

## **Disentangling a complex genus: systematics, biogeography and bioactivity of the genus Phyllanthus L. and related genera of tribe Phyllantheae (Phyllanthaceae)** Bouman, R.W.

## Citation

Bouman, R. W. (2022, December 6). *Disentangling a complex genus:* systematics, biogeography and bioactivity of the genus Phyllanthus L. and related genera of tribe Phyllantheae (Phyllanthaceae). Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3492676

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral</u> <u>thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University</u> <u>of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3492676

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# **CHAPTER 3**

# Subgeneric delimitation of the plant genus *Phyllanthus* (Phyllanthaceae)

Roderick W. Bouman, Paul J.A. Keβler, Ian R.H. Telford, Jeremy J. Bruhl and Peter C. van Welzen



Published in Blumea 63: 167 - 198. 2018

https://doi.org/10.3767/blumea.2018.63.02.14

# Subgeneric delimitation of the plant genus Phyllanthus (Phyllanthaceae)

Short title: Subgeneric delimitation of Phyllanthus

Roderick W. Bouman<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Paul J.A. Ke $\beta$ ler<sup>1,4</sup>, Ian R.H. Telford<sup>3</sup>, Jeremy J. Bruhl<sup>3</sup>, Peter C. van Welzen<sup>2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Hortus botanicus Leiden, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9500, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands;

corresponding author e-mail: roderick.bouman@naturalis.nl.

<sup>2</sup> Naturalis Biodiversity Center, P.O. Box 9517, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands.

<sup>3</sup> Botany and N.C.W. Beadle Herbarium, School of Environmental and Rural

Science, University of New England, Armidale, NSW 2351, Australia.

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9505, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands.

#### Abstract

Over two centuries of taxonomic studies on the species rich genus *Phyllanthus* have culminated in a broad and complicated classification with many subgenera and (sub)sections. Past taxonomic work has only focused on local revisions, mostly because of the size of the genus. In this study we aim to summarize most of the taxonomic work in a list containing the infrageneric delimitations of *Phyllanthus*. This work will serve as a reference, placing most currently recognized species in subgenera and if possible, in sections for further study. Here we recognize 880 species of Phyllanthus, classified in 18 subgenera, 70 sections and 14 subsections. A few taxonomic changes are necessary to reconcile published phylogenetic data with the current classification. Subsections *Callidisci* and *Odontadenii* are raised to sectional rank, while section *Eleutherogynium* and section *Physoglochidion* are reduced to subsections and *P. oxycarpus* is transferred to the genus *Glochidion*. A provisional key for the subgeneric classification of *Phyllanthus* is provided.

**Key words:** infrageneric taxonomy, pantropical, paraphyletic, Phyllanthaceae, Phyllanthus

#### Introduction

With almost 900 species, the mostly pantropical *Phyllanthus* L. is the largest genus in the family Phyllanthaceae (Govaerts et al. 2000). When considering all vegetative and reproductive organs, *Phyllanthus* is one of the most diverse groups in the Angiosperms (Webster 1956). This diversity is exemplified by the multitude of subgenera and (sub)sections defined within the genus. In the past, most of these

subgenera and some sections were treated at generic rank (Jussieu 1824, Baillon 1858), but were eventually all subsumed in a broad genus concept of *Phyllanthus* with numerous sections (Müller 1863, 1865, 1866). The last major changes to this concept at genus level have been the segregation of the genera Glochidion J.R.Forst. & G.Forst. (Kurz 1873) and Margaritaria L.f. (Webster 1957, 1979). The infrageneric structure of *Phyllanthus* was improved with the creation of several subgenera in a monographic work on the *Phyllanthus* species of the West Indies by Webster (1956, 1957, 1958). Subsequent revisionary work followed Webster's outline of subgenera and sections to illustrate the relations among groups within *Phyllanthus* (e.g. Bancilhon 1971; Webster & Airy Shaw 1971; Punt 1972; Airy Shaw 1975, 1980a; Brunel 1987; Rossignol et al. 1987; Santiago et al. 2006; Ralimanana & Hoffmann 2011, 2014; Ralimanana et al. 2013). Regional work on *Phyllanthus* (Merrill 1920, 1926; Pax & Hoffmann 1922; Beille 1925, 1927; Croizat 1942a, 1943b; Leandri 1958; Airy Shaw 1963, 1969, 1972, 1975, 1976, 1980a, 1980b, 1982; Webster 1986; Chantaranothai 2005; Silva & Sales 2006, 2008) and morphological studies (Punt 1967, 1972, 1973, 1980, 1986; Lobreau-Callen et al. 1988; Stuppy 1995; Chen et al. 2009; Jangid & Gupta 2016; Wu et al. 2016) extended the infrageneric groupings to create a working classification for most *Phyllanthus* species.

However, recent phylogenetic studies showed that several subgenera were polyphyletic and even *Phyllanthus* itself proved to be paraphyletic (Kathriarachchi et al. 2006). In the following taxonomic revisions some of the polyphyletic subgenera were divided in new monophyletic subgenera (Ralimanana & Hoffmann 2011, 2014a; Ralimanana et al. 2013), but discussion remained whether Breynia J.R.Forst. & G.Forst., Glochidion and Sauropus Blume should be subsumed into Phyllanthus. One solution is to subsume these genera in Phyllanthus to create a giant genus (Hoffmann et al. 2006, followed by Chakrabarty & Balakrishnan 2009b; Wagner & Lorence 2011; Kurosawa 2016) and the other is to split *Phyllanthus* into smaller, morphologically recognizable, monophyletic groups (Pruesapan et al. 2012; van Welzen et al. 2014a; Telford et al. 2016, followed by Chakrabarty & Balakrishnan 2012). A more exhaustive phylogenetic study with higher sampling presented the case to maintain Breynia (including Sauropus), Synostemon F.Muell. and *Glochidion* as monophyletic and morphologically recognizable genera (Pruesapan et al. 2008, 2012; van Welzen et al. 2014a), still leaving the rest of *Phyllanthus* in its current state, a paraphyletic genus. If *Phyllanthus* would be split, a larger phylogenetic study, which includes all subgenera and the majority of sections, is needed to prove which groups are monophyletic.

*Phyllanthus* is currently classified in about 18 subgenera with numerous sections by past revision work. The most notable revisions of *Phyllanthus* are those for the neotropics (Webster 2001b, 2002a, 2002b, 2004), Asia (Airy Shaw 1960, 1975, 1980, 1981; Webster & Airy Shaw 1971; Schmid 1991) and tropical Africa and Madagascar (Brunel 1987; Brunel & Roux 1975, 1976, 1977, 1981, 1984, 1985; Leandri 1958; Radcliffe-Smith 1974, 1996b; Ralimanana & Hoffmann 2011,

2014, Ralimanana et al. 2013). There is some discussion regarding the validity as publication of Brunel's thesis (1987). The thesis covers a large amount of work on the *Phyllanthus* species of Madagascar and Africa with many notes on subgenera and sections. Because it is a thesis, this work was treated as not validly published based on article 32 of the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (McNeill et al. 2012) by Kathriarachchi et al. (2006). However, the thesis contains the name of a printing company and numbered copies have been distributed to several institutes, which is all in agreement with article 30.8, making it a validly published book. As such it is used in this publication. Several of the decisions in Brunel's thesis were accepted in recent revisions of *Phyllanthus* in Madagascar (Ralimanana & Hoffmann 2011, 2014, Ralimanana et al. 2013).

The checklist by Govaerts et al. (2000) is often used to estimate the number of species within *Phyllanthus*, but it does not contain an infrageneric division. An attempted synopsis of all the subgenera and sections was published by Kathriarachchi et al. (2006). However, only the species included in the phylogenetic study were mentioned and a complete taxonomic treatment of the genus is still wanting. We hope that this list may serve as a framework for future studies. If *Phyllanthus* should ever be split into various genera, this list can serve as a recommendation for the species to include.

#### Methods

In this study, we record 881 species, which are divided into 18 subgenera, 69 sections and 15 subsections (Appendix 3-1). Govaerts et al. (2000) recorded 833 species and the difference is mainly caused by the acceptance of Brunel (1987) and the addition of newly published species after their work. Based on a combination of morphological descriptions, classifications in literature and published phylogenetic work (e.g., Samuel et al. 2005; Kathriarachchi et al. 2006; Pruesapan et al. 2008, 2012; Manissorn et al. 2010; Challen et al. 2011; Luo et al. 2011a), we propose the current list for the subgeneric classification of Phyllanthus, in which we assign as many species as possible to subgenera and sections. Some placements are adopted from and are now validly published from Webster's unfinished manuscripts, which are available online (http://herbarium.ucdavis.edu/webster\_manuscripts.html). For those species that were unplaced, we studied the distribution and morphological descriptions (mainly branching type and the morphology of the staminate flower), which allowed us to place them at least in subgenera. A synoptic key is provided by which most species can be placed in the appropriate subgenera and/or sections. However, sections and the species included have often not been the subject of recent taxonomic revisions or are based solely on palynological differences. This complicates the creation of a key that can accommodate all species of *Phyllanthus*. The most important literature is cited after each species, which either provides a direct placement or a morphological description. Hybrid species and infraspecific taxa were not included. Some combinations, partly required by changes in level, are

published here, but only to solve nomenclatural anomalies (e.g., subsections that cannot be classified anymore in a section due to splitting of sections and changes in the taxonomic level of the taxa).

#### Taxonomy listing of Phyllanthus

We could assign 837 of the 880 species to a particular subgenus or (sub)section (Appendix 3-2), with some only listed as formerly in subgenus *Isocladus* or the synonymized section *Paraphyllanthus* Müll.Arg. One species of subgenus *Isocladus* G.L.Webster, *P. maderasatensis* L., was designated as the lectotype of the whole genus *Phyllanthus* by Ralimanana & Hoffmann (2011). However, *Phyllanthus niruri* L. was already designated as the lectotype of the genus *Phyllanthus* by Small (1913) and later independently confirmed by Webster (1956). Unfortunately, the remaining 43 species could not be assigned due to either incomplete descriptions, destroyed type specimens, or lack of collections. We have opted to place these species *incertae sedis* as their true relations need further detailed study.

The classification of several subgenera from Webster's original monographs (1956, 1957, 1958) has changed drastically. Subsequent palynological (e.g., Punt 1967, 1972, 1973, 1980, 1986; Lobreau-Callen et al. 1988) and phylogenetic studies (Kathriarachchi et al. 2006) have led to many new combinations and necessary transfers, some of which are discussed below.

Subgenus Isocladus Webster was created to include about 60 species with non-phyllanthoid branching (leaves on main stem not reduced to scales and lateral axes not deciduous) and consisted of originally four sections, Loxopodium G.L.Webster, Anisolobium Müll.Arg., Macraea (Wight) Baill. and Paraphyllanthus Müll.Arg. (Webster 1956). However, subsequent studies (Brunel 1987, Webster 2002b) have reduced the size of this subgenus considerably. The sections Macraea and Ceramanthus (Hassk.) Baill. (the latter with section Anisolobium merged with it; Punt 1972) were raised to subgeneric level by Brunel (1987). Section Loxopodium has been transferred to subgenus Phyllanthus on the basis of pollen characteristics and section *Paraphyllanthus* was placed in the synonymy of section Isocladus (Brunel 1987). Webster did create a new section in subgenus Isocladus, Antipodanthus G.L.Webster, which contained several neotropical and Australian species (Webster 2002a), but the Australian species appear to be better placed in section Lysiandra (F. Muell.) G.L.Webster of subgenus Phyllanthus (Bouman, unpublished data). Phylogenetic studies have confirmed the distinctness of subgenera Macraea and Ceramanthus from Loxopodium (Kathriararchchi et al. 2006). For section Antipodanthus, only one Australian species, P. calycinus Labill., and no neotropical species were included in the phylogeny by Kathriararchchi et al. (2006), in which the group appeared to be distinct from subgenus Isocladus. However, to elucidate the relationship between sections Antipodanthus and *Lysiandra* it is necessary to include more species in a phylogenetic study. Therefore section Antipodanthus is here maintained with no formal subgeneric placement.

Ralimanana & Hoffmann (2011) made the remainder of subgenus *Isocladus* (including former section *Paraphyllanthus*) monotypic, to only include *P. maderaspatensis* L., leaving some species unplaced and in need of revision.

All small shrubs and herbaceous *Phyllanthus* species were originally placed in subgenus *Phyllanthus*. The subgenus was shown to be polyphyletic (Kathriarachchi et al. 2006) and several subgenera are now recognized separately: subgenus Swartziani (G.L.Webster) Ralim. & Petra Hoffm., containing the neotropical herbaceous species of subsection Swartziani; subgenus Afroswartziani Ralim. & Petra Hoffm., comprising the palaeotropical species of former subsection Swartziani (largely comparable with section Anthophyllus Jean F.Brunel (Brunel 1987)), subgenus Tenellanthus Jean F.Brunel and subgenus Phyllanthus. Subgenus Phyllanthus now only contains sections Almadenses G.L.Webster, Choretropsis Müll. Arg., Loxopodium G.L.Webster, Lysiandra, Phyllanthus and Salviniopsis Holm-Niels. ex Jean F.Brunel. Section Praephyllanthus Jean F.Brunel was found to be closely related to the species of subgenus Afroswartziani (Kathriarachchi et al. 2006) and is transferred here to subgenus Afroswartziani. The type of section Anthophyllus was placed in subgenus *Swartziani*, but all other palaeotropical species, including subsections Callidisci Jean F.Brunel, Fluitantoides Jean F.Brunel and Odontadenii Jean F.Brunel & Roux (here raised to section level) are better placed in subgenus Afroswartziani. These two subgenera are closely related (see Kathriarachchi et al. 2006) and mostly distinguished by the inflorescences (unisexual in Afroswartziani, bisexual in Swartziani) (Ralimanana et al. 2013). The species in sections Odontadenii, Fluitantoides and Callidisci have unisexual inflorescences and are tentatively placed in subgenus Afroswartziani.

Subgenus Kirganelia (A.Juss.) Kurz is polyphyletic (Kathirarachchi et al. 2006) and currently consists of eight sections: Anisonema (A.Juss.) Griseb., Brazzeani Jean F.Brunel & Roux, Chorisandra (Wight) Müll.Arg., Cicca (L.) Müll. Arg., Hemicicca (Bail.) Müll.Arg., Omphacodopsis Jean F.Brunel, Polyanthi Jean F.Brunel and Pseudomenarda Müll.Arg. As noted by Ralimanana & Hoffmann (2011), the type species for subgenus Kirganelia is P. casticum P.Willemet, but P. reticulatus Poir. is the type species for the type section Anisonema. Some African and Madagascan species, originally attributed to this subgenus, were shown to be phylogenetically separate and placed in subgenus Anesonemoides (Jean F.Brunel) Ralim. & Petra Hoffm. (Ralimanana & Hoffmann 2014). Subgenus Anesonemoides differs from subgenus Kirganelia in fruit morphology (dehiscent in subgenus Anesonemoides versus baccate in subgenus Kirganelia), a lack of brachyblasts in some species of subgenus Anesonemoides, pollen with colpi bordered by parallel muri and the androecium (free or centrally fused stamens in subgenus Anesonemoides versus two sets of stamens (one fused, one free) in subgenus Kirganelia) (Ralimanana & Hoffmann 2014). Subgenus Kirganelia sections Cicca and Chorisandra were also shown to be in a clade separate from section Anisonema (Kathriarachchi et al. 2006), but no nomenclatural changes have yet been published. The sections *Omphacodopsis*, *Polyanthi* and *Brazzeani* have not yet been included in any phylogenetic studies. Section *Brazzeani* was originally placed in subgenus *Conami* (Aubl.) G.L.Webster based on pollen characters (Brunel & Roux 1977), but these seem to have arisen through convergence and *Brazzeani* is better placed in subgenus *Kirganelia* (Meeuwis & Punt 1983). The stamen in the staminate flowers of section *Brazzeanii* are arranged in two sets, similarly to subgenus *Kirganelia* section *Anisonema*. Though still used in Kathriarachchi et al. (2006) and Ralimanana & Hoffmann (2011), section *Floribundi* Pax & K.Hoffm. was reorganized by Brunel (1987) into two new sections *Polyanthi* and *Omphacodopsis*, while the type species of section *Floribundi* (*P. muellerianus* (Kuntze) Exell) was transferred to section *Anisonema*, and the two sections were combined. Sections *Polyanthi* and *Omphacodopsis*, though distinguished by pollen and fruit (in)dehiscence by Brunel (1987), can possibly be combined (see Breteler 2012). The staminate flowers of these sections are similar to species in subgenus *Anesonemoides*, but the indehiscent fruit is more like subgenus *Kirganelia* section *Anisonema* or *Cicca*.

Subgenus *Emblica*, sections *Microglochidion* (Müll.Arg.) Müll.Arg., *Pityrocladus* G.L.Webster (subg. *Emblica*) and subgenus *Cyclanthera* G.L.Webster were not yet included in any phylogenetic research and their relationships within *Phyllanthus* are not well known. Webster chose to include section *Microglochidion* and *Pityrocladus* in the Asiatic subgenus *Emblica* on account of their similarity in pollen (Webster 2002b; Webster & Carpenter 2002, 2008). A possible relationship between subgenus *Cyclanthera* and subgenus *Xylophylla* was suggested by Brunel (1987), but not incorporated in the latest revision by Webster (2002b).

Kathriarachchi et al. (2006) listed several sections as "not assigned to subgenus", which are either already placed by other authors, placed here, or treated as synonyms. Sections Bivia Jean F.Brunel & Jacq.Roux, Ceramanthus (Hassk.) Baill. and Cluytopsis Müll.Arg. are all placed in subgenus Ceramanthus (Punt 1972; Brunel & Roux 1985; Brunel 1987). Section Nymphanthus (Lour.) Müll.Arg. has often been treated in subgenus Phyllanthus (Li 1987a), but is placed here in subgenus Eriococcus (Hassk.) Croizat & Metcalf based on its pollen morphology (see Webster 1958; Brunel 1987; Webster & Carpenter 2008). Species of subgenus Eriococcus occur in Asia and Australia and are characterized by the staminate flower with four sepals and two or four stamens. Section Physoglochidion Müll. Arg is placed here as a subsection under section *Gomphidium* Baill. based on the treatment of Schmid (1991), which is discussed below. Subgenus Gomphidium is a diverse group, with its main centres of diversity in New Guinea and New Caledonia. The monotypic section *Hemicicca* Baill. is here placed in subgenus Kirganelia based on its similarity in pollen (see Brunel 1987) and baccate fruits. The remaining previously un-assigned sections are here treated as synonyms: section *Heteroglochidion* Müll.Arg. is a synonym of subsection *Eleutherogynium* (Müll.Arg.) G.L.Webster ex R.W.Bouman (see below for new combination based on Webster 1986); sections Meiandroglochidion S.Moore and Polyandroglochidion S. Moore are

synonyms of section Adenoglochidion (Müll.Arg.) Müll.Arg. (Schmid 1991); section *Pentaglochidion* Müll.Arg.is a homeotypic synonym of section *Leptonema* Baill. (see Baillon 1862b; Müller 1863). The type species of section *Hedycarpidium* Müll. Arg. has been re-identified as *Baccaurea javanica* (Blume) Müll.Arg (see Müll.Arg. 1866; Haegens 2000) and even though the name is sometimes still used (Thin 2007), it is invalid and the other species assigned to this section need to be re-evaluated. A small number of *Phyllanthus* species from Vietnam was placed in subgenus *Eriococcus* subsection *Integra* Thin (see Thin 2007), which is not included in our list. No description was provided and it is quite possibly a synonym of subgenus *Eriococcus* subsection *Spiciferens* Jean F.Brunel as they include some of the same species, but we were not able to see the original publication.

Some nomenclatural issues are still present within *Phyllanthus*, particularly when looking at the names of subdivisions of certain subgenera. Recommendation 22A of the International Code of Nomenclature (McNeill et al. 2012) states that if there are no problems any subdivision of a subgenus that bears the type, should be given the same epithet. However in a few subgenera, this is currently not the case (Webster 1960). The type section of subgenus *Conami* is section *Nothoclema* G.L.Webster and the type section of subgenus *Kirganelia* is *Anisonema*. In subgenus *Kirganelia* section *Cicca*, the type species, *P. acidus* (L.) Skeels, is in subsection *Cheramela* Kuntze (Webster 2001b).

#### **Taxonomic changes**

**Phyllanthus** subgenus **Afroswartziani** Ralim. & Petra Hoffm. section **Callidisci** (Jean F.Brunel) R.W.Bouman, stat nov.—*Phyllanthus* subsect. *Callidisci* Jean F.Brunel, Gen. *Phyllanthus* Afr. Intertrop. Madag. (1987) 334. — Type: *Phyllanthus callidiscus* Jean F.Brunel

Note — Species of section *Callidisci* were originally placed by Brunel (1987) in subgenus *Phyllanthus* section *Anthophyllus* together with other palaeotropical subsections and recognized by the fringed disc in the pistillate flowers. As this group has recently been shown to be polyphyletic (Kathriarachchi et al. 2006) and after revision were split into a few new subgenera (Ralimanana et al. 2013), it seems necessary also to transfer Brunel's subsections. All other palaeotropical species of subgenus *Phyllanthus* were placed in subgenus *Afroswartziani* and were distinguished from the neotropical subgenus *Swartziani* by their unisexual inflorescences (Ralimanana et al. 2013). This is in agreement with species of subsection *Callidisci*, which is transferred here and raised to sectional level to accommodate the separation from section *Anthophyllus*.

**Phyllanthus** subgenus **Afroswartziani** Ralim. & Petra Hoffm. section **Odontadenii** (Jean F.Brunel & Jacq.Roux) R.W.Bouman, stat nov.—*Phyllanthus* subsect. Odontadenii Jean F.Brunel & Jacq.Roux, Willdenowia 11 (1981) 70; Brunel, Gen. *Phyllanthus* Afr. Intertrop. Madag. (1987) 339. — Type: *Phyllanthus odontadensis* 

#### Müll.Arg.

Note — Species in the palaeotropical section *Odontadenii* also have unisexual inflorescences and are therefore more suited to be placed in subgenus *Afroswartziani* then the neotropical subgenus *Swartziani*. The species are distingusihed form other sections by their winged plagiotropic branchlets (Brunel & Roux 1981).

#### Phyllanthus subgenus Gomphidium (Baill.) G.L.Webster section

**Adenoglochidion** (Müll.Arg.) Müll.Arg. subsection **Eleutherogynium** (Müll.Arg.) G.L.Webster ex R.W.Bouman, stat nov. — *Phyllanthus* sect. *Eleutherogynium* Müll. Arg., Linnaea 32 (1863) 4, 14. — Type: *Phyllanthus loranthoides* Baill.

*Glochidion* sect. *Chorizogynium* Müll.Arg., Linnaea 32 (1863) 58, 59. — Lectotype (designated by Webster 1986): *Phyllanthus macrochorion* Baill.

*Phyllanthus* sect. *Heteroglochidion* Müll.Arg. in A.DC., Prodr. 15,2 (1866) 319. — Type: *Phyllanthus baladensis* Baill.

*Phyllanthus* sect. *Scleroglochidion* Müll.Arg. in A.DC., Prodr. 15,2 (1866) 317. — Type: *Phyllanthus myrianthus* Müll.Arg.

Note — Section *Scleroglochidion*. was previously placed in synonymy by Webster (1986) who expanded the description of *Eleuterhogynium* to include also *Phyllanthus* species with 3 free filaments. Section *Heteroglochidion* was defined by Müller on its biseriate sepals, which is a common character for subgenus *Gomphidium*. All of these sections are characterized by a rudimentary to absent nectar disc (see Müll.Arg. 1866). Lobreau-Callen et al. (1988) in a palynological study, showed that the pollen of these groups showed a continuous variation in pollen characters and were difficult to differentiate. The lack of distinguishing floral and vegetative characters and the overlap in palynological characters leads us to the decision to combine the above sections in one subsection *Eleutherogynium*, with as main character the absent nectar disc to distinguish it from other species within section *Adenoglochidion*.

**Phyllanthus** subgenus **Gomphidium** (Baill.) G.L.Webster section **Gomphidium Baill.** subsection **Physoglochidion** (Müll.Arg.) R.W.Bouman, stat nov.— *Glochidion* sect. *Physoglochidion* Müll.Arg., Linnaea 32 (1863) 58.— *Phyllanthus* sect. *Physoglochidion* (Müll.Arg.) Müll.Arg., Prodr. 15,2 (1866) 318. — Type: *Phyllanthus faguetii* Baill.

Phyllanthus sect. Phyllocalyx Baill., Adansonia 2 (1862b) 236 (nom. illeg., non
Phyllocalyx A.Richert, 1847)— Glochidion sect. Physoglochidion Müll.Arg., Linnaea
32 (1863) 58, 71. — Lectotype (designated here by R.W.Bouman, but see Webster
(2001) manuscript synopsis of Gomphidium): Phyllanthus faguetii Baill.
Note — Phyllanthus section Physoglochidion (Müll.Arg.) Müll.Arg. is characterized
by 3 free stamens, 6 sepals in two whorls and a calyx that becomes saccate in fruit.

Apart from the saccate calyx, these characters also occur in section *Gomphidium* 

and within section *Physoglochidion* and the saccate calyx shows a continuous variation between species (Lobreau-Callen et al. 1988). Since these groups can also not be distinguished on palynological data we opt to reduce section *Physoglochidion* to a subsection level and place it with section *Gomphidium*.

#### Transfer of *Phyllanthus oxycarpus* to *Glochidion*:

Glochidion oxycarpum (Müll.Arg.) R.W.Bouman, comb. nov.

*Phyllanthus oxycarpus* Müll.Arg., Prodr. 15,2 (1866) 1270. – *Diasperus oxycarpus* (Müll.Arg.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2 (1891) 600. – Type: *Teijsmann* s.n. (holotype GDC), Indonesia, Sumatra.

Note — In his treatment of the genus *Phyllanthus* for de Candolle, Müller (1866) reduced the genus *Glochidion* to a few sections within *Phyllanthus*. *Phyllanthus oxycarpus* Müll.Arg. was first described by Müller (1866) and placed in section *Euglochidion* Müll.Arg. as it closely resembled *P. subscandens* (Zoll. & Moritzi) Müll. Arg. (a synonym of *G. zeylanicum* (Gaertn.) A.Juss.). Other species first published in section *Euglochidion* by Müll.Arg. were all transferred to the genus by other authors (e.g., Boerlage 1900; Koorders & Valeton 1910), but we were unable to find a transfer for *G. oxycarpum*. The description lists no nectar disc, a 5-6-locular ovary with columnar style, which are all typical features for the genus *Glochidion* and therefore this species is transferred here.

### Key to the subgenera and (sub) sections of Phyllanthus

A provisional key is here provided based on characters mentioned in the literature. A key for full identification purposes, using morphology only (not pollen) is difficult due to the absence of recent complete treatments for several groups and the fact that some characters have evolved multiple times within *Phyllanthus*. The key is not completely dichotomous (trichotomous questions are marked with \*) Authors of the various subgenera, sections and subsections are listed in Appendix 3-1 and all species within a particular group are listed in Appendix 3-2.

1.	Branching non-phyllanthoid (laminate leaves and flowers on all axes, branchlets not deciduous)
1.	Branching phyllanthoid (leaves on main stem reduced to scales, cataphylls, laminate leaves and flowers on lateral axes, lateral branchlets deciduous) or sub-phyllanthoid (leaves at base of branchlets not reduced to scales (often in juveniles), lateral branchlets deciduous)
2.	Aquatic herbs Subgenus Phyllanthus section Salviniopsis (Americas)
2.	Herbs, shrubs or trees, but not aquatic
3.	Palm-like (monocaul) shrubs to trees; stigma petaloid Subgenus <i>Xylophylla</i> section <i>Asterandra</i> (South America)

3.	Herbs, shrubs to small trees, rarely climbers; stigmas variously bifid to multifid, not petaloid4
4.	Leaves on all axes spirally arranged5
4.	Leaves on all axes distichous
5.	Sepals 4 in staminate flowers, 6 in pistillate flowers; staminate disc entire, H-shaped around filaments; stamens 2, filaments free
5.	Subgenus <i>Swartziani</i> section <i>Revercionia</i> (North America) Sepals 5–6 sepals in both sexes; staminate disc segmented; stamens 3 or 5, filaments free or connate
6.	Sepals 5; stamens 5, filaments free
6.	Sepals 5–6 sepals; stamens usually 3, filaments connate (free in <i>P. rosmarinifolius</i> Müll.Arg.)
7.	Inflorescences axillary cymules with 1–4 flowers; pistillate disc consisting of free glands
7.	Subgenus <i>Isocladus</i> (Africa and Asia, introduced in North America) Inflorescences axillary cymules or thyrses; pistillate disc entire
8. 8.	Inflorescences axillary glomerules; pollen 3–4-colporate, subglobose Section <i>Antipodanthus</i> incertae sedis (South America & Australia?) Inflorescences axillary glomerules or thyrses (sometimes paniculate at end of
	Subgenus <i>Xylophylla</i> section <i>Elutanthos</i> (Central and South America)
9.	Staminate disc segmented, pistillate disc entire or segmented; filaments free 10
9.	Staminate disc segmented, or entire and urceolate, pistillate disc often massive and urceolate; filaments connate — pollen with macroreticulate exine
10.	Anthers dehiscing with horizontal slits; pollen 4-colporate
10.	Anthers often deflexed, but dehiscing with vertical slits; pollen clypeate or perisyncolporate
11.	Leaves distichous; pollen clypeate; seeds verrucate or smooth
11.	Leaves spiral at basal nodes, distichous at upper nodes; pollen grains

	perisyncolporate with median pores, colpi bordered by parallel muri; seeds smooth or striate
12.	Staminate flowers with sepals 4 (6 in pistillate ones); staminate disc consisting of 4 massive segments; stamens 2, filaments connate and thecae on an enlarged connective — pollen stephanoporate
12.	Sepals 6 in both sexes; staminate disc entire or 6 segments; stamens 3 with connate filaments, thecae not on an enlarged connective
13.	Staminate disc entire and cup-shaped
13.	Staminate disc segmented or only slightly fused into a ring 14
14.	Sepals in two dimorphic whorls; staminate disc segmented; pollen peribrevicolporate
14.	Subgenus <i>Ceramanthus</i> section <i>Anisolobium</i> (Africa and Asia) Sepals in two equal whorls; staminate disc segmented to slightly fused into a ring; pollen pantoporateSubgenus <i>Ceramanthus</i> section <i>Cluytopsis</i> (Asia)
15. 15.	Branching sub-phyllanthoid
16.	Branchlets short, with only 5–10 leaves (Webster 2001b); staminate disc entire
16.	America) Branchlet length variable, usually bearing more than 10 leaves; staminate disc segmented
17.	Anther connective not enlarged; fruit an indehiscent capsule; seeds smooth with fleshy sarcotesta
17.	Subgenus <i>Conami</i> section <i>Hylaeanthus</i> (South America and West Indies) Anther connective variable, often enlarged; fruit a dehiscent capsule; seeds ornamented, without a fleshy sarcotesta
18. 18.	Filaments connate, stamens mostly 326 (subgenus <i>Afroswartziani</i> ) Filaments free (filaments connate in <i>P. allemii</i> G.L.Webster and <i>P. fastigiatus</i> Mart ex Müll.Arg., but then only 2 stamens)
19.	Anther connective often enlarged, thecae not appearing as stipitate; seeds

19.	scalariform with slight transverse striations or smooth
	Subgenus <i>Phyllanthus</i> section <i>Phyllanthus</i> subsection <i>Clausseniani</i> (South America)
20.	Herbs or subshrubs
20.	Shrubs to trees, rarely climbers
21.	Herbs; each branchlet bearing just one pair of (sub)opposite leaves and terminating in a raceme; anther connective enlarged
	Subgenus <i>Phyllanthus</i> section <i>Phyllanthus</i> subsection <i>Almadanses</i> (South America)
21.	Herbs or subshrubs; branchlet with more than 2 alternate leaves and flowers
	in leaf axils; anther connective (not) enlarged 22
22.	Flowers 5-merous; stamens 5, filaments free (except 3 stamens in <i>P. cocumbiensis</i> Jean F.Brunel) — pollen subglobose, 3-4-colporate
22.	Flowers 5-6-merous; stamens 2–3, filaments free or connate
23*.	Shrubs or hemicryptophytes; stamens 5, filaments basally united; pollen 3-colporate, with macroreticulate exine. Seeds with fine punctuation
23*.	
	Subgenus Tenellanthus section Pentandra(Africa)
23*.	Herbs or subshrubs; stamens 5, filaments completely free; pollen 4-colporate with sponge-like exine
	Subgenus Tenellanthus section Tenellanthus (pantropical, but origin Africa)
24.	Inflorescences unisexual
24.	Inflorescences bisexual
25.	Cataphyllary stipules (unilaterally) auriculate
25.	Cataphyllary stipules not auriculate
26.	Leaf base symmetric; plagiotropic branches carinate (winged) (Brunel & Roux 1981). Pollen exine tectate; seeds with longitudinal striae or smooth
26.	Leaf base asymmetric; plagiotropic branches not carinate

27.	Pistillate disc entire with delicate fringes
27.	Pistillate disc entire, but not fringed
28.	Sepals 5 in staminate flowers
28.	Separs 6 in stammate nowers
29.	Cataphyllary stipules usually black and indurate; stamen 2–3, filaments partially or wholly connate, anthers sometimes deeply emarginate, dehiscing mostly horizontal; seeds longitudinally striate or banded, possibly with transverse striae. Pollen 3-colporate
29.	Cataphyllary stipules thin and membranous, not indurate or black; stamen 3, filaments connate, anthers not emarginate, dehiscing horizontally to vertically; seeds longitudinally striate
30.	Pistillate inflorescences on proximal position and staminate inflorescences on distal position of plagiotropic branchlets; seeds transversely striate — ovary often covered with tubercles
30.	Pistillate inflorescences on distal position and staminate inflorescences on proximal position of plagiotropic branchlets; seeds longitudinally striate 31 (Subgenus <i>Afroswartziani</i> )
31*.	Pollen 3–4-colporate, exine bireticulate Subgenus <i>Afroswartziani</i> section <i>Praephvllanthus</i> (Africa)
31*.	Pollen 3-sulcate, exine macroreticulate. Often found in water
31*.	Pollen perihexabrevisulcate, exine macro-rugulose (Brunel 1987). Ovary on gynophore Subgenus <i>Afroswartziani</i> section <i>Microdendron</i> (Africa)
32.	Branchlets and flowers not purplish; stamens 3, filaments mostly free or united to 2/3 of length; pollen 4-colporate, exine (hetero-)reticulate; pistillate senals 5: pistillate disc entire: stigmas free, bifid, tips sometimes subcapitate33
32.	Branchlets and flowers often purplish; stamens 2 or 3, filaments connate; pollen pantoporate, exine shields elongated or if round with only 1 pila; pistillate sepals 6; pistillate disc dissected or lobed; stigmas free or connate, bifid to emarginate, tips not capitate

33. Anther connective not enlarged, thecae not stipitate; style branches sub-

capitate; seeds verrucate ...... Subgenus *Phyllanthus* section *Phyllanthus* subsection *Niruri* (South America, pantropically invasive)

36.	Leaves reduced and branchlets transformed to phylloclades (at least in older branches)
36.	Leaves not reduced and branchlets not transformed to phylloclades
37.	All stems rounded or flat; stipules unilaterally auriculate, stamens free or connate; pollen 3–4-colporate, exine reticulate
37.	Lateral stems flattened with wide phylloclades, (bi-)pinnatiform; stipules not auriculate; stamens usually united at base; pollen clypeate, exine areolate 
38.	Main axes often flat, branching monopodial, leaves distichous; inflorescences usually bisexual, stamens 3 (rarely 4)Subgenus <i>Phyllanthus</i> section <i>Choretropsis</i> subsection <i>Applanata</i> (South America)
38.	Main axes rounded, branching monopodial or sympodial, leaves spiral; inflorescences mostly unisexual; stamens 2 or 3

.... Subgenus *Phyllanthus* section *Choretropsis* subsection *Choretropsis* (South America)

39. 39.	Fruits indehiscent, berries or drupes
40. 40.	Fruits drupaceous
41.	Sepals 6; stamens 3, filaments connateSubgenus <i>Emblica</i> section <i>Emblica</i> (Asia)
41.	Sepals 4–6; stamens 3–4( rarely 2 or 5), filaments free
42.	Plants dioecious; disc absent in both sexes; staminodes absent; fruits spongy (Webster 1957) Subgenus <i>Kirganelia</i> section <i>Cicca</i> subsection <i>Aporosella</i> (West Indies and South America)
42.	Plants monoecious; disc present in both sexes; sometimes staminodes present; fruits hardSubgenus <i>Kirganelia</i> section <i>Cicca</i> subsection <i>Cheramella</i> (commonly cultivated, origin possibly African?)
43.	Stamen 2, filaments connate; ovary 2-locular Subgenus <i>Kirganelia</i> section <i>Chorisandra</i> (Africa, Madagascar, Mainland Asia)
43.	Stamen 3-6, filaments free or connate; ovary 3-locular
44.	Branchlets subtended by reduced leaves, but not cataphylls, flowers on brachyblasts; stamens 3, filaments free or connate
44.	Subgenus <i>Conami</i> section <i>Hylaeanthus</i> (South America) Branchlets subtended by (spinescent) cataphylls, stamens 4-6, filaments free. 45
45.	Branchlets subtended by spinescent cataphylls; stamens 5 in 2 sets, one free and the other basally fused
45.	Branchlets subtended by scale or stipule like cataphylls; stamens 4–6, filaments free
46	Staminate inflorescences on separate (leafless) plagiotropic branches

 Staminate inflorescences on separate (leafless) plagiotropic branches, pistillate flowers axillary — seeds globular, smooth....... Subgenus *Kirganelia*

46.	section <i>Polyanthi</i> (Africa) Inflorescences axillary, on all plagiotropic branches
47. 47.	Stamens 5 Subgenus Kirganelia section Hemicicca (Asia) Stamens 6 Subgenus Kirganelia section Chorisandra (Africa, Madagascar, Mainland Asia)
48. 48.	Anthers apiculate
49.	Sepals often caudate-acuminate; filaments connate, staminate disc consisting of linear spathulate segments; pistillate disc entire — pollen 4-colporate,
49.	Sepals often acuminate, but not caudate; filaments free or connate, staminate disc segmented, globular; pistillate disc entire (or absent)
50. 50.	Shoots not differentiated, all leaves similar in size, flowers on lateral shoots51 Shoots differentiated into sterile leaf bearing shoots with larger leaves and fertile shoots with smaller leaves
51.	Sepals 4 in staminate flowers; stamens 4; pistillode present Subgenus <i>Phyllanthodendron</i> section <i>Tetrandrum</i> (Asia)
51.	Sepais 5–6 in staminate flowers; stamens 3; pistillode absent
52. 52	Shrubs; sepals 5 in staminate flowers. Fruit reminiscent of <i>Actephila</i> (Croizat 1942a)
02.	
53.	Trunk often succulent and enlarged at base; leaf blades >6 cm long Subgenus <i>Phyllanthodendron</i> section <i>Phyllanthodendron</i> (Asia)
53.	Trunk not succulent or enlarged at base; leaf blades <6 cm long
54. 54.	Filaments connate.Subgenus <i>Xylophylla</i> section <i>Ciccastrum</i> (South America) Filaments free
55.	Leaves with or without laminar glands; sepals in two indistinct whorls; pollen 4–8-colporate or diorate Subgenus <i>Emblica</i> section <i>Microglochidion</i> (South
55.	Leaves without laminar glands; sepals in two distinct whorls; pollen 3-syncolporate

56. 56.	Leaves opposite or subopposite
57.	Bark lenticellate; filaments connate
57.	Bark smooth; filaments free
58.	Branchlets sometimes opposite bipinnatiform; staminate sepals 5, not distinctly biseriate; staminate disc consisting of 5 free segments; stamens 5 Subgenus <i>Menarda</i> (Madagascar and Middle East(?))
58.	Branchlets pinnatiform, not opposite; staminate sepals 5 or 6, in both sexes often distinctly biseriate; staminate disc entire, 3 emarginate segments or 5–6 massive segments; stamens mostly 3 or 5 (up to 20)
59.	Sepals 4 in staminate flowers; stamen 2, filaments connate— pollen
59.	Sepals 5 or 6 in staminate flowers; stamens 3–15, filaments free or connate66
60.	Leaf margins very thick, conspicuously revolute; staminate disc massive, entire: pollen clypeate Subgenus <i>Xylophylla</i> section <i>Glyptothamnus</i> (Cuba)
60.	Leaves margins not thickened, sometimes slightly revolute; staminate disc segmented; pollen pantoporate or clypeate
61. 61.	Anthers dehiscing vertically; sepal margins entire
62.	Inflorescences usually bisexual, appearing with the expanding leaves (Webster 1958); pollen clypeate; style connate in a tube and stigmas often
62.	reduced to acute tipsSubgenus <i>Xylophylla</i> section <i>Thamnocharis</i> (West Indies) Inflorescences mostly unisexual, appearing after the leaves; pollen pantoporate; style connate or free
63.	Ovary papillose or verrucullose, 3-locular Subgenus <i>Eriococcus</i> section
63.	Ovary smooth, 6-locular Subgenus <i>Eriococcus</i> section <i>Nymphanthus</i> (Asia)
64*.	Stigmas entire, connate. Filaments thickened at top
64*.	Stigmas entire or emarginate Subgenus <i>Eriococcus</i> section <i>Scepasma</i> (Asia)

64*.	Stigmas free, bifid
65. 65.	Flowers in all leaf axilsSubgenus <i>Eriococcus</i> section <i>Eriococcus</i> (Asia) Pistillate flowers on leafy panicles at end of branchlets and staminate flowers closer to the base of branchlets without leaves (see Brunel 1987)Subgenus <i>Eriococcus</i> section <i>Eriococcus</i> subsection <i>Spiciferens</i> (Asia)
66.	Branchlets (bi-)pinnatiform; sepals often biseriate; staminate nectar disc often 3 massive emarginate (or 6 separate) segments to absent, stamens may be inserted on a wide receptaculum — pollen 3–(syn-)colporate
66.	Branchlets pinnatiform; sepal whorls indistinct; staminate nectar disc entire or segmented
67.	Branchlets bipinnatiform
67.	Branchlets pinnatiform
68.	Axes incrustate or hirsutulous with red hairs; stamens 2-6; pollen clypeate
68.	Axes not incrustate or hirsutulous, hairs usually white; stamens mainly 3-5 (up to 20); pollen 3-(syn)colporate
69.	Inflorescences glomerules; pollen diverse, often 3-colporate or porate with diorate colpi (see Webster & Carpenter 2002), exine vermiculate to pilate.
69.	Inflorescences glomerules or panicles; pollen 3–4-syncolporate with vermiculate/rugulate exine (Lobreau-Callen et al. 2011); fruit smooth Subgenus <i>Gomphidium</i> section <i>Nymania</i> (Southeast Asia, mostly New Guinea)
70	Disc absent or rudimentary in both sexes 71
70.	Disc entire or segmented in both sexes
71.	Sepals 6, biseriate, inner whorl petal-like, pistillate sepals leafy; stamens 3; ovary 3-locular. Calyx in fruit saccateSubgenus <i>Gomphidium</i> section <i>Gomphidium</i> subsection <i>Physoglochidion</i> (New Caledonia)
71.	Sepals 5–6, not distincly biseriate; stamens(3–)5(–15), ovary 3–5-locular 72
72.	Sepals 5; stamens 5; ovary 4–5-locularSubgenus <i>Gomphidium</i> section <i>Leptonema</i> (New Caledonia)
72.	Sepals 5 sometimes 6; stamens mostly (3–)5(– 15); ovary 3-locular

73.	Disc rudimentary in both sexes; filaments shorter than anthers, inserted on a wide receptaculum
73.	Disc rudimentary or absent in both sexes; filaments longer than anthers, diverging from center of receptaculumSubgenus <i>Gomphidium</i> section <i>Adenoglochidion</i> subsection <i>Eleutherogynium</i> (New Caledonia)
74.	Stamens connate
74.	Stamens free
75.	Inflorescences glomerules; pollen diverse, often 3-colporate or porate with diorate colpi (see Webster & Carpenter 2002), exine vermiculate to pilate; fruit conspicuously veined
75.	Subgenus <i>Conami</i> section <i>Nothoclema</i> (South America) Inflorescences glomerules or paniculate; pollen 3–4-syncolporate, exine vermiculate/rugulate (Lobreau-Callen et al. 2011); fruit smooth Subgenus <i>Gomphidium</i> section <i>Nymania</i> (Southeast Asia, mainly New Guinea)
76.	Sepals 5, not distinctly biseriate; stamens mostly (3–)5(-15), filaments free; disc consisting of 3 emarginate segments or absent
76.	Sepals 5 or 6, often biseriate (except in <i>P. tuerckheimii</i> G.L.Webster); stamens 3, filaments free or connate; disc of consisting of 3 emarginate segments or 6 free segments
77.	Sepals 5; pollen grains not syncolpate, colpi without distinct borders; exine reticulate
77.	Sepals 6; pollen grains with marginate colpi, often meeting at poles; exine reticulate or $\pm$ vermiculate
78*.	Inflorescences axillary cymules; pollen 3-syncolporate with fine to course reticulate exine
	Subgenus <i>Gomphidium</i> section <i>Gomphidium</i> (Southeast Asia, New Caledonia)
78*.	Inflorescences glomerules or panicles; pollen 3-syncolporate with vermiculate/rugulate exine
78*.	Inflorescences glomerules; pollen diverse, often 3-colporate with diorate

	colpi (see Webster & Carpenter 2002), exine vermiculate to pilate. Fruit conspicuously veined
79.	Staminate disc entire Subgenus <i>Xylophylla</i> section <i>Adianthoides</i> (South America)
79.	Staminate disc segmented 80
80. 80.	Filaments free or only fused at base
81. 81.	Leaves often with glands; anthers apiculate. Leaves thick; style entire Subgenus <i>Emblica</i> section <i>Microglochidion</i> (South America) Leaves without glands; anthers not apiculate
82. 82.	Stamens 3         83           Stamens 4 or 5         85
83. 83.	Brachyblasts often present; inflorescences cauliflorous; sepals 6 Subgenus <i>Kirganelia</i> section <i>Ciccopsis</i> (South America) Brachyblasts absent: inflorescences axillary; sepals 5
84.	Leaf blades <8 cm long; anther connective enlarged; pollen 4-colporate Subgenus <i>Phyllanthus</i> section <i>Phyllanthus</i> subsection <i>Clausseniani</i> (South Ameria)
84.	Leaf blades >8 cm long; anther connectives not enlarged; pollen perisyncolporate — Pistillate pedicel quite massive (up to 3 cm wide (Brunel 1987)), fruit ornamented
85. 85.	Pistillate sepals 8–10 Subgenus <i>Xylophylla</i> section <i>Diplocicca</i> (South America) Pistillate sepals 5 or 6
86. 86.	Brachyblasts present
87.	Inflorescences (stalked) fascicles; stamens 5, filaments completely free; fruits 3-locular, dehiscent; seeds kidney-shaped, smooth with mottled patterns (similar to seeds of <i>P. juglandifolius</i> Willd.)
	Subgenus Kirganelia section Omphacodopsis (Africa)

87.	Inflorescences panicles; stamens 4 or 5, filaments free or sometimes basally fused; fruits 3–5-locular, indehiscent; seeds globular, smooth
	Subgenus Kirganelia section Polyanthi (Africa)
88.	Stamens 3–5, free or slightly fused at base; anthers dehiscing vertically; fruits capsular; seeds smooth or faintly longitudinally striate
88.	Subgenus Anesonemoides (Africa, Madagascar, Asia) Stamen 2-5(-7); anthers dehiscing horizontally; fruits capsular; seeds smooth Subgenus <i>Emblica</i> section <i>Pityrocladus</i> (South America)
89. 89.	Stamens fused in several whorls or sets
90.	Brachyblasts present; stamens fused in two sets with one central column and two separate free stamens
90.	Brachyblasts absent; stamens in 2 or 3 whorls, fused in various ways
91. 91.	Pollen 3-colporate, exine pilate or reticulate
92. 92.	Exine pilateSubgenus Kirganelia section Brazzeani (Africa) Exine reticulateSubgenus Kirganelia section Anisonema (Africa and Asia)
93. 93.	Stems and branchlets incrustate with dark platelets of bark or lenticellate 94 Stems smooth
94*.	Stems smooth; leaves alternate; stamens 3–15 in 3 whorls, connate in various ways; stigmas erect but not lacerate. Sepals 5 or 6
94*.	Stems and branchlets incrustate with small dark platelets on the fissured bark; leaves alternate; stamens (2)3–6 with filaments connate, usually in 2 whorls; stigmas erect, conspicuously lacerate (see Webster 1958)
94*.	Subgenus <i>Xylophylla</i> section <i>Williamia</i> subsection <i>Incrustati</i> (West Indies) Stems smooth but prominently lenticellate; leaves opposite; stamens 5 with filaments connate, but 2 anthers inserted lower than the other 3; stigmas reflexed and covering the ovary, apex sometimes blunt Subgenus <i>Xylophylla</i> section <i>Williami</i> a subsection <i>Mirifici</i> (West Indies)
95.	Pollen 3–5-colporate or 5-brevicolporate (Webster & Carpenter 2008), exine reticulate, microperforate or scabrous (Africa and Asia)

95.	Pollen clypeate, exine areolate (Americas)
96.	Anthers dehiscing obliquely to horizontally; exine microperforate or scabrous
96.	Anthers dehiscing vertically; exine reticulate
97.	Sepals mostly 5; staminate disc consisting of 5 segments; stamens 2-5(-7); anthers dehiscing horizontally; pollen 3-5-colporate; pistillate disc entire or segmented
97.	Sepals 6; staminate disc consisting of 6 segments; stamens 3; anthers dehiscing vertically; pollen 4–5-colporate or 5-brevisulcate; pistillate disc entire
98.	Inflorescences appearing paniclulate in leaf axils; pollen 5-brevisulcate Subgenus <i>Emblica</i> section <i>Botryoides</i> (Asia)
98.	Inflorescences found along entire branchlet as axillary cymules; pollen 4–5-colporateSubgenus <i>Emblica</i> section <i>Emblica</i> (Asia)
99.	Inflorescences cauliflorous thyrses; stigmas petaloid
99.	Inflorescences axillary cymules; stigmas tapering, not petaloid, sometimes fused into a tube
100.	Leaf blades mostly 1–2 cm long, with mesophyllar sclereids; stamens 3-7 Subgenus <i>Xulaphylla</i> section <i>Orbicularia</i> (West Indies)
100.	Leaf blades >2 cm long, sometimes with mesophyllar sclereids; stamens 2-7(-8)
101.	Brachyblasts often present; sepals 5; staminate disc consisting of 5 segments; stamens 3 (rarely 4); fruit a large fleshy capsule (usually >2 cm in diameter) Subgenus <i>Xylophylla</i> section <i>Omphacodes</i> (West Indies)
101.	Brachyblasts absent; sepals 4–6; staminate disc usually consisting of 6 segments; stamens 2–7(–8); fruit small dry capsule (<1 cm in diameter) . 102
102.	Staminate sepals 5, pistillate sepals 6; inflorescences mostly unisexual cymules appearing after the leaves, several pistillate flowers per node; stamens 3-7, thecae dehiscing horizontally; style present, elongated and exerted from calyx, stigmas dilated, bifid to multifid
102.	Sepals in both sexes 4-6; inflorescences bisexual cymules appearing with the expanding leaves on new branchlets, usually only 1 or 2 pistillate flowers among several staminate flowers; stamens 2-6 (or 8), thecae dehiscing

vertically; style like an erect tube, stigma branches narrowed to acute tips...... Subgenus *Xylophylla* section *Thamnocharis* (West Indies)

#### **Discussion & Conclusion**

Taxonomic discussions on the circumscription of genus *Phyllanthus* are still ongoing, mainly with the question whether the genera nested within should be subsumed (Hoffmann et al. 2006) or remain separate (van Welzen et al. 2014a). However, a good understanding and clear structure within the genus *Phyllanthus* in its current circumscription is necessary. Here an attempt was made to summarize over 200 years of taxonomic work on this immense group. Several issues that still exist will hopefully be resolved in new systematic studies. The provisional key to the subgenera and (sub) sections provided here works with most typical examples of *Phyllanthus* species. Future research and revision work should focus on treatments of the individual subgenera and/or sections within the genus.

Unfortunately not all species could be fitted in this subgeneric classification due to exceptional characters or incomplete descriptions (see Appendix 3-2). These will need further study or more new collections to elucidate their place within the genus. Often these are species of which only the type specimen is known and which were not collected since, and some might be extinct (e.g., *P. aoraiensis* Nadeaud; Wagner & Lorence 2011), or they might be exceptional forms, which should be united with other species. For some we could only assign them to subgenus level and further revision work should place them in their appropriate sections. The placement of some species may change with new research and we welcome these changes as they will lead to a better understanding of the genus *Phyllanthus* and we hope this article inspires discussion.

Several issues are still unresolved and will require further attention. Subgenus *Phyllanthus*, which previously spanned all herbaceous species, remains difficult and more species need to be included in new phylogenetic studies. Several groups in our list have not had formal taxonomic treatment for some time and new revision work may identify new species and better characters to differentiate them within *Phyllanthus*. Another taxonomic problem was created by the discussions on the validity of Brunel's thesis (1987), which has led to many species being published twice under different names (see Radcliffe-Smith 1996b). This will require close scrutiny in determining how many should be synonymized. Finally, a decision should be made on how to treat the paraphyly of the genus *Phyllanthus*. Whether the genus will be split or whether the clades will be subsumed within *Phyllanthus*, we hope that this treatment will provide structure to this diverse genus.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was done as part of the PhD research of the main author, funded by the Hortus botanicus Leiden and Leiden University. Help with preparing the list for the manuscript by Peter Hovenkamp is gratefully acknowledged. The second author thanks the Leiden University Fund (LUF) for their support of the chair Botanical gardens and botany of Southeast Asia. The last author thanks the Treub Maatschappij, the Society for the Advancement of Research in the Tropics, for their support of the Ornstein chair in Tropical Plant Biogeography.

**Appendix 3-1.** Synopsis of the infrageneric classification of the genus *Phyllanthus*. Author of type species can be found in Appendix 3-2. Countries in parentheses indicate unlikely disjunct ditributions that require further study. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3767/blumea.2018.63.02.14

**Appendix 3-2.** Species checklist of *Phyllanthus* based on the current infrageneric classification. Each species denotation contains information on whether the classification was based on morphology, literature references or phylogenetic evidence. Unsure placements are noted with 'loc' for location based placements, a question mark and/or a ~ symbol when morphology does not completely comply with the group. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3767/blumea.2018.63.02.14