



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

The relevance of impact: bibliometric-enhanced legal information retrieval

Wiggers, G.

Citation

Wiggers, G. (2023, March 8). *The relevance of impact: bibliometric-enhanced legal information retrieval*. SIKS Dissertation Series. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3570499>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3570499>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Bibliography

- [1] *Kamerstukken II* 1996/97, **20644**, nr. 30
- [2] Oxford English Dictionary. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2019), <https://www.oed.com/view/Entry/161891?redirectedFrom=relevance#eid>
- [3] Abendroth, R.: Rangwisseling pandrecht door eigenlijke achterstelling. *Weekblad voor Privaatrecht, Notariaat en Registratie* **7029**, 756–762 (2014)
- [4] Abrol, M., Latache, N., Mahadevan, U., Mao, J., Mukherjee, R., Raghavan, P., ..., Zhang, G.: Navigating large-scale semi-structured data in business portals. *VLDB* **1**, 663–666 (2001)
- [5] Agrawal, R., Halverson, A., Kenthapadi, K., Mishra, N., Tsaparas, P.: Generating labels from clicks. In: *Proceedings of the Second ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*. pp. 172–181 (2009)
- [6] Allan, J., Carterette, B., Lewis, J.: When will information retrieval be 'good enough'? In: *Proceedings of the 28th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*. pp. 433–440 (2005)
- [7] Anderson, M.J.: A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral ecology* **26**(1), 32–46 (2001)

- [8] Anderson, M.: Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA), pp. 1–15 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118445112.stat07841>
- [9] Azzopardi, L., Moffat, A., Thomas, P., Zuccon, G.: User models, metrics and measures of search: a tutorial on the c/w/l evaluation framework. In: Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Human Information Interaction and Retrieval. pp. 347–348 (2021)
- [10] Azzopardi, L.: Building cost-benefit models of information interactions. In: Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Human Information Interaction and Retrieval. pp. 425–428 (2017)
- [11] Azzopardi, L., Zuccon, G.: Two scrolls or one click: A cost model for browsing search results. In: Advances in Information Retrieval: 38th European Conference on IR Research, ECIR 2016. pp. 696–702 (2016)
- [12] Barry, C.: User-defined relevance criteria: An exploratory study. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science* **45**(3), 149–159 (1994)
- [13] Barry, C., Schamber, L.: Users’ criteria for relevance evaluation: a cross-situational comparison. *Information Processing and Management* **34**(2/3), 219–236 (1998)
- [14] Baskaya, F., Keskustalo, H., Järvelin, K.: Time drives interaction: simulating sessions in diverse searching environments. In: Proceedings of the 35th international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval. pp. 105–114 (2012)
- [15] Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S.: Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* **67**(1), 1–48 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01>

- [16] Beel, J., Gipp, B.: Google scholar's ranking algorithm: the impact of citation counts (an empirical study). In: Third International Conference on Research Challenges in Information Science (RCIS). pp. 439–446 (2009)
- [17] Blair, D.C., Maron, M.E.: An evaluation of retrieval effectiveness for a full-text document-retrieval system. *Communications of the ACM* **28**(3), 289–299 (1985)
- [18] Bock, A.: Gütezeichen als Qualitätsaussage im digitalen Informationsmarkt: dargestellt am Beispiel elektronischer Rechtsdatenbanken. S. Toeche-Mittler (2000)
- [19] Bonaccorsi, A., Daraio, C., Fantoni, S., Folli, V., Leonetti, M., Ruocco, G.: Do social sciences and humanities behave like life and hard sciences? *Scientometrics* **112**(1), 607–653 (2017)
- [20] Bornmann, L., Bowman, B., Bauer, J., Marx, W., Schier, H., Palzenberg, M.: *Bibliometric Standards for Evaluating Research Institutes in the Natural Sciences*, pp. 201–224. *Beyond Bibliometrics: Harnessing Multidimensional Indicators of Scholarly Impact*, MIT Press (2014)
- [21] Boyack, K.: Mapping knowledge domains: characterizing pnas. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. vol. 101, pp. 5192–5199 (2004)
- [22] Brandsen, A., K Lambers, K., Verberne, S., Wansleeben, M.: User requirement solicitation for an information retrieval system applied to dutch grey literature in the archaeology domain. *Journal of Computer Applications in Archaeology* **2**(1), 21–30 (2019)
- [23] Broder, A.: A taxonomy of web search. *ACM SIGIR forum* **36**, 3–10 (2002)

- [24] Brody, T., Harnad, S., Carr, L.: Earlier web usage statistics as predictors of later citation impact. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology* **57**(8), 1060–1072 (2006)
- [25] Bruza, P.D., Huibers, T.W.: A study of aboutness in information retrieval. *Artificial Intelligence Review* **10**(5-6), 381–407 (1996)
- [26] Buckley, C.: Trec eval ir evaluation package (2004), https://github.com/usnistgov/trec_eval
- [27] Chuklin, A., Markov, I., de Rijke, M.: Click models for web search. *Synthesis Lectures on Information Concepts, Retrieval, and Services* **7**(3), 1–115 (2015)
- [28] Cohen, J.: A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. *Educational and psychological measurement* **20**(1), 37–46 (1960)
- [29] Cole, C., Kuhlthau, C.: Information and information seeking of novice versus expert lawyers: how experts add value. *The New Review of Information Behaviour Research* **1**, 103–115 (2000)
- [30] Conrad, J.G., Zeleznikow, J.: The significance of evaluation in ai and law: a case study re-examining icail proceedings. In: *Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*. pp. 186–191 (2013)
- [31] Conrad, J.G., Zeleznikow, J.: The role of evaluation in ai and law: an examination of its different forms in the ai and law journal. In: *Proceedings of the 15th international conference on artificial intelligence and law*. pp. 181–186 (2015)
- [32] Cool, C., Belkin, N., Frieder, O., Kantor, P.: Characteristics of text affecting relevance judgments. *National online meeting* **14**, 77 (1993)
- [33] Cooper, M., Chen, H.M.: Predicting the relevance of a library catalog search. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology* **51**(10), 813–827 (2001)

- [34] Cosijn, E., Ingwersen, P.: Dimensions of relevance. *Information Processing and Management* **36**, 533–550 (2000)
- [35] Council of Europe, Committee of Ministers: Recommendation r(95)11, concerning the selection, processing, presentation and archiving of court decisions in legal information retrieval systems, appendix I, art. III-5
- [36] Council of Europe, Committee of Ministers: Recommendation rec(2002)13, on the publication and dissemination in the member states of the text of the european convention on human rights and of the case-law of the european court of human rights, explanatory memorandum, under 19
- [37] Cronin, B.: *Scholars and Scripts, Spoors and Scores*, pp. 3–21. *Beyond Bibliometrics: Harnessing Multidimensional Indicators of Scholarly Impact*, MIT Press (2014)
- [38] Cronin, B., Sugimoto, C. (eds.): *Beyond Bibliometrics: Harnessing Multidimensional Indicators of Scholarly Impact*. MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts (2014)
- [39] Cuadra, C.A., Katter, R.V.: *Experimental studies of relevance judgments: Final report. Vol. I: Project summary*. (NSF Report No. TM-3520/001/00). System Development Corp, Santa Monica, CA, United States (1967)
- [40] Damen, L.: De invloed van bestuursrechtelijke annotaties op de rechtspraak. *Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Bestuursrecht* **35**, 280–281 (2016)
- [41] De Bellis, N.: History and Evolution of (Biblio)Metrics, pp. 23–44. *Beyond Bibliometrics: Harnessing Multidimensional Indicators of Scholarly Impact*, MIT Press (2014)
- [42] Burg van der, R.: *A query log analysis in the context of Legal Information Retrieval*. Master thesis, Radboud University, Nijmegen, the Netherlands (2020)

- [43] Erdt, M., Nagarajan, A., Sin, S., Theng, Y.: Altmetrics: an analysis of the state-of-the-art in measuring research impact on social media. *Scientometrics* **109**(2), 1117–1166 (2016)
- [44] Fox, S., Karnawat, K., Mydland, M., Dumais, S., White, T.: Evaluating implicit measures to improve the search experiences. In: *SIGIR03 Workshop on Implicit Measures of User Interests and Preferences* (2003)
- [45] Free Access to Law Movement: Declaration on Free Access to Law (2002), <http://fatlm.org/declaration/>
- [46] Fuhr, N.: Some common mistakes in ir evaluation, and how they can be avoided. *ACM SIGIR Forum* **51**(3), 32–41 (2018)
- [47] Garfield, G.: Citation analysis as a tool in journal evaluation. *Science* **178**(4060), 471–479 (1972)
- [48] Garfield, G.: *Citation Indexing: its theory and application in science, technology, and humanities*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, NY (1979)
- [49] Garner, B. (ed.): *Black’s Law Dictionary*. 11 edn. (2019)
- [50] Geist, A.C.J.: *Rechtsdatenbanken und Relevanzsortierung*. Doctoral dissertation, uniwien, Vienna, Austria (2016)
- [51] Giménez-Toledo, E., Mañana Rodríguez, J., Engels, T.C., Ingwersen, P., Pölönen, J., Sivertsen, G., ..., Zuccala, A.A.: Taking scholarly books into account: current developments in five european countries. *Scientometrics* **107**, 685–699 (2016)
- [52] Grossman, M.R., Cormack, G.V.: Technology-assisted review in e-discovery can be more effective and more efficient than exhaustive manual review. *Rich. JL & Tech.* **17**, 1 (2010)

- [53] Guo, F., Liu, C., Kannan, A., Minka, T., Taylor, M., Wang, Y.M., Faloutsos, C.: Click chain model in web search. In: Proceedings of the 18th international conference on World wide web. pp. 11–20 (2009)
- [54] Harman, D.K.: The trec test collections. (2005), <https://trec.nist.gov/>
- [55] Haustein, S., Bowman, T., Costas, R.: Interpreting 'Altmetrics': Viewing acts on social media through the lens of citation and social theories., pp. 372–406. De Gruyter (2016)
- [56] Haustein, S.: Readership Metrics, pp. 327–344. Beyond Bibliometrics: Harnessing Multidimensional Indicators of Scholarly Impact, MIT Press (2014)
- [57] Hawking, D.: Challenges in enterprise search. ADC **4**, 15–24 (2004)
- [58] Hicks, D.: The four literatures of social science, pp. 473–496. Handbook of quantitative science and technology research, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands (2004)
- [59] Hook, P.: Evaluating the work of judges, pp. 345–364. Beyond Bibliometrics: Harnessing Multidimensional Indicators of Scholarly Impact, MIT Press (2014)
- [60] Ingwersen, P., Järvelin, K.: Information retrieval in context: Irix. ACM SIGIR forum **39**(2), 31–39 (2005)
- [61] Jackson, P., Al-Kofahi, K.: Human Expertise and Artificial Intelligence in Legal Search. Strukturierung der Juristischen Semantik, Weblaw, Bern, Switzerland (2011)
- [62] Jansen, B.J., Spink, A., Kathuria, V.: How to define searching sessions on web search engines. In: Nasraoui, O., Spiliopoulou, M., Srivastava, J., Mobasher, B., Masand, B. (eds.) Advances in Web Mining and Web Usage Analysis. pp. 92–109. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany (2007)

- [63] Järvelin, K.: Explaining user performance in information retrieval: Challenges to ir evaluation. In: Conference on the Theory of Information Retrieval. pp. 289–296 (2009)
- [64] Joachims, T., Granka, L., Pan, B., Hembrooke, H., Gay, G.: Accurately interpreting clickthrough data as implicit feedback. *ACM SIGIR Forum* **51**(1), 4–11 (2017)
- [65] Jones, K.S., Walker, S., Robertson, S.E.: A probabilistic model of information retrieval: development and comparative experiments. In: Information Processing and Management. pp. 779–840 (2000)
- [66] Järvelin, K., Price, S.L., Delcambre, L.M., Nielsen, M.L.: Discounted cumulated gain based evaluation of multiple-query ir sessions. In: European Conference on Information Retrieval. pp. 4–15 (2008)
- [67] Kaltenbrunner, W., Rijcke de, S.: Quantifying “output’ for evaluation: Administrative knowledge politics and changing epistemic cultures in dutch law faculties. *Science and Public Policy* **44**, 284–293 (2017)
- [68] Kellar, M., Watters, C., Inkpen, K.M.: An exploration of web-based monitoring: implications for design. In: Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems. pp. 377–386 (2007)
- [69] Kelly, D., Teevan, J.: Implicit feedback for inferring user preference: A bibliography. *ACM SIGIR Forum* **37**(2), 18–28 (2003)
- [70] Kelly, D.: The future of IR (2018)
- [71] Konstan, J., Miller, B., Maltz, D., Herlocker, J., Gordon, L., Riedl, J.: Grouplens: Applying collaborative filtering to usenet news. *Communications of the ACM* **40**(3), 77–87 (1997)
- [72] Kooijmans, T.: De roekeloze automobilist. *Ars Aequi Maandblad* **118**, 118–124 (2014)

- [73] Kousha, K., Thelwall, M.: Web impact metrics for research assessment. Beyond bibliometrics: Harnessing multidimensional indicators of scholarly impact p. 289 (2014)
- [74] Krans, B.: Vorm of inhoud: de annotatie als wetenschappelijke publicatie? *Ars Aequi Maandblad* **237**, 237–239 (2017)
- [75] Kurtz, M., Henneken, E.: Measuring metrics - a 40-year longitudinal cross-validation of citations, downloads, and peer review in astrophysics. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* **68**, 695–708 (2017)
- [76] Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B., Christensen, R.H.B.: lmerTest package: Tests in linear mixed effects models. *Journal of Statistical Software* **82**(13), 1–26 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v082.i13>
- [77] Lastres, S.A.: Rebooting legal research in a digital age (2013), https://www.lexisnexis.com/documents/pdf/20130806061418_large.pdf
- [78] LexisNexis: LexisNexisLawSchools. Understanding the technology and search algorithm behind Lexis Advance (2013), <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bxJzfYLwXYQ&feature=youtu.be>
- [79] Lindijer, V.: De goede procesorde: een onderzoek naar de betekenis van de goede procesorde als normatief begrip in het burgerlijk procesrecht. *Burgerlijk Proces & Praktijk* nr. IV, Wolters Kluwer (2006)
- [80] Locke, D., Zucco, G.: A test collection for evaluating legal case law search. In: *Proceedings of the 41st International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research & Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR)*. pp. 1261–1264 (2018)
- [81] Makri, S., Blandford, A., Cox, A.: Investigating the information-seeking behaviour of academic lawyers: From ellis’s model to design. *Information Processing & Management* **44**, 613–634 (2008)

- [82] Manning, C., Schütze, H., Raghavan, P.: Introduction to information retrieval. Cambridge university press, Cambridge, United Kingdom (2008)
- [83] Mart, S.: The algorithm as a human artifact: Implications for legal [re]search. *Law Library Journal* **109**, 387 (2017)
- [84] Maxwell, D.M.: Modelling search and stopping in interactive information retrieval. Doctoral dissertation, University of Glasgow (2019)
- [85] McGregor, M., Azzopardi, L., Halvey, M.: Untangling cost, effort, and load in information seeking and retrieval. In: *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Human Information Interaction and Retrieval*. pp. 151–161 (2021)
- [86] Merton, R.: The normative structure of science, pp. 267–278. *The sociology of science: theoretical and empirical investigations* (1973)
- [87] Merton, R.K.: The matthew effect in science, ii: Cumulative advantage and the symbolism of intellectual property. *isis* **79**(4), 606–623 (1988)
- [88] Mi, S., Jiang, J.: Understanding the interpretability of search result summaries. In: *Proceedings of the 42nd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. pp. 989–992 (2019)
- [89] Montesquieu, C.: *L’esprit des lois*. A. Belin (1817)
- [90] Nederlandse Orde van Advocaten: *Modelkantoorhandboek*. The Hague, The Netherlands (2020), <https://www.advocatenorde.nl/kantoorhandboek>
- [91] Newton, I.: Letter to Robert Hooke (1675)
- [92] Oard, D.W., Kim, J.: Modeling information content using observable behavior. In: *Proceedings of the 64th Annual Meeting of the*

- American Society for Information Science and Technology. pp. 38—45 (2001)
- [93] Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H., Szoecs, E., Wagner, H.: *vegan: Community ecology package* (2019), <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan>, r package version 2.5-5
- [94] Opijnen van, M.: *Op en in het web: Hoe de toegankelijkheid van rechterlijke uitspraken kan worden verbeterd*. Boom Juridische uitgevers, The Hague, The Netherlands (2014)
- [95] Page, L., Brin, S., Motwani, R., Winograd, T.: *The PageRank citation ranking: Bringing order to the web*. Stanford InfoLab, Stanford, California (1999)
- [96] Park, T.: The nature of relevance in information retrieval: an empirical study. *Library Quarterly* **63**(3), 318–351 (1993)
- [97] Peoples, L.F.: Testing the limits of westlawnext. *Legal Reference Services Quarterly* **31**, 125–149 (2012), <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1910766>
- [98] Perneger, T.: Relation between online “hit counts” and subsequent citations: prospective study of research papers in the bmj. *British Medical Journal* **329**, 546–547 (2004)
- [99] Piwowar, H.: 31 flavors of research impact through altmetrics. *Research Remix* (2012), <https://researchremix.wordpress.com/2012/01/31/31-flavours/>
- [100] Priem, J., Taraborelli, D., Groth, P., Neylon, C.: *Altmetrics: A manifesto* (2011), <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1187&context=scholcom>
- [101] R Core Team: *R: A language and environment for statistical computing* (2017), <https://www.R-project.org/>

- [102] Rabelo, J., Kim, M.Y., Goebel, R., Yoshioka, M., Kano, Y., Satoh, K.: Coliee 2020: Methods for legal document retrieval and entailment. In: JSAI International Symposium on Artificial Intelligence. pp. 196–210 (2020)
- [103] Rees, A., Schultz, D.G.: A field experimental approach to the study of relevance assessments in relation to document searching. Vol. I. Final report (NSF Contract No. C-423). Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, United States (1967)
- [104] Rehn, C., Kronman, U., Wadskog, D.: Bibliometric Indicators – Definitions and Usage at Karolinska Institutet. Karolinska Institutet University Library, Stockholm, Sweden (2014)
- [105] Reichheld, F.: The one number you need to grow. Harvard business review **81.12**, 46–55 (2003)
- [106] Rieh, S.Y., Belkin, N.J.: Understanding judgment of information quality and cognitive authority in the www. In: Proceedings of the 61st annual meeting of the American society for information science. pp. 279–289 (1998)
- [107] Rousseau, R., Ye, F.: A multi-metric approach for research evaluation. Chinese Science Bulletin **58**(26), 3288–3290 (2013)
- [108] Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW): Judging research on its merits – An advisory report by the Council for the Humanities and the Social Sciences Council. Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, Amsterdam, The Netherlands (2005)
- [109] Saracevic, T.: Relevance: A review of and framework for the thinking on the notion in information science. Journal of the American Society for Information Science **1975**, 321–343 (1975)
- [110] Saracevic, T.: Relevance reconsidered, information science: Integration in perspectives. In: Proceedings of the Second Conference on Conceptions of Library and Information Science. pp. 201–218 (1996)

- [111] Saracevic, T.: Relevance: A Review of the Literature and a Framework for Thinking on the Notion in Information Science. Part III: Behaviour and Effects of Relevance. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology* **58**(13), 2126–2144 (2007)
- [112] Savolainen, R., Kari, J.: User-defined relevance criteria in web searching. *Journal of Documentation* **62**(6), 685–707 (2006)
- [113] Schamber, L., Eisenberg, M., Nilan, M.: A re-examination of relevance: toward a dynamic, situational definition. *Information Processing and Management* **26**(6), 755–776 (1990)
- [114] Singhal, A.: Modern information retrieval: A brief overview. *IEEE Data Eng. Bull.* **24**(4), 35–43 (2001)
- [115] Smith, C.L., Kantor, P.B.: User adaptation: good results from poor systems. In: *Proceedings of the 31st annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*. pp. 147–154 (2008)
- [116] Snel, M.: *Meester(s) over bronnen: een empirische studie naar kwaliteitseisen, gevaren en onderzoekstechnieken die betrekking hebben op het brongebruik in academisch juridisch-dogmatisch onderzoek*. Boom Juridische Uitgevers, The Hague, The Netherlands (2016)
- [117] Snel, M.: Hoera, een lijstje! over bronvermelden. *Ars Aequi* **3**, 254–260 (2018)
- [118] Soetenhorst, W.: Een juridische citatie-index: het proof of concept is voorhanden. *Nederlands Juristenblad* **17**(915), 1184–1186 (2017)
- [119] Stolker, C.: Een discipline in transitie: Rechtswetenschappelijk onderzoek na de commissie koers. *Recht en Methode in onderzoek en onderwijs* **1**, 13–43 (2011)

- [120] Stolker, C.: Rethinking the Law School: Education, research, outreach and governance. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom (2015)
- [121] T., R.R., Chamberlain, J., Azzopardi, L.: Information retrieval in the workplace: A comparison of professional search practices. *Information Processing & Management* **54**, 1042–1057 (2018)
- [122] Tang, D., Agarwal, A., O'Brien, D., Meyer, M.: Overlapping experiment infrastructure: More, better, faster experimentation. In: *Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*. pp. 17–26 (2010)
- [123] Taylor, A.: User relevance criteria choices and the information search process. *Information Processing and Management* **48**, 136–153 (2012)
- [124] Taylor, A.: Examination of work task and criteria choices for the relevance judgment process. *Journal of Documentation* **69**(4), 523–544 (2013)
- [125] Taylor, A., Cool, C., Belkin, N., Amadio, W.: Relationships between categories of relevance criteria and stage in task completion. *Information Processing and Management* **43**, 1071–1084 (2007)
- [126] Teevan, J., Dumais, S.T., Liebling, D.J., Hughes, R.L.: Changing how people view changes on the web. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology*. pp. 237–246 (2009)
- [127] Teufel, S.: *Argumentative zoning for improved citation indexing. Computing attitude and affect in text: Theory and Applications*. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands (2006)
- [128] Toms, E.G., O'Brien, H.L., Kopak, R., Freund, L.: Searching for relevance in the relevance of search. In: *International Conference on Conceptions of Library and Information Sciences*. pp. 59–78. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany (2005)

- [129] Turpin, A., Hersh, W.: Why batch and user evaluations do not give the same results. In: Proceedings of the TwentyFourth Annual International ACM-SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. pp. 225–231 (2001)
- [130] Van Gestel, R., Vranken, J.: Assessing legal research: Sense and nonsense of peer versus bibliometrics and the need for a european approach. *German Law Journal* **12**, 901–929 (2011)
- [131] Van Opijnen, M., Santos, C.: On the concept of relevance in legal information retrieval. *Artificial Intelligence and Law* **25**, 65–87 (2017)
- [132] Verberne, S., He, J., Kruschwitz, U., Wiggers, G., Larsen, B., Russell-Rose, T., de Vries, A.P.: First international workshop on professional search. *ACM SIGIR forum* **52**(2), 153–162 (2019)
- [133] Voorhees, E.M.: The philosophy of information retrieval evaluation. In: Workshop of the Cross-language Evaluation Forum for European Languages. pp. 355–370. Springer (2001)
- [134] VSNU: Oordelen over rechten. rapport commissie voorbereiding onderzoeksbeoordelingrechtsgeleerdheid (2005)
- [135] Waltman, L., Eck van, N.J., Leeuwen van, T.N., Visser, M.S., Raan van, A.F.: Towards a new crown indicator: Some theoretical considerations. *Journal of informetrics* **5**(1), 37–47 (2011)
- [136] Wiggers, G., Lamers, W.: Shepard’s citations revisited - citation metrics for dutch legal information retrieval. In: Proceedings of 17th International Conference of Scientometrics and Informetrics (2019)
- [137] Wiggers, G., Verberne, S., Zwenne, G.J.: Citation metrics for legal information retrieval: scholars and practitioners intertwined? *Legal Information Management* **22**, 88–103 (2022)
- [138] Wiggers, G., Verberne, S., Zwenne, G.J., Loon van, W.: Exploration of domain relevance by legal professionals in information retrieval systems. *Legal Information Management* **22**, 49–67 (2022)

- [139] Wilson, P.: Situational relevance. *Information Storage and Retrieval* **9**(8), 457–471 (1973)
- [140] Winkels, R., Boer, A., Plantevin, I.: Creating context networks in dutch legislation. In: *Proceedings of JURIX*. pp. 155–164 (2013)
- [141] Winkels, R., Boer, A., Vredebrecht, B., Someren van, A.: Towards a legal recommender system. In: *Proceedings of JURIX*. vol. 271, pp. 169–178 (2014)
- [142] Winkels, R., Ruyter de, J.: Survival of the fittest: network analysis of dutch supreme court cases. In: *Proceedings of the International Workshop on AI Approaches to the Complexity of Legal Systems*. pp. 106–115 (2011)
- [143] Winkels, R., Ruyter de, J., Kroese, H.: Determining authority of dutch case law. *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems* **235**, 103–112 (2011)
- [144] Zuccala, A., Cornacchia, R.: Data matching, integration, and interoperability for a metric assessment of monographs. *Scientometrics* **108**, 465–484 (2016)