

Contrasting in-person to virtual conference & teaching emission footprints Roush, A.; Fies, C.; Packham, C.; Burtscher, L.H.

Citation

Roush, A., Fies, C., Packham, C., & Burtscher, L. H. (2022). Contrasting in-person to virtual conference & teaching emission footprints. doi:10.5281/zenodo.6392403

Version:Publisher's VersionLicense:Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 licenseDownloaded
from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3562714

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

IR2022 CO₂ Emissions

Roush, Alex¹; Fies, Carmen¹; Packham, Chris^{1,2}; Burtscher, Leonard³: ¹UTSA, ²NOAJ, ³Leiden University

Abstract

The pivot to online conferences and teaching due to the sudden onset of the COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated the strengths and weaknesses of this approach. In this poster we estimate the CO_2 emission savings by holding conferences and classes online as compared to face to face and attempt to place these savings in context.

Background

The COVID-19 pandemic introduced a need for conferences to meet virtually rather than in-person. While online conferences do have negative aspects, such as difficulty networking and lack of personal interaction, it is important to consider the environmental benefits of them as well. We discuss the CO_2 emissions saved by having this meeting online

Methods

This meeting is being held online, but to estimate the CO2 footprint for an in-person meeting, we obtained the host institute of each participant. We could then estimate the travel from that institute to the conference venue using Barret's Travel Carbon Footprint Calculator at https://travel-footprint-calculator.irap.omp.eu/. Additionally, we separated the countries into four bins (USA, Japan, EU, and other) to find their relative footprints.

Google Flights also provides carbon emission estimations for each flight. Thus we can compare and confirm the results of Barret's travel estimation calculator. When compared to the calculator, we find an 11% difference. This is likely due to the extra, but minor, CO2 emission for local travel being included in Barett's calculator but not in the Google Flight CO_2 estimator. The difference is relatively small and builds confidence in Barett's calculator.

We then followed the methodology of Burtcher at al. 2020 to estimate the CO2 footprint of the additional power needed for both computers (clients) and servers for a typical zoom meeting. Using values from "The carbon footprint of large astronomy meetings" and gCO2e kWh-1 values for each country bin, we calculate the network, laptop, and zoom server-related emissions.

Using the Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator at https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/widgets/

<u>calc/calculator.html</u> we find emission equivalencies for the in-person and virtual emissions.

Results

For the virtual IR2022 meeting, network, laptop, and Zoom-related total 2.8 metric tons of CO_2 . This is equivalent to the CO2 emissions fro

• 1.4 tons of coal burned . 0.015 railcars' worth

Or

1,192 liters of gasoline consumed, 0.037 tanker trucks' worth

This is equivalent to the carbon emissions saved by running 1 wir for 0.0002 seconds.

Or

The amount of carbon sequestered by 46.3 tree seedlings grown for 1 0.014 square kilometers of forests in one year



Figure 1. The emission per country bin for this conference, taking account of the different CO_2 per kilowatt hour. We have not estimated the emission at the persons attendance location (i.e. heating, electricity, food/drink, etc.)

For the in-person IR2022 meeting, Barret's calculator estimates 653.3 metric tons emissions. This is equivalent to CO_2 Emissions from:

• 328 tons of coal burned, 3.6 railcars' worth

Or

• 278,273 liters of gasoline consumed, 8.6 tanker trucks' worth

This is equivalent to the carbon emissions saved by running 1 wind turbin seconds.

The amount of carbon sequestered by 10,802 tree seedlings grown for 10 years, or kilometers of forests in one year



Figure 2. The relative flight CO_2 footprints for each of the four country bins. USA is 26.37%, Japan is 0.05%, EU is 47.18%, and Other is 26.39%.



Conclusions

While the difference in CO_2 emissions between the virtual and in-person conference is very large, we understand that this is not the only factor in deciding between them. Online conferences are not a total replacement for all face to face meetings, but improvements continue. However, we feel strongly that it is a face in deciding the location and type of conference. Being able to quantitively estimate the reduced CO_2 emissions serves to enable conference planners to make a well-educated decision.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank to organizers of IR2022, and also acknowledge the assistance of UTSA in funding and resources to enable the production of this poster.

	Nagoya, Aichi Prefecture, 451-0031, Japan		
	Sendai, Miyagi Prefecture, Japan Kvoto, Kvoto Prefecture, Japan		
	Tokyo, Japan		
	Okayama, Okayama Prefecture, Japan -		
emissions	Daejeon, South Korea Jinan City, Shandong, China		
om:	Taipei, 11008, Taiwan		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Guangzhou City, Guangdong Province, China		
	Mandaluyong, Eastern Manila District, Metro Manila, Philippines - Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam		
	Beijing, Dongcheng District, Beijing, 100010, China -	D	
	Anchorage, Alaska, 99501-2118, United States	0	
	Aligam, Utar Pradesn, India - Gujarat, India -	0	
	Bengaluru, Bangalore North, Bangalore Urban, Karnataka, 560001, India -	0	
	Pune, Pune District, Maharashtra, India- Colombo, Colombo, District, Westero, Province, 000110, Sri Lanka,	0	
	Kothamangalam, Ernakulam district, Kerala, India	0	
nd turbing	Radisson Blu Iveria Hotel, 1, First Republic Square, Vorontsovi, Mtatsminda District, Tbilisi, 0108, Georgia	•	
liu tui bille	Клагкіv, Харківська промада, Клагкіv Haion, Kharkiv Oblast, Ukraine - Shariah. Shariah Emirate. 60884. United Arab Emirates	-0	
	Turku, Turun seutukunta, Southwest Finland, South-Western Finland, Mainland Finland, Finland	0	
	Gothenburg, Göteborgs Stad, Våstra Götaland County, 41106, Sweden		
	Osaka Japan, South Temple, Salt Lake City, Salt Lake County, Utah, 84139, United States	-	
	Salt Lake City, Salt Lake County, Utah, 84139, United States -	•	
0 years, or	n für Sexualmedizin, UK S-H, Campus Kiel, 12, Arnold-Heller-Straße, Düsternbrook, Kiel, Schleswig-Holstein, 24105, Germany - National Library of the Czech Beruiblic, 190, Béyové pértyoli, Old Town, Preque, 11000, Czechia,	0	
o jeuis, or	Szeged, Szegedi járás, Csongrád-Csanád County, Southern Great Plain, Great Plain and North, 6700, Hungary -	-0	
	Hagen, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany -	0	
	Amsterdam, North Holland, Netherlands - County Durham, North East England, England, United Kingdom -	0	
	Cologne, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany	0	
	Denver, Colorado, United States	0	
	Heidelberg, Baden-Württemberg, Germany - Stuttoart Baden-Württembern 70173 Germany -	0	
	Innsbruck, Tyrol, Austria	-0	
	Leicester, Leicestershire, East Midlands, England, LE1 SYA, United Kingdom	-0	
	Armagn, County Armagn, Northern Ireland, B161 7DJ, United Kingdom - Dublin. Dublin 1. Leinster: Ireland	-0	
	Socorro, Socorro County, New Mexico, United States	-0	
	Cardiff, Wales, CF, United Kingdom - Eveter, Devon, South West England, England, EX1 1GB, United Kingdom	-0	
	Geneva, 1204, Switzerland	0	
	Guarcino, Frosinone, Lazio, 03016, Italy	0	
	Rome, Roma Capitale, Lazio, Italy - Lvon. Métropole de Lvon. Departemental constituency of Rhône, Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes, Metropolitan France, France	-0	
	Grenoble, Isère, Auvergna-Rhône-Alpes, Metropolitan France, France	-0	
	Lawrence, Douglas County, Kansas, United States - Massellie, Bouches du Phône, Province Alexe, Otta d'Azur, Matsaelline, France, 1900, France	-0	
	Kolkata, West Bengal, India	0	
	Ann Arbor, Washtenaw County, Michigan, United States	-0	
	London, Southwestern Ontario, Ontario, Canada - Barcelona, Barcelona, Barcelona, Catalonia, 08001, Spain	-0	
	Russellville, Pope County, Arkansas, United States	-0	
	Amherst, Hampshire County, Massachusetts, United States -	-0	
	Houston, Harris County, Texas, United States - Porto, Cedofeita, Santo Ildefonso, Sé, Miragaia, São Nicolau e Vitória, Porto, 4000-274, Portugal	-0	
	Greenbelt, Prince George's County, Maryland, United States	-0	
	Granada, Comarca de la Vega de Granada, Granada, Andalusia, Spain	0	
	Durham, Durham County, North Carolina, 27215-5151, United States	0	
	Lisbon, Portugal -	-0	
	Dehradun, Uttarakhand, 248001, India - Sen Cristóhal de La Laruna, Sante Cruz de Tenerife, Canary Jelande, Snain	-0	
	Santa Cruz de la Palma, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Canary Islands, 38700, Spain		
	Kerala, India -	-0	
	Uppsala, Uppsala kommun, Uppsala County, 753 20, Sweden - San Francisco, California, United States -		
	Santiago, Provincia de Santiago, Santiago Metropolitan Region, 8320000, Chile	0	
of travel	Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, California, United States		
	Brunswick, Lower Saxony, Germany -	0	
	Jena, Thuringia, Germany	•	
	Pereyra, Gualeguaychu, Distrito Costa Uruguay Norte, Departamento Gualeguaychu, Entre Rios Province, Argentina - Groningen, Netherlande, 9712HS, Netherlande,	0	
	Belgrade, Stari Grad Urban Municipality, City of Belgrade, Central Serbia, 11000, Serbia -	0	
	pulo, Região Metropolitana de São Paulo, Região Geográfica Intermediária de São Paulo, São Paulo, Southeast Region, Brazil		
	Jeropolitaria do hio de Santeiro, Região Geográfica Intermediária do Nio de Santeiro, Nio de Santeiro, Southeast Region, Brazil - jeográfica Imediata de Santa Maria, Região Geográfica Intermediária de Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, South Region, Brazil -		
	Bonn, North Rhine-Westphalla, Germany	O	
	2 Geográfica Imediata de Florianópolis, Região Geográfica Intermediária de Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, South Region, Brazil - Leada West Yorkshira and the Humber England J. St. 64. United Kingdom.	0	
a for 0.06	Liège, Wallonia, 4000, Belgium -	0	
	Oxford, Oxfordshire, South East England, England, United Kingdom		
	Bologna, Emilia-Romagna, 40121-40141, Italy- Jree , Amentina, Piazza Amentina, Buence Airee , Venazia, Municipio 3, Milan Cueson Milanino, Milan Lombardy 20131, Italy	0	
	Lausanne, District de Lausanne, Vaud, Switzerland -	— ŏ	
	a (ASL des Paluds), Aubagne, Marseille, Bouches-du-Rhône, Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur, Metropolitan France, 13785, France		
3.24 square	Nainital, Uttarakhand, India		
	Warsaw, Masovian Voivodeship, 00-901, Poland	0	
	Poznań, Greater Poland Volvodeship, 60-001, Poland - Poznańa Lisz Angeles County, Colifernia Lisited States	•	
	City of Edinburgh, Scotland, United Kingdom	•	
	Saclay, Palaiseau, Essonne, Ile-de-France, Metropolitan France, 91400, France	•	
	Mumbai, Mumbai Metropolitan Region, Mumbai Suburban, Maharashtra, India - Martrid Área metropolitana da Martrid u Corrector dal Lenanza, Community of Martrid, 20000, October	O	
	Budapest, I. kerület, Central Hungary, Hungary	0	
	Leuven, Flemish Brabant, Flanders, Belgium	0	
	Uorooba, Municipio de Cordoba, Pedania Capital, Departamento Capital, Córdoba, X5000, Argentina - San Antonio, Bexar County Texas, 78205, United States -	0	
	Tucson, Pima County, Arizona, 85701, United States	0	
	Baltimore, Maryland, 21203, United States		
	Mountain view, Santa Clara County, California, United States - Munich, Bavaria, Germany -	0	
	Leiden, South Holland, Netherlands -		-0
		a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
		త్త్త్త్త్త్త్త్త్త్త్త్త్	

CO2 emissions equivalent (kg) per target city.

Contact

Email: alex.roush@my.utsa.edu