

Nuclear medicine imaging methods of radiation-induced cardiotoxicity

Polomski, E.A.S.; Antoni, M.L.; Jukema, J.W.; Kroep, J.R.; Dibbets-Schneider, P.; Sattler, M.G.A.; Geus-Oei, L.F. de

Citation

Polomski, E. A. S., Antoni, M. L., Jukema, J. W., Kroep, J. R., Dibbets-Schneider, P., Sattler, M. G. A., & Geus-Oei, L. F. de. (2022). Nuclear medicine imaging methods of radiationinduced cardiotoxicity. *Seminars In Nuclear Medicine*. doi:10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2022.02.001

Version:Publisher's VersionLicense:Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3566663

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



Seminars in NUCLEAR MEDICINE

Nuclear medicine imaging methods of radiation-induced cardiotoxicity

Elisabeth-Anne Sophie Polomski, MD,* Maria Louisa Antoni, MD, PhD,* Johan Wouter Jukema, MD, PhD,* Judith Rian Kroep, MD, PhD,[†] Petra Dibbets-Schneider,[‡] Margriet G.A. Sattler, MD, PhD,[§] and Lioe-Fee de Geus-Oei, MD, PhD^{‡, ||}

> Breast cancer survival is significantly improved over the past decades due to major improvements in anti-tumor therapies and the implementation of regular screening, which leads to early detection of breast cancer. Therefore, it is of utmost importance to prevent patients from long-term side effects, including radiotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity. Radiotherapy may contribute to damage of myocardial structures on the cellular level, which eventually could result in various types of cardiovascular problems, including coronary artery disease and (non-)ischemic cardiomyopathy, leading to heart failure. These cardiac complications of radiotherapy are preceded by alterations in myocardial perfusion and blood flow. Therefore, early detection of these alterations is important to prevent the progression of these pathophysiological processes. Several radionuclide imaging techniques may contribute to the early detection of these changes. Single-Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT) cameras can be used to create Multigated Acquisition scans in order to assess the left ventricular systolic and diastolic function. Furthermore, SPECT cameras are used for myocardial perfusion imaging with radiopharmaceuticals such as ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi and ^{99m}Tc-tetrofosmin. Accurate quantitative measurement of myocardial blood flow (MBF), can be performed by Positron Emission Tomography (PET), as the uptake of some of the tracers used for PET-based MBF measurement almost creates a linear relationship with MBF, resulting in very accurate blood flow quantification. Furthermore, there are PET and SPECT tracers that can assess inflammation and denervation of the cardiac sympathetic nervous system. Research over the past decades has mainly focused on the long-term development of left ventricular impairment and perfusion defects. Considering laterality of the breast cancer, some early studies have shown that women irradiated for left-sided breast cancer are more prone to cardiotoxic side effects than women irradiated for rightsided breast cancer. The left-sided radiation field in these trials, which predominantly used older radiotherapy techniques without heart-sparing techniques, included a larger volume of the heart and left ventricle, leading to increased unavoidable radiation exposure to the heart due to the close proximity of the radiation treatment volume. Although radiotherapy for breast cancer exposes the heart to incidental radiation, several improvements and technical developments over the last decades resulted in continuous reduction of radiation dose and volume exposure to the heart. In addition, radiotherapy reduces loco-regional tumor recurrences and death from breast cancer and improves survival. Therefore, in the majority of patients, the benefits of radiotherapy outweigh the potential very low risk of cardiovascular adverse events after radiotherapy. This review addresses existing nuclear imaging techniques, which can be used to evaluate (long-term) effects of radiotherapy-induced

[§]Department of Radiotherapy, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2022.02.001

^{*}Department of Cardiology, Heart and Lung Centre, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands.

[†]Department of Medical Oncology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands.

[‡]Department of Radiology, section Nuclear Medicine, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Biomedical Photonic Imaging Group, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands.

Address reprint requests to Elissa A.S. Polomski, MD, Department of Cardiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Albinusdreef 2, 2333 ZA Leiden, The Netherlands. E-mail: e.s.polomski@lumc.nl

^{0001-2998/© 2022} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

mechanical cardiac dysfunction and discusses the potential use of more novel nuclear imaging techniques, which are promising in the assessment of early signs of cardiac dysfunction in selected irradiated breast cancer patients.

Semin Nucl Med 00:1-14 $^{\odot}$ 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Introduction

 \neg reast cancer is the most common type of cancer in ${f D}$ women¹ One of the more serious complications associated with anticancer treatment is cardiotoxicity.² Cardiotoxicity has been described for various treatment modalities as systemic therapies (eg, following treatment with anthracyclines) and radiotherapy. Radiotherapy is one of the cornerstones of breast cancer treatment. As this treatment has significantly improved cancer survival and overall survival,³ the long-term side effects of radiotherapy are becoming more apparent during follow-up of these patients. Radiationinduced heart disease (RIHD) - including pericardial disease, valvular disease, conduction disturbances and coronary artery disease (CAD) - can be a cause of morbidity and mortality after radiotherapy and, due to improved cancer treatment, surpasses cancer-related death after 30 years.⁴ Nuclear imaging modalities can measure mechanical heart function, including diastolic dysfunction and the left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), which is an important marker of cardiac function and cardiotoxicity before, during and after radiotherapeutic and/or systemic cancer treatment⁵⁻⁸ and offers opportunities to further investigate future (medicinal) secondary intervention and prevention methods in an early stage. However, alterations in cellular metabolism precede a decline of the left ventricular function. Therefore, several other radionuclide imaging techniques are under investigation and becoming available with the aim to detect and monitor earlier signs of cardiotoxicity, such as inflammation, changes in myocardial perfusion, and denervation of the cardiac sympathetic nervous system.^{5,8-13} This review first discusses the pathophysiology of RIHD with an emphasis on risk assessment to select breast cancer patients based on exposed radiation dose to the heart, different radiation techniques and laterality of the breast cancer. Thereafter, radionuclide imaging techniques to detect RIHD will be highlighted.

Pathophysiology

Cardiovascular complications of radiotherapy mostly present after more than 10 years post-irradiation.¹⁴⁻¹⁸ However, recent studies have demonstrated that RIHD can already manifest within 5 years after radiotherapeutic treatment.¹⁹⁻²⁴ Various older studies performed on patients irradiated 30-40 years ago with long-term follow-up data of breast cancer patients have shown an increased risk of cardiac morbidity and mortality associated with adjuvant radiotherapy.^{16,25} A large study by Hooning et al. with 7425 patients treated for early breast cancer between 1970 and 1986 found that patients receiving adjuvant radiotherapy almost had a doubled increased relative risk of cardiac death after 25 years of follow-up in comparison to women who had not received any form of radiotherapy.¹⁷ The patients irradiated after 1979 in this study experience low (postmastectomy radiotherapy) or no (postlumpectomy radiotherapy) excess mortality from cardiovascular disease (CVD). In another study, the same authors showed that radiotherapy, including either the left or right side of the internal mammary nodes (IMN), is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease, primarily due to CAD and heart failure (HF).¹⁸ However, breast irradiation only, was not associated with increased risk of CVD.

The development of RIHD depends on several factors including the radiation technique used, the prescribed treatment dose and target volume, the dose and volume of the heart exposed, time period of radiotherapy, follow-up time, as well as other cardiovascular risk factors, such as smoking, age of the patient and the combination with adjuvant systemic treatment (ie, chemotherapy).^{22-24,26} Major risk factors for RIHD (shown in Table 1) are a younger age at exposure, prior radiotherapeutic treatment with doses of >30-35 Gy, larger volumes of the heart and left ventricle (LV) exposed, a longer period of time since radiotherapy, combined treatment with chemotherapy (anthracyclines) and preexisting classical cardiovascular risk factors - hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, obesity and smoking.^{8,9} Cellular damage can be induced directly after radiotherapy, but it can take up to 30 years for cardiovascular complications, such as CAD or even sudden cardiac death, to develop. 11, 19, 21-23, 29

RIHD can develop due to several physiological circumstances that induce (fibrotic) micro- or macrovascular damage.^{22,30-33} Whereas radiotherapy is used to induce cell death of malignant cells, damage to healthy surrounding tissue is also observed. Especially endothelial cells are very

Table 1 Major Risk Factors for Radiation-Induced Heart Disease

Younger age at exposure
Prior radiotherapeutic treatment with doses > 30-35 Gy
Larger volumes of heart and left ventricle in radiation field
Longer period of time since radiotherapy
Combined treatment with chemotherapy (anthracyclines)
Preexisting cardiovascular risk factors

Nuclear medicine imaging

sensitive to radiation.^{22,34,35} Endothelial damage can lead to an inflammatory response and increased oxidative stress, characterized by the release of cytokines, resulting in endothelial dysfunction and cell apoptosis. This process induces the replacement of myocardial tissue by fibrotic tissue, affecting cardiac function.^{11,22,34,36-40} Damage on this microvascular level can possibly be the underlying cause of the development of vascular damage, raising the risk of atherosclerotic plaque development due to arterial stiffness that is provoked by radiation.^{35,38} Radiation may also lead to myocardial deposition of fibrin and collagen and a pro-thrombotic status, causing narrowing of the vessels and increasing the risk of thrombosis and vessel rupture through fibrous proliferation of the myocardial intima, consisting of foam cells and lipid-containing macrophages. This physiological process can eventually lead to CAD, where focal disease in the left anterior descending artery (LAD) is observed in a minority of the patients treated with radiation for left-sided breast cancer and involvement of the proximal right coronary artery (RCA) is most common after radiation for right-sided breast cancer.^{22,37} Figure 1 summarizes the pathophysiological process of RIHD.

Early signs of RIHD are peri- and myocardial inflammation, whereas long-term side effects on macrovascular level include coronary artery damage and injury of the myocardial vessels due to endothelial damage.⁴¹⁻⁴³ Nuclear imaging techniques that can be used to visualize these pathological processes will be discussed later in this review (see Table 2).

The underlying physiological process of radiation-induced CAD and the classical development of atherosclerotic vascular disease is similar regarding intimal proliferation and the evolution of intimal plaques containing macrophages that can rupture and cause thrombosis.^{12,22,37} However, in RIHD, adventitial fibrosis and reduced wall thickness of the

media also contribute to the development of atherosclerosis.²⁴ Moreover, the radiation-induced atherosclerotic plaques tend to consist primarily of soft, elongated and fibrotic tissue, while a minority of lesions contains lipid or calcium deposits in addition to fibrosis.³⁴ An early manifestation of RIHD used to be pericarditis, which has become very rare over the past decades due to newer radiation techniques with related lower exposed dose and volumes to the heart. Valvular disease can develop after long-term follow-up. Although radiation-induced valve disease is less pronounced in patients with breast cancer in comparison with Hodgkin lymphoma due to minimally exposed dose to the heart valves, patients treated for left-sided breast cancer including the IMN have shown fibrotic aortic valve disease.^{18,26,44}

Radiation Doses and Techniques

Radiation tangential beams/fields expose the heart to a certain incidental radiation dose, which can be accurately measured since the last one to two decades on radiation planning computed tomography (CT) scans and is referred to as the mean heart dose (MHD). By measuring the MHD, the volume of the heart receiving a particular dose can be determined.⁴⁵ The relative risk of radiation-induced CAD increases linearly with the MHD. Several studies have found that the relative risk of CAD and events increases with 6.4-16.5 per Gy MHD.^{19-21,27,29,46} The dose delivered to the heart and coronary arteries varies in the individual patient, but the majority of the left-sided irradiated patients has a MHD of <3 Gy.⁴⁷ Patients receiving >20 Gy MHD had a 3.4-fold (95% confidence interval 1.5-7.6) higher myocardial infarction (MI) rate than unirradiated patients, which indicates a dose-effect relation of radiation doses on cardiac outcomes.³¹ This is



Figure 1 *Overview of the pathophysiology of radiation-induced cardiotoxicity.* Radiotherapy (1) leading to damage of tumor cells (2A), as well as endothelial cells (2B), causing an inflammatory response resulting in cell apoptosis (3). Myocardial tissue is replaced by fibrotic (4) tissue, leading to vascular damage. This process raises the risk of atherosclerotic plaque development, (5) which can lead to vessel narrowing (6) and eventually to coronary artery disease (7).

Tab	le	2	Imaging	Radi	ation-	Inc	luced	C	Card	liot	oxic	: C	Disease)
-----	----	---	---------	------	--------	-----	-------	---	------	------	------	-----	---------	---

Cardiac Disease	Pathofysiological Process	Imaging Modality	Tracer		
CAD	Perfusion defects	MPS SPECT	^{99m} Tc-sestamibi		
			^{99m} Tc-tetrofosmin		
		MPS PET	⁸² Rb		
			¹⁵⁰ H2O		
			^{13N} NH3		
			¹⁸ F-Flurpiridaz		
	Inflammation/Myocardial cell metabolism	PET	¹⁸ F-FDG		
	Fatty acid metabolism	SPECT	¹²³ I-BMIPP		
HF	Denervation sympathetic nervous system	SPECT	¹²³ I-mIBG		
		PET	¹¹ C-HED		
	Decline LVEF	MUGA SPECT	^{99m} Tc-DMP-HSA		
			^{99m} Tc-labeled red blood cells		
		MPS SPECT	^{99m} Tc-sestamibi		
			^{99m} Tc-tetrofosmin		
	Diastolic dysfunction	MUGA SPECT	^{99m} Tc-DMP-HSA		
	•		^{99m} Tc-labeled red blood cells		
	Myocardial cell injury and necrosis	SPECT	¹¹¹ In-antimyosin		
CMP	Denervation sympathetic nervous system	SPECT	¹²³ I-mIBG		
	,	PET	¹¹ C-HED		

CAD, coronary artery disease; HF, heart failure; CMP, cardiomyopathy; MPS, myocardial perfusion scintigraphy; PET, positron emission tomography; SPECT, single-photon emission computed tomography; MUGA, multigated acquisition

associated with the radiation technique used, the treatment time period, the location and extent of the treatment volume, individual anatomy, and radiation of the IMN. Radiotherapy including the IMN increases the MHD in particular for leftsided breast cancer irradiation.^{46,48} Nowadays, several modern radiotherapy techniques exist that are used for better heart sparing, such as deep-inspiration breath hold (DIBH), intensity-modulated radiation therapy or volumetric modulated arc therapy, partial breast irradiation, a prone patient treatment position and proton therapy in selected patients. As a result, the MHD for breast cancer irradiation has decreased significantly, further reducing the (minimal) risk of radiation-induced cardiac morbidity and mortality in most breast cancer patients.^{27,36,49} The heart is exposed to the least MHD for irradiation of right-sided breast cancer without the IMN, whereas adding radiation of the IMN increases this dose for left-sided breast cancer as well as for right-sided breast cancer.^{46,49} A study performed by Boekel and colleagues, showed that anthracycline-based chemotherapy and irradiation using regimens with substantial MHD (9-17 Gy) were associated with increased incidence of several types of CVDs in patients treated during 1970-2009.²⁶

However, despite the development of techniques reducing the MHD, cardiac exposure still depends on the extent of the radiation treatment volume. For example, in early-stage breast cancer, only the chest wall/breast is irradiated. A study performed by Taylor et al. on breast cancer patients treated between 1970-2009 showed that, depending on the laterality and extent of the treatment volume, including IMN irradiation can raise the risk of cardiovascular disease for left-sided breast cancer.²⁶ The MHD for left-sided breast cancer in studies performed between 1950 and 2013 on breast cancer patients irradiated (chest wall/breast and/or IMNs, supraclavicular fossa, posterior axilla) varied between 0.9 Gy and 14 Gy. 46,50

Considering the coronary arteries, the LAD is most exposed to the highest radiation dose, in particularly in the treatment of left-sided breast cancer, as parts of this coronary artery are located closely to the chest wall/breast, which makes this artery, more prone to radiation-induced damage compared to the left circumflex artery (LCX) and RCA (see Fig. 2).⁵¹ The LAD runs close to the left chest wall/breast and can therefore be exposed to high radiation doses of about 35 Gy, which can rise up to doses of 50 Gy, and thereby potentially impacting the MHD.^{32,46,52}

Cardiac exposure to radiation is associated with the technique used, whereby the dose increases from 4.7 Gy to 14 Gy in left-sided breast cancer patients using megavoltage vs orthovoltage machines respectively, which were mostly used during the 1970s-1990s for breast cancer irradiation. In studies performed 20-50 years ago, IMN irradiation was more often included in the treatment volume, and larger treatment volumes were used, both resulting in a higher MHD and associated higher cardiac mortality.^{53,54}

Nowadays, several improvements in radiation techniques have been introduced over the past decades that make it possible to further lower cardiac radiation exposure, reducing the dose delivered to the heart by 25%-75%.^{11,55,56} Improved computerized treatment planning systems are used to increase the distance between the posterior tangential field/dose and the anterior silhouette of the heart. This planning system operates with three-dimensional radiotherapy planning CT scans, making it possible to perform individual patient-specific measurements (see Fig. 3). This results in accurate measurements of the radiation dose in surrounding normal tissues, and treatment dose planning aiming at

A.



Figure 2 Dose distribution in left-sided and right-sided breast cancer irradiation. Treatment planning CT-scans showing the dose distribution from 6MN tangential irradiation in left-sided and right-sided breast cancer after mastectomy. The organs at risk, including the heart, left anterior descending coronary artery, left circumflex artery, and right coronary artery are outlined. (Reprinted with permission from Lai et al.⁵²)



в.



Figure 3 *Treatment planning CT-scans.* Treatment plans for breast cancer irradiation. Figure 3.A shows a modern treatment plan of a female patient undergoing left-sided breast and regional nodal radiotherapy using a 4-field sliding window technique Figure 3.B shows a female patient undergoing right-sided breast and regional nodal radiotherapy including supra-*/*infraclavicular and internal mammary lymph nodes after breast-conserving surgery using a 5-field sliding window IMRT. (Reprinted with permission from Haussmann et al.⁶⁰)

reducing cardiac exposure and therefore minimizing chances of different manifestations of cardiotoxicity.^{40,57-59}

In selected patients, a prone position compared to a supine position may further reduce the heart volume exposed in the radiation field by 85%.⁴⁰ Applying the DIBH technique — in which the treatment dose is given during deep inspiration breath holding - the heart is moved posteriorly and inferiorly and further outside the tangential fields (Fig. 4). This creates more distance between the heart and the chest wall, lowering the radiation dose to the myocardium and LAD, without affecting the dose delivered to the target treatment volume, which

may reduce the cardiac volume significantly up to 80%, especially when wide tangents are used for treatment. $^{11,40,55,59-64}$

A relatively new technique that can significantly reduce the cardiac radiation dose is proton therapy, by which the MHD can be reduced by 75% in selected patients and, in combination with other techniques, this can even be limited to 0.009 Gy.^{65,66} However, it is only useful to apply this technique in patients in whom this reduction will make a clinical relevant difference due to the high costs of proton therapy. In patients without prior chemotherapy or without cardiovascular risk factors, and low MHD (eg, only breast/chest wall irradiation), the risk of



Figure 4 *Deep inspiration breath-hold.* CT-scans showing the anatomic position of the breast target volume in freebreathing (4A) and deep inspiration breath-hold (4B). (Reprinted with permission from Haussmann et al.⁶⁰)

RIHD is already low and a therefore a reduction of 75% may not be clinically relevant.⁶⁷ To select patients that could benefit from proton therapy, a model-based approach has been implemented, predicting the absolute lifetime risk of acute cardiovascular events <80 years based on the MHD.⁶⁸

Perfusion defects and wall motion abnormalities that have developed after irradiation for breast cancer treatment are usually contributed to older irradiation techniques used decades ago, often combined with or treated previously with chemotherapy. Low cardiac radiation dose exposure usually does not have great clinical impact on the development of CAD.^{29,36,49,58,69}

Left-sided Breast Cancer Vs Right-Sided Breast Cancer

Numerous studies over the past 50 years have focused on different cardiac outcomes for women irradiated for left-sided breast cancer vs women irradiated for right-sided breast cancer and their outcomes have shown varying results regarding risks of cardiac morbidity and mortality. Studies on patients irradiated between 1977-2012 concluded that irradiation for left-sided breast cancer compared with irradiation for rightsided breast cancer increased the risk of cardiovascular events and morbidities following radiotherapy, even more than 10-20 years thereafter.⁶⁹⁻⁷³ Data of patients irradiated between 1954-2001 showed an increased risk of cardiac mortality after left-sided breast irradiation, 16,25,36,74,75 with a decreasing trend since the 1980s due to improved irradiation techniques.⁷⁶ However, in contrast to the abovementioned, more recent studies show no difference in cardiac mortality after irradiation for left-sided breast cancer compared to rightsided breast cancer.^{71,77,78} A study by Nilsson et al. showed that irradiated patients have an increased risk of CAD if irradiated for left-sided or right-sided breast cancer in particular hotspot regions (proximal RCA, mid-LAD and first diagonal branch).³²

In non-irradiated women, no differences are shown for cardiac morbidity and mortality between left-sided and rightsided breast cancer.¹⁶ When comparing radiotherapeutic treatment to mastectomy for left-sided breast cancer between 1998 and 2005, irradiation (chest wall/breast, IMN supraclavicular), shows an increased risk of cardiovascular events - in particular valvular disease, ischemic heart disease (IHD) and HF.⁷⁹ For the incidence of (non-fatal) MI after radiotherapy for breast cancer, no side-related difference has been found.^{48,80} The volume of cardiac substructures and the coronary arteries exposed to radiation correlates with the laterality of the breast tumor and the extent of cardiac volume in the radiation field. In right-sided breast cancer irradiation, the RCA may be exposed to radiation, whereas in left-sided breast cancer the LAD and left main artery (LM) may be exposed.⁸¹ However, the exposure of coronary arteries to irradiation also depends on whether only the chest wall/breast is irradiated or if the IMN also is included in the treatment volume. Depending on the laterality, the radiation technique used and exposure of the

heart, the anterior parts of the heart, the apex and the LM, LAD and RCA could be included in the radiation field.⁸³ Therefore, post-radiotherapy, fibrosis is usually more often present in the lateral and anterior wall of the LV as compared to the posterior wall.¹¹

Left Ventricular Function

Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction

For accurate follow-up of the LVEF in cancer patients treated with cardiotoxic agents, it is important to obtain a baseline LVEF before the start of treatment.⁸³ During follow-up, serial measurements of the LVEF are performed to create a risk assessment of cardiotoxicity and to assess cardiac function before, during and after anticancer treatment.⁸³⁻⁸⁵ LVEF can be measured by multigated acquisition (MUGA), quantitative gated blood-pool Single-Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT), two- and three-dimensional echocardiography and cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (CMR). MUGA and echocardiography are most widely available, whereas MUGA and cardiac MRI have the greatest reproducibility. In the past, MUGA has been acknowledged as the gold standard for the determination of subclinical LVEF in cancer patients, as it is non-invasive and cost-effective, has good repeatability of LVEF measurements and makes quantification possible (Fig. 5).^{5,84,86} MUGA images are created by a gamma (γ) camera using technetium-99m (^{99m}Tc) labelled erythrocytes (in vitro, in vivo or a combined approach) or using technetium-99-m-labeled human serum albumin (99m Tc-HSA) for blood pool imaging.5,9,84,85,87,88 The γ camera constructs a series of images of the heart projecting each phase of the heart cycle. However, whereas the repeatability of LVEF measurements does not differ, guidelines have introduced echocardiography or CMR for the evaluation of oncologic cardiotoxicity in addition to MUGA, as these modalities do not expose patients to radiation.

The choice of which technique is used for monitoring cardiotoxicity is dependent on the accuracy and suitability for the individual patient. In specific patient groups, some techniques are preferred above others. For example, in pediatric patients, echocardiography is most preferably performed as this technique lacks radiation, whereas acquiring qualitative images in obese patients or patients with specific anatomic thoracic variations is difficult using echocardiography. In addition, which imaging technique is chosen, is also very dependent on the physician's expertise and center's availability.⁵

Monitoring the LVEF with labelled ^{99m}Tc erythrocytes using serial MUGA images contributes to the prevention of HF.^{5,87} However, measuring the LVEF can underestimate cardiac damage. In the presence of dysfunctional myocytes, the myocardial compensatory system can generate adequate ventricular output. In this case, an adequate LVEF will not be an accurate measure for the actual cardiotoxicity.⁸⁴ Therefore, it has been suggested that these scans can better be performed during exercise than during rest to detect left

Nuclear medicine imaging



Figure 5 *Multigated acquisition* scan. A multigated acquisition study with regions of interest drawn around the end-diastolic volume (ED) and end-systolic volume (ES) in the left ventricle. The ejection fraction can be calculated by the formula (ED-ES)/ED x 100%. In this patient the calculated ejection fraction is (9151-3725)/9151 \times 100% = 59.3%. The phase image with corresponding narrow histogram suggests synchronous contraction of the left ventricular myocardium. The left ventricular time activity curve in this patient is normal. The paradox image does not show any region of the left ventricular myocardium to be in paradox. On the amplitude image maximal count variation is shown in the lateral wall of the left ventricular myocardium.

ventricular dysfunction, because the compensatory mechanism that preserves the end-diastolic volume in HF during rest fails during exercise.

A study by Lapinska et al.⁸³ showed that 71 patients treated with radiotherapy in addition to chemotherapy (combined treatment with doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide or doxorubicin and paclitaxel/docetaxel) did not show a progressive decrease in LVEF. However, patients irradiated for left-sided breast cancer showed more decline in LVEF compared to patients treated for right-sided breast cancer.

In addition to the above-mentioned scanning technique, SPECT myocardial perfusion scintigraphy (MPS) can also be performed to determine LVEF by using the labelled tracers ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi or ^{99m}Tc-tetrofosmin. In many cancer patients, MPS is performed to assess signs of IHD, as some cancers and CAD share common risk factors, including smoking and age. Although these scans primarily determine myocardial perfusion defects, the LVEF is also obtained as a byproduct. However, LVEF acquired using MPS is not as accurate as LVEF measurements performed with MUGA due to the technical difference that MUGA quantifies the cardiac blood pool and, in MPS, the LVEF is measured by the determination of myocardial contours with higher variability in measurements,⁶ since this technique suffers from potential spillover effects from the myocardium in the left ventricular cavity and will therefore underestimate either end-diastolic or systolic left ventricular volume.

Diastolic Dysfunction

A decrease in LVEF is a relatively late sign of cardiac deterioration. Preceding systolic dysfunction, diastolic dysfunction very likely occurs. Assessment of diastolic function by 99mTc MUGA scintigraphy is, therefore, an interesting application for early detection of cardiotoxicity. Diastolic dysfunction is characterized by a prolonged isovolumetric relaxation phase, delayed rapid filling and an increased atrial kick. All these parameters can be visualized using the LV time-activity curve, which reflects the radioisotope behavior and is in line with the blood pool behavior at different time points in the cardiac cycle. The peak diastolic filling rate can be calculated by calculating the slope of the LV time-activity curve diastolic phase. The most commonly used cut-off values are a peak filling rate (PFR) ≤2.5 end-diastolic volume per second (EDV/s) or a time to peak filling rate (TPFR) \geq 180 ms.⁸⁹ Detection of diastolic dysfunction using^{99m}Tc MUGA scintigraphy may allow early detection and treatment of preclinical signs of HF.^{84,90}

8

Neuronal Denervation

Preceding a decline in left ventricular function, myocardial cell damage can already be visualized. Myocardial cell injury can be detected by the uptake of [123I]-mIBG on SPECT (Fig. 6) and [¹¹C]hydroxyephedrine ([¹¹C]HED) on Positron Emission Tomography (PET).⁹¹ By performing these scans the myocardial neuronal integrity and functionality of the myocardial adrenergic neurotransmitter system can be visualized. Norepinephrine is a neurotransmitter used by the sympathetic nervous system and interacts with the target tissue via adrenoceptors. MIBG is similar to norepinephrine in terms of release pathway, storage and uptake, but, in comparison to its adrenergic analog, is not metabolized by the same enzymes. Therefore, MIBG remains in the adrenergic receptors for a longer period of time.^{5,92} The efferent sympathetic nervous system innervating the cardiac muscle can be visualized by [¹²³I]-mIBG SPECT. In the presence of myocardial cell damage, a compensatory system is activated to increase the heart rate, contractility and conduction of the heart. As in this situation blood flow to essential organs is decreasing, the working of the renin-angiotensin system and sympathetic-adrenergic activity is intensified to ensure perfusion of vital organs. This is accomplished by vasoconstriction of the blood vessels, leading to increased afterload and decreased cardiac output. In patients suffering from HF, elevated plasma levels of renin and norepinephrine can be detected, possibly indicating an impaired left ventricular function.⁵ [¹²³I]-mIBG and [¹¹C]HED are able to give information about the severity and prognosis of HF, as uptake of these tracers is significantly lower in patients with HF (Fig. 6).

In comparison to [¹²³I]-mIBG SPECT, imaging the neuronal denervation by [¹¹C]HED gives improved assessment of abnormalities.^{91,92} PET surpasses SPECT by the ability to acquire detailed tracer kinetic analysis due to a higher temporal resolution and spatial resolution and PET enables noninvasive quantitative measurement of this neurophysiological process.⁵ However, [¹¹C]HED has a short half-life, making wide distribution impossible. Also, for labelling ligands used for PET screening, particular expertise in this field is necessary, which is not available in every center. As visualizing the sympathetic neuronal system can detect mechanisms arising from activated compensatory mechanisms due to myocardial damage, decreased tracer uptake can show abnormal sympathomimetic innervation of the myocardial tissue in an early stage before the LVEF drops.

Myocardial Cell Damage and Necrosis

Performing SPECT using the tracer Indium-111-antimyosin (¹¹¹In antimyosin) is useful to assess abnormalities that are indicative of clinical HF. ¹¹¹In antimyosin is a specific marker for myocardial cell injury and necrosis. Uptake of this tracer gives information about altered integrity of myocytes since this tracer attaches to the intracellular myosin in irreversibly damaged sarcolemma.⁴¹

Coronary Artery Disease

Perfusion Abnormalities MPS (SPECT)

MPS (SPECT) is a non-invasive modality that is able to assess myocardial perfusion and wall motion accurately and to perform phase analysis.^{5,41,93-96} To observe radiation-induced myocardial perfusion abnormalities that may indicate vascular damage or ischemia, MPS (SPECT) can be carried out using the labelled myocardial perfusion tracers ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi or ^{99m}Tc-tetrofosmin, thereby also deriving several other important parameters, including ventricular volumes, LVEF and wall motion abnormalities.⁹⁷

MPS (SPECT) is performed by intravenously injecting a radiotracer of which the uptake corresponds to the myocardial perfusion in that area. MPS can image signals of radiation-induced microvascular damage and CAD and abnormalities in myocardial perfusion are indicated by decreased tracer uptake – for example, in the presence of irreversible (infarction) or reversible (ischemia) perfusion defects.^{95,98,99} Perfusion defects on resting MPS are usually attributed to fibrosis and myocardial degeneration, whereas perfusion abnormalities detected on MPS during physical or



Figure 6 *Uptake of* $[^{123}I]$ *-mIBG on SPECT.* The uptake of $[^{123}I]$ -mIBG on SPECT in two patients Figure 6.A shows a patient without cardiac denervation. The heart/mediastinum ratio is 2 (normal value: 1.79 +/- 0.22) Figure 6.B shows a patient with cardiac denervation. The heart/mediastinum ratio is 1.08.

Nuclear medicine imaging

pharmacological stress, if not persisting in rest, correlate more strongly with endothelial dysfunction and vasculopathies that can increase the risk of acute coronary syndrome.¹⁰⁰ In the numerous studies over the past decades assessing radiation-induced perfusion abnormalities defined by a decreased uptake of ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi or ^{99m}Tc-tetrofosmin on MPS (SPECT), reversible as well as irreversible defects were seen in 24%-70% of the patients.^{93,101-107}

Perfusion defects either detected early or several years after radiotherapy have been described in many studies with a varying follow-up period of six months to ten years, which also included patients who had been treated with chemotherapy prior to radiotherapy.^{11,30,101-111} However, in most of these studies, patients were treated before or around the turn of the century, when planning CT-scans were not performed yet and therefore it was more difficult to compare the location of the defects on cardiac imaging to radiotherapy dose and volume effects on the corresponding cardiac area, while nowadays, due to further technological improvements, this association can be better assessed.

MPS (SPECT) can also be used in asymptomatic (left-sided) breast cancer patients with high cardiovascular risk as a screening tool before initiation of radiotherapy.⁹⁷

Multiple studies showed an association between the location of perfusion defects and the irradiated exposed heart area – such as the anterior, anterolateral and apical parts of the LV – and found that perfusion defects are more present in patients of whom larger heart volumes and a larger left ventricular volume are included in the radiation field/exposed to radiation dose, which indicates a dose-dependent relationship for regional perfusion defects.^{93-96,102,103,108,109,111-113}

Gyenes et al. found new perfusion defects, assessed with ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi, one year after radiotherapy using partially wide tangents or an IMN field matched to shallow tangents in half of the left-sided breast cancer patients in whom the LV was exposed in the radiation field. However, as this retrospective study only included 17 patients with varying baseline cardiovascular risk factors, systemic therapies and radiotherapeutic treatments, long-term follow-up is necessary to assess the predictive value of this finding for developing radiation-induced CAD.¹⁰⁸ Nevertheless, a study performed by Hojris and colleagues in nine patients with left-sided breast cancer and seven controls more than 8 years after radiotherapy using en face electrons and the same tracer as Gyenes and colleagues, did not show a significant difference in perfusion defects on MPS (SPECT) between irradiated women between 1982 and 1990 and non-irradiated women (40% and 57% respectively).94 Moreover, the perfusion defects that were seen in the irradiated patient group were not located in the radiation field/exposed heart volume.⁹⁴ This difference in the presence of perfusion defects can probably be explained by a different cardiac exposure per patient - resulting in fewer cardiotoxic effects - and the limited number of patients included, but as both studies described a small study population, these discrepancies may also be attributed to variations in baseline cardiovascular risk factors and individual radiotherapeutic dose-volume characteristics.

Radiation-induced perfusion defects imaged by MPS may not always have an immediate clinical impact. A study performed by Seddon et al. on 36 patients found regional wall motion abnormalities in almost one-third of the patients with perfusion defects, in the absence of a LVEF decrease of more than 5%. In this study, left-sided breast cancer patients were only included if the treatment field contained at least 1 cm of the heart.¹⁰⁵ In a study by Yu et al. that included 83 left-sided breast cancer patients treated in the 1990s, abnormalities on MPS could be considered clinically significant, considering that the development of clinical cardiac symptoms was more common in the presence of perfusion abnormalities on MPS (SPECT).¹¹⁴

The results following the assessment of myocardial perfusion post-radiotherapy possibly highlight that radiotherapy can accelerate the progress of atherosclerosis, as an atherosclerotic plaque would usually develop over a longer period of time than described in various studies.^{99,102,103,114}

Fatty Acids

Fatty acids are highly consumed by the myocardium as a source of energy.¹¹⁵ Imaging the metabolic status of fatty acids can assess pathological processes of (instable) CAD and MI. SPECT imaging can use [¹²³]I-beta-methyl-p-iodophe-nylpentadecanoic acid ([¹²³]I-BMIPP) to detect decreased oxidative metabolism of free fatty acids, which is seen in the presence of myocardial ischaemia. The uptake of [¹²³]I-BMIPP correlates with the production of adenosine triphosphate and is lowered in areas with decreased perfusion, as fatty acids cannot be metabolized due to an oxygen deficiency. However, in the acute setting, its uptake can be increased.¹¹⁶ [¹²³]I-BMIPP is especially used for the evaluation and prognosis of CAD - as it is a reliable marker of myocardial damage -, but SPECT scans using this tracer are also carried out to evaluate CMP and HF.¹¹⁵

Perfusion Abnormalities MPS (PET)

Perfusion defects can also be determined by assessing myocardial blood flow (MBF) utilizing tracers of which the uptake is visualized by PET scans. PET scanning for cardiac disease has grown significantly over the past decades. A great advantage of this scanning technique is that it is less timeconsuming for patients compared to MPS (SPECT), a.o. due to the shorter half-life of PET isotopes, which allows a shorter interval between rest and stress scans.¹¹⁷ Another advantage is that shorter half-life also correlates with a reduced radiation exposure. PET scanners, as well as novel tracers, have become more widely available for clinical and research purposes.¹¹⁸ MPS (PET) scans operating with the tracers Rubidium-82 (⁸²Rb), [¹⁵O]H₂O, [¹³N]Ammonia ([¹³N]NH₃) and [¹⁸F]Flurpiridaz are particularly useful for monitoring changes in MBF and myocardial flow reserve (MFR). These changes can even be detected on the level of the secondary and tertiary branches of the main coronary arteries.^{119,120} Table 3 summarizes the most important PET tracers characteristics for myocardial blood flow quantification. For

PET Tracer	[150] H ₂ O	[18F] Flurpiridaz	[13N] NH3	⁸² Rb	
Half-life	123 seconds	2 hours	9.96 minutes	75 seconds	
Radiation exposure	0.8 mSv	5.5-6.5 mSv	2 mSv	1.5 mSv	
On-site cyclotron	Yes	No, can be produced remotely and transported	Yes	No, produced by a generator	
Approved for clinical use?	Yes	No, investigation in phase III trials	Yes	Yes	

Table 3 Myocardial Blood Flow PET Tracers

patients with present or historical cardiotoxic cancer treatments, measuring MBF using the abovementioned PET tracers can be of prognostic value for future cardiac events as this technique is able to detect microvascular coronary disease and myocardial ischaemia, which are early signs of endothelial dysfunction.¹²¹ In comparison to the MPS (SPECT) trac-^{99m}Tc-sestamibi ^{99m}Tc-tetrofosmin, and ers the aforementioned PET tracers all show a more linear relationship between tracer uptake and MBF (Fig. 7).¹²³ In general, the most optimal tracer creates a linear relationship between tracer uptake and MBF, as this tracer characteristic improves the accuracy of detecting ischaemia.¹²⁰

 $[^{15}O]H_2O$ -PET/CT is the gold standard for the non-invasive measurement of MBF as its uptake is linearly related to the MBF (Fig. 7) and this tracer has the ability to estimate tissue viability by measuring the perfusable tissue fraction (PTF). 118 [$^{15}O]H_2O$ diffuses freely along the myocardial cell membrane, which contributes to kinetics that are suitable to perform quantification. 120 [$^{15}O]H_2O$ is used for research



Figure 7 *Correlation between coronary blood flow and tracer uptake.* A schematic representation of cardiac PET and SPECT radiotracers uptake in relation to myocardial perfusion. ${}^{15}O-H_2O$ demonstrates close to linear uptake whereas the initial linear extraction of technetium-99m labeled compounds plateau at approximately 2 mL/min/g. PET radiotracers ${}^{13}NH_3$ + and ${}^{82}Rb^+$ fall between ${}^{201}Tl^+$ and the ${}^{99m}Tc$ -SPECT radiotracers, whereas ${}^{99m}Tc$ -teboroxime demonstrates superior extraction at high flow rates. ${}^{18}F$ -flurpiridaz rivals ${}^{15}O-H_2O$ with closer to linear extraction. (Reprinted with permission from Sogbein et al. 122)

purposes as well as in clinical practice and has been accepted for detecting CAD. 118,123

¹⁸F]Flurpiridaz has not yet been accepted for clinical use, but is currently being investigated in phase III clinical trials. It is the most promising tracer for quantifying MBF nowadays by binding to the mitochondrial complex-1.¹²⁴ [¹⁸F] Flurpiridaz has a short positron range, a very good spatial and contrast resolution and is quickly taken up in the myocardial tissue.^{118,120} The relative higher radiation exposure that [¹⁸F]Flurpiridaz exposes patients to, is still lower than the 10-16 mSv that MPS (SPECT) examinations using ^{99m}Tcsestamibi or 99m Tc-tetrofosmin expose patients to.125 A phase III study performed using [¹⁸F]Flurpiridaz-PET/CT investigated its sensitivity for detecting CAD in case of >50%stenosis compared to MPS (SPECT) using 99mTc-labelled tracers. Results showed that the sensitivity of this PET tracer was significantly higher than the sensitivity of MPS (SPECT) (71.9% vs 53.7%), and this study also concluded that $[^{18}F]$ Flurpiridaz was clinically safe.¹²⁵

[¹³N]NH₃ gives a high image quality due to a short positron range, high spatial resolution and a good contrast resolution.^{118,120} It is accepted for use in clinical practice and in for research purposes.¹²³

Comparing the abovementioned tracers, ⁸²Rb has the lowest spatial resolution of the MBF PET-tracers due to a long positron range, but still high enough for routine application and higher than the resolution obtained by SPECT-imaging using ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi and ^{99m}Tc-tetrofosmin.¹²⁰ Moreover, an important advantage of this isotope is that no on-site cyclotron is needed as it is produced by a generator.¹¹⁸

Clinically, PET scanning using MBF tracers is preferably used when diffuse CAD or multivessel disease is suspected, as balanced three-vessel disease can sometimes be missed by MPS (SPECT), but it also has the ability to detect asymptomatic CAD¹¹⁸. Moreover, in patients presenting with complaints indicative of myocardial ischaemia, in particular females without significant stenosis on coronary angiography, PET can be performed to detect the presence of microvascular disease.^{118, 126, 127}

Comparing PET to other imaging modalities, the PACIFIC trial and other studies found that the diagnostic accuracy of PET scanning using tracers assessing MBF is exceeding MPS (SPECT) and coronary computed tomography angiography by having an increased sensitivity as well as specificity for significant stenosis.^{118,120,128-130} A study by Rasmussen et al. investigating 20 women treated with radiotherapy for breast cancer did not find a difference between the not radiated inferior and radiated anterior myocardial wall with regards to

Nuclear medicine imaging

MBF and MFR, of which the last-mentioned was overall lower than in the general population.¹²¹ However, this study only had a follow-up period of two years, which is possibly too short to detect myocardial wall abnormalities, and more research on this should be performed including more patients with a longer follow-up period. Zyromska et al. focused on differences in the MBF during stress before and after breast/chest wall irradiation and found increased as well as decreased MBF in both left-sided and right-sided breast cancer patients using [¹⁵O]H₂O PET examinations.

Summarizing, in comparison to MPS (SPECT), PET has higher sensitivity and specificity to discover obstructive CAD using MBF tracers. Also, these PET tracers make it possible to perform routine-based quantifications of the MBF, which can be of prognostic value for cardiac risk stratification.^{120,131,132}

[¹⁸F]-FDG PET

Uptake of [¹⁸F]-fluorodeoxyglucose ([¹⁸F]-FDG) imaged by PET correlates with inflammation in the (coronary) arteries, preceding possible atherosclerotic plaque progression and rupture of macrophages. Uptake of [¹⁸F]-FDG can accurately detect changes in the cellular myocardial metabolism, thereby visualizing changes in cardiomyocytes at an early stage, before cardiotoxicity, leading to left ventricular dysfunction, will develop.³⁸ Patient preparation before performing an [¹⁸F]-FDG PET scan for inflammation is carried out to suppress myocardial glucose uptake and to stimulate myocytes to use free fatty acids for their energy consumption, to reduce physiological FDG accumulation in the myocardium, which could interfere with abnormal [¹⁸F]-FDG uptake, due to inflammation. Patient preparation, described in various guidelines, includes fasting for 12-18 hours before the scan and a diet rich in fat and low in carbohydrates 12/18-24 hours before the examination. Also, it is recommended to inject unfractionated heparin intravenously prior to the administration of [18F]-FDG.133 In myocardium exposed to radiation, accumulation of [18F]-FDG takes place and this uptake is increased in hypoperfused regions.¹¹⁶ As a decrease in LVEF usually is a late manifestation of subclinical myocardial injury, [¹⁸F]-FDG PET can be used in research settings where early visualization of radiation-induced inflammation needs to be detected.⁵

Future Perspectives

Cardiovascular imaging is becoming increasingly important in the detection of radiotherapeutic effects that could possibly predict late cardiotoxic effects of therapy. To further investigate the role of radionuclide imaging, larger patient populations and patient-specific data regarding radiotherapeutic heart dose distribution as well as longer follow-up periods are needed to determine the relationship between early detection of cardiac abnormalities and (late) clinical outcomes. As this topic has not been largely investigated yet, irradiated breast cancer patients nowadays do not undergo routinely cardiac imaging in clinical practice.

Nevertheless, significant improvements have been made in the optimization of nuclear imaging modalities, especially with the introduction of PET tracers measuring the MBF, as well as major technological advances in radiotherapy treatment planning and dose delivery techniques. More research needs to be performed to evaluate the possible contribution of these new imaging techniques to the prevention of RIHD, for example by intervening in an early stage of CAD and initiating prompt treatment before clinical symptoms develop, aiming at preventing cardiac events and mortality.

This review portrays new opportunities in nuclear imaging, moving from the past, where imaging is predominantly used to assess cardiac function and defects years after radiotherapy, to the current situation where these imaging modalities can detect in an early stage the underlying alterations, which may lead to future cardiac impairment. Hereby, nuclear imaging may significantly contribute to early detection and possibly prevention of RIHD.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Ghoncheh M, Pournamdar Z, Salehiniya H: Incidence and mortality and epidemiology of breast cancer in the world. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 17:43-46, 2016
- Ewer MS, Ewer SM: Cardiotoxicity of anticancer treatments. Nat Rev Cardiol 12:620, 2015
- **3**. Van de Steene J, Soete G, Storme G: Adjuvant radiotherapy for breast cancer significantly improves overall survival: The missing link. Radiother Oncol 55:263-272, 2000
- Chen MH, Colan SD, Diller L: Cardiovascular disease: Cause of morbidity and mortality in adult survivors of childhood cancers. Circ Res 108:619-628, 2011
- de Geus-Oei L-F, Mavinkurve-Groothuis AMC, Bellersen L, et al: Scintigraphic techniques for early detection of cancer treatment—induced cardiotoxicity. J Nucl Med 52:560-571, 2011
- Jordan JH, Hundley WG: MRI of cardiotoxicity. Cardiol Clin 37:429-439, 2019
- Liu J, Banchs J, Mousavi N, et al: Contemporary role of echocardiography for clinical decision making in patients during and after cancer therapy. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 11:1122-1131, 2018
- 8. Russell RR, Alexander J, Jain D, et al: The role and clinical effectiveness of multimodality imaging in the management of cardiac complications of cancer and cancer therapy. J Nucl Cardiol 23:856-884, 2016
- Biersmith MA, Tong MS, Guha A, et al: Multimodality cardiac imaging in the era of emerging cancer therapies. J Am Heart Assoc 9:e013755, 2020
- Corbett JR, Akinboboye OO, Bacharach SL, et al: Equilibrium radionuclide angiocardiography. J Nucl Cardiol 13:e56-e79, 2006
- Pak S, Hawash AA, Linares J, et al: Myocardial damage on SPECT imaging among patients treated with radiotherapy for left-sided breast cancer: Systematic review with meta-analysis and narrative synthesis. J BUON 23:910-918, 2018

- Schultz-Hector S, Trott KR: Radiation-induced cardiovascular diseases: Is the epidemiologic evidence compatible with the radiobiologic data? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 67:10-18, 2007
- Wackers FJ, Berger HJ, Johnstone DE, et al: Multiple gated cardiac blood pool imaging for left ventricular ejection fraction: Validation of the technique and assessment of variability. Am J Cardiol 43:1159-1166, 1979
- Cuzick J, Stewart H, Rutqvist L, et al: Cause-specific mortality in longterm survivors of breast cancer who participated in trials of radiotherapy. J Clin Oncol 12:447-453, 1994
- Darby S, McGale P, Peto R, et al: Mortality from cardiovascular disease more than 10 years after radiotherapy for breast cancer: Nationwide cohort study of 90 000 Swedish women. BMJ 326:256-257, 2003
- 16. Darby SC, McGale P, Taylor CW, et al: Long-term mortality from heart disease and lung cancer after radiotherapy for early breast cancer: Prospective cohort study of about 300,000 women in US SEER cancer registries. Lancet Oncol 6:557-565, 2005
- Hooning MJ, Aleman BM, van Rosmalen AJ, et al: Cause-specific mortality in long-term survivors of breast cancer: A 25-year follow-up study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 64:1081-1091, 2006
- Hooning MJ, Botma A, Aleman BM, et al: Long-term risk of cardiovascular disease in 10-year survivors of breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 99:365-375, 2007
- Darby SC, Ewertz M, McGale P, et al: Risk of ischemic heart disease in women after radiotherapy for breast cancer. N Engl J Med 368:987-998, 2013
- 20. van den Bogaard VA, Ta BD, van der Schaaf A, et al: Validation and modification of a prediction model for acute cardiac events in patients with breast cancer treated with radiotherapy based on three-dimensional dose distributions to cardiac substructures. J Clin Oncol 35:1171-1178, 2017
- Aleman BM, Moser EC, Nuver J, et al: Cardiovascular disease after cancer therapy. EJC Suppl 12:18-28, 2014
- Mrotzek SM, Rassaf T, Totzeck M: Cardiovascular damage associated with chest irradiation. Front Cardiovasc Med 7:41, 2020
- 23. Rassaf T, Totzeck M, Backs J, et al: Onco-cardiology: Consensus paper of the german cardiac society, the german society for pediatric cardiology and congenital heart defects and the german society for hematology and medical oncology. Clin Res Cardiol 109:1197-1222, 2020
- Walker CM, Saldana DA, Gladish GW, et al: Cardiac complications of oncologic therapy. Radiographics 33:1801-1815, 2013
- Bouillon K, Haddy N, Delaloge S, et al: Long-term cardiovascular mortality after radiotherapy for breast cancer. J Am Coll Cardiol 57:445-452, 2011
- Boekel NB, Jacobse JN, Schaapveld M, et al: Cardiovascular disease incidence after internal mammary chain irradiation and anthracyclinebased chemotherapy for breast cancer. Br J Cancer 119:408-418, 2018
- Bergom C, Bradley JA, Ng AK, et al: Past, present, and future of radiation-induced cardiotoxicity: Refinements in targeting, surveillance, and risk stratification. JACC CardioOncol 3:343-359, 2021
- Darby SC, Cutter DJ, Boerma M, et al: Radiation-related heart disease: Current knowledge and future prospects. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 76:656-665, 2010
- 29. Taylor C, Correa C, Duane FK, et al: Estimating the risks of breast cancer radiotherapy: Evidence from modern radiation doses to the lungs and heart and from previous randomized trials. J Clin Oncol 35:1641-1649, 2017
- Correa CR, Litt HI, Hwang WT, et al: Coronary artery findings after left-sided compared with right-sided radiation treatment for early-stage breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 25:3031-3037, 2007
- Jacobse JN, Duane FK, Boekel NB, et al: Radiation dose-response for risk of myocardial infarction in breast cancer survivors. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 103:595-604, 2019
- Nilsson G, Holmberg L, Garmo H, et al: Distribution of coronary artery stenosis after radiation for breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 30:380-386, 2012
- Patel DA, Kochanski J, Suen AW, et al: Clinical manifestations of noncoronary atherosclerotic vascular disease after moderate dose irradiation. Cancer 106:718-725, 2006

- 34. Diaz-Gavela AA, Figueiras-Graillet L, Luis AM, et al: Breast radiotherapy-related cardiotoxicity. when, how, why. risk prevention and control strategies. Cancers (Basel) 13(7):1712, 2021.
- Vallerio P, Sarno L, Stucchi M, et al: Long-term effects of radiotherapy on arterial stiffness in breast cancer women. Am J Cardiol 118:771-776, 2016
- **36**. Cheng YJ, Nie XY, Ji CC, et al: Long-term cardiovascular risk after radiotherapy in women with breast cancer. J Am Heart Assoc 6(5): e005633, 2017
- Jaworski C, Mariani JA, Wheeler G: Cardiac complications of thoracic irradiation. J Am Coll Cardiol 61:2319-2328, 2013
- Plana JC, Thavendiranathan P, Bucciarelli-Ducci C: Multi-modality imaging in the assessment of cardiovascular toxicity in the cancer patient. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 11:1173-1186, 2018
- Tapio S: Pathology and biology of radiation-induced cardiac disease. J Radiat Res 57:439-448, 2016
- Yeboa DN, Evans SB: Contemporary breast radiotherapy and cardiac toxicity. Semin Radiat Oncol 26:71-78, 2016
- Goethals I, Dierckx R, De Meerleer G, et al: The role of nuclear medicine in the prediction and detection of radiation-associated normal pulmonary and cardiac damage. J Nucl Med 44:1531-1539, 2003
- Corn BW, Trock BJ, Goodman RL: Irradiation-related ischemic heart disease. J Clin Oncol 8:741-750, 1990
- 43. Valdes Olmos RA, Hoefnagel CA, van der Schoot JB: Nuclear medicine in the monitoring of organ function and the detection of injury related to cancer therapy. Eur J Nucl Med 20:515-546, 1993
- 44. van Rijswijk JW, Farag ES, Bouten CVC, et al: Fibrotic aortic valve disease after radiotherapy: An immunohistochemical study in breast cancer and lymphoma patients. Cardiovasc Pathol 45:107176, 2020
- Hurkmans CW, Borger JH, Bos LJ, van der Horst A, et al: Cardiac and lung complication probabilities after breast cancer irradiation. Radiother Oncol 55:145-151, 2000
- Taylor CW, Nisbet A, McGale P, et al: Cardiac exposures in breast cancer radiotherapy: 1950s-1990s. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 69:1484-1495, 2007
- Drost L, Yee C, Lam H, Zhang L, et al: A systematic review of heart dose in breast radiotherapy. Clin Breast Cancer 18:e819-ee24, 2018
- Vallis KA, Pintilie M, Chong N, et al: Assessment of coronary heart disease morbidity and mortality after radiation therapy for early breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 20:1036-1042, 2002
- Lee MS, Finch W, Mahmud E: Cardiovascular complications of radiotherapy. Am J Cardiol 112:1688-1696, 2013
- 50. Taylor CW, Wang Z, Macaulay E, et al: Exposure of the heart in breast cancer radiation therapy: A systematic review of heart doses published during 2003 to 2013. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 93:845-853, 2015
- Lai YH, Chen HHW, Tsai YS: Accelerated coronary calcium burden in breast cancer patients after radiotherapy: A comparison with age and race matched healthy women. Radiat Oncol 16:210, 2021
- Taylor CW, Nisbet A, McGale P, et al: Cardiac doses from Swedish breast cancer radiotherapy since the 1950s. Radiother Oncol 90:127-135, 2009
- **53.** Gyenes G, Rutqvist LE, Liedberg A: Long-term cardiac morbidity and mortality in a randomized trial of pre- and postoperative radiation therapy versus surgery alone in primary breast cancer. Radiother Oncol 48:185-190, 1998
- Haybittle JL, Brinkley D, Houghton J, et al: Postoperative radiotherapy and late mortality: Evidence from the Cancer Research Campaign trial for early breast cancer. BMJ 298:1611-1614, 1989
- 55. Comsa D, Barnett E, Le K, et al: Introduction of moderate deep inspiration breath hold for radiation therapy of left breast: Initial experience of a regional cancer center. Pract Radiat Oncol 4:298-305, 2014
- 56. Bruzzaniti V, Abate A, Pinnaro P, et al: Dosimetric and clinical advantages of deep inspiration breath-hold (DIBH) during radiotherapy of breast cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 32:88, 2013
- Pierce LJ, Butler JB, Martel MK, et al: Postmastectomy radiotherapy of the chest wall: Dosimetric comparison of common techniques. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 52:1220-1230, 2002

Nuclear medicine imaging

- Taylor CW, Povall JM, McGale P, et al: Cardiac dose from tangential breast cancer radiotherapy in the year 2006. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 72:501-507, 2008
- 59. Haussmann J, Corradini S, Nestle-Kraemling C, et al: Recent advances in radiotherapy of breast cancer. Radiat Oncol 15:71, 2020
- 60. Bartlett FR, Colgan RM, Donovan EM, et al: The UK HeartSpare Study (Stage IB): Randomised comparison of a voluntary breath-hold technique and prone radiotherapy after breast conserving surgery. Radiother Oncol 114:66-72, 2015
- Korreman SS, Pedersen AN, Aarup LR, et al: Reduction of cardiac and pulmonary complication probabilities after breathing adapted radiotherapy for breast cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 65:1375-1380, 2006
- 62. Lu HM, Cash E, Chen MH, et al: Reduction of cardiac volume in leftbreast treatment fields by respiratory maneuvers: A CT study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 47:895-904, 2000
- 63. Remouchamps VM, Vicini FA, Sharpe MB, et al: Significant reductions in heart and lung doses using deep inspiration breath hold with active breathing control and intensity-modulated radiation therapy for patients treated with locoregional breast irradiation. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 55:392-406, 2003
- 64. Sixel KE, Aznar MC, Ung YC: Deep inspiration breath hold to reduce irradiated heart volume in breast cancer patients. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 49:199-204, 2001
- 65. Cuaron JJ, Chon B, Tsai H, et al: Early toxicity in patients treated with postoperative proton therapy for locally advanced breast cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 92:284-291, 2015
- 66. Lin LL, Vennarini S, Dimofte A, et al: Proton beam versus photon beam dose to the heart and left anterior descending artery for left-sided breast cancer. Acta Oncol 54:1032-1039, 2015
- 67. Chowdhary M, Lee A, Gao S, et al: Is proton therapy a "pro" for breast cancer? a comparison of proton vs. non-proton radiotherapy using the national cancer database. Front Oncol 8:678, 2018
- 68. Boersma LJ, Sattler MGA, Maduro JH, et al: Model-based selection for proton therapy in breast cancer: Development of the national indication protocol for proton therapy and first clinical experiences. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 2022. S0936-6555(21)00488-X
- 69. Wennstig AK, Wadsten C, Garmo H, et al: Long-term risk of ischemic heart disease after adjuvant radiotherapy in breast cancer: Results from a large population-based cohort. Breast Cancer Res 22:10, 2020
- Borger JH, Hooning MJ, Boersma LJ, et al: Cardiotoxic effects of tangential breast irradiation in early breast cancer patients: The role of irradiated heart volume. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 69:1131-1138, 2007
- Harris EE, Correa C, Hwang WT, et al: Late cardiac mortality and morbidity in early-stage breast cancer patients after breast-conservation treatment. J Clin Oncol 24:4100-4106, 2006
- McGale P, Darby SC, Hall P, et al: Incidence of heart disease in 35,000 women treated with radiotherapy for breast cancer in Denmark and Sweden. Radiother Oncol 100:167-175, 2011
- Onwudiwe NC, Kwok Y, Onukwugha E, et al: Cardiovascular eventfree survival after adjuvant radiation therapy in breast cancer patients stratified by cardiovascular risk. Cancer Med 3:1342-1352, 2014
- 74. Paszat LF, Mackillop WJ, Groome PA, et al: Mortality from myocardial infarction following postlumpectomy radiotherapy for breast cancer: A population-based study in Ontario, Canada. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 43:755-762, 1999
- Sardar P, Kundu A, Chatterjee S, et al: Long-term cardiovascular mortality after radiotherapy for breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Cardiol 40:73-81, 2017
- Giordano SH, Kuo YF, Freeman JL, et al: Risk of cardiac death after adjuvant radiotherapy for breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 97:419-424, 2005
- 77. Boero IJ, Paravati AJ, Triplett DP, et al: Modern radiation therapy and cardiac outcomes in breast cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 94:700-708, 2016
- 78. Killander F, Wieslander E, Karlsson P, et al: No increased cardiac mortality or morbidity of radiation therapy in breast cancer patients after breast-conserving surgery: 20-year follow-up of the randomized SweBCGRT trial. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 107:701-709, 2020

- 79. Boekel NB, Schaapveld M, Gietema JA, et al: Cardiovascular disease risk in a large, population-based cohort of breast cancer survivors. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 94:1061-1072, 2016
- Rutqvist LE, Liedberg A, Hammar N, et al: Myocardial infarction among women with early-stage breast cancer treated with conservative surgery and breast irradiation. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 40:359-363, 1998
- Wennstig AK, Garmo H, Isacsson U, et al: The relationship between radiation doses to coronary arteries and location of coronary stenosis requiring intervention in breast cancer survivors. Radiat Oncol 14:40, 2019
- Nilsson G, Witt Nystrom P, Isacsson U, et al: Radiation dose distribution in coronary arteries in breast cancer radiotherapy. Acta Oncol 55:959-963, 2016
- 83. Lapinska G, Kozlowicz-Gudzinska I, Sackiewicz-Slaby A: Equilibrium radionuclide ventriculography in the assessment of cardiotoxicity of chemotherapy and chemoradiotherapy in patients with breast cancer. Nucl Med Rev Cent East Eur 15:26-30, 2012
- Altena R, Perik PJ, van Veldhuisen DJ, et al: Cardiovascular toxicity caused by cancer treatment: Strategies for early detection. Lancet Oncol 10:391-399, 2009
- 85. Huang H, Nijjar PS, Misialek JR, et al: Accuracy of left ventricular ejection fraction by contemporary multiple gated acquisition scanning in patients with cancer: Comparison with cardiovascular magnetic resonance. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 19:34, 2017
- Mitra D, Basu S: Equilibrium radionuclide angiocardiography: Its usefulness in current practice and potential future applications. World J Radiol 4:421-430, 2012
- Yeh ET, Bickford CL: Cardiovascular complications of cancer therapy: Incidence, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management. J Am Coll Cardiol 53:2231-2247, 2009
- Hesse B, Lindhardt TB, Acampa W, et al: EANM/ESC guidelines for radionuclide imaging of cardiac function. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 35:851-885, 2008
- Bonow RO, Bacharach SL, Green MV, et al: Impaired left ventricular diastolic filling in patients with coronary artery disease: Assessment with radionuclide angiography. Circulation 64:315-323, 1981
- Reuvekamp EJ, Bulten BF, Nieuwenhuis AA, et al: Does diastolic dysfunction precede systolic dysfunction in trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity? Assessment with multigated radionuclide angiography (MUGA). J Nucl Cardiol 23:824-832, 2016
- Shu Z, Zhu X: The widely used SPECT and PET tracers for cardiac sympathetic nervous system. Nucl Med Biomed Imaging 2(3):1-7, 2017
- **92**. Zelt JGE, deKemp RA, Rotstein BH, et al: Nuclear imaging of the cardiac sympathetic nervous system: A disease-specific interpretation in heart failure. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 13:1036-1054, 2020
- 93. Eftekhari M, Anbiaei R, Zamani H, et al: Radiation-induced myocardial perfusion abnormalities in breast cancer patients following external beam radiation therapy. Asia Ocean J Nucl Med Biol 3:3-9, 2015
- 94. I HL, Sand NP, Andersen J, Rehling M, Overgaard M: Myocardial perfusion imaging in breast cancer patients treated with or without postmastectomy radiotherapy. Radiother Oncol 55:163-172, 2000
- **95.** Kaidar-Person O, Zagar TM, Oldan JD, et al: Early cardiac perfusion defects after left-sided radiation therapy for breast cancer: Is there a volume response? Breast Cancer Res Treat 164:253-262, 2017
- 96. Marks LB, Yu X, Prosnitz RG, et al: The incidence and functional consequences of RT-associated cardiac perfusion defects. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 63:214-223, 2005
- Tzonevska A, Chakarova A, Tzvetkov K: GSPECT-CT myocardial scintigraphy plus calcium scores as screening tool for prevention of cardiac side effects in leftsided breast cancer radiotherapy. J BUON 19:667-672, 2014
- 98. Gallucci G, Capobianco AM, Coccaro M, et al: Myocardial perfusion defects after radiation therapy and anthracycline chemotherapy for left breast cancer: A possible marker of microvascular damage. three cases and review of the literature. Tumori J 94:129-133, 2018
- Taylor CW, McGale P, Darby SC: Cardiac risks of breast-cancer radiotherapy: A contemporary view. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 18:236-246, 2006

- 100. Eftekhari M, Kalantari F, Emami-Ardekani A, et al: Radiation induced myocardial perfusion abnormalities in patients with left breast cancer: A prospective study with short and long term follow up. Iranian J Nucl Med 25:21-25, 2017
- 101. Correa CR, Das IJ, Litt HI, et al: Association between tangential beam treatment parameters and cardiac abnormalities after definitive radiation treatment for left-sided breast cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 72:508-516, 2008
- 102. Gyenes G, Fornander T, Carlens P, et al: Detection of radiationinduced myocardial damage by technetium-99m sestamibi scintigraphy. Eur J Nucl Med 24:286-292, 1997
- 103. Hardenbergh PH, Munley MT, Bentel GC, et al: Cardiac perfusion changes in patients treated for breast cancer with radiation therapy and doxorubicin: Preliminary results. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 49:1023-1028, 2001
- 104. Melichar B, Dolezal J, Sramek V, et al: Prevalence of perfusion defects detected by stress 99mtechnetium sestamibi myocardial perfusion single-photon emission computed tomography in asymptomatic patients with breast cancer. Anticancer Res 34:3689-3694, 2014
- 105. Seddon B, Cook A, Gothard L, S, et al: Detection of defects in myocardial perfusion imaging in patients with early breast cancer treated with radiotherapy. Radiother Oncol 64:53-63, 2002
- 106. Sioka C, Exarchopoulos T, Tasiou I, et al: Myocardial perfusion imaging with (99m) Tc-tetrofosmin SPECT in breast cancer patients that received postoperative radiotherapy: A case-control study. Radiat Oncol 6:151, 2011
- 107. Tzonevska A, Tzvetkov K, Parvanova V: Dimitrova M. 99mTc-MIBI myocardial perfusion scintigraphy for assessment of myocardial damage after radiotherapy in patients with breast cancer. J BUON 11:505-509, 2006
- 108. Gyenes G, Fornander T, Carlens P, et al: Myocardial damage in breast cancer patients treated with adjuvant radiotherapy: A prospective study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 36:899-905, 1996
- 109. Prosnitz RG, Hubbs JL, Evans ES, et al: Prospective assessment of radiotherapy-associated cardiac toxicity in breast cancer patients: Analysis of data 3 to 6 years after treatment. Cancer 110:1840-1850, 2007
- 110. Yu X, Zhou S, Kahn D, et al: Persistence of radiation (RT)-induced cardiac perfusion defects 3–5 years post RT. J Clin Oncol 22:625, 2004
- 111. Gyenes G, Fornander T, Carlens P, et al: Morbidity of ischemic heart disease in early breast cancer 15-20 years after adjuvant radiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 28:1235-1241, 1994
- 112. Lind PA, Pagnanelli R, Marks LB, et al: Myocardial perfusion changes in patients irradiated for left-sided breast cancer and correlation with coronary artery distribution. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 55:914-920, 2003
- 113. Zellars R, Bravo PE, Tryggestad E, et al: SPECT analysis of cardiac perfusion changes after whole-breast/chest wall radiation therapy with or without active breathing coordinator: Results of a randomized phase 3 trial. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 88:778-785, 2014
- 114. Yu X, Prosnitz RR, Zhou S, et al: Symptomatic cardiac events following radiation therapy for left-sided breast cancer: Possible association with radiation therapy-induced changes in regional perfusion. Clin Breast Cancer 4:193-197, 2003
- 115. Biswas SK, Sarai M, Hishida H, et al: 123I-BMIPP fatty acid analogue imaging is a novel diagnostic and prognostic approach following acute myocardial infarction. Singapore Med J 50:943-948, 2009
- 116. Junichi Taki IM, Wakabayashi Hiroshi, Inaki Anri, et al: Role of fatty acid imaging with 1231- β-methyl-p-1231- Iodophenyl-Pentadecanoic Acid (1231-BMIPP). In: Gaze David C (ed): Ischemic Heart Diseases. Ischemic Heart Diseases, Ischemic Heart Disease, IntechOpen, 2013

- 117. Nakazato R, Berman DS, Alexanderson E, et al: Myocardial perfusion imaging with PET. Imaging Med 5:35-46, 2013
- 118. Sciagra R, Lubberink M, Hyafil F, et al: EANM procedural guidelines for PET/CT quantitative myocardial perfusion imaging. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 48:1040-1069, 2021
- 119. Gould KL, Johnson NP, Bateman TM, et al: Role of coronary flow reserve, fractional flow reserve, and positron emission tomography imaging in revascularization decision-making. J Am Coll Cardiol 62:1639-1653, 2013
- 120. Juneau D, Erthal F, Ohira H, et al: Clinical PET myocardial perfusion imaging and flow quantification. Cardiol Clin 34:69-85, 2016
- 121. Rasmussen T, Kjaer A, Lassen ML, et al: No changes in myocardial perfusion following radiation therapy of left-sided breast cancer: A positron emission tomography study. J Nucl Cardiol 28(5):1923-1932, 2019
- 122. Sogbein OO, Pelletier-Galarneau M, Schindler TH, et al: New SPECT and PET radiopharmaceuticals for imaging cardiovascular disease. Biomed Res Int 2014:942960, 2014
- 123. Nensa F, Bamberg F, Rischpler C, et al: Hybrid cardiac imaging using PET/MRI: A joint position statement by the European Society of Cardiovascular Radiology (ESCR) and the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM). Eur Radiol 28:4086-4101, 2018
- 124. Ahmed H, Haider A, Gisler L, et al: [(18) F]Flurpiridaz: Facile and improved precursor synthesis for this next-generation cardiac positron emission tomography imaging agent. ChemMedChem 15:1040-1043, 2020
- 125. Maddahi J, Lazewatsky J, Udelson JE, et al: Phase-III clinical trial of fluorine-18 flurpiridaz positron emission tomography for evaluation of coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 76:391-401, 2020
- 126. Murthy VL, Naya M, Taqueti VR, et al: Effects of sex on coronary microvascular dysfunction and cardiac outcomes. Circulation 129:2518-2527, 2014
- 127. Taqueti VR, Shaw LJ, Cook NR, et al: Excess cardiovascular risk in women relative to men referred for coronary angiography is associated with severely impaired coronary flow reserve, not obstructive disease. Circulation 135:566-577, 2017
- 128. Danad I, Raijmakers PG, Driessen RS, et al: Comparison of coronary CT angiography, SPECT, PET, and hybrid imaging for diagnosis of ischemic heart disease determined by fractional flow reserve. JAMA Cardiol 2:1100-1107, 2017
- 129. Mc Ardle BA, Dowsley TF, deKemp RA, et al: Does rubidium-82 PET have superior accuracy to SPECT perfusion imaging for the diagnosis of obstructive coronary disease?: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol 60:1828-1837, 2012
- 130. Parker MW, Iskandar A, Limone B, et al: Diagnostic accuracy of cardiac positron emission tomography versus single photon emission computed tomography for coronary artery disease: A bivariate metaanalysis. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 5:700-707, 2012
- 131. Ziadi MC, deKemp RA, Williams KA, et al: Impaired myocardial flow reserve on rubidium-82 positron emission tomography imaging predicts adverse outcomes in patients assessed for myocardial ischemia. J Am Coll Cardiol 58:740-748, 2011
- Murthy VL, Naya M, Foster CR, et al: Improved cardiac risk assessment with noninvasive measures of coronary flow reserve. Circulation 124:2215-2224, 2011
- 133. Osborne MT, Hulten EA, Murthy VL, et al: Patient preparation for cardiac fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography imaging of inflammation. J Nucl Cardiol 24:86-99, 2017