

The continuity and discontinuity of fundamental military concepts in Russian military thought between 1856 and 2010 $_{\mbox{Y\"{u}ksel},\mbox{ E}.}$

Citation

Yüksel, E. (2023, January 24). *The continuity and discontinuity of fundamental military concepts in Russian military thought between 1856 and 2010*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3512742

Version: Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3512742

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Propositions

- There is a significant degree of continuity in Russian military thought over the 20th century due to the resilience of fundamental military concepts¹. Russian fundamental military concepts that arose during the late 19th and early 20th centuries remained unaltered in Russia's conceptualization of modern warfare.
- 2. Between 1853 and 2010, Russian fundamental military concepts ensured continuity rather than change, despite the changing strategic, political, and historical contexts.
- 3. Socio-political and strategic ruptures have had a marginal impact on Russian fundamental military concepts between 1853 and 2010. Fundamental military concepts tend to become strategically essential by updating their semantic content through changing socio-political and strategic contexts.
- 4. Russian fundamental military concepts showed an unchangeable and eternal tendency in terms of etymological forms; however, their content and semantic use constantly changed under the varying historical contexts.
- 5. In Russian military thinking, conceptual resilience is strongly correlated with concepts' (1) enduring strategic relevance and (2) integration into the system of conceptual thinking.
- 6. Russian fundamental military concepts do not disappear under war's changing character, although their semantic use is affected. Researching the continuity of a concept over time can be meaningful as long as a concept has practical strategic significance.

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ These concepts are the initial period of war, combat readiness, correlation of forms and methods, forecasting and reflexive control.

- 7. In Russian military thought, the continuity of fundamental military concepts hinges on their interaction with each other. A high degree of interrelation among fundamental military concepts stimulated continuity.
- 8. A certain degree of dependency and hierarchy among fundamental military concepts can promote continuity in Russian conceptual thinking.
- 9. Russian fundamental military concepts privileged continuity over change on the condition that they fit together into a whole system of concepts. Thus, the vertical and horizontal linkages among fundamental military concepts constituted a system of thinking that laid the theoretical framework for Russian military doctrine.
- 10. The enduring relevance of concepts and their development into a system of thinking fostered conceptual resilience in Russian strategic thinking.