

Impact of clinical characteristics and statins on coronary plaque progression by serial computed tomography angiography

Smit, J.M.; Rosendael, A.R. van; Mahdiui, M. el; Neglia, D.; Knuuti, J.; Saraste, A.; ... ; Scholte, A.J.

Citation

Smit, J. M., Rosendael, A. R. van, Mahdiui, M. el, Neglia, D., Knuuti, J., Saraste, A., … Scholte, A. J. (2020). Impact of clinical characteristics and statins on coronary plaque progression by serial computed tomography angiography. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging*, *13*(3). doi:10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.119.009750

Version: Publisher's Version License: [Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3185151>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Impact of Clinical Characteristics and Statins on Coronary Plaque Progression by Serial Computed Tomography Angiography

BACKGROUND: Progression of coronary artery disease using serial coronary computed tomography angiography (CTA) is of clinical interest. Our primary aim was to prospectively assess the impact of clinical characteristics and statin use on quantitatively assessed coronary plaque progression in a low-risk study population during long-term follow-up.

METHODS: Patients who previously underwent coronary CTA for suspected coronary artery disease were prospectively included to undergo follow-up coronary CTA. The primary end point was coronary artery disease progression, defined as the absolute annual increase in total, calcified, and noncalcified plaque volume by quantitative CTA analysis.

RESULTS: In total, 202 patients underwent serial coronary CTA with a mean interscan period of 6.2 ± 1.4 years. On a per-plaque basis, increasing age (β=0.070; *P*=0.058) and hypertension (β=1.380; *P*=0.075) were nonsignificantly associated with annual total plaque progression. Male sex (β=1.676; *P*=0.009), diabetes mellitus (β=1.725; *P*=0.012), and statin use (β=1.498; *P*=0.046) showed an independent association with annual progression of calcified plaque. While hypertension (β=2.259; *P*=0.015) was an independent determinant of noncalcified plaque progression, statin use (β=−2.178; *P*=0.050) was borderline significantly associated with a reduced progression of noncalcified plaque.

CONCLUSIONS: Statin use was associated with an increased progression of calcified coronary plaque and a reduced progression of noncalcified coronary plaque, potentially reflecting calcification of the noncalcified plaque component. Whereas hypertension was the only modifiable risk factor predictive of noncalcified plaque progression, diabetes mellitus mainly led to an increase in calcified plaque. These findings could yield the need for intensified preventive treatment of patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension to slow and stabilize coronary artery disease progression and improve clinical outcome.

Jeff M. Smit, MD Alexander R. van Rosendael, MD Mohammed El Mahdiui, MD Danilo Neglia, MD, PhD Juhani Knuuti, MD, PhD Antti Saraste, MD, PhD Ronny R. Buechel, MD, PhD Anna Teresinska, PhD Maria N. Pizzi, MD, PhD Albert Roque, MD Rosa Poddighe, MD, PhD Bart J. Mertens, PhD Chiara Caselli, MD, PhD Silvia Rocchiccioli, PhD Oberdan Parodi, MD, PhD Gualtiero Pelosi, MD, PhD Arthur J. Scholte, MD, PhD

Key Words: computed tomography angiography ■ coronary artery disease ■ diabetes mellitus ■ male ■ risk factor

© 2020 American Heart Association, Inc.

https://www.ahajournals.org/journal/ circimaging

CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE

Progression of coronary artery disease using serial coronary computed tomography angiography is of clinical interest. In the present study, we prospectively assessed the impact of clinical characteristics and statin use on quantitatively assessed coronary plaque progression in a low-risk study population during long-term follow-up. For this purpose, patients who previously underwent coronary computed tomography angiography for suspected coronary artery disease were prospectively included to undergo follow-up coronary computed tomography angiography. We demonstrated that statin use was associated with an increased progression of calcified coronary plaque and a reduced progression of noncalcified coronary plaque. Whereas hypertension was the only modifiable risk factor predictive of noncalcified plaque progression, diabetes mellitus mainly led to an increase in calcified plaque. The present findings significantly add to our current knowledge on the long-term effects of clinical characteristics and statin use on coronary plaque progression. It could be hypothesized that the increase in coronary calcification represents a healing mechanism of statins, whereby coronary plaques become increasingly stabilized through calcification of the necrotic core. In addition, our study findings could yield the need for intensified preventive treatment of patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension to slow and stabilize coronary artery disease progression and improve clinical outcome.

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is the leading cause of mortality and disability-adjusted life-years lost worldwide.1 Multiple studies have evaluated the natural history of CAD and its responsiveness to medical therapy using serial invasive coronary angiography or intravascular ultrasound.²⁻⁶ Coronary computed tomography angiography (CTA) has rapidly emerged as a tool to noninvasively evaluate coronary artery plaque with high diagnostic certainty.⁷⁻⁹ Therefore, it has become of increased interest to study the progression of CAD using serial coronary CTA. Although prior studies have evaluated coronary plaque progression by serial coronary CTA, most studies were limited by a short follow-up duration, retrospective design, or qualitative approach.10–15 Moreover, little is known about the impact of clinical characteristics on coronary plaque progression in relation to statin use. Accordingly, our aim was to prospectively assess the impact of clinical characteristics and statin use on quantitatively assessed coronary plaque progression in a low-risk study population during long-term follow-up.

METHODS

Study Design

The Horizon 2020 funded SMARTool (Simulation Modeling of coronary Artery disease: a tool for clinical decision support) Project is a prospective, multicenter study in patients who underwent serial coronary CTA.16 White patients were included by 7 centers from 5 European countries. The study protocol was approved by all local ethical committees, all patients gave their written informed consent to participate in the study and the procedures followed were in accordance with institutional guidelines. The authors declare that all supporting data are available within the article and its files in the Data Supplement.

Patients

Patients who previously underwent coronary CTA for suspected CAD, as part of the EVINCI (Evaluation of Integrated Cardiac Imaging for the Detection and Characterization of Ischemic Heart Disease; FP7-222915; n=152) or ARTreat (FP7- 224297; n=18) clinical studies, were prospectively included to undergo follow-up coronary CTA. Additionally, patients who underwent coronary CTA in the period 2009 to 2012 for clinical indications (n=32) and were not originally included in the EVINCI and ARTreat studies were also prospectively included. A full list of inclusion and exclusion criteria is provided in the Data Supplement. The baseline characteristics of excluded patients without (visually assessed) atherosclerosis development at follow-up are shown in Table I in the Data Supplement. In total, 275 patients were enrolled in the SMARTool Project, 263 patients underwent follow-up coronary CTA, and 202 patients were included in the current study (Figure 1). For all patients, clinical and blood data were collected before the baseline and follow-up coronary CTA.

Coronary CTA Analysis

Coronary CTA was performed according to a predefined standard operating procedure to ensure optimal image quality (see Data Supplement). All baseline and follow-up coronary CTA images were analyzed blinded to clinical data by a separate core laboratory (Leiden University Medical Center). Coronary arteries were assessed according to the modified 17-segment American Heart Association classification.¹⁷ First, a visual, side-by-side analysis of the baseline and followup coronary CTAs was performed to assess the presence, location, severity, and composition of coronary plaques. Subsequently, quantitative CTA analysis was performed for all visually determined plaques, using a dedicated software package (QAngio CT Research Edition version 3.1.2.0). Baseline and follow-up coronary lesions were matched using fiduciary landmarks (eg, side branches, distance from the ostium) and analyzed side-by-side. The complete workflow of quantitative CTA analysis has been described in detail previously (see Data Supplement for a detailed description of the quantitative CTA analysis).18

Clinical Characteristics and Study End Points

Cardiovascular risk factors, including age, sex, family history of CAD, smoking status, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia,

Figure 1. Flow diagram of patients included in SMARTool (Simulation Modeling of coronary Artery disease: a tool for clinical decision support). In total, 275 patients were enrolled in the SMARTool Project, and 263 patients underwent follow-up coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA). Finally, 202 patients were included in the current analysis. CAD indicates coronary artery disease; and QCT, quantitative CTA analysis.

hypertension, obesity, medication use, and lipid profiles were prospectively collected before the baseline and followup coronary CTA (see Data Supplement for the definitions of the clinical variables). Statin use was evaluated at baseline and follow-up visits and patients were divided into 2 groups:

- 1. Statin users: if statins were used at baseline and/or follow-up (ie, at baseline and follow-up, only at baseline, only at follow-up).
- 2. Nonstatin users: if statins were not used at baseline nor at follow-up.

The primary end point of this study was CAD progression, defined as the absolute increase in plaque volume by quantitative CTA analysis on a per-plaque as well as on a perpatient basis. Per-patient plaque volume was calculated by summation of the plaques volumes of individual coronary plaques. Total, calcified, and noncalcified plaque volume progression were assessed on a per-plaque and per-patient basis and were adjusted for the time interval between the baseline and follow-up coronary CTA (ie, the interscan period). Accordingly, the annual plaque volume difference was calculated as follows: (plaque volume at follow-up−plaque volume at baseline)/(interscan period). For the per-plaque analysis, coronary arteries with a stent or bypass graft were automatically excluded pairwise to obtain a similar number of evaluated coronary arteries at baseline and follow-up. For the per-patient analysis, the influence of missing segments (due to interscan stenting, coronary bypass surgery, or failure in image reconstruction) on the plaque progression rate was evaluated and ruled out. This was performed by comparing the median annual plaque progression rate between patients with and without all coronary vessels analyzed. The annual plaque progression rate was calculated as follows: (annual plaque volume difference/plaque volume at baseline)×100%.

Statistical Analysis

Distribution of continuous variables was determined using histograms and Q-Q plots. For normal distributions, continuous variables are presented as mean±SD and for non-normally distributed variables as median and 25% to 75% interquartile range (IQR), and depending on the distributions they were compared with the independent Student *t*-test and Mann-Whitney *U* test, respectively. Categorical variables are presented as number and percentages and were compared with the χ^2 test or Fisher exact test if 5 or less observations were included in a subclass. Plaque characteristics were compared at baseline and follow-up using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. A univariable linear regression analysis was performed to determine the association between clinical variables, statin use, and annual increase in plaque volume (total, calcified, and noncalcified). Multivariable analysis was performed to adjust for clinical variables, baseline plaque volume, and LDL (low-density lipoprotein) cholesterol response to statin therapy. For the per-plaque analysis, a linear mixed model was used to account for potential intrapatient correlation of coronary plaques. All statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS software package (IBM Corp Released 2017; IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0; Armonk, New York: IBM Corp). Statistical tests were considered significant if the 2-sided *P*-value was <0.05.

RESULTS

Patients

In total, 202 white patients (80% statin users) who underwent serial coronary CTA were included in the study with a mean interscan period of 6.2 ± 1.4 years. The patient characteristics are displayed in Table 1. In addition, the change in lipid profile between baseline and follow-up coronary CTA according to statin use is shown in Table 2. In total, 40 (20%) patients at baseline and 63 (31%) patients at follow-up were at therapeutic goals (ie, had LDL cholesterol levels <70 mg/dL).

CAD Progression for Total Group

The median annual plaque progression rate between patients with and without all coronary vessels analyzed was not significantly different for total, calcified, and noncalcified plaque (*P*=0.16, *P*=0.84, and *P*=0.73, respectively; Figure I in the Data Supplement). Perpatient total plaque volume change between the baseline and follow-up coronary CTA was 74.8 ± 100.8 mm³, and the annual change in total plaque volume was 12.2 ± 15.8 mm³ (Figure 2). The annual change in calcified and noncalcified plaque volume was 7.9 ± 11.8 and 2.1±15.7 mm³, respectively. A detailed overview of the changes in plaque characteristics for the 558 detected plaques is provided in Table 3. There was a significant increase in mean plaque burden, maximal plaque thickness, diameter stenosis, area stenosis, and lesion length (all *P*<0.001), while minimal lumen diameter and minimal lumen area significantly decreased from baseline to follow-up (both *P*<0.001). The association between baseline plaque volume and plaque progression according to plaque composition is shown in Figure II in the Data Supplement.

CAD Progression According to Statin Use

The per-patient total plaque volume at baseline was significantly higher in statin users compared with nonstatin users (549 [IQR, 232–1027] mm³ versus 298 [IQR, 124–769] mm³; P=0.013). Also, statin users showed a higher calcified and noncalcified plaque volume at baseline compared with nonstatin users (33 [IQR, 10–77] mm3 versus 21 [IQR, 6–38] mm3 ; *P*=0.051 and 479 [IQR, 212–896] mm³ versus 284 [IQR, 108– 702] mm3 ; *P*=0.019, respectively). The per-patient annual increase in total plaque volume was not significantly different between statin and nonstatin users (12.8±16.2 versus 10.1±13.9 mm3 ; *P*=0.33). Although the annual progression of noncalcified plaque was significantly reduced in statin users compared with nonstatin users $(1.0\pm16.0$ versus 6.4 ± 13.9 mm³; *P*=0.049), statin users showed a significant increase in calcified plaque progression $(9.0\pm12.2$ versus 3.3 ± 8.6 mm³; P=0.001). A detailed overview of the per-plaque changes according to the use of statins is displayed in Table 3. In Figure 3, an example of quantitative CTA analysis is provided for a statin-taking patient. Although initially no coronary calcification was present at quantitative CTA analysis, extensive calcification

Table 1. Patient Characteristics

Values are presented as mean±SD or n (%). ACE indicates angiotensinconverting enzyme; ARB, angiotensin-II-receptor blocker; and CAD, coronary artery disease.

had occurred after 8 years of follow-up. The annual change in calcified and noncalcified plaque volume according to the intensity of statin therapy at followup is shown in Figure III in the Data Supplement. Moreover, the change in percentage diameter stenosis between patients with and without statin use at baseline and/or follow-up is shown in Figure IV in the Data Supplement.

Impact of Clinical Characteristics and Statin Use on CAD Progression

On a per-plaque basis, increasing age $(\beta=0.070)$; *P*=0.058) and hypertension (β=1.380; *P*=0.075) were associated with annual total plaque progression, although no significant associations were found (Table 4). In addition, male sex (β=1.676; *P*=0.009), diabetes mellitus (β=1.725; *P*=0.012), and statin use (β=1.498; *P*=0.046) showed an independent association with annual progression of calcified plaque. While hypertension (β=2.259; *P*=0.015) was an independent determinant of noncalcified plaque progression, statin use (β=−2.178; *P*=0.050) was borderline significantly associated with a reduced progression of noncalcified plaque. On a per-patient basis, similar results were found (Table II in the Data Supplement). Interestingly,

Table 2. Change in Lipid Profile Between Baseline and Follow-Up Coronary CTA According to Statin Use

Values are presented as mean±SD. CTA indicates computed tomography angiography; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; and LDL, low-density lipoprotein. *Statin use at baseline and follow-up: statins were already used at the baseline coronary CTA and were continued during the interscan period. Statin use only at baseline: statins were used at the baseline coronary CTA but were discontinued during the interscan period. Statin use only at follow-up: statins were not used at the baseline coronary CTA but were initiated during the interscan period. No statin use: statins were not used at the baseline coronary CTA or during the interscan period.

patients who experienced a cardiac event (n=12) during the interscan period showed a trend toward a more rapid progression of noncalcified plaque compared with patients without a cardiac event (n=190; *P*=0.35; Figure V in the Data Supplement).

DISCUSSION

The impact of clinical characteristics and statin use on coronary plaque progression was investigated using serial coronary CTA. Statin use was significantly associated with a more rapid progression of calcified plaque, whereas noncalcified plaque progression was reduced. While hypertension was the only clinical variable predictive of noncalcified plaque progression, diabetes mellitus, and male sex were independent determinants of calcified plaque progression.

Impact of Statin Use on CAD Progression

Statin use has frequently been shown to reduce the rate of major adverse cardiac events and to improve overall survival in patients with CAD.¹⁹ The current study is the first to provide an insight on the long-term impact of statin use on coronary plaque progression in a lowrisk patient population. To our knowledge, our study represents the longest interscan period to date for a serial coronary CTA study. In our study, statin use was associated with a slowed progression of noncalcified coronary plaque, whereas the progression of calcified

coronary plaque was increased with the use of statins. Overall, this resulted in a similar overall progression of coronary plaque in statin and nonstatin users.

Multiple other studies have addressed the impact of statin use on CAD progression. The PARADIGM (Progression of Atherosclerotic Plaque Determined by Computed Tomographic Angiography Imaging) registry is the largest study currently performed in patients who underwent serial coronary CTA.¹⁵ In this study, the effect of statins on individual coronary atherosclerotic plaques was assessed during a mean interscan period of 3.8 years. In agreement with our results, the progression of noncalcified plaque was significantly reduced in statin users, whereas statin users demonstrated a more rapid progression of calcified plaque (both *P*<0.001). Interestingly, statin use was also associated with a slower rate of overall plaque progression (*P*=0.002). These conflicting results with regard to the effect of statins on overall plaque progression could be explained by many factors, including the enrollment of a patient population with a different background and follow-up duration. Possibly, the calcifying effect of statins on coronary plaques becomes more significant over time (ie, comparable to the reduction in noncalcified plaque), thereby resulting in no net effect of statin use on overall plaque progression during long-term follow-up. Also after adjusting for risk factors in multivariable analysis, statin use did not impact overall plaque progression.

The procalcific effect of statins has also been demonstrated in other studies that used either serial nonin-

Figure 2. Per-patient annual changes in total, calcified, and noncalcified plaque volume.

On a per-patient basis, the mean annual change in total, calcified, and noncalcified plaque volume was 12.2±15.8, 7.9±11.8, and 2.1±15.7 mm³, respectively. IQR indicates interquartile range.

vasive or invasive imaging modalities to assess coronary plaque progression. However, most serial imaging studies were hampered by a short follow-up duration or retrospective design.^{10–14} Most importantly, our study differs from previous studies in that the majority of statin-taking patients showed a negligible extent of calcified plaque at the baseline coronary CTA.

It could be hypothesized that the increase in coronary calcification represents a healing mechanism of statins, whereby coronary plaques become increasingly stabilized through calcification of the necrotic core.²⁰ Although conceptually attractive, it remains to be determined whether this increased calcification is the underlying cause for the improved clinical outcome in statin-taking patients with confirmed CAD.

Clinical Predictors of CAD Progression

Increasing age, male sex, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus were found to be nonsignificantly associated with overall CAD progression. Whereas diabetes mellitus mainly led to coronary plaque progression by an increase in calcified plaque, hypertension induced progression of noncalcified plaque.

Our findings are in line with previous research on coronary plaque progression and morphology in patients with hypertension or diabetes mellitus. Bayturan et al²¹ evaluated 951 patients with low LDL cholesterol levels (≤70 mg/dL) who underwent serial intravascular ultrasound to assess CAD progression. The authors found that despite achieving low LDL cholesterol levels, the presence of dia-

				Statin Use at Baseline and/or Follow-Up					
	Total (n=558)			Yes $(n=464)$			No $(n=94)$		
	Baseline	Follow-Up	P-Value	Baseline	Follow-Up	P-Value	Baseline	Follow-Up	P-Value
Total plaque volume, mm ³	143.3 $(68.4 - 308.4)$	160.2 $(82.6 - 333.3)$	< 0.001	144.2 $(69.5 - 307.3)$	164.0 $(82.9 - 332.5)$	< 0.001	133.7 $(57.7 - 309.1)$	144.5 $(78.8 - 352.9)$	< 0.001
Calcified plaque volume, mm ³	7.7 $(1.7 - 22.6)$	19.3 $(7.1 - 45.0)$	< 0.001	7.7 $(1.8 - 23.4)$	20.9 $(7.8 - 46.3)$	< 0.001	8.6 $(1.3 - 21.0)$	12.2 $(2.4 - 29.5)$	< 0.001
Noncalcified plaque volume, mm ³	127.2 $(58.7 - 274.3)$	132.3 $(66.2 - 278.3)$	0.001	132.0 $(62.2 - 275.2)$	129.2 $(66.2 - 279.7)$	0.061	118.9 $(47.8 - 262.2)$	135.1 $(70.5 - 268.3)$	< 0.001
Mean plaque burden $(9/6)*$	58.0 $(51.8 - 62.9)$	60.7 $(54.0 - 65.9)$	< 0.001	58.1 $(52.3 - 63.1)$	60.7 $(54.1 - 66.0)$	< 0.001	57.3 $(50.5 - 62.6)$	60.2 $(53.3 - 65.5)$	0.002
Maximal plaque thickness, mm	1.75 $(1.44 - 2.07)$	1.97 $(1.73 - 2.27)$	< 0.001	1.77 $(1.47 - 2.11)$	1.99 $(1.73 - 2.30)$	< 0.001	1.68 $(1.29 - 1.97)$	1.94 $(1.68 - 2.17)$	< 0.001
Diameter stenosis (%)	24.1 $(14.6 - 32.9)$	27.3 $(19.4 - 37.3)$	< 0.001	24.3 $(14.7 - 33.5)$	27.5 $(19.4 - 37.7)$	< 0.001	23.5 $(13.3 - 31.2)$	26.9 $(17.7 - 33.1)$	< 0.001
Area stenosis (%)	42.4 $(26.9 - 55.0)$	47.2 $(34.9 - 60.7)$	< 0.001	42.7 $(27.0 - 55.8)$	47.4 $(35.0 - 61.2)$	< 0.001	41.5 $(24.8 - 52.6)$	46.5 $(32.2 - 55.3)$	< 0.001
Minimal lumen diameter, mm	2.3 $(1.9 - 2.8)$	2.1 $(1.7 - 2.5)$	< 0.001	2.2 $(1.9 - 2.7)$	2.1 $(1.7 - 2.5)$	< 0.001	2.4 $(1.9 - 2.9)$	2.2 $(1.8 - 2.7)$	< 0.001
Minimal lumen area. mm ²	5.0 $(3.5 - 7.4)$	4.4 $(2.9 - 6.4)$	< 0.001	4.8 $(3.4 - 7.3)$	4.3 $(2.9 - 6.3)$	< 0.001	5.5 $(3.8 - 7.4)$	4.4 $(3.1 - 7.2)$	0.001
Lesion length, mm	13.6 $(6.6 - 30.6)$	14.4 $(7.3 - 30.6)$	< 0.001	13.9 $(6.6 - 30.8)$	14.5 $(7.4 - 30.7)$	< 0.001	11.8 $(6.3 - 30.6)$	14.1 $(6.7 - 30.7)$	< 0.001

Table 3. Change in Plaque Characteristics Between Baseline and Follow-Up Coronary CTA According to Statin Use

Values are presented as median (interquartile range). CTA indicates computed tomography angiography.

*Mean plaque burden was defined as follows: the sum of ([vessel wall area−lumen area]/vessel wall area) per slice/total number of slices.

Figure 3. Example of quantitative computed tomography angiography (CTA) analysis for a statin-taking patient. Representative case showing the impact of statin use on coronary artery disease progression. Although initially no coronary calcification was present at quantitative CTA analysis, extensive calcification had occurred after 8 y of follow-up. Red indicates necrotic core tissue, light green indicates fibro-fatty tissue, dark green indicates fibrous tissue, and white indicates dense calcium tissue.

betes mellitus (P=0.02) and an increase in systolic blood pressure ($P=0.001$) were independently associated with CAD progression. The relationship between hypertension and incident CAD was further investigated in the CONFIRM registry (Coronary CT Angiography Evaluation for Clinical Outcomes: An International Multicenter Registry), a large multicenter registry including patients without known CAD who underwent a single coronary CTA.22 In that study, it was found that noncalcified plaques, as well as calcified plaques, were significantly more prevalent in patients with hypertension compared with a matched cohort of patients without hypertension. More recently, the impact of diabetes mellitus and glycemic status on CAD progression was investigated in 2 substudies of the PARADIGM registry.^{23,24} In these studies, it was demonstrated that patients with diabetes mellitus experience greater overall CAD progression compared with patients without diabetes mellitus. Although diabetes mellitus was significantly associated with progression of all 4 coronary plaque subtypes (ie, fibrous, fibro-fatty, necrotic core, and dense calcium), the strongest association was found for progression of dense calcium plaque. Finally, diabetes mellitus was shown to be associated with an increased prevalence of total and calcified coronary plaque in multiple studies that included patients who underwent a single coronary CTA or coronary calcium score.²⁵⁻²⁸

Our study findings could yield the need for intensified preventive treatment of patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension to slow and stabilize CAD progression and improve clinical outcome. Previous studies have demonstrated that good glycemic and blood pressure control could lead to lower CAD progression.²⁹⁻³¹ Moreover, the progression of different plaque types (ie, calcified versus noncalcified) in patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension may suggest the presence of distinct pathophysiological mechanisms of coronary plaque progression.

Limitations

The present study has several limitations. First, the number of patients included was relatively low. This could be an important reason for the lack of statistical significance in the relationship between clinical variables and overall plaque progression after adjustment for potential confounders. Second, coronary CTA scanners from different vendors were used to assess CAD progression which could affect plaque volume measurements. However, all coronary CTAs at follow-up were performed according to a predefined standard operating procedure to reduce the difference in Hounsfield units between coronary CTAs from different vendors. Third, statin use at baseline and follow-up visits was used to define statin users, but no information was available on possible changes in treatment and dosages in the interscan period. Therefore, the effect of statin use on overall CAD progression could be underestimated if statin-taking patients at follow-up did not use statins during the entire interscan period. Fourth, quantitative CTA analysis was only performed for visually determined plaques at the baseline and follow-up coronary CTA. Therefore, patients without coronary plaques at the follow-up coronary CTA were excluded from the current study. Fifth, information on nonstatin therapy

Table 4. Association Between Clinical Variables, Statin Use, and Annual Increase in Total, Calcified, and Noncalcified Plaque Volume (Per-Plaque Analysis)

CAD indicates coronary artery disease; and LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

*Total, calcified, or noncalcified plaque volume was used for the analysis depending on the outcome parameter.

and dietary pattern was not available and therefore its effect on coronary plaque progression could not be assessed. Sixth, high-risk plaque features (eg, napkinring sign and spotty calcification) were not analyzed in the current study and are therefore not available.

Conclusions

The current study demonstrated that statin use was associated with an increased progression of calcified coronary plaque and a reduced progression of noncalcified coronary plaque. Whereas hypertension was the only modifiable risk factor predictive of noncalcified plaque progression, diabetes mellitus mainly led to an increase in calcified plaque. Additional studies are required to study the effect of statin use and intensive control of cardiovascular risk factors on coronary plaque progression and its relationship to clinical outcome.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Received July 29, 2019; accepted February 10, 2020.

The Data Supplement is available at https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/ suppl/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.119.009750.

Correspondence

Arthur J. Scholte, MD, PhD, Department of Cardiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Albinusdreef 2, 2333 ZA Leiden, The Netherlands, Postal zone 2300 RC. Email a.j.h.a.scholte@lumc.nl

Affiliations

Department of Cardiology, Leiden University Medical Center, The Netherlands (J.M.S., A.R.v.R., M.E.M., A.J.S.). Fondazione Toscana Gabriele Monasterio, Pisa, Italy (D.N.). Heart Center and PET Centre, Turku University Hospital, University of Turku, Finland (J.K., A.S.). Department of Nuclear Medicine, Cardiac

Imaging, University Hospital and University of Zurich, Switzerland (R.R.B.). Instytut Kardiologii im. Prymasa Tysiąclecia Stefana Kardynała Wyszyńskiego, ul. Alpejska, Warszawa, Poland (A.T.). Department of Cardiology (M.N.P.) and Department of Radiology, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain (A.R.). ASL12 U.O.C. Cardiologia, Viareggio, Italy (R.P.). Department of Medical Statistics, Leiden University Medical Center, The Netherlands (B.J.M.). Institute of Clinical Physiology CNR, Pisa, Italy (C.C., S.R., G.P.). Institute of Information Science and Technologies CNR, Pisa, Italy (O.P.).

Acknowledgments

None.

Sources of Funding

This work is part-funded by the European Commission. SMARTool (Simulation Modeling of coronary Artery disease: a tool for clinical decision support - GA 689068). This article reflects only the author's view. The Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

Disclosures

None.

REFERENCES

- 1. Roth GA, Johnson C, Abajobir A, Abd-Allah F, Abera SF, Abyu G, Ahmed M, Aksut B, Alam T, Alam K, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of cardiovascular diseases for 10 causes, 1990 to 2015. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;70:1–25. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.04.052
- 2. Blankenhorn DH, Azen SP, Kramsch DM, Mack WJ, Cashin-Hemphill L, Hodis HN, DeBoer LW, Mahrer PR, Masteller MJ, Vailas LI, et al; MARS Research Group. Coronary angiographic changes with lovastatin therapy. The Monitored Atherosclerosis Regression Study (MARS). *Ann Intern Med*. 1993;119:969–976. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-119-10-199311150-00002
- 3. Nissen SE, Tuzcu EM, Schoenhagen P, Brown BG, Ganz P, Vogel RA, Crowe T, Howard G, Cooper CJ, Brodie B, et al; REVERSAL Investigators. Effect of intensive compared with moderate lipid-lowering therapy on progression of coronary atherosclerosis: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2004;291:1071–1080. doi: 10.1001/jama.291.9.1071
- 4. Nissen SE, Nicholls SJ, Sipahi I, Libby P, Raichlen JS, Ballantyne CM, Davignon J, Erbel R, Fruchart JC, Tardif JC, et al; ASTEROID Investigators. Effect of very high-intensity statin therapy on regression of coronary

atherosclerosis: the ASTEROID trial. *JAMA*. 2006;295:1556–1565. doi: 10.1001/jama.295.13.jpc60002

- 5. Nicholls SJ, Tuzcu EM, Sipahi I, Grasso AW, Schoenhagen P, Hu T, Wolski K, Crowe T, Desai MY, Hazen SL, et al. Statins, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and regression of coronary atherosclerosis. *JAMA*. 2007;297:499–508. doi: 10.1001/jama.297.5.499
- 6. Kini AS, Baber U, Kovacic JC, Limaye A, Ali ZA, Sweeny J, Maehara A, Mehran R, Dangas G, Mintz GS, et al. Changes in plaque lipid content after short-term intensive versus standard statin therapy: the YELLOW trial (reduction in yellow plaque by aggressive lipid-lowering therapy). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;62:21–29. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.03.058
- 7. Knuuti J, Ballo H, Juarez-Orozco LE, Saraste A, Kolh P, Rutjes AWS, Jüni P, Windecker S, Bax JJ, Wijns W. The performance of non-invasive tests to rule-in and rule-out significant coronary artery stenosis in patients with stable angina: a meta-analysis focused on post-test disease probability. *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39:3322–3330. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy267
- 8. Danad I, Szymonifka J, Twisk JWR, Norgaard BL, Zarins CK, Knaapen P, Min JK. Diagnostic performance of cardiac imaging methods to diagnose ischaemia-causing coronary artery disease when directly compared with fractional flow reserve as a reference standard: a meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38:991–998. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw095
- 9. Gonzalez JA, Lipinski MJ, Flors L, Shaw PW, Kramer CM, Salerno M. Meta-Analysis of diagnostic performance of coronary computed tomography angiography, computed tomography perfusion, and computed tomography-fractional flow reserve in functional myocardial ischemia assessment versus invasive fractional flow reserve. *Am J Cardiol*. 2015;116:1469– 1478. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.07.078
- 10. Burgstahler C, Reimann A, Beck T, Kuettner A, Baumann D, Heuschmid M, Brodoefel H, Claussen CD, Kopp AF, Schroeder S. Influence of a lipid-lowering therapy on calcified and noncalcified coronary plaques monitored by multislice detector computed tomography: results of the New Age II Pilot Study. *Invest Radiol*. 2007;42:189–195. doi: 10.1097/01.rli.0000254408.96355.85
- 11. Inoue K, Motoyama S, Sarai M, Sato T, Harigaya H, Hara T, Sanda Y, Anno H, Kondo T, Wong ND, et al. Serial coronary CT angiography-verified changes in plaque characteristics as an end point: evaluation of effect of statin intervention. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2010;3:691–698. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2010.04.011
- 12. Hoffmann H, Frieler K, Schlattmann P, Hamm B, Dewey M. Influence of statin treatment on coronary atherosclerosis visualised using multidetector computed tomography. *Eur Radiol*. 2010;20:2824–2833. doi: 10.1007/s00330-010-1880-x
- 13. Zeb I, Li D, Nasir K, Malpeso J, Batool A, Flores F, Dailing C, Karlsberg RP, Budoff M. Effect of statin treatment on coronary plaque progression - a serial coronary CT angiography study. *Atherosclerosis*. 2013;231:198– 204. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2013.08.019
- 14. Shin S, Park HB, Chang HJ, Arsanjani R, Min JK, Kim YJ, Lee BK, Choi JH, Hong GR, Chung N. Impact of intensive LDL cholesterol lowering on coronary artery atherosclerosis progression: a Serial CT Angiography Study. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2017;10:437–446. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2016.04.013
- 15. Lee SE, Chang HJ, Sung JM, Park HB, Heo R, Rizvi A, Lin FY, Kumar A, Hadamitzky M, Kim YJ, et al. Effects of statins on coronary atherosclerotic plaques: the PARADIGM Study. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2018;11:1475– 1484. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2018.04.015
- 16. Sakellarios A, Siogkas P, Georga E, Tachos N, Kigka V, Tsompou P, Andrikos I, Karanasiou GS, Rocchiccioli S, Correia J, et al. A clinical decision support platform for the risk stratification, diagnosis, and prediction of coronary artery disease evolution. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*. 2018;2018:4556–4559. doi: 10.1109/EMBC.2018.8513131
- 17. Austen WG, Edwards JE, Frye RL, Gensini GG, Gott VL, Griffith LS, Mc-Goon DC, Murphy ML, Roe BB. A reporting system on patients evaluated for coronary artery disease. Report of the Ad Hoc committee for grading of coronary artery disease, council on cardiovascular surgery, American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 1975;51(4 suppl):5–40. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.51.4.5
- 18. de Graaf MA, Broersen A, Kitslaar PH, Roos CJ, Dijkstra J, Lelieveldt BP, Jukema JW, Schalij MJ, Delgado V, Bax JJ, et al. Automatic quantification

and characterization of coronary atherosclerosis with computed tomography coronary angiography: cross-correlation with intravascular ultrasound virtual histology. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2013;29:1177–1190. doi: 10.1007/s10554-013-0194-x

- 19. Randomised trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). *Lancet*. 1994;344:1383–1389.
- 20. Mori H, Torii S, Kutyna M, Sakamoto A, Finn AV, Virmani R. Coronary artery calcification and its progression: what does it really mean? *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2018;11:127–142. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg. 2017.10.012
- 21. Bayturan O, Kapadia S, Nicholls SJ, Tuzcu EM, Shao M, Uno K, Shreevatsa A, Lavoie AJ, Wolski K, Schoenhagen P, et al. Clinical predictors of plaque progression despite very low levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;55:2736–2742. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.01.050
- 22. Nakanishi R, Baskaran L, Gransar H, Budoff MJ, Achenbach S, Al-Mallah M, Cademartiri F, Callister TQ, Chang HJ, Chinnaiyan K, et al. Relationship of hypertension to coronary atherosclerosis and cardiac events in patients with coronary computed tomographic angiography. *Hypertension*. 2017;70:293–299. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.09402
- 23. Kim U, Leipsic JA, Sellers SL, Shao M, Blanke P, Hadamitzky M, Kim YJ, Conte E, Andreini D, Pontone G, et al. Natural history of diabetic coronary atherosclerosis by quantitative measurement of serial coronary computed tomographic angiography: results of the PARADIGM Study. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2018;11:1461–1471. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2018.04.009
- 24. Won KB, Lee SE, Lee BK, Park HB, Heo R, Rizvi A, Lin FY, Kumar A, Hadamitzky M, Kim YJ, et al. Longitudinal assessment of coronary plaque volume change related to glycemic status using serial coronary computed tomography angiography: a PARADIGM (Progression of AtheRosclerotic PlAque DetermIned by Computed TomoGraphic Angiography Imaging) substudy. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr*. 2019;13:142–147. doi: 10.1016/j.jcct.2018.12.002
- 25. Khazai B, Luo Y, Rosenberg S, Wingrove J, Budoff MJ. Coronary atherosclerotic plaque detected by computed tomographic angiography in subjects with diabetes compared to those without diabetes. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0143187. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0143187
- 26. Chu ZG, Yang ZG, Dong ZH, Zhu ZY, Peng LQ, Shao H, He C, Deng W, Tang SS, Chen J. Characteristics of coronary artery disease in symptomatic type 2 diabetic patients: evaluation with CT angiography. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2010;9:74. doi: 10.1186/1475-2840-9-74
- 27. Deseive S, Straub R, Kupke M, Broersen A, Kitslaar PH, Stocker TJ, Massberg S, Hadamitzky M, Hausleiter J. Impact of diabetes on coronary artery plaque volume by coronary CT angiography and subsequent adverse cardiac events. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr*. 2019;13:31–37. doi: 10.1016/j.jcct.2018.09.008
- 28. Rana JS, Dunning A, Achenbach S, Al-Mallah M, Budoff MJ, Cademartiri F, Callister TQ, Chang HJ, Cheng VY, Chinnaiyan K, et al. Differences in prevalence, extent, severity, and prognosis of coronary artery disease among patients with and without diabetes undergoing coronary computed tomography angiography: results from 10,110 individuals from the CON-FIRM (COronary CT Angiography EvaluatioN For Clinical Outcomes): an InteRnational Multicenter Registry. *Diabetes Care*. 2012;35:1787–1794. doi: 10.2337/dc11-2403
- 29. Sipahi I, Tuzcu EM, Schoenhagen P, Wolski KE, Nicholls SJ, Balog C, Crowe TD, Nissen SE. Effects of normal, pre-hypertensive, and hypertensive blood pressure levels on progression of coronary atherosclerosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;48:833–838. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2006.05.045
- 30. Kowall B, Lehmann N, Mahabadi AA, Moebus S, Budde T, Seibel R, Grönemeyer D, Erbel R, Jöckel KH, Stang A. Progression of coronary artery calcification is stronger in poorly than in well controlled diabetes: results from the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2017;31:234–240. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2016.08.011
- 31. Chhatriwalla AK, Nicholls SJ, Wang TH, Wolski K, Sipahi I, Crowe T, Schoenhagen P, Kapadia S, Tuzcu EM, Nissen SE. Low levels of lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol and blood pressure and progression of coronary atherosclerosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;53:1110–1115. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2008.09.065