



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Stop! Hey, what's that sound? the representation and realization of Danish stops

Puggaard-Rode, R.

Citation

Puggaard-Rode, R. (2023, January 11). *Stop! Hey, what's that sound?: the representation and realization of Danish stops*. LOT dissertation series. LOT, Amsterdam. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3505668>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3505668>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Stop! Hey, what's that sound?

The representation and realization
of Danish stops

Published by

LOT
Binnengasthuisstraat 9
1012 ZA Amsterdam
The Netherlands

phone: +31 20 525 2461
e-mail: lot@uva.nl
<http://www.lotschool.nl>

Cover illustration: Piedmont Photo

ISBN: 978-94-6093-417-9

DOI: <https://dx.medra.org/10.48273/LOT0631>

NUR: 616

Copyright © 2023 Rasmus Puggaard-Rode. All rights reserved.

Stop! Hey, what's that sound?

The representation and realization of Danish stops

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 11 januari
klokke 16.15 uur

door

Rasmus Puggaard-Rode

geboren te Varde, Denemarken
op 8 juli 1992

Promotor: Prof.dr. Janet Grijzenhout

Copromotor: Dr. Bert Botma

Promotiecommissie: Prof.dr. Paul Boersma
(University of Amsterdam)

Prof.dr. Yiya Chen

Dr. Willemijn Heeren

Prof.dr. Martin Krämer
(Arctic University of Norway – Tromsø)

Contents

Acknowledgments	xi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Old and new insights	1
1.2 Structure of the dissertation	3
1.3 The Danish language	5
1.4 Transcription conventions	7
I The big picture	13
2 Previous research on Danish stops	15
2.1 Preliminaries	15
2.2 History	16
2.2.1 Historical sources of stops and semivowels . . .	16
2.2.2 Recent changes in stops and semivowels	20
2.2.3 Summary	21
2.3 Phonetics	22
2.3.1 Aspiration and voice onset time	22
2.3.2 Closure voicing	28
2.3.3 Actions of the larynx	29
2.3.4 Burst and affrication	31
2.3.5 Articulatory force	33
2.3.6 Fundamental frequency	35

2.3.7	Summary	36
2.4	Phonology	37
2.4.1	Consonant inventory and positional allophones	37
2.4.2	Combinatorial possibilities	41
2.4.2.1	Surface clusters	41
2.4.2.2	Underlying clusters	44
2.4.3	Underlying representation	48
2.4.3.1	Representation of laryngeal contrast	48
2.4.3.2	Representation of place contrasts . .	56
2.4.4	Gradation patterns	56
2.4.5	Summary	63
2.5	Variation	64
2.5.1	Phonetic reduction	64
2.5.2	Social variation	65
2.5.3	Regional variation	66
2.5.4	Summary	73
3	The synchrony and diachrony of stop gradation	75
3.1	Introduction	75
3.2	The alternations	78
3.3	Problems with the traditional analysis	82
3.3.1	Intractable neutralizations	82
3.3.2	Lack of similarities between allophones	86
3.3.3	Insufficient morphophonological evidence . . .	90
3.4	An alternative analysis	94
3.4.1	No neutralizations	97
3.4.2	Shared phonetic and phonological properties .	97
3.4.3	Alternations stored as suppletive allomorphs .	100
3.4.4	Summary	101
3.5	The diachronic trajectory of stop gradation	101
3.5.1	Evolutionary Phonology and the CCC-model .	102
3.5.2	Stop gradation in five diachronic steps	106
3.5.2.1	Singleton voicing in weak position .	108
3.5.2.2	Loss of closure in weak position . . .	109
3.5.2.3	Loss of voicing in strong position . .	112
3.5.2.4	Increased aperture in weak position .	113
3.5.2.5	Recategorization of weak allophones	114

3.5.3	The history of closure voicing	118
3.6	Conclusion	121
II Corpus studies		123
4	The rarity of intervocalic voicing	125
4.1	Introduction	125
4.2	Closure voicing and [voice]	128
4.3	Predictions for Danish	133
4.4	Research questions and predictors	135
4.4.1	Potential predictors	136
4.4.1.1	Segmental predictors	137
4.4.1.2	Prosodic predictors	138
4.4.1.3	Morphosyntactic predictors	139
4.4.1.4	Other predictors	141
4.5	Methods	143
4.5.1	The DanPASS corpus	143
4.5.2	Acoustic analysis	145
4.5.3	Statistical analysis	148
4.6	Exploratory analysis	148
4.6.1	Categorical predictors	149
4.6.1.1	Segmental predictors	149
4.6.1.2	Prosodic predictors	152
4.6.1.3	Morphosyntactic predictors	152
4.6.1.4	Other predictors	152
4.6.2	Continuous predictors	152
4.7	Mixed-effects model	154
4.7.1	Model selection	154
4.7.2	Results	158
4.8	Discussion	162
4.8.1	Closure voicing and laryngeal category	163
4.8.2	Closure voicing as lenition	165
4.8.3	The relative predictive power of variables	167
4.9	Conclusion	169
5	Time-varying spectral characteristics of stop releases	171

5.1	Introduction	171
5.2	Aspirated stops, affricates, and the middle ground . . .	174
5.3	Measuring frication	178
5.4	Smoothing approaches to analyzing dynamic data . . .	181
5.5	Methods and materials	184
	5.5.1 Acoustic analysis	184
	5.5.2 Statistical analysis	188
5.6	Results	191
	5.6.1 /t/	191
	5.6.2 /k/	195
	5.6.3 /p/	198
5.7	Discussion	201
	5.7.1 Contextual variation in stop releases	201
	5.7.2 Function-on-scalar regression and the spectrum	204
5.8	Conclusion	206
6	Regional variation in stops	209
6.1	Introduction	209
6.2	Documentation of Danish dialects	213
	6.2.1 Dialect descriptions	213
	6.2.2 Audio recordings	214
6.3	The dialects of Jutland	218
6.4	Language variation and geography	220
6.5	Variation in voice onset time	221
	6.5.1 Methods	222
	6.5.1.1 Selection of recordings	222
	6.5.1.2 Selection of tokens	224
	6.5.1.3 Acoustic analysis	225
	6.5.1.4 Statistical modeling	229
	6.5.2 Results	231
	6.5.2.1 Descriptive statistics	231
	6.5.2.2 Generalized additive mixed model	234
	6.5.3 Interim conclusions	237
6.6	Some observations on closure voicing	237
6.7	Variation in spectral characteristics	241
	6.7.1 Clustering and dimension reduction	242
	6.7.2 Methods	244

6.7.2.1	Token selection and acoustic analysis	244
6.7.2.2	Statistical modeling	245
6.7.3	Results	247
6.7.3.1	/t/	247
6.7.3.2	/k/	253
6.7.3.3	/p/	256
6.7.4	Interim conclusions	258
6.8	Discussion	259
6.8.1	Comparison with Modern Standard Danish . .	260
6.8.2	Parallels between phonetics and phonology . .	261
6.8.3	The spread of aspiration and affrication	262
6.9	Conclusions	265
7	Conclusion	267
7.1	Towards a synthesis	267
7.2	Chapter-by-chapter summary	267
7.3	History	270
7.4	Phonetics	271
7.5	Phonology	273
7.6	Variation	275
7.7	Data analysis	277
	Bibliography	281
	Resume på dansk	325
	Samenvatting in het Nederlands	329
	Curriculum vitae	333

Acknowledgments

This dissertation is the product of the labor and love of many people who helped me along the way. First off, I would like to thank my wonderful supervisors, Janet Grijzenhout and Bert Botma, for their support and guidance throughout the process. I would also like to thank my collaborators on research that went into the dissertation: Camilla Søballe Horslund, Henrik Jørgensen, and Yonatan Goldshtein. Thank you for always being available for questions, discussions, and useful comments, both in connection with papers that we have worked on and in general. I genuinely hope that our collaborations have only just begun. Yonatan has been a fantastic friend since I first discovered linguistics ten years ago, and has been more influential on my way of thinking than I could ever put into words.

Many people read early versions of one or more of the chapters, and gave helpful comments: Camilla, Yonatan, Stig Eliasson, Míša Hejrná, Sam Kirkham, Jan Heegård Petersen, Nicolai Pharao, and Ruben Schachtenhaufen. Dirk Jan Vet contributed an enormously useful Praat script, and Paul Boersma, Laura Smorenburg, and Cesko Voeten all gave helpful tips about aspects of the statistical modeling and acoustic processing; Andreea Geambasu gave helpful advice on the presentation of the online appendices. Thanks to Søren Sandager Sørensen for always being available to discuss *any* aspect of the Danish language.

The contents of this dissertation have been greatly improved by the peer review system. I would like to thank all peer reviewers who read associated papers, including the reviewers for a paper submitted

to *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* which never materialized. I would also like to thank the editors for these publications and submissions: Hartmut Haberland, Nanna Haug Hilton, James Kirby, Remco Knooihuizen, Jeff Mielke, Hans Van de Velde, and Elizabeth Zsiga. Thanks also to everyone who showed up to presentations and posters and gave feedback.

Thanks to the wonderful people I have surrounded myself with while working on this dissertation: Astrid van Alem, András Barany, Meike de Boer, Hang Cheng, Lis Kerr, Menghui Shi, Matthew Sung, Xander Vertegaal, Andrew Wigman, Sarah von Grebmer zu Wolfsthurn, Jiang Wu, Cesko, Laura, and the rest of my PhD cohort. Thanks to the Tromsø/Verona phonologists: Birgit Alber, Eirini Apostopoulou, Andrea Brugnoli, Joachim Kokkelmans, Martin Krämer, Anna Katharina Pilsbacher, Tor Håvard Solhaug, Olga Urek, Kate Zhakun. Thanks to the Aarhus dialectologists: Torben Arboe Andersen, Nina Grøftehaug, Inger Schoonderbeek Hansen, Kirsten Lyshøj, Ove Rasmussen, Viggo Sørensen. Thanks to my colleagues at UvA, Silke Hamann and Marijn van 't Veer. My understanding of language and linguistics was shaped at the fantastic Linguistics department in Aarhus. Thanks to teachers (Peter Bakker, Anna Jespersen, Bill McGregor, Jan Rijkhoff, Jakob Steensig, Ethan Weed), co-students (in particular to Yonatan, Søren, Katrine Garly, Kasper Fyhn, Mads Lundegaard, Tina Raakjær Mølgaard, Jonathan Puntervold, Nikolas Tranæs), my fellow DanTiN members, and everyone who hung out in Lingoland between 2012–2018. Thanks to Sidsel Rasmussen for pointing me in the direction of this PhD position. Finally but very importantly, thanks to my students from the past eight years. You have immeasurably improved my understanding of the subject matter.

For obvious reasons, writing a PhD in 2020–2022 has not exactly been what I expected when I started in 2018. My office has been my dining room table, the fun aspects of conferences and summer schools were essentially scrapped, and the lion's share of the dissertation has been written on a tablet. So a brief note of thanks to the services that made this bearable: the reading groups of the Tromsø/Verona phonology circle and the Aarhus-based Sounds of Language and Speech group who moved their activities online,

