

Buddhist homiletics on gambling: (*Saddharmaparikathā, ch. 12) Szántó, P.D.

Citation

Szántó, P. D. (2022). Buddhist homiletics on gambling: (*Saddharmaparikathā, ch. 12). *Indo-Iranian Journal*, 65, 340-387. doi:10.1163/15728536-06504002

Version: Publisher's Version

License: <u>Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license</u>
Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3494230

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).





Buddhist Homiletics on Gambling (*Saddharmaparikathā, Ch. 12)

Péter-Dániel Szántó | ORCID: 0000-0001-7445-533X Universiteit Leiden, Leiden, The Netherlands; Eötvös Loránd Tudományegyetem, Budapest, Hungary p.d.szanto@hum.leidenuniv.nl

Abstract

The paper focuses on the 12th chapter of the *Saddharmaparikath \bar{a} , a Buddhist homileticians' guidebook containing sample sermons, dealing with the topic of gambling ($dy\bar{u}ta$). I edit, translate, and discuss the chapter with an introduction that includes a short overview of gambling in Sanskrit literature at large. The anonymous author is dismissive of gambling in all its forms, whether it is practised for material gain, for mere pleasure, and even if studied as an art. In spite of its exiguity, his discussion of the topic is, as far as we are aware, the most comprehensive in classical Buddhist literature.

Keywords

Buddhist literature – Buddhist homiletics – Sanskrit manuscripts – gambling – preaching

• • •

'And was there a lot in the bank?' asked Švejk calmly. 'Didn't you get a chance of being Forehand very often? If the right card doesn't come it's very bad, but sometimes it's awful when the cards are too good. At Zderaz there was once a tinsmith called Vejvoda ...'

JAROSLAV HAŠEK, The Good Soldier Švejk, tr. Cecil Parrott

• •

1 Introduction

In 2021, I published an article¹ introducing a theretofore completely unstudied anonymous Sanskrit work, for which I reconstructed the title * $Saddharma-parikath\bar{a}$, "Sermons on the True Law". This substantial (yet very likely unfinished) text is a Buddhist homileticians' guidebook with sample sermons on a rich variety of topics. I argued that it dates from around the 5th century CE and that it was possibly authored in a Saṃmatīya/Sāṃmitīya environment.² I discussed the unique 11th-century manuscript transmitting the text, the structure and contents of the work, what information it can provide for the tradition of preaching, and its importance for Buddhist studies. To this introductory study I appended the edition and translation of a sample chapter (ch. 11), which discusses grief (\acute{soka}).

In the present paper, I discuss the subsequent chapter (ch. 12), which deals with the perils of gambling ($dy\bar{u}t\bar{a}d\bar{i}nava$). There are two chief reasons why I chose to edit this as the second sample from this important work. The problem of gambling and especially problem gambling loom large in Sanskrit literature, but it would seem that we lacked a more developed Buddhist discussion of the issue. The topic is also one of only two in the entire * $Saddharmaparikath\bar{a}$ which might be thought of as secular (the other being refutations of barbaric linguistic usage, ch. 10), although of course the preacher's response is framed in religious ethics.

The chapter does not have an independent title, but from the introductory words we can extrapolate something like $dy\bar{u}t\bar{a}d\bar{i}navapradarśana$, "A Teaching on the Perils of Gambling." The author does not specify what kind of gambling he had in mind. Strictly speaking, he uses the word $dy\bar{u}ta$, but I interpret this as a meronym for gambling, since dice-play was the most prominent of such games. The Pali Canon too³ thinks of $j\bar{u}ta$ (the more common Pali equivalent of Skt. $dy\bar{u}ta$) as encapsulating a whole host of board games and the like.⁴ This is consonant with Brahmanical usage, in which $dy\bar{u}ta$ epitomises all kinds of gambling in which the objects of the wager are inanimate, whereas $sam\bar{u}hvaya$ means betting on the animate, for example bull-fights or

¹ Szántó 2021.

² In the meantime, a short but comprehensive overview of what we know about Sāmmitīyas has been published, see Skilling 2023.

³ E.g. DN i.6, passim.

⁴ E.g. aṭṭhapada, dasapada, etc. Cf. Saṅghabhedavastu (Gnoli 1977–1978, 2: 235–236): tadya-thā aṣṭāpade daśapade ākarṣaṇe pare ghaṭike cale muṣkale akṣavaṅkānucarite śalākāhaste yathāpi vā prayojayanti eke ity apy evaṃrūpāt śramaṇo vañcakadyūtasamārambhānuyogāt prativirato bhavati.

cock-fights.⁵ I see no signs that the author may have intended to include the latter, but this is not impossible. Ultimately, the precise object of the discussion is immaterial, because the author focuses on the psychological and social dimensions of games of hazard. As for $\bar{a}d\bar{u}nava$, a predominantly Buddhist word,⁶ no single English term can mirror it appositely; it generally denotes an unfavourable karmic consequence in this life or the next, and it is usually rendered as 'peril(/s)' or 'danger(/s)'. It figures prominently in *Karmavibhanga*-type literature, where its pair and opposite is *anuśanṣā*.⁷

The chapter on gambling is comparatively short, a mere 14 stanzas and some prose passages without any explicit canonical quotations. However, at least according to my knowledge, it is still the most extensive treatment of gambling in classical Buddhist literature. Before going into details, I will give a short overview of the sermon.

The author starts without an *exordium*, opting instead to dive straight into the *narratio* via a short opening verse ending with a rhetorical question (12.1) and then an extensive series of metaphors for gambling in two long stanzas (12.2–3). In answer to a question about how a gambler could ever find happiness, the following two verses (12.4–5) list the disadvantages he incurs.

The discussion continues with three objections and their refutation.

The first objection challenges the third item in the list: surely, it is not always the case that one loses everything in gambling, indeed, one might even win handsomely. Three characters are evoked, two from the *Mahābhārata* (Puṣkara who defeated Nala and Śakuni who defeated Yudhiṣṭhira), and one from Buddhist *jātaka* literature (the *yakṣa* Pūrṇaka). Once this is established, continues the objector, the other items on the list too are refuted. The author retorts elegantly, pointing out that a wise man can never base his profit on chance. He then describes the wretched fate of gamblers in very convincing images, also pointing out that Yudhiṣṭhira's and Nala's exile were the result of gambling. Moreover, "the house always wins" as it were, because the habitual gambler will stake and inevitably lose his previous gains and loss of wealth will lead to further vices. Indeed, ultimately death is preferable to ill-gotten gains (12.6–7),

⁵ Kane 1946: 538. For other words related to gambling, see Patkar 1963: 147–153.

⁶ Edgerton 1953, sub voce.

⁷ For this corpus, see Lévi 1932, Kudo 2004, and Kudo's subsequent work, most recently 2021a and 2021b.

⁸ Using a term from classical homiletics, I designated these passages as *textus*. If my hypothesis is correct, these must stem from a Saṃmatīya canon, which, as we are becoming increasingly aware (e.g. Dimitrov 2020), may have been by and large similar to the Theravāda *Tipiṭaka*. As I show, the chapter uses at least one *textus* passage, but without directly evoking it. In what follows, I also adopt other terms from Western homiletics, e.g. *exordium*, *peroratio*.

for a greedy gambler will renounce many of his virtues and become capable of almost anything (12.8).

A second objection follows: what if one gambles for pleasure and not for gain? The author retorts that this kind of pleasure is that of base men; a virtuous person would not even think of it (12.9), since he prefers to find joy in virtuous deeds, as sinful pleasure inevitably leads to rebirth in the lower realms (12.10). Besides, how could one entertain any kind of pleasure whilst fully aware that death and rebirth are near (12.11)?

The objector tries his luck for a third and final time: what if one simply wishes to master the ways of gambling as an art? The author discards the argument by implying that the arts are waste of time and that one would do better seeing to something truly useful (12.12). For pleasure without any benefit is useless (12.13). One should rather see to one's religious duties, forsake sin, and cultivate virtue (12.14). With this *peroratio*, the chapter comes to an end.

The first question I wish to address is this: what kind of canonical source material could our preacher have used to construct his sermon? While gambling imagery is used in several places in the Pali Canon,9 I could find only one passage which discusses its perils directly. This is, unsurprisingly, in the Sigālaka-sutta or Sigālovāda-suttanta (DN 31), a discourse widely seen as the paradigmatic teaching directed at householders and their lifestyle. This text, styled the Vinaya of Householders (qihivinaya) at least since Buddhaghosa, clearly existed in various sectarian versions and it was very popular. 10 Indeed, it would seem that our anonymous author also knew it well.

The taxonomical framework for discussing gambling in the canonical text (i.e. the Sigālaka-sutta) is a list of six so-called apāyamukhas (cha bhogānaṃ apāyamukhāni), that is to say "drains on wealth" or "ways in which one decreases one's substance," namely drinking, roaming the streets an unseemly hours, frequenting festivals, gambling, the company of wicked friends, and habitual laziness. 11 This is at odds with the Brahmanical conceptual framework, which will be discussed below.

⁹ E.g. MN iii.170, 178, also see Lüders 1907: 62-63.

For a survey of surviving materials, see Hartmann and Wille 2006. This discourse also 10 bears the distinction of being one of the earliest suttas to be translated and discussed in modern scholarly literature, see Gogerly 1846-1847 (reproduced in Grimblot 1876: 311-320) and Childers 1875-1876. It was also enormously influential in classical times: the pre-13th-century Sinhalese Karmavibhāgaya reproduces some of its passages word-forword, including the one on the six apāyas. Incidentally, the oldest manuscript of this work (indeed, to date the oldest known Sinhala manuscript) was recovered from Tibet, see Gunawardana 1981 and Bechert et al. 1991.

As already noted by Lindtner (1982: 221), this textus (or, more precisely, one of its sectarian 11

Our anonymous author must have been quite aware of this passage, at least in some form, that is to say, in the version of his canon. In his discussion on the evils of drinking, 12 we find the following *textus*:

cha ime gṛhapatiputra ādīnavā sāṃdṛṣṭikā dhanajyānī. 13

This is then followed by a verse listing loss of wealth and five more unfavourable outcomes: increase of quarrels, indecent exposure, infamy, loss of mental faculties, and susceptibility to illnesses. ¹⁴ This is a clear match with DN iii.182–183:

Cha kho'me, gahapati-putta, ādīnavā surā-meraya-majja-pamāda-ṭṭhānā-nuyoge: sandiṭṭhikā dhanañjāni, kalaha-ppavaḍḍhanī, rogānaṃ āyata-naṃ, akitti-sañjananī, kopīna-niddaṃsanī, paññāya dubbalī-karaṇī tv eva chaṭṭhaṃ padaṃ bhavati. Ime kho gahapati-putta cha ādīnavā surā-mera-ya-majja-pamāda-ṭṭhānānuyogo.

relatives) is the background to Nāgārjuna's *Suhṛllekha* st. 33; see Hahn and Dietz 2008: 16, 303-305. The Sanskrit original of this crucial text has resurfaced only very recently, see Dngos grub tshe ring 2020; for the stanza in question (numerated 34 in the Sanskrit), see pp. 146–147. The editor prints the verse as follows:

dyūtam samājadarśanam ālabhyāñ ca kumitrasevā madyam | caryāñ caiva vikāle sad apāyān pariharasva tān akīrtiyasah ||

The text is clearly in need of emendation. We should most likely constitute the text thus (I intend to deal with the philological details elsewhere):

dyūtaṃ samājadarśanam ālasyaṃ pāpamitrasevāṃ madyam | caryāṃ caiva vikāle ṣaḍ apāyān pariharasva tān kīrtimuṣaḥ ||

In st. 12.14c in our text, the $\bar{a}di$ possibly alludes to this sextet. The $Ratn\bar{a}val\bar{a}$ too contains a verse on the evils of gambling (2.47), but it is not (yet) available in Sanskrit (for the Tibetan and the Chinese, see Hahn 1982: 59, 174): "Gambling is a source of greed, unpleasantness, hatred, treachery, trickery, restlessness, an enabler of lies, idle chatter, and harsh words. Therefore it should be avoided at all times." For a translation from the Chinese, see Dharmamitra (2009: 76–77, 209): "Competitive gaming and other such entertainments / Generate covetousness, ill-will, distress, and deviousness. / They are causes for deceptiveness, falseness, and harsh speech. / Therefore one should always keep one's distance from them."

- This is *Saddharmaparikathā, the 10th and final disposition of ch. 5 (Ms 33^{v_3} – 34^{v_4}), the overall topic of which is morality (\hat{sila}).
- 13 Ms 34^r4-5
- The verse $(5.128, Ms 34^r5)$ in the *śikharini* metre is broken at the end:

dhanajyāniḥ sadyaḥ kalahaparivṛddhir madavaśād apeto hrīkatvād api sadasi kaupīnavivṛtiḥ | akīrter udbhūtir matiguṇavipattiḥ smṛtivadhād abhiṣvaṅgān madye bhavati ca rujām āyatanam ||

At present, I have no solution to this metrical problem.

There are, young householder, these six dangers through the being addicted to intoxicating liquors:—actual loss of wealth, increase of quarrels, susceptibility to disease, loss of good character, indecent exposure, impaired intelligence. [These are, young householder, the six dangers through the being addicted to intoxicating liquors.]¹⁵

However, the author's awareness of the canonical text is not entirely evident from the present chapter. His stt. 4 and 5 list the disadvantages incurred by the gambler, and while this series does have a canonical echo, the parallel is, somewhat curiously, not exact. Here is the relevant passage of the six ādīnavas of gambling in the Sigālaka-sutta, DN iii. 183:

Cha kho 'me gahapati-putta ādīnavā jūta-ppamāda-tthānānuyoge: jayam veram pasavati, jino vittam anusocati, sandiţţhikā dhanañjāni, sabhāgatassa vacanam na rūhati, mittāmaccānam paribhūto hoti, āvāha-vivāhakānam apatthito hoti, akkha-dhutto purisa-puggalo nālam dārābharaṇāyāti. Ime kho gahapati-putta cha ādīnavā jūta-ppamāda-tthānānuyoge.

Six, young householder, are the perils for him who is infatuated with gambling: as winner he begets hatred; when beaten he mourns his lost wealth; his actual substance is wasted; his word has no weight in a court of law; he is despised by friends and officials; he is not sought after by those who would give or take in marriage, for they would say that a man who is a gambler cannot afford to keep a wife. [These are, young householder, the six perils for him who is infatuated with gambling.]16

Tr. from Rhys Davids and Rhys Davids 1921: 175. For another translation, see Walshe 1987: 15 462 or Gethin 2008: 131. The translators most sensibly omit the repetition at the end (here I restored it for the sake of consistency).

¹⁶ Tr. Rhys Davids and Rhys Davids 1921: 175-176. Walshe's translation (1987: 463) differs slightly:

There are these six dangers attached to gambling: the winner makes enemies, the loser bewails his loss, one wastes one's present wealth, one's word is not trusted in the assembly, one is despised by one's friends and companions, one is not in demand for marriage, because a gambler cannot afford to maintain a wife.

Also cf. the translation by Gethin (2008: 132):

Young householder, there are these six dangers in being devoted to the recklessness of gambling: if one wins one engenders hatred, if one loses one bemoans the things lost, one's wealth diminishes, one's word has no authority in an assembly, one is despised by one's friends and companions, one is not considered a desirable marriage partner, since the gambling man does not have the means to support a wife.

The two stanzas in our chapter seem to encapsulate the same list of six, except for the fourth item, namely the gambler's word not carrying weight in a court of law. Depending on how one understands the enclitic ca, 5b might just be interpreted to contain both, but the phrasing in the subsequent objection disproves this. It follows then that the preacher's canon either did not contain the fourth element or—and I find this more plausible—he chose to ignore it. Perhaps he did not wish to express an opinion about whose testimony carries weight in court, as our preacher, a Buddhist in minority, is in general quite cautious not to get involved in matters of authority.

With the possible canonical background out of the way, we might now ask: why does the author place this discussion immediately after addressing grief $(\acute{s}oka)$ and why does he discuss gambling at all?

I cannot answer the first question conclusively. It is possible that one topic following the other is $prasang\bar{a}t$, for $\acute{s}oka$ includes not only bereavement at the death of a loved one, but also mourning material loss. If this is so, the two chapters might form some kind of conceptual pair. In any case, they do not seem to be related to the final three chapters, which do form a thematic group, inasmuch as they discuss the futility of a triad of Brahmanical acts of faith, namely bathing at sacred fords, self-immolation in fire, and fasting. 17

We might perhaps fare better with the second question. Doubtless signalling the presence of an extensive social problem, gambling is a major topic in Sanskrit literature, so in some ways it was inevitable that a Buddhist author too would at some point address the issue.

Any such account must necessarily begin with the famous "Gamester's Lament" (*Rgveda* 10.34), one of the most curious and interesting hymns of the ancient corpus.¹⁸ As noted by the most recent translators:

The relevant passage in the Sinhalese $Karmavibh\bar{a}gaya$ (see n. 10 here) is practically a translation of the above. I thank Aruna Keerthi Gamage for checking an edition (Medauyangoda Wimalakeerthi and Nahinne Sominda, eds., $Karma\,vibh\bar{a}gaya$, Colombo: M.D. Gunasena, 1961: 70, ll. 19–26) and presenting me with a draft translation. The interpretation of $sabh\bar{a}$ as "court of law" follows Buddhaghosa's explanation (Sv III 946); the other two translations listed here prefer a more general (and perhaps indeed more plausible) "assembly". The translations are also at odds concerning $mitt\bar{a}macca$; it is more likely that we should interpret this as "friends and compations/housemates". I thank Martin Straube for these two observations.

¹⁷ A rather remarkable parallel discussion can be found in Bhāviveka's *Madhyamakahṛdaya*, 9.120–131 (Lindtner 2001: 42–45; for an in-depth discussion, see Ham 2016). Many of the arguments brought forward here foreshadow Bhāviveka's critique; I intend to deal with this in depth in a next installment.

The literature on this poem is rather large. I have consulted the translations of Jamison and Brereton (2014: 1429–1432) and that of Basham (1954: 405–407); also see Raghavan

The monologue form found here is almost unprecedented in the *Rayeda*, and the shifting play of emotions—guilty excitement, tender remembrance of his past life and family, scorn for his own weakness and the disgust he arouses in others, bitter anger at the inanimate dice that brought him low, to which he ascribes agency and malign intention and for which he still longs—is psychologically convincing and very moving.¹⁹

The background and role of the hymn is obscure. Basham's guess was as follows:

Probably the poem was originally a spell to ensure success in gaming, addressed to the vibhīdaka nuts themselves. This was converted by an anonymous poet into a cautionary poem, which obtained a place in the Rg Veda on account of its reference to the god Savitr as attempting to reform the gamester.²⁰

While our author does not seem to be aware of this hymn, the motifs he addresses—the addict's misery, wailing for lost wealth, being ostracised by one's kinfolk—are remarkably similar. Otherwise, the Atharvaveda contains several passages related to gambling magic.²¹

As is well known, dice play a central part in the plot of the *Mahābhārata*, the famous game being the chief cause for the Pāṇḍavas' exile, and they were also responsible for the initial misfortunes of king Nala.²² There is no need to insist on these two tales, as they are known to any student of Sanskrit and they are often invoked as a warning against the dangers of the dice.²³ Our author and his audience too knew these famous stories well, as they are discussed in passing.

^{1979: 18-21,} Patkar 1963: 141-142, as well as many others, as listed by Handelman and Shulman (1997: 7, n. 6), especially Falk 1986: 181-187. The overview I present henceforth is in some ways similar to Piovano 2010, an article I was not aware of during the time of writing, and possibly Panduranga Bhatta's monograph (The Dice Play in Sanskrit Literature, Delhi: Amar Prakashan, 1985) quoted by Piovano, which I could not access. I thank Martin Straube for pointing me to this paper.

Jamison and Brereton 2014: 1430. 19

Basham 1954: 405.

Whitney and Lanman 1905: 214-216, 279, 296-297, passim; Falk 1986: 175-181. 21

Van Buitenen 1975: 106-169, 330-333; Raghavan 1979: 42-44; for the former, also see Syed 22

E.g. Sūktiratnahāra ch. 50 (Sāmbaśiva Śāstrī 1938: 76-77). 23

Several *dharmaśāstra* authors discuss problems related to gambling.²⁴ The earliest such authority is possibly Āpastamba (2.25.12-13), who gives matterof-fact injunctions for the construction of a gambling table in an assembly hall.²⁵ Gautama forbids gambling for students (2.17), states that such debts of a deceased man must be honoured by his sons (12.41), and forbids extending a charitable meal to gamesters (15.18).²⁶ Baudhāyana (2.2.15–17) prescribes expiation for gambling.²⁷ The most extreme view is that of Manu, who advocates for a complete ban and harsh punishment, even if gambling (and betting) was for harmless entertainment.²⁸ But this seems to have been a minority opinion, as noted for example by Brhaspati.²⁹ Judging by the epigraphical record, the ban, although the view of the paradigmatic authority, was never enforced. One possible exception I am aware of is an injunction on the early 13th-century Purshottampuri plates, which forbids gambling, but only on the land donated by the said document; in other words, the ban's range was rather limited.³⁰ Another document, the 8th- or 9th-century Sirpur stone-slab inscription, seems to suggest that the rights to continued enjoyment of a donation could be terminated if the donee's descendants were to be found addicts to gambling (and other serious vices), since they are described as tatputrapautraih ... dvūta[ve]śvādvanāsaktair.31

Kauṭilya, ever the pragmatist, deals with gambling in many instances.³² While he saw gambling as the most serious of human vices, he prescribes that the state should draw taxes from it through the office of the Revenue Col-

²⁴ Kane 1946: 538-542; Patkar 1963: 143-146.

²⁵ Olivelle 1999: 69.

Olivelle 1999: 81, 99 (Vasiṣṭha cites what sounds like an opposing view to this rule, see 291–292), 105.

²⁷ Olivelle 1999: 169.

²⁸ Manusmṛti 9.221–228, see Olivelle 2005: 15, 201–202, 787–788. The commentaries I could consult (Dave 1982: 185–189) are surprisingly anodyne, with the possible exception of Bhāruci ad st. 221 (Derrett 1975: 1, 187 and 2, 224), but here the text seems to me corrupt, especially in light of the newly discovered witness (Jagannatha 2020: 439). Also see the discussion in Olivelle 2013: 24.

He mentions that others authorities allow it (*dyūtaṃ niṣiddhaṃ Manunā ... abhyanu-jñātam anyais tu*), as noted by Kane (1946: 539) and then again by Olivelle (2005: 331).

³⁰ Mirashi 1938–1939: 218, 225; Bandyopadhyay 1971: 148–149.

³¹ St. 31 in Hiralal 1911–1912: 192, 197; also mentioned by Bandyopadhyay (1971: 148) and Bronkhorst (2008: 143 and 2011: 82–83).

Olivelle 2013: 89–90 (according to the teachings of the Āmbhīyas, a secret agent should entice the heir apparent with gambling, liquor, and women), 214 (gambling debts to be settled by the inheritors), 234 (a man under suspicion of being a gambling addict could be arrested), 266 (not enabling one's monarch in his addiction to dice), 437 (gambling disputes bring ruin to ruling powers), etc.

lector, 33 and describes the duties of the Superintendent of Gambling whose responsibility was to ensure that the practice is under state supervision.³⁴ The epigraphic record attests that the state could and did tax gambling.³⁵ The income was probably rather handsome. On the two-part Jhalrapathan stoneslab inscription (late 7th or early 8th c.), 36 one Voppaka, the donor of a temple dedicated to Śiva, seems to be described as a casino manager of sorts for kings with rich treasuries (pravrddhakośaksitipadyūtasabhā[pa]tir). Stt. 7–9 of the first inscription disclose that he financed the construction of the edifice in order to escape from birth and death, realising that dharma (in this case, its charity aspect) is one's only true friend. The text does not clearly state that the donation was made from his income as a *dyūtasabhā*[pa]ti, but I believe that it is not unreasonable to think that this was implied.³⁷

Overall, the Brahmanical concept was that gambling was a serious vice (vyasana). The taxonomical framework under which this is discussed differs from the Buddhist one we saw above. According to both dharmaśāstra and *arthaśāstra* authors, addictive vices stem from either desire/passion (*rāga*) or wrath (krodha), which, at least according to a view approved by Manu, both are rooted in greed (lobha). The latter group (stemming from wrath) consists of eight or three vices (these are of no concern to our discussion), whereas the former consists of ten or four; in the second case, these are hunting, gambling, women, and drinking (as listed by Kautilya) or drinking, gambling, women, and hunting (as listed by Manu). While the shorter set is the same for both authorities, the order in which they are listed is important, because we see profuse traces of vigorous discussions concerning the relative demerits of each.³⁸ For Manu, the ultimate vice is drinking, while for Kautilya, it is the dice.

Olivelle 2013: 109. 33

Olivelle 2013: 220-221. 34

See the references collected in Bandyopadhyay 1971: 149-151. Yājñavalkya and his commen-35 tators discuss the rates in some detail.

Bühler 1876; also mentioned in Bandyopadhyay 1971: 149 and recently discussed by Cecil 36 (2020: 92-93). The dating is uncertain because the era is not mentioned, otherwise the year is 746.

However, it should also be mentioned that Bühler thought that the compound in ques-37 tion, which he translated as "a bank-holder during the gaming-parties of rich kings", need not be taken literally (1876: 180, emphasis in the original): "[...] the rank of the person who built the temple is not clearly stated. I don't think that he really was the keeper of a gambling-house for rich kings. It seems to me much more likely that he was a great courtofficer or general who played an important part in the political games of the Thâkurs or feudatories of Durgagana. But what his office precisely was must for the present remain doubtful." One rarely dares to disagree with Bühler, but I think that in this instance he was

³⁸ For Kautilya, see Olivelle 2013: 336-339 (although some have argued that this passage is

Our author—while aware of the *Manusmrti*, which he quotes elsewhere,³⁹ as well as some kind of arthaśāstra⁴⁰—is silent on the lawmakers' and policymakers' views, but otherwise his argumentation clearly favours complete abstinence from gambling. He was also very likely aware of the conceptual framework of vyasanas, 41 but he chose not to adopt it. He did not have to. In his Buddhist thinking, killing, fornication, and drinking are dealt with under the heading of morality, which is dealt with in ch. 5 of his work: the hunt is subsumed under *prānātipātavirati*, the topic of the 6th disposition, the vice of women is a topic for *kāmamithyācāra*, which is discussed in the 8th disposition (Ms 31^v2-32^r2), whereas drinking, as already mentioned, is the subject of the 10th disposition (Ms 33^v3-34^v3).⁴² Moreover, the hunt was a *par excellence* a royal vice; royalty was neither our preacher's intended audience, nor would he have dared in his position to tell monarchs explicitly what pastimes not to pursue. However, not adopting the Brahmanical taxonomy does not mean that the audience here is exclusively Buddhist. Indeed, making the arguments palatable to a non-Buddhist audience might perhaps be the reason for why our author avoided direct citation of a *textus* (which would perforce have been Buddhist) in order to substantiate his points.

Let us now turn to $k\bar{a}vya$ and what it has to say about gambling. Sanskrit belles-lettres is replete with references to gambling and a comprehensive treatment would grow to the size of a monograph. Overall, poets are obliging to the lawbooks and invariably depict the gambler as an unsavoury character. This of

an interpolation, see p. 21); for Manu and his commentators, see $\textit{Manusmṛti}\ 7.45-53$ (Olivelle 2005: 156, 619-621), for Bhāruci, see Derrett 1975: 1, 56-59 and 2, 44-49, for the other commentators, see Dave 1985: 33-42.

³⁹ Ms $54^{v}5-55^{r}1$ is an unreferenced quotation of *Manusmrti* 10.92.

⁴⁰ Ms 34'ı quotes an unnamed authority who claims that among addictions related to pleasure, liquor is the worst (vyasaneşu pānam adhikam). This is at odds with Kauţilya's view (and is, in fact, Manu's), and the claim must therefore come from another work on statecraft

See note above. In fact, the list of four *vyasanas* stemming from *rāga* were adopted by Buddhists. We can see this in the *Gotrapaṭala* of the *Bodhisattvabhūmi*—with one modification, replacing the hunt with theatrical shows and the such (Dutt 1966: 3; Wogihara's manuscripts were somewhat lacunose at this point, so I will not cite his edition): *santi cemāni loke vyasanāni | tadyathā strīvyasanam | madyavyasanam | dyūtavyasanam | naṭanartaka-hāsaka-lāsakādisaṃdarśanavyasanam ity evaṃrūpebhyo vyasanebhyo laghu laghv eva vairāgyaṃ pratilabhate |. In the second chapter of Nāgārjuna's <i>Ratnāvalī* (Hahn 1982: 58–66), we can perceive the original set as an organising principle behind the block of verses 2.46–72: drink (st. 2.46), gambling (st. 2.47), women (stt. 2.48–69), and hunting (stt. 2.70–72).

⁴² Ms $29^{v}5-30^{v}2$, $31^{v}2-32^{r}2$, and $33^{v}3-34^{v}3$ respectively.

course did not prevent them from constructing some truly amusing portrayals in their works.

The destitute gamester is in fact something of a stock character. Perhaps the most famous among such descriptions is the panoply of dice-addicts in the second act of Śūdraka's $Mrcchakatik\bar{a}$. The text is available in an excellent translation and therefore there is no need to go into the details. It is perhaps interesting to note here that the main character among them eventually penitently (or not?) becomes a Buddhist monk.

In a passage oozing irony, in the $Da\acute{s}akum\bar{a}racarita$, one of Daṇḍin's characters encourages a king's vices by weaving the qualities of a sage or a yogin into dice-play.⁴⁴

dyūte 'pi dravyarāśes tṛṇavat tyāgād anupamānam āśayaudāryam, jayaparājayānavasthānād dharṣaviṣādayor avidheyatvam, pauruṣaikanimittasyāmarṣasya vṛddhiḥ, akṣahastabhūmyādigocarāṇām atyantadurupalakṣyāṇāṃ kūṭakarmaṇām upalakṣaṇād anantabuddhinaipuṇyam, ekaviṣayopasaṃhārāc cittasyāticitram aikāgryam, adhyavasāyasahacareṣu sāhaseṣv atiratiḥ, atikarkaśapuruṣapratisaṃsargād ananyadharṣaṇīyatā, mānāvadhāraṇam, akṛpaṇaṃ ca śarīrayāpanam iti.

It is the same with gambling: to give up a mountain of money as though it were straw requires an incomparable generosity of character. Since winning and losing are so uncertain, neither arrogance or despair can hold sway. Gambling increases that ferocity which is the only mark of manliness. One's intelligence is sharpened to the extreme, through having to catch the crooked dealings, so very tricky to spot, that are par for the course with skill at dice, board games and so on. Because one has to concentrate on a single object, gambling produces a marvelous single-pointedness of mind. It also gives one a true passion for risky undertakings with which perseverance goes hand in hand. Through coming up against extremely hard men, no one else can intimidate. Further, one learns certain self-confidence, and to hold oneself with dignity.

⁴³ Acharya 2009: 88–127.

⁴⁴ Daśakumāracarita 13.64, both text and translation from Onians 2005: 528-529 (minus the Clay Sanskrit Library markup, which I have also removed elsewhere). Although I disagree with some of Onians' choices, these are too trivial to discuss here.

Similarly, the hero of the $Dh\bar{u}rtavițasamv\bar{a}da$ calls gambling tejasvipuru-sanikasopala, "the touchstone of spirited men." Daṇḍin's character is clearly someone that Kauṭilya's Āmbhīyas would have approved of, provided that they worked in the service of an inimical king. 46

In a little-known monologue-comedy, the *Anaṅgasaṃjīvana* of Kālanātha (ca. 11th century), the protagonist observes one of his friends being initiated into a Kaula order in a Kālī temple. He briefly narrates his fall from grace: Dhanadatta, now to become a $k\bar{a}p\bar{a}lika$, was once a rich merchant, but spent all his wealth on a courtesan, who then dismissed him for being poor, whereupon the man stole his wife's jewelry, but was caught when these were recognised on a gambling table $(dy\bar{u}tavedik\bar{a})$, and he only narrowly escaped the executioner.⁴⁷

A similar road to ruin is described by Jalhaṇa in the 52nd stanza of his moralising work, the $Mugdhopade\'sa:^{48}$

veśyābhir vivaśīkṛtaḥ kupuruṣaḥ saṃjāyate durgato daurgatyena durodare nipatitaḥ svaṃ hārayaty eva saḥ | ruddho dyūtakaraiḥ karoti vidhuraś cauryaṃ tatas taskaro vadhyaḥ syān nṛpater aho nu viṣayāsakter durantā gatiḥ ||

Made powerless by courtesans, a wicked man is out of luck; ill-luck makes him turn to dice, where he loses his wealth; held in debt by gamesters, the poor fellow turns to thievery, and [at the end] is to be executed by the king as a robber—alas, addiction to pleasures ends bitterly.

Gambling and thievery frequently go hand in hand. Two heroes of the *Śuka-saptati* turn to stealing to make good for gambling losses.⁴⁹ The jester of Jyotirī-

⁴⁵ Dezső and Vasudeva 2009: 322–323.

See n. 32. Mirashi (1945) famously argued that the story immortalised by Daṇḍin here reflected historical facts, namely the downfall of the Vākāṭakas.

⁴⁷ Anangasamjīvana (Tripathi 2001: 45–48, the cited passage is on pp. 46–47): kaḥ punar iha siddhikāmaḥ prārthayate? [nirūpya] hanta, asmadvayasyo Dhanadattanāmā sāṃyātrikaḥ sarvato muṇḍitamuṇḍa evaṃ viḍambyate! anubhavatu nāma svakarmaphalam eṣa durācāraḥ | śrutaṃ hi—sarvasvagrāhaṃ nigrhya Madayantikayā nirvāsitenānena corasahāyena svapatnyās tasyā apaḥrtāny ābharaṇāni | dyūtavedikāyām abhivyaktāni vijñāya, nāgarikasyādeśena ayaṃ vadhyavedim upānītas tayaiva viṭāntarānādaram ātmani āśankamānayā mocita iti |.

⁴⁸ Durgâprasâd and Parab 1891: 133. For more on dice in Jalhaṇa, see stt. 19, 51, and 56.

⁴⁹ Śukasaptati, prologues to tales 27 and 62 (Schmidt 1898–1899: 354, 381 respectively):

Pratiṣṭhānapure ko'pi dasyur nyavātsīt | sa tu dyūte sarvam api dhanaṃ parājīyata | tadanu
yāminyāṃ kasyacid vaṇijo gṛhe bhittim vibhidyāntaḥ prāviśat | [...] and Sarvatobhadraṃ

śvara's *Dhūrtasamāgama* muses thus when his friend describes the object of his desire, another man's wife:50

bho Missa! parāṅganāsambhogādo bi paramandire samdhim kadua jam attho abaharīadi tam jjeva tihuaņasāram | pekkha, pekkha,

kim vānijjena kajjam niadhanavilaam tam kkhu kāūna dukkham kim vā kajjam kisīe pasuvasuņiamāāsaņikkajjadāe kim vijjāe phalam vā maraņasamasamuppaņņacintāulāe ekkam telloasāram paradhaṇaharaṇam jūakīlāsuham ca ||

Hey, [my friend] Miśra! Even better than enjoying another man's wife is to break and enter someone's mansion and plunder its wealth. That alone is the essence of the Triple World! For, you see:

What of commerce? You waste your money and then you suffer. What of agriculture? Useless toil yoking in beasts and tilling the land. What good can knowledge give you? Confusion of mind after you studied yourself to death. One alone is the essence of the Triple World: stealing another man's goods. Oh, and the pleasure of a good game of dice!

Other bedfellows are quarrelling, prostitutes, and drinking. As Kauţilya pointed out, gambling disputes can bring ruin to ruling houses,⁵¹ and Manu cites examples from former ages.⁵² In a less lofty instance, the hero of the already mentioned *Anaṅgasaṃjīvanī* witnesses a great hullaballoo while strolling through the red-light district, sounds of a quarrel between a working girl and her client, where the cause is eventually determined as a dispute over dice. 53 The indomitable heroine of Kşemendra's Samayamātṛkā bags one of her first vic-

nāma nagaram | tatra Bhukkuṇḍanāmā kitavo nivasati sa ca sarvadā dyūtena dīvyati (em., $d\bar{\imath}pyati$ Ed.) | ekasmin dine d $\bar{\imath}vyan$ pratikitavaiḥ parājitaḥ | teṣām aṅg $\bar{\imath}k$ ṛtapaṇārpaṇāya na kim apy asti | tadānīm cauryāya prāyatata | [...]. For translations, see Schmidt 1899: 82, 140-141 respectively.

Dhūrtasamāgama 2.38 and introductory prose; I read the edition by Jhā (1983:65), for some 50 variants, see Cappeller 1885: 13.

See once again n. 32. 51

Olivelle 2005: 332, who adduces Yudhişthira and Nala. Commentators do indeed mention 52 these two, but also gods such as Balabhadra.

Anangasamjīvana (Tripathi 2001: 38-39): katham iyam kalahavārtām ivāvartayet? 53 [vimṛśya] āḥ, jñātam | MandāramālāMakarandayor dyūtanimittaḥ kalaho 'nkuritaḥ |.

tims in a gambling hall,⁵⁴ and later on, during the less fortunate part of her exploits, she is seen in front of another casino surreptitiously selling 'loaded' dice.⁵⁵ In the $P\bar{a}dat\bar{a}ditaka$, the protagonist observes the crowd on the market-street and sees that:⁵⁶

dyūtād āhṛtamāṣakāś ca kitavā veśāya gacchanty amī saṃprāptāḥ paricārakaiḥ sakusumaiḥ sāpūpamāṃsāsavaiḥ

[t]hese gamblers here have won some coins at dice and now they are making their way to the courtesans' quarter, accompanied by servants carrying flowers, cakes, meat and liquor.

In the *Dhūrtaviṭasaṃvāda*, there are really only two choices where an old *viṭa* can go:⁵⁷

tat kva nu khalv idam autsukyam vinodayeyam? kim nu dyūtasabhāyām āhosvid veśavāţe? (vicārya) namo 'stu dyūtāya. ekaśāţikāmātrāvaśiṣṭo hi naḥ paricchadaḥ, akṣāś ca nāmānabhijñāteśvarā iva na sarvakālasumukhā bhavanti. tato veśam eva yāsyāmaḥ.

So where now can I quell this saudade? In the casino or in the brothel quarter? (*after some reflection*) With all due respect to gambling, but I'm down to this last piece of cloth to cover me. And dice, like parvenus, are not always benign. So off to the bordello!

Among cheats, the gambler ranks highly, and it is perhaps solely Kṣemendra who thought that only government officials were worse. In his $Kal\bar{a}vil\bar{a}sa$, a $k\bar{a}yastha$ is incarnated as one of Śiva's skulls who stops his master mid-sentence while granting a boon to a penitent gambler. No doubt much to his audience's relief, the gambler comes out on top and Śiva punishes the wicked ex-scribe.

⁵⁴ Samayamātṛkā 2.9ff. (Rāghavācārya and Padhye 1961: 356). The modern commentator notes that "courtesans are also fond of gambling" (Samayamātṛkāvyākhyā Ms 10^v–11^r: veśyā hi dyūtapriyā api santi). Also see the spirited (and unfortunately only partial) translation by Siegel 1987 [1989]: 110–115.

⁵⁵ Samayamātṛkā 2.8ocd (Rāghavācāya and Padhya 1961: 363): kapaṭākṣaśalākānām akarod gūḍhavikrayam; cf. Samayamātṛkāvyākhyā Ms 22^v.

⁵⁶ Dezső and Vasudeva 2009: 36-37.

⁵⁷ Dezső and Vasudeva 2009: 316–317.

⁵⁸ *Kalāvilāsa* 5.20–47, Vasudeva 2005: 216–225.

In fact, not even the great god Siva is said to be immune to the lure of the dice: the motif of losing his possessions to Pārvatī is a beloved theme of many poets and sculptors. Since this topic has already been dealt with in a monograph,⁵⁹ a few textual examples should suffice. In Ksemīśvara's Naisadhānanda, in the second verse of the prologue, 60 Pārvatī teases Śiva about his losses—the bone ornaments, the snake, the ashes, and his leather robe—and questions why the Ganges is not being wagered (possibly implying an affair). This mangala-verse is particularly fitting, since the plot is the story of Nala, therefore the goddess' question might also be an allusion to Nala not staking Damayantī.⁶¹ In a masterful verse attributed to Abhinanda, the poet describes a great commotion in Śiva's retinue as the bull, Śiva's trustworthy vehicle, lost at dice, looks back helplessly at his (now former) master as it is being led to $Parvat\bar{l}$'s feet. 62

All the above motifs can also be traced in the less-ornate narrative literature, for example Somadeva's Kathāsaritsāgara, for which we now have a very fine index to browse.63

Regarding historical literature, for an example where gambling and other vices caused an evil reign, see Kalhana's description of Ksemagupta and his

asthi hy asthi phaṇī phaṇī kim aparam bhasmāpi bhasmaiva tac carmaitat khalu carma kim tava jitam yenaivam uttāmyasi | nainām dhūrta paņīkaroşi satatam mūrdhni sthitām Jāhnavīm ity evam Śivayā sanarma gadito dyūte Haraḥ pātu vaḥ ||

śrigam Bhrigin vimuñca tyaja Gajavadana tvam ca lāngūlamūlam mandānando 'sti Nandinn alam abala Mahākāla kaṇṭhagraheṇa | ity uktvā nīyamānaḥ sukhayatu vṛṣabhaḥ Pārvatīpādamūle paśyann akṣair vilakṣaṃ valitagalacalatkambalaṃ Tryambakaṃ vaḥ ||

["]Let go his horn, Bhṛṅgin, / and Gaṇeśa, drop his tail. / Ah, but you are sad, Nandin! / Poor Mahākāla! Clasp not his neck." / With such words being led to the feet of Pārvatī / may the bull with turning neck and dewlap swaying, / still looking at his three-eyed master who has lost at dice, / bring you to happiness.

A small note to this verse: Ingalls (1965: 86) claims that "[a]gain, it is in his animal form that Nandin is lost to Pārvatī at dice (77)." This seems to be a misunderstanding of the verse: Nandin is part of the retinue (in his human form) and is distinct from the bull; indeed, he is sad at his friend's departure. I thank Harunaga Isaacson for this observation. The article which addresses this widespread confusion is Bhattacharya 1977.

Bollée 2015, see under 'dice', 'gambler', 'gambling', 'gambling-den', passim (see Silk 2020 for 63 a thorough review). Also see Törzsök 2007: 134-135, 418-419, 666-671 for the Hitopadeśa and the Vikramacarita.

⁵⁹ Handelman and Shulman 1997, who also included fine images of the relevant statuary.

Warder and Raja 1986: 1: 60

⁶¹ This observation was made by Warder (1988: 561-562).

⁶² Subhāṣitaratnakoṣa 77, text from Kosambi and Gokhale (1957: 15), tr. from Ingalls (1965: 88):

times $(950-958~{\rm CE}).^{64}$ The poet, evidently not an admirer of said monarch, expresses feigned marvel that the king's wicked company could initially not rob him of his entire wealth in dice-play. However, later on they did succeed, at least to a large extent. Here too gambling is accompanied by the other well-known vices: in his youth, the king was quite a drinker; later on, vile courtiers shamelessly brought their wives into his bed; finally, during one of his jackal(!)-hunts, the king saw a flame issuing from the mouth of a howling she-jackal and this caused an ultimately fatal illness.

When moralising about the evils of gambling, our author would have found a kindred spirit in the Jaina Amitagati (ca. 10th century), who dedicated an entire chapter to the topic in his <code>Subhāṣitaratnasamdoha.65</code> As will be shown in the notes to the translation below, there are many parallels between this text and the *<code>Saddharmaparikathā</code>, but this is perhaps the result of treating the same topic against the background of similar ethical principles and not that of a genetic link, which is of course not at all impossible, as Jainas were keen readers of Buddhist literature and I could find parallels between the two works on the topic of grief as well.⁶⁶ Perhaps a more in-depth study of other chapters will bring more clarity to this question.

Finally, a few notes about what our author does *not* address; in parallel, I will use this opportunity to discuss some further Buddhist literature on the topic. The preacher's argument against gambling is strictly within a moral and pragmatic framework. The divinatory, 67 ritual, 68 and erotic aspects 69 of diceplay are not addressed. As for gambling for pleasure and/or to learn its craft, as voiced by our author's objectors, Raghavan notes a passage in Someśvara's $M\bar{a}$ -nasoll \bar{a} sa (first half of 12th century), which—adding due warnings evoking the stories of Yudhiṣṭhira, Nala, and Balarāma—enjoins that the king "should learn

⁶⁴ *Rājataraṅgi*ṇī 6.150–187, especially stt. 153–154 and 163 (Stein 1892: 96–98, 1900: 1, 104, 247–251). In addition to all this, during this reign good men were shunned and abused. In what seems to be described as his paramount act of cruelty, the king denied the sanctuary offered by the *Jayendravihāra* to one of his opponents, had the famous Buddhist monastery burnt to the ground, and confiscated its endowment. Kalhaṇa was clearly not impressed by the fact that the stones were re-used to construct a Śaiva temple and that the thirty-six villages once in the monastery's possession were given into the tenure of a Khaśa ruler.

⁶⁵ Schmidt 1908: 305–310. Just as in Buddhism, in spite of the mainstream opinion, the tantric incarnation of the religion provides techniques for winning in dice; see here n. 82.

⁶⁶ Szántó 2021: 306, n. 32.

⁶⁷ See e.g. Michon 2015: 152-200.

⁶⁸ See e.g. Syed 1997, Falk 1986: 73-174.

⁶⁹ See e.g. Śārṅgadharapaddhati 3661–3664 (Peterson 1888: 545–546), Saduktikarṇāmṛta 1066–1070 (Banerji 1965: 285–287), Handelman and Shulman 1997: 26, passim.

to play the game well and play for diversion and with one's dependents and those near and dear, and with stakes made in fun and sport; and not become an addict to it."⁷⁰ Apparently, as also noted by Raghavan, kings were bound to accept a gambling challenge,⁷¹ therefore it was imperative for them to learn the art.⁷² Another group of people for whom study of gambling was recommended were poets.⁷³ Our author does not address this issue, either because he was not aware of this custom, or, perhaps more likely, because royalty (or, for that matter, the kavi) was not his primary target audience. When it comes to the objections advocating for harmless entertainment and/or learning gambling as an art, to which the background is most likely the well-known list of 64 arts,⁷⁴ he is curtly dismissive and instructs the audience to cultivate something more useful.

His argumentation also contradicts the Mahāyāna ideal of the *bodhisattva* having to learn everything he possibly can in order to convert or train fellow sentient beings,⁷⁵ including therefore all kinds of sciences and arts.⁷⁶ One might recall that at the beginning of the *Vimalakīrtinirdeśa*, the protagonist is described as being seen "in all the gaming houses, where he brought to spiritual maturity living beings addicted to the pleasures of gambling, yet he remained constantly vigilant."⁷⁷

⁷⁰ *Mānasollāsa* 5.13.706–711 (Shrigondekar 1961: 242–243), the quoted synopsis is Raghavan 1979: 44.

⁷¹ Mānasollāsa 5.13.707ab (Shrigondekar 1961: 242): āhūto na nivarteta dyūtād api raṇād api, "Challenged, [the king] should not turn back from dice or battle." The principle is surely much older and may have even been part of the Mahābhārata, at least for some readers (see 2.*448.1). Also see the much earlier so-called Bālabhārata of Rājaśekhara 2.7, 2.13, and before 2.17 (Durgâprasâda and Paraba 1887: 22, 24, 25). I thank Harunaga Isaacson for this observation.

Generally there was a tendency among mediaeval authors to make royal activities (e.g. hunting) more salubrious and safe, so that the monarch could practise these in a controlled environment. For hunting, see Singh 2010, where one finds a discussion of precisely such an environment in the Kāmandakīyanītiśāstra.

⁷³ See e.g. Vasudeva 2005: 24.

⁷⁴ See e.g. Kāmasūtra 1.3.15, item no. 59 (Durgāprasāda 1900: 32-41).

E.g. Bodhicaryāvatāra 5.100 (La Vallée Poussin 1901–1914: 155–156 and 1907: 47 [84]): na hi tad vidyate kimcid yan na śikṣyam jinātmajaiḥ | na tad asti na yat puṇyam evam viharataḥ sataḥ || Car il n'est rien que ne doive apprendre et pratiquer le fils du Vainqueur [pour réaliser

le bonheur de tous les êtres]; il n'est rien qui ne soit méritoire s'il agit ainsi.

Judging by the wording of the $Pa\~njik\~a$, the reading might be $vicarata\hbar$ for $viharata\hbar$, but this is immaterial here.

⁷⁶ For how Śāntideva's verse was used as an argument for the study of *kāvya* in Tibet, a topic some monastics thought of as frivolous, see Szántó 2007.

⁷⁷ Vimalakīrtinirdeśa 11.3 (Study Group on Buddhist Sanskrit Literature 2006: 16): sarvadyū-

However, in general, all forms of Buddhism are dismissive of gambling, even the Vajrayāna. The *Kālacakratantra* explicitly forbids it, the *Vimalaprabhā* commentary designating it as one of the five lesser sins (*upapāpaka*), yet ranking it on the same level with apostasy. The *Mahāmudrātilaka*, a *yoginītantra* of the Hevajra cycle, includes dice-play as one of the activities when describing an initiate undergoing the post-initiatory observance (*caryā*) in which he is supposed to act in all kinds of seemingly deranged ways; therefore the underlying supposition is that gambling is insalubrious if not outright antinomian. In a similarly phrased passage, but in a slightly different context, the *yoginī* Cintā states that the practitioner may engage in any kind of activity—including gambling—but only as long as he maintains a state of non-conceptual bliss. The *Vajramālābhidhāna*, a supplementary *tantra* of the Guhyasamāja cycle with a complex history, states that a student should never gamble in front of his teacher. However, the ever-diverse tantric literature also prescribes magical rituals to gain the upper hand in dice-play. Moving to the realm of *siddha*

takaraśālāsu ca saṃdṛśyate, dyūtakrīḍāsaktacittāṃś ca sattvān paripācayati, sadā cāpra-mādacārī. Tr. from Gómez and Harrison 2022: 18. Vimalakīrti is clearly exceptional. The Mahāvastu lists fourteen causes that hinder a third-level bodhisattvas from progress, the first of these being gambling (Senart 1882: 96, Jones 1949: 75–76): akṣavaṃkadyūtakrī-dānuyogam anuyuktāś ca bhavanti, "They become addicted to dishonest gambling with the dice." Jones' translation is of course just as ambiguous as the Sanskrit: are we to understand that dishonest gambling is the problem or that gambling is inherently dishonest? I should think the latter in the author's mind.

Kālacakratantra 3.94ab (Dwivedi and Bahulkar 1994: 88–89): dyūtam sāvadyabhojyam kuvacanapaṭhanam bhūtadaityendradharmam [...] na kuryāt | The other four are not entirely clear, but according to the commentary, they are consuming forbidden foods, the practice of ancestor worship/śrāddha rites, vedic sacrifice, and undertaking barbaric (here, Islamic) observances (Vimalaprabhā ad loc.: tatra dyūtam sāvadyabhojyam pūrvoktam kuvacanapaṭhanam bhūtadharmam pitrkāryam yāgakāryam vedoktam | daityadharmam mlecchadharmam na kuryād ity upapāpakāni pañca |). Also see Sferra 2000: 249–250, n. 45.

⁷⁹ Mahāmudrātilaka 20.13d (Ms 40°; for the Tibetan, see D 420: 83°): kvacid dyūtakrīḍām anucarati nṛtyam kvacid api ||.

⁸⁰ *Vyaktabhāvānugatatattvasiddhi* stt. 9–17 and preceding prose (Rinpoche and Dwivedi 1987: 177–178). I find Shaw's analysis of this passage (1994: 190) misleading; had she bothered to check the Sanskrit, it would have become evident that the subject is masculine

⁸¹ Vajramālābhidhāna, D 445: 272^r. rgyan la sogs pa bltab [recte: bstab] pa dang | [...] de la sogs pa skyon gyi tshogs | bla ma'i mdun rnam par spangs |. Pace Kittay (2011: 202, 752), who translates as "watching gambling", probably mistaking bltab for blta.

⁸² See e.g. the *Kurukullākalpa* 4.31 (Pandey 2001: 21), where the desired outcome is *dyūteṣu jayo bhavati*. The *Kalyāṇakāmadhenu* and its *Vivaraṇa* (Ms 4^r; for the Tibetan, see D 3067: 134^r) also promises victory in dice in the context of propitiating a miniature statue of

hagiographies, *Thinthāpā⁸³ is said to have started out as a destitute problem gambler. After losing all he had, he dejectedly took to a charnel ground, where he met a *yogin* who instructed him to sublimate his passion for dice into a kind of meditation. The beginning of the *upadeśa* is particularly fitting: "Meditate on the three worlds being emptied just as your purse is emptied when you play dice."84

Neither does the author address the issue of mitigating the evils of gambling by offering ill-gotten gains to auspicious purposes. The Avadānaśataka for example has two instances, where gamblers for sook their winning (one

Gaṇapati (I will not cite the prose commentary here, but I will translate making use of

aṅgusthamitasitārkaganapatipratimāpavitritaśikhānām | na bhavati durodaram prati caramākṣarajāpinām bhangaḥ ||

Those whose top-knot is graced by a thumb-sized statue of Ganapati made of [the root of sitārka [and] who recite [over it 100,000 times] the last syllable [of this stanza with the customary additions, thus *oṃ gaḥ svāhā*,] will never lose in gambling.

The Herukābhidhāna 43.26 (Gray 2012: 210 and 2007: 348) too had a ritual for the same outcome, but the text is not very well preserved and the reference to gambling is missing in some recensions. Interestingly, winning in battle is mentioned in the same breath, cf. n. 71 above. A parallel is offered by the famous Jaina tantric text, the Bhairavapadmāvatīkalpa (9.23, Gamgavāl n.d.: 161-162):

mūlam śvetāpamārgasya kuberadiśi samsthitam | uttarātritayam grāhyam śīrşastham dyūtavādajit ||

On should collect the root of the śvetāpamārga that grows in the direction of Kubera during the three [asterisms marked by the word] *uttarā*; kept on the head, it bestows victory in gambling and debate.

Jainas would of course never engage in warfare, so 'battle' (of the Herukābhidhāna etc.) was here replaced with 'debate'.

83 This is the most plausible reconstruction of the name. The word *thinthā/tinthā* is rare, but it occurs frequently in the Kathāsaritsāgara (see Bollée 2014, 'gambling-den'). Richard Salomon kindly informs me of two occurrences of the form $tenta^{\circ}$ in compound with $^{\circ}ka$ $r\bar{a}l\bar{a}$ in the $Karp\bar{u}rama\tilde{n}jar\bar{\iota}$ (Konow and Lanman 1901: 13 [i.188], 20 [i.20²⁸], 135 [glossary], 229 [tr. of i.188], 233 [tr. of i.20 28]), where the interpretation is uncertain ('terrible in the gambling-places', 'a Durgā of the gambling-places' in the glossary, 'terror of the gamblinghells' [sic for 'halls'?] in the translation). At any rate, the compound is clearly a term of abuse. For other forms of the siddha's name, see Schroeder 2006: 110-111. Schroeder also reproduces a fine mural depiction of the *siddha*, but here he is without gambling accoutrements, as for example seen on the Mongolian print published by Egyed (1984: 48-49). Robinson 1979: 127 (whence the translation); also see Grünwedel 1916: 179, Dowman 1985: 84

195-198. All are translations of a work that supposedly existed in Sanskrit, *Abhayadattaśri's *Caturaśītisiddhapravṛtti, but this is somewhat doubtful; see Szántó 2019: 445. The Caryāgītikośa transmits as no. 12 a song which the commentator Munidatta introduces as a meditation on dice-play or gambling (dyūtakrīdādhyānena), but otherwise the song seems to be about chess (Kværne 1977: 122-127).

valuable, a jewel called $S\bar{u}ry\bar{a}vabh\bar{a}sa$, and one meagre, two denarii) to $st\bar{u}pas$, thereby securing favourable circumstances in a next life.⁸⁵

Our text is also silent on Buddhist monastics being prohibited to gamble, but this is only natural, because the audience of the preacher is the laity. Most *Vinayas* consider gambling an offence. In the *Kṣudrakavastu*, the Buddha forbids gambling in order to ward off censure by Brahmins and householders. Similar rulings can be found in the *Vinayasaṃgraha* and the *Mahīśā-saka Vinaya*. While dice have been found at archaeological digs of Buddhist monasteries, e.g. Nālandā, the reason for their presence is not entirely clear. Wearers of the ochre robe were also expected by society at large to refrain from such activities. This can be inferred for example from a marvellously crafted satirical verse, where, as the monk's unruly character gradually unfolds, the questioner's expectations are precisely the source of comedy. The stanza circulated in several versions, the *Subhāṣitāvali* of Vallabhadeva transmits it as follows:

bhikşo kanthā ślathā te nanu śapharavadhe jālikaiṣātsi matsyāṃs te 'mī madyāvadaṃśāḥ pibasi madhu samaṃ veśyayā yāsi veśyām | dattvārīṇāṃ gale 'nghriṃ kimu tava ripavo bhittibhettāsmi yeṣāṃ cauras tvaṃ dyūtahetoḥ katham asi kitavo yena dāsīsuto 'smi ||⁸⁹

⁸⁵ Avadānaśataka no. 69 and 83 (Speyer 1902–1906, 1: 383, 2: 76). The above-mentioned Jhalrapathan inscription may be a parallel from real life; see, however, n. 37.

⁸⁶ D 6: 261^r; T 1451: 296a. I am grateful to Shayne Clark for this reference, as well as the those in the next note. Also see the quotation from the *Sanghabhedavastu* in n. 4 here.

⁸⁷ T 1458: 536c and T 1421: 184a.

⁸⁸ Hinüber 2006: 26–27, who maintains the possibility that they may have been used by laymen. Also see the reference in n. 67.

⁸⁹ No. 2402 (Peterson 1886: 412). Another version can be found in Jayaratha's commentary on Ruyyaka's *Alaṃkārasarvasva*, the *Alaṃkāravimarśinī* (Durgâprasâd and Parab 1893: 172): bhikşo kanthā ślathā kiṃ nanu śapharavadhe jālikaiṣātsi matsyān

madhye madyāvadamśam pibasi madhu samam veśyayā yāsi veśyām | hatvārīn kim kariṣye kati tava ripavaḥ saṃdhibhettāsmi yeṣāṃ coras tvaṃ dyūtahetoḥ katham asi kitavo yena bhikṣur namas te ||

[&]quot;Monk, how come your robes are so loose?" "Surely, it is a net to catch carp." "Do you eat fish?" "While snacking with liquor." "Do you drink liquor?" "Together with my whore." "Do you frequent whores?" "What else to do after giving my enemies a good thrashing." "How many enemies do you have?" "The people whose houses I've burgled." "You are a thief?" "Because I gamble." "How come you gamble?" "For I am monk. Farewell!"

However, the commentator is well-aware of the punchline of the above version and thinks of it as a better reading: "yena dāsīsuto 'smi" iti punaḥ pāṭho grāhyaḥ. For other loci, see Dezső 2004: 136. Unfortunately, the name of the poet was lost. The verse translated by

One could hardly do better than citing the rendering by Siegel:⁹⁰ "Why," he is asked, are his "robes so long and so loose?"

"Because I use them as a net for the catching of fish."

"You eat fish?"

"Yes, for fish with my liquor is a most savory dish."

"You drink booze?"

"Yes, but just when I'm out with whores pursuing my pleasure."

"You go to whores?"

"Yes, after thrashing my enemies, just for good measure."

"You have enemies?"

"Yes, but only those whose homes I have robbed of their treasure."

"You steal?"

"Yes, to pay off the debts I've incurred with my gambling itch."

"You gamble?"

"Yes, yes, yes! I am, as you see, a real son of a bitch."

Besides enjoying the literary and rhetorical merits of the text I am about to present, one might remember that gambling is still a serious issue in Buddhist societies, ⁹¹ but also that Buddhist 'philosophy' is being used with some success

Siegel (1987 [1989]: 210–211) just before the one given above is yet another incarnation. This is an unattributed quotation in Dhanika's $Avalok\bar{a}$ commentary to Dhanaṃjaya's $Da\acute{s}ar\bar{u}$ -paka (Parab 1897: 137):

bhikşo māṃsanişevaṇaṃ prakuruṣe kiṃ tena madyaṃ vinā

kiṃ te madyam api priyaṃ priyam aho vārāṅganābhiḥ saha |

veśyā dravyaruciḥ kutas tava dhanaṃ dyūtena cauryeṇa vā

cauryadyūtaparigraho 'pi bhavato dāsasya kānyā gatiḥ 🏽

O most venerable monk with a fondness for meat, / Don't you like wine with the delicious food that you eat? / And what goes better with wine than a loving coquette? / But whores like money and what can a Buddhist monk net? / Where do you get your riches, where do you come by wealth? / By gambling or by thieving or by what acts of stealth? / Sir, you are depraved, and that is really appalling—/ Tell me, what other practices make up your calling?

This verse is also found in the $\acute{Sarngadharapaddhati}$ (no. 4061; Peterson 1888: 620) with some variants.

⁹⁰ Siegel 1987 [1989]: 211.

⁹¹ E.g. for Tibet, see Murakami 2014; for Thailand, see Warren 2013; for Korea, see Kaplan 2016. Also see Harvey 2000: 70–71, 213, *passim*. Perhaps the most famous historical case of gambling losses in Tibet is that of Mar pa's son, who squandered away the Acacia-wood *caitya* containing the best part of his father's relics, as recorded in the *Blue Annals* (Roerich 1949–1953; 406–407).

in clinical treatment of problem gambling.⁹² I hope that my paper will make a small contribution to the multifaceted study of this potentially important topic.

2 Edition and Translation of Ch. 12

The following abbreviations are employed in the apparatus:

em. emendation

conj. conjecture

Mspc manuscript's reading after correction (scribal or a lector's)

Msac manuscript's reading before correction

The formatting, verse numeration, and punctuation are entirely mine and divergences form the scribe's usage of <code>dandas</code> (and resulting <code>sandhi</code>) have not been noted separately. Banal scribal or lector's/lectors' corrections have not been noted. Homorganic nasals, sibilants, <code>m-virāma</code> type <code>anusvāras</code>, <code>s</code> for <code>vi-sarga</code>, geminations under <code>repha</code>, and degemination of <code>tva</code> have been silently standardised. <code>Avagrahas</code> were added where appropriate. The author's instructions to the preachers are typeset in bold italics.

dyūtādīnavapradarśane vācyam |

When explaining the perils of gambling, one should say:

anekadoṣāyatane praśrayaśrīpramāthini⁹³ | dyūte kiṃ nāma tad dṛṣṭvā matir yat syāt sacetasaḥ || [1]

⁹² See Shonin et al. 2013.

⁹³ praśrayaśrīpramāthini] *conj.*, pramāthini Ms.

What, pray, can there be in gambling, the abode of many a vice,⁹⁴ the destroyer of the splendour of civility,⁹⁵ that intelligent people might see and develop an inclination for it?⁹⁶ [1]

tatra doṣagaṇaprabhavatvād 97 anekadoṣāyatanaṃ dyūtaṃ pratyavagantavyam \mid tad dhi \mid

Now, gambling should be understood to be the abode of many a vice, for it is the source for a whole host of vices. For—

ānandaḥ śātravāṇāṃ paribhavabhavanaṃ vairakarmāntaśālā māyāśāṭhyapratiṣṭhā kalikaluṣavidhiḥ pāpasaṃkāradhānam | mohasyākrīḍabhūmir guṇadhanadahanaḥ praśrayaśrīpramāthi dyūtaṃ kīrtipravāsaḥ paradhanaharaṇaprārthanānarthabījam || [2]

loke parasminn iha caiva doṣān

madyaprasaṅgāśrayiṇaḥ samīkṣya |

kiṃ nāma tat kāraṇam asti yena

madyam budho drastum api vyavasyet ||

Having observed the evils both in this life and the next that an addict to drink incurs, what, pray, could cause any sensible person to even look at it?

⁹⁴ Although a perfectly natural expression, the compound <code>anekadoṣāyatana</code> is surprisingly rare. The only parallel seems to be Āryaśūra's <code>Jātakamālā</code>, prose after 21.1 (Kern 1891: 122, tr. Speyer 1895: 173): <code>sa kāmān [...]</code> <code>anekadoṣāyatanatvāc ca [...]</code> <code>parityajya [...]</code> "He understood that worldly pleasures are the abode of many evils and sins [...] Accordingly, shunning them [...]."

The conjecture was inspired by the last compound in 2c and positing an eye-skip from pra° to pra° . However, I do feel a certain unease about it, since it makes the composition somewhat loose, as we would expect the author to discuss this not in the same breath as $anekados\bar{a}yatana$ (for one might interpret the tatra in the prose immediately following $nirdh\bar{a}rane$ and not $v\bar{a}kyopany\bar{a}se$). Alternatively, understand this compound not as a $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ or as a $sasth\bar{t}-tatpurusa$, but as a dvandva, despite the rule of $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{v}$ 2.2.34 (which requires the shorter element to be the first one, but this can be broken if a decreasing order of importance is implied, see Bhandare 1995: 91), thus "the destroyer of civility, but also wealth".

⁹⁶ The sub-chapter on abstinence begins in a similar fashion (5.119, Ms 33^v3-4):

^{97 °}prabhavatvād] em., °prabhāvatvad Ms.

Gambling: the delight of foes, a home for humiliation, 98 a workshop 99 for enmity, the fundament of fraud and trickery, a way [sure to lead] to the foulness of discord, 100 a collecting bin for the scrap of sin, the playground for delusion, a conflagration for the wealth of virtues, a destroyer of the splendour of civility, 101 the exile of fame, the seed for the misfortune of wishing to take away the wealth of others. [2]

kim ca bhūyaḥ |

What is more:

dhairyasyāpanayaḥ śamasya vilayaḥ sadvṛttaśasyāśaniḥ saṃrambhoddhavalobhadoṣarajasām utkarṣaṇo mārutaḥ | dyūtaṃ nāma guṇoparāgasamayaḥ pāpānalasyendhanaṃ pāruṣyādyaśubhapralāpamukharo ghoraḥ pramādagrahaḥ || [3]

Gambling: the disappearance of steadfastness, the evaporation of contentment, a hailstorm for the crop of good conduct, a wind to draw up the dust that are the vices of reckless abandon¹⁰² and avarice, a time of

⁹⁸ The compound *paribhavabhavanaṃ* again emulates Āryaśūra's usage, see *Jātakamālā* 12.4 (Kern 1891: 77, Hanisch 2005: 1, 110, a metaphor for poverty; "a home of disregard" according to Speyer 1895: 110), 17.20 (Kern 1891: 103, a metaphor for drinking; "object of contempt" according to Speyer 1895: 146).

The compound <code>karmāntaśālā</code> seems to be exceedingly rare. The only other example I can find in Buddhist literature is (where else!) Āryaśūra's <code>Pāramitāsamāsa</code>, 3.8c (Ferrari 1946: 33; Meadows 1986: 196–197; Saito 2005: 169, 361). Here forbearance (<code>kṣānti</code>) is described as a workshop for fashioning the body of the Buddha. In Māgha's Śiśupālavadha 18.79 (at least in the version read by Mallinātha, Durgâprasâd and S'ivadatta 1905: 466), we have a battlefield described as the Creator's workshop (<code>sṛṣṭikarmāntaśālā</code>). However, Vallabhadeva here has another reading (Kak and Harabhatta Shastri 1935: 241–242): <code>sṛṣṭaye karmaśālā</code>. I thank Harunaga Isaacson for pointing out this possible parallel usage. Martin Straube kindly provided several usages of <code>kammantasālā</code> in Pali: Sp 376, Spk I 247, Pj II 140, Mhv 76:45.

There might be small joke hidden in this compound, as the weakest throw in dice is also called *kali* (Lüders 1907: 40–44), whence the name of the Kali age, which is characterised by discord. Cf. *kalipaddhati* in Āryaśūra's *Jātakamālā* 17.24c (Kern 1891: 103; "road of sin" according to Speyer 1895: 146).

¹⁰¹ See n. 95.

In this meaning, *uddhava* is apparently to be found predominantly in Buddhist usage (see Edgerton 1953, *sub voce*). In 7.45 (Ms 39^v1), it is contrasted with *yama*, restraint. A slightly different shade of meaning is used in 4a below. However, it is also possible that this word might mean the same as Pali *uddhacca* or Buddhist Sanskrit *auddhatya*, 'over-excitement'

eclipse for virtues, fuel for the fire of sin, garrulous with the inauspicious prattle of hurtful and other [kinds of sinful speech], a dreadful demon¹⁰³ of carelessness. [3]

api ca | kadā nāma tatprasaktamateḥ svasti syāt | dyūtaparo hi |

Moreover: how could a person mentally addicted to it ever find happiness? For a gambling fanatic—

```
jitvoddhavākulamatir na śamam paraiti
   tṛṣṇāṃ vivardhayati caiva hi doṣadhātrīm |
duḥkham param samupayāti jitaś ca yasmān
   na dyūtam arhati tato 'pi budhaḥ prapattum | [4]
```

If he wins, his mind is overcome by conceit and he finds no peace. On the contrary, this only makes his thirst, the wet-nurse of vice, all the more intense. If he loses, he suffers terribly. So, for this reason too, a wise man should not give himself over to gambling. [4]

```
nindaty api svajana enam
  lāghavam abhyupaiti suhṛdām ca |
na prārthyate svajanabhāve
  y\bar{a}ti^{104} parikṣayaṃ ca dhanam asya \parallel [5]
```

Even his kinfolk scold him, and his friends no longer take him seriously; he is not invited to become anyone's relative [by marriage], and all his wealth is lost. [5]

tatraitat syāt | anaikāntaḥ khalv atra yad uta dyūta¹⁰⁵prasaktamateḥ dhanaparikşayah syāt | Nalam hi rājānam dyūte parājitya tadrājyam avāpa Puşkaro rājā | Śakuni_[53v]r Yaudhiṣthiraṃ dhanaṃ Viduram amātyaṃ ca¹⁰⁶ lebhe | bhā-

or 'exaltation'. Moreover, it might also be the case that the first three words of the string, samrambhoddhavalobha, have to be interpreted as a tripartite dvandva, in spite of the rule that vowel-initial words should head such a compound. I thank Martin Straube for his thought-provoking comments on this issue.

Once more we find a playful allusion, as graha/grabha/glaha means a throw in the dicegame and became a synonym of gambling (Raghavan 1979: 19, Lüders 1907: 26-28).

yāti] em., ti Ms. 104

dyūta^o] *conj.*, *omitted* Ms. However, the reading is meaningful on its own, too. 105

amātyam ca] em., amātyam Mspc, amā Msac. 106

ryāṃ śulkārthaṃ Pūrṇako yakṣaḥ prāptaḥ | vijayaśrīr dyūte | tasmād anekānta eṣa yad uta dyūtaprasaktamater dhanaparikṣayaḥ syāt | ataś ca prārthanīya eva svajanabhāve syān na ca lāghavam upeyāt suhṛdāṃ svajananindālakṣatāṃ¹⁰⁷ ca |

Someone might object to this as follows: surely, concerning this matter it is inconclusive that a man mentally addicted to gambling will lose all his wealth. For king Puṣkara obtained king Nala's kingdom after having defeated him at dice. ¹⁰⁸ Śakuni obtained Yudhiṣṭhira's wealth and Vidura as counsellor. ¹⁰⁹ The yakṣa Pūrṇaka gained a wife as his winnings. ¹¹⁰ The fortune of victory [can be found] in dice! Therefore what you said is inconclusive, namely that a man mentally addicted to gambling would lose all his wealth. For this reason he may indeed be worthy of being invited to become someone's relative, and he would not become untrustworthy for his friends, nor would he be the butt of scolding by his kinfolk.

ity atra¹¹¹ brūmahe | nālaṃ yadṛcchayā siddhyā vipadbhūyaskaram arthaṃ prapattum | yadṛcchayā hi kaścit kvacid dyūte kāmcid arthalavamātrām anuprāpnoti | prāyeṇa tu dyūte 'nuraktamatayas tatropayuktasarvasvāḥ kaupīnapracchādane 'pi. aparyāptaprakṣīṇamalinavasanāḥ kṣutparikṣāmavivarṇadīnavapuṣaḥ śokavaktavyahṛdayā rajaḥsaṃpṛktā¹¹² rūkṣadarśanāḥ¹¹³ suhṛdām apy aviśvāsyā jagadavajñābhājanabhūtās tattadakāryamatayaḥ paribhramantaḥ pṛthivīṃ dṛśyante, Nalavad Yudhiṣṭhiravac ca saṃrodhaduḥkhitāḥ, pariluptakaracaraṇāṅguliparvāṇaś ca kecit | tasmād bāhulyatayā¹¹⁴ prasiddham etat | bhogāś cāsya parikṣayaṃ yāntīti | tataś cāśeṣadoṣotpattiḥ |

¹⁰⁷ svajananindālakṣatām] em. (Isaacson), svajane nindālakṣatām Mspc, omitted Msac.

¹⁰⁸ Locus classicus in the Vanaparvan of the Mahābhārata; van Buitenen 1975: 330-333.

¹⁰⁹ Locus classicus in the Sabhāparvan of the Mahābhārata; van Buitenen 1975: 106–169.

¹¹⁰ Locus classicus in the Vidhurapaṇḍitajātaka (Jā no. 545, vi.255–329), tr. Cowell and Rouse 1907: 126–156. Also see Lüders 1907: 4–7, passim, and above all Alsdorf 1971 (especially pp. 39–41 for the gambling scene). The tale is depicted at Kanaganahalli (Zin 2018: 35–40), Ajanta (Schlingloff 2000, 1: 165–173), and, as discussed by Schlingloff, many other places (Bharhut, Amaravati, etc.); also see Bock-Raming 2000.

ity atra] *em.* (Acharya), iti Ms. The collocation *iti brūmahe* occurs in the **Saddharma-parikathā* only once (Ms 43^r2), but there it does not introduce a *siddhānta* after a *pūrva-pakṣa*. There are three occurrences of *ity atra brūmahe*: two in the present chapter and one in the sixth disposition of the 10th chapter (Ms 48^r4).

^{112 °}sampṛktā] em., °sampṛktaḥ | Ms.

^{113 °}darśanāḥ] em., °darśanā Mspc, °darśanād dhi Msac.

¹¹⁴ kecit | tasmād bāhulyatayā] conj. (Isaacson), kecid adbāhulyatayā (sic?) Mspc, kecid asmadbāhulyatayālam (sic?) Msac. It is not entirely clear what the scribe's intended reading

To this we reply: It is not fitting to pursue wealth bringing even more harm based on the mere chance of winning. For by mere chance only some people and only in a rare game¹¹⁵ will gain some sort of small measure of wealth. However, in general, those mentally drawn to gambling dedicate all their possessions to it, even if it is the last shirt on their backs. 116 We see them roaming the earth in scanty, tattered, and dirty clothing, with bodies emaciated by hunger, colourless, and tormented,117 with hearts made vile by grieving losses,118 cov-

is. My initial conjecture was *kecit | asmadbāhulyatayālam |, "some [...] But enough of our prolixity!", but that seems to be an unparalleled expression. In any case, the double abstract $b\bar{a}hulyat\bar{a}$ is an infelicity, therefore it is perfectly possible that the corruption is even worse than the one posited here.

- Alternatively, one might construe kvacid dyūte as vyadhikaraṇa: "only occasionally [...] in 115 gambling."
- Lit. "[down to] the small piece of cloth to cover their private parts". Raghavan (1979: 43) notes: "[...] part and parcel of the accepted procedure of the play that those who lose everything in the stake should shake or throw off their garments, sit on the floor or go out bare-bodied to show that they have nothing left on their person and have submitted completely to the victor." Amitagati goes one step further: a gambler will wager even his mother's clothing (mātṛvastram api); see Subhāṣitaratnasaṃdoha st. 632 (Schmidt 1908:
- 117 Cf. Amitagati's Subhāṣitaratnasaṃdoha st. 636 (Schmidt 1908: 308):

dyūtanāśitasamastabhūtiko

bambhramīti sakalām bhuvam naraḥ |

jīrņavastrakṛtadehasaṃvṛtir

mastakāhitabharaḥ kṣudhāturaḥ ||

Der Mann, der all seinen Besitz im Spiele durchgebracht hat, irrt krank vor Hunger auf der ganzen Erde hin und her, indem er seinen Leib mit einem alten Gewande bedeckt und eine Last auf dem Kopfe trägt.

Other psychological and physiological disadvantages are given by Haribhatta in his Jāta $kam\bar{a}l\bar{a}^{H}$ 34.6 (Straube 2019: 418, tr. Khoroche 2017: 218):

yad dīvyann ayathātatham nigadati krodhena samspṛśyate

nidrām naiti jito 'ham ity anuśayāj jetum punar lubhyati |

gāḍhaṃ mūtrapurīṣarodhasulabhair adhyāsyate vyādhibhis

tad dyūtaṃ bahudoṣajālamalinaṃ ko nāma dīvyet pumān ||

What man would gamble, when, doing so, he tells lies, is affected by anger, loses sleep, wants to win again after the disappointment of being beaten and becomes prone to illnesses that commonly involve the retention of urine and feces? Gambling is tainted with a whole mass of harmful consequences.

This verse is somewhat similar to the woes that befall a gambler listed by Bhāruci ad Manusmṛti 7.52 (see n. 38), which includes mūtrapurīṣavegadhāraṇāc ca śarīratantraśaithilyam.

The expression [state of mind]+vaktavya+[mind/heart] is not very common, cf. Āryaśūra's Jātakamālā, prose after 21.8 (Kern 1891: 124, tr. Speyer 1895: 176): yady ayam asyāṃ saṃrāgavaktavyamatir vyaktam asmin na tapahprabhāvo 'sti, "If his mind is ruled by passionate affection for her, surely, he has no power gained by penance."

ered in dust, weary-eyed,¹¹⁹ not to be trusted even by friends, receptacles for the disdain of the world, mulling over all kinds of reproachable schemes, or—indeed, as it was with Nala and Yudhiṣṭhira—pained by exile, and yet others with lost fingers, toes, and joints.¹²⁰ Therefore, this being the usual order of things, it is well-known that "and all his possessions become lost."¹²¹ And thence the rise of all kinds of vices.

120 Cf. Mahāsubhāṣitasaṃgraha 8803, from various recensions of the Cāṇakyanīti (Sternbach and Sarma 1981: 2288–2289, pace translator, as I do not think that dyūtakāra here means the keeper of the gambling hall, but the gambler himself; I also find the reading paraiḥ unconvincing):

karkaśam duḥsahavākyam jalpanti vañcitāḥ paraiḥ |

kurvanti dyūtakārasya karnanāsādichedanam ||

Those deceived by others will utter harsh and unbearable words, and the gambler will have his ears, nose, etc. chopped off!

These are very likely not traces of royal punishment (cf. the case of *kuṭṭanī*s), but wounds incurred from enraged opponents; cf. Amitagati's *Subhāṣitaratnasaṃdoha* st. 638 (Schmidt 1908: 310):

rudhyate 'nyakitavair nisedhyati

badhyate vacanam ucyate kaṭu |

nodyate 'tra paribhūtaye naro

hanyate ca kitavo vinindyate ||

Der Spieler wird von den anderen Spielern eingesperrt, abgewehrt, gefesselt, bekommt bittere Reden zu hören, wird dabei gestoßen, gedemütigt, geschlagen und getadelt.

Amitagati too mentions loss of limb as one of the dangers of gambling, see st. 633 (Schmidt 1908: 308):

ghrāņakarņakarapādakarttanam

yadvaśena labhate śarīravān |

tat samastasukhadharmanāśanam

dvūtam āśravati kah sacetanah ||

Welcher Verständige wendet sich dem Spiele zu, dem Vernichter jeglicher Wohlfahrt und Religion, in dessen Banne der Körperliche Abschneiden von Nase, Ohren, Händen und Füßen erlebt?

121 Untraced, if a quotation. Alternatively, this might be a paraphrase of 5d, but then why the *ca* and why not repeat the original wording as is the author's custom? The text might therefore be problematic here.

Alternatively, "disagreeable to behold". However, the above interpretation is more likely, because gamblers are frequently said to spend all night playing (see the Haribhaṭṭa passage in n. 117), even if their mother died, so Bhāruci ad Manusmṛti 7.52 (mātary api ca mṛtāyām dīvyaty eva kitavaḥ; see n. 38 for the references) A possibly similar usage is seen in Gopadatta's Kapīśvarajātaka st. 14b (Hahn 2007: 55, 65): svadaurātmyavirūkṣadṛṣṭayaḥ, "their views being cruel because of their own wickedness". The translation is of course possible and even probable, but perhaps here a cruel gaze was meant, which might be applicable to our gambler, too.

yo'pi ca dyūtāt kāṃcid dravyamātrām adhigacchati kadācit so'pi tadāsvādadarśanāt samutthāpyamānaviṣamalobhaḥ pratāryamāṇo jayāśayā punar dyūte pravṛttas tāṃ ca dhanamātrāṃ taduttarāṃ ca nāśayatīty upapadyamānam evaitat |

As for the [gamester] who obtains some small measure of wealth from gambling—observing the enjoyment he got out of that [gain], roused by dangerous greed, 122 fooled by the hope of winning—at some point he takes to gambling again and loses not only that small measure of wealth, but even more. This is simply bound to happen.

```
aikāntikī yady api ca prasiddhir
dyūtaprasaṅgena bhaved dhanasya |
mīmāṃsyam etad viduṣā tathāpi
guṇānurakṣāpravaṇātmakaḥ syāt || [6]
```

But even if it were a matter of certainty that by taking to dice one would acquire wealth, a wise man should nevertheless ponder on this and dedicate himself to preserving virtues: [6]

```
dyūtād dhanaṃ nidhanam eva vinā ca tena
śreyo bhavet kim anayor iti cintyamāne |
śreyo bhaven nidhanam akṣatadharmabuddher
na dyūtadoṣamalinasya dhanasya rāśiḥ || [7]
```

Wealth from gambling or even death without it—which one is the better of the two? Upon careful consideration, for a man whose mind is unfailingly dedicated to the Dharma/duty, death is better, and not the heap of wealth tainted by the vice of gambling. [7]

api ca |

tyaktvā hriyam viśadam eva sadharmacintām pāpaiḥ sametya nirayasya vivṛtya mārgam | śocyatvam etya viduṣāṃ svayaśaḥ pramṛdya dyūtād ya icchati dhanaṃ kim asau na kuryāt || [8]

¹²² Again, the author might be emulating Āryaśūra's usage, cf. *Jātakamālā*, prose before 26.8 (Kern 1891: 168, tr. Speyer 1895: 236): [...] tayā cāsya rūpaśobhayā samutthāpyamānavismayamānaḥ [...], "the beautiful shape of the ruru-deer roused his admiration and respect."

What is there that a man who desires wealth from gambling would not do after having quite openly forsaken shame along with care for duty/the Dharma, colluding with sins/sinful men, opening wide the highway to hell, being lamented by the wise, [and] destroying his own fame? [8]

tatraitat syāt | *ratinimittā*¹²⁵ *dyūte pravṛttir na dravyādānalobhāt* |

To this some might object: one might engage in gambling for pleasure and not out of greed to obtain wealth.

ity atra brūmahe |

To this we reply:

ratir api ca bhaved anena _[541] yā bahuvidhadoṣamalīmasātmanām | guṇagaṇasadayaḥ kathaṃ nu tāṃ paravanitām iva cintayed api || [9]

Even if there were pleasure by [gambling] for those whose minds are soiled with various kinds of vices, how indeed could a man with an inclination for all virtues even think of that [pleasure], any more than he would of another man's wife? [9]

ratim ca dharmārthagunopasamhitām uśanti santo na tu tadvirodhinīm

satyaśaucaśamaśarmavarjitā

dharmakāmadhanato bahişkrtāḥ |

dvūtadosamalinā vicetanāh

kam na dosam upacinvate janāḥ ||

Welche Schuld häufen nicht die Menschen an, wenn sie, von der Schuld des Spiels befleckt, des Schutzes der Wahrheit, Lauterkeit und Seelenruhe entbehren, außerhalb der Tugend, der Liebe, und des Erwerbes stehen und sinnlos sind!

I have also considered understanding *viśada* in the meaning used in the Buddhist sociolect: "abundant, extensive" (see Edgerton 1953, *sub voce*), but that would require emending *sadharmacintāṃ* to *sadharmavittaṃ* and construing the former word not as an adverb but as an adjective, thus: "and much legitimately obtained wealth."

¹²⁴ Cf. Amitagati's Subhāṣitaratnasaṃdoha st. 623 (Schmidt 1908: 306):

¹²⁵ Jens-Uwe Hartmann suggested a possible amelioration: *ratinimittād*. Here I retain the reading of the Ms.

```
ratim hi pāpām anubhūya bhūyasīm apāyadurgeşu ratim vigāhate | [10]
```

Moreover, the good strive for ¹²⁶ pleasure which is furnished with the virtues related to [fulfilling] duty/the Dharma and [gaining] wealth, ¹²⁷ not the opposite kind. For after having experienced sinful pleasure, one plunges into the even more abundant 'pleasures' of the lower realms. ¹²⁸ [10]

```
sthite tu mṛtyau hi jarorukārmuke
samudyatavyādhiśare kuto ratiḥ |
svakarmadoṣagrathite sthite 'grataḥ
punarbhave ca vyasanaśramāśraye<sup>129</sup> || [11]
```

And indeed, when death lurks with the mighty bow of old age with arrows of illness ready to fire¹³⁰ and when rebirth, the abode of weariness from distress,¹³¹ fashioned by the vices of one's own deeds, is just ahead—whence can there be any pleasure? [11]

vegena mṛtyāv abhivartamāne vadhārtham abhyudyatarogaśastre | sarvair upāyair anivāryavīrye dharmāt paraṃ nāparam asti kṛtyam (em., kṛtyāṃ Ms) ||

The same verse is found in the $Tridandamāl\bar{a}$ (Ms $108^{v}5$) with a vocative (or possibly a corruption) $aniv\bar{a}ryav\bar{v}rya$ in $p\bar{a}da$ c.

131 Cf. Āryaśūra's Jātakamālā 8.54d (Kern 1891: 50, Hanisch 2005: 1, 72, tr. Speyer 1895: 68): prasaktatīvravyasanaśramāturān, "distressed by toil and sufferings because of the violent calamities and vices to which they are liable." The compound is analysed thus in the Jātakamālāṭīkā (Basu 1989: 360): prasaktam anubaddham tīvraṃ yad vyasanam duḥkham tasmin śramah khedas tenāturāms tān ity arthaḥ.

Alternatively, "enjoin" and related meanings. Our author uses this verb in both senses: 1) puṇyocchritā hi yad uśanti tad āpnuvanti (3.32d, Ms 12^r2), "For those made exalted by merit achieve whatever they wish for." 2) sautrāmaṇau prāśyam uśanti madyaṃ (7.21a, Ms 37^v1), "[Brahmins] enjoin liquor to be consumed in the Sautrāmaṇi sacrifice." and sat kāraṇe kāryam uśanti sāṃkhyās (7.30a, Ms 38^r1), "Followers of the Sāṃkhya posit that the effect exists in the cause."

¹²⁷ The order of the *dvandva* is against *Aṣṭādhyāyī* 2.2.33 due to the principle of order of importance (*abhyarhitatvāt*), or at least this is the point of discussion in the *Jayama-ngalā ad Kāmasūtra* 1.1 (Durgāprasāda 1900: 2). However, a special supplement also rules that the *puruṣārthas* etc. can float freely (Bhandare 1995: 92).

¹²⁸ Amitagati explicitly states that gambling leads to low rebirth; see *Subhāṣitaratnasaṃdoha* stt. 626–628 (Schmidt 1908: 306–307).

¹²⁹ vyasanaśramāśraye] em., vyasanāśramāśraye Ms.

¹³⁰ Cf. *Saddharmaparikathā 5.62 (Ms 26^v3-4):

yad api syāt kaleyam iti, tatparijñānārtham atra pravartamānasya ka iva doṣaḥ syāt |

One might have the idea that it [i.e., gambling] is an art, so what is the vice, if any, were one to become engaged in it for the sake of mastering its ways?

ity atra brūmahe |

To this we reply:

```
anekadoşodayasamkalā kalā
vimohitatvāc ca guṇakriyā na ca |
yad eva tu syād guṇapakṣasiddhaye
yateta tenaiva yaśo'rthasiddhaye || [12]
```

Art is a storehouse for the rise of many a vice and because of its beguiling ways it is not a virtuous activity. Rather, one should strive for gaining fame and wealth by means of those things that are there for the victory of the side of virtue. [12]

```
priyam api ca na tan nişevitavyam
svahitapathād apavṛttilakṣaṇaṃ<sup>132</sup> yat |
nihitam iva hitaṃ tu yatra tasminn
arucim apāsya bhajed yathauṣadhaṃ tat || [13]
```

Moreover, even if something is pleasurable, one should not practise it if it bears the mark of turning away from the path to one's benefit. Conversely, where there is benefit to be found, one should take that, suppressing one's dislike, just like [one does] with [bitter] medicine. [13]

```
dharmāśrayaṃ svahitasādhanam uttamaṃ tu
pretyeha cārthasukhamānayaśaḥphalatvāt |
dyūtādikād iti mano vinivartya pāpān
maṅgalyadānadamasaṃyamabhūṣaṇaḥ syāt || [14]
```

¹³² apavṛttilakṣaṇaṃ] *em.* (Isaacson), apavṛttalakṣaṇaṃ Ms. Diwakar Acharya suggested that the original reading might also work along the same lines.

Now, achieving one's own benefit rooted in duty/Dharma is the best, because it brings about the fruits of wealth, comfort, honour, and fame both here and in the thereafter. Turning thus one's back to the sin of gambling and the like, one should adorn oneself with pious charity, self-control, and restraint. [14]

iti |

So much [for gambling].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the Horizon 2020 program (Advanced Grant agreement No. 741884). I thank Diwakar Acharya, Peter Bisschop, Csaba Dezső, Jens-Uwe Hartmann, Richard Salomon, Jonathan Silk, Martin Straube, and Harunaga Isaacson in particular for their observations and emendations. They also came to the rescue with scanned materials and in this they were joined by Aruna Keerthi Gamage, Jacob Schmidt-Madsen, Guy St. Amant, and Aleksandar Uskokov, to whom I am also very grateful.

Appendix 1: List of Metres Employed in ch. 12

Note: the metre in bold occurs only in this chapter.

- $anuṣṭubh: 1 (p\bar{a}da\ a = bha-vipul\bar{a})$
- aparavaktra/vaitālīya: 9
- upajāti (indravajrā+upendravajrā): 6
- *gīti*: 5
- puṣpitāgrā: 13
- *− vasantatilakā*: 4, 7*−*8, 14
- vamśasthavila: 10-12
- śārdūlavikrīḍita: 3
- sragdharā: 2

Appendix 2: Location of Folios in the Tucci Archive

- 53^r ввво90001.jpg folio 8
- 53^v CCC040001.jpg folio 16
- 54^r ввво90001.jpg folio 9

References

- D The Derge (sde dge) print of the Tibetan Canon, with nos. according to Hakuju Ui, Munetada Suzuki, Yenshô Kanakura and Tôkan Tada. 1934. A Complete Catalogue of the Tibetan Buddhist Canons (Bkaḥ-ḥgyur and Bstan-ḥgyur). Sendai: Tôhoku Imperial University.
- T The Taishō edition of the Chinese Buddhist Canon (https://21dzk.l.u-tokyo.ac.jp/SAT/)

In case of Pali, all references are to the Pali Text Society editions with the standard abbreviations (thus DN = $D\bar{\iota}ghanik\bar{a}ya$, etc.; cf. https://cpd.uni-koeln.de/intro/voln_epil eg_abbrev_texts).

Primary Sources: Sanskrit

Anangasamjīvana by Kālanātha:

Gaya Charan Tripathi (ed.). 2001. *Anangasañjīvanam by Kālanātha Bhaṭṭa (A dramatic work of the Bhāṇa variety)*. Sanskrit Vidyapitha Text Series 51. Allahabad/Prayāg: Ganganatha Jha Kendriya Sanskrit Vidyapitha.

Alamkāravimarśinī by Jayaratha:

Mahâmahopâdhyâya Pandit Durgâprasâd and Kâs'înâth Pâṇdurang Parab (eds.). 1893. The Alankârasarvasva of Râjânaka Ruyyaka With the Commentary of Jayaratha. Kâvyamâlâ 35. Bombay: Tukaram Javaji, Proprietor of "Javaji Dadaji's Nirnaya-Sagara" Press.

Avadānaśataka:

J[acob]. S[amuel]. Speyer (ed.). 1902–1906. Avadānaçataka. A Century of Edifying Tales Belonging to the Hīnayāna. 2 vols. St.-Pétersbourg: Commissionnaires de l'Académie Impériale des Sciences.

Avalokā by Dhanika:

Kāśīnāth Pāṇḍuraṅg Parab (ed.). 1897 (Śakasaṃvat 1819). Śrīdhanaṃjayaviracitaṃ daśarūpakaṃ dhanikakṛtayāvalokākhyayā vyākhyayā sametam. Mumbaī: Nirṇayasāgara-yantrālaya.

Karpūramañjarī by Rājaśekhara:

Sten Konow (ed.) and Charles Rockwell Lanman (tr.). 1901. *Rāja-çekhara's Karpūra-mañjarī, a Drama by the Indian Poet Rājaçekhara (about 900A.D.). Critically edited in the original Prākrit, with a glossarial index, and an essay on the life and writings of the poet, and translated into English with notes.* Harvard Oriental Series Volume IV. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University.

Kalāvilāsa by Kṣemendra: see Vasudeva 2005.

Kalyāṇakāmadhenuvivaraṇa attributed to Nāgārjuna:

Manuscript National Archives Kathmandu No. 3–363 *vi. jātaka 1* = Nepal-German Manuscript Preservation Project, reel no. A 38/13, once complete in 11 palm-leaf folios (the first two are now missing), Old Newar script, dated *Nepālasamvat 224* = 1104 CE.

Kāmasūtra by Vātsyāyana:

Paṇḍita Durgāprasāda (ed.). 1900. Śrīvātsyāyanapraṇītaṃ Kāmasūtram, Yaśodharaviracitayā Jayamaṅgalākhyayā ṭīkayā sametam. 2nd edition. Jayapura.

Kālacakratantra:

Vrajavallabh Dwivedi and S.S. Bahulkar (eds.). 1994. Vimalaprabhāṭīkā of Kalkin Śrīpuṇḍarīka on Śrīlaghukālacakratantrarāja by Śrīmañjuśrīyaśas, Vol. 11. Rare Buddhist Texts Series 12. Sarnath, Varanasi: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies.

Kurukullākalpa:

Janardan Shastri Pandey (ed.). 2001. *Kurukullākalpaḥ*. Rare Buddhist Texts Series 24. Sarnath, Varanasi: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies.

Caryāgītikośa by various siddhas:

Per Kværne (ed. and tr.). 1977. An Anthology of Buddhist Tantric Songs. A Study of the Caryāgīti. Det Norske Videnskaps-Akademi, 11. Hist.-Filos. Klasse Skrifter, Ny Serie No. 14. Oslo/Bergen/Tromsø: Universitetsforlaget.

Jayamangalā by Yaśodhara: see Kāmasūtra.

Jātakamālā by Āryaśūra:

Hendrik Kern (ed.). 1891. *The Jātaka-Mālā or Bodhisattvāvadāna-mālā by Āryaçūra*. Harvard Oriental Series 1. Boston: Harvard University.

For 1-15, see Hanisch 2005.

$J\bar{a}takam\bar{a}l\bar{a}^H$ by Haribhațța:

Martin Straube (ed.). 2019. *Haribhaṭṭa's Jātakamālā. Critically edited from the manuscripts with the help of earlier work by Michael Hahn*. Pune Indological Series 11. Pune: Department of Pali, Savitribhai Phule Pune University.

Jātakamālātīkā by an anonymous author:

Ratna Basu (ed.). 1989. "Eine literatur-kritische Studie zu Āryaśūras *Jātakamālā* zusammen mit einer kritischen Edition der anonymen *Jātakamālāṭīkā* und einer kritischen Edition der *Jātakamālāṭīkā* des Vīryasiṃha." Doctoral dissertation submitted at the Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhems-Universität. Bonn.

Tridaṇḍamālā attributed to Aśvaghoṣa:

Manuscript (probably) not extant, 115 palm-leaf folio (no. 107 skipped), Māgadhī script, ca. 11th century. Read from scans of b/w photographs taken on behalf of Giuseppe Tucci.

Dhūrtaviṭasaṃvāda by Īśvaradatta: see Dezső and Vasudeva 2009.

Dhūrtasamāgama by Jyotirīśvara:

Carl Cappeller (ed.). 1885. *Dhūrtasamāgama. Hāsyārṇava*. [Possibly] Jena: privately published in autograph.

Śaśināth Jhā (ed.) 1983. 1-Dhūrttasamāgamam—Jyotirīśvaraḥ; 2-Gorakṣavijayam— Vidyāpatiḥ. ('Prabodhinī'maithilīvyākhyāsahitaḥ). Mithilā-paramparāgata-nāṭakasaṃgrahaḥ 1. Darbhanga: Kāmeśvarasiṃha Darbhaṅgā Saṃskṛta Viśvavidyālayaḥ.

Naisadhānanda by Ksemīśvara:

Anthony Kennedy Warder and K. Kunjunni Raja (eds.). 1986. *Naiṣadhānanda of Kṣemī-śvara*. Madras: Adyar Library and Research Centre.

Pādatāḍitaka by Śyāmilaka: see Dezső and Vasudeva 2009.

Pāramitāsamāsa by Āryaśūra:

Alfonsa Ferrari (ed. and tr.). 1946. "Il "Compendio delle perfezioni" di Āryaśūra." *Annali Lateranensi* 10: 9–102.

Carol Meadows (ed. and tr.). 1986. Ārya-Śūra's Compendium of the Perfections: Text, translation and analysis of the Pāramitāsamāsa. Bonn: Indica et Tibetica Verlag.

Naoki Saito (ed.). 2005. Das Kompendium der Moralischen Vollkommenheiten. Vairocanarakṣitas tibetische Übertragung von Āryaśūras Pāramitāsamāsa samt Neuausgabe des Sanskrittextes. Indica et Tibetica 38. Marburg: Indica et Tibetica Verlag.

Bālabhārata by Rājaśekhara:

Paṇdita Durgâprasâda and Kâs'înâtha Pâṇduranga Paraba (eds.). 1887. *The Karpûra-manjarî (With the Commentary of Vâsudeva) and the Bâlabhârata of Râjas'ekhara.* Kâvyamâlâ 4. Bombay: The Proprietor of the "Nirṇaya-Sâgara" Press.

Bodhicaryāvatāra by Śāntideva:

Louis de La Vallée Poussin (ed.). 1901–1914. *Bodhicaryāvatārapañjikā. Prajñākaramati's commentary of the Bodhicaryāvatāra of Çāntideva*. Bibliotheca Indica 150, fascicles 983, 1031, 1090, 1126, 1139, 1305, 1399. Calcutta: Baptist Mission Press.

Bodhisattvabhūmi attributed to Asanga:

Nalinaksha Dutt (ed.). 1966. *Bodhisattvabhumi* [*Being the xvth Section of Asangapada's Yogacarabhumi*]. Tibetan Sanskrit Works Series 7. Patna: K.P. Jayaswal Research Institute.

Bhairavapadmāvatīkalpa by Mallişeņa:

Gaṃgavāl, Śānti Kumār (ed.). n.d. [1988?] Śrī Bhairava Padmāvatī Kalpaḥ, Śrī kavi śekhara Malliṣeṇācārya viracitaḥ. Śrī digambara jaina Kunthu Vijaya granthamālā 11. Jaypur: Śrī digambara jaina Kunthu Vijaya granthamālā samiti.

Madhyamakahrdaya by Bhāviveka:

Christian Lindtner (ed. and tr.). 2001. *Bhavya on Mīmāṃsā. Mīmāṃsātattvanirṇayā-vatāraḥ with English Translation*. Chennai: The Adyar Library and Research Centre, The Theosophical Society.

Manusmrti attributed to Manu:

- Jayantakrishna Harikrishna Dave (ed.). 1982. Manu-smṛiti With Nine Commentaries by Medhātithi, Sarvajñanārāyaṇa, Kullūka, Rāghavānanda, Nandana, Rāmachandra, Maṇirāma, Govindarāja and Bhāruchi. Volume v (Adhyāyas 9–10). Bhāratīya Vidyā Series No. 39. Bombay: Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan.
- Jayantakrishna Harikrishna Dave (ed.). 1985. *Manu-Smṛti With Nine Commentaries by Medhātithi, Sarvajñanārāyaṇa, Kullūka, Rāghavānanda, Nandana, Rāmacandra, Maṇirāma, Govindarāja and* Bhāruci. Volume Iv (Part-I: Adhyāya-7). Bhāratīya Vidyā Series No. 38. Bombay: Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan.
- Olivelle, Patrick (ed. and tr.). 2005. *Manu's Code of Law. A Critical Edition and Translation of the* Mānava-Dharmśāstra. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Manuśāstravivaraņa by Bhāruci:

J. Duncan M. Derrett (ed and tr.). 1975. Bhāruci's Commentary on the Manusmṛti (The Manu-śāstravivaraṇa, Books 6–12). Text, Translation and Notes. Vol. 1. The Text. Vol. 11.

The Translation and Notes. Schriftenreihe des Südasien-Instituts der Universität Heidelberg, Band 18. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner Verlag GMBH.

S. Jagannatha (ed.). 2020. *Manu-śāstra-vivaraṇam, a commentary on Manusmṛti by Bhāruci*. Mysore: Oriental Research Institute.

Mahāhhārata:

Sukthankar, V. et al. (eds.). 1927–1959. *Mahābhārata*, Edited by V. Sukthankar, with the cooperation of S.K. Belvalkar, A.B. Gajendragadkar, V. Kane, R.D. Karmarkar, P.L. Vaidya, S. Winternitz, R. Zimmerman, and other scholars and illustrated by Shrimant Balasaheb Pant Pratinidhi. (Since 1943 ed. S. Belvalkar). 19 Vols. Poona: Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute.

Mahāmudrātilaka:

Manuscript Staatsbibliothek zu Berlin Preuss. Kulturbesitz Orientabteilung Hs. or. 8711, 57 paper folios, Common Newar script, dated *Nepālasaṃvat* 947 = 1827 CE, based on a copy from *Nepālasaṃvat* 324 = 1204 CE.

Mahāvastu:

Senart, É[mile]. (ed.). 1882. Le Mahâvastu. Texte sanscrit publié pour la première fois et accompagné d'introductions et d'un commentaire. Tome premier. Paris: Imprimerie Nationale.

Mahāsubhāsitasamgraha:

Ludwik Sternbach and K.V. Sarma (eds.). 1981. *Mahā-subhāṣita-saṁgraha, Being an extensive collection of wise sayings and entertaining verses in Sanskrit critically edited with introduction, English translation, critical notes, and indices. Vol. v (Nos. 8265–9979)*. Hoshiarpur: Vishveshvaranand Vedic Research Institute.

Mānasollāsa by Someśvara:

G.K. Shrigondekar (ed.). 1961. *Mānasollāsa of King Someśvara, Vol. 111.* Baroda: Oriental Institute.

Mugdhopadeśa by Jalhana:

Paṇdit Durgâprasâd and Kâs'înâth Pâṇdurâng Parab (eds.). 1891. Kâvyamâlâ. A collection of old and rare Sanskrit Kâvyas, Nâtakas, Champûs, Bhâṇas, Prahasanas, Chhandas, Alankâras &c. Part VIII. Bombay: The Proprietor of the "Nirṇaya-Sâgara" Press, pp. 125–135.

Mrcchakaţikā by Śūdraka: see Acharya 2009.

Ratnāvalī by Nāgārjuna:

Michael Hahn (ed.). 1982. *Nāgārjuna's Ratnāvalī. Vol. 1. The Basic Texts* (*Sanskrit, Tibetan, Chinese*). Indica et Tibetica 1. Bonn: Indica et Tibetica Verlag.

Rājataraṅginī by Kalhana:

Marc Aurel Stein (ed.). 1892. *Rājatarangiņī. Chronicle of the Kings of Kaśmīr. Sanskrit text with critical notes*. Bombay: Education Society's Press.

Vimalakīrtinirdeśa:

Study Group on Buddhist Sanskrit Literature (eds.). 2006. *Vimalakīrtinirdeśa. A Sanskrit Edition Based upon the Manuscript Newly Found at the Potala Palace*. Tokyo: Taisho University Press.

Vimalaprabhā attributed to Puṇḍarīka: see Kālacakratantra.

Vyaktabhāvānugatatattvasiddhi by Cintā:

No. 5 (pp. 165–179) in: Samdhong Rinpoche and Vrajvallabh Dwivedi (eds.). 1987. *Guhyādi-aṣṭasiddhi-saṅgraha*. Rare Buddhist Texts Series 1. Sarnath, Varanasi: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies.

Śārngadharapaddhati compiled by Śārngadhara:

Peter Peterson (ed). 1888. *The Paddhati of Sarngadhara. A Sanskrit Anthology. Vol. 1. The Text.* Bombay Sanskrit Series 37. Bombay: Government Central Book Depot.

Śiśupālavadha by Māgha:

Paṇdit Durgâprasâd and Paṇdit S'ivadatta (eds.), Wâsudev Laxmaṇ Shâstrî Paṇsîkar (rev.). 1905. The Śiśupâlavadha of Mâgha with the Commentary (Sarvankashâ) of Mallinâtha. Bombay: Tukârâm Jâvajî, Proprietor of Jâvajî Dâdâjî's "Nirṇaya-Sâgara" Press.

Ram Chandra Kak and Harabhatta Shastri (eds.). 1935. *Maghabatta's* [sic!] *Shishu-palavadha with the Commentary* (*Sandeha-Vishaushadhi*) *of Vallabhadeva*. Srinagar, Kashmir.

Śukasaptati:

Richard Schmidt (ed.). 1898–1899. "Der Textus ornatior der Śukasaptati." *Abhandlungen der philosophisch-philologischen Classe der Königlich Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften* 21 (in der Reihe der Denkschriften der 70. Band), II. Abtheilung: 317–416. München: Verlag der Königlichen Akademie.

Sanghabhedavastu:

Raniero Gnoli (ed.). 1977–1978. *The Gilgit Manuscript of the Sanghabhedavastu*. Serie Orientale Roma 49. 2 vols. Rome: Istituto Italiano per il Medio ed Estremo Oriente.

Saduktikarnāmṛta compiled by Śrīdharadāsa:

Sures Chandra Banerji (ed.). 1965. *Sadukti-karṇāmṛta of Śrīdharadāsa*. Calcutta: Firma K.L. Mukhyopadhyay.

Samayamātrkā by Ksemendra:

E.V.V. Rāghavāchārya and D.G. Padhye (eds.). 1961. *Minor Works of Kṣemendra*. Sanskrit Academy Series 7. Hyderabad: The Sanskrit Academy, Osmania University.

Samayamātṛkāvyākhyā by Govind Kaul, written at the behest of M.A. Stein:

Manuscript Bodleian Library, Stein Or. d. 37., 95 paper folios, Devanāgarī script, dated *Vikramasaṃvat* 1955, new moon of Pauṣa and *Īśāsaṃvat* 1899 [CE], January 11. (This is a clean copy of Bodleian Library, Stein Or. c. 6. penned about half a year earlier.)

Subhāşitaratnakoşa compiled by Vidyākara:

Kosambi, D[harmananda] D[amodar] & V[asudeva] V[ishwanath] Gokhale (eds.). 1957. *The Subhāṣitaratnakoṣa compiled by Vidyākara. Edited with an introduction*. Harvard Oriental Series 42. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press.

Subhāsitaratnasamdoha by Amitagati:

Richard Schmidt (ed and tr.). 1908. *Amitagati's Subhāṣitasaṃdoha, Sanskrit und Deutsch*. Sonderabdruck aus Band lix und lxi der Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, 1905 und 1907. Leipzig: in Kommission bei F.A. Brockhaus.

Subhāṣitāvali compiled by Vallabhadeva:

Peter Peterson (ed.). 1886. *The Subhâshitâvali of Vallabhadeva*. Bombay: Education Society's Press, Byculla.

Suhrllekha attributed to Nāgārjuna:

Dngos grub tshe ring (ed.). 2020. *Ta la'i lo mar bris pa'i Rgya dpe bris ma Bshes spring skor gyi dpe bsdur zhib 'jug*. Lha sa: Bod ljongs Bod yig dpe rnying dpe skrun khang.

Sūktiratnahāra compiled by Sūrya:

K. Sāmbaśiva Śāstrī (ed.). 1938. *The Sūktiratnahāra*. Trivandrum Sanskrit Series 141. Śrī Citrodayamañjarī 30. Trivandrum: The Superintendent, Government Press.

Herukābhidhāna:

David B. Gray (ed.). 2012. *The Cakrasamvara Tantra (The Discourse of Śrī Heruka). Editions of the Sanskrit and Tibetan Texts*. New York: The American Institute of Buddhist Studies, Columbia University Center for Buddhist Studies, Tibet House US.

Secondary Sources

- Acharya, Diwakar (tr.). 2009. *The Little Clay Cart by Śūdraka*. Clay Sanskrit Library 44. New York: New York University Press and IJC Foundation.
- Alsdorf, Ludwig. 1971. "Das Jātaka vom weisen Vidhura". Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde Südasiens / Vienna Journal of South Asian Studies 15: 23–56.
- Bandyopadhyay, Samaresh. 1971. "Gambling in Early Indian Epigraphs." In: D[inesh] C[handra] Sircar (ed.), *Social Life in Ancient India*. Centre of Advanced Study in Ancient Indian History and Culture, University of Calcutta, Lectures and Seminar No. VI-B (Seminar), Part II. Calcutta: University of Calcutta, pp. 148–151.
- Basham, Arthur Llewellyn. 1954. The Wonder That Was India: A survey of the history and culture of the Indian sub-continent before the coming of the Muslims. London: Picador.
- Bechert, Heinz, Kino Shushin, Klaus Kuenster, and Junko Matsumura. 1991. "An Ancient Sinhalese Manuscript Discovered in Tibet and Preserved in Peking." *Pārigaku Bukkyō bunkagaku* パーリ学仏教文化学 [*Journal of Pali and Buddhist Studies*] 4: 67–83.
- Bhandare, V.V. 1995. "Structural and Semantic Aspects of the Dvandva Compound." *Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute* 76/1/4: 89–96.
- Bhattacharya, Gouriswar. 1977. "Nandin and Vṛṣabha." In: Wolfgang Voigt (ed.), XIX. Deutscher Orientalistentag vom 28. September bis 4. Oktober 1975 in Freiburg im Breisgau: Vorträge. Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Supplement 3/2. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner Verlag, pp. 1545–1567.
- Bock-Raming, A[ndreas]. 2000. "The Gambling Scenes of Bhārhut and Bodhgayā: A Critical Assessment of Their Previous Interpretations and Some New Suggestions." *Asiatische Studies / Études asiatiques* 54/1: 131–180 (+plates).
- Bollée, Willem. 2015. A Cultural Encyclopedia of the Kathāsaritsāgara in Keywords: Complementary to Norman Penzer's General Index on Charles Tawney's Translation. Studia Indologica Universitatis Halensis 8. Halle an der Saale: Universitätsverlag Halle-Wittenberg.
- Bronkhorst, Johannes. 2011. *Buddhism in the Shadow of Brahmanism*. Brill: Leiden and Boston.
- Bronkhorst, Johannes. 2008. "Āśramas, Agrahāras, and Monasteries." In: Ivan Adrijanić and Sven Sellmer (eds.), On the Growth and Composition of the Sanskrit Epics and Purāṇas. Relationship to Kāvya. Social and Economic Context. Proceedings of the Fifth Dubrovnik International Conference on the Sanskrit Epics and Purāṇas. Zagreb: Croatian Academy of Sciences and Arts and Ibis Grafika, pp. 137–160.

Buitenen, Johannes Adrianus Bernardus van (tr.). 1975. *The Mahābhārata. Book 2: The Book of the Assembly Hall. Book 3: The Book of the Forest*. Chicago & London: The University of Chicago Press.

- Bühler, G[eorg]. 1876. "Two Inscriptions from Jhâlrâpâṭhan." *Indian Antiquary* 5: 180–183.
- Cecil, Elizabeth A[nn]. 2020. *Mapping the Pāśupata Landscape: Narrative, Place, and the Śaiva Imaginary in Early Medieval North India*. Gonda Indological Studies 21. Leiden: Brill.
- Childers, R[obert]. C[aesar]. 1875–1876. "The Whole Duty of the Buddhist Layman. A Sermon of Buddha." *The Contemporary Review* 27: 417–424.
- Cowell, E[dward]. B[yles]. and W[illiam]. H[enry]. D[enham]. Rouse (trs.). 1907. *The Jātaka or Stories of the Buddha's Former Births. Vol. VI.* Cambridge: University Press.
- Dimitrov, Dragomir. 2020. *The Buddhist Indus Script and Scriptures. On the so-called Bhaikṣukī or Saindhavī Script of the Sāṇmitīyas and their Canon.* Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur, Mainz. Veröffentlichungen der Fächergruppenkommission für Außeuropäische Sprachen und Kulturen. Studien zur Indologie Band 7. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag.
- Dezső, Csaba. 2004. "'Much Ado About Religion'. A Critical Edition and Annotated Translation of the *Āgamaḍambara*, a Satirical Play by the ninth century Kashmirian philosopher Bhaṭṭa Jayanta." Doctoral dissertation submitted at the University of Oxford.
- Dezső, Csaba and Somadeva Vasudeva (eds. and trs.). 2009. *The Quartet of Causeries by Śyāmilaka, Vararuci, Śūdraka & Īśvaradatta*. Clay Sanskrit Library 49. New York: New York University press and JJC Foundation.
- Dharmamitra, Bhikshu (tr.). 2009. A Strand of Dharma Jewels. A Bodhisattva's Profound Teachings On Happiness, Liberation, and the Path. The Rāja Parikathā Ratnāvalī Composed by Ārya Nāgārjuna for a South Indian Monarch. Tripiṭaka Master Paramārtha's Sixth-Century Edition. Seattle: Kalavinka Press.
- Dowman, Keith (tr.). 1985. *Masters of Mahāmudrā. Songs and Histories of the Eighty-Four Buddhist Siddhas*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Edgerton, Franklin. 1953. *Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Grammar and Dictionary. Volume 11:*Dictionary. William Dwight Whitney Linguistic Series. New Haven: Yale University Press & London: Geoffrey Cumberlege, Oxford University Press.
- Egyed, Alice. 1984. *The Eighty-four Siddhas: A Tibetan Block-print from Mongolia*. Debter, Deb-ther, Debtelin 3. Fontes Tibetani 2. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.
- Falk, Harry. 1986. Bruderschaft und Würfelspiel. Untersuchungen zur Entwicklungsgeschichte des vedischen Opfers. Freiburg: Hedwig Falk.
- Gethin, Rupert (tr.). 2008. Sayings of the Buddha. A selection of suttas from the Pali Nikāyas. Translated with an Introduction and Notes. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Gogerly, D[aniel]. J[ohn]. (tr.). 1846–1847. "Singālo Wāda." The Journal of the Ceylon Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain & Ireland 1/2:156-163.
- Gómez, Luis and Paul Harrison with Members of the Mangalam Translation Group (trs.). 2022. Vimalakīrtinirdeśa. The Teaching of Vimalakīrti. An English Translation of the Sanskrit Text Found in the Potala Palace, Lhasa. Berkeley, CA: Mangalam Press.
- Gray, David B. (tr.). 2007. The Cakrasamvara Tantra (The Discourse of Śrī Heruka): Study and Annotated Translation. New York: American Institute of Buddhist Studies, Columbia University Press.
- Grimblot, Paul M. (tr.). 1876. Sept Suttas Pâlis tirés du Dîgha-nikâya. Paris: Imprimerie Nationale.
- Grünwedel, Albert (tr.). 1916. "Die Geschichten der vierundachtzig Zauberer (mahāsiddhas). Aus dem Tibetischen übersetzt." Baessler-Archiv 5: 137-228.
- Gunawardana, R[anaweera]. A[ppuhamilage]. L[eslie]. H[erbert]. 1981. "A Buddhist Manuscript in the Sinhala Language from the Sa-skya Monastery in Tibet." In: J.S. Jha (ed.), K.P. Jayaswal Commemoration Volume. Patna: K.P. Jayaswal Research Institute, pp. 199-206.
- Hahn, Michael. 2007. "Gopadatta's Kapīśvarajātaka. Re-edited and translated", Bukkyō Bunka Kenkyūjo Kiyō [= Bulletin of Research Institute for Buddhist Culture, Ryūkoku University 46: 47-74.
- Hahn, Michael and Siglinde Dietz (trs.). 2008. Wege zur rechten Erkenntnis. Buddhistische Lehrbriefe. Aus dem Sanskrit und aus dem Tibetischen übersetzt und herausgegeben. Frankfurt/M: Insel Verlag (Verlag der Weltreligionen).
- Ham, Hyoung Seok. 2016. "Buddhist Critiques of the Veda and Vedic Sacrifice: A Study of Bhāviveka's Mīmāṃsā Chapter of the Madhyamakahṛdayakārikā and Tarkajvālā." Doctoral dissertation submitted at the University of Michigan.
- Handelman, Don and David Shulman. 1997. God Inside Out. Śiva's Game of Dice. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hanisch, Albrecht (ed.). 2005. Āryaśūras Jātakamālā. Philologische Untersuchungen zu den Legenden 1 bis 15. Teil 1: Einleitung, Textausgabe, Anhänge, Register. Teil 2: Philologischer Kommentar. Indica et Tibetica 43/1-2. Marburg: Indica et Tibetica Verlag.
- Hartmann, Jens-Uwe and Klaus Wille. 2006. "A Version of the Śikhālakasūtra/Siṅgālovādasutta." In: Jens Braarvig et al. (eds.), Buddhist Manuscripts Volume III. Manuscripts in the Schøyen Collection. Oslo: Hermes Publishing, pp. 1-7.
- Harvey, Peter. 2000. An Introduction to Buddhist Ethics. Foundations, Values and Issues. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hiralal, Rai Bahadur. 1911–1912. "The Sirpur Stone Inscription of the Time of Mahāśivagupta." Epigraphia Indica 11: 184–201.
- Hinüber, Oskar von. 2006. "Everyday Life in an Ancient Buddhist Monastery." Annual Report of The International Research Institute for Advanced Buddhology at Soka University 9: 3-31.

Ingalls, Daniel H[enry] H[olmes] (tr.). 1965. *An Anthology of Sanskrit Court Poetry. Vidyākara's "Subhāṣitaratnakoṣa"*. Harvard Oriental Series 44. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press.

- Jamison, Stephanie W[roth]. and Joel P[eter]. Brereton (trs.). 2014. *The Rigveda. The Earliest Religious Poetry of India*. 3 vols. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Jones, J[ohn]. J[ames]. (tr.). 1949. *The Mahāvastu. Volume 1. Translated from the Buddhist Sanskrit*. London: Luzac & Company.
- Kane, Pandurang Vaman. 1946. *History of Dharmaśāstra (Ancient and Mediæval Religious and Civil Law*). Vol. 111. Poona: Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute.
- Kaplan, Uri. 2016. "Updating The Vinaya: Formulating Buddhist Monastic Laws and Pure Rules in Contemporary Korea." *Contemporary Buddhism*, online publication [DOI: 10.1080/14639947.2016.1234753]
- Khoroche, Peter (tr.). 2017. Once a Peacock, Once an Actress. Twenty-Four Lives of the Bodhisattva from Haribhaṭṭa's Jātakamālā. Chicago/London: The University of Chicago Press.
- Kittay, David R. (tr.). 2011. "Interpreting the *Vajra Rosary: Truth and Method* Meets Wisdom and Method." Doctoral dissertation submitted at Columbia University.
- Kudō, Noriyuki. 2004. *The Karmavibhanga: Transliterations and Annotations of the Original Sanskrit Manuscripts from Nepal.* Bibliotheca Philologica et Philosophica Buddhica 7. Tokyo: The International Research Institute for Advanced Buddhology, Soka University.
- Kudo, Noriyuki. 2021a. "A Textual History of the Sanskrit Manuscripts of the *Karmavibhaṅga*: With special reference to a newly identified Nepalese Manuscript preserved in the Cambridge University Library." *Annual Report of The International Research Institute for Advanced Buddhology at Soka University* 24: 119–130.
- La Vallée Poussin, Louis de (tr.). 1907. Bodhicaryāvatāra. Introduction à la pratique des futurs bouddhas. Poéme de Çāntideva. Extrait de la Revue d'histoire et de littérature religieuses, t. x, xi et xii, 1905, 1906, 1907. Paris: Librairie Bloud et Cie.
- Lévi, Sylvain. 1932. Mahā-Karmavibhaṅga (La Grande Classification des Actes) et Karmavibhaṅgopadeśa (Discussion sur le Mahā Karmavibhaṅga), textes sanscrits rapportés du Népal, édités et traduits avec les textes parallèles en sanscrit, en pali, en tibétain, en chinois et en kutchéen. Paris: Librairie Ernest Leroux.
- Lindtner, Christian. 1982. *Nāgārjuniana. Studies in the Writings and Philosophy of Nāgārjuna*. Buddhist Traditions volume 11. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass Publishers.

- Lüders, Heinrich. 1907. Das Würfelspiel im alten Indien. Berlin: Weidmannsche Buchhandlung.
- Michon, Daniel. 2015. Archaeology and Religion in Early Northwest India. History, Theory, Practice. Archaeology and Religion in South Asia series. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Mirashi, V[asudev]. V[ishnu]. 1938-1939. "Purshottampuri Plates of Rāmachandra: Śaka 1232." Epigraphia Indica 25: 199-225.
- Mirashi, V.V. 1945. "Historical Data in Dandin's Daśakumāracharita." Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute 26: 20–31. [Reprinted in Studies in Indology, vol. 1. Nagpur: The Vidarbha Samshodhana Mandal, 1960, pp. 165–177.]
- Murakami, Daisuke. 2014. "Aspects of the Traditional Gambling Game known as Sho in Modern Lhasa—religious and gendered worldviews infusing the Tibetan dice game—." Revue d'Études Tibétaines 29: 245-270.
- Olivelle, Patrick (tr.). 1999. Dharmasūtras. The Law Codes of Āpastamba, Gautama, Baudhāyana, and Vasiṣṭha. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Olivelle, Patrick (tr.). 2013. King, Government, and Law in Ancient India. Kauţilya's Arthaśāstra. A New Annotated Translation. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Onians, Isabelle (tr.). 2005. What Ten Young Men Did by Daṇḍin. Clay Sanskrit Library 10. New York: New York University Press and JJC Foundation.
- Patkar, M[adhukar]. M[angesh]. 1963. "The Role of Gambling in Ancient Indian Society and Some Sanskrit Words Pertaining to the Game." Vishveshvaranand Indological Journal 1: 141-153.
- Piovano, Irma. 2010. "Sociological and Juridical Aspects of Dice-Play in Ancient India." In: Piotr Balczerowicz (ed.), Logic and Belief in Indian Philosophy. Warsaw Indological Studies 3. 2nd, revised edition, 2016, Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, pp. 657-674.
- Raghavan, V[enkataraman]. 1979. Festivals, Sports and Pastimes of India. Ahmedabad: B.J. Institute of Learning and Research.
- Rhys Davids, T[homas]. W[illiam]. and C[aroline]. A[ugusta]. F[oley]. Rhys Davids (trs.). 1921. Dialogues of the Buddha Translated from the Pali of the Dīgha Nikāya. Part III. London: Humphrey Milford, Oxford University Press.
- Robinson, James B[urnell]. (tr.). 1979. Buddha's Lions: The Lives of the Eighty-Four Siddhas. Caturaśīti-siddha-pravṛtti by Abhayadatta. Translated into Tibetan as Grub thob brgyad cu rtsa bzhi'i lo rgyus by sMon-grub Shes-rab. Berkeley: Dharma Publishing.
- Roerich, Georges N[ikolaevich] [with Gendün Chöpel] (trs.). 1949–1953. The Blue Annals. 2 vols. Calcutta: Asiatic Society of Bengal.
- Schlingloff, Dieter. 2000. *Ajanta. Handbuch der Malereien / Handbook of the Paintings*. 3 vols. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag.
- Schmidt, Richard (tr.). 1899. Die Śukasaptati (Textus Ornatior) aus dem Sanskrit uebersetzt. Stuttgart: Verlag von W. Kohlhammer.
- Schroeder, Ulrich von. 2006. Empowered Masters: Tibetan Wall Paintings of Mahāsi-

ddhas at Gyantse. Chicago: Serindia Publications, Hong Kong: Visual Dharma Publications Ltd.

- Sferra, Francesco (ed. and tr.). 2000. The Ṣaḍaṅgayoga by Anupamarakṣita with Ra-viśrījñāna's Guṇabharaṇīnāmaṣaḍaṅgayogaṭippaṇī. Text and annotated translation. Serie Orientale Roma 85. Roma: Istituto Italiano per l'Africa e l'Oriente.
- Shaw, Miranda. 1994. *Passionate Enlightenment: Women in Tantric Buddhism*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Shonin, Edo, William van Gordon, and Mark D. Griffiths. 2013. "Buddhist philosophy for the treatment of problem gambling." *Journal of Behavioral Addictions* 2/2: 63–71. [DOI: 10.1556/JBA.2.2013.001]
- Siegel, Lee. 1987 [1989]. *Laughing Matters. Comic Tradition in India*. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Silk, Jonathan A[lan.]. 2020. "A Resurgent Interest in "Hindu Fiction": On and around the *Kathāsaritsāgara*, with Special Attention to Buddhism." *Indo-Iranian Journal* 63: 263–306. [DOI: 10.1163/15728536-06303002]
- Singh, Upinder. 2010. "Politics, Violence, and War in Kāmandaka's *Nītisāra.*" *The Indian Economic and Social History Review* 47/1: 29–62. [DOI: 10.1177/001946460904700102]
- Skilling, Peter. 2023. "The Vātsīputrīya/Sāṃmitīya. Buddhist Personalism as a Mainstream School of Thought." In: William Edelglass, Pierre-Julien Harter and Sara McClintock (eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Indian Buddhist Philosophy*. Abingdon, Oxon and New York: Routledge, pp. 188–203. [DOI: 10.4324/9781351030908-19]
- Speyer, J[acob]. S[amuel]. (tr.). 1895. The Gâtakamâlâ or Garland of Birth-Stories by Ârya Sûra. Sacred Books of the Buddhists 1. London: Henry Frowde, Oxford University Press.
- Stein, M[arc]. A[urel]. 1900. Kalhaṇa's Rājataraṅgiṇī. A Chronicle of the Kings of Kaśmīr. Translated, with an introduction, comments and appendices. Vol. 1: Introduction. Books I-VII. Vol. II: Book VIII. Notes, geographical memoir, index, maps. Westminster: A. Constable.
- Syed, Renate. 1996. "Yudhiṣṭhira in der sabhā. Das akṣadyūta im Mahābhārata." In: Friedrich Wilhelm (ed.), Festschrift Dieter Schlingloff zur Vollendung des 65. Lebensjahres dargebracht von Schülern, Freunden und Kollegen. Reinbek, pp. 269–285.
- Syed, Renate. 1997. "Das *akṣadyūta* in epischer Zeit sowie Anmerkungen zur Verwendung des *akṣa-*s im Ritual." *Studien zur Indologie und Iranistik* 21: 235–291.
- Szántó, Péter-Dániel. 2007. "atra kim prayojanam? An Essay on the Reception and Naturalization of kāvya in Tibet." In: Csaba Dezső (ed.), Indian Languages and Texts Through the Ages—Essays of Hungarian Indologists in Honour of Prof. Csaba Töttössy. Delhi: Manohar, pp. 209–255.
- Szántó, Péter-Dániel. 2019. "Siddhas." In: Jonathan A. Silk et al. (eds.), *Brill's Encyclopedia of Buddhism. Volume 11: Lives*. Leiden/Boston: Brill.

- Szántó, Péter-Dániel. 2021. "Buddhist Homiletics on Grief (*Saddharmaparikathā, ch. 11)." Indo-Iranian Journal 64: 291–347. [DOI: 10.1163/15728536-06403001]
- Törzsök, Judit (tr.). 2007. Friendly Advice by Naráyana & King Víkrama's Adventures. Clay Sanskrit Library 32. New York: New York University Press and IJC Foundation.
- Vasudeva, Somadeva (tr.). 2005. Three Satires, by Bhállata, Ksheméndra & Nila kantha. Clay Sanskrit Library 11. New York: New York University Press and IJC Foundation.
- Walshe, Maurice (tr.). 1987. Thus Have I Heard: The Long Discourses of the Buddha (Dīgha Nikāya). London: Wisdom Publications.
- Warder, A[nthony]. K[ennedy]. 1988. Indian Kāvya Literature. Volume Five: The Bold Style (Śaktibhadra to Dhanapāla). Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Warren, James A. 2013. *Gambling, the State and Society in Thailand, c. 18*00–1945. Oxford: Routledge.
- Whitney, William Dwight and Charles Rockwell Lanman (trs.). 1905. Atharva-veda Samhitā. Translated With a Critical and Exegetical Commentary by W.D. Whitney, revised and brought nearer to completion and edited by C.R. Lanman. First Half. Introduction. Books 1 to VII, Pages i-clxii and 1-470. Second Half. Books VIII to XIX. Indexes, Pages 471–1046. Harvard Oriental Series 7–8. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University.
- Zin, Monika. 2018. The Kanaganahalli Stūpa: An Analysis of the 60 Massive Slabs Covering the Dome. New Delhi: Aryan Books International.