



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Unravelling cell fate decisions through single cell methods and mathematical models

Mircea, M.

Citation

Mircea, M. (2022, December 20). *Unravelling cell fate decisions through single cell methods and mathematical models*. Casimir PhD Series. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3505763>

Version: Publisher's Version

[Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

License: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3505763>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

**UNRAVELLING CELL FATE DECISIONS
THROUGH SINGLE CELL METHODS AND
MATHEMATICAL MODELS**

- Maria Mircea -

UNRAVELLING CELL FATE DECISIONS THROUGH SINGLE CELL METHODS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELS

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 20 december 2022
klokke 15:00 uur

door

Maria MIRCEA

geboren te Boekarest, Roemenië
in 1993

Promotores: Dr. D. Garlaschelli
Prof. dr. T. Schmidt

Co-promotor: Dr. S. Semrau

Promotiecommissie: Dr. A. Mahfouz (Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum)
Dr. V. V. Orlova (Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum)
Prof. dr. J. Aarts
Prof. dr. R. M. H. Merks
Prof. dr. ir. S. J. T. van Noort

© 2022 by M. Mircea. All rights reserved.

Cover (front): The path of a single stem cell during cellular differentiation located on a potential landscape on top of a gene regulatory network.

Cover (back): Molecules involved in cellular differentiation.

Casimir PhD Series, Delft-Leiden 2022-30
ISBN 978-90-8593-540-7

An electronic version of this dissertation is available at
<https://openaccess.leidenuniv.nl>

The first principle is that you must not fool yourself – and you are the easiest person to fool.

Richard Feynman

CONTENTS

1 How a cell decides its own fate: A single-cell view of molecular mechanisms and dynamics of cell type specification	1
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 Molecular embodiment of a cell type	3
1.3 Molecular profiling	5
1.4 Dynamics of differentiation	8
1.5 Measurement of differentiation dynamics	9
1.6 Data analysis	12
1.7 Conceptual framework	14
1.8 Perspectives	15
1.9 Outline of Thesis	16
2 Phiclus: A clusterability measure for single-cell transcriptomics reveals phenotypic subpopulations	29
2.1 Background	30
2.2 Results	33
2.2.1 Phiclus is derived from first principles and does not have free parameters	33
2.2.2 Phiclus is a proxy for clusterability	39
2.2.3 Confounder regression removes unwanted variability	40
2.2.4 Phiclus has high sensitivity for the detection of sub-structure	42
2.2.5 Genes responsible for the detected substructure can be identified	45
2.2.6 Application of phiclus to a BMNC data set drives the discovery of biologically meaningful sub-clusters	46
2.2.7 Phiclus reveals subpopulations in a fetal human kidney data set that can be confirmed experimentally	50
2.3 Discussion	54
2.4 Conclusion	55
2.5 Methods	56
2.5.1 Preprocessing	56
2.5.2 Phiclus	56
2.5.3 Clustering	58
2.5.4 Variance driving genes	59
2.5.5 Data sets	60
2.5.6 Single cell data analysis	61
2.5.7 Staining	61
2.5.8 Data availability	62
2.6 Supplementary Note 1	63
2.7 Supplementary Note 2	75

3 ETV2 upregulation marks the specification of early cardiomyocytes and endothelial cells during co-differentiation	81
3.1 Introduction	82
3.2 Results	84
3.2.1 ETV2 is upregulated after a bifurcation into CMs and ECs	84
3.2.2 Generation and characterization of an ETV2 ^{mCherry} fluorescent hiPSC reporter line	87
3.2.3 The ETV2 ^{mCherry} fluorescent reporter allows for the purification of differentiating cells with lineage-specific expression profiles.	89
3.2.4 ETV2+ cells contain lineage-restricted subpopulations	91
3.3 Discussion	93
3.4 Conclusion	94
3.5 Materials and Methods	95
3.5.1 Experimental Methods	95
3.5.2 Bulk RNA sequencing and analysis	96
3.5.3 Single-cell RNA sequencing and analysis	97
3.5.4 Data availability	99
4 Tissue microenvironment partially removes signatures of developmental origin in a 3D <i>in vitro</i> model of cardiac endothelial cell differentiation	105
4.1 Introduction	106
4.2 Results	108
4.2.1 Derivation of endothelial cells from different mesodermal origins	108
4.2.2 Transcriptomic profiling of CMECs and PMECs	110
4.2.3 Characterization of CMEC and PMEC differentiation by single-cell RNA-seq	111
4.2.4 hiPSC-ECs acquired organ-specific signatures in a cardiac microenvironment	114
4.2.5 Extraction of organ-specific signatures of human fetal heart ECs from a published scRNA-seq dataset	116
4.2.6 Both CMECs and PMECs acquired intra-myocardial identity in MT culture	117
4.3 Discussion	119
4.4 Materials and Methods	121
4.4.1 Experimental Methods	121
4.4.2 Bulk RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) and analysis	122
4.4.3 Single-cell RNA sequencing and analysis	123
4.4.4 Data availability	125
5 A gastruloid model of the interaction between embryonic and extra-embryonic cell types	131
5.1 Introduction	132
5.2 Results	133
5.2.1 XEN cells induce neuroepithelial structures in XEN enhanced gastruloids.	133

5.2.2	Neuroepithelial cells in XEGs are heterogeneous and show further specification	135
5.2.3	Signaling perturbation experiments and further differentiation support the neuroepithelial character	137
5.2.4	Single-cell RNA-seq reveals the transcriptional profiles of XEG cells	140
5.2.5	Most XEN cells become VE-like in XEGs	145
5.2.6	XEN cells guide symmetry breaking by local inhibition of WNT signaling	146
5.3	Discussion	152
5.4	Methods	154
5.4.1	Experimental Methods	154
5.4.2	Computational methods	158
5.4.3	Data availability	162
6	Gene regulatory network inference with physics informed neural networks	169
6.1	Introduction	170
6.2	Results	172
6.2.1	Cell communication drives bifurcations in a GRN model of differentiation	172
6.2.2	Feedforward NN regression is unsuitable for GRN parameter inference	175
6.2.3	Physics informed neural networks can infer GRN parameters from partial and noisy data.	176
6.2.4	PINNs can infer GRN parameters from snapshot data in the absence of cell communication.	181
6.3	Discussion	182
6.4	Materials and Methods	185
6.4.1	Inference of a GRN with cell communication from trajectories . . .	185
6.4.2	Feed-forward neural network	186
6.4.3	Physics Informed Neural Network	187
6.4.4	Inference of a GRN without cell communication from snapshot data	188
Summary		195
Samenvatting		201
Zusammenfassung		207
List of Publications		213
Curriculum Vitæ		215

