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ABSTRACT

Background and objectives: Emotion can be seen as the organizing process that coordinates response systems to deal effectively with challenges and opportunities. Synchronous change in subjective and physiological reactivity is regarded as an indication of this organizing process. Synchrony is expected to increase with the intensity of emotional stimuli. Conversely, adaptive emotional functioning could be indicated by progressive synchrony upon increasing demands, and the magnitude of synchrony could be an indication of progress during therapy.

Methods: We examined whether synchronous change in subjective and physiological reactivity over repeated exposures increased from watching a flight video through simulated flight to actual flight, and whether the magnitude of synchronous change predicted favourable short- and long-term treatment outcome within a group of 77 aviophobic participants during CBT.

Results: Results did not show a relationship between the intensity of the phobic stimuli and the magnitude of synchronous change in subjective and physiological reactivity. Moreover, synchronous change across both response systems did not predict treatment outcome.

Limitations: By design this study had no control group. Additional treatment or life events between end of treatment and 3-year follow-up were not assessed.

Conclusion: The results provide only weak support for the functionalistic view that successful treatment of anxiety disorders is indicated by synchronous change in reactivity across emotional response systems. The relationship between these systems is likely to be affected by many intervening variables including higher order cognitive processes.

1. Introduction

Emotions enable action for survival (Frijda, 1986; Lang & McTeague, 2009). Within this functionalist view, coherence within and between biological systems (ANS, motor programs, vocalization, facial expression) facilitates coordinated responses supporting adaptive behaviour and effective communication. Coherence between biological systems is expected to increase with emotional intensity (Hollenstein & Lanteigne, 2014). Coherence can be measured as concordance or as synchrony. Whereas concordance refers to joint activation of the subsystems, synchrony refers to correlated changes in their activation over time. These temporal changes can be either in concert or in the opposite direction. Albeit intuitively appealing, evidence for concordance and synchrony is weak (Benoit Allen, Allen, Austin, Waldron, & Ollendick, 2015; Hollenstein & Lanteigne, 2014).

The tripartite model of Lang states that the emotion of fear is expressed in three loosely coupled domains: affective language, overt behaviour, and physiological reactivity (Lang, 2014; Lang & McTeague, 2009). Organized and coordinated activity between these response systems enables the individual to deal effectively with challenges (and opportunities), according to the evolutionary/functionalistic view of emotions (James, 1884; Levenson, 2014b). Emotion can be seen as the organizing process that coordinates these different systems to prepare the individual for optimal and effective response (Levenson, 2014b).
When the individual is faced with increasing demands the coordinated co-activation of multiple response systems would seem even more important. Response coherence would thus be expected to increase with increasing emotional intensity. Conversely, adaptive emotional functioning could be indicated by proper and progressive response coherence upon increasing demands. If so, the magnitude of coherence could be an indication of progress during therapy. As early as 1974 Hodgson and Rachman (1974) proposed that successful treatment of anxiety disorders should be indicated by synchronous change across all three domains of the tripartite model.

A decade later several studies assessing this proposition with agoraphobic patients were published; the mixed results raised more questions than answers. Interpretation was hampered by small sample sizes and doubts about the reliability and validity of heart rate measurements, but most of all by different operationalization of concordance and synchrony. In a series of publications (Michelson, 1984; Michelson, Mavissakalian, & Marchione, 1985; Michelson & Mavissakalian, 1985) Michelson and colleagues reported that subjects showing greater HR/SUD concordance were less symptomatic than those showing less concordance. A few years later the same authors reported better outcomes, both post-treatment and at 3-month follow-up, with participants showing synchronous change during treatment. Here, synchronous change was defined as at least one unit decrease in HR and SUD during treatment non-response (n = 5) to have a desynchronous pattern. This trend was lost at 6-month follow-up (Craske, Sanders, & Barlow, 1987).

A few years later Beckham, Vrana, May, Gustafson, and Smith (1990) reported a positive relationship between HR and SUD scores 5 min after take-off and 5 min prior to landing, but not upon airport arrival, prior to take-off and directly after landing, with 14 agoraphobic participants during a post-treatment flight. Synchrony was investigated over the five measurement occasions for each subject separately. A median split was done on the synchrony measures for the twelve subjects with positive correlations. Subjects with high covariation between HR and SUD showed a significantly greater improvement in their Fear of Flying score between pre-to post-flight than subjects with less covariation. Finally, no correlation between SUD and HR was found with 21 driving phobic patients during three driving sessions (Alpers, Wilhelm, & Roth, 2005), while a significant positive synchronous change between SUD fear and HR was reported with six of 10 claustrophobic patients during six exposure sessions (Alpers & Sell, 2008).

However, the authors failed to relate these synchronous changes to outcome.

Over all, very few studies have reported evidence of synchrony, despite the recent growth of interest in the relationship between emotional expression and patterns of ANS activity (Hollenstein & Lanteigne, 2014; Levenson, 2014a). This might be caused by the generally low intensity and low ecological validity of the stimuli used in most laboratory studies (Hollenstein & Lanteigne, 2014). Laboratory stimuli are essentially artificial, and quite often low in intensity. Ethical constraints limit the magnitude and type of stimuli available in experimental studies. The few studies that did report coherence were all done in vivo with realistic stimuli having high intensity (Alpers & Sell, 2008; Beckham et al., 1990; Ekeberg, Kjeldsen, Greenwood, & Enger, 1990; Nesse et al., 1985). It seems that, to find synchrony and relate it to treatment outcome, more intense stimuli are needed. Phobias might be a good starting point, as anxiety-inducing stimuli in real life generally generate intense fear responses in phobic patients. Furthermore, most studies examined the relationship between emotion subsystems between-subjects when within-subjects analyses might be more appropriate.

1.1. Current study: hypotheses

In the present study we first assessed the notion that synchronous change in subjective and physiological reactivity increases with the intensity and ecological validity of emotional stimuli. We reanalysed data of a clinical sample of 77 aviophobic individuals during repeated exposure to a flight-anxiety inducing video (low intensity), to a professional flight simulator (medium intensity), and during actual flight (high intensity) (Busscher, Spinthoven, & de Geus, 2015). Based on previous research (Busscher, van Gerwen, Spinthoven, & de Geus, 2010) we expected participants to show subjective fear responses but minimal physiological reactivity to the low intensity artificial video stimuli, resulting in minimal synchronous change in reactivity in the two response systems. With the increasing ecological validity and intensity of the anxiety-inducing phobic stimuli during simulated flight and actual flight we expected increased reactivity in the two response systems paired to increased synchronous change in this reactivity over repeated exposures. Second, we assessed whether the magnitude of synchronous change in reactivity predicted short- and long-term treatment outcome. Based on the assumption of Hodgson and Rachman (1974) that successful treatment of anxiety disorders should be indicated by synchronous change, we expected participants with more synchronous change in reactivity in the two response systems during treatment to show lower flight anxiety at the end of treatment and three year after treatment than participants with less synchronous change in reactivity during treatment, and we also expected them to have engaged in more actual flights.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Participants

The 77 participating adults (42 women) with an average age of 40.5 (SD 11.1) in this study were individuals who applied for treatment to overcome their Fear of Flying (FOF). Some participants were referred by health care agencies, health care professionals and company health programs, although most were self-referrals. Inclusion criteria were a good understanding of the Dutch language and no flight scheduled within 5 weeks of start of treatment. Exclusion criteria were current use of cardioactive medication like β blockers, pharmacotherapeutic medication and a concurrent panic disorder of such severity, according to the treating psychotherapist, that it would seriously interfere with the treatment. Airline personnel were also excluded from this study. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants previous to the diagnostic process. The local medical ethics committee approved the research protocol.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Subjective Units of Distress (SUD)

The Subjective Units of Distress scale was used to examine to what extent participants were anxious at various moments. Participants had to indicate their perceived anxiety on a scale from 1 (totally relaxed) to 10 (extremely anxious) (Wolpe, 1973).

2.2.2. Visual Analogue Flight Anxiety Scale (VAFAS)

The single-item Visual Analogue Flight Anxiety Scale was used to examine to what extent participants were anxious about flying. The one-tailed scale ranges from 0 (no flight anxiety) to 10 (terrified or extreme flight anxiety) (Nouss, Van Gerwen, & Spinthoven, 2008).
2.2.3. Number of flights
Email was used to assess the number of flights taken within three years since end of therapy.

2.2.4. Physiological recordings
Sympathetic activity (Pre-Ejection Period (PEP)), parasympathetic activity (Respiratory Sinus Arrhythmia (RSA)) and Heart Rate (HR) were recorded using the VU-AMS (version 4.6, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands; www.vu-ams.nl). Details on the VU-AMS, scoring of the target variables, reliability and validity are described in detail elsewhere (Goedhart, Kupper, Willemsen, Boomsma, & de Geus, 2006; Riese, 2003). RSA is considered a measure of parasympathetic activity (Bernston et al., 1994), and PEP a measure of sympathetic activity (Sherwood et al., 1990). HR can be considered the resultant of both control systems. Fear responses are characterized by increases in HR, a decrease in RSA and shortening of the PEP.

2.3. Treatment
Participants in this study followed a highly standardized treatment program for fear of flying at the VALK foundation in The Netherlands. This institution is a joint enterprise of the Clinical Psychology Department at Leiden University with Amsterdam’s Schiphol Airport and several Dutch airlines. It specializes in fear-related problems, especially fear of flying. The fear of flying program starts with a thorough diagnostic assessment, including pre-treatment phobia and flight-anxiety measurements, followed by a maximum of four individual 1-hour therapeutic sessions, covering general information on factors relevant to fear and anxiety, relaxation and breathing techniques, and coping skills. Claustrophobia, acrophobia, traumatic transportation accidents and traumatic social events were addressed where applicable. Participants started a two-day cognitive-behaviour group treatment (CBGT) five weeks after diagnostic assessment. CBGT groups consisted of a minimum of five to a maximum of eight participants, a therapist and an airline pilot. The first day of group treatment focused on psycho-education and technical information on flying. The second day focused on exposure and included two flights in a full motion cabin flight simulator normally used for flight safety training for cabin crew. The day ended with maximal in vivo exposure during guided return flights of at least 1 hour each on a commercial airliner. Details on therapeutic procedure have been published elsewhere (Van Gerwen, Spinhoven, & Van Dyck, 2006).

2.4. Data collection procedure
Before the start of the diagnostic assessment, and five weeks later before the start of the two-day CBGT, participants viewed an anxiety inducing flight-video, preceded by a neutral video, both lasting 6 min. The flight video consisted of a flight safety demonstration video of a Boeing 747 followed by some video shots of a landing Boeing 737. Participants were prompted to fill out Subjective Units of Distress (SUDs) by text messages on the television screen directly after neutral and flight video presentations. Using a visual display of the output of an inbuilt vertical accelerometer of the physiological recording device, we identified movement-free and artifact-free periods that lasted at least 5 min during flight-video and neutral video.

On the second day of CBGT, SUDs were verbally collected directly after both simulator flights. Five-minute artifact-free periods within the short simulated flights were selected for the physiological recording. During the two actual flights, SUDs were verbally collected during taxi-out of the first flight and during taxi-in of the second flight. Again, movement-free and artifact-free periods lasting at least 5 min were selected around each of these SUD moments producing perfect temporal alignment of the subjective and physiological measurements of fear activation. The day after the simulated flights and real flights, data was analysed off-line. The VU-AMS has an event marker and an integrated accelerometer. Both the therapist and the accompanying pilot kept a detailed log during both simulated and real flights. All these resources were used to select movement-free and artifact-free periods that lasted at least 5 min each as close as possible around the times the SUDs were collected.

Thirty minutes after disembarking, participants filled out the VAFAS questionnaire assessing the short-term outcome of treatment regarding flight anxiety. Three years later, long-term follow-up effects of treatment were collected by email. Next to a self-report of flight anxiety (VAFAS) participants furnished the number of flights flown within the three years since end of therapy to provide a behavioural indication of treatment success. A schematic representation of the data collection and therapeutic and follow-up procedure has been published in Busscher et al. (2015).

2.5. Data analysis
Three separate conditions with increasingly realistic stimuli were used. In the first condition participants twice viewed an anxiety inducing flight video, each time preceded by a neutral video. In the second condition participants were exposed to more realistic stimuli with higher intensity during two simulated flights. The third most ecologically valid condition with highest intensity stimuli utilised two in-vivo flights. For the video exposure, reactivity scores for each of the three physiological variables (HR, RSA and PEP) and the SUD variable were created that reflected the response to the flight video compared to the neutral video. Individual fear reactivity to the simulated and real flights was defined as the changes in the SUD and physiological variables during exposure, compared to a neutral baseline derived during the first day of CBGT. For the three physiological variables this baseline was the average of the morning program of the first day of CBGT excluding some anxiety provoking moments. The neutral baseline for SUD was defined as the average SUD value reported halfway through the morning program in combination with SUDs reported directly after two neutral video presentations at the beginning and end of the morning program. Details on baseline composition have been published in Busscher et al. (2015).

2.5.1. Relationship of intensity and ecological validity of stimuli with synchronous change in reactivity
Pairwise t-tests and Cohen’s d were calculated to assess whether there were significant changes in reactivity across repeated exposures in all three conditions. To test the first hypothesis that synchronous change between subjective and physiological reactivity increased with intensity and ecological validity of emotional stimuli, changes in the reactivity of subjective distress and of the three physiological variables across repeated exposures were computed for all three conditions. For the video stimuli, we subtracted reactivity to the second video presentation from reactivity to the first video presentation. Likewise, for the simulator we subtracted reactivity to the second simulated flight from reactivity to the first simulated flight. For the actual flight we subtracted reactivity to the end of the second flight from reactivity to the start of the first flight. This subtraction resulted in change scores that reflect the change in subjective and physiological reactivity over repeated exposures. These change scores were used to assess the magnitude of synchronous change in reactivity as the Pearson correlation coefficients between the change score for subjective distress and the change score for each of the physiological variables. Significance of the increase in these correlations with increased intensity and ecological validity was tested by the method developed by Zou (2007).

2.5.2. Relationship of synchronous change in reactivity with treatment outcome
Multiple hierarchical regression analyses were used to test the second hypothesis that a higher amount of synchronous change in reactivity is associated with better treatment outcome. Separate analyses
were performed for video-, simulator- and flight-exposure. Predictor variables were the SUD, HR, RSA and PEP changes from first-to second-exposure. The products of the standardized SUD change scores with the standardized physiological change scores were added to the regression models in the second step of the regression analyses. Significance of a two-way interaction in this second step of the regression model would be an indication that synchronous change in reactivity was related to treatment outcome (Benoit Allen et al., 2015). For example, a significant interaction between changes in SUD and changes in HR would indicate that synchrony between these two components was associated with treatment outcome. Short-term outcome was operationalized as the flight anxiety score taken directly after the second exposure flight. Long-term outcome was operationalized as the flight anxiety score three years after treatment, and number of flights taken in this three-year period. To compensate for individual baseline differences in the flight anxiety outcome variables these anxiety scores were regressed on the flight anxiety score taken during diagnostic assessment. After analyses of the relationship between age and gender with all variables of interest we concluded that it was not necessary to control for both variables in further analyses. Throughout all regression analyses we first computed saved standardized residuals by regression of second exposure reactivity scores on first exposure reactivity scores, and subsequently used them as independent variables in the final regression analyses. This way it was not necessary to control for baseline values in an additional first step in the hierarchical regression analysis; this procedure reduced the number of predictor variables while reaching similar results.

2.5.3. Missing data

The security checks at the airports turned out to be a major challenge for the physiological measurements. The attached electrodes of the ambulatory measurement device required a physical patting down of all participants. Consequently, data on one or more physiological variables during flight were lost for 15 participants. Data of two participants were lost due to equipment failure during flight. One flight was cancelled due to adverse weather, resulting in the loss of flight data of two participants. All available data were used for analysis without excluding participants, as data loss was completely random. Missing data were not replaced or substituted. RSA and Number of Flights Flown within three years of end of therapy were log (Ln) transformed to obtain normal distributions.

3. Results

3.1. Relationship of intensity and ecological validity of stimuli with synchronous change in reactivity

Table 1 shows subjective and physiological reactivity across repeated exposures in the three conditions. As expected, participants showed marked subjective fear responses and minimal physiological reactivity to the low intensity artificial video stimuli. Physiological reactivity increased progressively from video to simulator to real flight exposure, but less so for the second than the first exposure, resulting in marked changes across repeated measurements in the flight condition. Subjective reactivity showed progressively larger changes across repeated measurements from video to simulator to real flight exposure. Pearson correlation coefficients were computed as an indication of synchrony in the changes in subjective and physiological reactivity. Only weak evidence for synchrony was found and, contrary to our first hypothesis, in the most intense and ecologically valid condition none of these correlations proved to be significant1 (Table 2). As only two out of nine correlation coefficients reached significance, a formal test on the significance of the increase in the correlations would be inappropriate.

3.2. Relationship of synchronous change in reactivity with treatment outcome

Cohen’s d showed a large effect size (d = 2.1) for the reduction in flight anxiety between diagnostic assessment and three years after therapy. In the three years after therapy, participants flew on average 11.0 flights (SD = 11.1). Before start of therapy the majority of participants had not flown for more than two years, while 26% had not flown for more than ten years. The interested reader is referred to Busscher and Spinholven (2017) for details on changes in coping strategies during the therapeutic process, and to Busscher et al. (2015) for additional information on treatment outcome.

Multiple hierarchical regression analyses were performed to test the second hypothesis that participants with more synchronous change in reactivity in the two response systems during treatment would show better short-term and long-term treatment outcomes than would participants with less synchronous change in reactivity during treatment. Changes in subjective (SUD) and physiological (HR, RSA, PEP) reactivity were added in the first step of the regression analyses. In a second step all two-way interactions (SUD/HR, SUD/RSA and SUD/PEP) were added. This was the critical test of our second hypothesis, where significance of a two-way interaction would be an indication that more synchronous change in reactivity would predict better treatment outcome. Contrary to this hypothesis, the results revealed no significant interaction effect in any condition for none of the three paired variables (SUD/HR, SUD/RSA and SUD/PEP).

Main effects for changes in SUD and HR emerged during the flight exposure, and a main effect for changes in HR emerged during the simulator exposure, both for short-term outcome. Participants with less diminution in HR over simulated flights reported less decrease in flight anxiety from beginning to end of therapy than participants with more diminution of HR over the simulated flights. Participants who reported less decrease in distress over real flights reported less decrease in flight anxiety from beginning to end of therapy than participants who reported a larger decrease in distress over real flights, and participants with less diminution in HR over real flights reported less decrease in flight anxiety from beginning to end of therapy than participants with more diminution of HR over both real flights (results partly published in Busscher, Spinholven, van Gerwen, & de Geus, 2013). Table 3 illustrates the regression model for the flight condition with the short-term effect on flight anxiety as the dependent treatment outcome variable. Detailed results of all outcomes in all conditions (video-exposure, simulator-exposure and flight-exposure) are provided in the supplemental materials section.

4. Discussion

For more than 130 years (James, 1884) emotion has been conceptualised as the organizing entity that coordinates response systems to aid survival. Response coherence is expected to mirror this process, even more so during demanding circumstances (Hollenstein & Lanteigne, 2014). Evidence for concordance in the reactivity of the response systems and synchronous change in their reactivity over time has been sparse. This was hypothesized to have been caused by the generally low intensity of the stimuli used in the published research (Hollenstein & Lanteigne, 2014; Levenson, 2014a). Therefore, in the present study we followed highly aviophobic participants who were (footnote continued)
far, little proof has been provided that synchronous change in reactivity of self-reported and physiological reactivity. So level, these intense and ecologically very valid stimuli did not evoke exposures, especially in the actual flight condition. Nevertheless, at group including two actual flights. Participants showed marked subjective and exposed to increasingly realistic and ecologically valid stimuli, in-

Table 1
Reactivity of SUD, RSA and PEP to First and Second exposure to the phobic stimuli in the three conditions and the Change in reactivity across repeated exposure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Second</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Cohen's d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Video</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUD</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.36 (1.6)</td>
<td>.87 (1.3)</td>
<td>.49 (1.4)</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-.179 (3.2)</td>
<td>.104 (2.5)</td>
<td>-.28 (3.6)</td>
<td>-.67</td>
<td>.503</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA (ms)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-.2.82 (15.3)</td>
<td>-.12 (10.0)</td>
<td>-.2.70 (16.7)</td>
<td>-.1.28</td>
<td>.204</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP (ms)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>.32 (3.3)</td>
<td>.24 (3.7)</td>
<td>.081 (4.8)</td>
<td>.145</td>
<td>.885</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUD</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.77 (1.8)</td>
<td>.38 (1.3)</td>
<td>1.39 (1.4)</td>
<td>7.025</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>14.4 (8.9)</td>
<td>14.3 (7.2)</td>
<td>.27 (6.1)</td>
<td>.358</td>
<td>.722</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA (ms)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>-.11.4 (18.1)</td>
<td>-.9.57 (19.1)</td>
<td>-.1.83 (9.5)</td>
<td>-.3.25</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP (ms)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>-.11.5 (21.6)</td>
<td>-.11.2 (22.6)</td>
<td>-.29 (5.5)</td>
<td>-.4.14</td>
<td>.665</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUD</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1.57 (2.0)</td>
<td>.80 (1.6)</td>
<td>2.36 (1.8)</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>25.7 (11.2)</td>
<td>12.7 (9.6)</td>
<td>13.0 (8.1)</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA (ms)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>-.18.3 (17.5)</td>
<td>-.13.0 (16.6)</td>
<td>-.5.25 (12.9)</td>
<td>-.3.14</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP (ms)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>-.13.2 (21.6)</td>
<td>-.8.84 (22.7)</td>
<td>-.4.38 (8.85)</td>
<td>-.3.6</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUD = Subjective Units of Distress, HR = Heart Rate, RSA = Respiratory Sinus Arrhythmia, PEP = Pre-Ejection Period. N is number of valid data across first and second exposure.

Table 2
Correlation coefficients of changes in subjective reactivity with changes in physiological reactivity across repeated exposure in the three conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>SUD with</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>RSA</th>
<th>PEP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Video</td>
<td>.303 **</td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>.166</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulator</td>
<td>.189</td>
<td>-.301 *</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight</td>
<td>-.035</td>
<td>-.074</td>
<td>.098</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05 ** p < 0.01.

Table 3
Regression analyses of short-term treatment outcome on changes over flights in subjective distress (SUD) and changes over flights in physiological measures (HR, RSA and PEP) and their interaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 1</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>VAFAS Short-term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.144</td>
<td>.070</td>
<td>.944</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUD changes</td>
<td>.423</td>
<td>.157</td>
<td>2.699</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR changes</td>
<td>.476</td>
<td>.169</td>
<td>2.813</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA changes</td>
<td>.252</td>
<td>.153</td>
<td>1.646</td>
<td>.108</td>
<td>.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP changes</td>
<td>.059</td>
<td>.158</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td>.710</td>
<td>.074</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 2</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>VAFAS Short-term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-.074</td>
<td>.158</td>
<td>-.469</td>
<td>.642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUD changes</td>
<td>.571</td>
<td>.220</td>
<td>2.597</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR changes</td>
<td>.422</td>
<td>.171</td>
<td>2.460</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA changes</td>
<td>.252</td>
<td>.163</td>
<td>1.546</td>
<td>.132</td>
<td>.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP changes</td>
<td>-.006</td>
<td>.170</td>
<td>-.033</td>
<td>.974</td>
<td>.074</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAFAS = Visual Analogue Flight Anxiety, SUD = Subjective Units of Distress, HR = Heart Rate, RSA = Respiratory Sinus Arrhythmia, PEP = Pre-Ejection Period.

exposed to increasingly realistic and ecologically valid stimuli, including two actual flights. Participants showed marked subjective and physiological reactivity, and marked changes across repeated exposures, especially in the actual flight condition. Nevertheless, at group level, these intense and ecologically very valid stimuli did not evoke synchronous change in self-reported and physiological reactivity. So far, little proof has been provided that synchronous change in reactivity is coupled to intensity of stimuli and emotional functioning (Hollenstein & Lanteigne, 2014; Levenson, 2014a). Individual reactivity in each of the two response systems was coupled to treatment outcome.

However, synchronous change in reactivity in the two systems was not indicative of short-term and long-term treatment results. Results in the present study are therefore not in line with the assumption of Hodgson and Rachman (1974) that successful treatment of anxiety disorders should be indicated by synchronous change.

Results from empirical studies often add complexity to an attractive theoretical perspective. Of course, the model could be wrong. It is conceivable that research on coherence is subject to the file-drawer phenomenon and that many null findings have not been published. Nevertheless, null findings are not refutations. Maybe the coordinating role of emotion is not at all mirrored by response coherence. On the other hand, other processes might conceal this relationship. Emotion regulation is a complex process that includes conscious and unconscious physiological, behavioural and cognitive processes that modulate emotions to respond appropriately to environmental demands (Aldao, Nolen-Hoeksema, & Schweizer, 2010; Critchley & Garfinkel, 2018; Howard, Myers, & Hughes, 2017; LeDoux & Hofmann, 2018). Cognitive coping strategies like self-blame, rumination, catastrophizing and avoidance play a prominent role within the anxiety pathologies (Garnefski et al., 2002; Martin & Dahlén, 2005). For example, cognitive avoidance, the conscious suppression of unwanted thoughts, could counterproductively lead to increased accessibility of the suppressed thoughts and hence result in hypersensitivity to anxiety-related thoughts and symptoms (Wenzlaff & Wegner, 2000). Cognitive coping strategies could mediate the relationship between self-report of anxiety and the psychophysiological components of anxiety. We judge and feel emotions about our emotions. Perceiving an emotion as unacceptable, problematic, or aversive, instead of normal, can influence the way a person regulates the emotional state itself (Couyoumdjian et al., 2016; Schaefer, Larson, Davidson, & Coan, 2014). Couyoumdjian et al. (2016) report preliminary evidence that a reduction in negative self-evaluation contributed to a decrease of autonomic arousal in reaction to a phobic stimulus. Sixteen animal phobic participants, who received a short cognitive treatment to reduce dysfunctional thoughts about the self, showed a reduced physiological arousal (decreased HR and increased HRV) but no change in subjective symptoms during and shortly after exposure to an individualized phobic video clip. The seventeen phobic control participants who did not receive treatment showed no change in physiological and subjective symptoms of anxiety during and after phobic exposure.

Phobic provocation is an intensely fearful experience. A conflict between uncontrollable, automatic phobic reaction and the recognition that the phobic fear reaction is irrational and even embarrassing may lead to an increased attempt at emotion regulation, in an effort to suppress or control the fear reaction (Schaefer et al., 2014). The effect of cognitive behavioural therapy might partly be explained by...
additional cognitive regulation strategies being brought online to
dampen automatic and coherent responses. The time course of emotion
subsystems may vary greatly (Hollenstein & Lanteigne, 2014; Levenson,
2014b), and may vary even more owing to this additional cognitive
regulation. Cross-correlation concordance analyses with short time-
windows (−10 s to + 10 s) have been used to compensate for the
different temporal characteristics of response systems (Butler, Gross, &
Barnard, 2014; Dan-Glauser & Gross, 2013; Mauss, Levenson, McCarter,
Wilhelm, & Gross, 2005; Sze, Gyurak, Yuan, & Levenson, 2010). How-
ever, as far as we know no lagged cross-correlation analyses on syn-
chronous change have been published. In the present study we used 5-
min time windows around each of the SUD moments for the physiolo-
gical measures during the flight condition, thereby effectively com-
pen-sating for short-term temporal deviations.

4.1. Strengths, limitations and future directions

During the high-intensity actual flight physiological- and SUD-
measurements had a perfect temporal overlap, preventing retrospective
memory biases. However, as in many studies on coherence, participants
retrospectively reported SUDs immediately after the low-intensity video
presentations and medium-intensity simulated flights, whereas ANS
responses were acquired during these phobic inductions. Ideally, co-
herence should be examined with simultaneous acquisition of ANS and
experiential variables. The present study used a straightforward defi-
nition of synchronous change in reactivity combined with an un-
ambiguous operationalization of fear intensity. Individual subjective
and physiological baseline differences were effectively compensated
(Hollenstein & Lanteigne, 2014; Levenson, 2014b). Another positive point
was the use of two entirely different clinically relevant long-term outcome
measures: a behavioural measure indicating flight behaviour and a self-report measure indicating flight anxiety. However, flight
behaviour is not only contingent on fear of flying but is also influenced
by financial aspects as well as work, family and peer pressure. We also
did not assess additional treatment or life events between end of
treatment and 3-year follow-up. Subjective reactivity during the phobic
inductions was assessed by one single questionnaire only. Furthermore,
the behavioural component of the tripartite model was not tested. In
our effort to substantiate the intuitively appealing ideas that synchro-
nous change in reactivity is related to intensity and ecological validity of
emotional stimuli, we opted for a change score that best reflected the
reactivity due to the total length of the exposure flights. The decrease in
SUD and physiological reactivity across the two in-vivo flights could
reflect relief associated with the end of exposure as compared to im-
minent exposure to the phobic stimuli at the start of a flight. As
it happens, results from a previous study indicated more habituation
during the first flight than during the second flight, while participants
were well aware that within 45 min after their first flight they would
board the airplane again for the second exposure flight back home. This
therefore does not suggest a major effect of post-exposure relief
(Busscher et al., 2015).

Fear is invoked in situations where action is required (van Duinen,
Schruers, & Griez, 2010). A primary function is to redirect energy re-
sources to prepare the body for flight or fight. This surplus of available
energy is of no use once on is inside an airplane because overt behav-
ior is severely restricted in this situation. The discrepancy between
action-readiness and the inability to execute physical motion is very
clear in this example, but actually applies to all the research on con-
cordance. Only Vermilyea et al. (1984) had agoraphobic patients ac-
tually walk during assessment, and reported treatment responders to
have synchronous changes as often as desynchronous changes. The
influence of suppression of overt behaviour on synchronous changes in
subjective reports of distress and physiological measures of arousal
warrants further study.

Another positive point of the present study is the use of multiple
measures of ANS reactivity as recommended by Hollenstein and
Lanteigne (2014). HR is the most commonly used physiological mea-
sure in coherence research (Levenson, 2014b). HR is a sensitive mea-
sure that captures phobic fear intensity at both extremes of the fear
continuum (Aue, Hoeppli, & Piguet, 2012; Kreibig, 2010; Wilhelm &
Grossman, 2010). Furthermore, it has high face validity. However, HR
changes are foremost caused by bodily needs to restore homeostasis.
Emotion is just one of many influences on the ANS. The heart is dually
innervated: at rest, HR is under parasympathetic restraint slowing the
intrinsinc pacemaker cells from approximately 100 beats per minute to a
mere 70 beats per minute. Increased sympathetic activity, but also re-
duced parasympathetic activity, will increase HR. For example, Hu,
Lamers, de Geus, and Penninx (2016) report in a large group of people
with depressive and anxiety disorders that increased ANS stress re-
activity to an ecologically valid stressor was probably caused by vagal
withdrawal. HR alone does not indicate which branch of the autonomic
system influences the heart. RSA and PEP, as also used in the present
study, are more informative. Alternative non-intrusive reliable and
sensitive measures to capture ANS activity in an ambulatory setting are
few. For example, electrodemaer activity is susceptible to movement
artifacts, pupil dilation measurement is hardly feasible under natur-
alistc conditions, and endocrine measures via saliva collection may
alter behaviour (Alpers, 2009; Kreibig, 2010; Wilhelm & Grossman,
2010). Nevertheless, future research could benefit from moving beyond
cardiac measures (Levenson, 2014a).

Response coherence has been difficult to capture, and poses a
number of methodological and data-analytic challenges (Bulteel et al.,
2014). Most research on coherence used a between-subjects rather than
a within-subjects approach (Levenson, 2014b). To find synchronous
change in reactivity across response systems, studies need to assess and
correlate this reactivity within the same individual (Hollenstein &
Lanteigne, 2014; Levenson, 2014b). Here we used such a within-subject
design, following a previous study by Benoit Allen et al. (2015), to test
the hypothesis that increased synchronous change in reactivity is cou-
pled with better treatment results. The possible delayed effect of emo-
tion regulation on the tripartite components requires new longitudinal
analytic approaches to statistically model the process of synchronous
change of multiple response systems with different temporal char-
acteristics.

4.2. Clinical implications

The aim of cognitive behavioural therapies is to “help individuals to
optimize their adaptation to circumstances that arise in their lives” (Mennin,
Ellard, Fresco, & Gross, 2013, p. 236) by fostering flexibility and pro-
moting behavioural adaptation. It has been thought that the magnitude
of coherence could be an indication of progress during therapy; how-
ever, the relationship between domains is not straightforward and is
possibly affected by many intervening processes and variables. Higher
order cognitive processes seem to intervene with the supposititious
temporal associations between responses (Mauss et al., 2005; Schaefer
et al., 2014). If an effect of cognitive therapy is a reduction of automatic
and coherent responses between domains, then this might lead to a
lagged or reduced physiological fear response (Schaefer et al., 2014).
Blunted physiological fear responses might diminish effectiveness of
(in-vivo) exposure therapy, as according to the emotional processing
theory (EPT) (Foa & Kozak, 1986), fear activation is a prerequisite for
fear-extinction. Cognitive interventions, aimed at alleviating fear or at
promoting regulating strategies that dampen automatic and coherent
responses, might better be postponed to after the exposure component
of the treatment (Busscher et al., 2015; Craske, Treanor, Conway,
Zbozinek, & Vervliet, 2014). Furthermore, treatment programs would
do best not to focus too much on concordant changes in self-reported
and physiological indicators of arousal.
5. Conclusions

Results in the present study did not show a relationship between the intensity of the phobic stimuli and the magnitude of synchronous change in subjective and physiological reactivity. Furthermore, even with exposure to ecologically valid stimuli of high phobic intensity, we did not find evidence that a higher magnitude of synchronous change in reactivity is coupled to a more favourable treatment outcome. For the time being, the functionalist view that successful treatment of anxiety disorders is indicated by synchronous change between the tripartite domains remains a hypothesis with high face validity, but a very poor empirical basis.

Conflict of interest and source of funding

The authors have no financial interests or potential conflicts of interests. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or non-profit sectors.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbtep.2018.12.004.

References