



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

The influence of vitamin D and osteoporosis on fracture healing

Gorter, E.A.

Citation

Gorter, E. A. (2022, November 9). *The influence of vitamin D and osteoporosis on fracture healing*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3485534>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3485534>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

6

The effect of osteoporosis and its treatment on fracture healing a systematic review of animal and clinical studies

Gorter EA

Reinders CR

Krijnen P

Appelman-Dijkstra NM

Schipper IB

Bone Rep. 2021 Aug 16;15

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Osteoporosis is characterised by low bone mass and micro-architectural deterioration of bone structure. Its treatment is directed at the processes of bone formation or resorption, that are of utmost importance in fracture healing. We provide a comprehensive review of the literature aiming to summarize and clarify the effects of osteoporosis and its treatment on fracture healing.

Material and methods

A literature search was conducted in PubMed and Embase (OVID version). In vivo animal and human studies on long bone fractures were included. A total of 93 articles were included for this review; 23 studies on the effect of osteoporosis (18 animal and 5 clinical studies) and 70 studies on the effect of osteoporosis treatment (41 animal, 26 clinical studies and 3 meta-analyses) on fracture healing.

Results

In animal fracture models osteoporosis was associated with decreased callus formation and bone growth, bone mineral density, biomechanical strength and delayed cellular and differentiation processes during fracture healing. Two large databases identified osteoporosis as a risk factor for non-union whereas three other studies did not. One of those three studies however found a prolonged healing time in patients with osteoporosis. Anti-osteoporosis medication showed inconsistent effects on fracture healing in both non-osteoporotic and osteoporotic animal models. Only the parathyroid hormone and anti-resorption medication were related to improved fracture healing and delayed remodelling respectively. Clinical studies performed in predominantly hip and distal radius fracture patients showed no effect of bisphosphonates on fracture healing. Parathyroid hormone reduced time to union in several clinical trials performed in mainly hip fracture patients, but this did not result in decreased delayed or non-union rates.

Conclusion

Evidence that substantiates the negative influence of osteoporosis on fracture healing is predominantly from animal studies and to a lesser extent from clinical studies, since convincing clinical evidence lacks. Bisphosphonates and parathyroid hormone may be used during fracture healing, since no clear negative effect has been shown. Parathyroid hormone might even decrease time to fracture union, without decreasing union rate.

INTRODUCTION

Fracture healing is a result of an orchestrated process on cellular and molecular level, and can be divided in direct (primary) and indirect (secondary) fracture healing.[1-4] Direct fracture healing occurs when the fractured parts are anatomically reduced, compressed and rigidly fixated. Indirect fracture healing occurs via four stages in a situation where (micro) movement of the fracture fragments is possible. The four stages are inflammatory response, soft callus formation, hard callus formation and bone remodelling. For both types of fracture healing four elements are essential in order to achieve fracture union: osteogenic cells, the (mechanical) environment, osteoconductive scaffolds and growth factors.[4] Osteoporosis is considered as a possible risk factor for impaired fracture union. Although the mechanical and biological elements involved in fracture healing are affected by osteoporosis, there is still debate whether and to what extent fracture healing might be impaired by osteoporosis.[5, 6]

Osteoporosis is a skeletal disorder that is characterised by low bone mass and micro-architectural deterioration of bone structure, resulting in bone fragility and an increased fracture risk.[6] The prevalence of osteoporosis increases with age. Osteoporotic fractures pose an increasing burden on the healthcare system, since the annual number of osteoporotic fractures will rise to 4.5 million in 2025 in the European Union[7] and is estimated to be around 18 million globally in 2040.[8] In addition, osteoporotic fractures are associated with high rates of morbidity and mortality.[8] Osteoporosis reduces bone strength because cortical bone becomes porous and cortices become thinner, especially in the metaphyseal or metadiaphyseal regions. Unstable and comminuted fracture patterns, short epiphyseal fragments that complicate fracture fixation, impaired healing due to either too unstable or too rigid fixation, decreased holding power of screws in the osteoporotic bone and early implant-bone construct fatigue are biomechanical problems that may lead to implant loosening and loss of fixation in osteoporotic fractures.[8] These potential problems in fracture management add to the effect of osteoporosis on mechanical and biological elements involved in the healing process as described above.

Anti-osteoporotic drugs, especially antiresorptive therapy, are the cornerstone of treatment for osteoporosis. Their anti-resorptive effect has been posed to negatively influence fracture healing while anabolic therapies like teriparatide have been used in studies trying to enhance fracture healing. As literature provides conflicting evidence, we aimed to perform a systematic review of the current literature to elucidate the role of osteoporosis and osteoporosis treatment as potential risk factors for impaired fracture healing in long bone fractures in animal and clinical studies.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The following search strategy was used in Pubmed: “(“Osteoporosis”[Mesh] OR “Osteoporosis, Postmenopausal”[Mesh] OR osteoporosis [tiab]) AND (“Fracture Healing”[Mesh] OR fracture healing [tiab])”, and in Embase (OVID version) “fracture healing.mp AND Osteoporosis.ab,ti.”. The search was conducted at the first of November 2020 and the results were limited to English language articles. Duplicates were removed before applying selection criteria. Two investigators (EAG and CRR) independently assessed the identified titles and abstracts for relevance.

Only in vivo animal, human studies and meta-analyses on long bone fractures were considered for inclusion. In case of animal studies only articles describing an effect of osteoporosis and/or anti-osteoporotic medication on the histological, biomechanical, radiological and/or clinical process of fracture healing were included. Only clinical studies that reported on one or more of the following outcome parameters were included: (radiographic) time to union, incidence of delayed/non-union or union rate. In case of multiple meta-analyses on the same subject, the most recent meta-analysis was included. The full-text articles of potentially eligible studies were obtained and screened using the same inclusion criteria. Reference lists of eligible studies, reviews and meta-analyses were hand-searched to identify further relevant studies meeting the inclusion criteria. The data extraction was performed by one reviewer (EAG).

Regarding the effect of medication on fracture healing, the results/studies were subdivided based on the mechanism of action (antiresorptive, anabolic or dual), medication group and whether the medication was supplemented in a non osteoporotic or osteoporotic animal model. The article selection process is presented in Figure 1. The search resulted in a total of 2625 articles, 1055 PubMed and 1570 Embase. After removal of 678 duplicates and 351 conference abstracts, the title and abstract of 1596 articles were screened. Of 168 articles the full text was read. A total of 93 articles were included for this review.

RESULTS

The results of the 93 included publications are summarized in the tables 1,2, 3 and 4.

Effect of osteoporosis on fracture healing - animal studies (Table 1)

A total of 18 prospective animal studies were found describing the effect of osteoporosis on fracture healing. Overall, in animal studies osteoporosis was found to negatively influence fracture healing in the majority of studies. Delayed cellular processes, decreased callus formation and mineralization may be the possible explanation of the observed

decrease of biomechanical strength. No clear effect of osteoporosis was found in radiological follow-up.

In mice, the effect of osteoporosis on the fracture healing of the femur was investigated, the micro-CT analysis showed impaired healing in the osteoporotic group.[9] In another genetic osteoporotic mice model with a femoral fracture age dependent differences were found: bending stiffness, callus size, and callus tissue distribution were not altered in 5-month-old osteoporotic mice compared to non-osteoporotic mice. In 10-month-old osteoporotic mice however bending stiffness was significantly reduced and callus size was increased compared to non-osteoporotic mice, indicating delayed fracture healing, possibly explained by an increased osteoclast activity in the 10-month-old.[10]

In rats, several studies showed that in the osteoporotic group the total callus, there was less bony callus and newly formed bone [11] as well as the bone mineral content and bone mineral density was reduced at the fracture site.[12-16] Other studies showed that the presence of osteoporosis had a negative impact on the quality and quantity of callus during early fracture healing[12, 17] and biomechanical testing[11-13, 16, 18-22]. Another study performed in rats with a tibial bone defect showed that osteoporosis resulted in significantly less newly formed bone, a higher amount of granulation tissue and immature newly formed bone, compared to rats without osteoporosis[23]. Histological evaluation revealed a delay in the cellular differentiation processes of chondrocytes during fracture healing.[12, 13, 18] In ovariectomized rats with a femoral osteotomy, histological analyses showed less mature consolidation[21], significantly reduced bone volume was found at the gap[24], the gap contained more osteoclasts[24] and the gap was filled with scattered smaller bone trabeculae[24] compared to non-ovariectomized rats. But the microcomputer tomography (μ CT) showed no difference in consolidation.[21] However Gauo *et al.*[19] found no significant differences in bone microarchitecture on the micro CT between the osteoporotic and non-osteoporotic rats 12 weeks after fracture induction. Coa *et al.*[25] also did not find impaired callus formation or biomechanical strength.

Even in a larger animal model similar results were found. In fourteen sheep with a tibial shaft osteotomy osteoporosis resulted in impaired fracture healing with respect to callus formation, mineralization, and biomechanical properties [26].

Two studies showed that fracture healing in osteoporotic animals was also radiographically lagging behind,[12, 18] or described clear differences in union rate (59% osteoporotic group vs 89% in the control group) after 8 weeks.[16] One study found that the fracture was partly united compared to a clearly present fracture gap in osteoporotic animals at 4 weeks. However, after 12 weeks bone union was observed in both groups.[19] Kubo *et al.*[15] also showed no radiological differences in femoral fracture healing between ovariectomized and non-ovariectomized rats. Another study in ovariectomized and non-ovariectomized rats did not show a clear impairment of radiological healing.[25]

Table 1. Summary of the effect of osteoporosis on fracture healing in animal studies

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Osteoporosis | ↓ callus/bone formation[11, 17, 23, 24, 26] ↓ bone mineral content[12-16, 26] or density[12-17] ↓ biomechanical strength[9-13, 16, 18-21, 26] Delay cellular differentiation/processes[9, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 21, 24] Radiological delay[12, 16, 18] or no difference[15, 19, 25] |
|---------------------|---|

↑ significant increased, ↓ significant decreased

Effect of osteoporosis on fracture healing - clinical studies (Table 2)

No meta-analyses were found investigating the effect of osteoporosis on fracture healing. Five clinical studies, 3 prospective and 2 retrospective, were found investigating the effect of osteoporosis on fracture healing. Overall, two large databases identified osteoporosis as a risk factor for non-union while three other studies did not. One of those three studies however found a prolonged healing time in patients with osteoporosis.

In two large database studies osteoporosis was identified as a risk factor for non-union.[27, 28] In one analysis of a national insurance database, 47,437 patients were included in 12 months with 56,492 fractures for which a non-union was registered in 2.5%. Sixty potential patient characteristics and co morbidities for non-union were assessed and osteoporosis was identified as a risk factor for non-union.[27] In an even larger database using patient-level health claims, 309,330 fractures in 18 bones with 15,249 non-unions (4.9%) were registered in 12 months. Again osteoporosis was identified as an influencing factor.[28] In a matched case-control study, on prospective gathered data, of 40 patients with fracture non-union and 80 patients without a fracture non-union a regression analysis was performed to investigate whether the presence of osteoporosis attributed to the non-union, but did not detect any correlation.[29]

In a small study, 29 patients, aged > 65 years, with a femoral shaft fracture and radiological evidence of osteoporosis based on the Singh index were retrospectively compared with 37 subjects, aged between 18 and 40 years, without radiological evidence of osteoporosis. A prolonged union time (19.38±5.9 weeks vs 16.19±5.07 weeks, p=0.02) with more delayed unions (>24 weeks) was described (10/29 vs 4/37 p=0.03) in the older group with osteoporosis. However, all fractures healed within 32 weeks. [30] Although patients with known metabolic disorders were excluded, no analysis to unknown metabolic disorders was performed nor correction was performed for age. A retrospective study on subcapital humerus fractures (n=311) and distal radius fractures (n=150) found a seemingly negative association, but no statistically significant evidence that osteoporosis was associated with delayed or non-union.[31]

Table 2. Summary of the effect of osteoporosis on fracture healing in clinical studies

| Author | Study design | Fracture location | n (patients / control) | Effect | Bias |
|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|
| Wunnik[29] | Prospective matched controlled | Various | 120 (40/80) | No effect on incidence of non-union | - Variety of fractures location - Small number of patients |
| Zura[27] | Prospective cohort | Various | 56,492 (1440/55,052) | ↑ risk of non-union (multivariate analysis OR 1.423, Robust SE 0.108; p<0.001) | - Variety of fractures location - Insurance database |
| Zura[28] | Inception cohort | Various | 309,330 (15,249/294,081) | ↑ risk of non-union (multivariate analysis OR 1.24, 95% CI(1.14–1.34)) | - Variety of fractures location - Insurance database |
| Nikolaou[30] | Retrospective | Femoral shaft | 66 (29/37) | ↑ time to union (19.4 weeks vs 16.2weeks, p=0.02) and delayed union (10/29 vs 4/37 p=0.03) No effect on incidence of non-union | - Selection/inclusion - Small number of patients - No correction for age |
| Gorter[31] | Retrospective | Subcapital humerus and distal radius | 455 (133/322) | No clear effect on delayed or non-union | - Retrospective design - Small number of patient in subgroup - Outcomeparameter |

↑ significant increased, ↓ significant decreased

Effect of anti-osteoporosis medication on fracture healing - animal studies (Table 3)

A total of 41 studies were found describing the effect of anti-osteoporosis medication on fracture healing in both osteoporotic and non-osteoporotic animal models. The studies were subdivided based on the working mechanism of the drug (antiresorptive or anabolic), type of medication and whether the medication was studied in a non osteoporotic or osteoporotic animal model. Both male and female species were used for non-osteoporotic models, whereas only female species were used in the osteoporotic animal models. Overall, inconsistent effects on fracture healing in both non-osteoporotic and osteoporotic animal models were observed. Antiresorptive drugs, bisphosphonates in particular, resulted in delayed remodelling of callus in both models. Parathyroid hormone was related to improved fracture healing.

Table 3. Summary of effect of osteoporosis treatment on fracture healing in animal studies

| Mechanism | Medication Group | Non osteoporotic animal models | | Osteoporotic animal models (Female) |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Antiresorptive | Bisphosphonates | Male | Female | |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↑ callus formation[32, 33, 38] ↑ biomechanical strength[35] Histologically advanced healing[34] No effect on biomechanical strength[36, 38] No effect on radiological healing[34] No effect on incidence non-union[33, 36] Delay remodeling[33, 35, 37] | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↑ bone mineral content/density[38] ↑ callus formation[39] | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↑ bone mineral content[25] ↑ callus formation[19, 40, 42] ↑ biomechanical strength[19, 40, 41] Histologically advanced healing[40] No effect on biomechanical strength[43] No effect on radiological healing[41] No effect on callus formation[43] No effect on incidence non-union[41] Delay remodeling[25, 41, 42] |
| | | Male | Female | |
| | Selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) | - | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↑ bone mineral content[38] ↑ biomechanical strength[38] ↑ newly formed bone [44] | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↑ callus formation[46] ↑ biomechanical strength [45] Histological advanced healing[45] No effect on callus formation[25] No effect on biomechanical properties[25] Delay remodeling[25] |
| | RANK ligand inhibitor | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ↑ biomechanical strength[35] Delay remodeling[35] | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No effect on callus formation [47] No effect on biomechanical strength[47] Delay remodeling[47] | - |

Table 3. Summary of effect of osteoporosis treatment on fracture healing in animal studies (*continued*)

| Mechanism | Medication Group | Non osteoporotic animal models | | Osteoporotic animal models (Female) |
|--------------------|----------------------------|---|---|--|
| Anabolic | Parathyroid hormone | Male ↑ bone mineral content[50, 54]/ density[49, 54] ↑ callus formation[49-52] ↑ newly formed bone[32, 33, 39, 50, 53] ↑ biomechanical strength[49-51, 53] Histological advanced healing[55] Improved radiological healing[49] Improved union rate[55] No effect on union rate[51] | Female ↑ bone mineral content[38, 56] / density[56] ↑ newly formed bone[38, 57] ↑ biomechanical strength[38, 56] Improved union rate[57] | ↑ bone mineral content[58, 61]/ density[61] ↑ callus formation[59] ↑ newly formed bone[61, 63-66] ↑ biomechanical strength[59, 60] Improved union rate[57] No effect on callus formation[58] No effect on radiological healing[58] |
| Dual effect | Strontium ranelate | Male No effect on fracture healing[68] No effect on radiological healing[68] | Female ↑ callus formation[38] ↑ bone mineral density[38] ↑ biomechanical strength[38] No effect on biomechanical strength[67] | ↑ callus formation[22, 69-71] ↑ newly formed bone[69] ↑ bone mineral density[69, 70] ↑ biomechanical strength[69, 71] No effect on fracture healing[14] |

↑ significant increased, ↓ significant decreased

Antiresorptive medication

Bisphosphonates

In male non osteoporotic rats models increased callus volume[32], hard callus bone mineral content[33], histologically more advanced healing[34] and increased mechanical strength[33, 35] were found after supplementation of bisphosphonates. Another study found no effect on mean elastic modulus and hardness of the callus tissue in male rats[36]. In male rat models delayed fracture healing[37] and remodelling [33, 35, 37] was found after supplementation of bisphosphonates, but also no effect on union rate was described.[33, 36] Aydogan et al.[34] found no effect of on fracture healing in rats with a femur fracture in radiological follow-up. In female non osteoporotic rat models with a femoral fracture, treatment with bisphosphonates increased bone mineral content[38], bone mineral density[38] and callus volume[38] compared to wild type rats[38] and local application of bisphosphonates resulted in more callus formation.[39]

Osteoporotic models – In rats with a tibial fracture, administration of zoledronic acid resulted in increased biomechanical strength, more callus as well as thicker and more mature bone trabeculae, and in both the zoledronic acid group and the control group there was complete healing.[40] Bisphosphonates in rats with a femoral fracture increased the mechanical strength of the callus[41] and hard callus bone mineral content[25]. Mice with a femoral osteotomy treated with alendronate showed an increase in newly formed bone at the defect site.[42] Local application of bisphosphonates at the fracture site in rats improved bone microarchitecture, mechanical character and resulted in more callus.[19] However, one study found that the administration of alendronate in osteoporotic rats with a metaphyseal tibial fracture did not influence the process of fracture healing quantitatively or qualitatively[43]. Despite the observed positive effects of bisphosphonates other studies in rats found suppressed callus remodelling[41] , delayed remodelling[25] and suggested that continuous administration might be detrimental to bone repair.[42]

Selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM)

In a comparative study of 60 non osteoporotic female mice the administration of raloxifen resulted in enhanced fracture healing and earlier complete bony bridging of the femoral osteotomy gap compared to mice not receiving raloxifen.[44] In non osteoporotic female rats, raloxifen treatment increased bone mineral content, bone mineral density and biomechanical properties significantly, even though no greater bone volume on CT scans compared to other treatment groups was observed.[38]

Osteoporotic models – In rat models the effect of raloxifen on peri-implant bone healing was investigated by Ramalho-Ferreira *et al.* [45]. They showed improved fracture healing compared to osteoporotic rats not receiving raloxifen and similar histological

and biomechanical values compared to the non-osteoporotic rats. In rats with a metaphyseal tibial fracture raloxifen in combination with estrogen resulted in improved fracture healing with regard to callus formation.[46] On the other hand, no effect on callus formation or biomechanical properties was found by Cao *et al.*[25] in female rats and raloxifen was not found to be more inhibitory on the process of fracture healing due to inhibited resorption activity and reduced remodelling.

RANK ligand inhibitor

Non osteoporotic animal models – Ulrich-Vinther *et al.*[47] showed that OPG (natural decoy binding protein of RANKL) treatment did not influence callus formation or mechanical strength in female rats, however during the remodelling phase it impaired the normal remodelling and consolidation process. In a mouse model treatment with RANK-ligand inhibitor resulted in reduced bone resorption during fracture healing without being detrimental to fracture healing.[48] Gerstenfeld *et al.*[35] found an increased mechanical strength in male mice after treatment with denosumab, but showed delayed callus remodelling.

Anabolic medication

Parathyroid hormone

Non osteoporotic male animal models – Treatment with a PTH receptor agonist resulted in increased callus osteogenesis, improved fracture bridging, greater bony callus size and density, improved biomechanical stability and more callus on radiological follow-up in male rats with a femoral fracture. [49] Also in other animal fracture studies PTH supplementation resulted in complete consolidation[38], enhanced biomechanical strength[38, 50, 51], bone mineral content[38, 50], denser callus[52] and more callus[50, 51] or newly formed bone[38, 50]. In rats with type 2 diabetes and a femoral fracture the administration of PTH resulted in increased bone formation, mineralisation and mechanical strength.[53] In rats with a large sized osteotomy in the femur local and systemic PTH was applied and resulted in higher bone mineral density and bone mineral content at the osteotomy site compared to rats without treatment.[54] With regards to fracture union, in a rat model with an open or closed femoral osteotomy the treatment with PTH did not result in an increased union rate.[51] In a femoral atrophic non-union model in mice, treatment with PTH showed higher rates of bony union and reduced mean gap size with cortical bridging with mature bone and relatively little callus on histological analysis.[55]

Non osteoporotic female animal models – Also in female animal fracture studies PTH supplementation resulted in complete consolidation[38], enhanced biomechanical strength[56], bone mineral content[56], increased BMD[56] and Nozaka *et al*[57] found in

rats with a proximal tibial osteotomy increased cancellous bone formation and improved union rate.

Osteoporotic animal models – In ovariectomized rats Ellegaard et al.[58] showed that treatment with parathyroid hormone (PTH) resulted in a non-significantly increased amount of callus after 4, 6 weeks and no difference after 8 weeks. Also PTH supplementation resulted in enhanced biomechanical strength[59, 60], bone mineral content[58, 61], increased BMD[58, 61] and more callus[58, 59] or newly formed bone[60-62]. In rats, the administration of parathyroid hormone improved the differentiation and proliferation of hypertrophic chondrocytes[63], and newly formed trabecular bone was increased[63] as well as the cancellous bone formation[57, 63]. The finding that PTH enhances bone formation was supported by other studies in which also local beta-tricalcium phosphate was applied at the defect site.[64, 65] A combination of teriparatide and anti-RANKL monoclonal antibody in mice resulted in accelerated regeneration of cancellous bone during fracture, however no effect was found on cortical bone regeneration or cortical bone thickness.[66] In rats with a cancellous bone osteotomy of the tibia the administration of parathyroid hormone improved union rate[57].

Antiresorptive and anabolic medication

Strontium ranelate

Non osteoporotic animal models – Administration of strontium ranelate in a female fracture animal model resulted in increased bone formation, bone mineral density, higher mechanical strength and improved callus formation.[38] One study found a positive effect on callus volume and bone mineral content after 3 weeks but no effect after 8 weeks and no effect on maximum load or stiffness at the fracture site in female rats.[67] Also in male rats, Cebesoy *et al.* [68] found no beneficial effects of strontium ranelate on radiological or histopathological fracture healing.

Osteoporotic animal models – Administration of strontium ranelate in several fracture or osteotomy animal models resulted in increased bone formation[22, 69], bone mineral density[22, 69, 70], higher mechanical strength[22, 69, 71] and fracture stiffness[71], improved callus formation[69-71]. However, one study showed that administration of strontium ranelate with insulin compared to only insulin in ovariectomized diabetic rats did not display a significant advantage regarding fracture healing.[14]

Effect of anti-osteoporosis medication on fracture healing - clinical studies (Table 4)

A total 26 clinical studies and 3 meta-analyses were found describing the effect of anti-osteoporosis medication on fracture healing. The studies were subdivided based on their effect (antiresorptive or anabolic), medication group and whether the medication was supplemented in a osteoporotic or non-osteoporotic patients. Overall, no clearly

positive nor negative effect could be found of antiresorptive medication on fracture healing. With regards to the anabolic medication, recombinant parathyroid hormone decreased time to union in several studies without an effect on delayed or non union rates. One study was found on strontium ranelate, which showed no effect.

Antiresorptive medication

Bisphosphonates

A meta-analysis of the effect of bisphosphonates on fracture healing of 10 RCTs including 2888 osteoporotic and non-osteoporotic fracture patients was performed by Li *et al.*[72] No effect on fracture healing time nor on delayed or non-union was found.[72] This meta-analysis included all our identified RCTs[73-76] except for the studies performed by Duckworth *et al.*[77] and Gong *et al.* [78]. Their RCTs on the effect of bisphosphonates on the healing of a distal radius fracture also showed no difference in mean time to radiographic union[78] or union rate.[77, 78]

Osteoporotic fracture patients – Gong *et al.*[78] investigated the effect of bisphosphonates on the healing of a distal radius fracture in a RCT and found no difference in mean time to radiographic union or union rate. In a prospective cohort study performed with 43 hip fracture patients a single dose of zoledronic acid did not affect radiological fracture union.[79] However, a retrospective analysis among 130 patients with a hip fracture showed that the preoperative use of bisphosphonate (n=29) related to less fracture union after 3 months compared to no bisphosphonate use (72.4% vs 90.1%), but no differences in union rates were found after one year.[80] Cho *et al.*[81] retrospectively investigated in 284 hip fracture patients whether administration of bisphosphonates after 1 week, 1 month or 3 months influenced fracture healing time. They found no difference in time to union and no cases of non union. In a retrospective study among 82 patients with a operated proximal humerus fracture early initiation of bisphosphonates (<2 weeks) versus late initiation (> 3 months) was investigated, and no difference in union time (6.3 vs 6.6 weeks) or union rate was found.[82] Overall, only one retrospective study found an increased risk on delayed unions based on a difference in union rates after 3 months, without a difference after one year.[80] On the other hand 3 RCTs, one prospective trial and 2 retrospective trials found no effect (table 4).

Osteoporotic and non osteoporotic fracture patients – One prospective study included 33 patients with a distal radius fracture and found no effect on union rate or function. [83] In a nested case-control study from a large insurance database, 81 patients who underwent an operation for fracture non-union of a humeral fracture were compared with 810 patients without a humeral fracture non union. A multivariate conditional logistic regression analysis showed that post-fracture bisphosphonate use resulted in an increased risk of non-union (RR=2.37, 95% CI 1.13–4.96), but pre-fracture use did not

(RR=0.84, 95% CI 0.19–3.74).[84] In patients without previous fractures or osteoporosis also no effect was found. Although not considered clinically relevant by the authors, one retrospective study on distal radius fractures found an increased healing time (55 days vs 49 days).[85]

Osteoporosis status unknown – The randomised controlled trial by Duckworth *et al.*[77] found no effect of bisphosphonates on union rate in the healing of a distal radius fracture. Also a retrospective study in patients with a distal radius showed no effect of bisphosphonate on the occurrence of radiological or clinical delayed union.[86]

RANK ligand inhibitor

In the Freedom trial almost 8000 postmenopausal women > 60 years with osteoporosis were randomized to receive 60mg of denosumab every six months for three years or a placebo. In a sub-analysis of fracture healing among 851 non-vertebral fracture patients (386 in the denosumab group and 465 in the placebo group), delayed union was only reported in two patients (0.5%) in the denosumab group and five patients (1.1%) in the placebo group. No non-unions and one non-union were reported in the denosumab group and placebo group respectively.[87]

Anabolic medication

Recombinant parathyroid hormone

A recent meta-analysis on the effect of teriparatide on fracture healing in hip fracture patient analysed all 2 RCTs and 4 retrospective studies on hip fracture patients that we identified.[88] Teriparatide was found not to affect union rate, due to study heterogeneity and various sources of biases the limited evidence found did not support the hypothesis that teriparatide improves fracture healing in hip fractures.[88] They included four studies performed in an osteoporotic fracture population[89-92] and two with an unknown osteoporosis status[93, 94]. Another meta-analysis in 2019 investigated the efficacy and safety of r-PTH in fracture healing.[95] This meta-analysis included the eight RCTs that were identified in the present search; three studies were performed in an osteoporotic fracture population[89, 96, 97], in four studies osteoporosis status was unknown[93, 94, 98, 99] and one including both osteoporotic and non osteoporotic patients[100]. Three studies found reduced radiographic time to fracture healing in subjects using teriparatide, although heterogeneity within the studies was high. Four studies found no difference in union rate, again with a high heterogeneity.[95] Remarkable, two of the eight performed RCTs had significant problems with patient recruitment and completion of follow up.[93, 97] Of these, only Bhandari *et al.*[93] analysed their data but were underpowered with 159 patients showing no difference regarding radiographic fracture healing. Among the six remaining RCT's, one was a pilot study among 29 hip fracture

Table 4. Summary of effect of osteoporosis treatment on fracture healing in clinical studies

| Mechanism | Medication Group | Author | Study design | BMD | Fracture location | n (patients / control) | Drug initiation | Effect |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Antiresorptive | Bisphosphonates | Li[72] | Meta-analysis | Mixed | | 2888 | | No effect on time to union or union rate |
| | | Colon-Emeric C[74] | RCT | Mixed and unknown | Hip | 2127 (1065/1062) | < 90 days vs placebo | No effect on incidence of delayed union or union rate |
| | | Uchiyama[76] | RCT | Mixed | Distal radius | 80 (40/40) | < 4 d vs > 4mo after surgery | No effect on time to union or union rate |
| | | Kim[73] | RCT | Osteoporotic | Hip | 90 (30/30/30) | < 1wk vs > 1mo vs > 3mo | No effect on incidence of delayed union or union rate |
| | | Gong [78] | RCT | Osteoporotic | Distal radius | 50 (24/26) | 2wk vs 3mo after surgery | No effect on time to union or union rate |
| | | Vd Poest clement[75] | RCT | Osteoporotic | Distal radius | 37 (18/19) | After 2-4wk vs placebo | No effect on time to union |
| | | Duckworth[77] | RCT | Unknown | Distal radius | 421 (215/206) | < 2wks vs placebo | No effect on union rate |
| | | Shoji[83] | Prospective controlled cohort | Mixed | Distal radius | 33 (11/22) | Current vs no use | No effect on union rate |
| | | Hayer[79] | Prospective cohort | Osteoporotic | Hip | 43 | < 1wk | No effect on time to union |
| | | Koshi[86] | Prospective controlled cohort | Unknown | Distal radius | 66 (33/33) | Current vs no use | No effect on time to union |
| | | Rozenal[85] | Retrospective | Mixed | Distal radius | 196 (43/153) | Current vs no use | Significant increased healing time (55 days vs 49 days) |

Table 4. Summary of effect of osteoporosis treatment on fracture healing in clinical studies (continued)

| Mechanism | Medication Group | Author | Study design | BMD | Fracture location | n (patients / control) | Drug initiation | Effect |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| | | Solomon[84] | Retrospective | Mixed | Humerus | 891 (81/810) | After fracture vs no use | Significant increased risk on non union (OR2.37, 95% CI 1.13-4.96) |
| | | Lim[80] | Retrospective | Osteoporotic | Hip | 130 (29/101) | Current or previously vs no use | Significant increased risk of delayed union after 3 months(union rate after 3 months 21/29 Vs 91/101), no difference after 1 year |
| | | Seo[82] | Retrospective | Osteoporotic | Proximal humerus | 82 (34/48) | <2wks vs >3mo after surgery | No effect on time to union or union rate |
| | | Cho[81] | Retrospective | Osteoporotic | Hip | 284 (102/89/93) | 1wk vs 1 month vs 3 months | No effect on time to union |
| | RANK ligand inhibitor | Adami [87] | RCT | Osteoporotic | Nonvertebral fractures | 7808 (3902/3906) | Denosumab vs placebo | No effect on delayed and non union |
| | Anabolic Parathyroid hormone | Hani[88] | Meta-analysis | Osteoporotic and unknown | Hip | 607 | | Significant reduced time to union(OR-1.95; 95% CI: -3.23--0.68), no effect on union rate after 3 or 6 months. |
| | | Hong[95] | Meta-analysis | Mixed and unknown | | 524 | | (-3.05, 95% CI -5.96 to -0.14) reduced time to union, no effect on union rate |

Table 4. Summary of effect of osteoporosis treatment on fracture healing in clinical studies (*continued*)

| Mechanism | Medication Group | Author | Study design | BMD | Fracture location | n (patients / control) | Drug initiation | Effect |
|-----------|------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| | | Peichl[96] | RCT | Osteoporotic | Pubic | 65 (21/44) | 100 µg PTH 1-84 vs control | Significant reduced time to union (7.8 weeks vs 12.6 weeks) |
| | | Kanakaris[97] | RCT | Osteoporotic | Hip | | vitDcalcium Vs vitD calcium & bisphosphonates Vs vitD calcium & tereparatide | Prematurely ended due to slow patient accrual |
| | | Bhandari[93] | RCT | Unknown | Hip | 159 (78/91) | 20 µg teriparatide vs placebo | Prematurely ended due to slow patient accrual, but no difference radiological union rate |
| | | Chesser[94] | RCT | Unknown | Hip | 29 (15/14) | Teriparatide vs control | No difference in union rate |
| | | Aspenberg[99] | RCT | Unknown | Distal radius | 102 (34/34/34) | 20 µg vs 40 µg teriparatide vs placebo during 9 weeks | 20 µg significant reduced time to union (7.4 weeks vs 9.1weeks), however 40 µg did not |
| | | Almiro[98] | RCT | Unknown | Stress fracture leg | 13 (6/7) | 20 µg teriparatide vs placebo | No difference in radiographic fracture healing |

Table 4. Summary of effect of osteoporosis treatment on fracture healing in clinical studies (continued)

| Mechanism | Medication Group | Author | Study design | BMD | Fracture location | n (patients / control) | Drug initiation | Effect |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------------|--|---|
| | | Huang[89] | Retrospective | Osteoporotic | Hip | 189 (83/47/59) | vitD calcium Vs vitD calcium and teriparide Vs previous on alendronate after fracture on vitD calcium and teriparide | Significant reduced time to union (12.3 weeks vs 13.6 weeks), no effect on incidence of delayed union |
| | | Huang[90] | Retrospective | Osteoporotic | Hip | 73 (29/44) | 20 µg teriparide vs no | Significant reduced time to union (11.2 weeks vs 14.3 weeks), no effect on incidence of delayed- or non-union |
| | | Kim[91] | Retrospective | Osteoporotic | Hip | 112 (52/60) | 20 µg teriparide vs nothing | Significant reduced time to union (12.1 weeks vs 14.8 weeks), no effect on incidence of non union |
| | | Kim [92] | Retrospective | Osteoporotic | Hip | 96 (50/46) | Daily teriparide vs nothing | No difference in time to union |
| Dual effect | Strontium ranelate | Scaglione[101] | RCT | Unknown | Distal radius | 40 (20/20) | vitD calcium Vs vitD calcium and strontium ranelate | No effect on radiological follow-up |

BMD Bone mineral density; RCT Randomized controlled trial

patients and found no difference in union rate.[94] Of the remaining five RCTs, only one found a positive effect of recombinant parathyroid hormone. In this randomized study with 65 patients with a pubic bone fracture, daily supplementation of recombined parathyroid hormone 1-84 reduced the mean time to fracture healing compared to no medication (7.8 weeks vs 12.6 weeks, $p < 0.001$). After eight weeks all fractures ($n=21$) in the treatment group were healed and only 4/44 fractures in the control group were healed ($p < 0.001$).[96]

Four remaining retrospective studies in hip fracture patients, were also analysed in the meta-analysis of Han *et al.*[88] Three out of four found a reduced time to union in the group of patients treated with teriparatide[89-91], while one study did not find a difference in fracture healing time[92]. Despite the reduced time to union, none of these three studies found a difference in the occurrence of delayed or non-unions.[89-91]

Concerning osteoporotic fracture patients, one RCT and 3 retrospective studies found reduced times to fracture union without an effect on union rate. One retrospective study found no effect.

Antiresorptive and anabolic medication

Strontium ranelate

One study evaluated the effect of strontium in fracture healing. In a RCT, 40 nonoperatively treated distal radius fracture patients with an unknown osteoporosis status received either supplementation with vitamin D and calcium or supplementation with vitamin D, calcium and strontium ranelate. No differences in radiological follow-up, clinical evaluation, and ultrasonography of the callus were found between the two groups.[101]

DISCUSSION

The aim of this systematic review was to elucidate the relationship between osteoporosis and its treatment on fracture healing. In animal studies osteoporosis negatively influenced fracture healing in the majority of studies, with regard to cellular processes, callus formation, mineralization and biomechanical strength. In human studies this evidence was not convincing, although there seemed to be a tendency towards a negative influence of osteoporosis on fracture healing with prolonged healing time and increased risk on non-union. Inconsistent effects of anti-osteoporosis medication on fracture healing in both non-osteoporotic and osteoporotic animal models were observed. Antiresorptive medication, bisphosphonates in particular, resulted in delayed remodelling of callus in both models. Teriparatide was found to enhance fracture healing in animal

models. In clinical studies however, no clear negative effect of bisphosphonates were found on time to union and on increased delayed or non union rates. Recombinant parathyroid hormone did seem to decrease time to union without an effect on delayed or non union rates.

The effect of osteoporosis on fracture healing in animal models was primarily investigated in rats and mice. The majority of the results suggested a negative biomechanical or histopathological influence of osteoporosis on fracture healing[9-19, 21, 23, 24, 26], whereas only three studies found radiological evidence of delayed union[12, 16, 18]. This may suggest that local signs of impaired or lagging fracture healing cannot always be radiologically objectivated, which has to be taken into mind while interpret the results. A potential limitation of some studies is the use of animal models with induced osteoporosis. Animals must receive treatments in order to produce a state of low bone mineral density or to become osteoporotic. All studies used ovariectomized animals to create an animal osteoporosis model, except for one study, which used a genetically induced osteoporosis model.[10] In six studies complementary diet was used after ovariectomy.[13-15, 22, 24, 26] Since this is not a natural process in animals, interference with fracture healing could occur. Nevertheless, these models are standardly used for basic research on human biological processes. Additional human factors in fracture healing do not impair these models in such a way that results from animal based studies on osteoporosis have become meaningless. Another point of interest is the lack of a uniform definition of osteoporosis in these animals models. In 11 studies the BMD was checked with a DEXA-scan [11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 21, 22] or micro-CT[9, 24-26], before the experiments to investigate the effect of osteoporosis on fracture healing were started. One study defined osteoporosis as a BMD ≥ 2.5 standard deviation (SD) lower than the BMD of the control group[13], whereas another study used a definition in which the BMD should be significantly lower than that of the control group.[11] However, the majority of the studies did not define animal osteoporosis and only described a significant lower BMD in the ovariectomized population [9, 12, 15, 16, 18, 21] compared to the control group by, or diagnosed osteoporosis by the means of a DEXA without providing further details.[22] In case of micro-CT no clear definition of osteoporosis was defined either, but changes of bone architecture were described used to identify osteoporosis; less trabecular bone, disorganized trabecular architecture, expanded marrow cavities and thinning cortical bone.[9]

Only five studies investigating the influence of osteoporosis on fracture healing in humans were found. Nikolaou *et al.*[30] found an increased time to union and delayed union rates, but classified osteoporosis patients based on X-rays (Singh index) and not on Dexa-scan or diagnosed by an endocrinologist. Two large database studies which found a negative effect of osteoporosis[27, 28] might show the power of big data analyses, since the three smaller studies found no clear effect on the incidence of non-union

possibly due to lack of statistical power. However, caution is warranted in interpreting these results, as stated by the authors. These large databases were based on claims by patients, often the codes were imprecise, patients were not followed prospectively for a specific outcome and also data was missing. Zura *et al.*[28] performed the only clinical study that included the use of anti-osteoporosis medication as a variable in their analysis and indeed identified this as a risk factor. The study by Gorter *et al.* [31] was retrospective, in which only in a small number of patients radiological follow up was available and the possible effect of osteoporosis treatment was not taken into account. More prospective studies like that of Van Wunnik *et al.*[29] are needed in order to elucidate whether osteoporosis has a negative influence on fracture healing.

In both the non-osteoporotic and osteoporotic animal models, anti-osteoporosis medication was found either to improve or not to influence fracture healing. Also no convincing difference was found between studies performed in male versus female non-osteoporotic animal models. In order to achieve full fracture healing, resorption of the newly formed callus occurs during the remodelling phase. As might be expected some studies on antiresorptive medication, which counteracts resorption, found a negative effect on the remodelling phase of fracture healing.[25, 33, 35, 41, 42, 47] There was however no evidence that this negatively influenced the healing process or biomechanical properties of the fracture. Parathyroid hormone showed in both animal models predominantly a positive effect on callus formation, bone mineral content, biomechanical strength and improved union rates in several studies.

Compared to clinical studies on osteoporosis, remarkably more clinical data was available on the effect of anti-osteoporosis medication on fracture healing. Although the studies included typical fragility and osteoporotic fractures, not every study included only patients with a T score < -2.5 and in some studies the information on the BMD was missing at all. None of the studies was performed in non-osteoporotic patients only. Studies on bisphosphonates were predominantly performed in hip and distal radius fracture patients and no effect on fracture healing was found. Especially no clear evidence of delayed union was reported, which might be expected based on the results found in animal models. In case of parathyroid, predominantly hip fracture patients were studied, and the results were more in line with the data found in animal models. Parathyroid hormone seems to improve time to union, however no clear effect on delayed union or non union rates was found. Both meta-analyses showed a high heterogeneity in the included studies due to differences in study design, different BMD groups and fracture locations. Parathyroid hormone supplementation has also been investigated in case of non-union treatment. A recent review concluded that teriparatide could be effective in the treatment of non-unions, when general principles of non-union and infections were dealt with.[102] On the other hand, the positive effects of treatment with teriparatide in order to improve fracture healing in atypical fractures have not been established.

[102-108] Only six RCTs investigated medication versus placebo[74, 75, 77, 87, 93, 98], in other RCTs patients were randomized between early initiation versus late initiation of medication[73, 76, 109] or patients were randomized between receiving the medication or not[96, 97, 100, 101]. In order to unambiguously establish the effect of the treatment, a comparison with a placebo should be considered the preferred design. Nevertheless, in the three RCTs comparing early versus late initiation, late initiation was thus late that most of the fracture had already healed. The effect of the medication on fracture healing could be neglected and these patients could be considered as a control group without treatment. The meta-analyses of Han *et al.*[88] and Li *et al.*[72] were well performed, whereas the meta-analysis of Hong *et al.*[95] included also a retrospective study while a randomized study design was an inclusion criterium. Unfortunately for the statistical power, a large number of RCTs and even retrospective studies were performed in a small number of patients despite the fact that an osteoporotic fracture is common. Distal radius fractures have a high union rate and hip fracture patients are often lost to follow-up in prospective studies as shown by Bhandari *et al.*[93] and Kanakaris *et al.*[97] Futures studies should preferably also include large osteoporotic populations of patients with fractures that are known to be associated with a relatively high non-union rate.

Our aim was to provide a systematic review of the current literature in an attempt to elucidate the role of osteoporosis and osteoporosis treatment as potential risk factors for impaired fracture healing in animal and clinical studies. Due to the considerable number of agents that have been studied in different species and patient populations using different study designs, fracture locations and outcome parameters, a meta-analysis was considered not feasible.

In general, one might question the clinical relevance of the shorter radiological union times found in several studies on recombinant parathyroid hormone supplementation[88-91, 95, 96, 99]. Additional data about the clinical and patient-reported outcomes should be provided in order to assess the relevance of this radiological outcome. If a shorter time to radiological union does not influence clinical and patient-reported outcomes, nor does it influence fracture treatment or result in decreased risk on a delayed- or non-union, the clinical relevance of this finding could be deliberated.

In conclusion, animal studies suggest that osteoporosis negatively influences fracture healing. Clinical studies also show a possible negative tendency, but the evidence is still not convincing. In animal models anti-resorptive medication delayed fracture remodelling and teriparatide was related to improved fracture healing, but no clear negative influence of anti-osteoporosis medication on fracture healing could be determined in fracture patients. Recombinant parathyroid hormone did seem to decrease time to union without an effect on delayed or non union rates. Based on this evidence, clinicians should not treat fractures differently in case of osteoporosis and initiate or continue anti-osteoporotic medication in osteoporotic fracture patients without restraint.

REFERENCES

1. Schindeler A, McDonald MM, Bokko P, Little DG: Bone remodeling during fracture repair: The cellular picture. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 2008, 19(5):459-466.
2. Tsiridis E, Upadhyay N, Giannoudis P: Molecular aspects of fracture healing: which are the important molecules? *Injury* 2007, 38 Suppl 1:S11-25.
3. Marsell R, Einhorn TA: The biology of fracture healing. *Injury* 2011, 42(6):551-555.
4. Giannoudis PV, Einhorn TA, Marsh D: Fracture healing: the diamond concept. *Injury* 2007, 38 Suppl 4:S3-6.
5. Copuroglu C, Calori GM, Giannoudis PV: Fracture non-union: who is at risk? *Injury* 2013, 44(11):1379-1382.
6. Giannoudis P, Tzioupis C, Almalki T, Buckley R: Fracture healing in osteoporotic fractures: Is it really different?. A basic science perspective. *Injury* 2007, 38(SUPPL. 1):S90-S99.
7. Kanis JA, Cooper C, Rizzoli R, Reginster JY, Scientific Advisory Board of the European Society for C, Economic Aspects of O, the Committees of Scientific A, National Societies of the International Osteoporosis F: European guidance for the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. *Osteoporos Int* 2019, 30(1):3-44.
8. Yaacobi E, Sanchez D, Maniar H, Horwitz DS: Surgical treatment of osteoporotic fractures: An update on the principles of management. *Injury* 2017, 48 Suppl 7:S34-s40.
9. He YX, Zhang G, Pan XH, Liu Z, Zheng LZ, Chan CW, Lee KM, Cao YP, Li G, Wei L *et al*: Impaired bone healing pattern in mice with ovariectomy-induced osteoporosis: A drill-hole defect model. *Bone* 2011, 48(6):1388-1400.
10. Histing T, Kuntz S, Stenger D, Scheuer C, Garcia P, Holstein JH, Klein M, Pohlemann T, Menger MD: Delayed fracture healing in aged senescence-accelerated P6 mice. *Journal of Investigative Surgery* 2013, 26(1):30-35.
11. Hao YJ, Zhang G, Wang YS, Qin L, Hung WY, Leung K, Pei FX: Changes of microstructure and mineralized tissue in the middle and late phase of osteoporotic fracture healing in rats. *Bone* 2007, 41(4):631-638.
12. Oliver RA, Yu Y, Yee G, Low AK, Diwan AD, Walsh WR: Poor histological healing of a femoral fracture following 12 months of oestrogen deficiency in rats. *Osteoporosis International* 2013, 24(10):2581-2589.
13. Namkung-Matthai H, Appleyard R, Jansen J, Hao Lin J, Maastricht S, Swain M, Mason RS, Murrell GA, Diwan AD, Diamond T: Osteoporosis influences the early period of fracture healing in a rat osteoporotic model. *Bone* 2001, 28(1):80-86.
14. Cao GL, Tian FM, Liu GY, Song HP, Yuan LL, Geng LD, Bei MJ, Zheng ZY, Zhang L: Strontium Ranelate Combined with Insulin Is as Beneficial as Insulin Alone in Treatment of Fracture Healing in Ovariectomized Diabetic Rats. *Med Sci Monit* 2018, 24:6525-6536.
15. Kubo T, Shiga T, Hashimoto J, Yoshioka M, Honjo H, Urabe M, Kitajima I, Semba I, Hirasawa Y: Osteoporosis influences the late period of fracture healing in a rat model prepared by ovariectomy and low calcium diet. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 1999, 68(5-6):197-202.
16. McCann RM, Colleary G, Geddis C, Clarke SA, Jordan GR, Dickson GR, Marsh D: Effect of osteoporosis on bone mineral density and fracture repair in a rat femoral fracture model. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* 2008, 26(3):384-393.
17. Xu SW, Yu R, Zhao GF, Wang JW: Early period of fracture healing in ovariectomized rats. *Chinese Journal of Traumatology - English Edition* 2003, 6(3):160-166.

18. Wong RM, Thormann U, Choy MH, Chim YN, Li MC, Wang JY, Leung KS, Cheng JC, Alt V, Chow SK *et al*: A metaphyseal fracture rat model for mechanistic studies of osteoporotic bone healing. *European cells & materials* 2019, 37:420-430.
19. Guo J, Zhang Q, Li J, Liu Y, Hou Z, Chen W, Jin L, Tian Y, Ju L, Liu B *et al*: Local application of an ibandronate/collagen sponge improves femoral fracture healing in ovariectomized rats. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12 (11) (no pagination)(e0187683).
20. Wang JW, Li W, Xu SW, Yang DS, Wang Y, Lin M, Zhao GF: Osteoporosis influences the middle and late periods of fracture healing in a rat osteoporotic model. *Chinese Journal of Traumatology - English Edition* 2005, 8(2):111-116.
21. Thormann U, El Khawassna T, Ray S, Duerselen L, Kampschulte M, Lips K, von Dewitz H, Heine-mann S, Heiss C, Szalay G *et al*: Differences of bone healing in metaphyseal defect fractures between osteoporotic and physiological bone in rats. *Injury* 2014, 45(3):487-493.
22. Habermann B, Kafchitsas K, Olender G, Augat P, Kurth A: Strontium ranelate enhances callus strength more than PTH 1-34 in an osteoporotic rat model of fracture healing. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2010, 86(1):82-89.
23. Kido HW, Bossini PS, Tim CR, Parizotto NA, da Cunha AF, Malavazi I, Renno AC: Evaluation of the bone healing process in an experimental tibial bone defect model in ovariectomized rats. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 2014, 26(5):473-481.
24. Tatehara S, Miyamoto Y, Takechi M, Momota Y, Yuasa T: Osteoporosis influences the early period of the healing after distraction osteogenesis in a rat osteoporotic model. *Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery* 2011, 39(1):2-9.
25. Cao Y, Mori S, Mashiba T, Westmore MS, Ma L, Sato M, Akiyama T, Shi L, Komatsubara S, Miyamoto K *et al*: Raloxifene, estrogen, and alendronate affect the processes of fracture repair differently in ovariectomized rats. *Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research* 2002, 17(12):2237-2246.
26. Lill CA, Hessel J, Schlegel U, Eckhardt C, Goldhahn J, Schneider E: Biomechanical evaluation of healing in a non-critical defect in a large animal model of osteoporosis. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* 2003, 21(5):836-842.
27. Zura R, Braid-Forbes MJ, Jeray K, Mehta S, Einhorn TA, Watson JT, Della Rocca GJ, Forbes K, Steen RG: Bone fracture nonunion rate decreases with increasing age: A prospective inception cohort study. *Bone* 2017, 95:26-32.
28. Zura R, Xiong Z, Einhorn T, Watson JT, Ostrum RF, Prayson MJ, Della Rocca GJ, Mehta S, McKinley T, Wang Z *et al*: Epidemiology of fracture nonunion in 18 human bones. *JAMA Surgery* 2016, 151 (11) (no pagination)(e162775).
29. van Wunnik BP, Weijers PH, van Helden SH, Brink PR, Poeze M: Osteoporosis is not a risk factor for the development of nonunion: A cohort nested case-control study. *Injury* 2011, 42(12):1491-1494.
30. Nikolaou VS, Efstathopoulos N, Kontakis G, Kanakaris NK, Giannoudis PV: The influence of osteoporosis in femoral fracture healing time. *Injury* 2009, 40(6):663-668.
31. Gorter EA, Gerretsen BM, Krijnen P, Appelman-Dijkstra NM, Schipper IB: Does osteoporosis affect the healing of subcapital humerus and distal radius fractures? *J Orthop* 2020, 22:237-241.
32. Murphy CM, Schindeler A, Cantrill LC, Mikulec K, Peacock L, Little DG: PTH(1-34) Treatment Increases Bisphosphonate Turnover in Fracture Repair in Rats. *Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research* 2015, 30(6):1022-1029.
33. McDonald MM, Dulai S, Godfrey C, Amanat N, Szynda T, Little DG: Bolus or weekly zoledronic acid administration does not delay endochondral fracture repair but weekly dosing enhances delays in hard callus remodeling. *Bone* 2008, 43(4):653-662.

34. Aydogan NH, Ozel I, Iltar S, Kara T, Ozmeric A, Alemdaroglu KB: The effect of vitamin D and bisphosphonate on fracture healing: An experimental study. *Journal of clinical orthopaedics and trauma* 2016, 7(2):90-94.
35. Gerstenfeld LC, Sacks DJ, Pelis M, Mason ZD, Graves DT, Barrero M, Ominsky MS, Kostenuik PJ, Morgan EF, Einhorn TA: Comparison of effects of the bisphosphonate alendronate versus the RANKL inhibitor denosumab on murine fracture healing. *Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research* 2009, 24(2):196-208.
36. Amanat N, He LH, Swain MV, Little DG: The effect of zoledronic acid on the intrinsic material properties of healing bone: An indentation study. *Medical Engineering and Physics* 2008, 30(7):843-847.
37. Baima Filho FAS, Mendonca PG, Silva GEB, Santos OJD, Garcia JBS, Cartagenes M: The analysis of alendronate action in bone fracture healing in rats. *Journal of clinical orthopaedics and trauma* 2020, 11(Suppl 5):S856-S860.
38. Leiblein M, Henrich D, Fervers F, Konradowitz K, Marzi I, Seebach C: Do antiosteoporotic drugs improve bone regeneration in vivo? *European journal of trauma and emergency surgery : official publication of the European Trauma Society* 2020, 46(2):287-299.
39. Menzendorf L, Weuster M, Kluter T, Bruggemann S, Behrendt P, Fitchen-Oestern S, Varoga D, Seekamp A, Purcz N, Glueer CC *et al*: Local pamidronate influences fracture healing in a rodent femur fracture model: An experimental study. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2016, 17 (1) (no pagination)(255).
40. Turker M, Aslan A, Cirpar M, Kochai A, Tulmac OB, Balci M: Histological and biomechanical effects of zoledronate on fracture healing in an osteoporotic rat tibia model. *Joint diseases & related surgery* 2016, 27(1):9-15.
41. Hao Y, Wang X, Wang L, Lu Y, Mao Z, Ge S, Dai K: Zoledronic acid suppresses callus remodeling but enhances callus strength in an osteoporotic rat model of fracture healing. *Bone* 2015, 81:702-711.
42. Hauser M, Siegrist M, Keller I, Hofstetter W: Healing of fractures in osteoporotic bones in mice treated with bisphosphonates - A transcriptome analysis. *Bone* 2018, 112:107-119.
43. Kolios L, Hoerster AK, Sehmisch S, Malcherek MC, Rack T, Tezval M, Seidlova-Wuttke D, Wuttke W, Stuermer KM, Stuermer EK: Do estrogen and alendronate improve metaphyseal fracture healing when applied as osteoporosis prophylaxis? *Calcified Tissue International* 2010, 86(1):23-32.
44. Spiro AS, Khadem S, Jeschke A, Marshall RP, Pogoda P, Ignatius A, Amling M, Beil FT: The SERM raloxifene improves diaphyseal fracture healing in mice. *Journal of bone and mineral metabolism* 2013, 31(6):629-636.
45. Ramalho-Ferreira G, Faverani LP, Prado FB, Garcia IR, Okamoto R: Raloxifene enhances peri-implant bone healing in osteoporotic rats. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 2015, 44(6):798-805.
46. Stuermer EK, Sehmisch S, Rack T, Wenda E, Seidlova-Wuttke D, Tezval M, Wuttke W, Frosch KH, Stuermer KM: Estrogen and raloxifene improve metaphyseal fracture healing in the early phase of osteoporosis. A new fracture-healing model at the tibia in rat. *Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery* 2010, 395(2):163-172.
47. Ulrich-Vinther M, Andreassen TT: Osteoprotegerin treatment impairs remodeling and apparent material properties of callus tissue without influencing structural fracture strength. *Calcified tissue international* 2005, 76(4):280-286.
48. Flick LM, Weaver JM, Ulrich-Vinther M, Abuzzahab F, Zhang X, Dougall WC, Anderson D, O'Keefe RJ, Schwarz EM: Effects of receptor activator of NFkappaB (RANK) signaling blockade on fracture healing. *Journal of orthopaedic research : official publication of the Orthopaedic Research Society* 2003, 21(4):676-684.

49. Lanske B, Chandler H, Pierce A, Brown J, Ominsky M, Kostenuik P, Hattersley G: Abaloparatide, a PTH receptor agonist with homology to PTHrP, enhances callus bridging and biomechanical properties in rats with femoral fracture. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* 2019, 37(4):812-820.
50. Milstrey A, Wieskoetter B, Hinze D, Grueneweller N, Stange R, Pap T, Raschke M, Garcia P: Dose-dependent effect of parathyroid hormone on fracture healing and bone formation in mice. *Journal of Surgical Research* 2017, 220:327-335.
51. Tagil M, McDonald MM, Morse A, Peacock L, Mikulec K, Amanat N, Godfrey C, Little DG: Intermittent PTH(1-34) does not increase union rates in open rat femoral fractures and exhibits attenuated anabolic effects compared to closed fractures. *Bone* 2010, 46(3):852-859.
52. Bernhardsson M, Aspenberg P: Abaloparatide versus teriparatide: a head to head comparison of effects on fracture healing in mouse models. *Acta Orthopaedica* 2018, 89(6):674-677.
53. Alder KD, White AHA, Chung YH, Lee I, Back J, Kwon HK, Cahill SV, Hao Z, Li L, Chen F *et al*: Systemic Parathyroid Hormone Enhances Fracture Healing in Multiple Murine Models of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *JBMR Plus* 2020.
54. Chen H, Frankenburg EP, Goldstein SA, McCauley LK: Combination of Local and Systemic Parathyroid Hormone Enhances Bone Regeneration. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research* 2003(416):291-302.
55. Lin EA, Liu CJ, Monroy A, Khurana S, Egol KA: Prevention of atrophic nonunion by the systemic administration of parathyroid hormone (PTH 1-34) in an experimental animal model. *Journal of orthopaedic trauma* 2012, 26(12):719-723.
56. Alkhiary YM, Gerstenfeld LC, Krall E, Westmore M, Sato M, Mitlak BH, Einhorn TA: Enhancement of experimental fracture-healing by systemic administration of recombinant human parathyroid hormone (PTH 1-34). *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - Series A* 2005, 87(4):731-741.
57. Nozaka K, Miyakoshi N, Kasukawa Y, Maekawa S, Noguchi H, Shimada Y: Intermittent administration of human parathyroid hormone enhances bone formation and union at the site of cancellous bone osteotomy in normal and ovariectomized rats. *Bone* 2008, 42(1):90-97.
58. Ellegaard M, Kringelbach T, Syberg S, Petersen S, Beck Jensen JE, Bruel A, Jorgensen NR, Schwarz P: The effect of PTH(1-34) on fracture healing during different loading conditions. *Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research* 2013, 28(10):2145-2155.
59. Kim HW, Jahng JS: Effect of intermittent administration of parathyroid hormone on fracture healing in ovariectomized rats. *The Iowa orthopaedic journal* 1999, 19:71-77.
60. Lin J, Wu J, Sun S, Chen K, Wu H, Lin R, Zhou C, Kong J, Zhou K, Shui X: Combined antisclerostin antibody and parathyroid hormone (1-34) synergistically enhance the healing of bone defects in ovariectomized rats. *Zeitschrift fur Gerontologie und Geriatrie* 2020, 53(2):163-170.
61. Tao ZS, Lv YX, Cui W, Huang ZL, Tu KK, Zhou Q, Sun T, Yang L: Effect of teriparatide on repair of femoral metaphyseal defect in ovariectomized rats. *Zeitschrift fur Gerontologie und Geriatrie* 2016, 49(5):423-428.
62. Tsuchie H, Miyakoshi N, Kasukawa Y, Aonuma H, Shimada Y: Intermittent administration of human parathyroid hormone before osteosynthesis stimulates cancellous bone union in ovariectomized rats. *Tohoku Journal of Experimental Medicine* 2013, 229(1):19-28.
63. Liu GY, Cao GL, Tian FM, Song HP, Yuan LL, Geng LD, Zheng ZY, Zhang L: Parathyroid hormone (1-34) promotes fracture healing in ovariectomized rats with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA* 2017, 28(10):3043-3053.

64. Tao ZS, Tu KK, Huang ZL, Zhou Q, Sun T, Xu HM, Zhou YL, Lv YX, Cui W, Yang L: Combined treatment with parathyroid hormone (1-34) and beta-tricalcium phosphate had an additive effect on local bone formation in a rat defect model. *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing* 2016, 54(9):1353-1362.
65. Tao ZS, Zhou WS, Wu XJ, Wang L, Yang M, Xie JB, Xu ZJ, Ding GZ: Single-dose local administration of parathyroid hormone (1-34, PTH) with beta-tricalcium phosphate/collagen (beta-TCP/COL) enhances bone defect healing in ovariectomized rats. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Metabolism* 2019, 37(1):28-35.
66. Kitaguchi K, Kashii M, Ebina K, Kaito T, Okada R, Makino T, Etani Y, Ishimoto T, Nakano T, Yoshikawa H: The combined effects of teriparatide and anti-RANKL monoclonal antibody on bone defect regeneration in ovariectomized mice. *Bone* 2020, 130 (no pagination)(115077).
67. Bruel A, Olsen J, Birkedal H, Risager M, Andreassen TT, Raffalt AC, Andersen JE, Thomsen JS: Strontium is incorporated into the fracture callus but does not influence the mechanical strength of healing rat fractures. *Calcified tissue international* 2011, 88(2):142-152.
68. Cebesoy O, Tutar E, Kose KC, Baltaci Y, Bagci C: Effect of strontium ranelate on fracture healing in rat tibia. *Joint Bone Spine* 2007, 74(6):590-593.
69. Li YF, Luo E, Feng G, Zhu SS, Li JH, Hu J: Systemic treatment with strontium ranelate promotes tibial fracture healing in ovariectomized rats. *Osteoporosis International* 2010, 21(11):1889-1897.
70. Komrakova M, Weidemann A, Dullin C, Ebert J, Tezval M, Stuermer KM, Sehmisch S: The Impact of Strontium Ranelate on Metaphyseal Bone Healing in Ovariectomized Rats. *Calcified tissue international* 2015, 97(4):391-401.
71. Ozturan KE, Demir B, Yucel I, Cakici H, Yilmaz F, Haberal A: Effect of strontium ranelate on fracture healing in the osteoporotic rats. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* 2011, 29(1):138-142.
72. Li YT, Cai HF, Zhang ZL: Timing of the initiation of bisphosphonates after surgery for fracture healing: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Osteoporosis International* 2014, 26(2):431-441.
73. Kim TY, Ha YC, Kang BJ, Lee YK, Koo KH: Does early administration of bisphosphonate affect fracture healing in patients with intertrochanteric fractures? *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2012, 94(7):956-960.
74. Colon-Emeric C, Nordsletten L, Olson S, Major N, Boonen S, Haentjens P, Mesenbrink P, Magaziner J, Adachi J, Lyles KW *et al*: Association between timing of zoledronic acid infusion and hip fracture healing. *Osteoporosis International* 2011, 22(8):2329-2336.
75. van der Poest Clement E, Patka P, Vandormael K, Haarman H, Lips P: The effect of alendronate on bone mass after distal forearm fracture. *J Bone Miner Res* 2000, 15(3):586-593.
76. Uchiyama S, Itsubo T, Nakamura K, Fujinaga Y, Sato N, Imaeda T, Kadoya M, Kato H: Effect of early administration of alendronate after surgery for distal radial fragility fracture on radiological fracture healing time. *The bone & joint journal* 2013, 95-B(11):1544-1550.
77. Duckworth AD, McQueen MM, Tuck CE, Tobias JH, Wilkinson JM, Biant LC, Pulford EC, Aldridge S, Edwards C, Roberts CP *et al*: Effect of Alendronic Acid on Fracture Healing: A Multicenter Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research* 2019, 34(6):1025-1032.
78. Gong HS, Song CH, Lee YH, Rhee SH, Lee HJ, Baek GH: Early initiation of bisphosphonate does not affect healing and outcomes of volar plate fixation of osteoporotic distal radial fractures. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - Series A* 2012, 94(19):1729-1736.
79. Hayer PS, Deane AK, Agrawal A, Maheshwari R, Juyal A: Effect of zoledronic acid on fracture healing in osteoporotic patients with intertrochanteric fractures. *International journal of applied & basic medical research* 2017, 7(1):48-52.

80. Lim EJ, Kim JT, Kim CH, Kim JW, Chang JS, Yoon PW: Effect of Preoperative Bisphosphonate Treatment on Fracture Healing after Internal Fixation Treatment of Intertrochanteric Femoral Fractures. *Hip Pelvis* 2019, 31(2):75-81.
81. Cho YJ, Chun YS, Rhyu KH, Kang JS, Jung GY, Lee JH: Does the Time of Postoperative Bisphosphonate Administration Affect the Bone Union in Osteoporotic Intertrochanteric Fracture of Femur? *Hip & pelvis* 2015, 27(4):258-264.
82. Seo JB, Yoo JS, Ryu JW, Yu KW: Influence of Early Bisphosphonate Administration for Fracture Healing in Patients with Osteoporotic Proximal Humerus Fractures. *Clinics in orthopedic surgery* 2016, 8(4):437-443.
83. Shoji KE, Earp BE, Rozental TD: The Effect of Bisphosphonates on the Clinical and Radiographic Outcomes of Distal Radius Fractures in Women. *The Journal of hand surgery* 2018, 43(2):115-122.
84. Solomon DH, Hochberg MC, Mogun H, Schneeweiss S: The relation between bisphosphonate use and non-union of fractures of the humerus in older adults. *Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA* 2009, 20(6):895-901.
85. Rozental TD, Vazquez MA, Chacko AT, Ayogu N, Bouxsein ML: Comparison of radiographic fracture healing in the distal radius for patients on and off bisphosphonate therapy. *The Journal of hand surgery* 2009, 34(4):595-602.
86. Koshy N, Pinto D, Sujir P, Joe V, Kamath KG: Effect of alendronate on the healing time of distal radial fractures treated conservatively: An observational study. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research* 2017, 10(11):168-172.
87. Adami S, Libanati C, Boonen S, Cummings SR, Ho PR, Wang A, Siris E, Lane J, Adachi JD, Bhandari M *et al*: Denosumab treatment in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis does not interfere with fracture-healing: results from the FREEDOM trial. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery American volume* 2012, 94(23):2113-2119.
88. Han S, Wen SM, Zhao QP, Huang H, Wang H, Cong YX, Shang K, Ke C, Zhuang Y, Zhang BF: The Efficacy of Teriparatide in Improving Fracture Healing in Hip Fractures: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *BioMed research international* 2020, 2020:5914502.
89. Huang TW, Chuang PY, Lin SJ, Lee CY, Huang KC, Shih HN, Lee MS, Hsu RW, Shen WJ: Teriparatide Improves Fracture Healing and Early Functional Recovery in Treatment of Osteoporotic Intertrochanteric Fractures. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016, 95(19):e3626.
90. Huang TW, Yang TY, Huang KC, Peng KT, Lee MS, Hsu RW: Effect of teriparatide on unstable pertrochanteric fractures. *BioMed research international* 2015, 2015:568390.
91. Kim SJ, Park HS, Lee DW, Lee JW: Short-term daily teriparatide improve postoperative functional outcome and fracture healing in unstable intertrochanteric fractures. *Injury* 2019, 50(7):1364-1370.
92. Kim SJ, Park HS, Lee DW, Lee JW: Does short-term weekly teriparatide improve healing in unstable intertrochanteric fractures? *Journal of orthopaedic surgery (Hong Kong)* 2018, 26(3):2309499018802485.
93. Bhandari M, Jin L, See K, Burge R, Gilchrist N, Witvrouw R, Krohn KD, Warner MR, Ahmad QI, Mitlak B: Does Teriparatide Improve Femoral Neck Fracture Healing: Results From A Randomized Placebo-controlled Trial. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research* 2016, 474(5):1234-1244.
94. Chesser TJ, Fox R, Harding K, Halliday R, Barnfield S, Willett K, Lamb S, Yau C, Javaid MK, Gray AC *et al*: The administration of intermittent parathyroid hormone affects functional recovery from trochanteric fractured neck of femur: a randomised prospective mixed method pilot study. *Bone Joint J* 2016, 98-B(6):840-845.

95. Hong H, Song T, Liu Y, Li J, Jiang Q, Song Q, Deng Z: The effectiveness and safety of parathyroid hormone in fracture healing: A meta-analysis. *Clinics (Sao Paulo, Brazil)* 2019, 74:e800.
96. Peichl P, Holzer LA, Maier R, Holzer G: Parathyroid hormone 1-84 accelerates fracture-healing in pubic bones of elderly osteoporotic women. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - Series A* 2011, 93(17):1583-1587.
97. Kanakaris NK, West RM, Giannoudis PV: Enhancement of hip fracture healing in the elderly: Evidence deriving from a pilot randomized trial. *Injury* 2015, 46(8):1425-1428.
98. Almirol E, Gao L, Hurwitz S, Khurana B, Baima J, Bluman E, Chiodo C, Leboff M: Effects of recombinant human parathyroid hormone on the anabolic window and lower extremity stress fracture healing in premenopausal women: A pilot study. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research Conference* 2013, 28(SUPPL. 1).
99. Aspenberg P, Genant HK, Johansson T, Nino AJ, See K, Krohn K, Garcia-Hernandez PA, Recknor CP, Einhorn TA, Dalsky GP *et al*: Teriparatide for acceleration of fracture repair in humans: A prospective, randomized, double-blind study of 102 postmenopausal women with distal radial fractures. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 2010, 25(2):404-414.
100. Johansson T: PTH 1-34 (teriparatide) may not improve healing in proximal humerus fractures. A randomized, controlled study of 40 patients. *Acta Orthop* 2016, 87(1):79-82.
101. Scaglione M, Fabbri L, Casella F, Guido G: Strontium ranelate as an adjuvant for fracture healing: clinical, radiological, and ultrasound findings in a randomized controlled study on wrist fractures. *Osteoporosis International* 2016, 27(1):211-218.
102. Yoon BH, Kim KC: Does Teriparatide Improve Fracture Union?: A Systematic Review. *Journal of bone metabolism* 2020, 27(3):167-174.
103. Watts NB, Aggers D, McCarthy EF, Savage T, Martinez S, Patterson R, Carrithers E, Miller PD: Responses to Treatment With Teriparatide in Patients With Atypical Femur Fractures Previously Treated With Bisphosphonates. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 2017, 32(5):1027-1033.
104. Greenspan SL, Vujevich K, Britton C, Herradura A, Gruen G, Tarkin I, Siska P, Hamlin B, Perera S: Teriparatide for treatment of patients with bisphosphonate-associated atypical fracture of the femur. *Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA* 2018, 29(2):501-506.
105. Yeh WL, Su CY, Chang CW, Chen CH, Fu TS, Chen LH, Lin TY: Surgical outcome of atypical subtrochanteric and femoral fracture related to bisphosphonates use in osteoporotic patients with or without teriparatide treatment. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders* 2017, 18(1):527.
106. Miyakoshi N, Aizawa T, Sasaki S, Ando S, Maekawa S, Aonuma H, Tsuchie H, Sasaki H, Kasukawa Y, Shimada Y: Healing of bisphosphonate-associated atypical femoral fractures in patients with osteoporosis: a comparison between treatment with and without teriparatide. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Metabolism* 2015, 33(5):553-559.
107. Tsuchie H, Miyakoshi N, Iba K, Kasukawa Y, Nozaka K, Dohke T, Kosukegawa I, Aizawa T, Maekawa S, Abe H *et al*: The effects of teriparatide on acceleration of bone healing following atypical femoral fracture: comparison between daily and weekly administration. *Osteoporosis International* 2018, 29(12):2659-2665.
108. Shin WC, Moon NH, Jang JH, Seo HU, Suh KT: A retrospective bicenter comparative study of surgical outcomes of atypical femoral fracture: Potential effect of teriparatide on fracture healing and callus formation. *Bone* 2019, 128 (no pagination)(115033).
109. Gong HS: Early initiation of bisphosphonate does not affect healing and outcomes of volar plate fixation of osteoporotic distal radius fractures. *Osteoporosis International* 2012, 1):S817-S818.