



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Anxiety in older adults: prevalence and low-threshold psychological interventions

Witlox, M.

Citation

Witlox, M. (2023, January 12). *Anxiety in older adults: prevalence and low-threshold psychological interventions*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3505602>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3505602>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).





PREVALENCE OF ANXIETY DISORDERS AND SUBTHRESHOLD ANXIETY THROUGHOUT LATER LIFE: SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS

Published as: Witlox M, Garnefski N, Kraaij V, Simou M, Dusseldorp E, Bohlmeijer ET, Spinhoven P. Prevalence of anxiety disorders and subthreshold anxiety throughout later life: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Aging*. 2021 :36(2);268–287.

Abstract

This systematic review and meta-analysis compared prevalence rates for subthreshold anxiety and anxiety disorders in adults aged 55+ and examined if these rates were associated with age. A systematic search and screening procedure resulted in 46 included articles. First, prevalence rates for subthreshold anxiety and anxiety disorders were statistically compared. Subthreshold panic, generalized anxiety and specific phobia were significantly more prevalent than the corresponding clinical disorders. In general, subthreshold anxiety appeared to be at least similarly prevalent to anxiety disorders, although firm conclusions are precluded due to the small number of samples that could be included in the analyses and the large heterogeneity between the reported prevalence rates. Second, using subgroup analyses, pooled prevalence rates for 4 age groups of older adults (55-64, 65-74, 75-84, 85+) were compared. For specific phobia, the 75-84 and 85+ groups had significantly lower prevalence rates than the 55-64 and 65-74 groups. Posttraumatic stress disorder was significantly more prevalent in the 55-64 group than in the other age groups, and lowest in the 85+ group. No other significant differences between age groups were found. The association between later life subthreshold anxiety and age could not be examined, due to a lack of reported information. The main limitation of this study is the small number of samples in the analyses, which limits their power and generalizability.

Keywords: older adults, anxiety disorders, subthreshold anxiety, prevalence, diagnostics, meta-analysis

Introduction

Epidemiological studies have repeatedly demonstrated that anxiety disorders are among the most common mental health issues in older adults, although prevalence rates are lower than those in younger adults [4-9]. While this general finding has been well-established, some issues regarding the prevalence of anxiety in later life remain unresolved. The current article aims to address two of these issues using a systematic review and meta-analysis.

First, although many studies have assessed the prevalence of anxiety disorders in older adults, little is known about the prevalence of subthreshold anxiety. Subthreshold anxiety can be defined as clinically significant anxiety symptomatology that does not meet all diagnostic criteria for an anxiety disorder [21]. While subthreshold depression in older adults has received considerable scientific attention, literature on subthreshold anxiety in later life is scarce. However, some evidence suggests that anxiety in later life might mostly be a subthreshold problem. For example, Grenier et al. [20] showed that the prevalence rate for anxiety problems was 26.2% when subthreshold anxiety was also considered, compared to 5.6% for DSM-defined anxiety disorders only

Research suggests that the decreased prevalence of anxiety disorders and relatively high prevalence of subthreshold anxiety in older adults might be a result of common diagnostic instruments and procedures being unsuitable for this age group. Most instruments have been developed for use in younger adult samples, but anxiety symptom expression and experience might be different in older adults [10]. Older adults are more likely to underreport their psychological symptoms [88], have more difficulty remembering symptoms and use other terms in describing them than younger adults [89]. Furthermore, due to the chronic nature of anxiety, some have lived with anxiety symptoms for so long, that they do not recognize them as highly problematic any longer [90]. Moreover, older adults might less often meet the functional impairment diagnostic criterion than younger adults as a result of age-related lower societal demands and expectations. For example, avoidance behavior might be less apparent or problematic in older adults, as they typically (are expected to) live less active lives in the first place [18,91]. Lastly, both clinicians and older adults themselves might interpret anxiety symptoms as a normal part of ageing [31] or a by-product of cognitive or physical conditions [13, 19]. All these factors could lead to the structural underdiagnosing of anxiety disorders in later life.

Studies found older adults with subthreshold anxiety to be similar to those with anxiety disorders in terms of limited physical and social activities, decreased

well-being, chronic physical problems, comorbid depressive complaints and health services utilization [20,22]. These findings support the notion that common diagnostic instruments do not adequately distinguish between 'healthy' and 'disordered' anxious older adults and indicate that a narrow focus on (DSM defined) anxiety disorders hinders a full understanding of later life anxiety. An overview of studies on the prevalence of subthreshold anxiety in older adults and how this compares to the prevalence of anxiety disorders in this population has not yet been published. The current article aims to fill this gap.

The second question this article aims to address is how the prevalence of anxiety disorders and subthreshold anxiety changes throughout later life. It is common for epidemiological studies to report one prevalence estimate for their sample of older adults, which often has a wide age range (e.g., 55+ or 65+). This ignores potential differences between age groups of older adults regarding the nature and prevalence of anxiety, which could arise from biological, psychological and social changes throughout the later life span. Findings from studies that examined the association between age and the prevalence of later life anxiety are conflicting. Two studies [8, 92] that compared 4 age categories of older adults (55–64, 65–74, 75–84, 85+), found a gradual decline with age for social phobia, panic disorder, specific phobia and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Their findings differed with regard to generalized anxiety disorder (GAD): Byers et al found a decline with age for this disorder too, while Reynolds et al. found GAD to be more prevalent in adults aged 85+ than in those aged 75-84 years. Another large epidemiological study [4] did not find significant differences between three age groups (55-64, 65-74, 75-85) regarding the prevalence of Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (OCD), GAD, panic disorder and phobic disorders (a category comprised of social phobia and specific phobia). Lastly, Baladon et al. [93] compared 3 age groups (65-74, 75-84, 85+) and observed a downward trend with age for the prevalence of agoraphobia, panic disorder, social phobia, specific phobia, GAD and OCD. However, when controlling for relevant demographic (gender, living situation, employment status) and clinical (somatic comorbidity, perceived social support, perceived disability) factors in a multivariate model, age group was not significantly associated with anxiety disorder prevalence. These conflicting findings might be partly explained by the methodological differences between the studies: they were conducted in different (western) countries, employed different diagnostic instruments and Beekman and colleagues used DSM-III criteria while the others used DSM-IV criteria. Combining data from multiple prevalence studies using meta-analysis could lead to more substantial conclusions about age

trends in the prevalence of anxiety disorders in later life. It could further provide for a more thorough understanding of the association between age and anxiety in older adults by exploring interactions between age and other relevant study- and participant characteristics (e.g., gender, somatic/cognitive comorbidity, diagnostic instruments/criteria). With regard to subthreshold anxiety, to our knowledge no study has yet directly compared prevalence rates in different age groups of older adults. However, even in the absence of studies reporting separate prevalence rates for different age categories of older adults, a systematic search and integration of scientific literature could allow for a comparison of age groups, because the samples for which prevalence rates are reported might have non-overlapping age ranges.

Summarizing, the current article has two aims. First, to provide an overview and integration of articles that report prevalence rates for subthreshold anxiety in older adults and to see how these rates compare to those for anxiety disorders. Second, to examine the association between age and the prevalence of both later life anxiety disorders and subthreshold anxiety. This way, the current article will contribute to our understanding of later life anxiety, a topic of increasing relevance in light of the unprecedentedly large and steadily growing number and proportion of older adults worldwide [1].

Methods

Study selection

A first search was conducted in April 2018 using 4 databases (Psychinfo, PUBMED, Cochrane libraries, Web of Science). Reference lists of systematic reviews, meta-analyses and other relevant articles identified through the database search were also examined. Subsequent searches were conducted in November 2019 and April 2020, limited to articles that were published since the previous search. The full search strings can be found in Appendix 1. All articles were screened by authors M.W. and M.S. independently. Inclusion and exclusion decisions were cross-checked and disagreements were resolved by discussion. Studies were included if they (a) reported (12-month, 6-month, 1-month, current) prevalence rates for; (b) anxiety disorders or subthreshold manifestations of these disorders (panic disorder, agoraphobia, GAD, social anxiety disorder (SAD), OCD, PTSD and specific phobia); (c) in a community sample of adults aged 55 and over; (d) as established with a clinician-administered instrument using DSM-criteria (DSM-III, IV or V) or ICD-criteria. Articles had to be

written in English or Dutch and published in a peer-reviewed journal to be included. If a decision on exclusion/inclusion could not be reached due to missing information (e.g., the minimum age of the sample or the diagnostic instrument used was not reported) authors were sent an email with a request for additional information. If authors did not respond after two reminder emails, the study was excluded. Nine authors were sent an email of which 4 responded with the requested information. Although DSM-V does not classify OCD and PTSD as anxiety disorders, we decided on including these disorders in our analyses since most published prevalence studies used DSM-III or DSM-IV criteria (which do classify these two disorders as anxiety disorders) and because feelings of anxiety are a key feature of both disorders.

Multiplicity

If more than one article reported prevalence rates for the same anxiety disorder and/or subthreshold symptomatology in the same study sample (or subgroups of the sample), we included the article that presented rates for (most) different age groups. If none or all of the articles did this, the article that reported on the largest sample was included. In case of equal sample sizes, the article that provided the most descriptive information about the sample was included.

Coding

Author M.W. coded all articles. Author M.S. and two master levels clinical psychology students that were trained in the coding system independently coded one third of the articles each. Scorings between raters were cross-checked.

The following information was coded for every sample (if reported also for age based subsamples): publication year, country of data collection, setting (urban, suburban, rural), primary language(s) of participants, recruitment period, response rate, sample size, proportion of participants with somatic condition(s) (plus specification of the condition(s)), proportion with cognitive impairment (plus specification of assessment method), proportion that belongs to an ethnic/cultural minority (plus specification of the group), gender distribution, diagnostic instrument and diagnostic criteria used for classification, whether the instrument was adapted for use in an older population, whether hierarchical diagnostic rules were applied, interview mode, discipline of the interviewer, age range, mean age and standard deviation, prevalence rates of anxiety disorders/subthreshold anxiety for the sexes combined and (if reported) separately for men and women.

A review protocol was submitted to PROSPERO ((registration number: CRD42 018092953). The protocol can be assessed at <https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO>

Quality assessment

As there is no gold standard method for rating the quality of epidemiological studies, we constructed a 5-item scale adapted to the needs of this review. We based our rating system on the one used by Volkert et al. [11] in their meta-analysis on psychiatric disorders in older adults. Each included article was rated by authors M.W. and M.S. or one of the master level students independently on the following criteria: (a) sample size (low risk if sample size was equal or large than 1,000); (b) sample representativeness (low risk for random sampling method); (c) comparability between respondents and non-respondents (low risk if the response rate was 85% or more, or if a non-responder analysis indicated no relevant differences between responders and non-responders); (d) quality of diagnostic assessment method (low risk if psychometric qualities of the instrument were established); (e) quality of prevalence estimates (low risk if obtained from the total sample). Every criterion was scored '0' (high risk of bias/unclear risk of bias) or '1' (low risk of bias). Total quality scores were obtained by summing the 5 item ratings. Differences in quality ratings were resolved through discussion.

Statistical analyses

Analyses were conducted using the metafor package [94] and meta package [95] in the R programming software version 3.5 [96]. All analyses were performed separately for each of the 7 types of anxiety. Raw prevalence data were transformed to log its, to make the proportions normally distributed. Prevalence rates were weighted according to the sample size they were derived from, giving larger studies a greater impact on the pooled effect size. The logits were back-transformed after analysis and reported as percentages.

Pooled prevalence rates were calculated for both the clinical disorders and subthreshold symptomatologies. To compare the prevalence rates of anxiety disorders and subthreshold anxiety, relative risk ratios were calculated and pooled based on the articles that reported prevalence rates for both. The relative risk ratio represented the odds of older adults experiencing subthreshold anxiety compared to the odds of them fulfilling all diagnostic criteria for the anxiety disorder. A risk ratio larger than one indicated the odds of subthreshold anxiety to be higher than the odds of the anxiety disorder, while a risk ratio smaller than one indicated the opposite.

The association between age and the prevalence of anxiety disorders in later life was examined using mixed-effects subgroup analyses to compare prevalence rates for the following age categories: 55-64, 65-74, 75-84 and 85+. This categorization is common in large epidemiological studies [97]. Only articles reporting prevalence rates for (sub)sample(s) belonging to (one of) these age categories were included in the subgroup analyses. If the overall Q -test for moderation (i.e., the Q -between) was statistically significant, the subgroups were tested to examine differences among them. Interactions between age and other variables were tested by examining the Q -between value for the interaction term(s). If Q -between was significant, it was examined at what levels of the variables the interaction was present.

The Restricted Maximum Likelihood random effects method was used in all main analyses. Q -tests were conducted to test for heterogeneity across studies. Furthermore, heterogeneity was quantified using I^2 (values of 25%, 50% and 75%, representing cutoffs for low, medium and high heterogeneity, respectively), which indicates the proportion of between-study variance resulting from heterogeneity rather than from chance. 95% confidence intervals around I^2 were calculated. Tests with a p-value of less than .05 were interpreted as statistically significant. All p-values were two-sided. When more than two effect sizes were pooled, externally studentized residuals were inspected to identify outliers, which were defined as values over 2 [98].

No funnel plot inspection and formal tests for the detection of publication bias were performed. The results of the articles included in the current meta-analyses are non-comparative, and do not contain significance levels. Results from these studies are therefore not interpreted as 'negative/positive' or 'undesirable/desirable', which gives the size of the reported prevalence rate little influence in the publication process [99].

Results

Article search and selection

The database searches yielded 2209 articles, which dropped to 1825 after removal of duplicates. Examination of the reference lists of relevant articles identified through the database search resulted in an additional 162 articles. In total, titles and abstracts of 1987 articles were screened. Full-text screening was performed on 728 articles, of which 46 were included in the analyses. For a flowchart of the screening procedure and reasons for article exclusion see Figure 1. Table 1 and Table 2 list the articles that report prevalence rates for one or more anxiety disorders (all 46 included articles)

and types of subthreshold anxiety (6 articles), respectively. Articles were assigned an article ID to facilitate clear reporting.




Figure 1. Flowchart of article inclusion and exclusion

Article description

The 46 included articles were published between 1984 and 2019 and reported prevalence rates for adults aged 55+ in 19 different countries across Europe, North- and South America, Asia and Australia. The most commonly used cutoffs to define old(er) were 65/66 years (n=17) and 55/56 years (n=10). The mean age of the sample was presented in 32 articles and ranged from 66.6 to 85.0 years, with a median of 72.9. Information on the gender composition of the sample was reported in 42 articles. Reported proportions of women ranged from 44.2% to 100%, with a median of 58.5%. The most frequently used diagnostic instruments were the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI, n=18) [138], the Mini-international Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI, n=8) [139] and the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Axis I Disorders (SCID, n=7) [140]. DSM-IV criteria were used in 33 articles, DSM-III criteria in 12 and DSM-V criteria in one. Twenty-five articles reported prevalence rates for GAD, 22 for panic disorder, 21 for SAD, 19 for agoraphobia, 14 for specific phobia, 15 for OCD and 12 for PTSD. Considering subthreshold anxiety, 3 articles reported prevalence rates for subthreshold social anxiety, 2 articles for subthreshold agoraphobia, generalized anxiety, specific phobia and posttraumatic stress and one article reported rates for subthreshold OCD. Subthreshold anxiety was operationalized differently across studies (see Table 2).

Quality assessment

Thirteen articles had a total quality score of 5, indicating that their risk of bias was low for all 5 criteria. Twenty articles had a total score of 4, 11 articles had a score of 3 and 2 articles scored 2. No articles had a total score of one or zero points. The most common risk of bias was the small sample size: 22 articles (47.8%) reported on sample sizes smaller than 1,000. Comparability of non-responders and responders was not sufficient or not investigated in 18 articles (39.1%). Quality of the diagnostic assessment method used was unknown for 4 articles: these articles reported to have used a DSM-based assessment method, but did not report a specific instrument. One article did not use a random sampling method. One article did not report prevalence rates based on the total study sample, but instead used subsample based extrapolation.

Prevalence of subthreshold anxiety and anxiety disorders

Table 3 shows the results of the meta-analyses on the prevalence of anxiety disorders and subthreshold anxiety. The following pooled prevalence rates were found for the

different types of subthreshold anxiety: 7.88% [6.98-8.89] for specific phobia; 5.01% [0.67-29.10] for posttraumatic stress; 2.96% [0.68-11.92] for social anxiety; 2.55% [2.05-3.18] for panic; 1.97% [0.34-10.59] for agoraphobia; 1.42% [1.12-1.79] for generalized anxiety. For subthreshold OCD, no pooled prevalence rate could be calculated, because only one study reported a prevalence rate for it (1.06%). Non-significant Q -values and I^2 s below 50% (but with wide confidence intervals) indicated that reported prevalence rates for subthreshold generalized anxiety, panic and specific phobia were relatively homogeneous. Prevalence rates for subthreshold agoraphobia, PTSD and social anxiety were highly heterogeneous (i.e., statistically significant Q -values and I^2 s over 75%).

The following pooled prevalence rates were found for anxiety disorders: 5.40% [3.55-8.14] for specific phobia; 2.32% [1.72-3.12] for GAD; 1.62% [0.93-2.81] for agoraphobia; 1.57% [1.13-2.18] for PTSD; 1.23% [0.90-1.67] for SAD; 0.89% [0.58-1.35] for OCD; 0.76% [0.50-1.16] for panic disorder. For all anxiety disorders, reported prevalence rates were highly heterogeneous as indicated by significant Q -values and large I^2 s. With the exception of generalized anxiety, all pooled estimates were higher for subthreshold symptomatology than for the clinical disorder. However, panic was the only type of anxiety for which the confidence interval of the two pooled prevalence rates did not overlap.

The 6 articles that reported prevalence rates for subthreshold anxiety, also reported prevalence rates for anxiety disorders in their samples. For these samples, the prevalence rates for subthreshold anxiety and the clinical disorder were compared using risk ratios. These meta-analyses resulted in pooled risk ratios higher than one for every type of anxiety, which indicates that the odds of older adults experiencing subthreshold symptomatology is higher than the odds of older adults having an anxiety disorder. For generalized anxiety (RR=3.49 [1.90-6.43], $p < .001$), panic (RR=4.10 [2.71-6.21], $p < .001$) and specific phobia (RR=5.63 [2.05-15.46], $p < .001$), the estimates were statistically significant. With the exception of panic ($I^2=60.87\%$ [0.00-97.95]) and specific phobia ($I^2=60.87\%$ [00-99.76]), I^2 estimates for the pooled risk ratios were larger than 75%, indicating substantial unexplained heterogeneity between the estimates.

Prevalence rates in different age categories

Appendix 2 lists the studies that reported prevalence rates for anxiety disorders in one or more of the specified age categories (55-64, 65-74, 75-84, 85+). See Table 4 for the results of the subgroup analyses comparing prevalence rates of anxiety disorders between these age groups.

The test of moderation (Q-between) was significant for specific phobia ($Q=10.31$, $p=.02$) and PTSD ($Q=60.82$, $p<.0001$). Regarding specific phobia, both the 75-84 and 85+ group had lower pooled prevalence rates than the 55-64 (75-84: $z=2.36$, $p=.02$; 85+: $z=2.48$, $p=.01$) and 65-74 group (75-84: $z=2.03$, $p=.04$; 85+: $z=2.16$, $p=.01$). Regarding PTSD, the prevalence estimate in the 55-64 group was significantly higher than estimates for all 3 other age groups (65-74: $z=6.00$, $p<.0001$; 75-84: $z=4.91$, $p<.0001$; 85+: $z=4.57$, $p<.0001$). Furthermore, the estimate for the 85+ sample was lower than the pooled estimates for the 65-74 ($z=2.11$, $p=.04$) and 75-84 ($z=2.18$, $p=.03$) groups. No other significant differences between age groups were found. For all 7 anxiety disorders, subgroup analyses showed the 85+ group to have the lowest prevalence rate (although not significantly lower in most cases). No recurring pattern was apparent in the pooled prevalence rates for the other 3 age groups.

None of the subgroup analyses resulted in 4 groups with homogeneous prevalence rates. For all 85+ groups, I^2 estimates of 0.00% indicated low heterogeneity, but confidence intervals around the estimates were wide, ranging from 0% to values over 75%. I^2 estimates were also low (but with wide confidence intervals) for the 75-84 groups in the analyses on OCD, panic disorder, PTSD and specific phobia, the 65-74 group for PTSD and the 55-64 group for specific phobia.

The association between age and the prevalence of subthreshold anxiety could not be examined because no article reported prevalence rates for subthreshold anxiety in different age categories, nor did the samples for which prevalence rates were reported fall into different prespecified age categories.

Interactions of age and other participant/study characteristics

Separate prevalence rates for men and women in different age categories were available for GAD (65-74 and 75-84), OCD (all four age categories), panic disorder (55-64, 65-74, 85+) and SAD (65-74, 75-84, 85+). For none of these disorders, a significant interaction between age and sex was found (GAD: $Q(1)=0.002$, $p=.96$; OCD: $Q(3)=1.67$, $p=.64$; panic disorder: $Q(2)=2.59$, $p=.28$; SAD: $Q(2)=0.05$, $p=.98$). Interactions between age and the participant variables of physical impairment, cognitive impairment and ethnic/cultural background could not be examined, because no article provided information about these variables for one (or more) of the specified age categories.

The interaction between DSM-criteria (III vs. IV) and age could be examined for GAD (55-64, 65-74, 75-84), OCD (55-64, 65-74, 75-84) and panic disorder (55-64, 65-

74, 85+). Interaction terms were non-significant for all three disorders (GAD: $Q(2)=1.55$, $p=.46$; OCD: $Q(2)=3.63$, $p=.16$; panic disorder: $Q(2)=0.84$, $p=.66$). Interactions between age and the study characteristics of *use of hierarchical diagnostical rules* and *use of diagnostic instrument specifically adapted to older adults* could not be investigated, because they were not reported in any of the articles that reported prevalence estimates for (one or more of) the specified age categories. Interactions with interview mode, interviewer discipline, study country and study setting could also not be investigated because there was no variation in these variables among the age-specific samples.

Outliers

Outlying values were identified when pooling prevalence rates for agoraphobia (article ID 26), OCD (article IDs 23, 41), PTSD (article IDs 35, 41), panic disorder (article IDs 34, 43), SAD (article IDs 13, 41) and specific phobia (article ID 17). Regarding the pooled prevalence rates for the prespecified age categories, outliers were identified in the 55-64 group for GAD (article ID 43), the 65-74 group for OCD (article ID 1), the 55-64 and 65-74 group for panic disorder (article ID 43 for both groups) and the 55-64 (article ID 4) and 75-84 (article ID 22) group for SAD. The articles that reported the outlying values were inspected, but no factors were identified that could have structurally influenced the results, so it was not justified to exclude these articles from the main analyses.

Sensitivity analyses without the outliers resulted in the following pooled prevalence rates for anxiety disorders, which were only marginally different from the pooled estimates in the main analyses: 1.43% [0.85-2.39] for agoraphobia; 0.88% [0.58-1.35] for OCD; 1.67% [1.44–1.92] for PTSD; 0.81% [0.57-1.14] for panic disorder; 1.48% [1.18-1.85] for SAD; 5.94% [4.02-8.69] for specific phobia. For PTSD, heterogeneity between studies decreased after removing the outliers ($Q=4.23$, $p=.90$, $I^2=0.00$ [0.00-51.66]). For all other disorders heterogeneity was still large (i.e., p -values for $Q < .01$ and $I^2 > 80\%$).

See Appendix 3 for the results of the sensitivity subgroup analyses without outliers. For GAD, panic disorder and SAD, analyses without outliers had comparable results to the main analyses and did not show significant differences between the age groups. For OCD, results from the analysis without the outlier differed from those from the main analysis: the pooled prevalence rate for the 65-74 group of 2.58% [1.52–4.37] was significantly higher than those for the 55-64 groups (1.05% [0.53-2.04], $z=2.08$, $p=.04$) and 75-84 (0.55% [0.23-1.31], $z=2.99$, $p=.002$).

Table 1. Included studies reporting prevalence rates for anxiety disorders

Article ID	Authors (year of publication)	Study name	Country (recruitment period)	Total sample size	Mean age	Age range total sample	Separate prevalence rates for age categories (N)	Diagnostic instrument/ Criteria	Interviewer, interview mode	Women	Somatic disease	Cognitive impairment	Ethnic minority	Reported prevalence rates
1	Báladon et al. (2015) [93]	The Diagnostic and Assessment Study of Mental Disorders in Primary Care (DASMAP)	Spain (2005–2006)	1,192	74	65+	65–74 (707) 75–84 (434) 85+ (52)	SCID-I Research Version and MINI for OCD/ DSM-IV,	Psychologist, Face-to-face	57.4%				AGO (3.0%); GAD (2.2%); OCD (0.18%); PD (1.4%); SAD (0.7%); SP (5.1%)
2	Beekman et al. (1998) [4]	The Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam (LASA)	Netherlands (1992-1993)	3,056	70.6	55-85	55-64 (964) 65-74 (954) 75-85 (1,138)	DIS/DSM-III	N.R., Face-to-face	51.6%	66.4%, one or more chronic illnesses (not specified)	15.5%, MMSE-score < 23		GAD (7.3%); OCD (0.6%); PD (1.0%)
3	Bland, Newman & Orn (1988) [100]	N.R	Canada (1983–1986)	721	N.R	N.R	55 – 64 (363) 65+ (358)	DIS/DSM-III	Trained lay interviewer Face-to-face	62.3%				OCD (0.7%); PD (1.8%)
4	Byers, Yaffe, Covinsky, Friedman & Bruce (2010) [92]	National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R)	USA (2001-2003)	2,575	68	55+	55-64 (1,114) 65-74 (813) 75-84 (526) 85+ (122)	World Mental Health-CIDI 3.0/ DSM-IV	Researcher, Face-to-face	59.1%			18.2%, non-white	AGO (0.8%); GAD (2.0%); PD (1.3%); SAD (3.5%); SP (6.5%)
5	Byrne & Pachana(2011) [101]	Longitudinal Assessment of Women (LAW) Study	Australia (2008-2009)	284	72.2	60– 87	N.R.	MINI-/DSM-IV	Trained lay interviewer Face-to-face	100%				GAD (3.3%)
6	Byrne, Steele & Pachana (2015) [102]	Australian National Survey of Mental Health and Well-being (NSMHWB)	Australia (2007)	1,905	73.3	65-85	N.R.	World Mental Health-CIDI 3.0/ DSM-IV	Research Nurse, Face-to-face	52.6%		7.9%, MMSE-score ≤ 24		AGO (0.3%); OCD (0.7%); PD (0.5%); PTSD(1.5%); SAD (1.2%)
7	Cairney, Coma, Veldhuizen, Herrmann & Streiner (2008) [103]	Canadian Community Health Survey-Mental Health and Well-being (CCHS 1.2)	Canada (2002)	12,792	67.1	55-103	55-64 (5,056) 65-74 (4,113) 75-103 (3,623)	World Mental Health-CIDI 3.0/ DSM-IV	Trained lay interviewer Face-to-face	58.6%				AGO (0.6%); PD (0.8%); SAD (1.3%)

Table 1. Continued

Article ID	Authors (year of publication)	Study name	Country (recruitment period)	Total sample size	Mean age	Age range total sample	Separate prevalence rates for age categories (N)	Diagnostic instrument/ Diagnostic Criteria	Interviewer, interview mode	Women	Somatic disease	Cognitive impairment	Ethnic minority	Reported prevalence rates
8	Canuto et al., (2018) [5]	MentDis_ICF65+ study	Germany;Italy; England; Spain; Switzerland; Israel (N.R.)	3,142	73.7	65-84	N.R.	CIDI 65+/ DSM-IV	Mental health professionals or mental health graduate students, Face-to-face	51.7%				AGO (3.9%); GAD(3.1%); OCD (0.9%); PD (3.0%); PTSD (1.7%); SAD (1.1%)
9	De Brujin et al. (2014) [104]	The Rotterdam Study	Netherlands (2002-2004)	3,069	75.5	57+	N.R.	Munich version of CIDI/ DSM-IV	Trained lay interviewer Face-to-face (computer assisted)	59.0%				PD (0.3%); SAD (0.9%); SP (1.7%)
10	Dehoust et al. (2017) [105]	MentDis_ICF65+ study	Germany; Italy; England; Spain; Switzerland; Israel (N.R.)	3,142	73.7	65-84	N.R.	CIDI 65+/ DSM-IV	Mental health professionals or mental health graduate students, Face-to-face (computer assisted)	51.7%				SP (8.9%)
11	Ford et al. (2007) [106]	The National Survey of American Life (NSAL)	USA	837	66.6	55-93	N.R.	World Mental Health-CIDI 3.0/ DSM-IV	N.R., Face-to-face (computer assisted)	60.0%			100%, African-American	AGO (0.7%); GAD (0.7%); OCD (0.4%); PD (1.4%); PTSD (1.8%); SAD (2.3%)
12	Goncalves, Pachana & Byrne (2011) [107]	Australian National Survey of Mental Health and Well-being (NSMHWB)	Australia (2007)	3,035	68	55-85	55-64 (1,190) 65-74 (1,060) 74-85 (785)	World Mental Health-CIDI 3.0/ DSM-IV	Trained lay interviewer Face-to-face	52.0%				GAD (2.8%)

Table 1. Continued

Article ID	Authors (year of publication)	Study name	Country (recruit-ment period)	Total sample size	Mean age	Age range total sample	Separate prevalence rates for age categories (N)	Diagnostic instrument/ Diagnostic Criteria	Interviewer, interview mode	Women Somatic disease	Cognitive impairment	Ethnic minority	Reported prevalence rates
13	Grenier et al. (2011) [20]	Enquête sur la Santé des Aînés (ESA)	Canada (2005–2006)	2,784	73.8	65+	N.R.	Adapted version of DIS and CIDI (ESA-Questionnaire)/ DSM-IV	Research nurse, Face-to-face	59.0%			AGO (0.3%); GAD (1.2%); OCD (1.5%); PD (0.6%); SAD (0.07%); SP (2.0%)
14	Grenier et al. (2019) [108]	Enquête sur la Santé des Aînés (ESA) Services Study	Canada (2011–2013)	1,193	N.R.	70+	N.R.	Adapted version of DIS and CIDI (ESA-Q)/ DSM-IV	Mental health professionals and graduate students, Face-to-face	57.8%			GAD (2.7%)
15	Guo, Li, Liu & Sun (2015) [109]	National Latino and Asian American Study of mental health (NLAAS)	USA (2002–2003)	616	69.6	60+	N.R.	World Mental Health-CIDI 3.0/ DSM-IV	Trained lay interviewer, Face-to-face	56.9%		100% (41.6% Asian, 58.4% Latino)	AGO (1.0%); GAD (1.2%); PD (1.7%); PTSD (2.1%); SAD(1.2%)
16	Hek et al. (2011) [110]	The Rotterdam Study	The Netherlands (2002-2005)	5,565	72.6	58–100	N.R.	Munich version of CIDI/ DSM-IV	Trained lay interviewer, Face-to-face (computer assisted)	58.1%			AGO (4.0%); GAD (2.2%)
17	Heun, Pappasotriopoulos & Ptok (2000) [111]	N.R.	Germany (N.R.)	286	78.8	60+	N.R.	CIDI/DSM-III R	Student, Face-to-face	N.R.	12.94%		AGO (1.0%); PD (0.3%); SAD (1.4%); SP (0.7%)
18	Husaini, Moore & Castor (1991) [112]	N.R.	USA (1987)	600	70.5	55–85	N.R.	DIS/DSM-III	N.R., Face-to-face	70.0%		100%, black	AGO (4.3%); SAD (1.7%); SP (13.8%)
19	Junginger, Phelan, Cherry & Levy (1993) [113]	N.R.	USA (N.R.)	50	N.R.	65+	N.R.	SCID/DSM-III R	Student, Face-to-face	76.7%		4%, non-white	AGO (2.0%); GAD (6.0%); PD (2.0%); SAD (2.0%); SP (2.0%)

Table 1. Continued

Article ID	Authors (year of publication)	Study name	Country (recruitment period)	Total sample size	Mean age	Age range total sample	Separate prevalence rates for age categories (N)	Diagnostic instrument/ Diagnostic Criteria	Interviewer, interview mode	Women Somatic disease	Cognitive impairment	Ethnic minority	Reported prevalence rates
20	Karam et al. (2016) [114]	Lebanese Evaluation of the Burden of Ailments and Needs of the Nation (LEBANON)	Lebanon (N.R.)	593	N.R.	60+	N.R.	World Mental Health-CIDI 3.0/ DSM-IV	Trained lay interviewer Face-to-face	51.6%			AGO (0.0%); GAD(1.3%); PD(0.4%); PTSD(2.5%); SAD(0.2%); SP(3.9%) AGO(1.2%); SAD(1.9%)
21	Karlsson et al. (2009) [115]	Prospective Population study of women and the H70 Birth cohort study	Sweden (2000)	914	74.6	70-92	70-70 (562) 78-92 (352)	MINI/DSM-IV	Research nurse, Face-to-face	75.5%	3.3%, hospital discharge diagnosis of dementia (assessment method not specified)		AGO(1.2%); SAD(1.9%)
22	Karlsson et al. (2016) [116]	H75 + H85 Birth cohort study	Sweden (N.R.)	1,200	78.6	75-85	75-75 (768) 85-85 (432)	MINI/DSM-IV	Research nurse, Face-to-face	61.7%			SAD(2.5%)
23	Kirmiziloglu, Doğan, Kuşu & Akyüz (2009) [117]	N.R.	Turkey (N.R.)	462	N.R.	65+	65-69 (208) 70-74 (142) 75-79 (62) 80+ (50) ^a	SCID-I/DSM-IV	N.R., Face-to-face	51.3%	77.7%, one or more chronic illnesses (not specified)		GAD (6.9%); OCD (3.2%); PD (0.4%); PTSD (1.9%); SAD (2.8%); SP (11.5%)
24	Klenfeldt et al. (2014) [118]	Prospective Population study of women and the H70 Birth cohort study	Sweden (2000)	900	74.6	70-92	70-70 (559) 78-92 (341)	MINI + CPRS/ DSM-IV	Research nurse, Face-to-face	75.1%	3.3%, hospital discharge diagnosis of dementia (method not specified)		OCD (2.9%)
25	Kohn, Vicente, Saldivia, Riosoco & Torres (2008) [119]	Chile Psychiatric Prevalence Study (CPPS)	Chile (1992-1999)	352	N.R.	65+	65-74 (226) 75+ (126)	CIDI 1.0 and 1.1 + DIS for PTSD/ DSM-III Revised	Student, Face-to-face	58.5%			AGO (3.1%); GAD (0.4%); PD (0.0%); PTSD (1.5%)

Table 1. Continued

Article ID	Authors (year of publication)	Study name	Country (recruitment period)	Total sample size	Mean age	Age range total sample	Separate prevalence rates for age categories (N)	Diagnostic instrument/ Diagnostic Criteria	Interviewer, interview mode	Women	Somatic disease	Cognitive impairment	Ethnic minority	Reported prevalence rates
26	Lindesay & Banerjee (1993) [120]	N.R.	England (1990–1991)	168	N.R.	65+	N.R.	DSM-III criteria applied to information gathered with the GMS-AGECAT and Phobic disorders screen/ DSM-III	Psychiatrist Face-to-face	75.1%				AGO (6.5%); SAD (0.6%); SP(8.9%)
27	Lindesay, Briggs & Murphy (1989) [121]	Guy's Age Concern Survey	England (1986)	890	N.R.	65+	65–74 (542) 75+ (348)	Structured questions based on DSM-III criteria (not further specified)/ DSM-III	Trained lay interviewer Face-to-face	50.5%				PD (0.0%)
28	Miloyan, Byrne & Pachana (2014) [122]	National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC)	USA (2001-2002)	13,420	68.9	55–98	N.R.	AUDADIS-IV/ DSM-IV	Trained lay interviewer Face-to-face	60.0%				GAD (1.5%)
29	Mohammadi et al. (2004) [123]	N.R.	Iran (2001)	3,591	N.R.	56+	56–65	SADS/DSM-IV	Psychologist, Face-to-face	44.2%				OCD (1.3%)
30	Myers et al. (1984) [124]	Epidemiologic Catchment Area Site	USA (1980 – 1984)	2,110 ^a	N.R.	65+	N.R.	DIS/DSM-III	N.R., N.R.	61.2%				AGO (2.5%); SAD (1.5%); SP(5.6%)
31	Nilsson et al. (2012) [125]	N.R.	Sweden (2005–2006)	777	75	75–75	N.R.	MINI + CPRS/ DSM-IV	Research nurse, Face-to-Face	61.5%				GAD (4.1%)
32	Nilsson et al. (2018) [126]	H85 study	Sweden (2009)	433	85	85-85	N.R.	MINI + CPRS/ DSM-V	Research nurse, Face-to-Face	61.6%				GAD (3.3%)

Table 1. Continued

Article ID	Authors (year of publication)	Study name	Country (recruitment period)	Total sample size	Mean age	Age range total sample	Separate prevalence rates for age categories (N)	Diagnostic instrument/ Diagnostic Criteria	Interviewer, interview mode	Women Somatic disease	Cognitive impairment	Ethnic minority	Reported prevalence rates
33	Prévile (2014) [7]	Enquête sur la Santé des Aînés (ESA) Services Study	Canada (2011-2013)	1,765	73.2	65+	N.R.	N.R./DSM-IV	Health professionals Face-to-face	57.3%			PTSD (1.8%)
34	Regier et al. (1988) [127]	Epidemiologic Catchment Area Site	USA (1980-1984)	5,702	N.R.	65+	N.R.	DIS/DSM-III	N.R., N.R.	N.R.	4.9% severe cognitive impairment ('low' score on MMSE)		OCD (0.8%); PD (0.1%)
35	Reynolds, Pietrzak, El-Gabalawy, Mackenzie & Sareen (2015) [8]	National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC)	USA (2004-2005)	12,312	N.R.	55+	55-64 (5,135) 65-74 (3,634) 75-84 (2,673) 85+ (870)	AUDADIS-IV/ DSM-IV	Trained lay interviewer, Face-to-face	59.9%		34.0%, non-white	PD (1.5%); PTSD (3.9%); SAD (1.7%); SP (6.2%)
36	Ritchie et al. (2004) [9]	Etude Santé Psychologique Prévalence Risques et Traitement	France (1999-2001)	1,863	73	65-96	73	MINI/DSM-IV	Psychologists and nurses, Face-to-face	58.5%			GAD (4.6%); OCD (-0.5%); PD (0.3%); SAD (1.2%); AGO(10.4%)
37	Ritchie, Norton,Mann & Carrière (2013) [128]	Etude Santé Psychologique Prévalence Risques et Traitement	France (1999-2001)	1,968	72.8	65+	84.9	MINI/DSM-IV	Psychologists and nurses, Face-to-face	58.2%			AGO (0.6%); GAD (0.4%); OCD (0.2%); PD(0.2%)
38	Schaub & Linden (2000) [129]	Berlin Aging Study	Germany (N.R.)	516	84.9	70-103	N.R.	N.R./DSM-III R	Psychiatrist, face-to-face	50.0%			SP (10.0%)
39	Sigström et al. (2011) [130]	Prospective Population Study of Women (PPSW) and H70 Birth Cohort Study	Sweden (2000)	558	70	70-70	N.R.	MINI + CPRS/ DSM-IV	Research nurse or psychologist,Face-to-face	59.9%			

Table 1. Continued

Article ID	Authors (year of publication)	Study name	Country (recruitment period)	Total sample size	Mean age	Age range total sample	Separate prevalence rates for age categories (N)	Diagnostic instrument/ Diagnostic Criteria	Interviewer, interview mode	Women	Somatic disease	Cognitive impairment	Ethnic minority	Reported prevalence rates
40	Spitzer et al. (2008) [131]	Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP)	Germany (2002-6)	851	72.8.	65+	N.R.	SCID-I/DSM-IV	Trained lay interviewer, Face-to-face	47.2%				PTSD (1.2%)
41	Trollor, Anderson, Sachdev, Brodaty & Andrews (2007) [132]	Australian National Mental Health and Well-being Survey of (NIMHWS)	Australia (1997)	1,792	N.R.	65+	N.R.	CIDI 2.1./ DSM-IV	N.R., Face-to-face	54.5%		7.4%, MMSE-score < 24		GAD (0.9%); OCD (0.0%); PTSD (0.2%); SAD(0.2%)
42	van Zelst, de Beurs, Beekman, Deeg & van Dyck (2003) [133]	The Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam (LASA)	Netherlands (1998-1999)	422	72.4	61-95	N.R.	CIDI 2.1/ DSM-IV	Trained lay interviewer, Face-to-face	56.9%	81.6% presence of physical illness (not specified)	7.6%, MMSE-score < 24		PTSD (0.9%)
43	Wang, Berglund & Kessler (2000) [134]	Midlife Development in the United States (MIDUS)	USA (1996)	1,254	N.R.	55-74	55-64 (789) 65-74 (465)	World Health Organization-CIDI-Short Form/DSM-III R	N.R., N.R.,	N.R.				GAD (1.2%); PD (2.1%)
44	Wild et al. (2014) [135]	Epidemiologische Studie zu Chancen der Verhuetung, Fruherkennung und optimierten Therapie chronischer Erkrankungen in der aelteren Bevuelkerung (ESTHER)	Germany (2010)	438	N.R.	58-82	58-64 (123) 65-74 (231) 75-82(84)	SCID/DSM-IV	Trained lay interviewer, Telephone	55.2%				GAD (6.2%)
45	Xavier et al. (2002) [136]	N.R.	Brasil (N.R.)	58	84	80-95	N.R.	SCID Research Version/ DSM-IV	Psychologist or geriatrician, Face-to-face	N.R.			100%, Italian descent	GAD (6.9%)

Table 1. Continued

Article ID	Authors (year of publication)	Study name	Country (recruit-ment period)	Total sample size	Mean age	Age range total sample	Separate prevalence rates for age categories (N)	Diagnostic instrument/ Diagnostic Criteria	Interviewer, interview mode	Women	Somatic disease	Cognitive impairment	Ethnic minority	Reported prevalence rates
46	Xiao et al. (2016) [137]	China Longitudinal Aging Study	China	1,068	72.8	57-97	57-85(972) 86-97 (94)	SCID Research Version/ DSM-IV	Psychologist or psychiatrist, N.R.	57.8%	33.5% Mild cognitive impairment dementia based on Petersen criteria and DSM-IV criteria			GAD (0.8%)

Note. N.R.= Not Reported, AUDADIS=Alcohol use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule, CIDI=Composite International Diagnostic Interview, CPFS=Comprehensive Psychopathological Rating Scale, DIS=Diagnostic Interview Schedule, GMS-AGECAT=Geriatric Mental State-Automated Geriatric Examination for Computer Assisted Taxonomy, MINI=Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview, MMSE=Mini-mental state examination, SADS=Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia, SCAN=Schedules for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry, SCID=Structured Clinical Diagnostic Interview, SIDAM= Structured Interview for the Diagnosis of Alzheimer's Dementia, Multi-infarct dementia and dementias of other etiology. ^a For panic disorder the prevalence rates for the different age groups were not reported.

^b Prevalence rates for social anxiety disorder based on smaller sample of 1187 participants.

Table 2. Included studies reporting prevalence rates for subthreshold anxiety

Article ID	Authors (year of publication)	Diagnostic instrument / Diagnostic Criteria	Definition	Prevalence
13	Grenier et al. [20]	Adapted version of DIS and CIDI (ESA-Q) / DSM-IV	AGO, GAD, OCD, PD, SAD, SP: Fulfilling DSM-IV symptom criteria for a specific anxiety disorder but reporting no significant disabilities in social functioning, or reporting symptoms of anxiety (e.g., anticipations, sweating, palpitations) not meeting symptom criteria for a disorder AGO: Unreasonable fear in places/situations from which it is difficult to leave; lack of avoidance or of symptoms of anxiety.	AGO (4.2%) GAD (3.0%) OCD (1.6%) PD (2.6%) SAD (1.3%) SP (7.8%)
17	Heun, Papassotiropoulos & Prok [111]	CIDI/DSM-III R	PD: Presence of acute unexpected anxiety attacks, one to four physical symptoms. SAD: Persistent fear of situations in which the person is exposed to social interactions; lack of avoidance or social consequences.	AGO (0.7%) PD (1.7%) SAD (1.4%) SP (8.4%)
22	Karlsson et al. [115]	MINI / DSM-IV	SP: Persistent fear of circumscribed stimulus, lack of avoidance or consequences. SAD: Persistent fear of situations in which the person is exposed to social interactions (criterion A is fulfilled). The situations are avoided or endured with intense anxiety or distress (criterion D is fulfilled). The fear does not worsen functioning in social situations, nor does it cause considerable distress (criterion E is not fulfilled).	SAD (11.5%)
28	Miloyan, Byrne & Pachana (2014) [122]	AUDADIS-IV / DSM-IV	GAD: six or more months of worry, tension, or nervousness, without meeting criteria for a DSM anxiety disorder.	GAD (7.2%)
33	Préville (2014) [7]	N.R. / DSM-IV	PTSD: At least one symptom from each of the three DSM-IV symptom clusters for the last six months, with or without the presence of impairment in social functioning.	PTSD (1.8%)

Table 2. Continued

Article ID	Authors (year of publication)	Diagnostic instrument / Diagnostic Criteria	Definition	Prevalence
42	van Zelst, de Beurs, Beekman, Deeg & van Dyck [133]	CIDI 2.1 / DSM-IV	PTSD: A Self-Rating Inventory for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (SRIP; scale is based on DSM-IV criteria) score of 39 or higher, without meeting all DSM-IV criteria.	PTSD (13.1%)

Note. AGO=Agoraphobia, GAD=Generalized Anxiety Disorder, OCD=Obsessive Compulsive Disorder, PD=Panic Disorder, PTSD=Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, SAD=Social Anxiety Disorder, SP=Specific Phobia, N.R.= Not reported, AUDADIS=Alcohol use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule, CIDI=Composite International Diagnostic Interview, DIS=Diagnostic Interview Schedule, MINI=Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview, SCAN=Schedules for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry.

Table 3. Pooled prevalence rates (percentages) and pooled risk ratios of anxiety disorders and subthreshold anxiety in adults aged 55 and older

Disorder	Subthreshold anxiety				Anxiety disorders				Comparison prevalence rates			
	Number of studies (N)	Pooled prevalence [95% CI]	I ² [95% CI]	Q	Number of studies (N)	Pooled prevalence [95% CI]	I ² [95% CI]	Q	Number of studies (N)	Pooled risk ratio [95% CI] ^a	I ²	Q
AGO	2 (3,070)	1.97% [0.34-10.59]	84.69 [23.06-99.74]	6.53** (0.01)	19 (38,965)	1.62% [0.93-2.81]	98.06% [96.42-99.20]	755.73**	2 (3,070)	3.29 [0.16-67.66]	94.22% [70.98-99.73]	17.31***
GAD	2 (16,204)	1.42% [1.12-1.79]	48.17 [0.00-99.95]	1.93	25 (47,355)	2.32% [1.72-3.12]	95.55 [92.68-98.23]	478.69**	2 (16,204)	3.49*** [1.90-6.43]	92.48% [62.20-99.99]	13.29***
OCD	1 (2,784)	1.06% ^b	-	-	15 (28,613)	0.89% [0.58-1.35]	89.29% [80.44-97.89]	87.79**	1 (2,784)	1.07 ^b [0.79-1.45]	-	-
PD	2 (3,070)	2.55% [2.05-3.18]	0.00 [0.00-99.88]	0.79	22 (56,969)	0.76% [0.50-1.16]	94.65% [89.79-97.79]	294.06**	2 (3,070)	4.10*** [2.71-6.21]	0.00% [0.00-97.95]	0.05
PTSD	2 (2,187)	5.01% [0.67-29.10]	98.80% [93.96-99.97]	83.14**	12 (25,049)	1.57% [1.13-2.18]	87.63% [72.89-97.02]	132.15**	2 (2,187)	3.62 [0.29-45.77]	96.03% [80.06-99.87]	25.20***
SAD	3 (3,984)	2.96% [0.68-11.92]	98.00% [92.30-99.95]	143.28**	21 (50,651)	1.23% [0.90-1.67]	92.01% [86.32-97.61]	145.21**	3 (3,984)	4.72 [0.94-23.67]	89.86% [57.71-99.77]	14.95***
SP	2 (3,070)	7.88% [6.98-8.89]	0.00% [0.00-99.13]	0.11	14 (29,901)	5.40% [3.55-8.14]	98.34% [96.71-99.49]	332.93**	2 (3,070)	5.63*** [2.05-15.46]	60.87% [0.00-99.76]	2.56

Note. N = total sample size of the samples, AGO=Agoraphobia, GAD=Generalized Anxiety Disorder, OCD=Obsessive Compulsive Disorder, PD=Panic Disorder, PTSD=Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, SAD=Social Anxiety Disorder, SP=Specific Phobia.

^a A value larger than one means the odds of having a subthreshold disorder is larger than the odds of a having threshold anxiety disorder.

^b Not a summary effect size; estimate based on one sample.

*** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$

Table 4. Results of subgroup analyses comparing prevalence rates for anxiety disorders in four age categories of older adults

Disorder	Subgroup results										Test of moderation Q-between (df)	
	Total pooled prevalence rate [95% CI]	Q	I ²	Pooled prevalence 55-64 [95% CI]	Pooled prevalence 65-74 [95% CI]	Pooled prevalence 75-84 [95% CI]	Pooled prevalence 85+ [95% CI]	I ² 75-84	I ² 85+	Test of moderation (df)		
AGO	1.15% [0.62-2.11]	76.01**	88.67% [71.09-96.46]	1.06% [0.25-4.33]	75.62% [0.0-94.5]	1.16% [0.41-3.27]	93.31% [86.1-96.8]	1.44% [0.30-6.56]	89.33% [60.2-97.1]	0.62% [0.06-6.54]	0% [0.0-0.0]	0.35 (3)
GAD	2.98% [2.13-4.14]	248.77**	91.34% [84.39-96.24]	3.39% [1.67 - 6.76]	76.05% [41.5-90.2]	2.92% [1.62-5.20]	95.22% [92.6-96.9]	3.15% [1.68-5.82]	88.75% [79.3-93.9]	1.83% [0.61-5.40]	0% [0.0-84.2]	0.92 (3)
OCD	1.07% [0.58-1.99]	40.69**	83.53% [59.20-96.60]	0.93% [0.40 - 2.14]	72.00% [52.1-91.7]	2.15% [1.10-4.16]	75.32% [31.7-91.1]	0.57% [0.21-1.52]	0.00% [0.0-85.7]	0.001 ^a [0.00-15.96]	-	5.46(2)
PD	1.29% [0.93-1.80]	150.11**	88.08% [76.80-95.42]	1.99% [1.18 - 3.36]	89.84% [80.6-94.7]	0.99% [0.58-1.69]	88.48% [79.6-93.5]	1.08% [0.48 - 2.41]	6.92% [0.0-90.3]	0.90% [0.29-2.72]	0% [0.0-0.0]	4.13 (3)
PTSD	2.90% [2.03-4.12]	62.33**	88.85% [63.84-97.38]	5.47 ^a % [4.88-6.13]	-	2.89% [2.43-3.45]	0.00% [0.0-86.1]	3.00% [2.42-3.71]	0.00% [0.0-0.0]	1.61 ^a % [0.96-2.70]	-	60.82** (3)
SAD	1.71% [1.25-2.32]	125.53**	88.89% [75.53-95.51]	2.94% [1.70- 5.02]	94.11% [86.2-97.5]	1.66% [1.08-2.55]	83.83% [66.3-92.2]	1.38% [0.80-2.38]	70.45% [24.8-88.4]	0.81% [0.29-2.19]	0% [0.0-81.4]	6.54(3)
SP	5.97% [4.73-7.51]	131.58**	91.33% [80.38-96.43]	8.59% [5.70-12.77]	0.00% [0.0-0.0]	7.13% [5.39-9.37]	91.02% [82.0-95.5]	4.43% [3.06-6.38]	0.00% [0.0-64.0]	3.72% [2.19-6.25]	0% [0.0-77.9]	10.31** (3)

Note. AGO=Agoraphobia, GAD=Generalized Anxiety Disorder, OCD=Obsessive Compulsive Disorder, PD=Panic Disorder, PTSD=Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, SAD=Social Anxiety Disorder, SP=Specific Phobia.

^a These are not summary effect sizes; estimate based on one sample.

*** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$

Discussion

This article used a systematic review and meta-analyses to examine how prevalence rates of subthreshold anxiety compare to rates for anxiety disorders and if prevalence rates for later life anxiety differ between age groups of older adults.

Main findings

Statistical comparison of prevalence rates, using pooled risk ratios, showed that for generalized anxiety, panic and specific phobia, subthreshold symptomatology was significantly more prevalent than the corresponding clinical disorder. For the other types of anxiety, pooled risk ratios showed prevalence rates for subthreshold anxiety and anxiety disorders to not be significantly different. Although the results from this meta-analysis do not allow for strong conclusions due to the small number of included samples and large heterogeneity in the estimates, they do suggest that subthreshold anxiety is at least similarly prevalent to anxiety disorders. The combination of this finding with earlier studies showing subthreshold anxiety in older adults to be associated with decreased well-being, social functioning and physical health [20,22], indicates that subthreshold anxiety in later life is both a prevalent and clinically relevant phenomenon for which adequate detection and treatment is required. However, for a comprehensive understanding of subthreshold anxiety in older adults, more methodologically rigorous studies are needed. First and foremost, researchers and clinicians should work towards comprehensive definitions of the different types of subthreshold anxiety that can be used across studies. All studies on subthreshold anxiety included in this review used different definitions, which probably largely explains the substantial heterogeneity.

Regarding age trends in prevalence rates, for most anxiety disorders (agoraphobia, GAD, OCD, panic disorder and SAD) pooled prevalence rates did not significantly differ between age groups of older adults. The lack of statistical significance may be due to the low statistical power of the analyses caused by the small number of samples in the subgroups. Pooled prevalence rates for specific phobia and PTSD were found to differ significantly between age groups. For specific phobia, the 75-84 and 85+ groups had lower prevalence rates than both the 55-64 and 65-74 group. One explanation for the lower rates of specific phobia in the oldest groups is that diagnostic instruments do not adequately address fears typical to these age groups, most notably fear of falling. Prevalence estimates for fear of falling in older adults range between 21% and 85% and increase with age [141]. Other specific fears that may be relatively common in

the oldest groups are a fear of crime/aggression and the use of modern technology [142-144]. If diagnostic instruments would explicitly assess such age-related fears, prevalence estimates for specific phobia might be higher in the oldest groups. For PTSD, the prevalence rate for the 55-64 group was higher than that for all other age groups, while the 85+ group had a significantly lower rate than the other groups. It could be speculated that an age-related decrease in PTSD prevalence partly reflects the natural course of recovery from trauma. Assuming that most traumatic events happen when people are younger adults, lower PTSD rates in the oldest adults could be reflective of a longer recovery time since the traumatic event [145,146].

Other explanations for an age-related decrease of specific phobia and PTSD are not disorder specific and could also account for the finding that prevalence rates were lowest in the 85+ group for all anxiety disorders (although not significantly lower in most cases). First, lower rates in the oldest groups of older adults could reflect a survivor effect, of older adults without anxiety generally living longer than their anxious peers [147]. Furthermore, it could be argued that age-related obstacles in adequately assessing anxiety disorders (i.e., overlap of anxiety symptoms and physical/cognitive conditions, underestimation of functional impairment due to lower societal expectations) increase with age and are therefore strongest in the oldest groups, leading to structural underdiagnosing. Third, community samples might not adequately represent the oldest adults, who are increasingly characterized by physical and neurodegenerative diseases and associated institutional care [1]. As community samples typically do not include institutionalized people, they might seriously underestimate the prevalence of anxiety disorders in the oldest groups. A systematic review of 18 studies on older adults in nursing homes and residential care facilities (mean age in the study samples ranged from 74.9 years to 86.2 years) indeed concluded that anxiety disorders appear to be more prevalent among old age care residents than community-dwelling older adults [148].

Sensitivity subgroup analyses without outliers replicated the results from the main analyses for most disorders, but for OCD, it resulted in a significant difference in pooled prevalence rates for OCD between age groups, with higher rates being reported for adults aged 65-74 than for those aged 55-64 and 75-84. More research into the prevalence of later life OCD is required to see if this finding replicates and -if so- to examine how a prevalence peak in the 65-74 years old could be explained.

For none of the anxiety disorders subgroup analyses resulted in 4 groups of homogeneous prevalence estimates, although for the 85+ and 75-84 groups hetero-

geneity was low in most cases. It is understandable that a categorization of samples based solely on their age range did not explain all heterogeneity in prevalence rates, as variation in reported rates is most likely caused by a combination of multiple study- and participant characteristics. Therefore, the current study set out to also explore interactions between age and other methodological/participant factors. Unfortunately, due to the limited amount of reported information in the included articles, interactions could not be explored extensively. The available information only allowed for the examination of interactions between age on the one hand, and sex and DSM-criteria on the other. These interactions were non-significant, which could be due to the lack of statistical power from the small number of included samples. To comprehensively investigate the association between age and the prevalence of anxiety disorders in later life, studies in older adults should consistently report descriptive information for different age groups within their sample.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, the small number of samples that could be used in answering our research questions influenced the confidence intervals and limits the statistical power of the analyses and generalizability of the results. For most types of anxiety, only 2 articles reported rates for both subthreshold symptomatology and the corresponding clinical disorder. Furthermore, no article reported prevalence rates for subthreshold anxiety in different age groups of older adults. For anxiety disorders, the age-based subgroups also consisted of a small number of samples, with the largest subgroup being comprised of eight samples. Importantly, only 5 articles reported prevalence rates for the 85+ group, which were mostly obtained in small sample. Large-scale epidemiological studies focused on the 85+ segment are required, especially since in many countries the oldest-old are the fastest growing part of the total population [1].

A second limitation concerns the subgroup analyses, in which subgroups were created based solely on the age of participants in the samples. This approach does not account for cohort effects, while these are likely to have also contributed to differences in reported prevalence estimates, as the included articles were published between 1984 and 2019. For a comprehensive understanding of the relation between age and prevalence rates of later life anxiety, age and cohort effects should be separated.

Third, we only included community samples, which limits the generalizability of the findings, especially with regard to the oldest-old.

Last, while 4 electronic databases were screened and an additional hand-search was conducted, it is possible that some relevant publications have not been included in the review and meta-analyses. For instance, publications in languages other than Dutch and English and non-peer reviewed articles were not included.

Conclusion and implications

This article provided an overview and integration of articles reporting prevalence rates for anxiety disorders and subthreshold anxiety in older adults and highlighted gaps and shortcomings in the literature. The findings from the meta-analyses suggest that subthreshold anxiety is similarly or more prevalent than anxiety disorders, although the small number of included samples and large heterogeneity between them precludes firm conclusions. As the currently available evidence suggests that subthreshold anxiety in older adults is both prevalent and clinically relevant, it should be studied more extensively. A first step is for researchers to work towards comprehensive definitions and operationalizations of subthreshold anxiety that can be used across studies.

Regarding the association between the prevalence of later life anxiety disorders and age, the subgroup analyses do not allow for strong conclusions due to the small number of included samples. Results suggest that specific phobia is more common in older adults aged 55-74 than in those aged 75 years and older. Furthermore, PTSD seems to be most prevalent in adults aged 55-64 and least prevalent in the 85+ group. We recommend future studies in older adults to consistently report information for different age categories. Furthermore, longitudinal studies following different cohorts of older adults are needed for a better understanding of the association between age and the prevalence of anxiety in later life. Such studies are time intensive and financially demanding, but as the number of older adults is steadily growing worldwide, high-quality studies into how people progress throughout later life are invaluable.

Appendix 1

Final search string Psychinfo

(DE "Anxiety" OR DE "Anxiety Disorders" OR DE "Generalized Anxiety Disorder" OR DE "Obsessive Compulsive Disorder" OR DE "Panic Disorder" OR DE "Post-Traumatic Stress" OR DE "Panic Attack" OR DE "Social Anxiety" OR DE "Social Phobia" OR DE "Agoraphobia" OR TI anxiety OR TI "anxiety disorder*" OR TI "anxiety symptom*" OR TI "subthreshold anxiety" OR TI "subsyndromal anxiety" OR TI "subclinical anxiety" OR TI "specific phobia" OR TI "simple phobia" OR TI "Phobic disorder*" OR TI "generalized anxiety disorder" OR TI agoraphobia OR TI "panic disorder" OR TI "panic attack*" OR TI "social phobia" OR TI "social anxiety disorder" OR TI "obsessive compulsive disorder" OR TI "obsessive-compulsive disorder" OR TI "Posttraumatic Stress Disorder" OR TI "Post-traumatic Stress Disorder" OR TI "GAD" OR TI "OCD" OR TI "PTSD" OR TI panic OR TI worry OR AB anxiety OR AB "anxiety disorder*" OR AB "anxiety symptom*" OR AB "subthreshold anxiety" OR AB "subsyndromal anxiety" OR AB "subclinical anxiety" OR AB "specific phobia" OR AB "simple phobia" OR AB "Phobic disorder*" OR AB "generalized anxiety disorder" OR AB agoraphobia OR AB "panic disorder" OR AB "panic attack*" OR AB "social phobia" OR AB "social anxiety disorder" OR AB "obsessive compulsive disorder" OR AB "obsessive-compulsive disorder" OR AB "Posttraumatic Stress Disorder" OR AB "Post-traumatic Stress Disorder" OR AB "GAD" OR AB "OCD" OR AB "PTSD" OR AB panic OR AB worry OR KW anxiety OR KW "anxiety disorder*" OR KW "anxiety symptom*" OR KW "subthreshold anxiety" OR KW "subsyndromal anxiety" OR KW "subclinical anxiety" OR KW "specific phobia" OR KW "simple phobia" OR KW "Phobic disorder*" OR KW "generalized anxiety disorder" OR KW agoraphobia OR KW "panic disorder" OR KW "panic attack*" OR KW "social phobia" OR KW "social anxiety disorder" OR KW "obsessive compulsive disorder" OR KW "obsessive-compulsive disorder" OR KW "Posttraumatic Stress Disorder" OR KW "Post-traumatic Stress Disorder" or KW "GAD" OR KW "OCD" OR KW "PTSD" OR KW panic OR KW worry)

AND

(DE "epidemiology" OR TI prevalen* OR TI incidence OR TI occurrence OR TI epidemiology OR TI frequency OR AB epidemiology OR AB prevalen* OR AB incidence OR AB occurrence OR AB frequency OR KW epidemiology OR KW prevalen* OR KW incidence OR KW occurrence OR KW frequency)

AND

(TI "older adults" OR TI elder* OR TI senior* OR TI geriatric* OR TI aging OR TI "older people" OR TI "late* life" OR TI midlife OR AB "older adults" OR AB elder* OR

AB senior* OR AB geriatric* OR AB aging OR AB “older people” OR AB “late* life” OR AB midlife OR KW “older adults” OR KW elder* OR KW senior* OR KW geriatric* OR KW aging OR KW “older people” OR KW “late* life” or KW midlife)

Filters

- Publication year: 1952 – 2020
- Source types: Academic Journals
- Language: English
- Age: adulthood (18 yrs & older), aged (65 yrs & older), middle age (40-64 yrs), very old (85 yrs & older)

Final search string Cochrane

(Prevalence [mesh] OR incidence [mesh] OR epidemiology [mesh] OR prevalen* [ti,ab,kw] OR incidence [ti,ab,kw] OR epidemiology [ti,ab,kw] OR occurrence [ti,ab,kw] OR frequency [ti,ab,kw])

AND

(Anxiety [ti,ab,kw] OR “anxiety disorder*” [ti,ab,kw] OR “anxiety symptom*” [ti,ab,kw] OR “subclinical anxiety” [ti,ab,kw] OR “subthreshold anxiety” [ti,ab,kw] OR “subsyndromal anxiety” [ti,ab,kw] OR “specific phobia” [ti,ab,kw] OR “simple phobia” [ti,ab,kw] OR “phobic disorder*” [ti,ab,kw] OR “generalized anxiety disorder” [ti,ab,kw] OR “GAD” [ti,ab,kw] OR “agoraphobia” [ti,ab,kw] OR “panic disorder” [ti,ab,kw] OR “panic attack*” [ti,ab,kw] OR “social phobia” OR “social anxiety disorder” or OCD [ti,ab,kw] OR “obsessive-compulsive disorder” [ti,ab,kw] OR “Obsessive compulsive disorder” [ti,ab,kw] OR “Posttraumatic stress disorder” [ti,ab,kw] OR “Post-traumatic stress disorder” [ti,ab,kw] OR PTSD [ti,ab,kw] OR panic [ti,ab,kw] OR worry [ti,ab,kw] OR anxiety [mesh] OR anxiety disorders [mesh] OR Phobic disorders [mesh] OR Phobia, Social [mesh] OR Panic disorder [mesh] OR Agoraphobia [mesh] OR Obsessive-compulsive disorder [mesh] OR Panic [mesh] OR Stress Disorders, Post-traumatic [mesh])

AND

(“older adults” [ti,ab,kw] OR elder* [ti,ab,kw] OR aging [ti,ab,kw] OR senior* [ti,ab,kw] OR geriatric* [ti,ab,kw] OR: “older people” [ti,ab,kw] OR “late* life” [ti,ab,kw] OR midlife [ti,ab,kw] OR OR Aged [mesh] OR Aging [mesh] OR Aged, 80 and over [mesh] OR Middle Aged [mesh])

Final search string Pubmed

“Anxiety”[Majr:NoExp]) OR “Anxiety Disorders”[Majr:NoExp]) OR “Phobic Disorders”[Majr:NoExp]) OR “Phobia, Social”[Majr:NoExp]) OR “Panic Disorder”[Majr:NoExp]) OR “Agoraphobia”[Majr:NoExp]) OR “Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder”[Majr:NoExp]) OR “Panic”[Majr:NoExp]) OR “Stress Disorders, Post-Traumatic”[Majr:NoExp])

anxiety[Title/Abstract]OR“anxiety disorder”[Title/Abstract]OR“anxiety symptom”[Title/Abstract] OR “subthreshold anxiety”[Title/Abstract] OR “subsyndromal anxiety”[Title/Abstract] OR “subclinical anxiety”[Title/Abstract] OR “specific phobia”[Title/Abstract] OR “simple phobia”[Title/Abstract] OR “phobic disorder”[Title/Abstract] OR “generalized anxiety disorder”[Title/Abstract] OR agoraphobia[Title/Abstract] OR “panic disorder”[Title/Abstract] OR “panic attack”[Title/Abstract] OR “social phobia”[Title/Abstract] OR “social anxiety disorder”[Title/Abstract] OR “obsessive compulsive disorder”[Title/Abstract]OR“obsessive-compulsive disorder”[Title/Abstract]OR“Posttraumatic Stress Disorder”[Title/Abstract] OR “Post-traumatic Stress Disorder”[Title/Abstract] OR “GAD”[Title/Abstract] OR “OCD”[Title/Abstract] OR “PTSD”[Title/Abstract] OR panic[Title/Abstract] OR worry[Title/Abstract]

“Prevalence”[Majr:NoExp]) OR “Incidence”[Majr:NoExp]) OR “Epidemiology”[Majr:NoExp])

Prevalen*[Title/Abstract] OR incidence[Title/Abstract] OR epidemiology[Title/Abstract] OR occurrence[Title/Abstract] OR frequency[Title/Abstract]

“Aged”[Majr:NoExp]) OR (“Aging”[Majr:NoExp]) OR (“Aged, 80 and over”[Majr:NoExp]) OR “Middle aged”[Majr:NoExp])

“older adults”[Title/Abstract] OR elder*[Title/Abstract] OR senior*[Title/Abstract] OR geriatric*[Title/Abstract] OR “older people”[Title/Abstract] OR “late* life”[Title/Abstract] OR midlife[Title/Abstract] OR aging [Title/Abstract]

(#1 OR #2) AND (#3 OR #4) AND (#5 OR #6)

Filters

- Year of publication: 1952 – 2020
- Language: English
- Humans
- Age:
 - Adult: 19+ years
 - Adult: 19-44 years
 - Middle Aged + Aged: 45+ years

- Middle Aged: 45-64 years
- Aged: 65+ years
- 80 and over: 80+ years

Final search string Web of Science

1 TI= (anxiety OR "anxiety disorder*" OR "anxiety symptom*" OR "subthreshold anxiety" OR "subsyndromal anxiety" OR "subclinical anxiety" OR "specific phobia" OR "simple phobia" OR "Phobic Disorder*" OR "generalized anxiety disorder" OR "agoraphobia" OR "panic disorder" OR "panic attack*" OR "social phobia" OR "social anxiety disorder" OR "obsessive compulsive disorder" OR "obsessive-compulsive disorder" OR "Posttraumatic Stress Disorder" OR "Post-traumatic Stress Disorder" OR "GAD" OR "OCD" OR "PTSD" OR panic OR worry)

2 TS= (anxiety OR "anxiety disorder*" OR "anxiety symptom*" OR "subthreshold anxiety" OR "subsyndromal anxiety" OR "subclinical anxiety" OR "specific phobia" OR "simple phobia" OR "Phobic Disorder*" OR "generalized anxiety disorder" OR "agoraphobia" OR "panic disorder" OR "panic attack*" OR "social phobia" OR "social anxiety disorder" OR "obsessive compulsive disorder" OR "obsessive-compulsive disorder" OR "Posttraumatic Stress Disorder" OR "Post-traumatic Stress Disorder" OR "GAD" OR "OCD" OR "PTSD" OR panic OR worry)

3 TI=("older adults" OR elder* OR senior* OR geriatric* OR aging OR "older people" OR "late* life" OR midlife)

4 TI=(prevalen* OR incidence OR epidemiology OR occurrence OR frequency)
(#1 OR #2) AND (#3) AND (#4)

Filters

- Language: English
- Document types: articles
- Year of publication: 1952-2020

Appendix 2

Table A1. Articles included in the subgroup analyses

Disorder	Articles (IDs*) reporting prevalence rates for age category 55-64 (N)	Articles (IDs) reporting prevalence rates for age category 65-74 (N)	Articles (IDs) reporting prevalence rates for age category 75-84(N)	Articles (IDs) reporting prevalence rates for age category 85+ (N)
AGO	4, 7 (6,170)	1, 4, 7, 24 (5,859)	1, 4 (960)	1,4 (174)
GAD	2, 4, 12, 43, 44 (4,180)	1, 2, 4, 12, 23, 25, 43, 44 (4,806)	1, 2, 4, 12, 23, 31, 44 (3,806)	1, 4, 32, 46 (701)
OCD	2, 3, 28 (3,259)	1, 2, 23, 24 (2,570)	1, 2, 23 (1,634)	1 ^a (52)
PD	2, 3, 4, 5, 35, 43 (13,421)	1, 2, 4, 7, 25, 27, 35, 43, (11,454)	1, 4, 35 (3,633)	1, 3, 35 (1,044)
PTSD	35 ^a (5,135)	23, 25, 34 (4,210)	23, 35 (2,735)	35 ^a (870)
SAD	4, 7, 35 (11,305)	1, 4, 7, 21, 23, 35 (10,179)	1, 4, 22, 23, 35 (4,895)	1, 4, 35 (1,044)
SP	4, 35 (6,249)	1, 4, 23, 35, 39 (6,062)	1, 4, 23, 35 (3,695)	1, 4, 35 (1,044)

Note. AGO=Agoraphobia, GAD=Generalized Anxiety Disorder, OCD=Obsessive Compulsive Disorder,

PD=Panic Disorder, PTSD=Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, SAD=Social Anxiety Disorder, SP=Specific Phobia.

* See Table 1 (main text) for articles and their assigned ID number.

Appendix 3

Table A1. Results of subgroup analyses of age categories without outliers

Disorder	Total group				Subgroup results							Test of moderation G-between (df)
	Total pooled prevalence rate [95% CI]	Q	I ²	Pooled prevalence 55-64 [95% CI]	Pooled prevalence 55-64 [95% CI]	Pooled prevalence 65-74 [95% CI]	Pooled prevalence 65-74 [95% CI]	Pooled prevalence 75-84 [95% CI]	Pooled prevalence 75-84 [95% CI]	Pooled prevalence 85+ [95% CI]	I ² 85+	
GAD	3.09% [2.20-4.32]	230.26**	91.37% [84.29-96.43]	4.17% [1.92 - 8.84]	2.92% [1.63-5.18]	2.92% [1.63-5.18]	95.22% [92.6-96.9]	3.15% [1.69-5.80]	88.75% [79.3-93.9]	1.84% [0.61-5.38]	0.00% [0.0-84.2]	1.50(3)
OCD	1.22% [0.68-2.18]	35.59**	82.04% [53.42-96.00]	1.05% [0.53 - 2.04]	2.58% [1.52-4.37]	2.58% [1.52-4.37]	64.76% [0.00-89.9]	0.55% [0.23-1.31]	0.00% [0.0-85.7]	0.001% ^a [0.000-14.68]	-	10.34** (3)
PD	1.12% [0.84-1.49]	92.10**	79.69% [57.75-93.02]	1.68% [1.09 - 2.57]	0.80% [0.51-1.26]	0.80% [0.51-1.26]	79.54% [58.1-90.0]	1.07% [0.57-1.99]	6.92% [0.0-90.3]	0.90% [0.36-2.27]	0.00% [0.0-0.0]	5.73(3)
SAD	1.52% [1.14-2.02]	69.42**	82.73% [58.15-93.87]	2.24% [1.29 - 3.88]	1.66% [1.15-2.41]	1.66% [1.15-2.41]	83.83% [66.3-92.2]	1.08% [0.60-1.93]	0.00% [0.0-71.7]	0.80% [0.31-2.01]	0% [0.0-81.4]	5.32(3)

Note. GAD=Generalized Anxiety Disorder, OCD=Obsessive Compulsive Disorder, PD=Panic Disorder, SAD=Social Anxiety Disorder.

^a Not a summary effect size; based on one sample

** $p < 0.01$.