



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Accountability in transgovernmental networks

Osch, D.A.G.T. van

Citation

Osch, D. A. G. T. van. (2022, November 9). *Accountability in transgovernmental networks*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3485553>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3485553>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Accountability in Transgovernmental Networks

Daniëlle van Osch

ISBN: 978-94-6458-533-9

Cover & lay-out: Publiss, Rotterdam, The Netherlands | www.publiss.nl

Printing: Ridderprint, Alblasterdam, The Netherlands | www.ridderprint.nl

© 2022 Daniëlle van Osch

Parts of this dissertation have been submitted in the article: Van Osch, D., de Ruiter, R., & Yesilkagit, K. (2021). An accountability deficit? Holding transgovernmental networks to account. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 1-19.

Accountability in Transgovernmental Networks

Proefschrift

Ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. dr. ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het College van Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 9 november 2022
klokke 15:00

door

Daniëlle Allegonda Geertruda Theodora van Osch
geboren te 's-Hertogenbosch
in 1988

Promotors: Prof. dr. A.K. Yesilkagit
Prof. dr. C.F. van den Berg

Co-promotor: Dr. R. de Ruiter

Doctorate Committee: Prof. dr. C.H.J.M. Braun
Prof. dr. E.M. Busuioc, VU Amsterdam
Prof. dr. E. Mastenbroek, Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen
Prof. mr. dr. E. R. Muller
Prof. dr. T. Schillemans, Universiteit Utrecht

Contents

Acknowledgements	8
Chapter I - Introduction	11
1.1 International solutions by civil servants	12
1.2 The problem: Democratic accountability and the unavoidable creation of networks	15
1.3 Contribution to research	19
1.4 Analytical frame	22
1.5 Outline of this book	24
Chapter II - Transgovernmental Networks	27
2.1 Introduction	28
2.2 Globalisation and the emergence of TGNs	29
2.3 TGNs as new modes of governance	33
2.4 Typology of TGNs	37
2.5 Problems of accountability with TGNs	42
2.6 Conclusion	44
Chapter III - Democratic accountability in different network types	49
3.1 Introduction	50
3.2 Problems of accountability in transgovernmental networks	51
3.3 Varieties of accountability	54
3.4 The different forms of accountability in TGNs	58
3.5 Model and expectations	64
3.6 Conclusion	69
Chapter IV - Methodology and Analytical frame	73
4.1 Introduction	74
4.2 Explanatory theory building and theory testing	74
4.3 Multiple case study	76
4.4 Case Selection	79
4.5 Data collection	82
4.5.1 Participant observations	82
4.5.2 Interviews	87
4.5.3 Document analysis	90
4.6 Analysis	94
4.7 Summary of methodology	99

Chapter V - IMPEL: A case of a participant governed information network	103
5.1 Introduction	104
5.2 Background of IMPEL	105
5.3 Governance structure of IMPEL	106
5.4 Function of IMPEL	111
5.5 Account giving	119
5.5.1 Account giving structure of the network IMPEL	119
5.5.2 Account giving by the participants of IMPEL to the home organisation	122
5.6 IMPEL: Professional Accountability	126
5.7 Reflection and considerations	128
Chapter VI - EReg: A case of a network administrative governed information network	133
6.1 Introduction	134
6.2 Background of EReg	135
6.3 Governance structure of EReg	137
6.4 Function of EReg	140
6.5 Account giving	145
6.5.1 Account giving structure of the network EReg	145
6.5.2 Account giving by participants to the home organisation	150
6.6 EReg: Bureaucratic accountability	155
6.7 Reflection and considerations	156
Chapter VII - WENRA; a case of a participant governed harmonisation network	161
7.1 Introduction	162
7.2 Background of WENRA	163
7.3 Governance structure of WENRA	168
7.4 Function of WENRA	171
7.5 Accountability relationships of WENRA	177
7.5.1 Account giving structure of the network WENRA	178
7.5.2 Account giving by the participants of WENRA to the home organisation	181
7.6 Political accountability in WENRA	184
7.7 Reflection and considerations	188

Chapter VIII - EA: a case of a network administrative governed harmonisation	193
network	193
8.1 Introduction	194
8.2 Background of EA	195
8.3 Governance structure of EA	197
8.4 Function of EA	200
8.5 Accountability relationships of EA	205
8.5.1 Account giving structure of the network	205
8.5.2 Account giving structure of the participants of EA to the home organisation	208
8.5.3 Account giving on implementation of EA policies	210
8.5.4 Peer review on the implementation of EA policies	211
8.6 Legal accountability in EA	214
8.7 Reflection and considerations	218
Chapter IX - Conclusion and reflections	221
9.1 Introduction	222
9.2 Main objectives and findings	222
9.3 Limitations	226
9.4 Implications for accountability	229
9.5 Theoretical implications for research on TGNs	231
9.6 Research agenda	234
Literature	238
List of Abbreviations	252
Dutch Summary	253
About the author	259

Acknowledgements

It is with gratitude to many that this dissertation is finished. First, I would like to thank my supervisors, Kutsal Yesilkagit, Rik de Ruiter, and Caspar van den Berg, who, at times when I felt that the light at the end of the tunnel was actually an oncoming train, assured me it was not. Periods filled with a plethora of challenges were met by words of encouragement, understanding, and jokes, all of which put things into perspective. Thank you, Kutsal; you foresaw the end-result before I could. I can also finally answer your question as to the type of bookshelf I would like my dissertation to sit on; a dust free, eye level one. Significant adjustments in the writing process were made as smoothly as possible and for that I especially thank Rik. You have provided me with practical feedback or translated criticism in a manner conducive to the end-product. I am grateful that you were willing to join the supervisory team when you did. It proved vital to the finalising of this dissertation. The first part of any dissertation is riddled with confusion. It is about finding your argumentation. Caspar has been part and parcel of that section of the dissertation. Navigating through confusion was difficult but I got there.

Thanks to the doctoral committee for their advice and willingness to go through this bulk of work. With the troubles of a global pandemic and the struggles that academia places on individuals I can imagine that article-based dissertations are preferred. For this reason, a special thanks to the committee is necessary.

Many thanks to all respondents and organisations that were willing to offer their insights. It proved difficult for some to discuss accountability. The respondents I have met do their work with dedication and determination. I wish them well, and like-minded colleagues.

Thanks to my former colleagues from the Institute of Public Administration. You have helped me by telling me to stop working, going out for drinks and so on. You have urged me to adopt the highest quality of work by offering feedback. You have indulged me, regarding my genuine passion for using acronyms (*CIA*, *SAFE*). You have helped with the hassle of administrative tasks of education and research. I will highlight a few: Daphne, Machiel, Marieke, Bernard, Mark, Emily, Erin, Carola, Elitsa, Samir, Linda, Petra, Jelmer, Caelesta, Elena, Andrei, Dovile, Joris, Alexandre, Arjen, Johan, Frits, Anchrit, Gerrit, Bert, Toon, Maarja, Sandra, Shivant, Valérie, Kees, Madalina, Tamara, Sofie, Stefanie, Kaoutar, Sophia, Selma, Annebel, Adria, Moritz, Tanachia, Bernard, Olaf, Dimiter, Chantal and Oke.

To my paranimfs, Eduard and Jeroen, thank you for laughing with me. Having become friends with colleagues has been a great experience. Eduard, our amazement regarding food trends might have formed our initial bond but the reflections and discussions on personal matters have solidified our friendship. I hope we will make that trip to the Champagne region some time soon. Jeroen, thank you for noticing and alerting me when enough was enough. Your willingness to help and our discussions on all societal relevant issues have broadened my perception.

I would like to thank Robert, Paul, Peter, Inge, Yori, Anika, Daphne for their welcome distractions. I offer a well felt thanks to the colleagues of the Netherlands Court of Audit. They have offered a space for understanding.

I probably would not have come this far without the necessity of improving oneself instilled in me during my upbringing. By setting the right conditions you gave me the opportunity to excel in my own way. The tranquillity of my home offices in Hedel were instrumental to the progress. The comfort offered and the impeccable knack for keeping me grounded were all-important. Thank you, mama, papa, Alex and Kimberley. I have always known you have my back.

Wout, I know you have been eyeing this section first. Thank you for sometimes unknowingly providing impetus to this project and for not asking too many questions about the progress. You ensured that it remained my accomplishment and showed your pride of that throughout. You have enriched, encouraged, and strengthened me. Onwards and upwards.

With gratitude,

Daniëlle van Osch

The Hague, June 2022

