



Universiteit
Leiden

The Netherlands

New kidneys on the block: experimental laboratory strategies for breeding new human kidneys

Witjas, F.M.R.

Citation

Witjas, F. M. R. (2023, January 19). *New kidneys on the block: experimental laboratory strategies for breeding new human kidneys*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3512728>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)


Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3512728>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

CHAPTER 2

The endothelial cell extracellular matrix regulates tissue homeostasis and repair

Franca M.R. Witjas, Bernard M. van den Berg, Cathelijne W. van den Berg, Marten A. Engelse and Ton J Rabelink



Stem Cells
Translational
Medicine
2019

Abstract

All tissues are surrounded by a mixture of non-cellular matrix components, that not only provide physical and mechanical support to cells, but also mediate biochemical signalling between cells. The extracellular matrix (ECM) of endothelial cells, also known as the perivascular matrix, forms an organ specific vascular niche that orchestrates mechano-, growth factor and angiocrine signalling required for tissue homeostasis and organ repair. This concise review describes how this perivascular ECM functions as a signalling platform and how this knowledge can impact the field of regenerative medicine, for example, when designing artificial matrices or using decellularized scaffolds from organs.

Introduction

The extracellular matrix (ECM) has central roles in tissue integrity and remodeling. While collagens, laminins and proteoglycans are the most abundant structural components of the ECM in most tissues, tissue-specific molecular complexity during repair is determined by ECM glycoproteins and the capacity to secrete specific matricellular proteins. In mammals this comprises about 300 proteins, often referred to as the matrisome [1]. In particular, remodeling of ECM at the level of capillaries, in a very fundamental way, enables the interaction between endothelial cells, circulating immunocytes and tissue that is required for homeostasis and regeneration. A lot of insight in this adaptive vascular ECM remodeling has been derived from research into developmental biology and cancer metastasis [2-7]. However, more recently these same processes have also been implicated in tissue repair [8]. From this perspective, understanding the biology and regulation of the ECM is key to the field of regenerative medicine, where the use of artificial matrices to drive stem cell maturation is an important theme. In this concise review we will in particular discuss the microvascular ECM structure as an essential signaling platform for tissue repair and how understanding its biology may help advance the field of regenerative medicine.

The concept of bi-directional angiocrine signaling in tissue homeostasis and repair

Vascularization induces maturation of stem cell-derived tissue and tissue regeneration.

In addition to delivering oxygen and nutrients to cells as passive and permissive conduits, and clearing cellular waste products simultaneously, blood vessels also provide instructive signals for organogenesis. During embryogenesis, endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) invade into

nascent organs, develop into different endothelial phenotypes, and establish organ-specific vascular niches which release endothelium-derived growth factors and trophogens (angiocrine factors) to promote stem cell differentiation (extensively reviewed by Rafii and colleagues [7]. The relevance of this biology for regenerative medicine is illustrated by recent experiments where we demonstrated that prolonged culture of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs)-derived kidney organoids failed to induce maturation of kidney beyond early embryonic tissue. However, maturation and segmental cell specification could be induced when vascularization of the organoids occurred upon transplantation in vivo, illustrating the requirement of vascular signaling for differentiation [9,10]. Functional differentiation could also be observed in stem cell-derived beta cells upon vascularization after transplantation under the kidney capsule [9-11]. Similarly, it was recently shown that transplantation of human brain organoids into adult mouse brains resulted in progressive neuronal differentiation and maturation, gliogenesis and axonal growth of engrafted organoids. After the organoids became vascularized, neuronal activity could be demonstrated [12].

Endothelial cells are crucial for tissue regeneration through angiocrine signaling

The angiocrine function of EC is preserved beyond embryogenesis in specialized microvascular areas such as sinusoids where ECs are in close apposition with progenitor cells or diploid epithelial cells that have preserved proliferative potential [13]. For example, capillary sinusoidal endothelial cells (SECs) within liver and bone marrow comprise phenotypically and functionally discrete populations of endothelial cells. After partial hepatectomy, liver SECs (LSECs) stimulate hepatocyte proliferation through a process of angiocrine production of hepatocyte growth factor and Wnt2 [14]. Subsequently, LSECs undergo angiogenesis to meet the demand in blood supply to regenerate liver tissue. Similarly, after chemotherapy and irradiation, activated bone marrow SECs reconstitute hematopoiesis by angiocrine expression of Notch ligands and insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins (IGFBPs) [7, 15, 16]. Pulmonary capillary endothelial cells also produce angiocrine growth factors that induce proliferation of epithelial progenitor cells thereby supporting alveologenesis [17]. There is a large variety in such angiocrine factors that can be produced by the endothelium, while the secretion is very tissue specific and still largely unknown [7].

Organotypic EC phenotype depends upon instruction from neighboring cells and vice versa

Endothelial cells in turn depend for their survival and organotypic function upon instructive signaling from neighboring cells as well. For example, the highly specialized fenestrated capillary EC phenotype in the glomerulus is dependent upon vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) signaling from the podocytes [18], as illustrated by experiments where podocyte specific deletion of VEGF secretion results in loss of fenestrae and glomerular endothelioses. Angiopoietins 1 is another growth factor that is secreted by perivascular stromal cells such as pericytes, to maintain a stable endothelial cell phenotype [19]. Loss of Angiopoietin 1 mediated Tie2 kinase signalling in the endothelium leads to endothelial cell

proliferation and loss of organotypic characteristics such as fenestration. Conditional deletion of VEGF-A receptor-2 (VEGFR2) in liver ECs or bone marrow ECs [20], thus disrupting the stable EC phenotype, inhibits liver and bone marrow regeneration underscoring the necessity of these angiocrine endothelial beds to respond to signaling molecules such as VEGF in order to exert their angiocrine regenerative properties.

Endothelial cell extracellular matrix serves as an important platform for bidirectional signaling of angiocrine EC to its neighboring cells

The bidirectional exchange takes place at the EC extracellular matrix, where the matrix should allow for the formation of specific gradients of growth factors and subsequent engagement with surface receptors (see figures 1 & 2). The ultrastructure of ECM that enables endothelial cells to engage with their direct surroundings through angiocrine signaling consists first of all of a very dynamically regulated subendothelial basement membrane, containing collagen type IV isoforms, laminin isoforms, heparan sulfate proteoglycans (perlecan or agrin) and nidogen-1 and/or nidogen-2. Collagen type IV and laminins are the two major basement membrane (BM) components that self-assemble to form independent networks that confer structural stability and biological activity, respectively. These two networks are connected by perlecans and nidogens [21]. Vascular endothelial cells express laminin $\alpha 4$ and $\alpha 5$ chains that combine with laminin $\beta 1$ and $\gamma 1$ chains to form laminins 411 and 511 (isotypes 8 and 10) respectively. Laminins are considered to be the major BM components responsible for the biological functions of BMs; i.e., for transducing signals that control cell migration, survival, proliferation and differentiation. Laminin α -chain distribution and expression depends on endothelial cell type, state of vessel growth and activation state [22, 23]. Laminin $\alpha 5$ chain, for example, is strongly expressed in most capillary BMs and inhibits leukocyte transmigration [24]. Laminin isoforms also bind to growth factors (VEGF, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), fibroblast growth factor (FGF) and epidermal growth factor (EGF)) with high affinity, through their heparin-binding domains located in the α chain laminin-type G domain [25]. In addition, membrane-bound proteoglycans and glycosaminoglycans such as hyaluronan, chondroitin sulfate, and heparan sulfate, with terminal sialic acids, contribute to binding and sequestering of growth factors and morphogens with their surface receptors. In embryology altering the proteoglycan composition of this layer results in abnormal vascular development and loss of angiocrine signaling molecules [26]. Using endothelial specific deletion of hyaluronan synthesis we recently found that also in adult life this layer is essential for endothelial stabilization through specific binding of vascular growth factors [27].

Finally, a fibrillar interstitial matrix underlies the endothelial BM and serves to further interconnect the endothelium with its neighboring tissue. In general, it is composed largely of the fibrillar collagen types I and III together with chondroitin sulfate and dermatan sulfate proteoglycans such as decorin and biglycan, and again multi-adhesive glycoproteins [28], although its composition may change from one capillary bed to another. The composition of this latter

layer is primarily controlled by resident tissue fibroblasts, that act as a signaling hub for tissue homeostasis and repair. Like the endothelium, they have an organ-specific phenotype where anatomical localization is related to the specific transcriptome profiles, such as embryonic patterning genes, as well as function [29]. While these cells intimately communicate with tissue cells and the immune system to preserve an anti-inflammatory and trophic milieu, they can respond to injury by differentiating into proliferating myofibroblasts and eventually converting into a nonproliferating, matrix-producing phenotype. Where the role of the latter in scar formation is undisputed, the way by which tissue fibroblast use and regulate their extra-cellular microenvironment for homeostasis is not well understood.




Figure 1

The endothelial basement membrane, composed of laminin and collagen IV strands which self-assemble to form an independent network cross-linked by perlecan and nidogen, is bound by the endothelial cell through integrins (right lower panel).


The glycocalyx, formed by membrane-bound proteoglycans (GAGs), is able to sequester growth factors and constitutes a part of the interstitial matrix functioning as a signalling platform. Long polymeric hyaluronan (orange) crosslinks proteoglycans that carry heparan sulfate (grey) and sialated surface proteins (red), together constituting a gel like layer.

The perivascular extracellular matrix as a signaling platform for repair and regeneration

During tissue repair and regeneration, where downstream signalling pathways such as Akt (protein kinase B) and mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) regulate endothelial cellular events, multilayered perivascular ECM remodeling can be discerned. Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), which normally reside in a quiescent state within the ECM can be activated upon injury, in particular inflammation, through proteolytic cleavage by proteases such as MT1-MMP on leukocytes, or by direct redox activation. The subsequent ECM degradation leads to the production and release of ECM components that further modulate ECM remodeling and set the stage to resynthesize the ECM. These include:

[A] During EC injury rapid activation of latent MMPs and subsequent generation of matrix fragments occurs through activation of endothelial cells and invading immunocytes (figure 3). The resulting protease-mediated fragmentation of matrix proteins results in generation of matrikines. Matrikines are ECM fragments that are generated through proteolysis in a highly regulated manner and which, e.g. through exposition of binding domains, can elicit specific local biological responses [30]. Collagen and fibronectin- derived peptides are well-known matrikines that have been implicated in further activation of immunocytes and fibroblasts and modulating endothelial function. For example, exposition of the terminal domain of fibronectin during ECM degradation can stimulate MMP1 formation by fibroblasts and hence further stimulate ECM remodeling [30]. Another example is the proteolytic cleavage of laminin and collagen which has been shown to result in fragments that contain EGF-like repeats capable of EGF receptor transactivation and re-initiating endothelial ECM synthesis (reviewed in [31]). Together this leads to the initial remodeling of the structural ECM network in the basement membrane and allows for re-synthesis of a new provisional EC matrix. This initial step in remodeling of the perivascular matrix is important to set the stage for tissue regeneration.

[B] Matricellular proteins, such as CCN (acronym of CYR61, CTGF, and NOV)-family of proteins, TSP-1 (thrombospondin-1), tenascin-C and SPARC (secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine) have been identified as components of the ECM during developmental processes and organogenesis (for review see Adams [32]). The term matricellular has been applied to this group of extracellular proteins that do not contribute directly to the formation of structural ECM elements but serve to modulate cell–matrix interactions and cell function. While they usually have limited expression in adult life, they can become upregulated and released from the ECM during injury and repair [33–36]. The production and release of matricellular proteins upon injury allows for binding of these proteins to a variety of receptors, growth factors and proteases in the capillary pericellular space, generally reducing cell adhesion and proliferation, and thus tuning ECM remodeling down (figure 3). These effects are very specific for the various matricellular proteins [37]; for example the matricellular protein TSP-1 has been shown to act as a strong inhibitor of angiogenesis. It binds to the multiligand


**Figure 2**

Matricellular proteins and glycosaminoglycans that contain heparan sulfate bind with growth factors and orchestrate receptor interaction at the endothelial cell membrane. This will elicit growth factor-induced downstream cellular events such as Akt (protein kinase B) and mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) signalling, which define endothelial adaptive responses, such as the secretion of angiocrine factors. The transmission of angiocrine factors to neighbouring cells again is governed in turn by these same endothelial ECM components.

CD36 that is expressed on activated endothelium and that induces multiple pro-apoptotic anti-proliferative and anti-inflammatory downstream signaling events [38, 39].

Through these effects TSP-1 can modulate response-to-injury processes. For example, during the proliferative phase of infarct healing, localized induction of TSP-1 in the ischemic zone of the myocardium prevents expansion of inflammation into viable myocardial areas through local activation of anti-inflammatory signals [40]. Another example is that TSP-1 through its modulation of endothelial function can drive lineage-specific differentiation of bronchoalveolar stem cells [41]. CCN2/Connective Tissue Growth Factor (CTGF), expressed by endothelial cells, plays an important role in pericyte recruitment through PDGF signaling and subsequent vascular stabilization [42]. Through integrin binding, CCN2 mediates vascular cell migration and proliferation and regulates endothelial basement membrane formation. The general association of matricellular proteins with stem cell niches across species further suggests that matricellular proteins should be considered more closely for their potential role tissue regeneration [5, 32].

[C] Finally, dynamic remodeling and chemical editing of the gel-like glycocalyx envelope of endothelial cells occurs during injury and repair (figure 3). The changes in this glycocalyx are probably amongst the most critical in controlling angiogenesis and angiocrine signaling. In particular the ability of heparan sulfate domains to mediate binding of specific proteins is fundamental to the organization of protein–receptor interactions at the cell surface and for the creation of chemotactic gradients of growth factors and chemokines [43, 44]. For example, heparan sulfate facilitates the interaction of growth factors, such as FGF-2, VEGF and heparin-binding epidermal-like growth factor (HB-EGF), with their respective receptors [45–49]. Upon EC injury re-synthesis of membrane bound glycosaminoglycans occurs where sulfation patterns, and consequently also growth factor and morphogen binding, are modified to adapt to the altered microenvironment (for review see Rabelink, et al. [43]). Several lines of evidence support the concept that such perivascular ECM remodeling favors tissue regeneration. For example, in newts, cardiac regeneration following injury was preceded by formation of a matrix network comprising tenascin-C, fibronectin, and hyaluronan. This specialized matrix network may serve as a path for progenitor cells as well as play an active role in activation of a regenerative program [50].

**Figure 3**

Activated leukocytes, through the release of Cathepsin L can activate heparanase, leading to the degradation of the luminal endothelial surface glycocalyx [43]. This allows the leukocyte to engage with endothelial surface adhesion receptors (such as ICAM-1) and form a podosome that can invade into the subendothelial matrix. The podosome delivers membrane type I-metalloproteinase (MT-1) leading to subsequent binding and local activation of MMP's and matrix degradation. The release of matrikines and matricellular proteins such as TSP-1 from the matrix counter-regulate matrix degradation through their respective activation of EGF and CD36 receptors on the subendothelial surface.

Implications for regenerative medicine

Mimicking the regenerative endothelial ECM

Understanding the biology of the provisional perivascular EC matrix during repair has implications for biomaterials that are currently being developed for regenerative medicine and tissue engineering in particular. Today, many micro/nanofabrication technologies have been developed for tissue engineering applications. These technologies can be utilized to create geometrically defined matrix structures that enable interactions with a specific matrix topography. However, still little knowledge is available as to how to engineer the qualitative characteristics of these materials, such that they mimic the regenerative properties of the perivascular EC matrix. Recently, synthetic neoproteoglycans (neoPGs) with affinity for FGF2 were synthe-

sized, thus mimicking an essential component of the EC glycocalyx envelope. These neoPGs were introduced into plasma membranes of ESCs deficient in HS biosynthesis. Here, they assumed the function of native heparan sulfate proteoglycans, rescued FGF2- mediated kinase activity, and promoted neural stem cell specification [51]. A 2018 study described the covalent incorporation of laminin heparin binding domains into fibrin matrices, thus recreating components of the basement membrane in a hydrogel [24]. This addition improved retention of growth factors and significantly enhanced the efficacy of VEGF and PDGF to promote wound healing in vivo, under conditions where the growth factors alone in fibrin are inefficacious [25]. Another example, where insight in the biology of the EC matrix was used was a biomolecular engineering approach, which introduced cell-instructive peptide motifs of the matricellular protein CNN within a recombinant elastin-like protein polymer. This polymer elicits integrin-specific endothelial cell survival and function, and would therefore serve as a promising biomaterial for tissue regeneration [52].

Understanding how ECM components induce cell differentiation is also critical to the field of stem cell and organoid culture. Stem cell-derived organoids recapitulate multiple aspects of real organs making them promising models to study organ development, function and disease. However, the full potential of organoids has remained unrealized, owing to the poorly defined animal-derived matrices in which they are grown. Typically, such organoids are grown in Matrigel, which is a solubilized basement membrane preparation extracted from the Engelbreth-Holm-Swarm (EHS) mouse sarcoma, a tumor rich in such ECM proteins as laminin (a major component), collagen IV, heparin sulfate proteoglycans, entactin/nidogen, and growth factors. A 2014 study showed that such a Matrigel environment can be recreated by using enzymatically crosslinked polyethylene glycol (PEG) hydrogels that include the RGD (Arg-Gly-Asp) peptide of fibronectin [53]. It appeared that in addition to the presence of ECM components mechanical properties of the hydrogel were of relevance as well: matrix stiffness significantly enhanced stem cell expansion through a yes-associated protein 1 (YAP)-dependent mechanism [54]. Stem cell differentiation and organoid formation, on the other hand, required a soft matrix and laminin-based adhesion [55, 56].

The use of decellularized matrices as regenerative matrix

Another approach to capitalize on the regenerative and instructive potential of the ECM in general and that of the vasculature in particular, is to use decellularized ECM obtained from organs. This field was pioneered by Ott and coworkers who developed a standard process for pressure-controlled perfusion decellularization of whole organs for generating acellular 3D scaffolds with preserved ECM protein content, architecture and perfusable vascular conduits [57]. By applying antegrade perfusion of detergents and subsequent washes to arterial vasculature at low physiological pressures, successful decellularization of complex organs (i.e., hearts, lungs and kidneys) can be performed [57-59]. The resulting scaffolds can be used in ex vivo culture models where the ECM may provide important information to repopulating

cells through retention of growth factor or niche-specific cues. For example, it was shown that hepatic SECs maintained their differentiated state longer when cultured on ECM- from the liver than on ECM-derived from the urinary bladder or the small intestine, emphasizing the crosstalk and organ specificity of the ECM and sinusoidal endothelial cells [60]. The instructive capacity of decellularized matrix on differentiation of early progenitor or stem cells into endothelial cells has proven successful. For instance, embryonic stem cells or human nephrosphere-derived PAX2 positive cells differentiate into endothelial cells when cultured on decellularized kidney scaffolds [61-63]. The challenge here is to unravel the culture conditions during which the interaction between early progenitor cells and decellularized matrix scaffolds are optimal. For example, we could recently demonstrate that decellularized scaffolds of human kidney's still contain endothelial glycosaminoglycans that can be reloaded with EC growth factors, and which subsequently resulted in improved adherence of iPSC-derived ECs and functional recellularized vascular conduits [64].

In addition, it was recently demonstrated [65] that the recellularization of decellularized rat gut using both epithelial and endothelial hiPSCs showed functionality after four weeks, indicating the therapeutic potential of this approach.

Conclusion

Further insight into the matrisome, at the very critical interface between the perivascular capillary EC and niches of tissue with proliferative capacity (progenitors cells) may prove to be key in developing successful biomaterials for tissue engineering. At the same time the complexity of the biology of glycosaminoglycans and matricellular proteins is daunting, incompletely understood and currently hard to resynthesize and recreate in vitro. Given the central role of the endothelial cell matrix in coordinating tissue homeostasis, we argue that focusing on understanding the dynamic regulation and composition of this matrix is of particular relevance.

Acknowledgements

The work of the authors is supported by RECellularizing ORgan Donors for KIDney bioengineering (RECORD KID, Dutch Kidney Foundation, 15RN02).

References

1. Hynes RO, Naba A. Overview of the matrisome--an inventory of extracellular matrix constituents and functions. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2012;4:a004903.
2. Pettersson A, Nagy JA, Brown LF et al. Heterogeneity of the angiogenic response induced in different normal adult tissues by vascular permeability factor/vascular endothelial growth factor. *Lab Invest.* 2000;80:99-115.
3. Davis GE, Senger DR. Endothelial extracellular matrix: biosynthesis, remodeling, and functions during vascular morphogenesis and neovessel stabilization. *Circulation research.* 2005;97:1093-1107.
4. Jarvelainen H, Sainio A, Koulou M et al. Extracellular matrix molecules: potential targets in pharmacotherapy. *Pharmacol Rev.* 2009;61:198-223.
5. Vorotnikova E, McIntosh D, Dewilde A et al. Extracellular matrix-derived products modulate endothelial and progenitor cell migration and proliferation in vitro and stimulate regenerative healing in vivo. *Matrix biology: journal of the International Society for Matrix Biology.* 2010;29:690-700.
6. Carmeliet P, Jain RK. Molecular mechanisms and clinical applications of angiogenesis. *Nature.* 2011;473:298-307.
7. Rafii S, Butler JM, Ding BS. Angiocrine functions of organ-specific endothelial cells. *Nature.* 2016;529:316-325.
8. Keane TJ, Horejs CM, Stevens MM. Scarring vs. functional healing: Matrix-based strategies to regulate tissue repair. *Advanced drug delivery reviews.* 2018;129:407- 419.
9. van den Berg CW, Ritsma L, Avramut MC et al. Renal Subcapsular Transplantation of PSC-Derived Kidney Organoids Induces Neo-vasculogenesis and Significant Glomerular and Tubular Maturation In Vivo. *Stem cell reports.* 2018;10:751-765.
10. Takebe T, Enomura M, Yoshizawa E et al. Vascularized and Complex Organ Buds from Diverse Tissues via Mesenchymal Cell-Driven Condensation. *Cell stem cell.* 2015; 16:556-565.
11. Raikwar SP, Kim EM, Sivitz WI et al. Human iPS cell-derived insulin producing cells form vascularized organoids under the kidney capsules of diabetic mice. *PLoS One.* 2015;10:e0116582.
12. Mansour AA, Goncalves JT, Bloyd CW et al. An in vivo model of functional and vascularized human brain organoids. *Nature biotechnology.* 2018;36:432-441.
13. Wang B, Zhao L, Fish M et al. Self-renewing diploid Axin2(+) cells fuel homeostatic renewal of the liver. *Nature.* 2015;524:180-185.
14. Ding BS, Nolan DJ, Butler JM et al. Inductive angiocrine signals from sinusoidal endothelium are required for liver regeneration. *Nature.* 2010;468:310-315.
15. Kobayashi H, Butler JM, O'Donnell R et al. Angiocrine factors from Akt-activated endothelial cells balance self-renewal and differentiation of haematopoietic stem cells. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2010;12:1046-1056.

16. Butler JM, Kobayashi H, Rafii S. Instructive role of the vascular niche in promoting tumour growth and tissue repair by angiocrine factors. *Nature reviews Cancer*. 2010; 10:138-146.
17. Ding BS, Nolan DJ, Guo P et al. Endothelial-derived angiocrine signals induce and sustain regenerative lung alveolarization. *Cell*. 2011;147:539-553.
18. Bartlett CS, Jeansson M, Quaggin SE. Vascular Growth Factors and Glomerular Disease. *Annu Rev Physiol*. 2016;78:437-461.
19. Jeansson M, Gawlik A, Anderson G et al. Angiopoietin-1 is essential in mouse vasculature during development and in response to injury. *The Journal of clinical investigation*. 2011;121:2278-2289.
20. Butler JM, Nolan DJ, Vertes EL et al. Endothelial cells are essential for the self- renewal and repopulation of Notch-dependent hematopoietic stem cells. *Cell stem cell*. 2010;6: 251-264.
21. Miner JH. Laminins and their roles in mammals. *Microscopy research and technique*. 2008;71:349-356.
22. Frieser M, Nockel H, Pausch F et al. Cloning of the mouse laminin alpha 4 cDNA. Expression in a subset of endothelium. *European journal of biochemistry / FEBS*. 1997;246:727-735.
23. Sorokin LM, Pausch F, Durbeek M et al. Differential expression of five laminin alpha (1-5) chains in developing and adult mouse kidney. *Dev Dyn*. 1997;210:446-462.
24. Wu C, Ivars F, Anderson P et al. Endothelial basement membrane laminin alpha5 selectively inhibits T lymphocyte extravasation into the brain. *Nature medicine*. 2009;15:519-527.
25. Ishihara J, Ishihara A, Fukunaga K et al. Laminin heparin-binding peptides bind to several growth factors and enhance diabetic wound healing. *Nature communications*. 2018;9:2163.
26. Henderson-Toth CE, Jahnsen ED, Jamarani R et al. The glycocalyx is present as soon as blood flow is initiated and is required for normal vascular development. *Developmental biology*. 2012;369:330-339.
27. van den Berg BM, Wang G, Boels MGS et al. Endothelial glucose metabolism regulates glycocalyx hyaluronan synthesis and thereby vessel stability. *International Vascular Biology Meeting*; 2018.
28. Yousif LF, Di Russo J, Sorokin L. Laminin isoforms in endothelial and perivascular basement membranes. *Cell adhesion & migration*. 2013;7:101-110.
29. Leuning DG, Reinders ME, Li J et al. Clinical-Grade Isolated Human Kidney Perivascular Stromal Cells as an Organotypic Cell Source for Kidney Regenerative Medicine. *Stem Cells Transl Med*. 2017;6:405-418.
30. Bonnans C, Chou J, Werb Z. Remodelling the extracellular matrix in development and disease. *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology*. 2014;15:786-801.

31. Tran KT, Lamb P, Deng JS. Matricines and matricryptins: Implications for cutaneous cancers and skin repair. *J Dermatol Sci.* 2005;40:11-20.
32. Adams JC. Matricellular Proteins: Functional Insights From Non-mammalian Animal-Models. *Current topics in developmental biology.* 2018;130:39-105.
33. Pi L, Fu C, Lu Y et al. Vascular Endothelial Cell-Specific Connective Tissue Growth Factor (CTGF) Is Necessary for Development of Chronic Hypoxia-Induced Pulmonary Hypertension. *Frontiers in physiology.* 2018;9:138.
34. Paulitti A, Andreuzzi E, Bizzotto D et al. The ablation of the matricellular protein EMILIN2 causes defective vascularization due to impaired EGFR-dependent IL-8 production affecting tumor growth. *Oncogene.* 2018;37:3399-3414.
35. Qu Y, Olonisakin T, Bain W et al. Thrombospondin-1 protects against pathogen-induced lung injury by limiting extracellular matrix proteolysis. *JCI Insight.* 2018;3.
36. Childs BG, Li H, van Deursen JM. Senescent cells: a therapeutic target for cardiovascular disease. *The Journal of clinical investigation.* 2018;128:1217-1228.
37. Bornstein P, Sage EH. Matricellular proteins: extracellular modulators of cell function. *Current opinion in cell biology.* 2002;14:608-616.
38. Jimenez B, Volpert OV, Crawford SE et al. Signals leading to apoptosis-dependent inhibition of neovascularization by thrombospondin-1. *Nat Med.* 2000;6:41-48.
39. Fei P, Zaitoun I, Farnoodian M et al. Expression of thrombospondin-1 modulates the angioinflammatory phenotype of choroidal endothelial cells. *PLoS One.* 2014;9:e116423.
40. Frangogiannis NG. The extracellular matrix in myocardial injury, repair, and remodeling. *The Journal of clinical investigation.* 2017;127:1600-1612.
41. Lee JH, Bhang DH, Beede A et al. Lung stem cell differentiation in mice directed by endothelial cells via a BMP4-NFATc1-thrombospondin-1 axis. *Cell.* 2014;156:440-455.
42. Hall-Glenn F, De Young RA, Huang BL et al. CCN2/connective tissue growth factor is essential for pericyte adhesion and endothelial basement membrane formation during angiogenesis. *PLoS One.* 2012;7:e30562.
43. Rabelink TJ, van den Berg BM, Garsen M et al. Heparanase: roles in cell survival, extracellular matrix remodelling and the development of kidney disease. *Nature reviews Nephrology.* 2017;13:201-212.
44. Bishop JR, Schuksz M, Esko JD. Heparan sulphate proteoglycans fine-tune mammalian physiology. *Nature.* 2007;446:1030-1037.
45. Wight TN. A role for proteoglycans in vascular disease. *Matrix biology: journal of the International Society for Matrix Biology.* 2018.
46. Kang I, Chang MY, Wight TN et al. Proteoglycans as Immunomodulators of the Innate Immune Response to Lung Infection. *J Histochem Cytochem.* 2018;66:241-259.
47. Hering TM, Beller JA, Calulot CM et al. Proteoglycans of reactive rat cortical astrocyte cultures: abundance of N-unsubstituted glucosamine-enriched heparan sulfate. *Matrix biology: journal of the International Society for Matrix Biology.* 2015;41:8-18.

48. Zhang B, Xiao W, Qiu H et al. Heparan sulfate deficiency disrupts developmental angiogenesis and causes congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *The Journal of clinical investigation*. 2014;124:209-221.
49. Higginson JR, Thompson SM, Santos-Silva A et al. Differential sulfation remodelling of heparan sulfate by extracellular 6-O-sulfatases regulates fibroblast growth factor-induced boundary formation by glial cells: implications for glial cell transplantation. *The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2012;32:15902-15912.
50. Mercer SE, Odelberg SJ, Simon HG. A dynamic spatiotemporal extracellular matrix facilitates epicardial-mediated vertebrate heart regeneration. *Developmental biology*. 2013;382:457-469.
51. Huang ML, Smith RA, Triegeer GW et al. Glycocalyx remodeling with proteoglycan mimetics promotes neural specification in embryonic stem cells. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 2014;136:10565-10568.
52. Ravi S, Haller CA, Sallach RE et al. Cell behavior on a CCN1 functionalized elastin-mimetic protein polymer. *Biomaterials*. 2012;33:2431-2438.
53. Van Hove AH, Burke K, Antonienko E et al. Enzymatically-responsive pro-angiogenic peptide-releasing poly(ethylene glycol) hydrogels promote vascularization in vivo. *Journal of controlled release: official journal of the Controlled Release Society*. 2015;217:191-201.
54. Gjorevski N, Ranga A, Lutolf MP. Bioengineering approaches to guide stem cell-based organogenesis. *Development*. 2014;141:1794-1804.
55. Lutolf MP, Gilbert PM, Blau HM. Designing materials to direct stem-cell fate. *Nature*. 2009;462:433-441.
56. Lutolf MP, Doyonnas R, Havenstrite K et al. Perturbation of single hematopoietic stem cell fates in artificial niches. *Integrative biology: quantitative biosciences from nano to macro*. 2009;1:59-69.
57. Ott HC, Matthiesen TS, Goh SK et al. Perfusion-decellularized matrix: using nature's platform to engineer a bioartificial heart. *Nature medicine*. 2008;14:213-221.
58. Song JJ, Guyette JP, Gilpin SE et al. Regeneration and experimental orthotopic transplantation of a bioengineered kidney. *Nature medicine*. 2013;19:646-651.
59. Song JJ, Ott HC. Bioartificial lung engineering. *American journal of transplantation: official journal of the American Society of Transplantation and the American Society of Transplant Surgeons*. 2012;12:283-288.
60. Sellaro TL, Ravindra AK, Stolz DB et al. Maintenance of hepatic sinusoidal endothelial cell phenotype in vitro using organ-specific extracellular matrix scaffolds. *Tissue Eng*. 2007;13:2301-2310.
61. Ross EA, Abrahamson DR, St John P et al. Mouse stem cells seeded into decellularized rat kidney scaffolds endothelialize and remodel basement membranes. *Organogenesis*. 2012;8:49-55.

62. Bombelli S, Meregalli C, Scalia C et al. Nephrosphere-Derived Cells Are Induced to Multilineage Differentiation when Cultured on Human Decellularized Kidney Scaffolds. *Am J Pathol.* 2018;188:184-195.
63. Batchelder CA, Martinez ML, Tarantal AF. Natural Scaffolds for Renal Differentiation of Human Embryonic Stem Cells for Kidney Tissue Engineering. *PLoS One.* 2015;10: e0143849.
64. http://www.isscr.org/docs/default-source/2018-melbourne-ann-mtng/66670-isscr-abstracts_with-links.pdf?sfvrsn=4&utm_source=ISSCR-Informz&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=default. ISSCR 2018 Annual Meeting Poster Abstract Book. 2018.
65. Kitano K, Schwartz DM, Zhou H et al. Bioengineering of functional human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived intestinal grafts. *Nature communications.* 2017;8:765.