depth of signal are uncontrollable during surgery, so is the attenuating efect that tissue has on the fuorescent signal intensities. Consequently, we consider real-time quantifcation of the fuorescence signal intensities in vivo currently too unreliable.

In the current study, we used Click-On detectors on the ProGrasp instrument already in routine clinical use. To avoid additional tissue damage, we did not modify the grasping function of the instruments themselves. That said, caution should still be taken as diferent tissue types have a diferent pressure resistance [[41\]](#page-10-36). Hence, random grasping of tissue should be avoided. An argument to use the technology in conjunction with a fuorescence laparoscope.

By engineering the NIR Click-On detectors, we provided a frst step towards the generation of fuorescence sensing surgical instruments. Future refinement of this concept could include multispectral (also called 'multi-wavelength' or 'multi-color') fuorescence detection [[12\]](#page-10-7). This would allow the instrument to "sense" complementary fuorescent emissions (e.g., multiple tracers) during a single surgical procedure [[20\]](#page-10-15), e.g., detection of both the primary tumor and tumor draining lymphatics with two individual fuorescent tracers [[42\]](#page-10-37).

Conclusion

The present study successfully introduces the concept of a Click-On fuorescence-based sensing modality for robotic surgical instruments, turning regular forceps into a molecular sensing device. As such the modality was capable of detecting ICG in tissue during every part of the surgery, independent of the fuorescence laparoscope type and settings. This is a step towards biosensing applications, where the surgical instruments themselves can be used to characterize the molecular aspects of tissue.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11701-022-01382-0>.

Authors' contributions MO, SL, EM, PD, TB, FB, MB, HS, KB, HS, PL—organized the measurements and performed critical drafting of the manuscript; FL, HP, MO—designed the study, organized the measurements, supervised the project and preformed critical drafting of the manuscript.

Funding This research was funded by an NWO‐TTW‐VICI Grant (#16141). Hardware support was provided by Eurorad S.A., Hamamatsu Photonics K.K. and Intuitive Surgical Inc.

Availability of data and materials If not already present in the manuscript, all remaining datasets used during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Code availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval We followed our institutional policies for the use of animals in our research.

Consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Conflict of interest MvO, SvL, MB, HvdP and FvL have a pending‐ patent on Click-On fuorescence detectors. During this research, EM, PD, KB and FvL were (partially) affiliated with ORSI Academy and HS was afliated with Eurorad S.A.. No further conficts of interest exist.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- 1. Cacciamani GE et al (2020) Best practices in near-infrared fuorescence imaging with indocyanine green (NIRF/ICG)-guided robotic urologic surgery: a systematic review-based expert consensus. World J Urol 38(4):883–896
- 2. van der Poel HG et al (2011) Intraoperative laparoscopic fuorescence guidance to the sentinel lymph node in prostate cancer patients: clinical proof of concept of an integrated functional imaging approach using a multimodal tracer. Eur Urol 60(4):826–833
- 3. Esposito C et al (2020) Image-guided pediatric surgery using indocyanine green (ICG) fuorescence in laparoscopic and robotic surgery. Front Pediatr 8:314
- 4. Harke NN et al (2018) Fluorescence-supported lymphography and extended pelvic lymph node dissection in robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: a prospective, randomized trial. World J Urol 36(11):1817–1823
- 5. Rajakumar T et al (2020) Use of intraoperative fuorescence to enhance robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. Future Oncol 17(9):1083–1095
- 6. Bjurlin MA, McClintock TR, Stifelman MD (2015) Near-infrared fuorescence imaging with intraoperative administration of indocyanine green for robotic partial nephrectomy. Curr Urol Rep 16(4):20
- 7. Pandey A et al (2019) Usefulness of the indocyanine green (ICG) immunofuorescence in laparoscopic and robotic partial nephrectomy. Arch Esp Urol 72(8):723–728
- 8. Jafari MD et al (2013) The use of indocyanine green fuorescence to assess anastomotic perfusion during robotic assisted laparoscopic rectal surgery. Surg Endosc 27(8):3003–3008
- 9. Chen Q et al (2021) Extrahepatic biliary tract visualization using near-infrared fuorescence imaging with indocyanine green: optimization of dose and dosing time. Surg Endosc 35(10):5573–5582
- 10. Vlek S et al (2017) Biliary tract visualization using nearinfrared imaging with indocyanine green during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: results of a systematic review. Surg Endosc 31(7):2731–2742
- 11. Hernot S et al (2019) Latest developments in molecular tracers for fuorescence image-guided cancer surgery. Lancet Oncol 20(7):e354–e367
- 12. van Beurden F et al (2020) Multi-wavelength fuorescence in image-guided surgery, clinical feasibility and future perspectives. Mol Imaging 19:1536012120962333
- 13. KleinJan GH et al (2016) Fluorescence guided surgery and tracer-dose, fact or fiction? Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 43(10):1857–1867
- 14. Lamberts LE et al (2017) Tumor-specifc uptake of fuorescent bevacizumab–IRDye800CW microdosing in patients with primary breast cancer: a phase I feasibility study. Clin Cancer Res 23(11):2730–2741
- 15. Kumar AT et al (2017) Fluorescence lifetime-based contrast enhancement of indocyanine green-labeled tumors. J Biomed Optics 22(4):040501
- 16. Schellenberg MW, Hunt HK (2018) Hand-held optoacoustic imaging: a review. Photoacoustics 11:14–27
- 17. van Oosterom MN et al (2019) Extending the hybrid surgical guidance concept with freehand fuorescence tomography. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 39(1):226–235
- 18. Azargoshasb S et al (2021) The Click-On gamma probe, a secondgeneration tethered robotic gamma probe that improves dexterity and surgical decision-making. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imag. 48:1–10
- 19. van den Berg NS et al (2015) First-in-human evaluation of a hybrid modality that allows combined radio- and (near-infrared) fuorescence tracing during surgery. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 42(11):1639–1647
- 20. Meershoek P et al (2020) Multi-wavelength fuorescence imaging with a da Vinci Firefy—a technical look behind the scenes. J Robotic Surg; 1–10.
- 21. Meershoek P et al (2018) Multispectral-fuorescence imaging as a tool to separate healthy from disease-related lymphatic anatomy during robot-assisted laparoscopy. J Nucl Med 59(11):1757–1760
- 22. Keereweer S et al (2013) Optical image-guided cancer surgery: challenges and limitations. Clin Cancer Res 19(14):3745–3754
- 23. Kilkenny C et al (2010) Improving bioscience research reporting: the ARRIVE guidelines for reporting animal research. PLoS Biol 8(6):e1000412
- 24. Dell'Oglio P et al (2021) A DROP-IN gamma probe for robotassisted radioguided surgery of lymph nodes during radical prostatectomy. Eur Urol 79(1):124–132
- 25. van Oosterom MN et al (2016) Revolutionizing (robot-assisted) laparoscopic gamma tracing using a drop-in gamma probe technology. Am J Nucl Med Mol Imag 6(1):1–17
- 26. van den Berg NS et al (2016) (Near-infrared) fuorescence-guided surgery under ambient light conditions: a next step to embedment of the technology in clinical routine. Ann Surg Oncol 23(8):2586–2595
- 27. Poumellec M et al (2016) Sentinel node detection using optonuclear probe (gamma and fuorescence) after green indocyanine and radio-isotope injections. Gynecol Obstetrique Fertilite 44(4):207–210
- 28. Vidal-Sicart S et al (2018) Clinical use of an opto-nuclear probe for hybrid sentinel node biopsy guidance: frst results. Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg. 2018: 1–8.
- 29. Pinto M et al (2019) Integration of a Raman spectroscopy system to a robotic-assisted surgical system for real-time tissue characterization during radical prostatectomy procedures. J Biomed Optics 24(2):025001
- 30. Spliethoff JW et al (2016) In vivo characterization of colorectal metastases in human liver using difuse refectance spectroscopy: toward guidance in oncological procedures. J Biomed Optics 21(9):097004
- 31. Gorpas D et al (2019) Autofuorescence lifetime augmented reality as a means for real-time robotic surgery guidance in human patients. Sci Rep 9(1):1–9
- 32. Lopez A et al (2016) Intraoperative optical biopsy during robotic assisted radical prostatectomy using confocal endomicroscopy. J Urol 195(4):1110–1117
- 33. Wendler T, et al. (2021) How molecular imaging will enable robotic precision surgery. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imag. 2021: 1–24.
- 34. Kaczmarek BF et al (2013) Robotic ultrasound probe for tumor identifcation in robotic partial nephrectomy: initial series and outcomes. Int J Urol 20(2):172–176
- 35. Collamati F et al (2020) A DROP-IN beta probe for robot-assisted 68 Ga-PSMA radioguided surgery: frst ex vivo technology evaluation using prostate cancer specimens. EJNMMI Res 10(1):1–10
- 36. Keating MF et al (2020) Integrating the MasSpec pen to the da Vinci surgical system for in vivo tissue analysis during a robotic assisted porcine surgery. Anal Chem 92(17):11535–11542
- 37. Zhu G et al (2020) Design of a drop-in EBI sensor probe for abnormal tissue detection in minimally invasive surgery. J Electrical Bioimpedance 11(1):87
- 38. Mazzone E et al (2021) Diagnostic value, oncological outcomes and safety profle of image-guided surgery technologies during robot-assisted lymph node dissection with sentinel node biopsy for prostate cancer. J Nucl Med 62:1363
- 39. Gioux S, Choi HS, Frangioni JV (2010) Image-guided surgery using invisible near-infrared light: fundamentals of clinical translation. Mol Imag 9(5):7290.2010.00034
- 40. Kitai T, Kawashima M (2012) Transcutaneous detection and direct approach to the sentinel node using axillary compression technique in ICG fuorescence-navigated sentinel node biopsy for breast cancer. Breast Cancer 19(4):343–348
- 41. Barrie J et al (2018) An in vivo analysis of safe laparoscopic grasping thresholds for colorectal surgery. Surg Endosc 32(10):4244–4250
- 42. de Vries HM et al (2022) c-MET receptor-targeted fuorescence on the road to image-guided surgery in penile squamous cell carcinoma patients. J Nucl Med 63(1):51–56

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.