

The emergence of regenerative medicine in organ transplantation: 1st European cell therapy and organ regeneration section meeting

Hoogduijn, M.J.; Montserrat, N.; Laan, L.J.W. van der; Dazzi, F.; Perico, N.; Kastrup, J.; ... ; Reinders, M.E.J.

Citation

Hoogduijn, M. J., Montserrat, N., Laan, L. J. W. van der, Dazzi, F., Perico, N., Kastrup, J., … Reinders, M. E. J. (2020). The emergence of regenerative medicine in organ transplantation: 1st European cell therapy and organ regeneration section meeting. *Transplant International*, *33*(8), 833-840. doi:10.1111/tri.13608

Version: Publisher's Version License: [Creative Commons CC BY-NC 4.0 license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3185017>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

REVIEW

The emergence of regenerative medicine in organ transplantation: 1st European Cell Therapy and Organ Regeneration Section meeting

Martin J. Hoogduijn^{[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0217-8254)} (D), Nuria Montserrat^{2,3,4}, Luc J. W. van der Laan⁵, Francesco Dazzi⁶, Norberto Perico⁷, Jens Kastrup^{[8](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0217-8254)}, Nicholas Gilbo^{9,10}, Rutger J. Ploeg¹¹, Valerie Roobrouck¹², Federica Casiraghi⁷, Christian L. Johnson¹³, Marcella Franquesa¹⁴, Marc H. Dahlke¹⁵, Emma Massey¹ (D. Sarah Hosgood¹⁶ & Marlies E. J. Reinders¹⁷

1 Department of Internal Medicine, Nephrology and Transplantation, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The **Netherlands**

2 Pluripotency for Organ Regeneration, Institute for Bioengineering of Catalonia (IBEC), The Barcelona Institute of Technology (BIST), Barcelona, Spain

3 Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies (ICREA), Barcelona, Spain

4 Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red en Bioingeniería, Biomateriales y Nanomedicina, Madrid, Spain 5 Department of Surgery, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands 6 School of Cancer & Pharmaceutical Sciences, King's College London, London, UK 7 Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri IRCCS, Bergamo, Italy 8 Cardiology Stem Cell Center, Rigshospitalet University Hospital Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark 9 Lab of Abdominal Transplantation, Transplantation Research Group, Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Transplantation, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium 10 Department of Abdominal Transplant Surgery, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium 11 Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences and Oxford Transplant Centre, University of Oxford and Oxford University Hospitals NHS

Trust, Oxford, UK

SUMMARY

Regenerative medicine is emerging as a novel field in organ transplantation. In September 2019, the European Cell Therapy and Organ Regeneration Section (ECTORS) of the European Society for Organ Transplantation (ESOT) held its first meeting to discuss the state-of-the-art of regenerative medicine in organ transplantation. The present article highlights the key areas of interest and major advances in this multidisciplinary field in organ regeneration and discusses its implications for the future of organ transplantation.

Transplant International 2020; 33: 833–840

Key words

cell therapy, machine perfusion, mesenchymal stromal cell, organoid, regeneration, transplantation

Received: 4 February 2020; Revision requested: 6 March 2020; Accepted: 20 March 2020; Published online: 28 April 2020

Correspondence

Martin J. Hoogduijn, Department of Internal Medicine, Nephrology and Transplantation, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Wytemaweg 80, 3015 CN Rotterdam, The Netherlands. Tel: +31 107035418;

e-mail: [m.hoogduijn@erasmusmc.nl](mailto:)

- 12 Regenesys/Athersys, Leuven, Belgium
- 13 Institute for Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, Transfusion Medicine, University Hospital Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany
- 14 REMAR-IVECAT Group, Germans Trias i Pujol Health Science Research Institute, Badalona, Spain
- 15 Department of Surgery, Robert-Bosch-Health-Campus, Stuttgart, Germany
- 16 Department of Surgery, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
- 17 Department of Nephrology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

Introduction

Current treatment options for end-stage organ disease include support of organ function through dialysis, respiratory devices or ventricular pumps, lifestyle changes to slow down disease progression, and eventually transplantation. The impressive advance in knowledge of genetic editing and cellular reprogramming over the past period has led to the idea of boosting endogenous regeneration or supply de novo generated cells and tissues as an alternative to transplantation. However, many biological, technical and ethical challenges must be addressed before improvement of organ function through reparative therapies can be realized. To that end, the European Cell Therapy and Organ Regeneration Section (ECTORS) was established in 2018 within the European Society for Organ Transplantation (ESOT). This section finds its origin in the former Mesenchymal stromal cell in Solid Organ Transplantation (MiSOT) study group [1-3], but also includes experts on organ machine perfusion, pluripotent stem cells including human embryonic stem cells and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC), and organoids. The aim of ECTORS is to advance the knowledge of organ regeneration through bringing together physicians and basic scientists from the regenerative medicine and transplantation fields.

In this article, we discuss the state of the art and recent advances in organ regenerative medicine research. This includes repair of transplant organs before and after transplantation, and of diseased organs in patients with end-stage organ failure.

Organ regeneration

Organ regeneration ultimately involves the reinstitution of multiple types of cells and supportive matrix with the aim to restore integrity and function of diseased organs. If successful, organ regeneration could eventually make organ transplantation obsolete. Organ regeneration can be approached from different angles, depending on the status of the injured organ

and the tools available. One approach is to make use of the intrinsic regenerative potential of organs and activate endogenous progenitor cells through pharmacological or cellular intervention or through manipulation of organ physiology. Experiments in this direction are ongoing and promising tools are under development, including machine perfusion and mesenchymal stromal cell (MSC) therapy that may boost organ regeneration. Nevertheless, many hurdles have to be overcome, including ethical, financial, logistic and mechanistic challenges, before these tools can be applied for effective organ regeneration. Another approach is to build organs or part of organs from scratch. While it is highly unlikely such techniques will be feasible in the short-term, this concept brings with it an immense potential to regenerate organs of all ages and in all developmental and disease states.

Organ machine perfusion

In recent years, progress has been made with preservation of transplant organs on hypothermic machine perfusion [4,5]. Indeed, in the Netherlands and in the UK, hypothermic machine preservation has become standard practice for all kidneys from deceased donors. The benefit of oxygenation of kidneys on hypothermic machine perfusion for kidney function has been demonstrated in seminal studies [6,7]. A multicentre randomized trial in heart transplant patients demonstrated that patient and heart survival after warm perfusion of heart transplants was noninferior to cold storage and offers possibilities to assess the metabolic status of heart transplants [8]. To develop machine perfusion into a technology that does not only preserve but also regenerates organs, further adaptations are required, including normothermia. Normothermic machine perfusion (NMP) is designed to preserve organs under physiological conditions allowing the restoration of cellular metabolism and replenishment of ATP [9-11]. It also has the potential as a platform for assessment of the donor organ before

transplantation and for pretransplant therapeutic interventions to enhance organ quality [12]. The molecular mechanisms underlying NMP involve oxidative phosphorylation, which is amongst the pathways most significantly up regulated during NMP. NMP furthermore leads to increased expression of erythropoietin and haemeoxygenase-1 and, in addition, a number of immunological pathways including TNF α signalling via NF_KB are also significantly up regulated. The inclusion of a cytosorb column into the perfusion circuit to adsorb cytokines and chemokines may be used to reduce the level of pro-inflammatory cytokines [13]. Other inflammatory pathways up regulated during NMP, such as the JAK/STAT pathway, are associated with ischaemic conditioning [14]. It may be through these processes that NMP protects against ischaemia reperfusion injury in kidney transplantation.

Also within the field of liver transplantation, the implementation of machine perfusion is advancing. Conventional static cold storage is insufficient for the preservation of high-risk livers and does not allow for the evaluation of residual functionality of the liver graft. By applying heart-lung machine technology to the isolated organ, liver machine perfusion allows for better preservation and functional evaluation of the liver [15]. Liver machine perfusion can either replace conventional storage [16] or can resuscitate grafts previously preserved in a conventional manner [17]. Additionally, by repeatedly sampling the perfusate and bile produced during machine perfusion and measuring simple serum biomarkers, it is possible to assess the functionality of a graft and to predict the risk of post-transplant complications [18].

Regeneration cannot be achieved during a few hours of machine perfusion, but regenerative processes can be initiated ex vivo while organs are on machine perfusion. Preservation of organs through machine perfusion provides an excellent opportunity to apply regenerative therapies directly to the organs, including cellular and molecular interventions. The application of microvesicles or exosomes from regenerative cells such as MSC is an appealing setting to be further investigated [19]. Furthermore, the modulation of the expression of micro-RNAs with the aim to induce repair may also represent a yet unexplored approach to donor organ treatment outside the donor.

Mesenchymal stromal cell therapies

Mesenchymal stromal cell has immunomodulatory and tissue regenerative properties and therefore make them

an attractive therapeutic candidate within organ transplantation. Over the last decade, a number of studies have investigated the intravenous administration of autologous MSC after human kidney transplantation and demonstrated safety, feasibility and an indication for immunosuppressive capacities of autologous bone marrow derived MSC [20,21]. It appears that the timing of MSC treatment and the concurrent immunosuppressive medication influences the effects of MSC [22,23]. It has for instance been shown that pretransplant but not post-transplant administration of MSC is effective in prolonging allograft survival in murine models and there is data suggesting that immunosuppressive drug such as tacrolimus, mycophenolic acid and rapamycin differentially affect MSC proliferation and immunomodulatory capacity [22,24,25]. With the first exploratory studies completed over 7 years ago, long-term safety profiles of MSC treatment are available. To date, there is currently no evidence for adverse effects in kidney transplant patients long after MSC administration [26]. In addition, autologous MSC therapy promoted a sustained and long-lasting pro-tolerogenic immune environment, particularly remarkable in one kidney transplant patient. This patient was successfully weaned off immunosuppressive drugs and is now almost two 2 years free from rejection with optimal kidney allograft function [27]. Treatment with autologous bone marrow MSC in kidney recipients is now moving from Phase I to Phase II trials. A currently ongoing phase 2 study is testing the hypothesis that MSC in combination with everolimus immunosuppression facilitates withdrawal of the calcineurin inhibitor tacrolimus, reduce fibrosis and decrease the incidence of opportunistic infections compared to standard tacrolimus medication [28]. The primary end-point of this study is fibrosis measured by quantitative Sirius Red scoring at 6 months after transplantation. Results will provide information on whether MSC in combination with everolimus allows graft survival with preservation of renal structure and function.

Allogeneic MSCs offer an alternative cellular therapeutic strategy to autologous therapies. Allogeneic MSC can be expanded on a large scale and are amenable to cryopreservation. A number of commercial organizations are actively developing allogeneic MSC-based products as 'off-the-shelf' cellular therapies. Such a product would be available as required and be used by those treatment centres that lack a dedicated GMP cellular-production facility. However, there is evidence that allogeneic MSC can elicit an anti-MSC immune

response [29], which may cross-react with the donor kidney and increase the incidence of rejection and impact allograft survival in the long term. In a recent Phase I study, a single dose of $1.5-3 \times 10^6$ /kg unmatched third party MSC were infused 3 ± 2 days after transplantation. Four out of 10 patients developed de novo donor-specific antibodies (dnDSA) against the MSC, one of which was also directed against the kidney graft. Renal function remained stable in patients during the study period leaving clinical relevance of the dnDSA unclear [30]. The Neptune study was set op to investigate the effect of third party allogeneic MSC after kidney transplantation with the aim to lower calcineurin inhibitor levels. To minimize the chance of anti-donor immune responses, a matching strategy was chosen to prevent repeated mismatches between the allogeneic MSC and the transplant kidney [31]. The results of this study are expected in the near future.

MSC mechanism of action

While clinical studies to the effects of MSC in organ transplant patients are ongoing, questions remain regarding their mode of action. It has been demonstrated that MSC interacts with a variety of immune and progenitor cells through the secretion of growth factors, cytokines and extracellular vesicles and induce beneficial immunomodulatory and regenerative effects [32,33]. It became clear some years ago, however, that intravenously infused MSC largely accumulate in the lungs due to size restriction of the pulmonary capillary network and have a short lifespan [34]. In recent years, important steps have been made in elucidating the mechanism of action of MSC therapy after intravenous infusion. It was demonstrated that during their brief presence MSC instruct host immune cells to adapt a regulatory function, and this effect persists after disappearance of the administered cells [35-37]. These regulatory immune cells migrate to other sites, such as the liver and lymph nodes but potentially also to sites of inflammation, where they may control inflammatory responses [38,39]. Thus, the short lifespan of MSC does not preclude a beneficial immunomodulatory effect of MSC in organ transplant patients. The implication of the short lifespan of MSC on their regenerative effects is yet unclear, but during their brief presence MSC may induce macrophages with regenerative properties [40,41]. An alternative to intravenous administration could be to deliver MSC directly to transplant organs on machine perfusion via the arterial flow.

Merging the fields of machine perfusion and MSC therapy

The first reports on the ex vivo delivery of MSC to porcine liver and kidney grafts have recently been published. In the liver, administered MSC show a wide range and patchy distribution [42] whereas in the kidney MSC localize specifically to the glomeruli [43,44]. The distribution pattern of MSC in liver and kidney is likely to depend on the size restriction of capillary networks in the organs, similar to the accumulation of MSC in the capillaries of the lungs after intravenous administration. This was demonstrated by arterial administration of dead MSC to kidneys on machine perfusion. While dead MSC are incapable of actively adhering to surfaces, the resulting distribution pattern was identical to that of living MSC [44]. When administering cells to organs on machine perfusion, it is important to use perfusion conditions that not only support the transplant organ, but also the administered cells. It appears that machine perfusion fluid has an effect on the adhesive properties of MSC in suspension, but that it does not affect the secretion of trophic factors by MSC [45]. Whether loading of transplant organs with MSC is beneficial for short-term and/or long-term organ function after transplantation, or whether other cell types at different doses would be more efficient will have to be determined in future studies.

Lessons learned from stem cell clinical trials outside the field of organ transplantation

Cellular therapies are notoriously difficult to initiate, in part due to regulatory requirements and the need for clinical grade cell production facilities and associated costs. By learning from previously approved trials, time and money might be saved and pitfalls related to cell production, logistics, inclusion criteria and clinical protocols avoided. While completed cell therapy trials in the field of organ transplantation with published results are relatively sparse, other fields have more extensive experience with MSC therapies. In the field of ischaemic heart disease, a number of trials have been finalized [46,47] or are ongoing [48,49]. Such trials teach us that it is advisable to keep the various processes in trials as simple as possible and choose clinically relevant inclusion criteria to increase clinical success rate. It is important to constantly follow-up on the regulation and collaborates with relevant partners with expertise in cell production, clinical trial set up and regulatory aspects. When trials become more advanced, partnering with a commercial party may offer possibilities for progression towards efficacy testing and eventually the implementation of cellular therapies as an accessible treatment option for organ disease patients.

Corporate perspective on cell therapy

When cell therapy trials become larger and therapies start moving from the experimental setting to the therapeutic setting, academic centres are generally insufficiently equipped and funded to continue the research efforts without the help of a commercial partner. Athersys, amongst other clinical-stage biotechnology companies to collaborate with the academic sector, has developed a patented, allogeneic adult stem cell-derived off-the-shelf product for indications in areas of neurological, cardiovascular and inflammatory and immune disorders. Over the course of several years, the Athersys product has been investigated in a preclinical cardiac transplant model [50] and has been administered safely to patients receiving a liver transplant [51]. Outside the organ transplantation field, TiGenix-Takeda received marketing approval in the European Union in 2018 for the first allogeneic MSC product, and in the same year Mesoblast announced the positive results of its open-label Phase III trial in steroid-refractory acute GvHD, demonstrating that corporate involvement can bring cellular therapies closer to the clinic.

Decellularization and recellularization

Organs that are in a severe state of degeneration are unlikely to be responsive to reparative therapies through machine perfusion and adult stem cell therapies. For these organs, radical regenerative strategies are required. Recently, effective protocols for porcine and human livers decellularization have been developed that considerable shorten the duration of decellularization by increased pressure and flow without increased damage to the extracellular matrix [52]. The development of organ decellularization techniques has resulted in the accumulation of knowledge on the generation of acellular scaffolds for application in regenerative medicine, including for recellularization purposes. An interesting initiative in this field is the heterotopic implantation of decellularized hearts with the intent to allow the recipient body to repopulate the scaffold with endothelial and stromal cell types [53]. Other advances in this field are the generation of allogenic hydrogels for applications in tissue engineering, including 3D bioprinting [54].

The emergence of organoids for regenerative research

In recent years, considerable progress has been achieved in creating organ-like structures known as organoids from adult and pluripotent stem cells for virtually all types of tissue [55]. Kidney organoids with a surprising level of complexity can now be created within a few weeks from an undefined clump of pluripotent stem cells [56-59]. While initial work led to the generation of kidney organoids resembling first-trimester kidney tissue in structural organization and gene expression patterns, recent advances in culture protocols are driving differentiation further towards the second-trimester stadium [60]. Furthermore, implantation of organoids and subsequent vascularization in the host has been indicated to steer kidney organoid differentiation towards more maturity [61,62]. Importantly, it has been recently shown that the implantation of kidney organoids for a 5 days period leads to the organization of endogenous endothelial cells [60].

Other surrogates of organ-like microcultures are liver organoids. These can be generated from Leucine rich repeat-containing G protein-coupled receptor 5 (Lgr5⁺) adult liver stem cells or from pluripotent stem cells and resemble the original liver epithelial architecture [63,64]. To implement the use of liver organoids for liver repair, new techniques are being explored including the use of decellularized liver to use as a scaffold for repopulation by organoid-derived cells [65]. Furthermore, improvements in the large-scale expansion of human liver organoids in oxygenated spinner flasks bring the application of these cells for whole-size graft repair in the clinical setting a step closer [66]. Advancements in upscaling have also been made for drug screening on cardiac organoids, where bioengineered human cardiac organoids find use for high-throughput testing of small molecules with pro-regenerative potential to stimulate cardiomyocyte proliferation [67] and long-term expansion methodology for airway organoids to allow disease modelling [68]. Other applications from the field of bioengineering include the implementation of organ-on-a-chip devices to promote kidney organoid vascularization [69] or the application of extrusionbased printing for the generation of kidney organoids aiming to provide solutions for current issues related to organoid inter-batch variability [70].

In the near term, organoids offer an unprecedented opportunity in organ transplantation research, including their application as models for studying organ disease, for (personalized) drug testing or for studying organ

development and physiology. In the future, it is anticipated that organoids will be applied as regenerative therapies. In the field of organ transplantation, this implies repair of aged and diseased transplant organs, and in the treatment of end-stage organ disease patients with an aim to repair organs and to delay or replace transplantation.

Ethical perspective

Developments in regenerative medicine raise many ethical issues including use of animal-testing in clinical research, research using human embryonic stem cells, foetal stems cells or discarded organs, issues with biobanking of 'live' material, informed consent for donation and use, commercialization of human cell-derived products, as well as research integrity and communication with the general public [71]. Human organoids may prove to be a useful alternative to animal models in clinical research and thus impact the ethical discourse on use of animal experiments in medical research. However, the human source of the stem cells used to develop organoids is of importance in this discussion. Similarly, patient or healthy volunteer-derived cellular therapies that replace current medications may increase the demand for human cells and tissues for research and therapies. Depending on the model and therapy, potential cellular sources include autologous tissues, placenta, umbilical cord or embryos. In particular, use of embryonic cells is controversial and views on this practice vary from protection of the human embryo leading to absolute prohibition to acceptance of usage given the potential for reducing human suffering. Ability to use this kind of cells for clinical research will depend on the context and relevant legal framework for that setting. A great step forward has been the generation of iPSC with properties of embryonic stem cells, which reduces the demand for embryonic stem cells. With regard to donor consent, research is needed to explore how donors perceive use of their cells to develop cellular

therapies and what information and consenting process they find preferable. Issues of ownership and benefits from products and potential gains/profit from cellderived therapies also require attention. While cell therapies present potential for innovation in care for patients with organ failure, their efficacy must first be proven in controlled trials [72]. This raises ethical concerns regarding the balance between risks and benefits for participants in (first-in-) human trials, patient selection, equality in access, risk-benefit assessment of treatment options, information provision, minimal requirements for informed consent, invasiveness and burden of the treatment, potential for adverse side-effects, long-term followup, and reimbursement. Ethical issues need to be considered for stem cells donors and participants in trials, as well as for researchers who may face pressure to publish, produce or commercialize their discoveries.

Conclusion

Regenerative medicine is a rapidly evolving tool that will affect the lives of the future organ transplant patient. Whilst regenerative medicine has the potential to make traditional organ transplantation redundant, multiple biological, technical, ethical and medical hurdles must be surmounted. Regenerative medicine will need to move to the forefront of transplantation research in the years to come to make its promises a reality.

Funding

The authors have declared no funding.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare there are no conflicts of interest to report.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dahlke MH, Hoogduijn M, Eggenhofer E, et al. Toward MSC in solid organ transplantation: 2008 position paper of the MISOT study group. Transplantation 2009; 88: 614.
- 2. Hoogduijn MJ, Popp FC, Grohnert A, et al. Advancement of mesenchymal stem cell therapy in solid organ transplantation (MISOT). Transplantation 2010; 90: 124.
- 3. Franquesa M, Hoogduijn MJ, Reinders ME, et al. Mesenchymal Stem Cells in

Solid Organ Transplantation (MiSOT) Fourth Meeting: lessons learned from first clinical trials. Transplantation 2013; 96: 234.

- 4. Moers C, Pirenne J, Paul A, Ploeg RJ, Machine Preservation Trial Study G. Machine perfusion or cold storage in deceased-donor kidney transplantation. N Engl J Med 2012; 366: 770.
- 5. Moers C, Smits JM, Maathuis MH, et al. Machine perfusion or cold storage in

deceased-donor kidney transplantation. N Engl J Med 2009; 360: 7.

- 6. Hosgood SA, Nicholson HF, Nicholson ML. Oxygenated kidney preservation techniques. Transplantation 2012; 93: 455.
- 7. Thuillier R, Allain G, Celhay O, et al. Benefits of active oxygenation during hypothermic machine perfusion of kidneys in a preclinical model of deceased after cardiac death donors. J Surg Res 2013; 184: 1174.

ª 2020 The Authors. Transplant International published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Steunstichting ESOT

- 8. Ardehali A, Esmailian F, Deng M, et al. Ex-vivo perfusion of donor hearts for human heart transplantation (PROCEED II): a prospective, openlabel, multicentre, randomised noninferiority trial. Lancet 2015; 385: 2577.
- 9. Nicholson ML, Hosgood SA. Renal transplantation after ex vivo normothermic perfusion: the first clinical study. Am J Transplant 2013; 13: 1246.
- 10. Bagul A, Hosgood SA, Kaushik M, Kay MD, Waller HL, Nicholson ML. Experimental renal preservation by normothermic resuscitation perfusion with autologous blood. Br J Surg 2008; 95: 111.
- 11. Ravikumar R, Leuvenink H, Friend PJ. Normothermic liver preservation: a new paradigm? Transpl Int 2015; 28: 690.
- 12. Tietjen GT, Hosgood SA, DiRito J, et al. Nanoparticle targeting to the endothelium during normothermic machine perfusion of human kidneys. Sci Transl Med 2017; 9: eaam6764.
- 13. Hosgood SA, Moore T, Kleverlaan T,
Adams T, Nicholson ML Nicholson ML. Haemoadsorption reduces the inflammatory response and improves blood flow during ex vivo renal perfusion in an experimental model. J Transl Med 2017; 15: 216.
- 14. Correa-Costa M, Azevedo H, Amano MT, et al. Transcriptome analysis of renal ischemia/reperfusion injury and its modulation by ischemic preconditioning or hemin treatment. PLoS ONE 2012; 7: e49569.
- 15. Jochmans I, Akhtar MZ, Nasralla D, et al. Past, present, and future of dynamic kidney and liver preservation and resuscitation. Am J Transplant 2016; 16: 2545.
- 16. Nasralla D, Coussios CC, Mergental H, et al. A randomized trial of normothermic preservation in liver transplantation. Nature 2018; 557: 50.
- 17. Schlegel A, Muller X, Kalisvaart M, et al. Outcomes of DCD liver transplantation using organs treated by hypothermic oxygenated perfusion before implantation. J Hepatol 2019; 70: 50.
- 18. Watson CJE, Jochmans I. From, "gut feeling" to objectivity: machine preservation of the liver as a tool to assess organ viability. Curr Transplant Rep 2018; 5: 72.
- 19. Grange C, Bellucci L, Bussolati B, Ranghino A. Potential applications of extracellular vesicles in solid organ transplantation. Cells 2020; 9: 369.
- 20. Reinders MEJ, van Kooten C, Rabelink TJ, de Fijter JW. Mesenchymal stromal cell therapy for solid organ

transplantation. Transplantation 2018; 102: 35.

- 21. Casiraghi F, Perico N, Remuzzi G. Mesenchymal stromal cells for tolerance induction in organ transplantation. Hum Immunol 2018; 79: 304.
- 22. Perico N, Casiraghi F, Gotti E, et al. Mesenchymal stromal cells and kidney transplantation: pretransplant infusion protects from graft dysfunction while fostering immunoregulation. Transpl Int 2013; 26: 867.
- 23. Perico N, Casiraghi F, Introna M, et al. Autologous mesenchymal stromal cells and kidney transplantation: a pilot study of safety and clinical feasibility. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2011; 6: 412.
- 24. Hoogduijn MJ, Crop MJ, Korevaar SS, et al. Susceptibility of human mesenchymal stem cells to tacrolimus, mycophenolic acid, and rapamycin. Transplantation 2008; 86: 1283.
- 25. Buron F, Perrin H, Malcus C, et al. Human mesenchymal stem cells and immunosuppressive drug interactions in allogeneic responses: an in vitro study using human cells. Transplant Proc 2009; 41: 3347.
- 26. Perico N, Casiraghi F, Todeschini M, et al. Long-term clinical and immunological profile of kidney transplant patients given mesenchymal stromal cell immunotherapy. Front Immunol 2018; 9: 1359.
- 27. Casiraghi F, Perico N, Gotti E, et al. Kidney transplant tolerance associated with remote autologous mesenchymal stromal cell administration. Stem Cells Transl Med 2020; 9: 427.
- 28. Reinders ME, Bank JR, Dreyer GJ, et al. Autologous bone marrow derived mesenchymal stromal cell therapy in combination with everolimus to preserve renal structure and function in renal transplant recipients. J Transl Med 2014; 12: 331.
- 29. Avivar-Valderas Alvaro, Martín-Martín Cristina, Ramírez Cristina, et al. Dissecting Allo-sensitization after local administration of human allogeneic adipose mesenchymal stem cells in perianal fistulas of Crohn's disease patients. Front Immunol 2019; 10: 1.
- 30. Erpicum P, Weekers L, Detry O, et al. Infusion of third-party mesenchymal stromal cells after kidney transplantation: a phase I-II, openlabel, clinical study. Kidney Int 2019; 95: 693.
- 31. Reinders ME, Dreyer GJ, Bank JR, et al. Safety of allogeneic bone marrow derived mesenchymal stromal cell therapy in renal transplant recipients: the neptune study. J Transl Med 2015; 13: 344.
- 32. Konala VB, Mamidi MK, Bhonde R, Das AK, Pochampally R, Pal R. The current landscape of the mesenchymal stromal cell secretome: a new paradigm for cell-free regeneration. Cytotherapy 2016; 18: 13.
- 33. Bruno S, Deregibus MC, Camussi G. The secretome of mesenchymal stromal cells: role of extracellular
vesicles in immunomodulation immunomodulation. Immunol Lett 2015; 168: 154.
- 34. Eggenhofer E, Benseler V, Kroemer A, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells are short-lived and do not migrate beyond the lungs after intravenous infusion. Front Immunol 2012; 3: 297.
- 35. Galleu A, Riffo-Vasquez Y, Trento C, et al. Apoptosis in mesenchymal stromal cells induces in vivo recipientmediated immunomodulation. Sci Transl Med 2017; 9: eaam7828.
- 36. de Witte SFH, Luk F, Sierra Parraga JM, et al. Immunomodulation by therapeutic mesenchymal stromal cells (MSC) is triggered through phagocytosis of MSC By monocytic cells. Stem Cells 2018; 36: 602.
- 37. Cheung TS, Galleu A, von Bonin M, Bornhauser M, Dazzi F. Apoptotic mesenchymal stromal cells induce prostaglandin E2 in monocytes: implications for the monitoring of mesenchymal stromal cell activity. Haematologica 2019; 104: e438.
- 38. Leibacher J, Dauber K, Ehser S, et al. Human mesenchymal stromal cells undergo apoptosis and fragmentation after intravenous application in immune-competent mice. Cytotherapy 2017; 19: 61.
- 39. Lopez-Santalla M, Menta R, Mancheno-Corvo P, et al. Adiposederived mesenchymal stromal cells modulate experimental autoimmune arthritis by inducing an early regulatory innate cell signature. Immun Inflamm Dis 2016; 4: 213.
- 40. Nakajima H, Uchida K, Guerrero AR, et al. Transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells promotes an alternative pathway of macrophage activation and functional recovery after spinal cord injury. J Neurotrauma 2012; 29: 1614.
- 41. Qiu X, Liu S, Zhang H, et al.
Mesenchymal stem cells and Mesenchymal extracellular matrix scaffold promote muscle regeneration by synergistically regulating macrophage polarization toward the M2 phenotype. Stem Cell Res Ther 2018; 9: 88.
- 42. Verstegen MMA, Mezzanotte L, Ridwan RY, et al. First report on ex vivo delivery of paracrine active human mesenchymal stromal cells to liver grafts during machine perfusion. Transplantation 2020; 104: e5.

Transplant International 2020; 33: 833–840 839

- 43. Pool M, Eertman T, Sierra Parraga J, et al. Infusing mesenchymal stromal cells into porcine kidneys during normothermic machine perfusion: intact MSCs can be traced and localised to glomeruli. Int J Mol Sci 2019; 20: 3607.
- 44. Sierra-Parraga JM, Munk A, Andersen C, et al. Mesenchymal stromal cells are retained in the porcine renal cortex independently of their metabolic state after renal intra-arterial infusion. Stem Cells Dev 2019; 28: 1224.
- 45. Sierra Parraga JM, Rozenberg K, Eijken M, et al. Effects of normothermic machine perfusion conditions on mesenchymal stromal cells. Front Immunol 2019; 10: 765.
- 46. Mathiasen AB, Qayyum AA, Jorgensen E, et al. Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cell treatment in patients with ischaemic heart failure: final 4-year follow-up of the MSC-HF trial. Eur J Heart Fail 2019. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.1700) [org/10.1002/ejhf.1700](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.1700)
- 47. Qayyum AA, Mathiasen AB, Helqvist S, et al. Autologous adipose-derived stromal cell treatment for patients with refractory angina (MyStromalCell Trial): 3-years follow-up results. J Transl Med 2019; 17: 360.
- 48. Kastrup J, Schou M, Gustafsson I, et al. Rationale and design of the first double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with allogeneic adipose tissue-derived stromal cell therapy in patients with ischemic heart failure: a Phase II Danish Multicentre Study. Stem Cells Int 2017; 2017: 8506370.
- 49. Paitazoglou C, Bergmann MW, Vrtovec B, et al. Rationale and design of the European multicentre study on Stem Cell Therapy in IschEmic Nontreatable Cardiac diseasE (SCIENCE). Eur J Heart Fail 2019; 21: 1032.
- 50. Eggenhofer E, Popp FC, Mendicino M, et al. Heart grafts tolerized through thirdparty multipotent adult progenitor cells can be retransplanted to secondary hosts with no immunosuppression. Stem Cells Transl Med 2013; 2: 595.
- 51. Soeder Y, Loss M, Johnson CL, et al. Firstin-human case study: multipotent adult

progenitor cells for immunomodulation after liver transplantation. Stem Cells Transl Med 2015; 4: 899.
Willemse J. Verstegen

- 52. Willemse J, Verstegen MMA, Vermeulen A, et al. Fast, robust and effective decellularization of whole human livers using mild detergents and pressure controlled perfusion. Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl 2020; 108: 110200.
- 53. Taylor DA, Frazier OH, Elgalad A, Hochman-Mendez C, Sampaio LC. Building a total bioartificial heart: harnessing nature to overcome the current hurdles. Artif Organs 2018; 42: 970.
- 54. Garreta E, Oria R, Tarantino C, et al. Tissue engineering by decellularization and 3D bioprinting. Materialstoday 2017; 20: 166.
- 55. Clevers H. Modeling development and disease with organoids. Cell 2016; 165: 1586.
- 56. Takasato M, Er PX, Becroft M, et al. Directing human embryonic stem cell differentiation towards a renal lineage generates a self-organizing kidney. Nat Cell Biol 2014; 16: 118.
- 57. Takasato M, Er PX, Chiu HS, et al. Kidney organoids from human iPS cells contain multiple lineages and model human nephrogenesis. Nature 2015; 526: 564.
- 58. Yamaguchi S, Morizane R, Homma K, et al. Generation of kidney tubular organoids from human pluripotent stem cells. Sci Rep 2016; 6: 38353.
- 59. Morizane R, Lam AQ, Freedman BS, Kishi S, Valerius MT, Bonventre JV. Nephron organoids derived from human pluripotent stem cells model kidney development and injury. Nat Biotechnol 2015; 33: 1193.
- 60. Garreta E, Prado P, Tarantino C, et al.
Fine tuning the extracellular Fine tuning the environment accelerates the derivation of kidney organoids from human pluripotent stem cells. Nat Mater 2019; 18: 397.
- 61. van den Berg CW, Ritsma L, Avramut MC, et al. Renal subcapsular transplantation of PSC-derived kidney organoids induces Neo-vasculogenesis and significant glomerular and tubular

maturation in vivo. Stem Cell Reports 2018; 10: 751.

- 62. Xinaris C, Benedetti V, Rizzo P, et al. In vivo maturation of functional renal organoids formed from embryonic cell suspensions. J Am Soc Nephrol 2012; 23: 1857.
- 63. Asai A, Aihara E, Watson C, et al. Paracrine signals regulate human liver organoid maturation from induced pluripotent stem cells. Development 2017; 144: 1056.
- 64. Huch M, Gehart H, van Boxtel R, et al. Long-term culture of genomestable bipotent stem cells from adult human liver. Cell 2015; 160: 299.
- 65. Willemse J, Lieshout R, van der Laan LJW, Verstegen MMA. From organoids to organs: Bioengineering liver grafts from hepatic stem cells and matrix. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2017; 31: 151.
- 66. Schneeberger K, Sanchez-Romero N, Ye S, et al. Large-scale production of LGR5-positive bipotential human liver stem cells. Hepatology 2019. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.31037) [org/10.1002/hep.31037](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.31037)
- 67. Mills RJ, Parker BL, Quaife-Ryan GA, et al. Drug screening in human PSCcardiac organoids identifies proproliferative compounds acting via the mevalonate pathway. Cell Stem Cell 2019; 24: 895 e6.
- 68. Sachs N, Papaspyropoulos A, Zomervan Ommen DD, et al. Long-term expanding human airway organoids for disease modeling. EMBO J 2019; 38: e100300.
- 69. Homan KA, Gupta N, Kroll KT, et al. Flow-enhanced vascularization and maturation of kidney organoids in vitro. Nat Methods 2019; 16: 255.
- 70. Phipson B, Er PX, Combes AN, et al. Evaluation of variability in human kidney organoids. Nat Methods 2019; 16: 79.
- 71. Bredenoord AL, Clevers H, Knoblich JA. Human tissues in a dish: the research and ethical implications of organoid technology. Science 2017; 355: eaaf9414.
- 72. Dresser R. First-in-human trial participants: not a vulnerable population, but vulnerable nonetheless. J Law Med Ethics 2009; 37: 38.