



Universiteit  
Leiden

The Netherlands

**‘Walking the extra mile’: how governance networks attract international organizations to Geneva, The Hague, Vienna, and Copenhagen (1995-2015)**

Groen, R.S.

**Citation**

Groen, R. S. (2022, November 24). *‘Walking the extra mile’: how governance networks attract international organizations to Geneva, The Hague, Vienna, and Copenhagen (1995-2015)*. Eleven, The Hague.  
Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3487203>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3487203>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

## EPILOGUE

The European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), the independent Intergovernmental Organization based in Reading, moved to Bonn in 2021. Whilst the first wave of staff is accommodated in temporary offices, it is expected that the new and permanent ECMWF offices will be ready by 2026. The following categories were used by an evaluation committee to assess the proposals from member states: Scientific & Social Environment (40%), Facility (20%), Financial Conditions (30%), and Connectivity & Sustainability (10%). Each of the four criteria was broken down into sub-categories to ensure that nothing was overlooked. The submission of the recommendation to the ECMWF Council was on 17 November 2020. The member states voted on 9 December 2020. After the submissions, the evaluation committee declared the UK's bid inadmissible because they could not guarantee that in their new situation outside of the EU the Copernicus program would continue to be supported by EU funds.

The voting method had been disputed for a long time. The big countries agreed that how much they contributed to the ECMWF should weigh most heavily in the vote. The smaller countries argued – understandably – for ‘one country, one vote.’ In the end, it boiled down to 50/50: half the weight of the vote was determined by the contribution of that country, thus favoring the larger countries. Of the eight bids, five made it above the line with a positive review. Spain, Germany, and France topped the list after Ireland and Austria dropped out with the fewest votes. Although Spain was favored in the first round, Germany won in the deciding round. This could be explained by the following three reasons.

First, from the 34 member states voting for the best proposal, one was already on Bonn's side: Helsinki (or, rather, Finland). All member states could vote for themselves if they had handed in a bid, so it was Germany ‘plus one’ from the beginning. This means that the network of the Bonn delegation was extensive; it collaborated externally. Secondly, Germany's bid was attractive. It promised a gigantic glass building near other UN institutions and offered a world-class scientific environment and beneficial conditions. Bonn's brochure was phenomenal. There was also an original video placed on their website where rubber ducks spoke in favor of Bonn as the best place to settle for ducks. The setting was a conference room with an English-speaking ‘head duck’ who gave a convincing talk about Bonn being an attractive city at the heart of Europe. Internal sources have, however, indicated that this video was not the deciding factor, which was, ultimately, the discussion about the weight of the vote. This was also part of my conclusions, where I found that lobbying externally for votes is an element to focus on as an organizational network. Thirdly, it was important for the ECMWF to spread their offices across the EU: with the next supercomputer to be housed in Bologna, Italy, while

the headquarters and most forecasting would remain in Reading, UK, the choice of Bonn was a strategic one. Furthermore, Bonn already hosted other climate related IOs, which added attractiveness. Bonn is seen as a *hub* for IOs, which is always an important aspect that IOs consider before moving.

## REFERENCES

- Abélès, M. (2011). *Des anthropologues à l'OMC: scènes de la gouvernance mondiale*. Paris: CNRS.
- Adler, P., & Florida, R. (2020). Geography as strategy: the changing geography of corporate headquarters in post-industrial capitalism. *Regional Studies*, 54(5), 610-620.
- Allison, G., & Zelikow, P. (1999). *The Essence of Decision: Explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis*. Boston, MA: Little Brown.
- Ambassador International Organizations. (2014, July 2). Possible relocation of UNICEF's PFP. *WOB-request – letters back and forth from December 2013- November 2014*.
- Amiri, S., & Sevin, E. (2020). *City Diplomacy. Current Trends and Future Prospects*. Los Angeles: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ankar, C. (2008). On the Applicability of the Most Similar Systems Design and the Most Different Systems Design in Comparative Research. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 11(5), 389-401.
- Andrews, R., Boyne, G. A., Meier, K. J., O'Toole Jr., L. J., & Walker, R. M. (2012). Vertical Strategic Alignment and Public Service Performance. *Public Administration*, 90(1), 77-98.
- Angell, S. I., & Mordhorst, M. (2015). National Reputation Management and the Competition State. *Journal of Cultural Economy*, 8(2), 184-201.
- Anholt, S. (2008, December 4). *Denmarks International Image*. Opgeroepen op August 2019, van [kommunikationsmaaling.dk](https://kommunikationsmaaling.dk/artikel/denmarks-international-image/): <https://kommunikationsmaaling.dk/artikel/denmarks-international-image/>
- Anholt Good Country Index. (2014, March 8). *Good Country Index*. Retrieved January 7, 2019, from Results: <https://www.goodcountryindex.org/results>
- Appel, B. J. (2018). Intergovernmental Organizations and Democratic Victory in International Crises. *The Journal of Politics*, 80(1), 274-287.
- Arms Trade Treaty Provisional Secretariat. (2016). *The Arms Trade Treaty 2016*. Retrieved January 8, 2016, from <http://www.thearmstradetreaty.org/index.php/en/the-arms-trade-treaty>
- Austrian Embassy. (2019, May 1). *International Organizations in Austria*. Retrieved June 14, 2019, from Austrian Embassy Washington: <https://www.austria.org/international-organisations-in-austria>
- Austrian Federal Government. (2015, May 10). *Austria brand image hit*. Retrieved June 15, 2019, from Nation-branding.info: <http://nation-branding.info/2008/05/10/austria-brand-image-hit/>
- Austrian Foreign Ministry. (2015a). *Follow-up questions on host country proposals*. Vienna: Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs.

- Austrian Foreign Ministry, d. I. (2015b, January 15). Austrian offer to host the ATT Secretariat in Vienna. Aide memoire. Arms Trade Treaty (ATT). Vienna.
- Austrian Foreign Ministry. (2016). *Follow-up questions on host country proposals*. Energy Department. FMA.
- Austrian National Council. (2015). *Resolution of the National Council of 9 December 2015*. Vienna: Federal Council of Austria.
- Ayers, R. S. (2015). Aligning Individual and Organizational Performance: Goal Alignment in Federal Government Agency Performance Appraisal Programs. *Public Personnel Management*, 44(2), 169-191.
- Badache, F. (2020). A representative bureaucracy perspective on workforce composition in international organizations: The case of the United Nations Secretariat. *Public Administration*, 98, 392-407.
- Bishop, M. L., Girvan, N., Shaw, T. M., Solange, M., Kirton, R. M., Scobie, M., Anatol, M. (2011). *Caribbean Regional Integration*. IIR Report.
- Black, R. (2009, December 22). *Why did Copenhagen fail to deliver a climate deal?* Retrieved July 30, 2019, from BBC News: <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/8426835.stm>
- Board of the Evaluation Committee. (2012). *Report of the Host Country Evaluation Committee*. Songdo, Republic of Korea: Green Climate Fund.
- Boräng, F., & Naurin, D. (2015). ‘Try to see it my way!’ Frame congruence between lobbyists and European Commission officials. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 22(4), 499-515.
- Borgatti, S. P., & Everett, M. G. (1997). Network analysis of 2-mode data. *Social Networks*, 19, 243-269.
- Borgatti, S. P., & Halgin, D. S. (2011). On Network Theory. *Organization Science*, 22(5), 1168-1181.
- Bosselaar, H., & Bannink, D. (2021, September 8). The plug – building the collaborative governance of wickedness. *A case study of active labour market policy-implementation*. Conferentiepaper EGPA, Brussels, Belgium.
- Bovens, M., & ‘t Hart, P. (2016). Revisiting the study of policy failures. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 23(5), 653-666.
- Bradley, S. (2013, September 24). *Swiss seek to boost city’s intellectual impact*. Retrieved December 21, 2015, from SwissInfo.ch: [http://www.swissinfo.ch/eng/international-geneva-\\_swiss-seek-to-boost-city-s-intellectual-impact/36926686](http://www.swissinfo.ch/eng/international-geneva-_swiss-seek-to-boost-city-s-intellectual-impact/36926686)
- Bundesgesetz für die Republik Österreich. (2009). *Änderung des Bundesgesetzes über die Einräumung von Privilegien und Immunitäten an internationale Organisationen*. Vienna: Republik Österreich.
- Callixtus, J. (2013). Reflections from the Arms Trade Treaty Negotiations: CARICOM Punching and Succeeding Above Its Weight. *Caribbean Journal of International Relations & Diplomacy*, 1(1), 93-109.
- Campbell, S. (2000). The changing role and identity of capital cities in the global era. *paper presented at the annual meeting of American geographers*. Pittsburgh, PA.

- Carrington, D. (2010, December 3). *WikiLeaks cables: US backs UAE bid to host green energy agency This article is more than 10 years old*. Retrieved April 9, 2021, from The Guardian: <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2010/dec/03/us-uae-green-energy-irena>
- City Council of The Hague. (2001, Juni 8). Beantwoording Schriftelijke Vragen. RIS 087343. Den Haag, Zuid-Holland, the Netherlands: Gemeente Den Haag.
- City of Copenhagen. (2015). *City of Copenhagen Municipal Plan 2015: The Coherent City*. City of Copenhagen, Centre for Urban Development. Copenhagen: City of Copenhagen.
- City of New York. (2016). *United Nations impact report 2016*. Available at: <https://www1.nyc.gov/site/international/programs/un-impact-report-2016.page>. New York: Mayor's Office for International Affairs.
- City of Vienna. (2013). *Smart City Wien. Framework Strategy Overview*. Smart City Wien. Vienna: City of Vienna.
- Combs, N. (2005). The International Criminal Court: An Analysis. In P. J. Van Krieken, & D. McKay, *The Hague: Legal Capital of the World* (pp. 345-436). The Hague: T.M.C. Asser Press.
- Compton, M. E., & 't Hart, P. (2019). How to 'See' Great Policy Successes: A Field Guide to Spotting. In M. E. Compton, & P. 't Hart, *Great Policy Successes* (pp. 1-20). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- CPI/ICC. (2018, December 18). *About the ICC*. Retrieved March 25, 2019, from International Criminal Court: <https://www.icc-cpi.int/about>
- Cremer, J. (2016, December 6). *Why Denmark is suffering a branding crisis (and what can be done about it)*. Retrieved August 1, 2019, from The Local. Denmark's news in English: <https://www.thelocal.dk/20161206/why-denmark-is-suffering-a-branding-crisis-and-what-can-be-done-about-it>
- Cristofoli, D., Meneguzzo, M., & Riccucci. (2017). Collaborative administration: the management of successful networks. *Public Management Review*, 19(3), 275-283.
- Csoti, I., & Van Haelst, N. (2016). *Global Talent Regional Growth. Working towards an Integrated Talent Attraction and Retention Plan for the Metropolitan Region The Hague/Rotterdam*. International Community Platform. The Hague: ICP.
- Dairon, E., & Badache, F. (2021, December). Understanding International Organizations' Headquarters as Ecosystems: The Case of Geneva. *Global Policy*, 12(7), 24-33.
- Danish Foreign Ministry. (2012). *We Welcome You in Denmark Move to the New UN City In Copenhagen*. Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Danish International Development Agency. Copenhagen: DANIDA.
- Daxkobler, K., & Seiler, M. (2012). Austria. In M. Lang, P. Pistone, J. Schuch, C. Staringer, & A. Storck, *Tax Rules in Non-Tax Agreements* (pp. 51-114). Amsterdam: IBFD.
- Death, C. (2011). Summit theatre: exemplary governmentality and environmental diplomacy in Johannesburg and Copenhagen. *Environmental Politics*, 20(1), 1-19.

- Decisio. (2011). *Den Haag ‘Internationale Stad van Vrede en Recht’ Economische impact internationale organisaties*. Amsterdam: Decisio.
- Decisio. (2020). *The Hague, international city of peace and justice. An economic effect analysis of the international non-profit sector*. Amsterdam: Decisio.
- Dellepiane, S. (2015). What are case studies and What are they good for? *Case Study Methods course* (p. 14). Essex: Essex University.
- DeLuca, C., & Bellara, A. (2013). The Current State of Assessment Education: Aligning Policy, Standards, and Teacher Education Curriculum. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 64(4), 356-372.
- Departure Wirtschaft, Kunst und Kultur GmbH. (2006). *Position Paper ‘Vienna 2016’. International activities of the City of Vienna*. Vienna: City of Vienna.
- Development Policy and Global Cooperation. (2015). *Alternative strategy for attracting UN organizations to Copenhagen*. Copenhagen: Foreign Ministry.
- Development Today. (2006, December 31). Copenhagen courts the UN. UNOPS head office to move to Denmark. *Development Today*, pp. 1-3.
- Ege, J., & Bauer, M. W. (2013). International bureaucracies from a Public Administration and International Relations perspective. In B. Reinalda, *Routledge Handbook of International Organization* (pp. 135-148). London/New York: Routledge.
- Emerson, K., Nabatchi, T., & Balogh, S. (2011). An Integrative Framework for Collaborative Governance. *JPART*, 22, 1-29.
- Eurostat. (2005, January 20). *EUROSTAT Your key to European Statistics*. Retrieved May 10, 2019, from Economy and finance: [https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/search?p\\_auth=4EpiElNh&p\\_p\\_id=estatsearchportlet\\_WAR\\_estatsearchportlet&p\\_p\\_lifecycle=1&p\\_p\\_state=maximized&p\\_p\\_mode=view&\\_estatsearchportlet\\_WAR\\_estatsearchportlet\\_theme=PER\\_GENSTA&\\_estatsearchportlet\\_WAR\\_estatsearchportlet\\_](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/search?p_auth=4EpiElNh&p_p_id=estatsearchportlet_WAR_estatsearchportlet&p_p_lifecycle=1&p_p_state=maximized&p_p_mode=view&_estatsearchportlet_WAR_estatsearchportlet_theme=PER_GENSTA&_estatsearchportlet_WAR_estatsearchportlet_)
- Eurostat. (2019). *GDP per capita in 281 EU regions*. Brussels: Eurostat.
- Eyffinger, A. (2005). Living up to a tradition. In P. Van Krieken, & D. (. McKay, *The Hague: Legal Capital of the World* (pp. 29-45). The Hague: T.M.C. Asser Press.
- Falk, M., & Hagsten, E. (2018). The art of attracting international conferences to European cities. *Tourism Economics*, 24(3), 337-351.
- Federal Council. (2012). *Swiss Foreign policy Strategy 2012-2015 Federal Council report to Parliament on the strategic axes of foreign policy*. Bern: Federal Department of Foreign Affairs FDFA.
- Federal Council. (2016). *Swiss Foreign Policy Strategy 2016-19: Federal Council report on the priorities for the 2016-19 legislative period*. Bern: Swiss Federal Department of Foreign Affairs FDFA.
- Federal Law Gazette. (2015). Federal Law on the Granting of Privileges to Non-Governmental International Organisations. *Federal Law Gazette*, pp. 889-934.
- Fetscherin, M., & Marmier, P. (2010). Switzerland’s nation branding initiative to foster science and technology, higher education and innovation: A case study. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy*, 6(1), 58-67.

- Field, A. (2009). *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS*. Los Angeles: Sage Publications.
- First Conference of States Parties. (2015). *Informal Ballot Procedure (Non-recorded procedure w/ secret ballot)*. Cancun: Arms Trade Treaty.
- Fondation Pour Genève. (2013). *Impact of the International Sector on Geneva and the Lake Geneva Region*. Université de Genève, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, The Graduate Institute, Université de Lausanne. Geneva: The Observatory of the Fondation Pour Genève.
- Fondation pour Genève. (2015). *Strengths and Synergies. The impact of the International Sector on Geneva and the Lake Geneva Region*. Université de Genève, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Graduate Institute Geneva, Université de Lausanne. Geneva: The Observatory of the Fondation Pour Genève.
- Future Brand. (2015). *Future Brand Index 2014-15*. London: Future Brand.
- FutureBrand Index. (2015). *Future Brand Denmark 2015*. London: FutureBrand.
- FYI Denmark. (2019, January 31). *CPR number*. Retrieved July 22, 2019, from FYI Denmark. Expat llife in Denmark: <https://www.fyidenmark.com/CPR.html>
- Geneva Academy of International Humanitarian Law and Human Rights. (2013). *The Arms Trade Treaty (2013). Academy Briefing No. 3*. Université de Genève, The Graduate Institute Geneva. Geneva: Université de Genève.
- Gerring, J. (2007). *Case Study Research. Principles and Practices*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gerring, J. (2009). The Case Study: What it is and What it does. In C. Boix, & S. C. Stokes, *The Oxford Handbook of Comparative Politics* (pp. 91-122). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Godfroij, A. (1995). Public policy networks: Analysis and management. In W. J. Kickert, & F. A. Van Vught, *Public Policy & Administration Sciences in The Netherlands* (pp. 177-196). Hempstead: Prentice Hall/Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- Golbeck, J. (2013). *Analyzing the Social Web*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Granovetter, M. (1983). The Strength of Weak Ties: A Network Theory Revisited. *Sociological Theory*, 1, 201-233.
- Gray, J. (2018). Life, Death, or Zombie? The Vitality of International Organizations. *International Studies Quarterly*, 62, 1-13.
- Green Climate Fund. (2012). *Report of teh Host Country Evaluation Committee*. Green Climate Fund, Meeitng of the Board. Songdo, Republic of Korea: Green Climate Fund.
- Green Climate Fund. (2014). *About the Fund*. Retrieved May 5, 2014, from Green Climate Fund: <http://www.gcfund.org/home.html>
- Groen, R. (2016). The Policy of Attraction. Comparing three cities on their policy toward international and nongovernmental organizations: The Hague, Geneva and Vienna. *Urban Research & Practice*, 9(3), 250-274.
- Grosveld, H. (2002). *The Leading Cities of the World and their Competitive Advantages. The perception of 'Citymakers'*. Naarden: World Cities Research.



- Group Permanent Conjoint. (2013). *La Genève Internationale et son avenir*. Geneva: Republique et Canton Genève / Confédération suisse.
- Halle, L. J. (1967). *The Cold War as History*. London: Chatto & Windus.
- Hasnain-Wynia, R., Sofaer, S., & Bazzoli, G. (2003). Members' Perceptions of Community Care Network Partnerships' Effectiveness. *Medical Care Research and Review*, 60(4), 4-62.
- Hill, M., & Hupe, P. (2002). *Implementing Public Policy*. London: Sage Publications.
- Hood, C., & Margretts, H. Z. (2007). *The tools of government in the digital age*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- House of Representatives. (1988). *Perspective and policy*. The Hague: IOB.
- Howell, D. C. (2013). *Statistical methods for psychology*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Howlett, M. (2011). *Designing public policies: Principles and instruments*. New York: Routledge.
- Howlett, M., Mukherjee, I., & Rayner, J. (2017). Elements of Effective Program Design: A Two-Level Analysis. In M. M. Howlett, *Handbook of Policy Formulation* (pp. 129-144). Cheltenham/Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Howlett, M., & Mukherjee, I. (2018). Introduction: The Importance of Policy Design: Effective Processes, Tools and Outcomes. In M. Howlett, & I. Mukherjee, *Routledge Handbook of Policy Design* (pp. 3-19). New York: Routledge.
- Howlett, M., & Rayner, J. (2018). Coherence, Congruence and Consistency in Policy Mixes. In M. Howlett, & I. Mukherjee, *Routledge Handbook of Policy Design* (pp. 389-403). New York: Routledge.
- Hu, R., Blakely, E. J., & Zhou, Y. (2013). Benchmarking the Competitiveness of Australian Global Cities: Sydney and Melbourne in the Global Context. *Urban Policy and Research*, 31(4), 435-452.
- Huber, N. (2007, september). Les politiques d'accueil d'organisations internationales: pomparaison des cas de Genève, Vienne, La Haye et Bonn. *Scriptie*. Genève, Zwitserland: Universiteit Genève.
- Human, S. E., & Provan, K. G. (2000). Legitimacy Building in the Evolution of Small-Firm Multilateral Networks: A Comparative Study of Success and Demise. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 45, 327-365.
- Hyytiäinen, S., Renko, J., Gauli, R., Järvisalo, S., & Nadan, K. (2014). *How to build successful city brands? – Case Zurich, Geneva, Stockholm, Helsinki*. HAAGA-HELIA University of Applied Sciences, Experience and Wellness Management. Helsinki: HAAGA-HELIA.
- IOB. (1985). *Heroverweging Internationale Organisaties 1985*. Den Haag: IOB.
- IOB. (2002). *Zetelverdrag? Eindverslag van de werkgroep beleidskader voor werving en opvang van internationale organisaties*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Buitenlandse Zaken.

- IOB. (2018). *Nederland als gastland voor Internationale Organisaties*. Directie Internationaal Onderzoek en Beleidsevaluatie, Ape. Onderzoek & Advies. Den Haag: Ministerie van Buitenlandse Zaken.
- Ireland, R. M. (2006). Capital Question: Efforts to Relocate Kentucky's Seat of Government. *Register of the Kentucky Historical Society*, 104(2), 249-83.
- Isett, K., LeRoux, I., Mischen, K., & Rethemeyer, R. (2011). Networks in Public Administration Scholarship: Understanding Where We Are and Where We Need to Go. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 21(1), 157-173.
- Joor, J. (2013). Proloog: De Haagse Vredesconferentie van 1899. In J. Joor, & H. Verrijn Stuart, *Bouwen aan Vrede. Honderd jaar werken aan vrede door recht. Het Vredespaleis 1913-2013* (pp. 17-32). Den Haag: Carnegie Stichting.
- Juenke, E. G. (2005). Management tenure and network time: How experience affects bureaucratic dynamics. *Journal of Public Administration Research*, 15, 113-31.
- Junk, W. M. (2019). When Diversity Works: The Effects of Coalition Composition on the Success of Lobbying Coalitions. *American Journal of Political Science*, 63(3), 660-674.
- Katz, B., & Noring, L. (2017). *The Copenhagen City and Port Development Cooperation: A Model for Regenerating Cities*. Washington: Brookings Institution Press.
- Kaufmann, D. (2018). *Varieties of Capital Cities. The Competitiveness Challenge for Secondary Capitals*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Kearney, A. (2015). *The A.T. Kearney Global Cities Index and Global Cities Outlook*. Retrieved March 10, 2016, from <https://www.atkearney.com/research-studies/global-cities-index/2015#sthash.USxfJl7E.dpuf>
- Kenis, P., & Provan, K. (2008). Het network-governance-perspectief. In T. (. Wentink, *Business Performance Management. Sturen op prestatie en resultaat* (pp. 296-312). Meppel: Boom Academic.
- Kenis, P., & Provan, K. G. (2009). Towards an Exogenous Theory of Public Network Performance. *Public Administration*, 87(3), 440-456.
- Kenis, P., & Raab, J. (2020). Back to the Future: Using Organization Design Theory for Effective Organizational Networks. *Perspectives on Public Management and Governance*, 3(2), 109-123.
- Klaster, E., Wilderom, C. P., & Muntslag, D. R. (2017, June 6). Balancing Relations and Results in Regional Networks of Public-Policy Implementation. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 27(4), 676-691.
- Klijn, E.-H., Steijn, B., & Edelenbos, J. (2010). The impact of network management on outcomes in governance networks. *Public Administration*, 88, 1063-82.
- Lagerwaard, B. (2005). The Hague in the world. The world in The Hague. In V. Krieken, & McKay, *The Hague. Legal capital of the world* (pp. 47-60). The Hague.
- Leitner, C. (2016). Nation Branding. Austria as a brand. *Seminar paper* (pp. 1-35). Vienna: Grin Verlag.
- Maertens, L., Kimber, L. R., Badache, F., & Dairon, E. (2021). Time and Space in the Study of International Organizations: An Introduction. *Global Policy*, 12(7), 5-13.

- Mariotti, S., Piscitello, L., & Elia, S. (2010). Spatial agglomeration of multinational enterprises: The role of information externalities and knowledge spillovers. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 10(4), 519-538.
- Marshall, N. (2007). Can policy perception influence social resilience to policy change? *Fisheries Research*, 86, 216-227.
- Martinez-Fernandez, C., Sharpe, S., Andersen, M. M., Genoff, R., & Rovsing Kristiansen, K. (2013). *Measuring the Potential of Local Green Growth. An Analysis of Greater Copenhagen*. OECD, OECD Local Economic Development Working Papers 2013/01. Paris: OECD.
- McBeth, M. K., Jones, M. D., & Shanahan, E. A. (2014). The Narrative Policy Framework. In P. A. Sabatier, & C. M. Weible, *Theories of the Policy Process* (pp. 225-266). Philadelphia, PA: Westview Press.
- McConnell, A. (2010). *Understanding Policy Success*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- McConnell, A., Greal, L., & Lea, T. (2020). Policy success for whom? A framework for analysis. *Policy Sciences*, 53, 589-608.
- McConnell, A., & Tormey, S. (2020). Explanations for the Brexit policy fiasco: near-impossible challenge, leadership failure or Westminster pathology? *Journal of European Public Policy*, 27(5), 685-702.
- McGuire, M. (2006). Collaborative public management: Assessing what we know and how we know it. *Public Administration Review*, 6, 33-43.
- McGuire, M., & Agranoff, R. (2011). The Limitations of Public Management Networks. *Public Administration*, 89(2), 265-284.
- Meier, K. J., & O'Toole, L. J. (2001). Managerial strategies and behavior in networks: A model with evidence from US public education. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 11, 271-94.
- Meijers, E., Spaans, M., Louw, E., Hoogerbrugge, M., & Priemus, H. (2013). *Den Haag Internationale Stad. Verkenning ontwikkelingsfactoren*. Technische Universiteit Delft, Faculteit Bouwkunde. Delft: OTB Research Institute for the Built Environment.
- Meilstrup, P. (2010). The Runaway Summit: The Background Story of the Danish Presidency of COP15, the UN Climate Change Conference. In N. Hvidt, & H. Mouritzen, *Danish Foreign Policy Yearbook 2010* (pp. 113-136). Copenhagen: Danish Institute for International Studies.
- Mercer. (2012, september 12). *Quality of living Ranking Highlights - Global*. Retrieved september 12, 2014, from Quality of living Ranking Highlights - Global: [www.mercer.com](http://www.mercer.com)
- Mercer. (2015, January 31). *Quality of Living City Ranking*. Retrieved June 6, 2019, from Mercer Mobility Exchange: <https://mobilityexchange.mercer.com/Insights/quality-of-living-rankings>
- Mercer. (2017, March 14). *Vienna Tops Mercer's 19th Quality of Living Ranking*. Retrieved May 1, 2017, from Mercer Newsroom: <https://www.mercer.com/newsroom/2017-quality-of-living-survey.html>

- Meyer, G. (2013). Genève et les organisations internationales: une histoire locale de l'International. *Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire et d'archéologie de Genève*, 43, 86-94.
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs. (2013). *Netherlands host country summary 2013*. The Hague: Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Municipality of The Hague.
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Municipality of The Hague. (1998). *The Hague – International*
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Denmark. (2015a). *Copenhagen - UN City*. Copenhagen: Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Denmark.
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Denmark. (2015b). *Hosting the Sustainable Energy For All-Partnership*. Copenhagen: Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Denmark.
- Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations. (2008). *The Constitution of the Kingdom of the Netherlands*. The Hague: Constitutional Affairs and Legislation Division.
- Mires, C. (2013). *Capital of the world: the race to host the United Nations*. New York: New York University Press.
- Mordhorst, M. (2015). Public Diplomacy vs Nation Branding: The Case of Denmark after the Cartoon Crisis. In L. Clerk, N. Glover, & P. Jordan, *Histories of Public Diplomacy and Nation Branding in the Nordic and Baltic Countries* (pp. 237-256). Leiden/Boston: Brill Nijhoff.
- Mordhorst, M. (2017). *Nordic Branding. Project Overview*. Oslo, Norway: University of Oslo.
- Mukherjee, I., & Bali, A. S. (2019). Policy effectiveness and capacity: two sides of the design coin. *Policy Design and Practice*, 1-12.
- Mukherjee, I., & Howlett, M. (2018). Gauging Effectiveness. First and Second-Best Policy Design. In M. Howlett, & I. Mukherjee, *Routledge Handbook of Policy Design* (pp. 375-388). New York: Routledge.
- Municipality of The Hague. (2011). *Citymarketing Visie Den Haag 2011-2015*. Den Haag: Bureau Citymarketing.
- Nauright, J. (2004). Global games: Culture, Political Economy and Sport in the Globalised World of the 21st Century. *Third World Quarterly*, 25(7), 1325-1336.
- NBTC. (2013). *Holland Brand Guide*. NBTC. The Hague: NBTC.
- Nederhand, J., Van der Steen, M., & Van Twist, M. (2019). Boundary-spanning strategies for aligning institutional logics: a typology. *Local Government Studies*, 45(2), 219-240.
- Ni, P., & Kresl, P. K. (2011). *The Global Urban Competitiveness Report*. Cheltenham, Northampton: Edward Elgar.
- Niezen, R., & Sapiignoli, M. (2017). *Palaces of hope: the anthropology of global organizations*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Nillson, E. R. (2015, 10 5). Contracting for Excellence. *Explaining change through professional influence in the United Nations Office for Project Services*. Copenhagen, Denmark: Copenhagen Business School.
- Odd Westad, A. (2005). *The Global Cold War*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- OECD. (2008). *OECD Entrepreneurship Review of Denmark*. Paris: OECD Publishing.

- OECD. (2009). *OECD Territorial Reviews: Copenhagen, Denmark*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Panke, D., Lang, S., & Wiedemann, A. (2018). *Regional Actors in Multilateral Negotiations. Active and Successful?* London: Rowman & Littlefield International Ltd.
- Parliament of Austria. (2015). *Host state law 2015*. Government bill - 889 of the supplements XXV. GP Vienna: Foreign Affairs.
- Peters, B. (2012). *Institutional Theory in Political Science. The New Institutionalism*. London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Phinney, R. (2017). *Strange Bedfellows*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Picot, A. (1965). *Le rayonnement international de Genève*. Neuchâtel: Du Griffon.
- Pisano, U., Lepuschitz, K., & Berger, G. (2014). *Urban Sustainable Development Approaches of Three Different Cities: Copenhagen, Newcastle, Vienna*. Institute for Managing Sustainability, European Sustainable Development Network Office. Vienna: ESDN.
- Popescu, R., & Corbos, R. (2011, August). Vienna's Branding Campaign – Strategic Option for Developing Austria's Capital in a Top Tourism Destination. *Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management*, 6(3), 43-60.
- Porter, M. (2000). Location, Competition, and Economic Development: Local Clusters in a Global Economy. *Economic Development Quarterly*, 14(1), 15-34.
- Preparatory Committee ICC. (1998). *United Nations Diplomatic Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court*. Rome: ICC.
- Protocol Department MFA. (2018). *Guide for Diplomats in Denmark*. Copenhagen: Master Web.
- Provan, K. G., & Kenis, P. (2008). Modes of network governance: Structure, management, and effectiveness. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18, 229-52.
- Provan, K. G., & Lemaire, R. H. (2012). Core Concepts and Key Ideas for Understanding Public Sector Organizational Networks: Using Research to Inform Scholarship and Practice. *Public Administration Review*, 72(5), 638-648.
- Provan, K. G., & Milward, H. (2001). Do Networks Really Work? A Framework for Evaluating Public-Sector Organizational Networks. *Public Administration Review*, 61(4), 414-423.
- Provan, K. G., & Sebastian, J. G. (1998). Networks within Networks: Service Link Overlap, Organizational Cliques, And Network Effectiveness. *Academy of Management Journal*, 41(4), 453-463.
- Provan, K., & Milward, H. (1995). A Preliminary Theory of Interorganizational Network Effectiveness: A Comparative Study of Four Community Mental Health Systems. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40(1), 1-33.
- Provan, K., & Milward, H. I. (2002). Collaboration and Integration of Community-Based Health and Human Services in a Nonprofit Managed Health Care. *Health Care Management Review*, 27(1), 21-32.

- Pülzl, H., & Treib, O. (2007). Implementing Public Policy. In F. Fischer, G. J. Miller, & M. S. Sidney, *Handbook of Public Policy Analysis* (pp. 89-108). Boca Raton, London New York: Taylor and Francis Group.
- Raab, J. (2011). *Exploratory Network Analysis with Visone*. Tilburg: Department of Organizational Studies/Tilburg University.
- Raab, J., Mannak, R. S., & Cambré, B. (2015). Combining Structure, Governance, and Context: A Configurational Approach to Network Effectiveness. *JPART*, 25(2), 479-511.
- Reinisch, A. (2013). *The Privileges and Immunities of International Organizations in Domestic Courts*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Republic and State of Geneva. (2013). *Solutions for a better world*. Office of the Delegate for international Geneva, Department of Security. Geneva: Atar Roto Presse SA.
- Republic and State of Geneva. (2020). *Services for NGOs*. Retrieved November 17, 2016, from International Geneva: <http://www.geneve-int.ch/services-ngos>
- Republic of Austria. (2019, June 18). *Austria's Position in the World*. Retrieved June 19, 2019, from Austrian Embassy Washington: <https://www.austria.org/position-in-the-world>
- Reuters. (2009, October 12). *Denmark's top climate negotiator quits ahead of talks*. Retrieved July 31, 2019, from Reuters: <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-climate-denmark-negotiator/denmarks-top-climate-negotiator-quits-ahead-of-talks-idUSTRE59B41T20091012>
- Rittberger, V., Zangl, B., Kruck, A., & Dijkstra, H. (2019). *International Organization*. London: Macmillan International Higher Education.
- Russell Lee, M. (2006, January 23). At UNOPS, Side Deals for Danish Relocation. *Inner City Press*, pp. 1-3.
- Salisbury, P. (2016). An analysis of Glasgow's decision to bid for the 2014 Commonwealth Games. *Sport in Society*, 1-18.
- Schalatek, L. (2012). *Inching Forward. The Second Meeting of the Green Climate Fund Board and the Nitty-Gritty of GCF Operationalization*. Heinrich Böll Stiftung. Washington D.C.: Heinrich Böll Stiftung.
- Schermers, H. G. (1991). International Organizations. In M. Bedjaoui, *International Law: Achievements and Prospects* (pp. 67-100). Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.
- Schimmelfennig, F., & Thomas, D. C. (2009). Normative Institutionalism and EU foreign policy in comparative perspective. *International Politics*, 46(4), 491-504.
- Schmidt, V. A. (2008). Discursive Institutionalism: The Explanatory Power of Ideas and Discourse. *Annual Review Political Science*, 11, 303-326.
- Scott, J. (2013). *Social network analysis*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- SEforAll. (2018, May 1). *About us*. Retrieved May 24, 2019, from Sustainable Energy for All: <https://www.seforall.org/about-us>



- Social Progress Index 2017. (2017, March). *CEO Michael Green on the 2017 Social Progress Index*. Retrieved July 18, 2019, from Social Progress Imperative: [www.socialprogressimperative.org/ceo-michael-green-on-the-2017-social-progress-index/](http://www.socialprogressimperative.org/ceo-michael-green-on-the-2017-social-progress-index/)
- Sørensen, B. V. (2008). Action Plan for the Global Marketing of Denmark. *Thinking Regionally, Acting Globally – Creating a Brand Image for the Baltic Sea Region*. Riga.
- Sørensen, E., & Torfing, J. (2007). *Theories of democratic network governance*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Sørensen, E., & Torfing, J. (2009). Making governance networks effective and democratic through metagovernance. *Public Administration*, 87(2), 234-258.
- Steering Group Netherlands Host Country. (2007). *Report 2007*. The Hague: Dutch Foreign Ministry.
- Steunenberg, B. (2001). *Institutionele verandering. Naar een bestuurskunde tussen ‘vloeibare’ en ‘gestolde’ voorkeuren*. Bussum: Coutinho.
- Strauss-Kahn, V., & Vives, X. (2009). Why and where do headquarters move? *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 39(2), 168-186.
- Swiss Confederation. (2014). *Permanent Mission of Switzerland to the United Nations Office and to the other International Organizations in Geneva*. Geneva: Swiss Confederation.
- Swiss Confederation. (2015). *Geneva Candidate for the Secretariat of the Arms Trade Treaty*. Swiss Confederation. Bern: Swiss Confederation.
- Swiss Federal Chancellery. (2019, March 29). *Facts and Figures about International Geneva*. Retrieved May 4, 2018, from Permanent Mission of Switzerland to the United Nations Office and to the other international organizations in Geneva: <https://www.eda.admin.ch/missions/mission-onu-geneve/en/home/geneve-international/faits-et-chiffres.html>
- Swiss Federal Council. (2006). *Message relatif à la loi fédérale sur les privilèges, les immunités et les facilités, ainsi que sur les aides financières accordés par la Suisse en tant qu’Etat hôte (Loi sur L’Etat hôte, LEH)*. Conseil fédéral suisse. Bern: Conseil fédéral suisse.
- Swiss Federal Council. (2014). *Message concernant les mesures à mettre en œuvre pour renforcer le rôle de la Suisse comme Etat hôte*. Bern: Swiss Federal Council.
- Tallberg, J., & Zürn, M. (2019). The legitimacy and legitimation of international organizations: introduction and framework. *The Review of International Organizations*, 14(4), 581-606.
- The Capital Region of Denmark. (2006). *Regional growth and development strategy*. Greater Copenhagen, Region. Hillerød: Centre for Regional Development.
- The Guardian. (2010, December 3). *US embassy cables: the documents*. Retrieved April 9, 2021, from The Guardian: <https://www.theguardian.com/world/us-embassy-cables-documents/201549>
- The Hague International Spirit. (2009). *Intervention de Son Excellence M. Jean-François Blarel, Ambassadeur de France*. The Hague: THIS.

- The Hague Municipality. (1997). *DEN HAAG 2025. Woonstad aan zee; Internationale stad van recht en bestuur*. Gemeente Den Haag, Bestuursdienst. Den Haag: Gemeente Den Haag.
- The Hague Municipality. (1998). *Nieuwe impulsen voor Den Haag. Beleidsprogramma 1994-1998*. Den Haag: Gemeente Den Haag.
- The Hague Municipality. (2004). *Den Haag, residentie van recht en vrede. De uitbouw van Den Haag als stad van internationale en juridische organisaties Een actieprogramma voor de periode 2005-2006*. Den Haag: Gemeente Den Haag.
- The Hague Municipality. (2015). *The Hague International City of Peace and Justice*. Department of Information and External Relations. The Hague: Tuijtel.
- Theisens, H. (2012, November 27). Governance in Interessante Tijden. Een essay. *Intreerede voor de aanvaarding van het Lectoraat Public Management*. De Haagse Hogeschool.
- Torring, J., & Triantafillou. (2017). *Interactive Policy Making, Metagovernance and Democracy*. ECPR Press.
- Torring, J., Peters, B. G., Pierre, J., & Sørensen, E. (2013). *Interactive Governance. Advancing the Paradigm*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Turner, E. A. (2010). Why Has the Number of International Non-Governmental Organizations Exploded since 1960? *Cliodynamics: the Journal of Theoretical and Mathematical History*, 1, 81-91.
- Turrini, A., Cristofoli, D., Frosini, F., & Nasi, G. (2010). Network Literature about Determinants of Network Effectiveness. *Public Administration*, 88(2), 528-550.
- Tweede Kamer. (1988). *Vierde nota over de ruimtelijke ordening*. Tweede Kamer der Staten Generaal. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer.
- Tweede Kamer. (1999). *Dynamiek in netwerken*. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.
- UN Executive Board. (2017). *Annual Report*. UN, Executive Board of the UNDP, the UNPF and the UNOPS. New York: UN.
- UN System. (2020, July 1). *United Nations Children's Fund*. Retrieved August 2020, from United Nations System. Chief Executives Board for Coordination: <https://www.unsystem.org/content/unicef>
- UNAIDS. (2010). *The Governance Handbook*. Geneva: UNAIDS.
- UNFCCC Conference of the Parties. (2009). *Copenhagen Accord*. UN Framework Convention on Climate Change. Copenhagen: UN FCCC.
- UNICEF. (2017, October 2). *Struture and contact information*. Retrieved August 2020, from Unicef for every child: [https://www.unicef.org/about/structure/index\\_headquarters.html](https://www.unicef.org/about/structure/index_headquarters.html)
- UNICEF, Deputy Executive Director. (2013, December 10). UNICEF. *Office of the Executive Director*. New York, New York, United States: UNICEF.
- Union of International Associations. (2020). *Yearbook of International Organizations 2020-2021*. Brussels: BRILL.



- United Nations. (1998). *United Nations Diplomatic Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court*. Rome: UN.
- Van Aartsen, J. (2019, February 11). Re: Beste Rosa. The Hague.
- Van der Voet, J., Kuipers, B. S., & Groeneveld, S. (2016). Implementing Change in Public Organizations: The relationship between leadership and affective commitment to change in a public sector context. *Public Management Review*, 18(6), 842-865.
- Van Duijn, S., Bannink, D., & Ybema, S. (2022). Working Toward Network Governance: Local Actors' Strategies for Navigating Tensions in Localized Health Care Governance. *Administration & Society*, 54(4), 660-689.
- Van Keulen, M., & Rood, J. Q. T. (2003). The Netherlands' Presidency of 1997. Between ambition and modesty. In O. Elgström, *European Union Council Presidencies. A comparative perspective* (pp. 71-86). London: Routledge.
- Ville de Genève. (2013, January 16). *Welcome to Geneva*. Retrieved January 8, 2019, from Ville de Genève. Site Officiel: <http://www.ville-geneve.ch/welcome-geneva/>
- Ville de Genève. (2015, March 20). *Welcome to Geneva*. Retrieved January 18, 2019, from Ville de Genève. Site Officiel: <https://web.archive.org/web/20150315075503/http://www.ville-geneve.ch/welcome-geneva/>
- Voorhoeve, J. (2011). *Den Haag als mondiale stad*. Den Haag: De Haagse Hogeschool.
- Vreeken, R. (2001, juni 8). Internationaal Strafhof Den Haag wordt ramp. *De Volkskrant*, p. 1.
- Wasserman, S., & Faust, K. (1994). *Social Network Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Yin, R. K. (2014). *Case Study Research. Design and Methods*. Los Angeles: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Weiss, T. G. (2013). Reinvigorating the 'Second' United Nations. People matter. In B. Reinalda, *Routledge Handbook of International Organization* (pp. 299-311). London/ New York: Routledge.
- Whall, H., & Pytlak, A. (2014). The role of Civil Society in the International Negotiations on the Arms Trade Treaty. *Global Policy*, 5(4), 453-469.
- World Happiness Report. (2017, March 3). *Denmark*. Retrieved July 18, 2019, from World Happiness Report: [www.worldhappiness.report/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2017/03/HR17.pdf](http://www.worldhappiness.report/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2017/03/HR17.pdf)
- Zakocs, R. C., & Edwards, E. M. (2006). What Explains Community Coalition Effectiveness. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 30(4), 351-361.

## APPENDICES

**Table A1** Western European Cities with their focal areas (selected cities in grey)

Western European and Others Group*	Capital cities (or city experienced with attracting IOs)	Focal area for attracting IOs	No. of inhabitants in the city
1. Andorra	Andorra la Vella	-	22,256
2. Austria	Vienna	Peace, non-proliferation, humanitarian	1,9 million
3. Belgium	Brussels	EU focus, financial	1,2 million
4. Denmark	Copenhagen	Humanitarian, procurement, life sciences, green tech	1,3 million
5. Finland	Helsinki	Energy, forestry, finance, humanitarian	1,3 million
6. France	Paris, Toulouse, Lyon, Strasbourg	Global health, education, sports, finance	2,2 million, 447,340; 513,275; 467,438
7. Germany	Bonn	Climate, energy, sustainability	329,673
8. Greece	Athens	Migration, wildlife, Balkan	3,1 million
9. Iceland	Reykjavík	Human rights, education, gender equality	131,136
10. Ireland	Dublin	Humanitarian, migration, finance	1,2 million
11. Italy	Rome, Bologna	Energy, space, international transport	2,8 million; 394,843
12. Liechtenstein	Vaduz	-	5,696
13. Luxembourg	Luxembourg City	Finance, trade	124,528
14. Malta	Valletta	Migration, trade	355,000
15. Monaco	Monaco (city-state)	Science, sports, travel	38,300
16. Netherlands	The Hague	Peace, justice, security, humanitarian, life sciences	883,720
17. Norway	Oslo	Migration, equality, green energy	1,03 million
18. Portugal	Lisbon	Migration, finance	2,04 million
19. Spain	Madrid, Barcelona	Energy, health, democratization	3,2 million; 1,6 million
20. Sweden	Stockholm	Development, space, democratization	1,6 million
21. Switzerland	Geneva	Peace, humanitarian, economy, health, environment	201,818

Western European and Others Group*	Capital cities (or city experienced with attracting IOs)	Focal area for attracting IOs	No. of inhabitants in the city
22. Turkey	Ankara	Finance, labor, migration, humanitarian	5,7 million
23. United Kingdom	London	Finance, maritime, geology, sports, migration	9,8 million

\* For reasons of the specific empirical background, I decided that the broadest definition of ‘Western European’ was the most appropriate, as the unofficial Regional Group in the UN ‘Western European and Others Group’ acts as voting blocs and negotiation forums.

**Table A2** Failed and successfully attracted cases (successful in grey, failed in black)

	UN Head-quarters	UN Departments	Other Head-quarters	IO Departments	Quasi-IOs
Geneva		Green Climate Fund (2012-13)	Arms Trade Treaty Secretariat (2015)	DNDi (2003)	
		IRENA (2013) FAIL	GAVI (2000)	FIND (2003)	
			GCERF (2014)	GAIN (2003)	WADA (2000)
			Global Fund (2002)	MPP (2010)	
THE HAGUE		UN-MICT (2010)	International Criminal Court (1998-2001)	NCIA (2012)	
		OPCW-UN Joint Investigation Mechanism (JIM) (2015)	ICMP (2015)		
		SCSL (2002) / RSCSL (2012)	KSCSP (2015)		
		UNICEF Private Fundraising and Partnerships (2015)			

	UN Head-quarters	UN Departments	Other Head-quarters	IO Departments	Quasi-IOs
VIENNA		IRENA (2013) FAIL	Arms Trade Treaty Secretariat (2015)		REEEP (2004)
			FRA (2007)		Sustainable Energy for All (2013)
			KAICIID (2012)		
			IACA (2011)		
COPENHAGEN	UN Office for Project Services (2006)	UNHCR (2014)	GBIF (2001)	Eurofish (2009)	Copenhagen Consensus Center (CCC, 2007)
		UNFPA (2012)		ECO (2012)	Core Humanitarian Standard (CHS, 2014)
		UN Women (2013)			Copenhagen Center on Energy Efficiency (CCEE, 2013)
		WFP (2001)			Sustainable Energy for All (2013)
		IOM (2009)			
		UNEP - DTU Partnership (2014)			

**Table A3** Questionnaire

We are about to do a game with cards.
– Can you please divide these cards with factors, looking at the third column of the list, into two halves? One is most important, the other least?
– After that, can you please give me a top 5 list of factors that are most important for IOs and INGOs to settle in a city?
– Can you make a top 5 list of factors that are least important?
– Please try to make both a top 3.
1. Why are these the top 3 important for you?
2. Why are these the top 3 least important for you?
3. Were these top 3 factors important as well for the [specific case] to settle in this city? If you do not know this, can you tell me who might?

4. How do you think this city scores on these most and least important factors?
5. What do you think of the level of education, including higher education and universities? Can you give me an answer in the scale of 1 (very poor) to 10 (excellent)
6. What do you think of the level of expertise and labor force in this city? Can you give me an answer in the scale of 1 (very poor) to 10 (excellent)
7. What do you think of the level of English in this city? Can you give me an answer in the scale of 1 (very poor) to 10 (excellent)
8. How do you see the rules and regulations for International Organizations? Can you give me an answer in the scale of 1 (very poorly organized) to 10 (very well organized)
9. Do you know Organizations that came to or founded in here recently?
10. Do you know why these Organizations chose this city as their settlement place?
11. This city has a focus on (...). Can you explain to me how this developed, and why?
12. Do you know any INGO or IO that recently left this city for another city? Do you know why?
<b>Competitiveness</b>
13. Is there competition between this city and other cities? Can you give me an answer in the scale of 1 (very little) to 10 (very much)
14. If so, how do you notice this?
15. Could you give any examples?
<b>Branding</b>
16. Is there a strategy for ‘City marketing’? How is this visible? Can you give me an answer in the scale of 1 (very unclear) to 10 (very clearly)
17. Is there a strategy for Nation Branding? How is this visible? Can you give me an answer in the scale of 1 (very unclear) to 10 (very clearly)
18. Is the city marketing or nation branding effective in your opinion, in order to attract more IOs and INGOs to the city? Can you give me an answer in the scale of 1 (very little) to 10 (very much)
19. Do you think the international community in this city is growing or declining?
<b>Policy / Political Process</b>
20. To what extend were you involved in the location decisions of IOs? 1 (none) – 10 (completely)
21. Can you reconstruct the chain of events with me for the attraction of [case 1]?
22. Can you reconstruct the chain of events with me for the attraction of [case 2]?
23. To what extend are you involved in communication with the city and country (Ministry of Foreign Affairs)? 1 (none) – 10 (completely)
24. How often did you meet as organizational network?
25. How often did you meet others outside the organizational network?
26. With which institution do you have most contact, the City, Region or the Ministry of Foreign Affairs?
27. Which institution is the most important for attracting and retaining IOs in this city?
28. Are there other institutions that deal with attracting and retaining IOs?
29. How is the cooperation between these institutions (i.e. local versus national government)? Can you give me an answer in the scale of 1 (very poor) to 10 (excellent)?
30. What other actors were involved in the attraction process of this IO?

31. Have there been recent changes in the process in attracting and retaining IOs? Can you elaborate on this?
32. How do you see the rules of the 'policy game' in order to attract and retain IOs? Can you give me an answer in the scale of 1 (very unclear) to 10 (very clear) can you elaborate?
33. What do you think of the possibilities of improvement for these processes?

Key: Q5-8 and 13-18: variable 2 (perception host policy and support); Q1-4: variables 3 & 4 (overlap priorities and narratives); Q24-26, 32: variable 5 (network cooperation); Q20,23,29: variable 6 (actor centrality); Q27,28,30: variable 7 (network diversity); Q21,22: dependent variable Y1 (factual success); Q33: dependent variable Y2 (perceived success).

**Table A4** Coding Scheme

Open coding pilot study	Axial coding	Final code
Attractiveness factors	Priorities / narratives	Discursive
Branding/Reputation	Competitive cities	Instrumental
Case	<i>Case description</i>	
Cooperation governance	Network characteristics	Relational
Cooperation organizations	Collaboration	
Coordination organizational networks	Coordination	
Distributive factor	Priorities / narratives	Discursive
General information	<i>Case description</i>	
International competition	Conditions in the city	Instrumental
IOs, NGOs, other institutions	Collaboration	Relational
Local government	Network actors	
National government	Network actors	
Networks	Network description	
Public policy/political process	<i>Case description</i>	
Public Private Partnerships	Network actors	Relational
Regional government	Actor involvement	
Strategies	Policy design	Instrumental

**Table A5** Codes per perspective

<b>Codes instrumental perspective:</b>
Branding policies
Main elements bid
Rules and regulations
Perception and support
<b>Codes discursive perspective:</b>
Locational elements
Case specific
<b>Codes relational perspective:</b>
Cooperation and communication
Negotiations
Competition
Eleven labels for network diversity:
Local government, provincial/ Cantonal government, national/federal government, Prime Minister/President, Parliament, Public Private Partnership, UN, EU, NGO, International Organization, advisor/expert.

**Table A6** List of respondents (anonymized)

Interviews Geneva:

Local, regional, or national governance (LG, RG, NG), Research Institute (RI), Permanent Representative (PR), IO- and INGO-employees, Public Private Partnerships (PP)		
Organization	Date	Code
A1. Centre d'Accueil Genève Internationale (CAGI) (LG)	1) 26-04-2013	A1.1)
A2. Permanent Mission of The Netherlands in Geneva (PR)	2) 24-04-2013	A2.2)
A3. Permanent Mission of The Netherlands in Geneva (PR)	3) 09-12-2015	A3.3)
A4. Département présidentiel République et canton de Genève (RG)	4) 29-04-2013	A4.4)
	5) Jan 2014	A4.5)
	6) 08-12-2015	A4.6)
A5. Swiss Mission, Federal Department of Foreign Affairs (NG)	7) 26-04-2013	A5.A6.7)
A6. Swiss Mission, Federal Department of Foreign Affairs (NG)	26-04-2013	A5.A6.7)
A7. Service du Protocol, Canton Etat Geneva, (RG)	8) 25-04-2013	A7.8)
	9) Jan 2014	A7.9)
A8. Service of External Relations, City of Geneva (LG)	10) 30-04-2013	A8.10)
	11) Feb 2014	A8.11)
A9. Federal Department of Foreign Affairs (NG)	12) 11-04-2014	A9.12)
A10. Centre d'Accueil Genève Internationale (CAGI) (LG)	13) 10-12-2015	A10.13)
A11. Centre d'Accueil Genève Internationale (CAGI) (LG)	10-12-2015	A11.13)

Organization	Date	Code
A12. Federal Department of Foreign Affairs (NG)	14) 08-12-2015	A12.14)
A13. Foreign Affairs Committee (NG)	15) 10-12-2015	A13.15)
A14. Fondation Pour Genève (PP)	16) 29-04-2013	A14.16)
A15. Fondation Pour Genève (PP)	17) 30-04-2013	A15.17)
A16. Local government (LG)	18) 25-04-2013	A16.18)
A17. Entre Actes (PP)	19) 08-12-2015	A17.19)
A18. Ambassador of Disarmament (NG)	20) 20-08-2018	A18.20)
A19. Kingdom of the Netherlands (NG)	21) 04-09-2018	A19.21)
A20. Foreign Ministry Switzerland (NG)	22) 31-08-2018	A20.22)
A21. Swiss Mission, Foreign Ministry Switzerland (NG)	23) 04-09-2018	A21.23)
A22. Swiss Mission, Foreign Ministry of Switzerland (NG)	24) 07-09-2018	A22.24)
A23. World Trade Organization (WTO) (IO)	25) 23-04-2013	A23.25)
	26) 09-04-2014	A23.26)
A24. Comité International de la Croix Rouge (ICRC) (IO)	27) 24-04-2013	A24.27)
A25. Comité International de la Croix Rouge (ICRC) (IO)	24-04-2013	A25.27)
A26. European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN) (IO)	28) 26-04-2013	A26.28)
A27. Office of the DG UN Office at Geneva (IO)	29) 11-12-2015	A27.29)
	30) 04-09-2018	A27.30)
A28. UN Office for Disarmament Affairs (IO)	31) 16-03-2017	A28.31)
A29. INSEAD (PP)	32) 15-11-2017	A29.32)
A30. Inter-Parliamentary Union (IO)	33) 29-08-2018	A30.33)
A31. Arms Trade Treaty (IO)	34) 07-09-2018	A31.34)
A32. UNIDIR (IO)	35) 03-09-2018	A32.35)
A33. ICRC (IO)	36) 02-11-2018	A33.36)
	37) 12-11-2018	A33.37)

Total Geneva: 37 interviews with 33 interviewees

#### Interviews The Hague:

Local, regional or national governance (LG, RG, NG), Research Institute (RI), IO- and INGO-employees (IO/INGO), Public Private Partnerships (PP)		
Organization	Date	Code
B1. City of The Hague (LG)	1) 12-03-2013	B1.1)
	2) 19-03-2013	B1.2)
B2. The Hague International Centre (LG)	3) 19-03-2013	B2.3)
B3. Municipality of The Hague (LG)	4) 27-03-2012	B3.4)
	5) 09-04-2013	B3.5)
B4. Chamber of Commerce South-West Netherlands (RG)	6) 15-01-2013	B4.6)



Organization	Date	Code
B5. Municipality of The Hague (LG)	7) 14-01-2012 14-03-2013 8) 02-12-2016 27-01-2017	B5.7) B5.8)
B6. Municipality of The Hague (LG)	9) 09-04-2013	B6.9)
B7. Municipality of The Hague (LG)	10) 17-01-2013 11) 14-03-2013	B7.10) B7.11)
B8. Ministry of Foreign Affairs (NG)	12) 19-03-2013 13) 02-12-2016	B8.12) B8.13)
B9. Ministry of Foreign Affairs (NG)	14) 19-03-2013 15) 11-04-2013	B9.14) B9.15)
B10. Ministry of Foreign Affairs (NG)	16) 19-03-2013 17) 10-01-2014	B10.16) B10.17)
B11. Municipality of The Hague (LG)	18) 17-04-2013	B11.18)
B12. Municipality of The Hague (LG)	19) 02-04-2013	B12.19)
B13. Netherlands Permanent Representative to the EU (NG)	20) 02-04-2014	B13.20)
B14. Dutch Parliament (NG)	21) 31-03-2014 22) 24-10-2016	B14.21) B14.22)
B15. Ministry of Foreign Affairs (NG)	23) 02-04-2012 24) 09-06-2015 25) 25-05-2017	B15.23) B15.24) B15.25)
B16. Municipal Council (LG)	26) 09-07-2012	B16.26)
B17. Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations (NG)	27) 24-03-2015 28) 14-11-2016	B17.27) B17.28)
B18. Executive Office - Rijksvastgoedbedrijf (NG/PP)	29) 24-03-2015	B18.29)
B19. Association of Netherlands Municipalities (RG)	30) 16-01-2013	B19.30)
B20. Ministry of Foreign Affairs (NG)	31) 08-09-2015 32) 11-11-2016	B20.31) B20.32)
B21. Executive Office Rijksvastgoedbedrijf (NG/PP)	33) 10-10-2016 34) 19-12-2016	B21.33) B21.34)
B22. Executive Office Rijksvastgoedbedrijf (NG/PP)	35) 10-10-2016 36) 19-12-2016	B22.35) B22.36)
B23. Ministry of Foreign Affairs (NG)	37) 02-12-2016	B23.37)
B24. Ministry of Foreign Affairs (NG)	38) 23-11-2016	B24.38)
B25. Advisor (LG)	39) 29-11-2016 40) 18-05-2017	B25.39) B25.40)
B26. Municipality of The Hague (LG)	41) 02-12-2016	B26.41)
B27. Municipality of The Hague (LG)	42) 20-01-2017	B27.42)
B28. Ministry of Foreign Affairs (NG)	43) 01-02-2017	B28.43)
B29. Municipality of The Hague (LG)	44) 01-02-2017	B29.44)
B30. Ministry of Foreign Affairs (NG)	45) 14-03-2017	B30.45)
B31. West-Holland Foreign Investment Agency (WFIA) (PP)	46) 28-01-2016	B31.46)
B32. Netherlands Foreign Investment Agency (PP)	47) 28-01-2016	B32.47)
B33. West-Holland Investment Agency (WFIA) (PP)	48) 19-04-2013	B33.48)

Organization	Date	Code
B34. West-Holland Investment Agency (WFIA) (PP)	49) 16-11-2016	B34.49)
B35. Expat Center Amsterdam (PP)	50) 18-06-2015	B35.50)
B36. The Hague International Centre Municipality of The Hague (LG)	51) 08-06-2018 19-06-2018	B36.51)
B37. Municipality of The Hague (LG)	52) 30-08-2018	B37.52)
B38. Municipality of The Hague (LG)	53) 19-10-2018	B38.53)
B39. Ministry of Foreign Affairs (NG)	54) 23-10-2018	B39.54)
B40. Advanced team ICC (NG)	55) 5-11-2018	B40.55)
B41. Former Mayor (LG)	56) 4-12-2018	B41.56)
B42. Kingdom of the Netherlands (NG)	57) 20-11-2018	B42.57)
B43. Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW) (IO)	58) 15-04-2013	B43.58)
B44. International Community Platform (PP)	59) 02-04-2013 60) 04-11-2016	B44.59) B44.60)
B45. International Criminal Court (ICC) (IO)	61) 19-04-2013 62) 14-12-2016	B45.61) B45.62)
B46. Decisio (RI)	63) 10-12-2014	B46.63)
B47. The Hague Centre for Strategic Studies (RI)	64) 09-07-2012	B47.64)
B48. Faculty of Architecture and The Built Environment, University of Delft (RI)	65) 17-09-2015	B48.65)
B49. Center for International Legal Cooperation (CILC) (INGO)	66) 19-04-2013	B49.66)
B50. Professor public diplomacy, Leiden University (RI)	67) 28-10-2016	B50.67)
B51. Baker Tilly Berk (RI)	68) 15-12-2016	B51.68)
B52. International Criminal Court (ICC) (IO)	69) 18-05-2017	B52.69)
B53. International Criminal Court (ICC) (IO)	70) 18-05-2017	B53.70)
B54. International Court of Justice (ICJ) (IO)	71) 12-06-2018	B54.71)
B55. UNICEF PFP (IO)	72) 26-10-2018	B55.72)
B56. International legal advisor (NGO)	73) 2-11-2018 74) 12-11-2018	B56.73) B56.74)

Total The Hague: 74 interviews with 56 interviewees

Interviews Vienna:

Local, regional or national governance (LG, RG, NG), Research Institute (RI), Permanent Representative (PR), IO- and INGO-employees, Public Private Partnerships (PP)		
Organization	Date	Code
C1. Austrian Representative to the UN (PR)	1) 31-10-2014	C1.1)
C2. Austrian Representative to the UN (PR)	2) 28-02-2017	C2.2)
C3. Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs (NG)	3) 29-10-2014	C3.3)
C4. Department for International Conferences and IOs (NG)	4) 29-10-2014 5) 02-03-2017	C4.4) C4.5)

Organization	Date	Code
C5. Municipality of Vienna (LG)	6) 30-10-2014	C5.6)
C6. Federal Ministry Republic of Austria (NG)	7) 04-03-2015 8) 02-03-2017	C6.7) C6.8)
C7. Federal Chancellery of Austria (NG)	9) 19-02-2015	C7.9)
C8. Permanent Representative of Austria to the UN (PR)	10) 18-2-2015	C8.10)
C9. Permanent Representative of Austria to the UN (PR)	11) 18-2-2015	C9.11)
C10. Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs (NG)	12) 18-02-2015	C10.12)
C11. Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs (NG)	13) 05-03-2015	C11.13)
C12. European and International Affairs, Municipality of Vienna (LG)	14) 29-10-2015	C12.14)
C13. Vienna Service Office, Municipality of Vienna (LG)	15) 28-10-2014	C13.15)
C14. Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs (NG)	16) 02-03-2017	C14.16)
C15. Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs (NG)	02-03-2017	C15.16)
C16. Expat Center Vienna (PP)	17) 30-10-2014	C16.17)
C17. Director, Business Development – International Organizations, at Montréal International (MI), Montreal's Economic Development Agency (PP)	18) 06-12-2016 19) 10-02-2017 (Skype)	C17.18) C17.19)
C18. United Nations Office On Drugs and Crime (UNODC) (IO)	20) 19-02-2015	C18.20)
C19. European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA) (IO)	21) 19-02-2015	C19.21)
C20. REEEP (INGO/Quasi organization)	22) 20-02-2015	C20.22)
C21. Sustainable Energy for All (INGO/ Quasi organization)	23) 20-02-2015	C21.23)
C22. Sustainable Energy for All INGO/ Quasi organization)	24) 02-03-2017	C22.24)
C23. Preparatory Commission for the Comprehensive Nuclear-test-ban Treaty Organization (CTBTO) (IO)	25) 20-02-2015	C23.25)
C24. Vier Pfoten (INGO)	26) 20-02-2015	C24.26)
C25. Global Responsibility (INGO)	27) 31-10-2014	C25.27)
C26. European Stability Initiative (ESI) (INGO)	28) 28-10-2014	C26.28)
C27. Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE)	29) 29-10-2014	C27.29)
C28. Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE)	29-10-2014	C28.29)
C29. Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE)	29-10-2014	C29.29)
C30. European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA) (IO)	30) March 2015	C30.30)
C31. Wassenaar Arrangement (IO)	31) 03-03-2017	C31.31)
C32. Wassenaar Arrangement (IO)	03-03-2017	C32.31)
C33. UNIS (IO)	32) 28-10-2014	C33.32)

Total Vienna: 32 interviews with 33 interviewees

## Interviews Copenhagen:

Local, regional or national governance (LG, RG, NG), Research Institute (RI), Permanent Representative (PR), IO- and INGO-employees, Public Private Partnerships (PP)		
Organization	Date	Code
D1. Department for multilateral cooperation, climate change and gender equality (NG)	1) 11-04-2017	D1.1)
	2) 16-04-2018	D1.2)
D2. Dutch Foreign Ministry (PR)	3) 11-04-2017	D2.3)
D3. UN City (NG/IO)	4) 12-04-2017	D3.4)
D4. Copenhagen Capacity (PP)	5) 19-04-2017	D4.5)
	6) 17-04-2018	D4.6)
D5. International House (PP)	7) 20-04-2017	D5.7)
	8) 16-04-2018	D5.8)
D6. International House (PP)	9) 16-04-2018	D6.9)
D7. International House (PP)	16-04-2018	D7.9)
D8. Office of Business and Growth (LG)	10) 16-04-2018	D8.10)
D9. UN City – One UN (NG)	11) 17-04-2018	D9.11)
D10. Protocol Department (NG)	12) 19-04-2018	D10.12)
D11. Work In Denmark East (NG)	13) 25-04-2018	D11.13)
D12. Wonderful Copenhagen (RG)	14) 03-05-2018	D12.14)
D13. Wonderful Copenhagen (RG)	15) 07-05-2018	D13.15)
D14. Embassy and Permanent Mission of Denmark (NG)	16) 09-05-2018	D14.16)
D15. Permanent Mission to the UN (NG)	17) 11-05-2018	D15.17)
D16. Ministry of Foreign Affairs (NG)	18) 24-04-2017	D16.18)
D17. Copenhagen Centre on Energy Efficiency (RI)	19) 12-04-2017	D17.19)
D18. The United Nations Office for Project Services (UNOPS) (IO)	20) 10-04-2017	D18.20)
D19. UNHCR (IO)	21) 12-04-2017	D19.21)
	22) 19-04-2018	D19.22)
D20. NIRAS (RI)	23) 18-04-2017	D20.23)
D21. UNOPS (IO)	24) 14-03-2018	D21.24)
D22. UNDP (IO)	25) 17-04-2018	D22.25)
D23. UN CITY Common services Admin (IO)	26) 17-04-2018	D23.26)
D24. Danish Refugee Council (NGO)	27) 18-04-2018	D24.27)
D25. UNHCR (IO)	28) 18-04-2018	D25.28)
D26. UNHCR (IO)	29) 18-04-2018	D26.29)
D27. UNHCR (IO)	30) 18-04-2018	D27.30)
D28. UNICEF (IO)	31) 18-04-2018	D28.31)

Total Copenhagen: 31 interviews with 28 interviewees

Total: 174 interviews with 150 interviewees

**Table A7** Locational elements

Please make a priority of the five most and least important locational elements, and then three most and least important ones for IOs to settle in your city			
<b>Reputation of city and country</b>	Goodwill of the City	Settling in of foreigners is well organized	Working permit, residence permit, quality of working spaces, living spaces, ease of municipal administration, central point for ex-pats at the City Hall
	Security	Security is well organized in this city and country	Benevolence and support of the community, police and justice system, safe living and working environment
	Political stability	Political situation is stable	Political security, easy to vote, involvement of local and national governments with ex-pats
<b>Physical connectivity and amenities</b>	Digital infrastructure	Digital infrastructure is working well	Rapid internet connection, satellite tv,
	Infrastructure	Physical infrastructure is working well (connection to airport and public transport)	Connection airport and city, park facilities, train connection, airports, highways, drainage, electricity, water,
	Medical facilities	Hospitals and health care are generally well organized	Nearby hospitals, costs of mental health care, hygiene, level of medical care
	Regulations for spouses	Dual career possibilities are well organized	Rules, regulations and possible job-tracks for the spouses. Special attention for professional growth of international spouses
Livability	Education	International schools and universities are present and well organized	Level of education, international childcare, possibility to achieve an international baccalaureate, costs of education
	Nature	Climate / weather is relatively safe and friendly	Vulnerability for natural disasters, climate, possibilities for recreation
	Recreation	Cultural and commercial recreation is available and of high standard	Hotels, Cafes, restaurants, museums, opera houses, shops, gyms, swimming pools
	Quality of life	Living is relatively easy in this city	Housing, living situation, cost of living, attitude of locals, living area, contact with neighbors

<b>Enterprise Hub</b>	Taxes	Tax settlements are beneficial in this country	Host state agreement – and other settlements for IO employees
	Startups	Starting up a company (BV or otherwise) is relatively easy	Climate for startup of new organization or company
	Banks	Banking services are well organized	Safe money transfer, exchange rate, reliable banks
<b>Work force</b>	Price labor force	The cost of hiring labor force is reasonable	Human Resources, administrative and security labor forces are reasonably priced
	Level labor force	Level of education of labor force is reasonably high	High level of education for labor force at IOs and NGOs
	Availability labor force	Labor force is available in this city	Availability of cleaning, administrative staff, technicians, HR-employees, facility managers
<b>Virtual Connectivity</b>	Education and research	Universities and research centers are of high standard	Level of higher education and research centers, possibility of internships, level of English, accessibility of research and higher education
	Research instruments	Research instruments are generally easily available	Availability of local instruments (grants e.g.) for research projects
	Relevant Centers	Relevant centers are nearby and willing to cooperate	Availability of other organizations, cooperation, partner organizations, mother or daughter organizations
	Professional networks	Infrastructure for professional networks is well organized	Network possibilities, business clubs, embassies, expat-clubs, social facilities, conferences
	Legal centers	Issuing of rules is available and understandable	Nearness and understanding of rules and regulations – in understandable languages

**Table A8**      Network diversity: types of actors and colors in graphs

Type of Actor	Color in graphs
1. Municipality	Yellow
2. Canton / Region / Province	Green
3. Ministries / National / Federal	Turquoise
4. Parliament / Political actor	Red
5. Public Private Partnership	Dark blue
6. IO / UN	Purple
7. NGO	Pink
8. Policy advisor / Specialist / Research institute	Blue
9. European Union	Orange
10. Business	Light blue
11. Head of State / Prime Minister	Darkest blue