



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Curvature of differentiable Hilbert modules and Kasparov modules

Mesland, B.; Rennie, A.; Suijlekom, W.D. van

Citation

Mesland, B., Rennie, A., & Suijlekom, W. D. van. (2022). Curvature of differentiable Hilbert modules and Kasparov modules. *Advances In Mathematics*, 402. doi:10.1016/j.aim.2021.108128

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3483837>


Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](https://www.sciencedirect.com)

Advances in Mathematics

www.elsevier.com/locate/aim



Curvature of differentiable Hilbert modules and Kasparov modules



Bram Mesland^{a,*}, Adam Rennie^b, Walter D. van Suijlekom^c

^a *Mathematisch Instituut, Universiteit Leiden, the Netherlands*
^b *School of Mathematics and Applied Statistics, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, Australia*
^c *IMAPP – Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Radboud University Nijmegen, the Netherlands*

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history:
 Received 5 December 2019
 Received in revised form 13 October 2021
 Accepted 10 November 2021
 Available online 9 December 2021
 Communicated by Dan Voiculescu

Keywords:
 Noncommutative geometry
 KK-theory
 Curvature
 Spectral triples
 Riemannian submersion

A B S T R A C T

In this paper we introduce the curvature of densely defined universal connections on Hilbert C^* -modules relative to a spectral triple (or unbounded Kasparov module), obtaining a well-defined curvature operator. Fixing the spectral triple, we find that modulo junk forms, the curvature only depends on the represented form of the universal connection. We refine our definition of curvature to factorizations of unbounded Kasparov modules. Our definition recovers all the curvature data of a Riemannian submersion of compact manifolds, viewed as a KK -factorization.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Contents

Introduction	2
1. Universal differential forms for C^2 -Kasparov modules	6
1.1. Operator $*$ -algebras and differential structures	6
1.2. Universal and represented differential forms for C^2 -spectral triples	9

* Corresponding author.
E-mail addresses: b.mesland@math.leidenuniv.nl (B. Mesland), renniea@uow.edu.au (A. Rennie), waltervs@math.ru.nl (W.D. van Suijlekom).

1.2.1.	Rough algebraic outline	9
1.2.2.	The formal definitions of represented forms	10
1.3.	Second derivatives and junk forms	13
2.	Curvature in unbounded KK-theory	15
2.1.	The definition of C^1 and C^2 -connections	16
2.2.	The represented curvature of a C^2 -connection on a C^1 -module	22
2.2.1.	The curvature operator of a C^2 -connection on a $C^{(1,2)}$ -module	23
2.3.	The curvature of a C^2 -correspondence	27
2.4.	Universal connections on locally convex modules	29
3.	Finitely generated projective modules over spectral triples	32
3.1.	Connections on finite projective C^2 -modules	32
3.2.	The curvature operator for finitely generated projective modules	33
4.	Grassmann connections	35
4.1.	Differentiable stabilization	35
4.2.	C^2 -Grassmann connections	36
5.	The curvature operator of a Riemannian submersion	39
5.1.	Geometric setup	39
5.2.	Local expressions	42
5.3.	The stabilization isometry	44
5.4.	The universal lift	47
5.5.	Curvature of ∇	49
References	51

Introduction

This paper offers a new approach to defining and effectively computing curvature of Hilbert modules and unbounded Kasparov modules. We will introduce a notion of curvature directly at the operator-algebraic level, thus sidestepping some of the difficulties imposed by the absence of a differential graded algebra. Our approach does not rely on the heat kernel coefficient analogy, and so our results differ from the recent work of [12,13,15,21,23,35,36]. Rather, we provide a complementary point of view. Numerous other approaches to curvature have appeared independently in many works [1,6,7,17,18,42].

The usual theory of curvature of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded right modules \mathcal{X} over associative algebras \mathcal{B} relies, in its most algebraic formulation, on the existence of a differential graded algebra $(\Omega^*(\mathcal{B}), \delta)$. The first step, existence of connections

$$\nabla : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \Omega^1(\mathcal{B}) \quad \nabla(xb) = \nabla(x)b + \gamma(x) \otimes \delta(b), \quad x \in \mathcal{X}, b \in \mathcal{B}$$

where γ is the grading, was settled by Cuntz and Quillen [16]. The curvature R_{∇} of (\mathcal{X}, ∇) is then defined as the composition

$$\nabla^2 : \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \Omega^1(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\nabla \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta} \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \Omega^2(\mathcal{B}). \tag{0.1}$$

Thus as soon as we have a differential graded algebra and a connection we obtain an endomorphism-valued two-form ∇^2 . The full details of this approach in noncommutative

geometry, pioneered by Connes and Rieffel [14], appear in the book of Connes [9, Sect. VI.1] (see also [34, Sect. 7.2]).

Instead, the route we take to curvature is inspired by tools and ideas from unbounded KK -theory, and the unbounded version of the internal Kasparov product in particular. As we will see, the above algebraic notion of curvature appears naturally in this functional analytical framework. Let us sketch the main idea.

Suppose we are given two (suitably differentiable) unbounded KK -cycles (\mathcal{A}, X, S) and (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) and a (suitable) connection ∇ on X . We may consider an unbounded representative of the internal Kasparov product given by the essentially self-adjoint and regular operator $S \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T$, defined on the appropriate domain in $X \otimes_B Y$ (see [8,29,38–40] for more details). Our definition of curvature is given by the formula

$$R_{(S, \nabla_T)} = (S \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2 - (S^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T^2). \tag{0.2}$$

We will make precise sense of this unbounded operator on $X \otimes_B Y$ in due course, but let us highlight some of its features:

- the curvature $R_{(S, \nabla_T)}$ can be interpreted as a measure of the “defect” of the internal Kasparov product to respect the taking of squares of the operators S and T . This is in line with the notion of curvature for linear maps used in cyclic theory (see [16, page 255]).
- it vanishes for a direct product of spaces: for the *external* Kasparov product we have for the tensor sum (on graded modules):

$$(D_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D_2)^2 - D_1^2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes D_2^2 = 0$$

- the “geometric” information described by $R_{(S, \nabla_T)}$ is only accessible at the unbounded level, thus forming a refinement of the topological information described at the level of (bounded) KK -theory.

Our main task is now to make sense of formula (0.2) so let us see what it says *algebraically*. Upon expanding the brackets we observe that the curvature can be understood in terms of the following two operators

$$(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2 - 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T^2, \quad [S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T].$$

The approach we will take is to first make sense of the above two operators and then define $R_{(S, \nabla_T)}$ in terms of them in Section 2.3. Intriguingly, our proof of the well-definedness of the operator $(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2 - 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T^2$ in Definition 2.19 relies heavily on the existence of a relative S -bound on the commutator $[S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T]$ (*cf.* Definition 2.10 below), which in turn is a sufficient condition for representing the Kasparov product (see [32,38]). Moreover, it turns out that the operator $(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2 - 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T^2$ is of interest in itself, and we will call it the curvature operator of the (C^2) -connection ∇ on the module X .

This terminology is justified by the result (Theorem 2.21) that $(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2 - 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T^2$ is given by a represented square $\pi_T(\nabla^2)$ of a universal connection ∇ as we now explain.

Unbounded Kasparov modules like (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) provide the basic geometric objects of non-commutative geometry. One feature of the Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) is the bimodule of differential one-forms [9, Chapter VI]

$$\Omega_T^1(\mathcal{B}) := \text{span}\{a[T, b] : a, b \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

The bimodule $\Omega_T^1(\mathcal{B})$ consists of operators on the Hilbert C^* -module Y . The differential graded algebra of universal differential forms $\Omega_u^*(\mathcal{B})$ can then be represented as operators on Y by taking products, but the image of this representation does not carry the structure of a differential graded algebra anymore.

The obstruction to defining a differential is the existence of *junk-forms* [9, Chapter VI]. Although quotienting out the junk forms yields a differential graded algebra, it can no longer be represented on Y . Our represented curvature

$$\pi_T(\nabla^2) = 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T^2 - (1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2,$$

is well-defined up to junk forms, and so connects to the existing literature on curvature of connections. Of course the challenge is to make sense of this square, which we do in Section 2.2.1.

It is also useful to illustrate our notion of curvature for finitely-generated projective modules X over \mathcal{B} (see Section 3 below for full details). So, consider computing the Kasparov product $(\mathbb{C}, X_B, 0) \otimes_B (\mathcal{B}, H, D)$. In this case, a smooth submodule $\mathcal{X}_B \subset X_B$ is guaranteed to exist. Then realizing $\mathcal{X} \cong p\mathcal{B}^N$ for some projection $p \in M_N(\mathcal{B})$, all compatible connections are of the form $p \circ d + A$ for $A \in \mathcal{X} \otimes_B \Omega_D^1(\mathcal{B}) \otimes_B \mathcal{X}^*$ an endomorphism-valued one-form. For any (Hermitian) connection on the module \mathcal{X} we obtain a representative $(\mathbb{C}, X \otimes_B H, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)$ of the Kasparov product with operator

$$1 \otimes_{\nabla} D : \mathcal{X} \otimes_B \text{Dom } D \rightarrow X \otimes_B H \quad 1 \otimes_{\nabla} D(x \otimes \xi) := \gamma(x) \otimes D\xi + \nabla_D(x)\xi. \quad (0.3)$$

The key observation is then that the curvature operator is given by

$$(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)^2 - 1 \otimes_{\nabla} D^2 = p[D, p][D, p]p + A^2 + dA. \quad (0.4)$$

Here $dA = \pi_D(\delta A_u)$ indicates an operator defined through choosing a lift A_u of A to the universal calculus and is independent of the choice of lift up to junk forms. Nevertheless, the left hand side of Equation (0.4) is a well-defined, direct and constructive way of representing the curvature of a module \mathcal{X}_B : all we require is the differential structure provided by a spectral triple or unbounded Kasparov module.

Going beyond finitely generated modules, for countably generated C^* - B -modules we not only require a differentiable structure induced from a differentiable structure on B , but we also need to fix a regular operator S on X . This operator should be thought of

as defining a vertical differential structure on X . Hilbert C^* -modules are generalizations of continuous fields of Hilbert spaces, and such fields are trivial if and only if they are locally trivial. Thus, in order to detect nontrivial topological content, working at the continuous level will not suffice. Differential structures on continuous fields of Hilbert spaces are not naturally given, and need to be prescribed. This phenomenon requires us to talk about both horizontal and vertical differentiability, and as already noted, these considerations are compatible with KK -factorization.

Examples where curvature appears in the context of unbounded Kasparov theory is in the factorization of Dirac operators on Riemannian submersions and G -spectral triples [8,10,30]. In Section 5 we will illustrate our notion of curvature for Riemannian submersions $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ of compact Riemannian manifolds M and B . The Dirac operator on M admits a KK -factorization $D_M = D_V \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B + \tilde{c}(\Omega)$, where ∇ is a connection on the module of vertical vector fields \mathcal{E}^V on M and $\tilde{c}(\Omega)$ is the Clifford representation of the curvature 3-form of the fibration $M \rightarrow B$ (see [30]). The curvature of ∇ , viewed as a connection in the $C(B)$ Hilbert module completion of \mathcal{E}^V is then given by

$$R_{\nabla}(\xi) = (1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B)^2 - 1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B^2$$

$$= \sum_{j,k} \left(\sum_i \Omega(\cdot, \cdot, e_i)e_i + dA^{\mathcal{E}^V} + A^{\mathcal{E}^V} \wedge A^{\mathcal{E}^V} + \frac{1}{2}k \wedge A^{\mathcal{E}^V} + \frac{1}{2}dk \right) ((f_j)_H, (f_k)_H) \gamma_j \gamma_k \xi,$$

where $A^{\mathcal{E}^V}$ is the connection form of ∇ , k is the mean curvature of $M \rightarrow B$ and e_i, f_j are local frames of respectively vertical vector fields and vector fields on B , and γ_j are flat Dirac matrices. As such, the curvature operator contains the information of the second fundamental form of the Riemannian submersion, the mean curvature associated to it, as well as the curvature of the metric connection used in the Kasparov product. Note once again that all this geometric information becomes available only at the unbounded level, thus refining the topological information present at the level of bounded KK -theory.

Section 1 outlines both the algebraic and analytic aspects of differential forms. Section 2 outlines the analysis required to make sense of the curvature operator, and especially second derivatives. Section 3 outlines the consequences for finitely generated projective modules, Section 4 gives results for the special case of Grassmann connections, and in Section 5 we outline the application to Riemannian submersions.

Notation

All algebras denoted by symbols A, B, C are assumed to be *unital* and *trivially graded*. All modules denoted by symbols X, Y are assumed to be \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded, with grading operator γ_X, γ_Y or simply γ . Consequently, the algebras $\mathbb{B}(X)$ of adjointable operators and $\mathbb{K}(X)$ of compact operators on such modules are \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded as well. Homomorphisms between graded algebras are assumed to respect the grading. All commutators $[a, b]$ are graded commutators, which, for homogeneous elements a, b with degrees $\partial a, \partial b$ respectively are defined to be

$$[a, b] := ab - (-1)^{\partial a \partial b} ba,$$

and extended by linearity. Sometimes we write $[\cdot, \cdot]_+$ for anticommutators for emphasis.

We use various completed tensor products. The algebraic tensor product of modules will be denoted \otimes^{alg} , the completed tensor product of Hilbert C^* -modules will be denoted \otimes , the completed projective tensor product of locally convex vector spaces will be denoted $\widehat{\otimes}$, and Haagerup tensor product of operator spaces will be denoted \otimes^h .

Acknowledgments The authors acknowledge the support of the Erwin Schrödinger Institute during the Thematic Programme *Bivariant K -theory in geometry and physics* in November 2018, when a substantial part of this work was conducted. AR and BM thank the Gothenburg Centre for Advanced Studies in Science and Technology for funding and the University of Gothenburg and Chalmers University of Technology for their hospitality in November 2017 when this project took shape. We thank Branimir Čačić, Alan Carey, Jens Kaad and Giovanni Landi for inspiring feedback in the course of this work. BM thanks Matthias Lesch for numerous conversations related to some of the technical aspects of this work.

1. Universal differential forms for C^2 -Kasparov modules

This section develops the necessary tools to talk about universal differential forms in the context of unbounded Kasparov modules. We do not need forms of all degrees: in order to talk about curvature, degrees one and two suffice and we restrict attention to these degrees.

We carefully capture the corresponding C^1 - and C^2 -topologies in terms of suitable operator $*$ -algebras (see [4]), which we will first introduce.

1.1. Operator $*$ -algebras and differential structures

Throughout this section we will develop our approach to differential structure relative to a fixed unbounded *Kasparov module* (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) . This Kasparov module consists of a complex $*$ -algebra \mathcal{B} , a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hilbert module Y over a C^* -algebra C , and an odd self-adjoint regular operator $T : \text{Dom } T \rightarrow Y$. This data satisfies the requirements:

1. there is an *injective* $*$ -representation $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(Y)$;
2. for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $b(T \pm i)^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}(Y)$;
3. for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $b : \text{Dom } T \rightarrow \text{Dom } T$ and $[T, b]$ extends to a bounded operator.

Remark 1.1. We note that the property of locally compact resolvent in point 2. is *not used anywhere* in connection with the differential structure provided by T . So for the purposes of differential structure, we may use any unbounded operator on the Hilbert module Y . In particular, one may use indefinite Kasparov modules (non-commutative analogues of pseudo-Riemannian geometries, [20]) to define differential structures.

We can always reduce to the case of operators on a Hilbert space. Given a Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y_C, T) , we may choose an injective Hilbert space representation $C \rightarrow B(H)$. Then we obtain the injective $*$ -homomorphism $\mathbb{K}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(Y \otimes_C H)$ by [33, Proposition 4.7] and since $\mathbb{B}(Y) = M(\mathbb{K}(Y))$ this extends to an injective $*$ -homomorphism $\mathbb{B}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(Y \otimes_C H)$. We may therefore consider $(\mathcal{B}, Y \otimes_C H, T \otimes 1)$.

We write B for the C^* -closure of \mathcal{B} in the norm it inherits from $\mathbb{B}(Y)$ as a $*$ -subalgebra of operators. An *operator space* is a norm closed subspace of a C^* -algebra, an *operator algebra* is an operator space that is closed under multiplication inside the ambient C^* -algebra, and an *operator $*$ -algebra* is an operator algebra that carries a completely isometric involution that need not coincide with the involution in the ambient C^* -algebra, [4, Definition 1.4].

Consider the algebra representation

$$\pi_T^1 : \mathcal{B} \ni b \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ [T, b] & b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{B}(Y \oplus Y).$$

The representation π_T^1 extends to matrices and satisfies the properties

$$\|\pi_T^1(b)^*\| = \|\pi_T^1(b^*)\|, \quad \|b\| \leq \|\pi_T^1(b)\|, \quad b \in M_n(\mathcal{B}),$$

and thus defines an operator $*$ -algebra structure on the closure \mathcal{B}_1 of \mathcal{B} in the norm

$$\|b\|_1 := \|\pi_T^1(b)\|,$$

which is compatible with the C^* -norm on B in the sense that the inclusion $\mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow B$ is a completely contractive homomorphism of operator $*$ -algebras.

We now present the additional C^2 -condition the unbounded Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) is required to satisfy.

Definition 1.2. An unbounded Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) satisfies the C^2 -condition if there is a core $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{Dom } T^2$ for T^2 such that for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$b : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Dom } T^2,$$

and the densely defined operator

$$[T^2, b](T \pm i)^{-1} : (T \pm i)\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Y,$$

extends to a bounded operator on Y .

Note that any core for T^2 is a core for T and that $[T, b]$ extends to a bounded operator for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$ since (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) is an unbounded Kasparov module.

The C^2 -topology on \mathcal{B} is defined as in [39]. A concrete description as an operator $*$ -algebra comes from the algebra representations

$$\pi_T^1 : a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ [T, a] & a \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{B}(Y \oplus Y), \quad \pi_T^2 : a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} (T+i)a(T+i)^{-1} & 0 \\ [T^2, a](T+i)^{-1} & a \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{B}(Y \oplus Y). \tag{1.1}$$

The representation π_T^2 extends to matrices but is not directly compatible with the $*$ -structure (as in [39]), so we define the operator $*$ -norm

$$\|b\|_2 := \max \{ \|\pi_T^1(b)\|, \|\pi_T^2(b)\|, \|\pi_T^2(b^*)\| \}, \quad b \in M_n(\mathcal{B}).$$

The norm $\|b\|_2$ is realized concretely in the representation

$$\pi(a) := \pi_T^1(a) \oplus \pi_T^2(a) \oplus \pi_T^2(a^*)^*. \tag{1.2}$$

This gives the completion \mathcal{B}_2 of \mathcal{B} in the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ the structure of an operator $*$ -algebra [4]. By construction, the inclusions $\mathcal{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow B$ are completely contractive operator $*$ -algebra homomorphisms. We now discuss several realizations of bimodules of universal differential forms over \mathcal{B} .

Remark 1.3. The norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ gives an analogue of a C^2 -norm, and to define this norm we needed the additional smoothness of the Kasparov module described in Definition 1.2. In this light, an unbounded Kasparov module is a C^1 -Kasparov module, having enough smoothness to define the “ C^1 -norm” $\|\cdot\|_1$.

In the sequel we will make frequent use of the Haagerup tensor product for operator spaces (see [5]). Given two operator spaces X and Y their Haagerup tensor product is the completion of $X \otimes^{\text{alg}} Y$ in the norm

$$\|z\|_h^2 := \inf \left\{ \left\| \sum x_i x_i^* \right\| \left\| \sum y_i^* y_i \right\| : z = \sum x_i \otimes y_i \right\},$$

which is denoted $X \otimes^h Y$ and can be shown to be an operator space again. It follows quite directly from the definition that for closed subspaces $A, B \subset \mathbb{B}(H)$, the multiplication map

$$m : A \otimes^h B \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(H), \quad a \otimes b \mapsto ab,$$

is completely contractive, and this property motivates the definition of the Haagerup norm (see [5, Theorem 2.3.2]). For our purposes the following characterization of elements in $X \otimes^h Y$ is of crucial importance.

Proposition 1.4 (Proposition 1.5.6 of [5]). *Let X and Y be operator spaces, and $X \otimes^h Y$ the Haagerup tensor product.*

1. *Let $z \in X \otimes^h Y$ with $\|z\|_h < 1$. Then z can be written as a norm convergent series $z = \sum x_k \otimes y_k$, where $\sum_k x_k x_k^*$ and $\sum y_k^* y_k$ are norm convergent series of norm < 1 in X and Y respectively;*

2. If the sequences $(x_k) \subset X$ and $(y_k) \subset Y$ are such that $\sum_k y_k^* y_k$ is norm convergent and $\sup_N \|\sum_{|k| \leq N} x_k x_k^*\| < \infty$, then $\sum x_k \otimes y_k$ is norm convergent in $X \otimes^h Y$.

If \mathcal{B} is an algebra and X is a right- and Y a left- \mathcal{B} module, the Haagerup module tensor product $X \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^h Y$ is the quotient of $X \otimes^h Y$ by the closed subspace generated by $xb \otimes y - x \otimes by$ (see [5, Section 3.4.2]). Hilbert C^* -modules carry a natural operator space structure inherited from the embedding into their linking algebra. The following theorem characterizes the Haagerup module tensor product for Hilbert C^* -modules.

Theorem 1.5 (Theorem 4.3 of [3]). *Let X and Y be Hilbert C^* -modules over C^* -algebras B and C respectively, and suppose $B \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(Y)$ is a $*$ -homomorphism. Then the Hilbert C^* -module tensor product $X \otimes_B Y$ is completely isometrically isomorphic to the Haagerup module tensor product $X \otimes_B^h Y$.*

We will use Theorem 1.5 result freely in the sequel. We use the symbol \otimes_B for the C^* -module tensor product, \otimes_B^h for the Haagerup module tensor product and \otimes_B^{alg} for the balanced algebraic tensor product.

1.2. Universal and represented differential forms for C^2 -spectral triples

We wish to define bimodules of universal 1-forms and 2-forms associated to a C^2 -Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) . To this end we use the Haagerup tensor product for the unital operator $*$ -algebras $\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_1$ and B . To define universal one-forms we need to consider the kernel of the multiplication map $m : B \times B \rightarrow B$ restricted to suitable subalgebras. We define three spaces of *universal one-forms* for the Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) .

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_2) &:= \ker (B \otimes^h \mathcal{B}_2 \xrightarrow{m} B) \\ \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) &:= \ker (B \otimes^h \mathcal{B}_1 \xrightarrow{m} B) \\ \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) &:= \ker (\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes^h \mathcal{B}_2 \xrightarrow{m} \mathcal{B}_1), \end{aligned}$$

with m the multiplication map. As m is a complete contraction on each of these spaces, the respective modules of forms are operator bimodules for the respective algebras.

We denote elements of $\Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$ (for instance) as $\sum_i a_i \delta(b_i)$ where $\delta(b) = 1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1$. Here we should take $a_i \in B$ and $b_i \in \mathcal{B}_1$, with similar descriptions of the other bimodules of one-forms.

1.2.1. Rough algebraic outline

Let us give a brief algebraic sketch of what we need our forms to do, so that the purpose of the analysis to follow is clear. There is a map

$$\pi_T : \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(Y), \quad \pi_T(a\delta(b)) = a[T, b].$$

The range is denoted $\Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$, and these are called the represented one forms. Restricting π_T to the other bimodules gives different spaces of one-forms. To discuss curvature we need to consider two-forms. Universally we have a few options, for instance,

$$\Omega_u^2(B, \mathcal{B}_2) := \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2).$$

The common factor of \mathcal{B}_1 allows us to use the Leibniz rule

$$a\delta(b_1)b_2\delta(c) = a\delta(b_1b_2)\delta(c) - ab_1\delta(b_2)\delta(c), \quad a \in B, \quad b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}_1, \quad c \in \mathcal{B}_2,$$

to see that all two-forms can be represented as sums $\sum_i a_i\delta(b_i)\delta(c_i)$ for appropriate algebra elements. The *universal differential* $\delta : \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} a\delta(b) &= a \otimes b - ab \otimes 1 \mapsto (1 \otimes a - a \otimes 1) \otimes (1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1) = \delta(a)\delta(b), \\ &= 1 \otimes a \otimes b - 1 \otimes ab \otimes 1 - a \otimes 1 \otimes b + a \otimes b \otimes 1 \end{aligned}$$

and satisfies $\delta^2(a) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{B}_2$.

By declaring the symbol δ to be odd, we obtain a \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading on the various spaces of universal one-forms Ω_u^1 . Since B is trivially graded, all elements of Ω_u^1 are odd.

Since the map π_T is B -bilinear, and the Haagerup tensor product linearizes operator multiplication, we can also represent our two-forms in $\mathbb{B}(Y)$ via

$$m \circ (\pi_T \otimes \pi_T) : \Omega_u^2(B, \mathcal{B}_2) \ni a\delta(b)\delta(c) \mapsto \pi_T(a\delta(b))\pi_T(\delta(c)) = a[T, b][T, c].$$

The map $m \circ (\pi_T \otimes \pi_T)$ is compatible with $*$ -structures as well if we define $\delta(a)^* = -\delta(a^*)$ for $a \in \mathcal{B}_1$.

As is well-known, there is typically no differential $d : \Omega_T^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_T^2(B, \mathcal{B}_2)$ such that $\pi_T \circ \delta = d \circ \pi_T$. The naive formula

$$d \left(\sum_i b_i[T, c_i] \right) = \sum_i [T, b_i][T, c_i]$$

is not well-defined. It can happen that $\sum_i b_i[T, c_i] = 0$ while $\sum_i [T, b_i][T, c_i] \neq 0$. The forms in $\mathcal{J}_T^2 := \pi_T(\delta(\ker(\pi_T)))$ are known as *junk forms*.

Below we will identify analytic spaces of represented one- and two-forms which will serve as suitable receptacles for curvature.

1.2.2. The formal definitions of represented forms

We again fix a C^2 -Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) . By construction, the operator $*$ -algebra \mathcal{B}_1 acts completely contractively on the Hilbert space $\text{Dom } T$ equipped with the graph norm. Via this representation the C^* -algebra $\mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T)$ becomes an operator \mathcal{B}_1 -bimodule. Furthermore recall that the operator norm on $\mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T)$ can be expressed as

$$\|R\|_{\mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T)} = \|(T + i)R(T + i)^{-1}\|_{\mathbb{B}(Y)}, \quad R \in \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T),$$

which we will exploit in the proof of the following Lemma.

Lemma 1.6. *The map $b \mapsto [T, b]$ defines completely bounded derivations*

$$\delta_T : \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(Y), \quad \delta_T : \mathcal{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T).$$

Hence there are completely bounded maps

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_T : \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) &\rightarrow \mathbb{B}(Y), \quad a \otimes b \mapsto a[T, b] \\ \pi_T : \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) &\rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T), \quad a \otimes b \mapsto a[T, b]. \end{aligned}$$

The map $b \mapsto [T^2, b]$ extends to a completely bounded derivation

$$\delta_{T^2} : \mathcal{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y).$$

Hence there is a completely bounded map

$$\pi_{T^2} : \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y), \quad a \otimes b \mapsto a[T^2, b].$$

We can extend δ_T to a completely bounded map

$$\delta_T : \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T) \cap \mathbb{B}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y),$$

such that $\delta_T : \pi_T(\Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y)$ is of the form

$$\delta_T(a[T, b]) := T(a[T, b]) + (a[T, b])T = [T, a][T, b] + a[T^2, b], \tag{1.3}$$

and the composition $\delta_T \circ \pi_T : \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y)$ is a completely bounded map.

Proof. Note that to extend estimates to $N \times N$ -matrices, we replace Y by Y^N and T by $\text{diag } T : (\text{Dom } T)^N \rightarrow Y^N$, so we will prove the statements for $N = 1$. The statements about $\delta_T : \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(Y)$ and $\pi_T : \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(Y)$ are proved in [8, Proposition 2.21]. The estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_i a_i [T, b_i] \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T)} &= \left\| (T + i) \left(\sum_i a_i [T, b_i] \right) (T + i)^{-1} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(Y)} \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_i a_i [T, b_i] \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(Y)} + \left\| \left[T, \left(\sum_i a_i [T, b_i] \right) (T + i)^{-1} \right] \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(Y)} \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_i a_i [T, b_i] \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(Y)} + \left\| \sum_i [T, a_i] [T, b_i] \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(Y)} \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_i a_i [T^2, b_i] (T + i)^{-1} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(Y)}, \end{aligned}$$

shows that $\|\sum_i a_i [T, b_i]\|_{\mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T)} \leq 3\|\sum a_i \otimes b_i\|_{\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes^h \mathcal{B}_2}$. This proves the statements about $\delta_T : \mathcal{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T)$ and $\pi_T : \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T)$.

For $b \in \mathcal{B}_2$, the operator $[T^2, b]$ is defined on $\text{Dom } T^2$ and $[T^2, b](T+i)^{-1} : \text{Dom } T \rightarrow Y$ extends to a bounded operator on Y . Thus if $\xi_n \in \text{Dom } T^2 \rightarrow \xi \in \text{Dom } T$ in the graph norm of T , then $[T^2, b]\xi_n = [T^2, b](T+i)^{-1}(T+i)\xi_n$ is convergent. The estimate

$$\|[T^2, b]\xi\| \leq \|[T^2, b](T+i)^{-1}\| \|(T+i)\xi\|,$$

holds on the T -graph-norm dense subspace $\text{Dom } T^2 \subset \text{Dom } T$, and shows that the derivation δ_{T^2} is completely bounded as a map $\mathcal{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y)$. We denote the cb -norm of δ_{T^2} by $\|\delta_{T^2}\|_{cb}$. Complete boundedness of $\pi_{T^2} : \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y)$ now follows from the standard Haagerup estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_i a_i [T^2, b_i] \right\|^2 &\leq \left\| \sum a_i a_i^* \right\|_B \left\| \sum_i [T^2, b_i]^* [T^2, b_i] \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y)} \\ &\leq \|\delta_{T^2}\|_{cb}^2 \|(a_i)^t\|_B^2 \|(b_i)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $(a_i)^t$ is a row vector and (b_i) a column. Lastly, since $T \in \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y)$ we have that

$$\delta_T : \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T) \cap \mathbb{B}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y), \quad a \mapsto [T, a],$$

is well-defined and completely bounded when we equip $\mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T) \cap \mathbb{B}(Y)$ with the operator algebra norm $\|a\|_{\mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T) \cap \mathbb{B}(Y)} := \max\{\|a\|_{\mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T)}, \|a\|_{\mathbb{B}(Y)}\}$. Since

$$\pi_T : \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T) \cap \mathbb{B}(Y),$$

this proves that $\delta_T \circ \pi_T : \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y)$ is a completely bounded map. \square

The appearance of commutators $[T^2, b]$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}$ is a consequence of the natural norm on the domain of T . Somewhat more heuristically, it can be considered as a consequence of trying to extend the derivation $b \mapsto [T, b]$ to one-forms via the graded commutator

$$a[T, b] \mapsto \delta_T(a[T, b]) = [T, a[T, b]]_+ = [T, a][T, b] + a[T^2, b].$$

Thus the graded commutator implements the ill-defined ‘‘differential’’ $a[T, b] \mapsto [T, a][T, b]$, up to the unwanted unbounded term $a[T^2, b]$, as in Equation (1.3).

Definition 1.7. For a C^2 -Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) we denote by $\Omega_T^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ the closure of the image of the map

$$\pi_T : \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T),$$

and by $\Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$ the closure of the image of the map

$$\pi_T : \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(Y).$$

Note that $\Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$ contains the module of differential forms Ω_T^1 defined by Connes in [9, Chapter VI] as a dense subspace (for which only finite linear combinations of the $a[T, b]$ are allowed). However, in the case that Ω_T^1 is a finitely generated projective (right) \mathcal{B} -module, they coincide.

Definition 1.8. For a C^2 -Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) we denote by $\Omega_T^2(\mathcal{B}_1)$ the closure of the image of the map $m \circ (\pi_T \otimes \pi_T)$, defined as the composition

$$\Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_1) \xrightarrow{\pi_T \otimes \pi_T} \mathbb{B}(Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \mathbb{B}(Y) \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{B}(Y). \tag{1.4}$$

The space so defined can be identified with the natural space of two-forms over (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) .

Lemma 1.9. *The closure of the range of the map*

$$m \circ (\pi_T \otimes \pi_T) : \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(Y),$$

coincides with the space of two-forms

$$\Omega_T^2(\mathcal{B}_1) = \overline{\left\{ \sum a_i[T, b_i][T, c_i] : a_i \in B, b_i, c_i \in \mathcal{B}_1 \right\}},$$

over \mathcal{B}_1 .

Proof. To see that the closure of the range coincides with $\Omega_T^2(\mathcal{B}_1)$, consider a finite sum

$$\sum_{i,j} a_i[T, b_i]c_j[T, d_j] = \sum_{i,j} a_i[T, b_i c_j][T, d_j] - a_i b_i [T, c_j][T, d_j] = \sum_{i,j} a_i[T, b_i c_j][T, d_j],$$

since $\sum_i a_i b_i = 0$. Hence we have an inclusion $\text{Ran } m \circ (\pi_T \otimes \pi_T) \subset \Omega_T^2(\mathcal{B}_1)$. Since any $c \in \mathcal{B}_1$ is a limit of $c_i \in \mathcal{B}_2$ such that $[T, c_i] \rightarrow [T, c]$, all expressions $a[T, b][T, c]$ are in the range of $m \circ (\pi_T \otimes \pi_T)$ and the reverse inclusion follows as well. \square

1.3. Second derivatives and junk forms

We now provide a discussion of junk forms for Kasparov modules in our analytic context. The rather strange representation of forms given by π_{T^2} turns out to be precisely what is required to cancel out the unwanted term in the anticommutator $[T, a[T, b]]_+$. In turn, this cancellation allows us to both represent curvature and capture junk.

Proposition 1.10. *For any $\omega \in \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ we have that*

$$\delta_T \circ \pi_T(\omega) - \pi_{T^2}(\omega) = m \circ (\pi_T \otimes \pi_T)(\delta\omega) \in \Omega_T^2(\mathcal{B}_1) \subset \mathbb{B}(Y).$$

The map $\delta_T \circ \pi_T - \pi_{T^2} : \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_T^2(\mathcal{B}_1)$ is completely contractive. In particular for $\omega \in \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ such that $\pi_T(\omega) = 0$, the operator $\pi_{T^2}(\omega)$ is well-defined and bounded on Y .

Proof. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_{ij}) \in M_N(\Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2))$. Approximate α by a series

$$\alpha_n := \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \otimes b_{kj} \right)_{ij} \in M_N((\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{B}_2) \cap \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)), \tag{1.5}$$

using Proposition 1.4.1. By abuse of notation we denote the self-adjoint regular operator $\text{diag } T : (\text{Dom } T)^N \rightarrow Y^N$ by T and we identify $M_N(\mathbb{B}(Y))$ with $\mathbb{B}(Y^N)$. The identity

$$[T, \pi_T(\alpha_n)] = \left([T, \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} [T, b_{kj}]]_+ \right)_{ij} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n [T, a_{ik}] [T, b_{kj}] + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} [T^2, b_{kj}] \right)_{ij},$$

is valid in $\mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y^N)$. By continuity of $\delta_T \circ \pi_T$ the left hand side of this equation converges (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) in $\mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y^N)$. By continuity of π_{T^2} we have $\pi_{T^2}(\alpha_n) \rightarrow \pi_{T^2}(\alpha)$ in $\mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y^N)$. Therefore $(\sum_{k=1}^n [T, a_{ik}] [T, b_{kj}])_{ij}$ is convergent in $\mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y^N)$. Since there is a complete contraction $\mathcal{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1$ we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=\ell}^m [T, a_{ik}] [T, b_{kj}] \right)_{ij} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(Y^N)} &\leq \| (a_{ik})_{k=m}^\ell \|_{\mathcal{B}_1} \| (b_{kj})_{k=m}^\ell \|_{\mathcal{B}_1} \\ &\leq \| (a_{ik})_{k=m}^\ell \|_{\mathcal{B}_1} \| (b_{kj})_{k=m}^\ell \|_{\mathcal{B}_2}, \end{aligned}$$

from which we infer that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=\ell}^m [T, a_{ik}] [T, b_{kj}] \right)_{ij} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(Y^N)} \leq \| \alpha_k - \alpha_m \|_{M_N(\Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2))} \rightarrow 0.$$

It thus follows that the series

$$\left(\sum_k [T, a_{ik}] [T, b_{kj}] \right)_{ij},$$

is norm convergent in $\mathbb{B}(Y^N)$, proving that $\delta_T \circ \pi_T(\omega) - \pi_{T^2}(\omega) \in M_N(\Omega_T^2) \subset \mathbb{B}(Y^N)$. The above estimates show that

$$\| (\delta_T \circ \pi_T - \pi_{T^2})(\omega) \|_{\mathbb{B}(Y^N)} \leq \| \omega \|_{M_N(\Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2))}, \quad \omega \in M_N(\Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)),$$

which proves that $\delta_T \circ \pi_T - \pi_{T^2}$ is completely contractive. In case $\omega \in \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ and $\pi_T(\omega) = \sum_i a_i[T, b_i] = 0$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{T^2}(\omega) &= \sum_i a_i[T^2, b_i] = \sum_i a_i T[T, b_i] + a_i[T, b_i]T \\ &= \sum_i [a_i, T][T, b_i] + \sum_i T a_i[T, b_i] = - \sum_i [T, a_i][T, b_i] \in \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, Y). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\pi_{T^2}(\omega)$ extends to a bounded operator on Y . \square

Corollary 1.11. *Let $\pi_{T^2} : \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_{T^2}^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ and $\pi_T : \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_T^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ be the universal maps. Then $\ker \pi_T$ is a closed sub-bimodule of $\Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ and the closed bimodule of junk forms*

$$\mathcal{J}_T^2(\mathcal{B}_1) := \overline{\left\{ \sum_i [T, a_i][T, b_i] : \sum_i a_i b_i = \sum_i a_i [T, b_i] = \sum_i [T, a_i] b_i = 0 \right\}},$$

is equal to the closure of the space $\pi_{T^2}(\ker \pi_T)$.

2. Curvature in unbounded KK-theory

We now come to the main construction in this paper, which is the notion of curvature in the context of the unbounded Kasparov product. As mentioned in the introduction, it is our goal to make sense of the following formula

$$R_{(S, \nabla_T)} = (S \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2 - S^2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T^2.$$

For this we again fix an unbounded Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) which will provide our reference ‘horizontal’ differential structure. For the most part we make no use of the (locally) compact resolvent of T , only occasionally (and always explicitly) requiring that (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) defines a KK -class. More important are the various modules of forms, junk and representations

$$\Omega_T^1(\mathcal{B}_*, \mathcal{B}_*), \quad \mathcal{J}_T, \quad \pi_T, \quad \pi_{T^2}$$

defined as in the last section.

In order to define the curvature we need to introduce a suitable notion of C^2 -connection, along with some ‘vertical’ differentiability conditions on the C^* -module X . As we will see, the latter is phrased naturally in terms of the self-adjoint regular operator S on X .

2.1. The definition of C^1 and C^2 -connections

In order to define curvature we need a suitable notion of C^2 -connection. We require the notion of “form-valued inner products”. If we have $x, y \in X$ and $\omega \in \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ (for instance), we define pairings

$$\langle x, y \otimes \omega \rangle := \langle x, y \rangle \otimes \omega, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle x \otimes \omega, y \rangle := \omega^* \otimes \langle x, y \rangle, \tag{2.1}$$

which are compatible with the balancing relation over \mathcal{B}_1 .

Definition 2.1. Let X be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded C^* -module over B and (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) a C^k -unbounded Kasparov module ($k = 1, 2$). A dense \mathcal{B}_k -submodule $\mathcal{X} \subset X$ is *horizontally C^k -differentiable* with respect to (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) if for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ we have that $\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathcal{B}_k$.

Remark 2.2. We will develop curvature with the minimal smoothness assumptions that we can, working with horizontally differentiable C^1 -modules where possible, and imposing further C^2 -structure as we need it.

Definition 2.3. Let \mathcal{X} be a right \mathcal{B} -module with grading operator γ . A *universal connection* on \mathcal{X} is a linear map

$$\nabla : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1), \quad \nabla(\gamma(x)) = (\gamma \otimes 1)\nabla(x),$$

which satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$\nabla(xb) = \nabla(x)b + \gamma(x) \otimes \delta(b), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \quad b \in \mathcal{B}_1.$$

If in addition ∇ satisfies

$$\langle \gamma(x), \nabla(y) \rangle - \langle \nabla(\gamma(x)), y \rangle = \delta(\langle x, y \rangle), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X},$$

then ∇ is said to be *Hermitian* or compatible.

We write $\nabla_T := \pi_T \circ \nabla : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(\mathcal{B}_1)$ and call the composition the *represented connection induced by ∇* .

Lemma 2.4. Let \mathcal{X} be a horizontally differentiable C^1 -module for the unbounded Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) and $\nabla : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$ a Hermitian connection. Then

$$1 \otimes_{\nabla} T : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T \rightarrow X \otimes_B Y, \quad x \otimes y \mapsto \gamma(x) \otimes Ty + \nabla_T(x)y,$$

is a densely-defined odd symmetric operator in $X \otimes_B Y$.

Proof. On $X \otimes_B \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$ we have $(1 \otimes \gamma)(x \otimes \omega_T)y = -x \otimes \omega_T\gamma(y)$. Since $\gamma \otimes \gamma = (\gamma \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \gamma)$, for $x \otimes y \in \mathcal{X} \otimes_B^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma \otimes \gamma)(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)(x \otimes y) &= (\gamma \otimes \gamma)(\gamma(x) \otimes Ty + \nabla_T(x)y) \\ &= -x \otimes T\gamma(y) - (\gamma \otimes 1)\nabla_T(x)\gamma(y) \\ &= -x \otimes T\gamma(y) - \nabla_T(\gamma(x))\gamma(y) \\ &= -(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)(\gamma \otimes \gamma)(x \otimes y), \end{aligned}$$

so $1 \otimes_{\nabla} T$ is an odd operator. For symmetry, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)(x \otimes y), x' \otimes y' \rangle &= \langle \langle x', \gamma(x) \rangle Ty, y' \rangle + \langle \nabla_T(x)y, x' \otimes y' \rangle \\ &= -\langle [T, \langle \gamma(x'), x \rangle] y, y' \rangle + \langle \langle \gamma(x'), x \rangle y, Ty' \rangle + \langle \nabla_T(x)y, x' \otimes y' \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle \nabla_T(x'), x \rangle y, y' \rangle - \langle \langle x', \nabla_T(x) \rangle y, y' \rangle + \langle y, \langle x, \gamma(x') \rangle Ty' \rangle + \langle \nabla_T(x)y, x' \otimes y' \rangle \\ &= \langle y, \langle x, \nabla_T(x') \rangle y' \rangle + \langle y, \langle x, \gamma(x') \rangle Ty' \rangle \\ &= \langle x \otimes y, \gamma(x') \otimes Ty' \rangle + \langle x \otimes y, \nabla_T(x')y' \rangle \\ &= \langle x \otimes y, (1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)(x' \otimes y') \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Extending by linearity completes the proof. \square

A horizontal C^1 -structure is all one requires for finitely generated modules, but it is not sufficient to describe the connections and curvature of countably generated modules. In order to define appropriate notions of connections, we need to introduce some further smoothness on the C^* -module X .

Let $S : \text{Dom } S \rightarrow X$ be a self-adjoint regular operator on X . We think of S as defining a vertical differential structure on X . The presence of a compatible horizontal differentiable structure for a Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) then provides us with the correct notion of differentiable submodule.

We do not require that the data (X, S) define an unbounded Kasparov module, although this is often the case in examples.

Definition 2.5. Let (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) be a C^1 -Kasparov module. A C^1 -module for (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) is a pair (\mathcal{X}, S) such that

1. \mathcal{X} is a horizontally differentiable C^1 -module with C^* -closure X ;
2. $S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ is an essentially self-adjoint and regular operator on X .

A C^1 -connection on a C^1 -module (\mathcal{X}, S) is a Hermitian connection

$$\nabla : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1),$$

such that $S \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T : \mathcal{X} \otimes_B^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T \rightarrow X \otimes_B Y$ is essentially self-adjoint and regular.

Remark 2.6. The choice $S = 0$ is allowed for defining a vertical differential structure.

Given a C^1 -Hermitian connection $\nabla : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$ we can define a completion of \mathcal{X} by

$$\mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T} := \{x \in X : \exists x_n \in \mathcal{X}, x_n \rightarrow x \in X, \nabla_T(x_n) \rightarrow z \in X \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(\mathcal{B}_1)\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proposition 2.7 ([4] Section 3.6, Lemma 3.4). *Let (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) be a C^1 -Kasparov module and (\mathcal{X}, S) a C^1 -module. The space \mathcal{X}_{∇_T} is an operator $*$ -module over \mathcal{B}_1 . Moreover, for every $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}$ the linear map $|x\rangle : Y \rightarrow X \otimes_B Y, y \mapsto x \otimes y$ satisfies*

$$|x\rangle : \text{Dom } T \rightarrow \text{Dom } 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T, \quad \text{and} \quad (|\gamma(x)\rangle T - 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T|x\rangle) \in \mathbb{B}(Y, X \otimes_B Y),$$

and the identity map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ extends to a completely contractive inclusion $\iota : \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T} \rightarrow X$.

We define X^S to the completion of X in the norm

$$\|x\|^S := \|(S + i)^{-1}x\| = \|(S - i)^{-1}x\|.$$

The norm on X^S is induced from the inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle^S := \langle (S + i)^{-1}x, (S + i)^{-1}y \rangle,$$

and X^S is a Hilbert C^* -module in this inner product. It is appropriate to think of X^S as a degree -1 Sobolev space associated to S , as the following observation shows.

Lemma 2.8. *For $x \in X$ the maps $x \mapsto (S + i)^{-1}x, x \mapsto (S - i)^{-1}x$ can be viewed as densely defined maps $X^S \rightarrow X$ and these extend to unitary isomorphisms $X^S \rightarrow X$.*

Remark 2.9. One naturally expects that defining second derivatives would require two Sobolev spaces, normally $W_2^2 \rightarrow W_1^2 \rightarrow L^2$. In order to accommodate countably generated modules and Kasparov modules with only a C^1 -structure, we take a slightly different route and introduce a space $X_{\nabla_T}^S$ that behaves like a -1 Sobolev space in the vertical direction but a $+1$ Sobolev space in the horizontal direction.

We define $\mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S$ to be the completion of \mathcal{X} in the operator space topology induced by the norm

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S} := \max \left\{ \|(S + i)^{-1}x\|_X, \|(S + i)^{-1}\nabla_T(x)\|_{X \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)} \right\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Since the norm on \mathcal{X}_{∇_T} dominates the norm on $\mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S$, the identity map on \mathcal{X} extends to a complete contraction $\iota_S : \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S$. To define the appropriate notion of C^2 -connection, we need the operators $S \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes_{\nabla} T$ to be compatible in a more precise way. We introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.10 (cf. [28,38,40]). Let (s, t) be self-adjoint regular operators in the Hilbert C^* -module E . We say that (s, t) is a *vertically anticommuting pair* if

1. $(s \pm i)^{-1} : \text{Dom } t \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(s, t) := \{e \in \text{Dom } s \cap \text{Dom } t : se \in \text{Dom } t, te \in \text{Dom } s\}$;
2. $st + ts : \mathcal{F}(s, t) \rightarrow E$ extends to $\text{Dom } s$.

Remark 2.11. The definition of vertically anticommuting pair is an asymmetric version of [38, Definition 2.1] and was used in [28,40]. The main result is that $s + t$ is self-adjoint and regular on $\text{Dom } s \cap \text{Dom } t$. In this paper we require the more restrictive asymmetric version, which is sufficient to cover many geometric examples, is compatible with the unbounded Kasparov product and seems to be necessary for other technical reasons.

Lemma 2.12. Let (\mathcal{X}, S) be a C^1 -module over the Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) and $\nabla : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_1)$ a connection such that $(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_\nabla T)$ is a vertically anticommuting pair. Then the identity map extends to a completely contractive injection $\mathcal{X}_{\nabla T}^S \rightarrow X^S$.

Proof. Since the norm on $\mathcal{X}_{\nabla T}^S$ dominates the norm on X^S , the fact that the identity map on \mathcal{X} extends to a complete contraction is immediate. To see that this map is injective, let $x_n \in \mathcal{X}$ be a sequence such that $(S + i)^{-1}x_n \rightarrow 0$ and $(S + i)^{-1}\nabla_T(x_n)$ is convergent. For $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \text{Dom } T$,

$$\nabla_T(x)y = (1 \otimes_\nabla T)(x \otimes y) - \gamma(x) \otimes Ty.$$

Using this and the fact that $(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_\nabla T)$ is a vertically anticommuting pair we write

$$\begin{aligned} (S + i)^{-1}\nabla_T(x_n)y &= (S + i)^{-1}(1 \otimes_\nabla T)(x_n \otimes y) - (S + i)^{-1}\gamma(x_n) \otimes Ty \\ &= -(1 \otimes_\nabla T)(S - i)^{-1}(x_n) \otimes y + \gamma((S - i)^{-1}x_n) \otimes Ty \\ &\quad + (S + i)^{-1}[S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_\nabla T]_+(S - i)^{-1}x_n \otimes y. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(S - i)^{-1}x_n$ is convergent to 0 and $(S + i)^{-1}[S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_\nabla T]$ is bounded, it follows that $(1 \otimes_\nabla T)(S - i)^{-1}(x_n) \otimes y$ is convergent. Since $1 \otimes_\nabla T$ is closed, the limit of the latter sequence must be 0. Thus $(S + i)^{-1}\nabla_T(x_n)y$ converges to 0 for $y \in \text{Dom } T$. Since $(S + i)^{-1}\nabla_T(x_n)$ is convergent in $\mathbb{B}(Y, X \otimes_B Y)$, its limit must be 0. \square

Before introducing the curvature operator we require some technical domain results.

Lemma 2.13. Let (\mathcal{X}, S) be a C^1 -module over the Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) and let $\nabla_T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_1)$ be a connection such that $(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_\nabla T)$ is a vertically anticommuting pair. Then:

1. $(1 \otimes_\nabla T)(S + i)^{-1} \otimes 1$ is defined on $\text{Dom } 1 \otimes_\nabla T$ and closable. There is an equality of domains

$$\text{Dom}((1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)(S \pm i)^{-1} \otimes 1) = \text{Dom}((S \mp i)^{-1} \otimes 1(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)),$$

of closed operators in $X \otimes_B Y$.

2. $\nabla_T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$ extends to a map $\nabla_T : \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \rightarrow X^S \otimes_B \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$.
3. $(S+i)^{-1} \otimes 1 : X^S \otimes_B Y \rightarrow X \otimes_B Y$ restricts to a map $(S+i)^{-1} \otimes 1 : \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \text{Dom } T \rightarrow \text{Dom}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)$.

Proof. In the following we will frequently write S for $S \otimes 1$. We first prove that

$$\text{Dom}((1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)(S + i)^{-1}) = \text{Dom}((S - i)^{-1}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)).$$

Since $(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)$ is a vertically anticommuting pair, both operators are initially defined on $\text{Dom } 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T$ and

$$(S - i)^{-1}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T) + (1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)(S + i)^{-1} = (S - i)^{-1}[1 \otimes_{\nabla} T, S \otimes 1]_+(S + i)^{-1},$$

is a bounded operator. Hence if $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ in $X \otimes_B Y$ then $(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)(S + i)^{-1}\xi_n$ converges if and only if $(S - i)^{-1}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)\xi_n$ converges. So both operators are closable and have the same closure.

2. Suppose that $x_n \in \mathcal{X}$ is a sequence converging to $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S$, that is $(S + i)^{-1}x_n$ and $(S + i)^{-1}\nabla_T(x_n)$ are both Cauchy sequences. Thus $\nabla_T(x_n)$ converges to an element $z \in X^S \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$. By Lemma 2.12, if $x_n \rightarrow 0 \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S$ then $z = 0$ and the map $x \mapsto \nabla_T(x) := z \in X^S \otimes_B \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$ is well defined for $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S$.

3. We use that $(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)$ is a vertically anticommuting pair. Suppose that $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S$, which by construction is a submodule of X^S , so that $(S + i)^{-1}x \in X$.

Take a finite row $\xi = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $x_i \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}$ and a finite column $\eta = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^t$ with $y_j \in \text{Dom } T$. Observe that

$$(S + i)^{-1}x_i \otimes y_i \in \mathcal{F}(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T) \subset \text{Dom } 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and write $\xi \otimes \eta = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T$. Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T(S + i)^{-1}\xi \otimes \eta &= (S - i)^{-1}[S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T]_+(S + i)^{-1}\xi \otimes \eta \\ &\quad - (S - i)^{-1}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)(\xi \otimes \eta) \\ &= (S - i)^{-1}[S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T]_+(S + i)^{-1}\xi \otimes \eta \\ &\quad - (S - i)^{-1}\gamma(\xi) \otimes T\eta - (S - i)^{-1}\nabla_T(\xi)\eta \\ &= (S - i)^{-1}[S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T]_+(S + i)^{-1}\xi \otimes \eta \\ &\quad + \gamma((S + i)^{-1}\xi) \otimes T\eta - (S - i)^{-1}\nabla_T(\xi)\eta, \end{aligned}$$

from which, using Theorem 1.5, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|1 \otimes_{\nabla} T(S+i)^{-1} \xi \otimes \eta\|_{X \otimes_B Y} &\leq C \|(S+i)^{-1} \xi\|_X \|\eta\|_Y + \|(S+i)^{-1} \xi\|_X \|T\eta\|_Y \\ &\quad + \|(S-i)^{-1} \nabla_T(\xi)\|_{X \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)} \|\eta\|_Y. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

The estimate (2.4) implies that

$$\|1 \otimes_{\nabla} T(S+i)^{-1} \xi \otimes \eta\|_{X \otimes_B Y} \leq C \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S} \|\eta\|_{\text{Dom } T},$$

and thus that

$$\|1 \otimes_{\nabla} T(S+i)^{-1} \xi \otimes \eta\| \leq C \|\xi \otimes \eta\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \text{Dom } T}.$$

Since $\mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T$ is dense in $\mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \text{Dom } T$, the result follows. \square

Suppose now that we are given a map

$$\nabla^S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2),$$

satisfying the Leibniz rule $\nabla^S(xb) = \nabla^S(x)b + \gamma(x) \otimes \delta(b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_2$. Then we obtain a well-defined operator

$$1 \otimes_{\nabla^S} T : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h Y \rightarrow X^S \otimes_B Y, \quad x \otimes y \mapsto \gamma(x) \otimes Ty + \nabla_T^S(x)y.$$

By composing $1 \otimes_{\nabla^S} T$ with the resolvent $(S+i)^{-1} : X^S \rightarrow X$, which is defined on all of X^S by Lemma 2.8, we obtain a well-defined map

$$(S+i)^{-1} \cdot 1 \otimes_{\nabla^S} T : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T \rightarrow X \otimes_B Y.$$

Definition 2.14. Let (\mathcal{X}, S) be a C^1 -module over the C^1 -Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) . By a C^2 -connection on \mathcal{X} we mean a pair (∇, ∇^S) of connections

$$\nabla : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1), \quad \nabla^S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1),$$

with ∇ a Hermitian connection and ∇^S a connection, such that

1. $(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)$ is a vertically anticommuting pair;
2. for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \text{Dom } T$ we have

$$(S+i)^{-1} \nabla_T(x)y = (S+i)^{-1} \cdot \nabla_T^S(x)y \in X \otimes_B Y.$$

Note that this definition implies that for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \text{Dom } T$ we have that $(S+i)^{-1} \cdot (1 \otimes_{\nabla^S} T)(x \otimes y) \in \text{Dom } S$ and thus that $1 \otimes_{\nabla^S} T(x \otimes y) = 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T(x \otimes y)$ is in fact an element of $X \otimes_B Y$ viewed as a subspace of $X^S \otimes_B Y$ via the dense inclusion $X \rightarrow X^S$.

2.2. The represented curvature of a C^2 -connection on a C^1 -module

Now that we have a clear picture of how represented C^2 -connections determine the domains of induced operators, we can introduce the represented curvature of a C^2 -connection on a C^1 -module (\mathcal{X}, S) . To make appropriate sense of $\pi_T(\nabla^2)$ a little care is required and this operator will more correctly be written $\pi_T((1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta) \circ \nabla^S)$, which yields a well-defined operator.

Proposition 2.15. *Let (∇, ∇^S) be a C^2 -connection on a C^1 -module (\mathcal{X}, S) over a C^1 -Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) . The map*

$$1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta : \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1) \rightarrow X^S \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1),$$

$$x \otimes \omega \mapsto \nabla_T(x) \otimes \omega + \gamma(x) \otimes (\pi_T \otimes 1)(\delta\omega),$$

is well-defined and satisfies $(1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta)(\eta b) = (1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta)(\eta)b - (\gamma \otimes \pi_T)(\eta) \otimes \delta(b)$, for all $\eta \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1)$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}_1$.

Proof. The map $\nabla_T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$ extends to a map

$$\nabla_T : \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \rightarrow X^S \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1),$$

by part 2 of Lemma 2.13. The maps

$$\delta : \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1) \rightarrow \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1)$$

and

$$\pi_T \otimes 1 : \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1) \rightarrow \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1)$$

are completely bounded, and therefore

$$(1 \otimes \pi_T \otimes 1) \circ (\nabla \otimes 1 + \gamma \otimes \delta) : \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1) \rightarrow X^S \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1)$$

$$x \otimes \omega \mapsto \nabla_T(x) \otimes \omega + \gamma(x) \otimes (\pi_T \otimes 1)(\delta\omega),$$

(2.5)

is well-defined and completely bounded. Since also

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_T(xb) \otimes \omega + \gamma(x) \otimes (\pi_T \otimes 1)(b\delta\omega) &= \nabla_T(x)b\omega + \gamma(x) \otimes (\delta_T b) \otimes \omega \\ &\quad + \gamma(x) \otimes (\pi_T \otimes 1)(b\delta\omega) \\ &= \nabla_T(x)b\omega + \gamma(x) \otimes (\pi_T \otimes 1)(\delta(b\omega)), \end{aligned}$$

the map (2.5) is compatible with the balancing relation and descends to a completely bounded map

$$1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta : \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_k) \rightarrow X^S \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1),$$

which is the desired statement. The (graded) Leibniz rule for $1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta$ follows directly from the defining formula and the graded Leibniz rule for δ , $\delta(\omega b) = \delta(\omega)b - \omega \otimes \delta(b)$, for $\omega \in \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1)$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}_1$. \square

By Proposition 2.15, we can consider the composition

$$(1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta) \circ \nabla^S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X^S \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(\mathcal{B}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1).$$

The map $(1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta) \circ \nabla^S$ is right \mathcal{B}_1 -linear. This follows from the computation

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta) \circ \nabla^S(xb) &= (1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta)(\nabla^S(x)b) + (1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta)(\gamma(x) \otimes \delta(b)) \\ &= (1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta)(\nabla^S(x)b) + \nabla_T(\gamma(x)) \otimes \delta(b) \\ &= (1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta)(\nabla^S(x))b - (\gamma \otimes \pi_T \otimes 1)(\nabla^S(x) \otimes \delta b) \\ &\quad + \nabla_T(\gamma(x)) \otimes \delta(b) \\ &= (1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta)(\nabla^S(x))b, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line holds since $\nabla_T(x) = \nabla_T^S(x)$ in $X^S \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$.

Definition 2.16. Let (\mathcal{X}, S) be a C^1 -module over a C^1 -Kasparov module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) and (∇, ∇^S) a C^2 -connection. We define the *represented curvature* of (∇, ∇^S) to be the operator $\pi_T(\nabla \circ \nabla^S) : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\text{alg}} Y \rightarrow X^S \otimes_B Y$ defined on $x \otimes y \in \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\text{alg}} Y$ by

$$\pi_T(\nabla \circ \nabla^S)(x \otimes y) := (1 \otimes m)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \pi_T)((1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta) \circ \nabla^S)(x)y. \tag{2.6}$$

The notation $\pi_T(\nabla \circ \nabla^S)$ is shorthand for $(1 \otimes m)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \pi_T)((1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta) \circ \nabla^S)$.

2.2.1. *The curvature operator of a C^2 -connection on a $C^{(1,2)}$ -module*

Additional smoothness simplifies the domain considerations of the previous section. In order to denote the differentiability properties of modules (\mathcal{X}, S) in the horizontal and vertical directions we use pairs (n, k) where k corresponds to the horizontal and n to the vertical direction.

Definition 2.17. Let (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) be a C^2 -Kasparov module. A $C^{(1,2)}$ -module for (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) is a pair (\mathcal{X}, S) such that

1. \mathcal{X} is a horizontally differentiable C^2 -module with C^* -closure X ;
2. $S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ is an essentially self-adjoint and regular operator on X .

A C^2 -connection on a $C^{(1,2)}$ -module (\mathcal{X}, S) is a pair (∇, ∇^S) of connections

$$\nabla : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_2), \quad \nabla^S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla^S}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2),$$

with ∇ a Hermitian connection and ∇^S a connection, such that

1. $(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)$ is a vertically anticommuting pair;
2. for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \text{Dom } T$ we have

$$(S + i)^{-1} \nabla_T(x)y = (S + i)^{-1} \cdot \nabla_T^S(x)y \in X \otimes_B Y.$$

First observe that if (\mathcal{X}, S) is a $C^{(1,2)}$ -module then $(\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{B}_1, S)$ is a C^1 -module for (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) . For a C^2 -connection on a $C^{(1,2)}$ -module (\mathcal{X}, S) , we wish to compute $\pi_T(\nabla \circ \nabla^S)$, for $y \in \text{Dom } T^2$. By Lemmas 1.6 and 2.12 we may define

$$1 \otimes_{\nabla^S} T^2 : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla^S}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h Y \subset X^S \otimes_B Y, \quad x \otimes y \mapsto x \otimes T^2(y) + \nabla_{T^2}^S(x)y,$$

in the spirit of Lemma 2.4. Since T^2 is an even operator, the grading γ does not appear in this formula.

Lemma 2.18. *Let (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) be a C^2 -Kasparov module, (\mathcal{X}, S) a $C^{(1,2)}$ -module and (∇, ∇^S) a C^2 -connection. Then the operator*

$$1 \otimes_{\nabla} T : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T \rightarrow X \otimes_B Y,$$

maps $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T^2$ into $\text{Dom}(S + i)^{-1}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)$.

Proof. For $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \text{Dom } T^2$ we have

$$(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)(x \otimes y) = \gamma(x) \otimes Ty + \nabla_T(x)y,$$

and clearly $\gamma(x) \otimes Ty \in \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T \subset \text{Dom}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T) \subset \text{Dom}(S + i)^{-1}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)$. Consider

$$(S + i)^{-1} \nabla_T(x)y = (S + i)^{-1} \cdot \nabla_T^S(x)y,$$

and observe that $\nabla_T^S(x)y \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla^S}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \text{Dom } T$. By part 3 of Lemma 2.13, it follows that

$$(S + i)^{-1} \nabla_T^S(x)y \in \text{Dom } 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T,$$

from which we conclude that $(S + i)^{-1} \nabla_T(x)y \in \text{Dom } 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T$. Thus

$$\nabla_T(x)y \in \text{Dom}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)(S + i)^{-1} = \text{Dom}(S + i)^{-1}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T),$$

by Lemma 2.13. \square

It follows by Lemma 2.13.2 that the operator

$$1 \otimes_{\nabla^S} T : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \text{Dom } T \subset \text{Dom}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T) \subset X^S \otimes_B Y,$$

is well defined and maps $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T^2$ into $\text{Dom}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T) \subset X^S \otimes_B Y$.

Definition 2.19. Let (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) be a C^2 -Kasparov module, (\mathcal{X}, S) a $C^{(1,2)}$ -module and (∇, ∇^S) a C^2 -connection. The *curvature operator* of (∇, ∇^S) is defined to be the map

$$R_{\nabla_T} : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T^2 \rightarrow X^S \otimes_B Y, \quad R_{\nabla_T} := (1 \otimes_{\nabla} T) \circ (1 \otimes_{\nabla^S} T) - 1 \otimes_{\nabla^S} T^2.$$

By Lemma 2.18, composition with the resolvent $(S + i)^{-1} : X^S \rightarrow X$ yields the map

$$(S + i)^{-1} R_{\nabla_T} : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T^2 \rightarrow X \otimes_B Y,$$

which admits the expression $(S + i)^{-1} R_{\nabla_T} = (S + i)^{-1}((1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2 - 1 \otimes_{\nabla^S} T^2)$.

Our goal is to identify the curvature operator R_{∇_T} from Definition 2.19 with the represented curvature $\pi_T(\nabla_T \circ \nabla^S)$ of Equation (2.6) in Definition 2.16.

Lemma 2.20. Let (∇, ∇^S) be a C^2 -connection on a $C^{(1,2)}$ -module (\mathcal{X}, S) . For $\eta \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ and $y \in \text{Dom } T$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes m)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \pi_T)((1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta)(\eta))y &= (1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)(1 \otimes \pi_T)(\eta)y \\ &\quad + (\gamma \otimes \pi_T)(\eta)Ty - (\gamma \otimes \pi_{T^2})(\eta)y, \end{aligned}$$

as elements of $X^S \otimes_B Y$.

Proof. Let $\eta := x \otimes \omega \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ be an elementary tensor. By Proposition 1.10

$$m \circ (\pi_T \otimes \pi_T)(\delta\omega) = \delta_T \circ \pi_T(\omega) - \pi_{T^2}(\omega) = [T, \omega_T] - \omega_{T^2},$$

and we compute for $y \in \text{Dom } T^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes m)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \pi_T)((1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta)(x \otimes \omega))y &= (1 \otimes m)(\nabla_T(x)\omega_T + \gamma(x) \otimes (\pi_T \otimes \pi_T)(\delta\omega))y \\ &= \nabla_T(x)\omega_T y + \gamma(x) \otimes [T, \omega_T]y - \gamma(x) \otimes \omega_{T^2}y \\ &= \nabla_T(x)\omega_T y + \gamma(x) \otimes T\omega_T y + \gamma(x) \otimes \omega_T T y - \gamma(x) \otimes \omega_{T^2}y \\ &= (1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)(x \otimes \omega_T y) + \gamma(x) \otimes \omega_T T y - \gamma(x) \otimes \omega_{T^2}y \\ &= (1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)(1 \otimes \pi_T)(x \otimes \omega)y + (\gamma \otimes \pi_T)(x \otimes \omega)Ty - (\gamma \otimes \pi_{T^2})(x \otimes \omega)y. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

By Lemma 1.6, π_T defines a continuous map

$$1 \otimes \pi_T : \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \text{Dom } T) \subset \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, \text{Dom } 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T),$$

and a continuous map

$$\gamma \otimes \pi_T : \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, X \otimes_B Y).$$

Invoking Lemma 2.12 as well, we see that π_{T^2} defines a continuous map

$$\gamma \otimes \pi_{T^2} : \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\text{Dom } T, X^S \otimes_B Y).$$

Therefore by Equation (2.7) the operator $(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \pi_T)(1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta)$ extends by continuity to all $\eta \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ and, as $\text{Dom } T^2$ is a core for T , to all $y \in \text{Dom } T$. \square

For a C^2 -connection (∇, ∇^S) on a $C^{(1,2)}$ -module (\mathcal{X}, S) and $x \in \mathcal{X}$ we have $\nabla_T^S(x) \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$, which maps completely contractive to $\mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1)$. Hence by Lemma 2.20 the operator $\pi_T(\nabla \circ \nabla^S) : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\text{alg}} Y \rightarrow X^S \otimes_B Y$ is defined.

We then come to our main result.

Theorem 2.21. *Let (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) be a C^2 -Kasparov module, (\mathcal{X}, S) a $C^{(1,2)}$ -module and (∇, ∇^S) a C^2 -connection. Let $\pi_T(\nabla \circ \nabla^S)$ be the represented curvature from Definition 2.16 and R_{∇_T} be the curvature operator from Definition 2.19. Then there is an equality*

$$R_{\nabla_T} = \pi_T(\nabla \circ \nabla^S) : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T^2 \rightarrow X^S \otimes_B Y.$$

Consequently, $R_{\nabla_T}(x) \in X^S \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^2(\mathcal{B}_1) \subset \mathbb{B}(Y, X^S \otimes_B Y)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and R_{∇_T} extends to $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} Y$. If (∇', ∇'^S) is another C^2 -connection with $\nabla_T = \nabla'_T$, then the difference $(R_{\nabla_T} - R_{\nabla'_T})(x) \in X^S \otimes_B^h \mathcal{J}_T^2(\mathcal{B}_1)$.

Proof. For $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\nabla^S(x) \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$, so we compute using Lemma 2.20, with $y \in \text{Dom } T^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_T(\nabla \circ \nabla^S)(x \otimes y) &= (1 \otimes m)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \pi_T)((1 \otimes_{\nabla_T} \delta) \circ \nabla^S)(x)y \\ &= (1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)\nabla_T^S(x)y + \nabla_T^S(x)Ty - \nabla_{T^2}^S(x)y \\ &= (1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)\nabla_T^S(x)y + \nabla_T^S(x)Ty + x \otimes T^2y - \nabla_{T^2}^S(x)y - x \otimes T^2y \\ &= (1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)(\nabla_T^S(x)y + x \otimes Ty) - (1 \otimes_{\nabla^S} T^2)(x \otimes y) \\ &= ((1 \otimes_{\nabla} T) \circ (1 \otimes_{\nabla^S} T) - 1 \otimes_{\nabla^S} T^2)(x \otimes y) \\ &= R_{\nabla_T}(x)y \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

as desired. Now if (∇', ∇'^S) is such that $\nabla_T = \nabla'_T$ then Equation (2.8) gives

$$(R_{\nabla_T} - R_{\nabla'_T})(x \otimes y) = (\nabla_{T^2}^S - \nabla'_{T^2}{}^S)(x)y = (1 \otimes \pi_{T^2})(\nabla^S - \nabla'^S)(x)y.$$

By Corollary 1.11 $(1 \otimes \pi_{T^2})(\nabla^S - \nabla'^S)(x) \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \mathcal{J}_T^2(\mathcal{B}_1) = X^S \otimes_B^h \mathcal{J}_T^2(\mathcal{B}_1)$. \square

2.3. The curvature of a C^2 -correspondence

So far we have focussed on giving meaning to the curvature of a C^2 -connection on X under minimal differentiability assumptions. The represented curvature exists on C^1 -modules, and it coincides with the curvature operator on $C^{(1,2)}$ -modules. It is now time to give meaning to Equation (0.2) and define the curvature associated to a correspondence. Briefly, a correspondence is a Kasparov module together with a connection on the module, and we define this in detail below. Before doing so, we describe how we impose additional C^2 -conditions on our modules and connections.

Definition 2.22. Let (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) be a C^2 -Kasparov module. A C^2 -module for (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) is a pair (\mathcal{X}, S) such that

1. \mathcal{X} is a horizontally differentiable C^2 -module with C^* -closure X ;
2. $S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ is an essentially self-adjoint and regular operator and $\mathcal{X} \subset \text{Dom } S^2$.

A C^2 -connection on a C^2 -module (\mathcal{X}, S) is a pair (∇, ∇^1) of connections

$$\nabla : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_2), \quad \nabla^1 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2),$$

with ∇ a Hermitian connection and ∇^1 a connection, such that

1. $(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)$ is a vertically anticommuting pair;
2. for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \text{Dom } T$ we have

$$\nabla_T(x)y = (\iota \otimes \text{id}_Y)\nabla_T^1(x)y \in X \otimes_B Y,$$

where $\iota : \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T} \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion from Proposition 2.7.

Let us clarify the modifications of the above definition relative to Definitions 2.14 and 2.22. The content of conditions 1. and 2. is that the module \mathcal{X} is now assumed to be both horizontally and vertically C^2 as opposed to just vertically C^1 . The curvature of the C^2 -connection is now viewed, using our Sobolev space analogy from Remark 2.9, as a map $W_{(2,2)}^2 \rightarrow L^2$, whereas with only a C^1 -structure it is a map $W_{(1,2)}^2 \rightarrow W_{(-1,0)}^2$. The next Lemma makes this statement precise.

Lemma 2.23. Let (\mathcal{X}, S) be a C^2 -module and (∇, ∇^1) a C^2 -connection. The connection

$$\nabla^S := (\iota_S \otimes 1) \circ \nabla^1 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2),$$

makes (∇, ∇^S) into a C^2 -connection on (\mathcal{X}, S) viewed as a $C^{(1,2)}$ -module.

Proof. The identity map on \mathcal{X} extends to a complete contraction $\iota_S : \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S$. The only thing to check from Definition 2.17 is the compatibility $(S + i)^{-1} \nabla_T(x)y = (S + i)^{-1} \cdot \nabla_T^S(x)y$. This holds automatically since

$$(S+i)^{-1} \cdot \nabla_T^S(x)y = (S+i)^{-1} \cdot (\iota_S \otimes 1) \nabla_T^1(x)y = (S+i)^{-1} (\iota \otimes 1) \nabla_T^1(x)y = (S+i)^{-1} \nabla_T(x)y,$$

by Definition 2.22. \square

The next proposition shows that the curvature operator of a C^2 -connection is well-defined.

Proposition 2.24. *Let (\mathcal{X}, S) be a C^2 -module and (∇, ∇^1) a C^2 -connection. Then*

$$\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T^2 \subset \text{Dom}(S \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2 \subset \mathcal{F}(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T),$$

and $R_{\nabla_T} := (1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2 - 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T^2$ is a symmetric operator defined on $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T^2$ that extends to $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} Y$. Here the set \mathcal{F} is as in Definition 2.10.

Proof. As $(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)$ is a vertically anticommuting pair [38, Theorem 5.1] gives that $\text{Dom}(S^2 \otimes 1) \cap \text{Dom}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2 = \text{Dom}(S \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2 \subset \mathcal{F}(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)$. By Lemma 2.18

$$\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T^2 \subset \text{Dom}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2,$$

so condition 2 of Definition 2.22 implies that $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T^2 \subset \text{Dom}(S \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2$. \square

Definition 2.25. A C^2 -correspondence between a C^2 -Kasparov A - C module (\mathcal{A}, E, D) and a C^2 -Kasparov B - C module (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) is a quintuple $(\mathcal{A}, X, S, (\nabla, \nabla^1))$ such that:

1. (\mathcal{A}, X, S) is an unbounded (A, B) Kasparov module;
2. (\mathcal{X}, S) is a C^2 -module;
3. (∇, ∇^1) is a C^2 -connection;
4. there is a unitary isomorphism $u : E \rightarrow X \otimes_B Y$ intertwining the \mathcal{A} -representations and such that

$$\text{Dom } D \cap u^*(\text{Dom } S \otimes 1 \cap \text{Dom } 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T),$$

is dense in E and

$$Z := D - u^*(S \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)u : \text{Dom } D \cap u^*(\text{Dom } S \otimes 1 \cap \text{Dom } 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T) \rightarrow E,$$

is bounded and preserves $\text{Dom } D$.

As Z preserves $\text{Dom } D$, (D, Z) is a vertically anticommuting pair. By [38, Theorem 5.1],

$$\text{Dom } D^2 = \text{Dom } D^2 \cap \text{Dom } Z^2 = \text{Dom}(D - Z)^2 = \text{Dom } u^*(S \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2 u.$$

Then by Definition 2.22, for a C^2 -correspondence we have $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T^2 \subset \text{Dom } D^2$.

As mentioned, correspondences are more-or-less unbounded Kasparov modules with additional connection data. The additional connection data allows one to construct representatives of the Kasparov product [8,29,38–40], as described in the next result.

Proposition 2.26. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}, S, (\nabla, \nabla^1))$ be a C^2 -correspondence for (\mathcal{A}, E, D) and (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) . Then*

$$[(\mathcal{A}, E, D)] = [(\mathcal{A}, X, S)] \otimes_B [(\mathcal{B}, Y, T)] \in KK_0(\mathcal{A}, C),$$

where \otimes_B denotes the Kasparov product.

Proof. Since Z is bounded it follows from [19, Theorem 4.2] that D and $D - Z$ represent the same KK -class. Since $(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)$ form a weakly anti-commuting pair and $1 \otimes_{\nabla} T$ commutes boundedly with \mathcal{A} , it follows from [38, Theorem 7.2] that the product relation holds. \square

The reason for introducing correspondences is the more refined curvature data that becomes available.

Definition 2.27. Let (\mathcal{A}, E, D) and (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) be two C^2 -Kasparov modules and let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}, S, (\nabla, \nabla^1))$ a C^2 -correspondence for them. We define the *curvature operator* of the correspondence $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}, S, (\nabla, \nabla^1))$ to be the symmetric operator

$$R_{(S, \nabla_T)} := (S \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2 - S^2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T^2 : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T^2 \rightarrow X \otimes_B Y. \quad (2.9)$$

By Proposition 2.24 we have $R_{(S, \nabla_T)} = R_{\nabla_T} + [S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T]_+$, by a straightforward algebraic calculation on the domain $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T^2$.

Note that it is not necessary to specify D in order to define the curvature operator, since we could just as well take D to be the tensor sum $S \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T$ itself. However, in examples it turns out that the bounded operator Z appearing as their difference contains geometric information as well (see for instance Equation (5.1)).

2.4. Universal connections on locally convex modules

In the applications of our theory (cf. Section 5 below) one typically finds that the modules actually come equipped with more differentiable structure, beyond the C^2 -structure described above. For instance, in the category of smooth manifolds the Hilbert modules

are typically based on Fréchet modules, and Fréchet continuous maps. Let us describe here how to incorporate such locally convex spaces and algebras in the above C^2 -context.

Let (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) be a C^2 -Kasparov module and assume that \mathcal{B} carries the structure of a complete locally convex m -*-algebra for which there is a continuous inclusion $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$, see [22]. Denote by B the C^* -closure of \mathcal{B} in the norm coming from $\mathbb{B}(Y)$. The Haagerup tensor norm is a *cross-norm*, that is, it satisfies $\|x \otimes y\|_h = \|x\| \|y\|$ (see [5, Section 1.5.4]). Denoting the projective tensor product by $\widehat{\otimes}$, [44, Propositions 43.4 and 43.12.a)] prove that the identity map on $\mathcal{B} \otimes^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{B}$ extends to continuous maps

$$\mathcal{B} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B \widehat{\otimes} B_k \rightarrow B \otimes^h B_k, \quad \mathcal{B} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes^h B_k, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

By continuity of the multiplication maps, these inclusions restrict to continuous maps

$$\Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \Omega_u^1(B, B_k), \quad \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2). \tag{2.10}$$

Let \mathcal{X} be a locally convex topological vector space which is a right \mathcal{B} -module such that the module multiplication defines a continuous map $\mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Moreover assume that there is a continuous inner product

$$\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B},$$

giving \mathcal{X} the structure of a pre-Hilbert C^* -module over the pre- C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} and denote by X the C^* -module closure of \mathcal{X} . The identity on \mathcal{X} induces a continuous map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$, and thus by [44, Proposition 43.4] we obtain continuous maps

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_0 : \mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}} \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}) &\rightarrow X \widehat{\otimes}_B \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1) \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1), \\ \iota_1 : \mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}} \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}_1} \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

The maps ι_0, ι_1 are well-defined because the Haagerup norm is a cross-norm and the projective norm is the largest cross-norm [44, Proposition 43.12.a)]. Therefore

$$\iota_T := (1 \otimes \pi_T) \circ \iota_0 : \mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}} \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1), \tag{2.12}$$

is continuous as well.

Proposition 2.28. *Let (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) be a C^2 -Kasparov module. Assume that $\nabla_u : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}} \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B})$ is a Hermitian connection, $S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ an essentially self-adjoint and regular operator and $(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)$ is a vertically anticommuting pair. If the map*

$$(S + i)^{-1} \circ \iota_T \circ \nabla_u : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1),$$

is continuous, then the identity map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T}^S$ is continuous. Consequently the identity map on the algebraic tensor product extends to a continuous map

$$\iota_S : \mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}} \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla^S}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2).$$

With $\nabla := \iota_0 \circ \nabla_u$ and $\nabla^S := \iota_S \circ \nabla_u$, the pair (∇, ∇^S) is a C^2 -connection on the $C^{(1,2)}$ -module $(\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{B}_2, S)$.

Remark 2.29. When $S = 0$ the map ι_S reduces to the map ι_1 defined above.

Proof. Since we have assumed that $(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)$ is a vertically anti-commuting pair, it suffices to define ∇^S and verify that ∇^S satisfies condition 2. of Definition 2.17.

Continuity of the map $(S + i)^{-1} \circ \iota_T \circ \nabla_u$ means that for the Haagerup norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^h \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)}$ there is a continuous seminorm p on \mathcal{X} such that

$$\|(S + i)^{-1} \circ \iota_T \circ \nabla_u(x)\|_{\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^h \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)} \leq p(x). \tag{2.13}$$

Thus we obtain a continuous inclusion $\iota_S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla^S}^S$. The remaining statements now follow by functoriality of the projective tensor product for continuous maps [44, Proposition 43.4]. For the pair $(\nabla, \nabla^S) := (\iota_0 \circ \nabla_u, \iota_S \circ \nabla_u)$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S + i)^{-1} \nabla(x) &= (S + i)^{-1} (\iota_0 \circ \nabla_u)(x) \\ &= (S + i)^{-1} \cdot (\iota_S \circ \nabla_u)(x) = (S + i)^{-1} \nabla^S(x), \end{aligned}$$

and thus condition 2. of Definition 2.17 is satisfied. \square

Corollary 2.30. Assume that $\nabla_u : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}} \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B})$ is a universal Hermitian connection such that the connection $\iota_T \circ \nabla_u : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^h \Omega_T^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$ is continuous. Applying Proposition 2.28 with $S = 0$ yields the C^2 -connection

$$\nabla^1 := \iota_1 \circ \nabla_u : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla^T} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2),$$

on the C^2 -module (\mathcal{X}, S) . Assume further that

1. $\mathcal{X} \subset \text{Dom } S^2$;
2. (A, X, S) is an unbounded Kasparov module;
3. $(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)$ is a vertically anti-commuting pair;
4. for all $a \in A$, $a : \text{Dom } 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T \rightarrow \text{Dom } 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T$ and $[1 \otimes_{\nabla} T, a]$ is bounded.

Then $(A, \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{B}_2, S, (\iota_0 \circ \nabla_u, \iota_1 \circ \nabla_u))$ is a C^2 -correspondence for $(A, X \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} Y, S \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)$ and (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) . On $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T^2$ there are equalities

$$R_{\nabla^T} = (1 \otimes_{\nabla} T)^2 - 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T^2 = (1 \otimes m)(1 \otimes \pi_T \otimes \pi_T)(\nabla_u^2), \tag{2.14}$$

$$R_{(S, \nabla^T)} = R_{\nabla^T} + [S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} T]_+, \tag{2.15}$$

of symmetric operators in $X \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} Y$.

Proof. The equality (2.14) is proved analogously to Lemma 2.20 and Theorem 2.21. \square

3. Finitely generated projective modules over spectral triples

In this section we assume that B is a unital C^* -algebra and X is a finitely generated full Hilbert C^* -module over B . Then X is algebraically finitely generated and projective. We assume that X is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded with grading γ .

We describe the construction of the curvature operator explicitly in this case.

Our results are in complete agreement with the purely algebraic approach described, for instance, in [34].

3.1. Connections on finite projective C^2 -modules

We fix a C^2 -spectral triple (\mathcal{B}, H, D) in the sense of Definition 1.2. Consider a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded inner product module \mathcal{X} which is finitely generated and projective over \mathcal{B}_2 , together with an inner-product preserving injection $v : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2^{2N}$ of right \mathcal{B}_2 -modules. The map v induces a module isomorphism $v : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow p\mathcal{B}_2^{2N}$, where $p = vv^* \in M_{2N}(\mathcal{B}_2)$ is a projection and extends to an isometry $v : X \rightarrow pB^{2N}$ on the C^* -module level.

The main simplification of the construction in Section 2 is that we take the vertical operator $S = 0$. Most importantly, for a Hermitian connection $\nabla : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$ we have $\mathcal{X}_{\nabla_D}^S = \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_D}$. Considering v as a map $v : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1^{2N}$ via the inclusion $\mathcal{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1$, we define the norm $\|x\|_v := \|v(x)\|_{\mathcal{B}_1^{2N}}$ on \mathcal{X} and denote the completion of \mathcal{X} in this norm by \mathcal{X}_v .

Let e_i denote the standard basis of \mathcal{B}_2^{2N} and set $x_i := v^*(e_i)$. Then the finite set $\{x_i\}_{1 \leq |i| \leq N} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a frame for \mathcal{X} , that is $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{X}} = \sum_{1 \leq |i| \leq N} |x_i\rangle\langle x_i|$.

The *Grassmann connection* associated to the frame $\{x_i\}_{1 \leq |i| \leq N}$ given by

$$\nabla^v : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2), \quad \nabla^v(x) := \sum_{1 \leq |i| \leq N} \gamma(x_i) \otimes \delta(\langle x_i, x \rangle),$$

is a well-defined Hermitian connection. Given any other connection ∇ on \mathcal{X} we define the connection one-form $\omega(x) := \nabla(x) - \nabla^v(x)$.

Recall from Equation (2.3) that for a Hermitian connection ∇ and $S = 0$ we have $\|x\|_{\nabla_D} = \max\{\|x\|_X, \|\nabla_D(x)\|_{X \otimes_B^h \Omega_B^1}\}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\nabla : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B \Omega_u^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1)$ be a Hermitian connection on \mathcal{X} . Then the operator space norms $\|\cdot\|_v$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\nabla_D}$ are cb-equivalent.*

Proof. It follows from [40, Lemma 3.6] that the norms $\|x\|_v = \|v(x)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2^{2N}}$ and $\|x\|_{\nabla^v}$ are cb-equivalent. Since $\omega(x) := \nabla(x) - \nabla^v(x)$ is \mathcal{B}_2 -linear it is bounded for the C^* -norm. It follows that the norm $\|x\|_{\nabla_D} = \max\{\|x\|_X, \|\nabla_D(x)\|_{X \otimes_B^h \Omega_B^1}\}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|x\|_v$. \square

This means that for any two connections $\mathcal{X}_\nabla = \mathcal{X}_{\nabla'} = \mathcal{X}_v$. The operator module \mathcal{X}_v is finitely generated and projective over \mathcal{B}_1 , as is X over B . For any $w \in \mathcal{X}_v$ the map

$$\langle w | : \mathcal{X}_v \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1, \quad x \mapsto \langle w, x \rangle,$$

is completely bounded by [40, Proposition 3.7.2]. Hence for any left operator \mathcal{B}_1 -module Z and $\omega \in \mathcal{X}_v \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h Z$ we have $\omega = \sum_{1 \leq |i| \leq N} x_i \otimes \langle x_i, \omega \rangle$ so $\mathcal{X}_v \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h Z = \mathcal{X}_v \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\text{alg}} Z$. Similarly $X \otimes_B^h Z = X \otimes_B^{\text{alg}} Z$. We summarize the simplifications in the following definition.

Definition 3.2. Let (\mathcal{B}, H, D) a C^2 -spectral triple. For $k = 1, 2$ a C^k -submodule of X is a dense \mathcal{B}_k submodule $\mathcal{X} \subset X$ such that for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ we have $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{B}_k$. A C^2 -connection is a linear map $\nabla : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_v \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\text{alg}} \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ satisfying the Leibniz rule.

Given a C^2 -connection ∇ on the finite projective module \mathcal{X} , we obtain the represented connection

$$\nabla_D : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^{\text{alg}} \Omega_D^1(B, \mathcal{B}_1), \quad \nabla_D(x) = (1 \otimes \pi_D)(\nabla(x)).$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\nabla_{D^2} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_v \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\text{alg}} \Omega_{D^2}^1(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_1),$$

defined by $\nabla_{D^2}(x) := (1 \otimes \pi_{D^2})(\nabla(x))$.

3.2. The curvature operator for finitely generated projective modules

We are now in a position to apply our general formalism to compute the curvature operator of a finite projective module. We first recall the following well-known result.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that \mathcal{X} is algebraically finitely generated and projective over \mathcal{B}_2 . The operator*

$$1 \otimes_{\nabla} D : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } D \rightarrow X \otimes_B H, \quad x \otimes h \mapsto \gamma(x) \otimes Dh + \nabla_D(x)h,$$

is well-defined and essentially self-adjoint. Moreover $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } D^2 \subset \text{Dom}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)^2$ and the operator

$$1 \otimes_{\nabla} D^2 : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } D^2 \rightarrow X \otimes_B H, \quad x \otimes h \mapsto x \otimes D^2 h + \nabla_{D^2}(x)h,$$

is well-defined and symmetric. The curvature operator

$$R_{\nabla_D} := 1 \otimes_{\nabla} D^2 - (1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)^2 : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_2}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } D^2 \rightarrow X \otimes_B H,$$

is a densely defined symmetric operator.

Proof. The first statement is proved in [9,11,37] and several subsequent works [8,29,39,40]. The second statement follows from Lemma 2.18 and the third statement from Theorem 2.21 both with $S = 0$. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose that \mathcal{X} is finitely generated and projective over \mathcal{B}_2 , $v : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2^{2N}$ is an inner-product preserving injection and $\nabla : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_v \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\text{alg}} \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is a C^2 -connection. Then R_∇ extends to a bounded operator on $X \otimes_B H$. Moreover if ∇^v is the Grassmann connection associated to the frame $\{x_i := v^*(e_i)\}_{1 \leq |i| \leq N}$, $p = vv^* \in M_{2N}(\mathcal{B}_2)$ the associated projection and*

$$\omega : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\text{alg}} \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2), \quad \omega(x) := \nabla(x) - \nabla^v(x),$$

the connection form of ∇ , then $R_{\nabla_D} = v^*[D, p][D, p]v + \omega_D^2 + v^*\pi_D(\delta(v\omega v^*))v$.

Proof. Theorem 2.21 with $S = 0$ gives us that $R_{\nabla_D}(x) \in X \otimes_B^h \Omega_D^2$. By \mathcal{B}_2 -linearity of R_{∇_D} we then find

$$R_{\nabla_D}(x) = \sum_i R_{\nabla_D}(x_i)\langle x_i, x \rangle,$$

and since there are finitely many elements $R_{\nabla_D}(x_i) \in X \otimes_B \Omega_D^2$, it follows that R_{∇_D} is a bounded operator. Now we have

$$1 \otimes_{\nabla} D = v^*Dv + \omega_D, \quad 1 \otimes_{\nabla} D^2 = v^*D^2v + \omega_{D^2},$$

and

$$(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D)^2 = (v^*Dv + \omega_D)^2 = v^*Dvv^*Dv + v^*[D, v\omega_D v^*]v + \omega_D^2.$$

Using $p[D, p]p = 0$ we compute $v^*Dvv^*Dv - v^*D^2v = v^*[D, p][D, p]v$ where $p = vv^*$, and

$$v^*[D, v\omega_D v^*]v - \omega_{D^2} = v^*([D, \pi_D(v\omega v^*)] - \pi_{D^2}(v\omega v^*))v = v^*\pi_D(\delta(v\omega v^*))v,$$

by Proposition 1.10. Thus it now follows that

$$R_{\nabla_D} = v^*[D, p][D, p]v + v^*\pi_D(\delta(v\omega v^*))v + \omega_D^2,$$

as claimed. \square

Although the curvature operator of a finitely generated projective module is bounded, there is no uniform bound on its norm, in the following sense. As an illustrative example, consider the module \mathcal{L}_1 of sections of the tautological line bundle $L_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ over the two sphere and define $\mathcal{L}^n := \mathcal{L}_1^{\otimes n}$. Then the calculations in [8, Section 6] show that

$\|R_{\nabla^n}\| \sim n$ for a natural family of Grassmann connections ∇^n . The infinite direct sum $\bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{L}_n$ can be given the structure of a C^1 -module, whose curvature operator is unbounded.

4. Grassmann connections

The Kasparov stabilization theorem shows that every countably generated Hilbert module is a complemented submodule of the standard module and thus admits a frame. Modulo differentiability, every isometric inclusion in the standard module yields a connection, called a Grassmann connection. In purely noncommutative settings, one often has access to a frame but not necessarily much else. In this section we provide sufficient differentiability conditions on frames and modules for C^2 -Grassmann and their curvature to exist. The curvature of a Grassmann connection is a measure for the non-freeness of the underlying module. A range of examples comes from Cuntz-Pimsner algebras [8,24,25,43] including the θ and q -deformed 3-spheres, as well as abstract constructions of connections in KK -theory [27,40]. Interestingly, we will see in Section 5 that our sufficient conditions are met for Riemannian submersions as well.

To handle \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded modules we need a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded standard module, which we take to be (the completion of) $H_B = H \otimes B = \ell^2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \otimes B$. Here $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, and the \pm -homogeneous subspaces are those indexed by positive $n \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and those indexed by negative $n \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. A basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ for H_B is homogeneous if e_i has positive degree if and only if i is positive.

Recall that a *countable frame* for a Hilbert module X is a sequence $\{x_i\} \subset X$ such that for all $x \in X$, $x = \sum_i x_i \langle x_i, x \rangle$ as a norm convergent series. That is, the sum $\text{Id}_X = \sum_i |x_i\rangle \langle x_i|$ converges strictly.

4.1. Differentiable stabilization

Recall from Definition 2.1 that for $k = 1, 2$, a horizontally C^k -submodule is a \mathcal{B}_k -submodule $\mathcal{X} \subset X$ for which $\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathcal{B}_k$.

Definition 4.1. Let $k = 1, 2$ and X a C^* -module over B and $\mathcal{X} \subset X$ a horizontally C^k -submodule. An even stabilization isometry $v : X \rightarrow H_B$ is *horizontally C^k -differentiable* with respect to (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) if

1. $v : X \rightarrow H_B$ restricts to a map $v : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow H \otimes^h \mathcal{B}_k$;
2. there is a dense graded subspace $\mathcal{H} \subset H$ such that $v^* : H_B \rightarrow X$ restricts to an even map $v^* : \mathcal{H} \otimes^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{B}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Given a homogeneous orthonormal basis $\{e_i\} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset H$, we say that the frame $\{x_i = v^*(e_i \otimes 1)\}$ is a C^k -frame.

That $\{x_i = v^*(e_i \otimes 1)\}$ is a frame for the Hilbert C^* -module X is a short computation.

Proposition 4.2. *Let (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) be a C^k unbounded Kasparov module, X a C^* - \mathcal{B} -module, \mathcal{X} a horizontal C^k -submodule and $v : X \rightarrow H \otimes^h \mathcal{B}_k$ a C^k -stabilization. For any countable homogeneous basis $\{e_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ for the Hilbert space H , the elements $x_i := v^*(e_i \otimes 1) \in \mathcal{X}$ form a frame for X with the property that for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ the series*

$$\sum_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} [T, \langle x_i, x \rangle]^* [T, \langle x_i, x \rangle], \quad \sum_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} (T - i)^{-1} [T^2, \langle x_i, x \rangle]^* [T^2, \langle x_i, x \rangle] (T + i)^{-1},$$

are norm convergent in $\text{End}_C^*(Y)$ (if $k = 1$, only the first series converges).

Proof. Let $\{e_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be any countable homogeneous basis for the Hilbert space H . Then $x_i := v^*(e_i \otimes 1) \in \mathcal{X}$ by condition 2 of Definition 4.1. Since

$$(\langle x_i, x \rangle)_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} = (\langle v^*(e_i \otimes 1), x \rangle)_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} = (\langle e_i \otimes 1, v(x) \rangle)_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}},$$

the column $(\langle x_i, x \rangle)_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|i| \geq n} [T, \langle x_i, x \rangle]^* [T, \langle x_i, x \rangle] \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{|i| \geq n} [T, \langle e_i \otimes 1, v(x) \rangle]^* [T, \langle e_i \otimes 1, v(x) \rangle] \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{|i| \geq n} \pi_T^1(\langle e_i \otimes 1, v(x) \rangle)^* \pi_T^1(\langle e_i \otimes 1, v(x) \rangle) \right\| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. To prove the norm convergence of

$$\sum_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} (T - i)^{-1} [T^2, \langle x_i, x \rangle]^* [T^2, \langle x_i, x \rangle] (T + i)^{-1},$$

we use the same argument, estimating with the representation π_T^2 of Equation (1.1). \square

4.2. C^2 -Grassmann connections

Lemma 4.3. *Let \mathcal{X} be a horizontally C^1 -module for (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) and (v, H_B) a C^1 -stabilization. The Grassmann connection*

$$\nabla^v : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, B), \quad \nabla^v(x) := (\gamma(v)^* \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \delta)v(x),$$

is defined on \mathcal{X} . For any homogeneous orthonormal basis $\{e_i\} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset H$, we can use the C^1 -frame $\{x_i = v^*(e_i \otimes 1)\}_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ to express the Grassmann connection as

$$\nabla^v(x) = \sum_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} \gamma(x_i) \otimes \delta(\langle x_i, x \rangle), \tag{4.1}$$

as a norm convergent series. Consequently

$$\nabla_T^v := \pi_T(\nabla^v) : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(\mathcal{B}_1), \quad \nabla_T^v(x) = \sum_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} \gamma(x_i) \otimes [T, \langle x_i, x \rangle],$$

is well-defined and independent of the choice of orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H} \subset H$.

Proof. The derivation $\delta : \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \Omega^1(\mathcal{B}_1, B)$ is completely contractive, hence

$$1 \otimes \delta : H \otimes^h \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow H \otimes^h \Omega^1(\mathcal{B}_1, B) \xrightarrow{\sim} H \otimes^h \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega^1(\mathcal{B}_1, B),$$

is defined. Thus the composition $\nabla^v := (v^* \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \delta)v$ is defined on \mathcal{X} . Choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset H$ and form the C^1 -frame $\{x_i = v^*(e_i \otimes 1)\}_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^v(x) &= (\gamma(v)^* \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \delta)v(x) = \gamma(v)^*(1 \otimes \delta) \left(\sum_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} e_i \otimes \langle e_i \otimes 1, v(x) \rangle \right) \\ &= \gamma(v)^*(1 \otimes \delta) \left(\sum_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} e_i \otimes \langle x_i, x \rangle \right) = \gamma(v)^* \left(\sum_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} e_i \otimes \delta(\langle x_i, x \rangle) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} \gamma(x_i) \otimes \delta(\langle x_i, x \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the represented connection $\nabla_T^v = (1 \otimes \pi_T) \circ \nabla^v : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_T^1(\mathcal{B}_1)$ is well-defined and independent of the choice of orthonormal basis. \square

By Lemma 2.4 we obtain a densely-defined symmetric operator

$$1 \otimes_{\nabla^v} T : \mathcal{X} \otimes_B^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T \rightarrow X \otimes_B H, \quad x \otimes h \mapsto \gamma(x) \otimes Th + \nabla_T^v(x)h,$$

in the Hilbert C^* -module $X \otimes_B Y$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) be a C^k -Kasparov module, (\mathcal{X}, S) a C^1 -module if $k = 1$ and a $C^{(1,2)}$ -module if $k = 2$, and (v, \mathcal{H}) a C^k -stabilization. Suppose that*

$$(\gamma \otimes T)(v \otimes 1) - (v \otimes 1)(1 \otimes_{\nabla^v} T) : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\text{alg}} \text{Dom } T \rightarrow H_Y, \tag{4.2}$$

extends to $\text{Dom } S \otimes 1$. If $(S \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla^v} T)$ is a vertically anticommuting pair, then

$$\nabla^{v,S} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T^v}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2), \quad \nabla^{v,S}(x) := (\gamma(v)^* \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \delta)v(x),$$

is well-defined and the pair $(\nabla^v, \nabla^{v,S})$ defines a C^2 -connection on (\mathcal{X}, S) .

Proof. The universal differential $\delta : \mathcal{B}_k \rightarrow \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_k)$ is given by $\delta(a) = 1 \otimes a - a \otimes 1$. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset H$ and form the C^k -frame $\{x_i = v^*(e_i \otimes 1)\}_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$.

As a C^k -frame is in particular a C^1 -frame, by Equation (4.1) we have

$$\nabla^v(x) = \sum_{i \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} \gamma(x_i) \otimes \delta(\langle x_i, x \rangle).$$

It suffices to show that this series is convergent in the Haagerup norm of the tensor product $\mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T^v}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_k)$, for then we can define

$$\nabla^{v,S}(x) = \sum \gamma(x_i) \otimes \delta(\langle x_i, x \rangle) \in \mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T^v}^S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_1}^h \Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_k).$$

In order to prove norm-convergence of $\nabla^{v,S}(x)$ using the Haagerup tensor norm, by part 2 of Proposition 1.4, we need to address summability of the column with entries

$$\delta(\langle x_i, x \rangle) = 1 \otimes \langle x_i, x \rangle - \langle x_i, x \rangle \otimes 1,$$

as well as boundedness of the row $(\gamma(x_i))^t$ in $\mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T^v}^S$. Now C^k -column finiteness guarantees that this column is in $H_{\Omega_u^1(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_k)}$. It thus remains to show that the row $(\gamma(x_i))$ is bounded in $\mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T^v}^S$.

By Equation (4.2), $(1 - vv^*)(\gamma \otimes T)v(S + i)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator. Computing the norm of the row $\gamma(x_i)$ in $\mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T^v}^S$ gives

$$\left\| (|\gamma(x_i)|)_i \right\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\nabla_T^v}^S} \leq \left\| ((S + i)^{-1} \nabla_T^v(\gamma(x_i)))_i \right\| + \left\| (|\gamma(x_i)|)_i \right\|.$$

The second term has norm 1 and the first term is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| ((S + i)^{-1} \nabla_T^v(\gamma(x_i)))_i \right\| &= \left\| ((S + i)^{-1} \gamma(v)^* [\gamma \otimes T, v(\gamma(x_i))])_i \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(H_Y, X \otimes_B Y)} \\ &= \left\| ([\gamma \otimes T, \langle x_i | v^* \rangle] v(S - i)^{-1})_i \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(X \otimes_B Y, H_Y)} \\ &= \left\| ((\gamma \otimes T|x_i| - \langle x_i | 1 \otimes_{\nabla^v} T)(S - i)^{-1})_i \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(X \otimes_B Y, H_Y)} \\ &\leq \left\| (((1 - vv^*)(\gamma \otimes T)v)(S - i)^{-1}) \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

which remains bounded since Equation (4.2) tells us that $((1 - vv^*) \otimes 1)(\gamma \otimes T)(v \otimes 1)$ extends to $\text{Dom}(S \otimes 1)$. \square

Remark 4.5. In [27, Lemma 4.2, Theorem 4.7] it is proved that, given X and (\mathcal{B}, Y, T) , one can find a dense \mathcal{B}_1 -submodule $\mathcal{X} \subset X$ and an isometry $v : X \rightarrow \ell^2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \otimes^h B$ such that v restricts to a map $v : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \ell^2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \otimes^h \mathcal{B}_1$ and v^* restricts to a map $v^* : C_c(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \otimes^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Furthermore, using [27, Theorem 3.9] it can be shown that $(v \otimes 1)(1 \otimes_{\nabla^v} T) - (\gamma \otimes T)(v \otimes 1)$ is defined on the range of a certain explicit positive compact operator K . The inverse of

K , made odd in an appropriate way, is a natural candidate for a vertical operator S . It is unclear however whether such $S \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes_{\nabla_v} T$ can be made to vertically anticommute, so that Proposition 4.4 can be applied. This is subject of future research.

5. The curvature operator of a Riemannian submersion

We will now illustrate our notion of curvature for a large class of examples given by Riemannian submersions of closed spin^c manifolds $M \rightarrow B$ that were analyzed using techniques from unbounded KK -theory in [30]. The main result therein ([30, Theorem 23]) was a factorization of essentially self-adjoint operators of the form

$$D_M = D_V \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B + \tilde{c}(\Omega), \tag{5.1}$$

where D_M is the Dirac operator on the total space, D_V a vertical family of Dirac operators, D_B the Dirac operator on the base manifold lifted to an operator $1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B$ on M using the connection ∇ and, finally, $\tilde{c}(\Omega)$ is (Clifford multiplication by) the curvature of the Riemannian submersion (cf. Definition 5.1 below).

As we will see, in this case the curvature operator of Definition 2.19 —for which we will use the short-hand $R_{(D_V, \nabla)} := R_{(D_V, \nabla_{D_B})}$ —indeed captures curvature of the connection ∇ on the vertical Hilbert module of the submersion, as well as other geometric information such as the mean curvature. We will check that the conditions that enter in our general framework are indeed fulfilled in this concrete geometric context. But first we give a summary of the geometric setup.

5.1. Geometric setup

Let us start by recalling from [30] the relevant ingredients, referring to that paper for all details. Thus, we consider a Riemannian submersion of closed Riemannian manifolds $\pi : M \rightarrow B$. Recall the following tensors that are associated to this structure.

Definition 5.1.

1. The *second fundamental form*, defined for real vertical vector fields X, Y and real horizontal vector fields Z on M by

$$S_{\pi}(X, Y, Z) := \frac{1}{2} (Z(\langle X, Y \rangle_M) - \langle [Z, X], Y \rangle_M - \langle [Z, Y], X \rangle_M) \tag{5.2}$$

2. The *mean curvature* $k \in \pi^* \Omega^1(B)$ is given as the trace

$$k = (\text{tr} \otimes 1)(S_{\pi}).$$

3. The *curvature of the fibre bundle* $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ is given by the element Ω in $\Omega^2(M) \otimes_{C^{\infty}(M)} \Omega^1(M)$ given by

$$\Omega(X, Y, Z) := -\langle [(1 - P)X, (1 - P)Y], PZ \rangle_M$$

where P is the orthogonal projection onto vertical vector fields.

Remark 5.2. The tensors S_π, k and Ω appear in this form in [2] (on which [30] is based). However, they are closely related to the tensors T and A originally considered by O’Neill in [41]. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\pi(X, Y, Z) &= -\langle T_X Y, Z \rangle_M \quad (X, Y \text{ vertical}, Z \text{ horizontal}) \\ \Omega(X, Y, Z) &= -2\langle A_{(1-P)X}(1 - P)Y, PZ \rangle_M, \quad (\text{for any } X, Y, Z). \end{aligned}$$

If M and B are Riemannian spin^c manifolds, we may introduce a vertical spinor module \mathcal{E}_V , defined in terms of the spinor modules \mathcal{E}_M and \mathcal{E}_B on the given spin^c manifolds M and B , respectively [30, Section 3]. We will not dwell on the precise definition here, but merely recall that \mathcal{E}_V is a finitely-generated projective $C^\infty(M)$ -module which satisfies the crucial property that $\mathcal{E}_V \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{E}_B = \mathcal{E}_M$ where $\pi^* \mathcal{E}_B := \mathcal{E}_B \otimes_{C^\infty(B)} C^\infty(M)$ denotes the pullback. Moreover, Clifford multiplication c_V by vertical vector fields is defined on \mathcal{E}_V .

The module \mathcal{E}_V has a (Clifford) connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_V}$ defined in terms of the spinor connections $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_M}$ and $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_B}$. The connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_V}$ is Hermitian for the natural Hermitian structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V}$ on \mathcal{E}_V . The smooth sections of \mathcal{E}_V have a natural locally convex structure coming from the usual C^∞ -topology, which can be defined using $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_V}$.

We now define the pre-Hilbert module \mathcal{X} over $C^\infty(B)$ to be \mathcal{E}_V where the right action of $C^\infty(B)$ is defined via the inclusion $C^\infty(B) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ dual to $\pi : M \rightarrow B$. The $C^\infty(B)$ -valued inner product is defined by

$$\langle s, t \rangle_{\mathcal{X}}(b) := \int_{\pi^{-1}(b)} \langle s, t \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V}(x) d\mu_{\pi^{-1}(b)}(x), \quad s, t \in \mathcal{E}_V. \tag{5.3}$$

Here $d\mu_{\pi^{-1}(b)}$ is the Riemannian volume form on the submanifold $\pi^{-1}(b)$. As $b \mapsto d\mu_{\pi^{-1}(b)}$ is smooth (the volume form on M decomposes locally as a product), this inner product does in fact take values in $C^\infty(B)$.

Proposition 5.3 ([30, Proposition 16]). *Let $\{e_j\}$ be a local orthonormal frame of vertical vector fields on M . Then the following local expression defines an odd symmetric unbounded operator $(D_V)_0 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$:*

$$(D_V)_0(\xi) = i \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(F)} c_V(e_j) \nabla_{e_j}^{\mathcal{E}_V}(\xi)$$

The closure $D_V : \text{Dom } D_V \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of $(D_V)_0$ is regular and self-adjoint.

In order to form the unbounded Kasparov product of the vertical and the horizontal components we need to lift the Dirac operator D_B on the base manifold to an essentially self-adjoint unbounded operator on the Hilbert space $X \otimes_{C(B)} L^2(\mathcal{E}_B)$. It turns out that the Hermitian Clifford connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_V}$ on \mathcal{E}_V (Hermitian with respect to the $C^\infty(M)$ -valued inner product) does *not define* a metric connection on $\mathcal{E}_V \subseteq X$ with the $C^\infty(B)$ -valued inner product, due to correction terms that come from the measure on the fibres $M_b, b \in B$. However, these can be nicely absorbed in an additional term proportional to the mean curvature.

Definition 5.4. The metric connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_{C(B)} \Omega^1_{\text{cont}}(B)$ is defined by

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{X}}_Z(\xi) = \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_V}_{Z_H}(\xi) + \frac{1}{2}k(Z_H) \cdot \xi$$

in terms of a vector field Z on B and corresponding horizontal lift Z_H .

Proposition 5.5. Let $\{f_i\}$ be a local orthonormal frame of vector fields on B . The local expression

$$(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B)_0(\xi \otimes r) := \xi \otimes D_B(r) + i \sum_i \nabla^{\mathcal{X}}_{f_i}(\xi) \otimes c_B(f_i)(r) \quad \xi \in \mathcal{E}_V, r \in \mathcal{E}_B$$

defines an essentially self-adjoint unbounded operator

$$(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B)_0 : \mathcal{E}_V \otimes_{C^\infty(B)}^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{E}_B \rightarrow X \otimes_{C(B)} L^2(\mathcal{E}_B).$$

We denote its closure by $1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B : \text{Dom}(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B) \rightarrow X \otimes_{C(B)} L^2(\mathcal{E}_B)$.

Remark 5.6. In [30] it is only shown that $(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B)_0$ is a symmetric operator, whose closure was then used in the tensor sum factorization (5.1) of D_M . However, we may consider the operator $(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B)_0$ as a differential operator of order 1 on the finitely-generated projective $C^\infty(M)$ -module $\mathcal{E}_V \otimes_{C^\infty(M)} \pi^* \mathcal{E}_B$. It is then a classical result [26, Corollary 10.2.6] that such an operator is essentially self-adjoint.

The main result of [30] is a factorization of the Dirac operator D_M on M in terms of a vertical family of Dirac operators D_V on X and the Dirac operator D_B on B . Explicitly, (up to conjugation by a unitary operator) D_M is given by the tensor sum (5.1):

$$D_M = D_V \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B + \tilde{c}(\Omega).$$

The last term $\tilde{c}(\Omega)$ is Clifford multiplication by the curvature Ω of the fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$. Thus the curvature of the fibration appears as an obstruction to the realization of D_M as an (unbounded) internal Kasparov product. We also record the following result, which is Lemma 17 of [31].

Lemma 5.7. *Suppose that $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ and $r \in \mathcal{E}_B \subseteq L^2(\mathcal{E}_B)$. Let $\{e_j\}$ denote a local orthonormal frame of vertical vector fields on M and $\{f_i\}$ a local orthonormal frame of vector fields on B . Then we have the local expression*

$$\begin{aligned} & [D_V \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B]_+(\xi \otimes r) \\ &= - \sum_{i,j,k} S_{\pi}(e_k, e_j, (f_i)_H)(c_V(e_j) \nabla_{e_k}^{\mathcal{E}_V})(\xi) \otimes c_B(f_i)(r) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j} c_V(e_j) \left(\Omega^{\mathcal{E}_V}(e_j, (f_i)_H) + \frac{1}{2} e_j(k((f_i)_H)) \right) (\xi) \otimes c_B(f_i)(r), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega^{\mathcal{E}_V} : \mathcal{E}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_V \otimes_{C^\infty(M)} \Omega^2(M)$ is the curvature form of the Hermitian connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_V}$.

As a consequence we obtain that the anti-commutator $[D_V \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B]_+$ is relatively bounded by $D_V \otimes 1$ and makes $D_V \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B$ a vertically anticommuting pair in the sense of Definition 2.10.

5.2. Local expressions

Let us first take a typical fibre F_0 of $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ and consider trivializations

$$\rho_{\alpha} : \pi^{-1}(W_{\alpha}) \rightarrow F_0 \times W_{\alpha}$$

for charts $W_{\alpha} \subseteq B$. It is then possible to choose so-called *fibration charts* $V_{\alpha} \times W_{\alpha} \subset F_0 \times B$ so that with $U_{\alpha} = \rho_{\alpha}^{-1}(V_{\alpha} \times W_{\alpha})$ we have that

$$\rho_{\alpha} : U_{\alpha} \rightarrow V_{\alpha} \times W_{\alpha}$$

is a diffeomorphism. Let us denote by $\{\chi_{\alpha}^2\}$ a partition of unity subordinate to the covering $\{U_{\alpha}\}$, so that $\sum_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha}^2 = 1$.

Note that \mathcal{E}_V as a (finitely-generated projective) $C^\infty(M)$ -module admits a (finite) local orthonormal frame supported on U_{α} ; we denote such a frame by $\{x_{\alpha,n}\}$.

On the base manifold B we choose local coordinates $\sigma_{\alpha} : W_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\dim B}$ whose components will be denoted by $\sigma_{\alpha}^{\mu} : W_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $\mu = 1, \dots, \dim B$.

It is convenient to write the inner product on X as an integral over the typical fibre F_0 . We denote by μ_b the measure on F_0 that corresponds to $\mu_{\pi^{-1}(b)}$ through the identification $\pi^{-1}(b) \cong F_0$. We then obtain

$$\langle s, t \rangle_X(b) = \sum_{\alpha} \int_{F_0} \chi_{\alpha}^2((\rho_{\alpha}^{-1})^* \langle s, t \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V})(y, b) d\mu_b(y)$$

for all $b \in W_{\alpha}$. As a special case, if $(y, b_0) \in \rho_{\alpha_0}(U_{\alpha_0})$ where $\pi^{-1}(b_0) = F_0$ is our typical fibre then we set $d\mu_0 := d\mu_{b_0}$.

The Radon-Nikodym derivative of μ_b with respect to μ_0 gives a function on $F_0 \times B$ which we will denote by

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mu_0}(y, b) := \frac{d\mu_b}{d\mu_0}(y)$$

Remark 5.8. As in [30] we may combine a choice of coordinates on each of the fibration charts $\{U_\alpha\}$ with the Riemannian metric g on M to obtain a positive invertible matrix of smooth functions

$$g_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\dim(M)}(\mathbb{R})_+.$$

Furthermore, letting $Q : \mathbb{R}^{\dim(M)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\dim(M)}$ denote the projection

$$Q : (t_1, \dots, t_{\dim(M)}) \mapsto (t_1, \dots, t_{\dim(F)}, 0, \dots, 0)$$

onto the first $\dim(F)$ copies of \mathbb{R} in $\mathbb{R}^{\dim(M)}$, we obtain a positive matrix of smooth functions

$$Qg_\alpha Q : U_\alpha \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\dim(F)}(\mathbb{R})_+, \quad Qg_\alpha Q(x) = Qg_\alpha(x)Q.$$

Suppose that $(y, b) \in \rho_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ and let $y_\alpha^1, \dots, y_\alpha^{\dim F_0}$ denote local coordinates on $V_\alpha \subset F_0$. Then we may write the volume form on F_0 as

$$d\mu_b(y) = \sqrt{\det Qg_\alpha Q(\rho_\alpha^{-1}(y, b))} dy_\alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_\alpha^{\dim F_0}.$$

Let us check, for completeness, that this expression does not depend on the choice of trivialization. In fact, if $(y, b) \in \rho_\alpha(U_\alpha) \cap \rho_\beta(U_\beta)$ then the transition functions $\rho_\alpha \circ \rho_\beta^{-1}$ map (y, b) to (y', b) . In terms of the coordinates y_α^k and y_β^l , the map $y \rightarrow y'$ corresponds to an orthogonal transformation $T^{kl}(y) := \partial y_\alpha^k / \partial y_\beta^l$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\dim(F)}$. Hence we have

$$\det Qg_\beta Q(\rho_\beta^{-1}(y, b)) = \det T^2 \cdot \det Qg_\alpha Q(\rho_\alpha^{-1}(y, b))$$

while at the same time

$$dy_\beta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_\beta^{\dim F_0} = \det \frac{\partial y_\beta^k}{\partial y_\alpha^l} \cdot dy_\alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_\alpha^{\dim F_0} = \det T^{-1} \cdot dy_\alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_\alpha^{\dim F_0}$$

so that the terms involving $\det T$ cancel in the definition of $d\mu_b$.

The Radon-Nikodym derivative can now be written unambiguously on $V_\alpha \times W_\alpha$ by

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mu_0}(y, b) = \frac{\sqrt{\det Qg_\alpha Q(\rho_\alpha^{-1}(y, b))}}{\sqrt{\det Qg_{\alpha_0} Q(\rho_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(y, b_0))}}.$$

This is a smooth and nowhere vanishing function on $V_\alpha \times W_\alpha$.

5.3. The stabilization isometry

We are now ready to define the crucial technical ingredient in our approach to curvature, to wit, a stabilizing isometry $v : X \rightarrow L^2(F_0)^N \otimes^h C(B)$. In fact, we will realize this map on \mathcal{X} where it will map to $C^\infty(F_0)^N \widehat{\otimes} C^\infty(B)$ (in terms of the projective tensor product of Fréchet spaces). We let N denote the product of the cardinalities of the sets $\{\chi_\alpha\}$ and $\{x_{\alpha,n}\}$ for the partition of unity and the frame of \mathcal{E}_V , respectively, and will consider elements in $L^2(F_0)^N$ as column vectors.

Lemma 5.9. *The map*

$$v : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C^\infty(F_0)^N \widehat{\otimes} C^\infty(B) \simeq C^\infty(F_0 \times B)^N$$

$$s \mapsto \left(\sqrt{\frac{d\mu}{d\mu_0}} \cdot (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (\langle \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n}, s \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V}) \right)_{\alpha n}$$

is a continuous map of Fréchet spaces and furthermore extends to an isometry $X \rightarrow L^2(F_0)^N \otimes^h C(B)$.

Proof. First observe that as each ρ_α is a diffeomorphism, the map ρ_α^* is continuous in the C^∞ -topology. Likewise the derivatives $\sqrt{d\mu/d\mu_0}$ are (uniformly bounded) C^∞ functions, and so multiplication by them is continuous. Now for every section s we have

$$s = \sum_\alpha \chi_\alpha^2 s = \sum_{\alpha,n} \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n} \langle \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n}, s \rangle,$$

and each term in the sum depends continuously on s . Taking the inner product with $\chi_\beta x_{\beta,k}$ is C^∞ continuous, as is $(\rho_\alpha^{-1})^*$. Hence the map v is Fréchet continuous.

By a standard density argument, to show that v extends to an isometry, it is sufficient to check that for all $s, t \in \mathcal{X}$ we have $\sum_{\alpha n} \langle v(s)_{\alpha n}, v(t)_{\alpha n} \rangle_{L^2(F_0) \otimes^h C(B)} = \langle s, t \rangle_X$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha,n} \langle v(s)_{\alpha n}, v(t)_{\alpha n} \rangle_{L^2(F_0) \otimes C(B)}(b) &= \sum_{\alpha,n} \int_{F_0} \overline{v(s)_{\alpha n}(y,b)} v(t)_{\alpha n}(y,b) d\mu_0(y) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,n} \int_{F_0} (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (\langle s, \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V} \langle \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n}, t \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V})(y,b) \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_0}(y,b) d\mu_0(y) \\ &= \sum_\alpha \int_{F_0} \chi_\alpha^2 (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (\langle s, t \rangle)(y,b) d\mu_b(y) \equiv \langle s, t \rangle_X \end{aligned}$$

using completeness of the frame $\{x_{\alpha,n}\}$ and $\sum_\alpha \chi_\alpha^2 = 1$ in the last equality. \square

Lemma 5.10. For all $F = (F_{\alpha n}) \in C^\infty(F_0)^N \widehat{\otimes} C^\infty(B)$ we have

$$v^*(F) = \sum_{\alpha n} \left((\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* \left(\sqrt{\frac{d\mu_0}{d\mu}} F_{\alpha n} \right) \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha, n} \right)_{\alpha n} \tag{5.4}$$

Consequently, the adjoint $v^* : L^2(F_0)^N \otimes^h C(B) \rightarrow X$ restricts to a map

$$v^* : C^\infty(F_0)^N \widehat{\otimes} C^\infty(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{X},$$

and we have $v^*v = 1$.

Proof. We check that the formula (5.4) does indeed provide the adjoint of v by computing

$$\begin{aligned} \langle s, v^*(F) \rangle_X(b) &= \int_{F_0} (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (\langle s, \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha, n} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V})(y, b) \left(\sqrt{\frac{d\mu_0}{d\mu}} F_{\alpha n} \right) (y, b) d\mu_b(y) \\ &= \int_{F_0} (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (\langle s, \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha, n} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V})(y, b) \left(\sqrt{\frac{d\mu}{d\mu_0}} F_{\alpha n} \right) (y, b) d\mu_0(y) \\ &= \langle v(s), F \rangle_{L^2(F_0)^N \otimes^h C(B)} \end{aligned}$$

The identity $v^*v = 1$ holds true by construction. \square

Thus, the operator v defines a C^2 -stabilization $v : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{C^\infty(B)}^{\text{alg}} C^2(B) \rightarrow L^2(F_0) \otimes^h C^2(B)$ in the sense of Definition 4.1.

Proposition 5.11. The operator

$$((v \otimes 1)(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B) - (\gamma \otimes D_B)(v \otimes 1)) : \mathcal{X} \otimes_{C^\infty(B)}^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{E}_B \rightarrow C^\infty(F_0) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_B \subset L^2(F_0) \otimes^h C(B)$$

is D_V -bounded.

Proof. We start by computing the first term on $s \otimes \psi \in \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{E}_B$, say, with $\text{supp } s \subseteq U_\alpha$:

$$\begin{aligned} (v \otimes 1)(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B)(s \otimes \psi) &= (v \otimes 1)(\nabla_{\partial/\partial\sigma_\alpha^\mu}^{\mathcal{X}}(s) \otimes \gamma^\mu \psi + s \otimes D_B \psi) \tag{5.5} \\ &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{d\mu}{d\mu_0}} \cdot (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (\langle \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha, n}, \nabla_{\partial/\partial\sigma_\alpha^\mu}^{\mathcal{X}} s \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V}) \gamma^\mu \psi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{\frac{d\mu}{d\mu_0}} \cdot (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (\langle \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha, n}, s \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V}) \cdot D_B \psi \right)_{\alpha n} \tag{5.6} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D_B)_\epsilon(v \otimes 1)(s \otimes \psi) &= \left(D_B \left(\sqrt{\frac{d\mu}{d\mu_0}} (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (\langle \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n}, s \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V}) \cdot \psi \right) \right)_{\alpha n} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_\alpha^\mu} \left(\sqrt{\frac{d\mu}{d\mu_0}} \right) (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (\langle \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n}, s \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V}) \cdot \gamma^\mu \psi \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sqrt{\frac{d\mu}{d\mu_0}} (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (\langle \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n}, s \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V}) \cdot D_B \psi \right)_{\alpha n} \tag{5.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

The first term in this last expression is bounded as it is a derivative of the Radon-Nikodym derivative, while the last term cancels against the corresponding term in (5.6). We are thus left to consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (\langle \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n}, \nabla_{\partial/\partial \sigma_\alpha^\mu}^x s \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_\alpha^\mu} ((\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (\langle \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n}, s \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V})) \\
 &= -(\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (\langle \nabla_{(\partial/\partial \sigma_\alpha^\mu)_H}^{\mathcal{E}_V} (\chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n}), s \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V}) + \frac{1}{2} (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (k((\partial/\partial \sigma_\alpha^\mu)_H) \langle \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n}, s \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V}) \\
 &\quad + (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_\alpha^\mu} \right)_H \langle \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n}, s \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_\alpha^\mu} ((\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (\langle \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n}, s \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V})).
 \end{aligned}$$

The first two terms on the right-hand side (involving the derivative on the frame and the mean curvature) is bounded, and we claim that the remaining terms combine to give only vertical derivatives, and can thus be relatively bounded with respect to the vertically elliptic operator D_V when acting on s . In order to see that the combination is a vertical derivative, let us consider the more general expression

$$(\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* Z_H(f) - Z((\rho_\alpha^{-1})^*(f))$$

for a vector field Z on B and a function f on M (supported in U_α). Here we understand Z to act on a function on $F_0 \times B$ by only deriving in the second coordinate. For $f = \pi^*g$ one finds that

$$(\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* Z_H(\pi^*g) - Z((\rho_\alpha^{-1})^*(\pi^*g)) = (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* Z_H(g \circ \pi) - Z(g \circ \pi \circ \rho_\alpha^{-1}) = 0$$

by definition of the horizontal lift

$$(\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* Z_H(g \circ \pi) = (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* \pi^* Z(g) = Z(g)$$

in combination with the identity $Z(g \circ \pi \circ \rho_\alpha^{-1}) = Z(g)$. We conclude that $(\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* Z_H - Z((\rho_\alpha^{-1})^*)$ is a vertical vector field, as desired. \square

Thus, the stabilization map v satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 4.4 and the associated Grassmann connection constitutes an example of a C^2 -connection on a $C^{(1,2)}$ -module.

5.4. The universal lift

We may use the isometry v to obtain a convenient expression for the connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{X}}$. In particular, we can obtain a lift of $\nabla^{\mathcal{X}}$ to a universal connection $\nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}}$, where by ‘lift’ we mean that $\pi_{DB} \circ \nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}} = c \circ \nabla^{\mathcal{X}}$ where c is Clifford multiplication on spinors.

Since $v^*v = 1$ any $s \in \mathcal{X}$ can be written as $s = v^*(F(s))$ where $F(s) = v(s) \in C^\infty(F_0)^N \widehat{\otimes} C^\infty(B)$. For any $s \in \mathcal{X}$ there exist functions $f_k \in C^\infty(F_0)^N$, $g_k \in C^\infty(B)$ such that

$$F(s) = \sqrt{\frac{d\mu}{d\mu_0}} \sum_k f_k \otimes g_k.$$

Then we have

$$s = v^*(F(s)) = \sum_{\alpha,n,k} (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (f_k \otimes g_k) \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n} \tag{5.8}$$

so that with respect to local coordinates σ_α^μ on B we have

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{X}}(s) = \sum_{\alpha,n,k} \nabla_{\partial/\partial\sigma_\alpha^\mu}^{\mathcal{X}} ((\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (f_k \otimes g_k) \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n}) \otimes_{C^\infty(B)} d\sigma_\alpha^\mu.$$

Using the Leibniz rule and the fact that the derivative on the base commutes with the functions f_k in the fibre direction, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^{\mathcal{X}}(s) &= \sum_{\alpha,n,k} \nabla_{\partial/\partial\sigma_\alpha^\mu}^{\mathcal{X}} (\chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n}) (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (f_k \otimes 1) \otimes g_k d\sigma_\alpha^\mu \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha,n,k} \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n} \cdot (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (f_k \otimes 1) \otimes dg_k(s). \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Lemma 5.12. *The connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{X}}$ can be lifted to a universal connection*

$$\nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes}_{C^\infty(B)} \Omega_u^1(C^\infty(B)),$$

in the sense that $\pi_{DB} \circ \nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}} = c \circ \nabla^{\mathcal{X}}$ where c denotes Clifford multiplication.

Proof. From Equation (5.9) we identify a candidate universal connection as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}}(s) &= \sum_{\alpha,n,k} \nabla_{\partial/\partial\sigma_\alpha^\mu}^{\mathcal{X}} (\chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n}) (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (f_k \otimes 1) \otimes \delta(\sigma_\alpha^\mu) g_k \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha,n,k} \chi_\alpha x_{\alpha,n} \cdot (\rho_\alpha^{-1})^* (f_k \otimes 1) \otimes \delta(g_k). \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

First of all, the right hand side of (5.10) makes sense in the projective tensor product topology. The first sum is readily compared to (5.8) since there are only finitely many terms in the α, n sums. The second term can similarly be compared to (5.8) using the fact that $\delta : C^\infty(B) \rightarrow \Omega_u^1(C^\infty(B))$ is completely bounded.

Multiplication of a section $s \in \mathcal{X}$ by a function $g \in C^\infty(B)$ via pullback along π amounts to multiplying each g_k by g . Applying the Leibniz rule for δ to the right-hand side of Equation (5.10) proves that $\nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}}(sg) = \nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}}(s)g + s \otimes \delta(g)$. It is then clear by construction that $\pi_{D_B} \circ \nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}}$ coincides with $c \circ \nabla^{\mathcal{X}}$. \square

In the next few statements we compare projective tensor products and Haagerup tensor products and so need the notation introduced in Equations (2.11), (2.12), Section 2.4.

Lemma 5.13. *The map*

$$\iota_{D_B} \circ \nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_{C^1(B)}^h \Omega_{D_B}^1(C^1(B)),$$

is continuous.

Proof. For $x \in \mathcal{X}$ we have an equality

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{D_B} \circ \nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}}(x) &= \nabla_{D_B}(x) = (1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B|x) - |\gamma(x)\rangle D_B \\ &= v^*(v(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B) - (\gamma \otimes D_B)v)(x) + v^*[\gamma \otimes D_B, v(x)], \end{aligned}$$

of operators $\text{Dom } D_B \rightarrow X \otimes_{C(B)}^h L^2(\mathcal{E}_B)$. Since

$$v^*(v(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B) - (\gamma \otimes D_B)v) = v^*(v(1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B) - (\gamma \otimes D_B)v)(D_V + i)^{-1}(D_V + i),$$

and $D_V : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is continuous, this operator is continuous by Proposition 5.11. Furthermore,

$$v^*[\gamma \otimes D_B, v(x)] = v^*(\gamma \otimes c(dv(x))),$$

and $x \mapsto c(dv(x))$ is a composition of continuous maps

$$\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{v} C^\infty(F_0) \widehat{\otimes} C^\infty(B) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \text{d}} C^\infty(F_0) \widehat{\otimes} \Omega^1(B) \xrightarrow{c} L^2(F_0)^N \otimes^h \Omega_{D_B}^1(C^1(B)).$$

Since $v^* : L^2(F_0)^N \otimes^h \Omega_T^1(C^1(B)) \rightarrow X \otimes_B^h \Omega_{D_B}^1(C^1(B))$ is continuous as well, the lemma is proved. \square

Corollary 5.14. *The pair (\mathcal{X}, D_V) is a C^2 -module relative to $(C^2(B), L^2(\mathcal{E}_B), D_B)$ and the universal connection*

$$\nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \widehat{X} \otimes_{C^\infty(B)} \Omega_u^1(C^\infty(B)),$$

defines a C^2 -connection (∇, ∇^1) on (\mathcal{X}, D_V) , where $\nabla = \iota_0 \circ \nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\nabla^1 = \iota_1 \circ \nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}}$. The quintuple $(C^2(M), \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{B}}^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{B}_2, D_V, (\nabla, \nabla^1))$ is a C^2 -correspondence for the spectral triples $(C^2(M), L^2(\mathcal{E}_M), D_M)$ and $(C^2(B), L^2(\mathcal{E}_B), D_B)$.

Proof. Since $\langle \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X} \rangle \subset C^\infty(B)$ and $D_V : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \subset X$ is essentially self-adjoint and regular, (\mathcal{X}, D_V) is a C^2 -module (see Definition 2.5). Next we show how to obtain a pair (∇, ∇^1) satisfying Definition 2.14. As the inclusions $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ and $C^\infty(B) \rightarrow \text{Lip}(D_B)$ are continuous, we obtain a connection $\nabla := \iota_0 \circ \nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_B \Omega^1(C^1(B))$. By Lemma 5.7 the pair $(D_V \otimes 1, 1 \otimes_{\nabla} D_B)$ is vertically anti-commuting and by Lemma 5.13

$$\iota_{D_B} \circ \nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \otimes_{C^1(B)}^h \Omega_{D_B}^1(C^1(B), C(B)),$$

is continuous. The conclusion now follows from Equation (5.1) and Corollary 2.30. \square

5.5. Curvature of ∇

In this section we compute the curvature $R_{\nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}}}$ of the connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{X}}$, which is given by

$$R_{\nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}}} = (1 \otimes_{\nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}}} D_B^2) - (1 \otimes_{\nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}}} D_B)^2$$

in terms of the Dirac operator D_B on the base manifold of the submersion.

Proposition 5.15.

1. The curvature operator $R_{\nabla^{\mathcal{X}}} \equiv \pi_{D_B}((\nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}})^2)$ on $\mathcal{X} \widehat{\otimes}_{C^\infty(B)} \mathcal{E}_B$ is given by Clifford multiplication with the curvature of $\nabla^{\mathcal{X}}$. More precisely, in terms of a local orthonormal frame $\{f_j\}$ of vector fields on B we have the equality

$$R_{\nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}}} = c \circ ((\nabla^{\mathcal{X}})^2) \equiv \sum_{j,k} \left(\left[\nabla_{f_j}^{\mathcal{X}}, \nabla_{f_k}^{\mathcal{X}} \right] - \nabla_{[f_j, f_k]}^{\mathcal{X}} \right) \gamma_j \gamma_k$$

as skew-symmetric operators from $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{C^\infty(B)}^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{E}_B$ to $X \otimes_{C(B)} L^2(\mathcal{E}_B)$ and where $\gamma_j = c((f_j)_H)$ are flat Dirac matrices.

2. The local expression for the curvature in terms of the curvature Ω of the fibre bundle $M \rightarrow B$, the mean curvature k , and the connection one-form $A^{\mathcal{X}} = A^{\mathcal{E}_V} + \frac{1}{2}k$ of $\nabla^{\mathcal{X}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\nabla_u^{\mathcal{X}}}(\xi) &= \sum_{j,k} \left(\sum_i \Omega(\cdot, \cdot, e_i) e_i + dA^{\mathcal{X}} + A^{\mathcal{X}} \wedge A^{\mathcal{X}} \right) ((f_j)_H, (f_k)_H) \gamma_j \gamma_k \xi \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \left(\sum_i \Omega(\cdot, \cdot, e_i) e_i + dA^{\mathcal{E}_V} + A^{\mathcal{E}_V} \wedge A^{\mathcal{E}_V} + \frac{1}{2}k \wedge A^{\mathcal{E}_V} + \frac{1}{2}dk \right) ((f_j)_H, (f_k)_H) \gamma_j \gamma_k \xi, \end{aligned}$$

with ξ supported in a suitable coordinate chart of M and where $\{e_i\}$ is a local orthonormal frame of vertical vector fields on M .

3. The curvature operator $R_{\nabla_u^x}$ is relatively D_V -bounded.

Proof. In view of Corollary 2.30 we have that $R_{\nabla^x} \equiv \pi_{D_B}((\nabla_u^x)^2)$. By Lemma 5.12 and the fact that the Clifford representation of universal forms factors through the de Rham calculus, we may compute the right-hand side by working with π_D -represented de Rham differential forms and thus exploit local expressions. Let us start by writing the connection ∇^x in terms of a connection one-form. Using Definition 5.4, for $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ supported in a trivializing chart (for \mathcal{E}_V) on M and Z a vector field with horizontal part Z_H , we have

$$\nabla_Z^x(\xi) = Z_H(\xi) + A^{\mathcal{E}_V}(Z_H)(\xi) + \frac{1}{2}k(Z_H) \cdot \xi$$

where we have written $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_V} = d_M + A^{\mathcal{E}_V}$ in terms of a (locally-defined) connection one-form $A^{\mathcal{E}_V} \in \text{End}_{C^\infty(M)}(\mathcal{E}_V) \otimes_{C^\infty(M)} \Omega^1(M)$.

Let us define a combined connection one-form as $A^x := A^{\mathcal{E}_V} + \frac{1}{2}k \in \text{End}_{C^\infty(M)}(\mathcal{E}_V) \otimes_{C^\infty(M)} \Omega^1(M)$ so that $\nabla_Z^x = Z_H + A^x(Z_H)$. Note that since M is compact we can assume that there is a finite number of such trivializing charts. Hence, for the relative bounds of the curvature operator that we are after here we may just as well work on a single chart.

With these preparations, we compute the curvature operator acting on a $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ supported in a single chart, finding

$$\begin{aligned} c \circ ((\nabla^x)^2)(\xi) &= \sum_{j,k} \left(\left[\nabla_{f_j}^x, \nabla_{f_k}^x \right] - \nabla_{[f_j, f_k]}^x \right) \gamma_j \gamma_k(\xi) \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \left([(f_j)_H, (f_k)_H] - [f_j, f_k]_H \right) \gamma_j \gamma_k(\xi) + c_H \circ (dA^x + A^x \wedge A^x)(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

where c_H denotes Clifford multiplication only in the horizontal direction (involving the γ_j and γ_k). This last term satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle c_H(dA^x + A^x \wedge A^x)\xi, c_H(dA^x + A^x \wedge A^x)\xi \rangle_X(b) \\ &= \int_{\pi^{-1}(b)} \langle c_H(dA^x + A^x \wedge A^x)\xi, c_H(dA^x + A^x \wedge A^x)\xi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_V}(x) d\mu_{\pi^{-1}(b)}(x) \\ &\leq \|c_H(dA^x + A^x \wedge A^x)\|_{\text{End}_{C^\infty(M)}(\mathcal{E}_V)}^2 \langle \xi, \xi \rangle(b) \end{aligned}$$

The relevant and potentially unbounded term in the curvature is thus $[(f_j)_H, (f_k)_H] - [f_j, f_k]_H$. But this difference of commutators is a vertical vector field and, in fact, it is precisely the one described by the curvature Ω of π as defined in Definition 5.1. Indeed,

the horizontal lift of a commutator is the horizontal part of the commutator of the lifted vector fields and hence

$$[X_H, Y_H] - [X, Y]_H = \sum_i \Omega(X_H, Y_H, e_i) e_i$$

for vector fields X, Y on B and an orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}$ of vertical vector fields. We conclude that the curvature is given locally by a vertical vector field plus bounded terms, and since D_V is vertically elliptic we find the desired relative bound. \square

Corollary 5.16. *The curvature operator $R_{(D_V, \nabla^x)}$ of the C^2 -correspondence $(C^2(M), \mathcal{X} \otimes_B^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{B}_2, D_V, (\nabla, \nabla^1))$ is relatively D_V -bounded.*

References

- [1] J. Arnlind, M. Wilson, Riemannian curvature of the noncommutative 3-sphere, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 11 (2) (2017) 507–536.
- [2] N. Berline, E. Getzler, M. Vergne, *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [3] D. Blecher, A new approach to Hilbert C^* -modules, *Math. Ann.* 307 (1997) 253–290.
- [4] D. Blecher, J. Kaad, B. Mesland, Operator $*$ -correspondences in analysis and geometry, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 117 (2) (2018) 303–344.
- [5] D. Blecher, M. LeMerdy, *Operator Algebras and Their Modules, an Operator Space Approach*, London Mathematical Society Monographs (New Series), vol. 30, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2004.
- [6] J. Bhowmick, D. Goswami, S. Joardar, A new look at Levi-Civita connection in noncommutative geometry, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* 18 (7) (2021) 2150105.
- [7] J. Bhowmick, D. Goswami, S. Mukhopadhyay, Levi-Civita connections for a class of spectral triples, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 110 (4) (2020) 835–884.
- [8] S. Brain, B. Mesland, W.D. van Suijlekom, Gauge theory for spectral triples and the unbounded Kasparov product, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 10 (1) (2016) 131–202.
- [9] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, 1994.
- [10] B. Ćaćić, B. Mesland, Gauge theory for noncommutative Riemannian principal bundles, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 388 (2021) 107–198.
- [11] A. Connes, Gravity coupled with matter and foundation of noncommutative geometry, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 182 (1996) 155–176.
- [12] A. Connes, F. Fathizadeh, The term a_4 in the heat kernel expansion of noncommutative tori, *Münster J. Math.* 12 (2) (2019) 239–410.
- [13] A. Connes, H. Moscovici, Modular curvature for noncommutative two-tori, *J. Am. Math. Soc.* 27 (2014) 639–684.
- [14] A. Connes, M. Rieffel, Yang-Mills for noncommutative two-tori, *Contemp. Math.* 62 (1987) 237–266.
- [15] A. Connes, P. Tretkoff, The Gauss-Bonnet theorem for the noncommutative two torus, in: *Noncommutative Geometry, Arithmetic, and Related Topics*, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2011, pp. 141–158.
- [16] J. Cuntz, D. Quillen, Algebra extensions and nonsingularity, *J. Am. Math. Soc.* 8 (1995) 251–289.
- [17] L. Dabrowski, P. Hajac, G. Landi, P. Siniscalco, Metrics and pairs of left and right connections on bimodules, *J. Math. Phys.* 37 (9) (1996) 4635–4646.
- [18] M. Dubois-Violette, J. Madore, T. Masson, On curvature in noncommutative geometry, *J. Math. Phys.* 37 (8) (1996) 4089–4102.
- [19] K. van den Dungen, Locally bounded perturbations and (odd) unbounded KK -theory, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 12 (2018) 1445–1467.
- [20] K. van den Dungen, A. Rennie, Indefinite Kasparov modules and pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 17 (2016) 3255–3286.
- [21] R. Floricel, A. Ghorbanpour, M. Khalkhali, The Ricci curvature in noncommutative geometry, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 13 (1) (2019) 269–296.

- [22] M. Fragoulopoulou, *Topological Algebras with Involution*, North-Holland Math. Studies, vol. 200, 2005.
- [23] A. Ghorbanpour, M. Khalkhali, Spectral geometry of functional metrics on noncommutative tori, arXiv:1811.04004v1.
- [24] M. Goffeng, B. Mesland, Spectral triples and finite summability on Cuntz-Krieger algebras, *Doc. Math.* 20 (2015) 89–170.
- [25] M. Goffeng, B. Mesland, A. Rennie, Shift-tail equivalence and an unbounded representative of the Cuntz-Pimsner extension, *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst.* 38 (2018) 1389–1421.
- [26] N. Higson, J. Roe, *Analytic K -Homology*, OUP, 2001.
- [27] J. Kaad, Differentiable absorption of Hilbert C^* -modules, connections, and lifts of unbounded operators, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 11 (2017) 1037–1068.
- [28] J. Kaad, M. Lesch, A local-global principle for regular operators on Hilbert modules, *J. Funct. Anal.* 262 (2012) 4540–4569.
- [29] J. Kaad, M. Lesch, Spectral flow and the unbounded Kasparov product, *Adv. Math.* 248 (2013) 495–530.
- [30] J. Kaad, W.D. van Suijlekom, Riemannian submersions and factorization of Dirac operators, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 12 (2018) 1133–1159.
- [31] J. Kaad, W.D. van Suijlekom, Factorization of Dirac operators on almost-regular fibrations of spin^c manifolds, *Doc. Math.* 5 (2020) 2049–2084.
- [32] D. Kucerovsky, The KK -product of unbounded modules, *K -Theory* 11 (1997) 17–34.
- [33] E.C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -Modules: A Toolkit for Operator Algebraists*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes, vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [34] G. Landi, *An Introduction to Noncommutative Spaces and Their Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Physics: Monographs, vol. 51, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1997.
- [35] M. Lesch, H. Moscovici, Modular curvature and Morita equivalence, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 26 (3) (2016) 818–873.
- [36] M. Lesch, H. Moscovici, Modular Gaussian curvature, in: A. Chamseddine, C. Consani, N. Higson, M. Khalkhali, H. Moscovici, G. Yu (Eds.), *Advances in Noncommutative Geometry*, Springer, Cham, 2020, pp. 463–490.
- [37] S. Lord, A. Rennie, J. Várilly, Riemannian manifolds in noncommutative geometry, *J. Geom. Phys.* 62 (2012) 1611–1638.
- [38] M. Lesch, B. Mesland, Sums of regular self-adjoint operators in Hilbert C^* -modules, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 472 (1) (2019) 947–980.
- [39] B. Mesland, Unbounded bivariant K -theory and correspondences in noncommutative geometry, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 69 (2014) 101–172.
- [40] B. Mesland, A. Rennie, Nonunital spectral triples and metric completeness in unbounded KK -theory, *J. Funct. Anal.* 271 (9) (2016) 2460–2538.
- [41] B. O’Neill, The fundamental equations of a submersion, *Mich. Math. J.* 13 (1966) 459–469.
- [42] J. Rosenberg, Levi-Civita’s theorem for noncommutative tori, *SIGMA* 9 (2013) 071, 9 pages.
- [43] R. Senior, Modular spectral triples and KMS states in noncommutative geometry, Thesis, Australian National University, 2011.
- [44] F. Trèves, *Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels*, Academic Press, 1967.