



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Selective fetal growth restriction in identical twins: from womb to adolescence

Groene, S.G.

Citation

Groene, S. G. (2023, January 11). *Selective fetal growth restriction in identical twins: from womb to adolescence*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3511752>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3511752>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Part II

From fetus to newborn



Chapter 3

Gestational age at birth and outcome in monochorionic twins with different types of selective fetal growth restriction: a systematic literature review.

Prenatal Diagnosis. 2022 Aug; 42(9):1094-1110.

Sophie G. Groene*

Salma el Emrani*

E. Joanne Verweij

Femke Slaghekke

Asma Khalil

Jeanine M.M. van Klink

Eleonor Tiblad

Liesbeth Lewi

Enrico Lopriore

*Both authors contributed equally to this paper

Abstract

This systematic review aims to assess the gestational age at birth and perinatal outcome (intrauterine demise (IUD), neonatal mortality and severe cerebral injury) in monochorionic (MC) twins with selective fetal growth restriction (sFGR), according to Gratacós classification based on umbilical artery Doppler flow patterns in the smaller twin. Seventeen articles were included. Gestational age at birth varied from 33.0-36.0 weeks in type I, 27.6-32.4 weeks in type II, and 28.3-33.8 weeks in type III. IUD rate differed from 0-4% in type I to 0-40% in type II and 0-23% in type III. Neonatal mortality rate was between 0-10% in type I, 0-38% in type II, and 0-17% in type III. Cerebral injury was present in 0-2% of type I, 2-30% of type II and 0-33% of type III cases. The timing of delivery in sFGR varied substantially among studies, particularly in type II and III. The quality of evidence was moderate due to heterogenous study populations with varying definitions of sFGR and perinatal outcome parameters, as well as a lack of consensus on the use of the Gratacós classification, leading to substantial incomparability. Our review identifies the urgent need for uniform antenatal diagnostic criteria and definitions of outcome parameters.

Funding: The Dutch Heart Foundation (grant number 2017T075)

Introduction

Selective fetal growth restriction (sFGR), defined as estimated fetal weight (EFW) of one twin < 10th centile and an EFW discordance > 25%, is a complication affecting 10-15% of monochorionic (MC) twin pregnancies resulting in an intertwin growth discordance¹. The pathophysiology is primarily due to unequal placental sharing, in which the growth-restricted twin has a smaller share of the placenta leading to suboptimal growth². sFGR is associated with high perinatal morbidity and mortality rates³. Even if both twins are born alive, there is still a risk of neurological impairment due to increased rates of prematurity.

The extent of the perinatal morbidity and mortality risk depends on the type of sFGR. sFGR can be classified into three types according to Gratacós⁴. Type I is characterised by a continuous positive end-diastolic flow (pEDF) in the umbilical artery (UA) of the smaller twin and is generally associated with a relatively good outcome¹⁻⁴. Type II is distinguished by a persistently absent or reversed EDF (A/REDF) in the UA and is associated with increased perinatal mortality and morbidity¹⁻⁴. Lastly, type III is characterised by an intermittent absent/reversed EDF (iA/REDF) in the UA and has an unpredictable clinical course due to a large arterio-arterial (AA) anastomosis on the placenta, resulting in an unstable and fluctuating blood flow between the fetuses¹⁻⁴.

The current management of sFGR consists mainly of expectant management including fetal monitoring and medically induced preterm birth in case of fetal distress. In some cases, fetal interventions may be considered, including selective feticide using cord occlusion or fetoscopic laser coagulation. However, management in sFGR is not based on robust evidence, but mainly on expert opinion. Hence, uncertainty regarding the optimal management strategy persists. sFGR twins are often delivered electively at an early gestational age (GA) due to the fear of intrauterine demise (IUD). Preterm birth is in turn associated with an increased risk of adverse neonatal outcomes. The balance between the risk of IUD and the risk of adverse neonatal outcomes following prematurity remains a clinical dilemma. Due to a lack of robust evidence to guide a consensus regarding the optimal GA at birth for these infants, practice varies across fetal medicine centers. To evaluate the international variation in the GA at birth in sFGR twins and to gain more understanding of worldwide differences in perinatal outcome in sFGR pregnancies, we performed a systematic review and studied the differences in GA at birth in twin pregnancies complicated by sFGR according to the Gratacós classification.

Methods

Search strategy

This systematic review was conducted according to PRISMA guidelines⁵. An information specialist was involved in the development of the search terms. The online electronic PubMed database, EMBASE, Web of Science and Cochrane Library was searched in June 2022 by using the Boolean combination of: "Fetal Growth Retardation" AND "Twins, Monozygotic" AND "Gestational Age". Additionally, a variety of synonyms were added as free text words and MESH terms (Supplement I). A publication date restriction was applied to select studies published between 2007, the year the Gratacós classification was introduced, and 2022. Lastly, reference lists of reviewed articles were manually searched to identify relevant missed articles.

Study selection

All articles were assessed for eligibility through screening of the title and abstract. Subsequently, the full text was evaluated. Articles (clinical trials, cohort studies and case-control studies, both prospective and retrospective in nature) were eligible for inclusion when the cohort consisted of MC twin pregnancies complicated by sFGR, classified into the three Gratacós types and expectantly managed. Articles were excluded when they did not distinguish between isolated sFGR and sFGR with twin-twin transfusion syndrome (TTTS) and/or twin anemia polycythemia sequence (TAPS)^{6,7}. Additionally, articles were excluded when fetoscopic laser coagulation or selective reduction were the only management options. Further exclusion criteria were case reports, case series (N<3), reviews, editorials, conference abstracts and unavailable full text. To identify eligibility of inclusion, two reviewers (S.E., S.G.) independently assessed the search results and discrepancies were resolved through discussion.

The primary outcome was GA at birth in the three types of sFGR, as reported in the various cohorts. The secondary outcomes were IUD, neonatal mortality and severe cerebral injury. Definitions of sFGR and delivery indications were reported when present. To compare the various cerebral injuries described in the articles, one definition was formulated. Severe cerebral injury was defined as the presence of intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH) \geq grade II, periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) \geq grade II, porencephalic cysts and/or intraparenchymal bleeding.

Quality assessment

The “Users Guides to the Medical Literature” and the “GRADE working group” method were used to assess the validity of the included articles with regards to the research question and the overall quality of evidence^{8,9}. The validity assessment is based on two primary and two secondary guides. The primary guides were whether there was a representative and well-defined sample at a similar point in the course of disease and whether the follow-up was sufficient and complete. The secondary guides were whether objective and unbiased outcome criteria were used and whether there was adjustment for important prognostic factors. The overall quality of evidence was determined based on the four key elements reported by the “GRADE working group”: study design, study quality (in this case the validity assessment), consistency and directness.

Results

The search strategy yielded 723 results. After excluding duplicates, 434 abstracts were screened. The primary assessment led to the exclusion of 399 articles based on above-mentioned in- and exclusion criteria. Manual search of the reference lists provided one additional article. Of the remaining 35 articles, 18 were excluded after full text assessment, resulting in a total of 17 articles to be included in this systematic review (Figure 1). The methodology of the studies is presented in Table 1. The study characteristics and neonatal outcomes of sFGR twins with type I, II and III are presented in Table 2, 3 and 4, respectively. The mean or median GA at birth in the three subgroups varied greatly per cohort and is shown in Figure 2. The results for all sFGR types are described separately here below.




Figure 1. Flowchart of study inclusion.

In summary, the included studies were all published between 2007 and 2021 (mainly after 2016). The majority of studies (10/17) were conducted in Europe, and the others in North/South America and Asia. Thirteen studies were retrospective and four prospective. All studies focused on MC twin pregnancies diagnosed with sFGR in the absence of TTTS or TAPS, with 6/17 focusing on all management options and 11/17 on expectant management. 7/17 studies reported on all sFGR types and at least two secondary outcomes.




Figure 2. GA at birth per included study in twin pregnancies complicated by sFGR type I, II and III twins. This figure should be interpreted with care due to the heterogeneity of available studies, reporting GA at birth in either mean or median, using different definitions of outcomes measures and having small sample sizes.

Quality assessment and level of evidence

The validity of the included studies with regards to our primary research question is presented in Table 1. Three studies were deemed to have a low validity: the study by Visentin et al., the study by Koch et al. and the study by Quintero et al.¹⁰⁻¹². This was primarily due to their different research questions focusing on, respectively, cord insertion and fetoscopic laser coagulation in sFGR as a treatment option, resulting in only a small population that could be included in this review. Moreover, Visentin et al. solely included sFGR diagnosed in the first trimester and did not fully define their outcome measures¹⁰. Reported outcomes by Koch et al. were combined for type II and

III and cases with IUD at time of diagnosis were excluded, leading to a potential underestimation of mortality¹¹. Twelve out of the fourteen other studies were considered to have adequate validity, primarily due to either small study populations, sole inclusion of early onset sFGR or limited availability of the outcomes of interest in this review. The two studies with high validities, Couck et al. and Shinar et al., presented the largest cohorts diagnosed with sFGR irrespective of GA with the most complete perinatal outcome data^{13,14}.

Overall, the definitions of sFGR and the application of the Gratacós classification differed substantially among studies. While six studies defined sFGR as an EFW < 10th centile in the smaller twin and/or EFW discordance ≥ 25%, eight studies only focused on an EFW of one twin < 10th centile, one study focused on an AC < 5th centile and EFW < 10th centile (Colmant et al.) and two studies used the new Delphi consensus definition (Couck et al. and Aquino et al., Table 2-4^{13,15}). Moreover, there was no uniformity in the application of the Gratacós classification and reported outcome measures. This resulted in heterogenous methodologies, and thereby incomparability between studies. Hence, the overall quality of evidence of the included articles for our research question was of moderate quality, suggesting that further research is necessary to provide evidence of superior quality.

sFGR type I

Ten cohort studies assessing the GA at birth in sFGR type I were included, with the number of pregnancies per cohort ranging from 16 to 108 (Table 2).

GA at birth

Based on the included literature, sFGR type I cases were born at a GA between 33.0-36.0 weeks' gestation. The lowest GA at birth presented in the type I cohort of Rustico et al. (n=65), which had a median GA at birth of 33 (31-35) weeks¹⁶. Ishii et al. (n=23) reported the highest median GA at birth of 36 (26-38) weeks¹⁷. Only 1/10 studies described indication of delivery. The cohort of Ishii et al. was delivered due to fetal deterioration (4/23), growth arrest smaller twin (3/23) or spontaneous labor/maternal indication (20/23)¹⁷.

Perinatal mortality

sFGR type I twins had an IUD rate between 0-4% and neonatal mortality rate between 0-10%. No perinatal mortality occurred in the cohorts of Weisz et al. (n=19), Koch et

al. (n=16), Batsry et al. (n=26) and Sukhwani et al. (n=19)^{11,18-20}. The lowest neonatal mortality rate was reported in the study with the highest GA at birth (Ishii et al.¹⁷). In addition, the study with the highest perinatal mortality rate (Rustico et al. (n=65) with 4% (5/130) IUD and 10% (12/118) neonatal mortality¹⁶) had the lowest GA at birth. However, this cohort included three bipolar cord coagulations following a change in the Doppler pattern to type II, one termination of pregnancy and one miscarriage. Nearly all studies reported that the smaller twin was the one affected by perinatal death, except for Gratacós et al. (n=39), Ishii et al. (n=23) and Couck et al. (n=108) in which the IUD rate was similar for the larger and smaller twin in type I cases (double IUDs except for Ishii et al.)^{4,13,17}.

Cerebral injury

Only 7/10 studies reported on cerebral injury, which was only observed in 2% of the cohort of Ishii et al. (1/44) and affected the smaller twin¹⁷.

sFGR type II

Ten cohort studies assessing the GA at birth in sFGR type II were included with the number of pregnancies per cohort ranging from 5 to 62 (Table 3).

GA at birth

sFGR type II cases were born at a GA at birth between 27.6-32.4 weeks. The lowest GA at birth was reported by Quintero et al. (n=6), with a median GA at birth of 27.6 (26.7–31.3) weeks¹². Miyadahira et al. (n=6) reported the highest median GA at birth of 32.4 (26.7–37.0) weeks²¹. Only 4/10 studies described indication of delivery. The majority of the sFGR type II/III cohort (individual indications not reported) of Miyadahira et al. was delivered due to fetal distress (19/27), and others due to threatened preterm labor (2/27), IUD (4/27) or spontaneous labor ≥ 34 weeks (2/27)²¹. The cohort of Quintero et al. were all delivered due to fetal indications: A/REDF (2/6), non-reassuring fetal testing (3/6) and preterm premature rupture of membranes (1/6)¹². The main reasons for delivery in the cohort of Ishii et al. were fetal deterioration (9/27), spontaneous labor/maternal indication (8/27), double IUD (4/27), growth arrest smaller twin (3/27) and miscarriage (2/27). The cohort of Visentin et al. (n=14) was delivered at a median GA at birth of 30 (28–34) weeks either following signs of fetal demise, an abnormal biophysical fetal profile or fetal indications including abnormal cardiotocography or absent or reversed a-wave in ductus venosus¹⁰.

Perinatal mortality

sFGR type II twins demonstrated a relatively high IUD rate between 0-40% and neonatal mortality rate between 0-38%. The cohorts of Visentin et al. (n=14) and Aquino et al. (n=5) were the only two cohorts in which perinatal mortality did not occur, despite the relatively low GA at birth reported by the latter^{10,15}. The absence of IUD in the cohort of Aquino et al. could be explained by the late inclusion of pregnancies (median GA at diagnosis = 24.8 weeks). Interestingly, the highest perinatal mortality occurred in the cohort born at a median GA at birth of 30.0 (26.5–38.0) weeks, namely Couck et al. (n=5), who reported an IUD rate of 40% (4/10) and no neonatal mortality¹³. Additionally, the lowest IUD rate was reported in the cohort of Quintero et al. (n=6) delivered at the lowest GA at birth¹². These results, as well as the results described by Aquino et al. (n=5), can be substantially impacted by their small sample size. Furthermore, almost all studies reported higher perinatal mortality in the smaller twin, except for the cohort of Batsry et al. (n=22) and Couck et al. (n=5) in which the IUD rate was similar for the larger and smaller twin (double IUDs)^{13,19}.

Cerebral injury

sFGR type II cases had the highest rates of cerebral injury (between 2-30%) of all three types which was documented in 7/10 studies. The lowest severe cerebral injury rate (2% (1/43)) occurred in the type II cohort of Groene et al. (n=24)²². The highest severe cerebral injury rate of 30% (3/10) was reported in the cohort of Quintero et al. delivered at the lowest GA at birth¹². Furthermore, in Ishii et al. (n=27), Batsry et al. (n=22) and Aquino et al. (n=5) the smaller twin presented with more severe cerebral injury than the larger twin, while Miyadahira et al. (n=6), Groene et al. (n=24) and Quintero et al. (n=6) reported the opposite.

sFGR type III

Ten cohort studies assessing the GA at birth in sFGR type III were included, with the number of pregnancies ranging from 3 to 328 (Table 4).

GA at birth

sFGR type III cases were born at a GA at birth between 28.3-33.8 weeks. The lowest GA at birth was presented in the type III cohort of Aquino et al. (n=3), with a mean GA at birth of 28.3 (\pm 2.3) weeks¹⁵. The highest median GA at birth of 33.8 (28.1–37.0) weeks was described by Chon et al.²⁰. Only four studies reported on the indication of delivery. The majority of the cohort of Ishii et al. was delivered due to fetal

deterioration (8/13), while others either due to growth arrest of smaller twin (1/13) or spontaneous labor/maternal indication (4/13)²⁷. The cohort of Chon et al. (n=22) was delivered either due to non-reassuring fetal status (10/22), spontaneous delivery (5/22), elective delivery (6/22) or preeclampsia (1/22)²⁰. Miyadahira et al. (n=22) reported on the indication of delivery for both type II/III combined as previously described²¹. The main reasons for delivery in the cohort of Shinar et al. (n=328) with a mean GA at birth of 31.8 (\pm 3.6) weeks, were fetal distress including abnormal cardiotocography or absent or reversed a-wave in ductus venosus (106/308), maternal diabetes (20/308), IUD/abnormal biophysical profile (36/308), spontaneous labor (46/308) and elective birth (100/308)¹⁴.

Perinatal mortality

sFGR type III twins had an IUD rate between 0-23% and neonatal mortality rate between 0-17%. The cohorts of Couck et al. (n=26) and Aquino et al. (n=3) were the only two cohorts in which IUD did not occur^{13,15}. Neonatal mortality was absent in the cohorts described by Chon et al. (n=22), who described the most advanced GA at birth, and Batsry et al. (n=12) who reported the highest IUD rate of 23% (5/24) in a cohort born at a median GA of 32.0 (31.3–32.6) weeks^{19,20}. The highest neonatal mortality rate of 17% (1/6) were reported by Aquino et al. (n=3), who also reported the lowest GA at birth¹⁵. The majority of studies conclude that the smaller twin more often presented with perinatal mortality than the larger twin, except Groene et al. (n=31) in which the IUD rate was similar for the smaller and larger twin but the larger twin presented with higher risk of neonatal mortality, and Ishii et al. (n=13) and Aquino et al. (n=3) in which the larger twin also presented with a higher neonatal mortality rate^{15,17,22}.

Cerebral injury

Cerebral injury in sFGR type III cases was documented in 8/10 studies and varied between 0-33%. Batsry et al. (n=12) and Aquino et al. (n=3) were the only cohorts in which severe cerebral injury did not occur^{15,19}. The highest severe cerebral injury rate of 33% (8/24) occurred in the cohort of Ishii et al. (n=13), which was born at a median GA of 31 (25–37) weeks¹⁷. Interestingly, Ishii et al. (n=13), Gratacós et al. (n=31) and Groene et al. (n=31) reported a higher severe cerebral injury rate in the larger twin, while Miyadahira et al. (n=22) and Chon et al. (n=22) identified the smaller twin to be at higher risk^{17,20-23}.

Table 1. Methodology and validity of included studies.

| First author (Year) | Study design | Population | Total sFGR cases | Inclusion criteria | Exclusion criteria | Validity |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Gratacós (2007) | P | Spain, Belgium, 2003-2006 | n = 134 Type I: 39, Type II: 30 (21 EM/9 CO), Type III: 65 (61 EM/4 CO) | MC twins diagnosed with sFGR at 18-26 weeks in three centers | Signs of TTTS | Adequate |
| Gratacós (2008) | R | Spain, Belgium, 2003-2006 | n = 49 Type III: 49 (31 EM/18 FLC) | MC twins diagnosed with sFGR in three centers | - | Adequate |
| Ishii (2009) | R | Japan, 2001-2008 | n = 63 Type I: 23, Type II: 27, Type III: 13 | MC pregnancies diagnosed with sFGR <23 weeks of gestation in three centers | Cases with TTTS or the diagnosis of fetal malformation at the time of initial diagnosis | Adequate |
| Weisz (2011) | P | Israel, 2004-2008 | n = 37 Type I: 19, Type II/III: 18 | MC pregnancies >24 weeks of gestation followed at a single tertiary center | Cases with TTTS or major fetal anomalies | Adequate |
| Visentin (2013) | P | Italy, 2008-2011 | n = 14 Type II: 14 | MCDAs pregnancies with sFGR diagnosed during the 1 st trimester or during the 2 nd trimester when referred from other centers | Unknown last menstrual period and chorionicity, triplet pregnancy, TTTS or related conditions, MCMA pregnancies, and structural/chromosomal abnormalities in either twin | Low |
| Rustico (2017) | R | Italy, 2004-2012 | n = 140 Type I: 65, Type II: 62, Type III: 13 | MCDAs pregnancies complicated by sFGR examined <26 weeks of gestation at a single tertiary referral center | - | Adequate |
| Koch (2017) | R | France, 2008-2015 | n = 25 Type I: 16, Type II/III: 9 | MCDAs pregnancies presenting with sFGR >16 weeks of gestation at multiple referral centers | TTTS, TAPS, chromosomal or structural anomalies and IUD at time of diagnosis | Low |
| Miyadahira (2018) | R | Brazil, 2007-2016 | n = 67 Type II: 36 (6 EM/30 FLC) Type III: 31 (22 EM/9 FLC) | MCDAs pregnancies with sFGR type II or III diagnosed <26 weeks of gestation and managed at one of the participating centers, with a cervical length of ≥ 15 mm | TTTS or TAPS | Adequate |
| Groene (2019) | R | The Netherlands, 2002-2018 | n = 83 Type I: 28, Type II: 24, Type III: 31 | MC placentas with a BW discordance >25% and/or an EFW in one twin <10 th centile, consecutively examined at a single tertiary referral center | Co-existing TTTS or TAPS, no record of UA Doppler classification, incomplete placental data, inadequate placental measurements on digital pictures and single or double IUD when severe placental maceration made measurements impossible | Adequate |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Quintero (2019) | P | United States of America | n = 20 Type II: 20 (6 EM/14, FLC) | Surviving MCDA children diagnosed with sFGR between 16-26 weeks of gestation, balanced karyotype, no major congenital anomalies, ≥24 months corrected age (±6 weeks) and ≤7 years and 11 months | Refusion of neurodevelopmental assessment and examination, unable to complete the measures in English or Spanish, and families lost to follow up | Low |
| Sukhwani (2019) | R | Spain, 2012-2018 | n = 55 Type I: 25, Type II/III: 30 <i>Excluded due to peripheral delivery: 11</i> | MC pregnancies diagnosed with sFGR at a single tertiary center | - | Adequate |
| Chon (2019) | R | United States of America, 2006-2017 | n = 48 Type III: 22, Type II or TTTS: 26 | MCDA pregnancies referred to a single tertiary center for the evaluation of TTTS/sFGR | Cases with both twins having an EFW <10th percentile (dual sFGR) | Adequate |
| Colmant (2020) | R | France, 2011-2016 | n = 108 Type II: 108 (45 EM/50 CC/13 FLC) | MC pregnancies referred to a single center for sFGR with A/REDF (type II) <27 weeks of gestation | Co-existing TTTS and morphological or chromosomal abnormalities detected prenatally | Adequate |
| Batsry (2020) | R | Israel, 2012-2018 | n = 88 (60 EM/ 28 CO) Type I: 26, Type II: 22, Type III: 12 | MCDA pregnancies complicated by sFGR <24 weeks of gestation, managed at a single tertiary referral center | TTTS, TAPS and fetal anomalies, including chromosomal abnormalities or genetic abnormalities | Adequate |
| Couck (2020) | R | Belgium, 2002-2018 | n = 177 Type I: 110, Type II: 11, Type III: 33, Subsequent TTTS: 17 <i>Excluded due to developing TAPS: 6</i> | MCDA twin pregnancies followed from the first trimester onward and diagnosed with sFGR at 16, 20 or 30 weeks of gestation | MCDA twin pregnancies referred in the 4 th trimester for an anomaly or invasive testing, unavailable ultrasound data, single/double demise, TTTS, TAPS, TOP, miscarriage or birth and lethal anomalies diagnosed between the 1 st trimester and 16 weeks, 16-20 weeks and 20-30 weeks. | High |
| Shinar (2021) | R | Canada, China, The Netherlands, Belgium, Israel, Switzerland, 2008-2019 | n = 328 Type III: 328 <i>18 pregnancies progressed to TTTS with 7 cases requiring FLC</i> | MCDA pregnancies complicated by sFGR type III, irrespective of GA at referral or diagnosis, at nine tertiary fetal medicine centers | Higher-order multiple gestations, major fetal structural or genetic anomalies, missing neonatal data, TTTS, TAPS or TRAP sequence at first presentation | High |
| Aquino (2021) | R | Brazil, 2010-2018 | n = 75 Type I: 67, Type II: 5, Type III: 3 | MCDA pregnancies affected by sFGR managed expectantly at two referral centers | TTTS > Quintero I, TAPS, congenital anomalies, aneuploidies, genetic syndromes, dual FGR and peripheral institution delivery | Adequate |

sFGR: selective fetal growth restriction; P: prospective, R: retrospective, EM: expectant management; CO: cord occlusion; MCDA: monochorionic diamniotic; TTTS: twin-twin transfusion syndrome; FLC: fetoscopic laser coagulation; MCMA: monochorionic monoamniotic; TAPS: twin anemia polycythemia sequence; IUD: intrauterine demise; BW: birth weight; EFW: estimated fetal weight; UA: umbilical artery; CC: cord coagulation; A/REDF: absent or reversed end-diastolic flow; TOP: termination of pregnancy; TRAP: twin reversed arterial perfusion.

Table 2. Study characteristics, gestational age at birth and secondary outcomes in twin pregnancies complicated by sFGR type I.

| First author (year) | Patients | Definition sFGR | GA at birth in weeks | IUD | Neonatal mortality | Severe cerebral injury | Delivery indication | Comments |
|---------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gratacós (2007) | n = 39 | EFW <10 th centile in one twin | 35.4 (16–38)§ | 2/78 (3) -Smaller: 1/39 (3) -Larger: 1/39 (3) -Double: 1/39 (3) | - | IVH/PVL on neonatal cUS: 0/39 (0) | - | - |
| Ishii (2009) | n = 23 | EFW <10 th percentile in the smaller twin | 36 (26–38)† | 2/46 (4) -Smaller: 1/23 (4) -Larger: 1/23 (4) -Double: 0/23 (0) | 0/44 (0) | Neurological morbidity: 1/44 (2) -Smaller: 1/22 (5) -Larger: 0/22 (0) | Fetal deterioration: 4/23 Growth arrest: 3/23 Spontaneous/maternal indication: 20/23 | Neurological morbidity was defined as IVH Grade III/IV, cystic PVL, blindness and deafness. Variety of postnatal cerebral imaging: both cUS and MRI. |
| Weisz (2011) | n = 19 | EFW <10 th percentile in one twin | 35 (32–37)† | 0/38 (0) | 0/38 (0) | IVH/PVL on neonatal cUS: 0/38 (0) | - | - |
| Rustico (2017) | n = 65 | EFW <10 th centile in the smaller twin or EFW discordance ≥25% in the absence of TTTS and TAPS | 33 (31–35)† | 5/430 (4) -Smaller: 3/65 (5) -Larger: 2/65 (3) -Double: 2/65 (3) | 12/118 (10) -Smaller: 8/57 (14) -Larger: 4/61 (7) | - | - | Three cases of BCC (no larger twins lost), one TOP (both twins lost) and one miscarriage were included in the analysis. |
| Koch (2017) | n = 16 | EFW <10 th centile for one of the twins | 33.9 (±2.9)‡ | 0/32 (0) | 0/32 (0) | - | - | - |
| Groene (2019) | n = 28 | BW discordance >25% and/or an EFW in one twin <10 th centile | 34.3 (32.7–35.9)† | 2/56 (4)* -Smaller: 1/28 (4) -Larger: 1/28 (3) -Double: 1/28 (3) | 1/52 (2)* -Smaller: 1/26 (4) -Larger: 0/26 (0) | Severe cerebral injury on neonatal cUS: 0/39 (0)* | - | Severe cerebral injury was defined as PVL ≥grade II, IVH ≥grade III, ventricular dilatation, arterial or venous infarct or other injuries. |
| Sukhwani (2019) | n = 19 | EFW of one twin <10 th centile and EFW discordance >25% in the absence of TTTS | 35.0 (±1.7)‡ | 0/38 (0) | 0/38 (0) | PVL: 0/38 (0) | - | Grade of PVL and cerebral imaging modality not reported. |

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Batsry (2020) | n = 26 | EFW of one twin <10 th centile or EFW two of the following: -EFW of one twin <10 th centile, -AC of one twin <10 th centile, -EFW discordance ≥25%, -UA PI of the smaller twin >95 th centile | 34.8 (33.1–35.7) [†] | 0/52 (0) | 0/52 (0) | Severe brain lesions on fetal MRI: 0/52 (0) | Severe brain lesions were defined as IVH Grade III/IV, PVL or intraparenchymal hemorrhage. |
| Couck (2020) | n = 108 | EFW <3 rd centile in one twin or at least two of the following: -EFW of one twin <10 th centile, -AC of one twin <10 th centile, -EFW discordance ≥25%, -UA PI of the smaller twin >95 th centile | 34.6 (32.5–36.1) [†] | 4/216 (2)* -Smaller: 2/108 (2) -Larger: 2/108 (2) -Double: 2/108 (2) | 0/210 (0)* | - | Two pregnancies underwent intervention (1 CO, 1 FLC) and these were included in the analysis of GA at birth. One miscarriage was included in this cohort. |
| Aquino (2021) | n = 67 | EFW <3 rd centile in one twin or at least two of the following: -EFW of one twin <10 th centile, -AC of one twin <10 th centile, -EFW discordance ≥25%, -UA PI of the smaller twin >95 th centile | 34.3 (±2.4) [‡] | 0/134 (0) | 1/134 (1) -Smaller: 1/67 (1) -Larger: 0/67 (0) | Severe cerebral injury on neonatal cUS: 0/134 (0) | Severe cerebral injury was defined as IVH grade III/IV, PVL grade II/III or porencephalic cysts. |

sFGR: selective fetal growth restriction; GA: gestational age; IUD: intrauterine demise; EFW: estimated fetal weight; IVH: intraventricular hemorrhage; PVL: periventricular leukomalacia; cUS: cerebral ultrasound; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; TTTS: twin-twin transfusion syndrome; TAPS: twin anemia polycythemia sequence; BCC: bipolar cord coagulation; TOP: termination of pregnancy; BW: birthweight; AC: abdominal circumference; UA: umbilical artery; PI: pulsatility index; CO: cord occlusion; FLC: fetoscopic laser coagulation. Data are presented as median (interquartile range) [†], mean (± standard deviation)[‡], mean (min-max) or n/N (%).

*Authors have been approached for additional data.

Table 3. Study characteristics, gestational age at birth and secondary outcomes in twin pregnancies complicated by sFGR type II

| First author (year) | Patients | Definition sFGR | GA at birth in weeks | IUD | Neonatal mortality | Severe cerebral injury | Delivery indication | Comments |
|---------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ishii (2009) | n = 27 | EFW <10 th percentile in the smaller twin | 28 (28–40) [†] | 14/54 (26) -Smaller: 8/27 (30) -Larger: 6/27 (22) -Double: 4/27 (15) | 8/40 (20) -Smaller: 5/19 (26) -Larger: 3/21 (14) | Neurological morbidity: 7/40 (18) -Smaller: 4/19 (21) -Larger: 3/21 (14) | Fetal deterioration: 9/27 Growth arrest: 3/27 Double IUD: 4/27 Miscarriage: 2/27 Spontaneous/maternal indication: 8/27 | Neurological morbidity was defined as IVH Grade III/IV, cystic PVL, blindness and deafness. Variety of postnatal cerebral imaging: both cUS and MRI. |
| Visentin (2013) | n = 14 | EFW <10 th percentile in one twin | 30 (28–34) [†] | 0/28 (0) | 0/28 (0) | Neonatal cUS: IVH ≥ grade III: 0/28 (0) PVL: 4/5% | IUD/abnormal biophysical profile/fetal indications: 14/14 | Grade of PVL and proportion of neonates with PVL not reported. |
| Rustico (2017) | n = 62 | EFW <10 th centile in the smaller twin or EFW discordance ≥25% in the absence of TTTS and TAPS | 30 (27–33) [†] | 22/124 (18) -Smaller: 14/62 (23) -Larger: 8/62 (13) -Double: 8/62 (13) | 13/78 (17) -Smaller: 8/31 (26) -Larger: 5/47 (11) | - | - | Fifteen cases of BCC (four larger twins lost) and three TOP (two both twins and one larger twin lost) were included in the analysis. |
| Miyadahira (2018) | n = 6 | EFW of one twin <10 th centile and intertwin EFW discordance ≥25% | 32–43 (26.71–37) [†] | 4/12 (33) -Smaller: 4/24 (17) -Larger: 1/6 (17) -Double: 1/6 (17) | 3/8 (38) -Smaller: 2/3 (67) -Larger: 1/5 (20) | IVH ≥ grade III: 1/6 (17) -Smaller: 0/1 (0) -Larger: 1/5 (20) | Fetal distress: 19/27 Preterm labor: 2/27 IUD: 4/27 Spontaneous: 2/27 | Indication of birth is combined for sFGR type II and III. Cerebral imaging modality not reported. |
| Groene (2019) | n = 24 | BW discordance >25% and/or an EFW in one twin <10 th centile | 31.2 (28.4–34.0) [†] | 6/48 (13)* -Smaller: 4/24 (17) -Larger: 2/24 (8) -Double: 2/24 (8) | 2/42 (5)* -Smaller: 2/20 (10) -Larger: 0/22 (0) | Severe cerebral injury on neonatal cUS: 1/43 (2)* -Smaller: 0/19 (0) -Larger: 1/22 (5) | - | Severe cerebral injury was defined as PVL ≥grade II, IVH ≥grade III, ventricular dilatation, arterial or venous infarct or other injuries. |
| Quintero (2019) | n = 6 | EFW <10 th percentile in one twin | 27.6 (26.7–31.3) [†] | 0/12 (0) | 2/12 (17) -Smaller: 2/6 (33) -Larger: 0/6 (0) | IVH Grade III/IV: 3/10 (30) -Smaller: 1/4 (25) -Larger: 2/6 (33) | A/REFD: 2/6 Non-reassuring fetal testing: 3/6 PPROM: 1/6 | Cerebral imaging modality not reported. |

| | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Colmant (2020) | n = 45 | AC <5 th centile and EFW <10 th centile | 32 (30–34) [†] | 11/90 (12) -Smaller: 8/45 (18) -Larger: 3/45 (7) | 4/74 (5) | - | One TOP (larger twin) and two cases of miscarriage were included in the analysis. |
| Batsy (2020) | n = 22 | EFW of one twin <10 th centile or intertwin EFW discordance ≥25% in the absence of TTTS or TAPS | 30.3 (28.6–32.1) [†] | 2/44 (5) -Smaller: 1/22 (5) -Larger: 1/22 (5) -Double: 1/22 (5) | 1/42 (2) -Smaller: 1/21 (5) -Larger: 0/21 (0) | Severe brain lesions on fetal MRI: 2/37 (5) -Smaller: 2/18 (11) -Larger: 0/19 (0) | Severe brain lesions were defined as IVH Grade III/IV, PVL or intraparenchymal hemorrhage. |
| Couck (2020) | n = 5 | EFW <3 rd centile in one twin or at least two of the following: -EFW of one twin <10 th centile, -AC of one twin <10 th centile, -EFW discordance ≥25%, -UA PI of the smaller twin >95 th centile | 30.0 (26.5–38.0) [†] | 4/10 (40) [*] -Smaller: 2/5 (40) -Larger: 2/5 (40) -Double: 2/5 (40) | 0/6 (0) [*] | - | Six pregnancies underwent intervention (3 CO, 2 FLC, 1 RFA) and these were included in the analysis of GA at birth. |
| Aquino (2021) | n = 5 | EFW <3 rd centile in one twin or at least two of the following: -EFW of one twin <10 th centile, -AC of one twin <10 th centile, -EFW discordance ≥25%, -UA PI of the smaller twin >95 th centile | 27.8 (±0.8) [‡] | 0/10 (0) | 0/10 (0) | IVH Grade III on neonatal cUS: 1/10 (10) -Smaller: 1/5 (20) -Larger: 0/5 (0) | Severe cerebral injury was defined as IVH grade III/IV, PVL grade II/III or porencephalic cysts. |

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) [†], mean (± standard deviation)[‡], mean (min-max)[§] or n/N (%). *Authors have been approached for additional data. sFGR: selective fetal growth restriction; GA: gestational age; IUD: intrauterine demise; EFW: estimated fetal weight; IVH: intraventricular hemorrhage; PVL: periventricular leukomalacia; cUS: cerebral ultrasound; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; TTTS: twin to twin transfusion syndrome; TAPS: twin anemia polycythemia sequence; BCC: bipolar cord coagulation; TOP: termination of pregnancy; BW: birthweight; A/REDF: absent or reversed end-diastolic flow; PPRM: preterm premature rupture of membranes; AC: abdominal circumference; UA: umbilical artery; PI: pulsatility index; CO: cord occlusion; FLC: fetoscopic laser coagulation; RFA: radiofrequency ablation.

Table 4. Study characteristics, gestational age at birth and secondary outcomes in twin pregnancies complicated by sFGR type III.

| First author (year) | Patients | Definition sFGR | GA at birth in weeks | IUD | Neonatal mortality | Severe cerebral injury | Delivery indication | Comments |
|---------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gratacós (2008) | n = 31 | EFW <10 th centile in one twin in the absence of severe TTTs | 31.0 (26.0–33.0) [†] | 9/62 (15) -Smaller: 6/31 (19) -Larger: 3/31 (10) -Double: 3/31 (10) | - | IVH: 3/53 (6) -Smaller: 1/25 (4) -Larger: 2/28 (7) PVL: 4/53 (8) -Smaller: 0/25 (0) -Larger: 4/28 (14) | - | Elective delivery at 32 weeks after maternal administration of corticosteroid therapy for fetal maturation. Cerebral brain imaging performed on neonatal cUS. |
| Ishii (2009) | n = 13 | EFW <10 th percentile in the smaller twin | 31 (25–37) [†] | 2/26 (8) -Smaller: 2/13 (15) -Larger: 0/13 (0) -Double: 0/13 (0) | 3/24 (13) -Smaller: 0/11 (0) -Larger: 3/13 (23) | Neurological morbidity: 8/24 (33) -Smaller: 3/11 (27) -Larger: 5/13 (38) | Fetal deterioration: 8/13 Growth arrest: 1/13 Spontaneous/maternal indication: 4/13 | Neurological morbidity was defined as IVH Grade III/IV, cystic PVL, blindness and deafness. Variety of postnatal cerebral imaging: both cUS and MRI. |
| Rustico (2017) | n = 13 | EFW <10 th centile in the smaller twin or EFW difference ≥25% in the absence of | 32 (30–33) [†] | 1/26 (4) -Smaller: 1/13 (8) -Larger: 0/13 (0) | 1/21 (5) -Smaller: 1/9 (11) -Larger: 0/12 (0) | - | - | Two cases of BCC (smaller twin lost) and one TOP (both twins lost) were included in the analysis. |
| Miyadahira (2018) | n = 22 | EFW of one twin <10 th centile and intertwin EFW discordance ≥25% | 32.85 (27.71–37.71) [†] | 6/44 (14) -Smaller: 4/22 (18) -Larger: 2/22 (9) -Double: 2/22 (9) | 1/38 (3) -Smaller: 1/18 (6) -Larger: 0/20 (0) | IVH ≥ grade III: 1/32 (3) -Smaller: 1/15 (7) -Larger: 0/17 (0) | Fetal distress: 19/27 Preterm labor: 2/27 IUD: 4/27 Spontaneous: 2/27 | Indication of birth is combined for sFGR type II and III. Cerebral imaging modality not reported. |
| Groene (2019) | n = 31 | BW discordance >25% and/or an EFW in one twin <10 th centile | 31.4 (28.8–34.1) [†] | 4/62 (6)* -Smaller: 2/31 (6) -Larger: 2/31 (6) -Double: 2/31 (6) | 3/58 (5)* -Smaller: 1/29 (3) -Larger: 2/29 (7) | Severe cerebral injury on neonatal cUS*: 2/47 (4) -Smaller: 0/23 (0) -Larger: 2/24 (8) | - | Severe cerebral injury was defined as PVL ≥grade II, IVH ≥grade III, ventricular dilatation, arterial or venous infarct or other injuries. |
| Chon (2019) | n = 22 | EFW of one twin <10 th centile | 33.8 (28.1–37.0) [†] | 1/44 (2) -Smaller: 1/22 (5) -Larger: 0/22 (0) | 0/43 (0) | IVH: 1/43 (2) -Smaller: 1/21 (5) -Larger: 0/22 (0) PVL: 0/43 (0) | Fetal distress: 10/22 Preeclampsia: 1/22 Spontaneous: 5/22 Elective: 6/22 | Variety of postnatal cerebral imaging: both cUS and MRI. |
| Batsry (2020) | n = 12 | EFW of one twin <10 th centile or intertwin EFW discordance ≥25% in the absence of TTTs or TAPS | 32.0 (31.3–32.6) [†] | 5/24 (23) -Smaller: 3/12 (25) -Larger: 2/12 (17) -Double: 2/12 (17) | 0/19 (0) | Severe brain lesions on fetal MRI: 0/48 (0) | - | Severe brain lesions were defined as IVH Grade III/IV, PVL or intraparenchymal hemorrhage. |

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Couck (2020) | n = 26 | EFW <3 rd centile in one twin or at least two of the following: -EFW of one twin <10 th centile, -AC of one twin <10 th centile, -EFW discordance ≥25%, -UA PI of the smaller twin >95 th centile | 32.0 (29.4–32.4) [†] | 0/52 (0)* | 3/52 (6)* -Smaller: 2/26 (8) -Larger: 1/26 (4) | - | Seven pregnancies underwent intervention (5 CO, 1 RFA, 1 TOP) and these were included in the analysis of GA at birth, but not in the analysis of the secondary outcomes. |
| Shinar (2021) | n = 328 | EFW of one twin <10 th centile and intertwin EFW discordance ≥25% | 31.8 (±3.6) [‡] | 51/638 (8) (11) -Smaller: 35/310 -Larger: 16/328 (5) -Double: 16/328 (5) | 18/587 (3) -Smaller: 14/275 (5) -Larger: 4/312 (1) | Neonatal cUS: IVH ≥ grade II: 12/532 (2) -Smaller: 3/246 (1) -Larger: 9/286 (3) PVL: 7/532 (1) -Smaller: 4/246 (2) -Larger: 3/286 (1) | Fetal distress: 106/308 Maternal distress: 20/308 IUD/abnormal biophysical profile: 36/308 Spontaneous: 46/308 Elective: 100/308 Grade of PVL not reported. |
| Aquino (2021) | n = 3 | EFW <3 rd centile in one twin or at least two of the following: -EFW of one twin <10 th centile, -AC of one twin <10 th centile, -EFW discordance ≥25%, -UA PI of the smaller twin >95 th centile | 28.3 (±2.3) [‡] | 0/6 (0) | 1/6 (17) -Smaller: 0/3 (0) -Larger: 1/3 (33) | Severe cerebral injury on neonatal cUS: 0/6 (0) | Severe cerebral injury was defined as IVH grade III/IV, PVL grade III/III or porencephalic cysts. |

sFGR: selective fetal growth restriction; GA: gestational age; IUD: intrauterine demise; EFW: estimated fetal weight; TTTS: twin-twin transfusion syndrome; IVH: intraventricular hemorrhage; PVL: periventricular leukomalacia; cUS: cerebral ultrasound; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; TAPS: twin anemia polycythemia sequence; BCC: bipolar cord coagulation; TOP: termination of pregnancy; BW: birthweight; AC: abdominal circumference; UA: umbilical artery; PI: pulsatility index; CO: cord occlusion; RFA: radiofrequency ablation. Data are presented as median (interquartile range)[†], mean (± standard deviation)[‡], mean (min-max)[§] or n/N (%).

* Authors have been approached for additional data.

Summary

The summarized findings per sFGR type are presented in Table 5. Overall, sFGR type I showed the most favorable outcomes, with GA at birth ranging from 33.0-36.0 weeks, a perinatal mortality rate (IUD and neonatal mortality combined) between 0-10% and 0-2% cerebral injury. sFGR type II presented with the poorest outcomes, with a GA at birth between 27.6-32.4 weeks, a perinatal mortality rate ranging between 0-40% and a cerebral injury rate of 2-30%. sFGR type III is reported to have relatively similar outcomes as type II, albeit slightly better, with a GA ranging from 28.3-33.8 weeks, a perinatal mortality rate of 0-23% and cerebral injury in 0-33%.

Table 5. Summarized perinatal outcome ranges of MC twin pregnancies complicated by sFGR according to Gratacós type.

| | sFGR type I | sFGR type II | sFGR type III |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| GA at birth | 33.0-36.0 weeks | 27.6-32.4 weeks | 28.3-33.8 weeks |
| Intrauterine demise | 0-4% | 0-40% | 0-23% |
| Neonatal mortality | 0-10% | 0-38% | 0-17% |
| Cerebral injury | 0-2% | 2-30% | 0-33% |

sFGR: selective fetal growth restriction, GA: gestational age.

These numbers should be interpreted with care due to the heterogeneity of available studies, reporting GA at birth in either mean or median, using different definitions of outcomes measures and having small sample sizes.

Discussion

Summary of the key findings

This systematic review shows that sFGR type I twins are generally born at a later GA than type II and type III twins and have a lower rate of IUD, neonatal mortality and cerebral injury. Nearly all studies reported that the smaller twin was especially at a disadvantage for adverse perinatal outcomes. However, the reported GA at birth of MC twins complicated by sFGR varies substantially between studies as well as the incidence of IUD, neonatal mortality and cerebral injury, especially in sFGR type II and III cohorts. Importantly, the 17 included studies had heterogenous study populations with different definitions of sFGR and timing of inclusion (between the first and third trimester) and reported on different perinatal outcome measures. Hence, this systematic review primarily demonstrates the knowledge gap regarding the optimal GA at birth and the lack of uniform outcome measures (assessment and management of expectantly managed MC twins complicated by sFGR and the lack of uniformity in various definitions). The application of the Gratacós classification substantially differs between studies, hampering proper comparison of outcomes between the types of sFGR.

Strengths and limitations

Five main recurring limitations can be identified in current literature: 1) information bias due to retrospective study designs, 2) small sample sizes, 3) the use of different antenatal management protocols (including frequency and methods of fetal surveillance) and definitions of sFGR, 4) lack of detailed information on perinatal outcomes categorised per Gratacós type, 5) lack of standardized neonatal and long-term follow-up including uniform definitions of perinatal outcome measures. Additionally, we did not synthesize our data in the form of a meta-analysis. Therefore, evidence of the association between GA at birth and adverse neonatal outcomes in MC twins with sFGR is considered to be of low quality. However, our review provides an elaborate and most recent overview of GA at birth in sFGR twins, demonstrating great variation between centers and emphasizing the uncertainty regarding the optimal timing of delivery after expectant management.

Interpretation of the findings

Our review demonstrates that type II and type III sFGR twins are generally born at a lower GA and have an increased rate of perinatal and neonatal mortality and severe cerebral injury as opposed to type I. However, we also demonstrate the current lack of

knowledge on the average GA at birth for the different types of sFGR due to limitations in the available literature leading to incomparability between studies.

A crucial limitation that is persistently present in current literature is the different scoring methods used for the Gratacós classification. Its dynamic nature hampers the determination of a 'definitive' Gratacós type. At present, available studies base the classification of a pregnancy complicated by sFGR on either a single observation of abnormal UA Doppler flow patterns, the final UA Doppler flow pattern prior to delivery or the most prevalent Doppler flow pattern^{14,22,24}. Therefore, the classification of sFGR according to Gratacós is still not uniformly applied in literature, leading to substantial incomparability between studies with regards to outcome per sFGR type. It was recently suggested that a modification of the Gratacós classification is necessary that includes GA at diagnosis, variation in UA Doppler flow patterns, ductus venosus Doppler (has been shown to be a powerful prognostic marker for sFGR and might identify infants with increased risk for neonatal mortality and morbidity²⁵) and the co-existence of TTTS²⁶. By reaching an international consensus on an update of the current classification system, outcome parameters can be properly compared between studies and antenatal prognostication can be further improved.

A previous systematic review and meta-analysis by Townsend et al. also explored the perinatal outcomes of sFGR categorised according to the Gratacós classification²⁷. A noteworthy difference between our two studies is the significantly higher cerebral injury rates after expectant management in type II and type III reported by Townsend et al. This can be the consequence of improved care over the years, as Townsend et al. primarily included older studies (2001-2017), while our review included more recent studies (2007-2021). Yet, accurate comparison of our studies is hampered by different aims and methods. While we focused on the international variation in GA at birth and perinatal outcome in this systematic literature review, Townsend et al. investigated the impact of different management strategies on perinatal outcomes in a meta-analysis. Interestingly, a similar outcome will be investigated by the FERN study with the aim to determine whether it is feasible to conduct a randomized control trial of active intervention versus expectant management²⁸.

Buca et al. showed similar results in their systematic review and meta-analysis exploring the outcomes of sFGR according to UA Doppler pattern of the smaller twin²⁹. sFGR type I twins were also born at a significantly higher GA compared to type II (Median difference: 2.8 (95% CI, 1.83–3.86) weeks) and type III (Median difference:

2.1 (95% CI, 0.97–3.19) weeks). This meta-analysis showed a significantly higher risk of perinatal mortality (OR, 4.1 (95% CI, 1.6–10.3)) and abnormal postnatal brain imaging in sFGR type II and III compared to Type I (Type II: OR, 4.9 (95% CI, 1.9–12.9), Type III: OR, 8.2 (95% CI, 2.0–33.1)). Noteworthy, Buca et al. excluded studies reporting only one type of sFGR and included 13 studies (2007–2017), while our systematic review included 17 more recently published studies (2007–2021) with minimal overlap.

A third study following from the retrospective multicenter cohort study by Shinar et al. (of which data is also included in this review), focusing on outcomes of type III pregnancies, showed a GA dependent decrease in neonatal morbidity in sFGR type III with low rates of neurological morbidity¹⁴. Remarkably, a large decline in risk was seen from 29 weeks' gestation (74%) to 30 weeks (45%). It should be noted that postnatal brain ultrasound examinations were only routinely performed for neonates delivered before 32 weeks, resulting in a potential underestimation of brain injury. In addition, the study did not take into account the possibility of cases changing Gratacós types during pregnancy, resulting in a potential misclassification (especially in type II/III).

The findings from the study by Shinar et al. and our review are in agreement with the systematic review by Inklaar et al., which showed a significantly increased risk of cerebral injury in cohorts with a lower GA at birth³⁰. Inklaar et al. illustrated that the odds of cerebral injury decreased with a factor of 0.65 for each additional increase in week of GA at birth. The increased risk of cerebral injury was thought to be primarily associated with a lower GA at birth but could also be due to an indicated urgent caesarean section in more severe cases. The review by Inklaar et al., however, lacks a distinction between Gratacós types and also reports high heterogeneity between the studies and small sample sizes, which are similar limitations as were found in this systematic review.

Based on our systematic literature review and the previously mentioned review by Inklaar et al., it can be concluded that sFGR type II and type III are especially at increased risk of cerebral injury. The cause of this injury is unknown and could be related to *in utero* adverse environment with abnormal flows and/or it could be a consequence of (iatrogenic) prematurity. In order to determine the timing of cerebral injury, routine and repeated neuroimaging examinations should be performed during fetal and neonatal life. The presence of cerebral injury already *in utero* or directly after birth would point towards a causal relation with adverse in utero environment, whereas cerebral injury which becomes apparent only one/two weeks after birth

would point towards a causal relation with (iatrogenic) prematurity. Importantly, both prematurity and neonatal cerebral injury are associated with an increased risk of long-term neurodevelopmental impairment. The risk for developmental delay is known to increase exponentially with decreasing GA (OR per week' gestation: 1.13, 95% CI 1.08–1.18)^{31–33}. Furthermore, the IQ of children delivered <34 weeks' gestation decreases by 2.34 (95% CI: -2.99, -1.70) points with each lower GA week³⁴.

Clinical and research implications

In conclusion, due to the high heterogeneity of published studies, uncertainty regarding the optimal GA at birth in MC twins complicated by sFGR persists. Our review emphasises the uncertainty regarding the optimal timing of delivery after expectant management. Additionally, it demonstrates the varying GA at birth, rates of IUD and adverse neonatal outcome between international centers in sFGR twins, stratified according to sFGR classification. In order to estimate the optimal timing of delivery, future prospective studies should implement uniform diagnostic criteria for sFGR itself and the Gratacós classification, and objective and uniform management protocols with standardised perinatal outcome measures reported according to Gratacós type prior to delivery. Indication for delivery should be included as well as a description of neonatal morbidity. International collaboration is warranted to increase sample size. In addition, standardized long-term follow-up should be included to assess the effect of perinatal management and timing of delivery on long-term outcome³⁵. Subsequently, a meta-analysis can be performed categorising perinatal outcome measures according to GA at birth. In the absence of a randomized controlled trial, larger and standardised data from retrospective and prospective studies can help us elucidate the optimal timing of delivery for MC twins with sFGR and ensure a more favourable perinatal outcome for these vulnerable neonates.

References

1. Bennasar M, Eixarch E, Martinez JM, Gratacos E. Selective intrauterine growth restriction in monochorionic diamniotic twin pregnancies. *Semin Fetal Neonat M.* Dec 2017;22(6):376-382.
2. Townsend R, Khalil A. Twin pregnancy complicated by selective growth restriction. *Curr Opin Obstet Gyn.* Dec 2016;28(6):485-491.
3. Valsky DV, Eixarch E, Martinez JM, Crispi F, Gratacos E. Selective intrauterine growth restriction in monochorionic twins: pathophysiology, diagnostic approach and management dilemmas. *Semin Fetal Neonat M.* Dec 2010;15(6):342-348.
4. Gratacos E, Lewi L, Munoz B, et al. A classification system for selective intrauterine growth restriction in monochorionic pregnancies according to umbilical artery Doppler flow in the smaller twin. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* Jul 2007;30(1):28-34.
5. Welch V, Petticrew M, Petkovic J, et al. Extending the PRISMA statement to equity-focused systematic reviews (PRISMA-E 2012): explanation and elaboration. *J Clin Epidemiol.* Feb 2016;70:68-89.
6. Lewi L, Cannie M, Blickstein I, et al. Placental sharing, birthweight discordance, and vascular anastomoses in monochorionic diamniotic twin placentas. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology.* Dec 2007;197(6)
7. Lewi L, Deprest J, Hecher K. The vascular anastomoses in monochorionic twin pregnancies and their clinical consequences. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* Jan 2013;208(1):19-30.
8. Laupacis A, Wells G, Richardson WS, Tugwell P. Users' guides to the medical literature. V. How to use an article about prognosis. Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group. *JAMA.* Jul 20 1994;272(3):234-7.
9. Atkins D, Best D, Briss PA, et al. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ.* Jun 19 2004;328(7454):1490.
10. Visentin S, Macchi V, Grumolato F, Porzionato A, De Caro R, Cosmi E. Expectant management in type II selective intrauterine growth restriction and abnormal cord insertion in monochorionic twins. *J Perinat Med.* May 2013;41(3):309-16.
11. Koch A, Favre R, Viville B, et al. Expectant management and laser photocoagulation in isolated selective intra-uterine growth restriction: A single-center series. *J Gynecol Obstet Hum.* Dec 2017;46(10):731-736.
12. Quintero R, Kontopoulos E, Williams ME, Sloop J, Vanderbilt D, Chmait RH. Neurodevelopmental outcome of monochorionic twins with selective intrauterine growth restriction (SIUGR) type II: laser versus expectant management. *J Matern-Fetal Neo M.* May 19 2021;34(10):1513-1521.
13. Couck I, Ponnet S, Deprest J, Devlieger R, De Catte L, Lewi L. Outcome of monochorionic twin pregnancy with selective fetal growth restriction at 16, 20 or 30 weeks according to new Delphi consensus definition. *Ultrasound Obst Gyn.* Dec 2020;56(6):821-830.
14. Shinar S, Xing W, Pruthi V, et al. Outcome of monochorionic twin pregnancy complicated by Type-III selective intrauterine growth restriction. *Ultrasound Obst Gyn.* Jan 2021;57(1):126-133.
15. Aquino C, Baiao AER, de Carvalho PRN. Perinatal Outcome of Selective Intrauterine Growth Restriction in Monochorionic Twins: Evaluation of a Retrospective Cohort in a Developing Country. *Twin Research and Human Genetics.* Feb 2021;24(1):37-41.

16. Rustico MA, Consonni D, Lanna M, et al. Selective intrauterine growth restriction in monochorionic twins: changing patterns in umbilical artery Doppler flow and outcomes. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* Mar 2017;49(3):387-393.
17. Ishii K, Murakoshi T, Takahashi Y, et al. Perinatal outcome of monochorionic twins with selective intrauterine growth restriction and different types of umbilical artery Doppler under expectant management. *Fetal Diagn Ther.* 2009;26(3):157-61.
18. Weisz B, Hogen L, Yinon Y, et al. Perinatal Outcome of Monochorionic Twins With Selective IUGR Compared With Uncomplicated Monochorionic Twins. *Twin Research and Human Genetics.* Oct 2011;14(5):457-462.
19. Batsry L, Matatyahu N, Avnet H, et al. Perinatal outcome of monochorionic diamniotic twin pregnancy complicated by selective intrauterine growth restriction according to umbilical artery Doppler flow pattern: single-center study using strict fetal surveillance protocol. *Ultrasound Obst Gyn.* May 2021;57(5):748-755.
20. Chon AH, Ma SY, Korst LM, Chmait HR, Purnell ME, Chmait RH. Antenatal course of referred monochorionic diamniotic twins complicated by selective intrauterine growth restriction (SIUGR) type III. *J Matern-Fetal Neo M.* Dec 2 2021;34(23):3867-3873.
21. Miyadahira MY, Brizot MD, de Carvalho MHB, et al. Type II and III Selective Fetal Growth Restriction: Perinatal Outcomes of Expectant Management and Laser Ablation of Placental Vessels. *Clinics.* 2018;73
22. Groene SG, Tollenaar LSA, Slaghekke F, et al. Placental characteristics in monochorionic twins with selective intrauterine growth restriction in relation to the umbilical artery Doppler classification. *Placenta.* Nov 2018;71:1-5.
23. Gratacos E, Antolin E, Lewi L, et al. Monochorionic twins with selective intrauterine growth restriction and intermittent absent or reversed end-diastolic flow (Type III): feasibility and perinatal outcome of fetoscopic placental laser coagulation. *Ultrasound Obst Gyn.* Jun 2008;31(6):669-675.
24. Wang XJ, Shi HF, Li LY, Yuan PB, Zhao YY, Wei Y. The relationship between placental characteristics and birthweight discordance in different types of selective intrauterine growth restriction in monochorionic diamniotic twins: A single-center 7 year cohort study. *Prenatal Diag.* Nov 2021;41(12):1518-1523.
25. Fratelli N, Amighetti S, Bhide A, et al. Ductus venosus Doppler waveform pattern in fetuses with early growth restriction. *Acta Obstet Gyn Scan.* May 2020;99(5):608-614.
26. Khalil A, Liu B. Controversies in the management of twin pregnancy. *Ultrasound Obst Gyn.* Jun 2021;57(6):888-902.
27. Townsend R, D'Antonio F, Sileo FG, Kumbay H, Thilaganathan B, Khalil A. Perinatal outcome of monochorionic twin pregnancy complicated by selective fetal growth restriction according to management: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obst Gyn.* Jan 2019;53(1):36-46.
28. FERN: Intervention or Expectant Management for Early Onset Selective Fetal Growth Restriction in Monochorionic Twin Pregnancy - *NIHR Funding and Awards.*
29. Buca D, Pagani G, Rizzo G, et al. Outcome of monochorionic twin pregnancy with selective intrauterine growth restriction according to umbilical artery Doppler flow pattern of smaller twin: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* Nov 2017;50(5):559-568.

30. Inklaar MJ, van Klink JM, Stolk TT, van Zwet EW, Oepkes D, Lopriore E. Cerebral injury in monozygotic twins with selective intrauterine growth restriction: a systematic review. *Prenat Diagn.* Mar 2014;34(3):205-13.
31. Kerstjens JM, De Winter AF, Bocca-Tjeertes IF, Bos AF, Reijneveld SA. Risk of developmental delay increases exponentially as gestational age of preterm infants decreases: a cohort study at age 4 years. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology.* Dec 2012;54(12):1096-1101.
32. Kerstjens JM, Bocca-Tjeertes IF, de Winter AF, Reijneveld SA, Bos AF. Neonatal Morbidities and Developmental Delay in Moderately Preterm-Born Children. *Pediatrics.* Aug 2012;130(2):E265-E272.
33. Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Weisglas-Kuperus N, van Goudoever JB, Oosterlaan J. Meta-analysis of neurobehavioral outcomes in very preterm and/or very low birth weight children. *Pediatrics.* Aug 2009;124(2):717-28.
34. Wolke D, Strauss VYC, Johnson S, Gilmore C, Marlow N, Jaekel J. Universal Gestational Age Effects on Cognitive and Basic Mathematic Processing: 2 Cohorts in 2 Countries. *J Pediatr-Ur.* Jun 2015;166(6):1410-+.
35. Groene SG, Tollenaar LSA, Oepkes D, Lopriore E, van Klink JMM. The Impact of Selective Fetal Growth Restriction or Birth Weight Discordance on Long-Term Neurodevelopment in Monozygotic Twins: A Systematic Literature Review. *J Clin Med.* Jun 28 2019;8(7).

